
SCHUR STABILITY OF MATRIX SEGMENT VIA BIALTERNATE PRODUCT

A PREPRINT

✉ Şerife Yılmaz

Department of Mathematics Education
 Mehmet Akif Ersoy University
 Burdur, 15030
 serifeyilmaz@mehmetakif.edu.tr

June 28, 2024

ABSTRACT

In this study, the problem of robust Schur stability of $n \times n$ dimensional matrix segments by using the bialternate product of matrices is considered. It is shown that the problem can be reduced to the existence of negative eigenvalues of two of three specially constructed matrices and the existence of eigenvalues belonging to the interval $[1, \infty)$ of the third matrix. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the convex combinations of two stable matrices with rank one difference to be robust Schur stable. It is shown that the robust stability of the convex hull of a finite number of matrices whose two-by-two differences are of rank 1 is equivalent to the robust stability of the segments formed by these matrices. Examples of applying the obtained results are given.

Keywords Matrix segment · Schur stability · Bialternate product · Matrix polytope

1 Introduction

An $n \times n$ real matrix A is said to be Schur stable if all eigenvalues of A is contained in the open unit disk in the complex plane, i.e. the spectral radius $\rho(A) < 1$ (see Bhattacharya et al. [1995]). This property is essential in the stability theory for discrete-time dynamical systems (see [Horn and Johnson, 1991, p. 137]).

The robust stability analysis of uncertain systems, which can be modeled using matrix segments or matrix polytopes, has attracted significant attention in recent years due to its wide range of applications in control theory Oliveira and Peres [2007], Chesi [2010]. A polytope of matrices, which is the convex hulls of a finite number of matrices, are established as one of the standard representations of uncertainties involved in state-space models of control systems Barmish [1994], Bhattacharya et al. [1995]. When a polytope of matrices formulates the system matrices of uncertain systems, a stability problem of the polytope naturally arises. The problem checking whether all convex combinations of k matrices are stable is NP-hard (see Gurvits and Olshevsky [2009]). One generally can not expect extreme point or edge results on the stability of polytope of matrices (see Mori and Kokame [2000] and references therein). Polytopes of matrices appear in stability problems of linear switched systems as well. For example, the stability of the polytope of matrices is necessary condition for the asymptotical stability of a positive linear switched system defined by a finite number of matrices Fainshil et al. [2009].

Some more general problems covering this topic concern parameter-dependent matrices. In Büyükköroğlu et al. [2015], Chesi [2013], necessary and sufficient conditions are formulated for the eigenvalues of a parameter-dependent matrix to belong to a certain region D of the complex plane. The stability problem of a matrix polytope is related to the problem of the existence of a common quadratic Lyapunov function (CQLF) for the extreme matrices of this polytope. In Mason and Shorten [2004], it is concerned with the CQLF existence problem for a family of two discrete-time LTI systems and a CQLF existence-nonexistence theorems are given for a pair of stable matrices in there.

In Elsner and Szulc [1998], using a $(k-1)n \times (k-1)n$ dimensional block matrix, a characterization of the Schur stability of all convex combinations of $n \times n$ dimensional Schur stable matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k is derived. Schur stability of all convex combinations of two complex matrices A_1, A_2 has been characterized in Soh [1990]. Since the Kronecker product is used, $n^2 \times n^2$ dimensional matrices arise in this characterization.

This paper aims to solve this problem with lower dimensional matrices. In addition, we show that obtained results are valid for the stability domain

$$D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x - \delta)^2 + y^2 < r\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\delta > 0, r > 0$. As an application of these results, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the polytope of matrices whose differences are of rank one.

Let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be Schur stable matrices. If each matrix from the segment

$$[A_1, A_2] = \{C(\alpha) = \alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2 : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}.$$

is Schur stable, the segment $[A_1, A_2]$ is called (robust) stable segment.

2 Schur stability of all convex combinations of two matrices

Let A be an $n \times n$ Schur matrix and I be the identity matrix. If λ an eigenvalue of A then $\lambda + \alpha$ is an eigenvalue of $A + \alpha I$, where α is scalar. If A is Schur matrix, $(I - A)$ and $(I + A)$ are nonsingular.

The boundary of the Schur stability region is the unit circle $\partial D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. If there is an unstable matrix on a matrix segment with stable endpoints, then according to continuous root dependence [Barmish, 1994, p. 52] there exists a matrix in this segment with an eigenvalue on the unit circle. For this reason, we will express the following three lemmas regarding the absence of an eigenvalue on the unit circle. The first two of these are related to whether there are matrices from the segment with an eigenvalue of ± 1 . The third lemma is about the existence of matrices from the segment with complex eigenvalues on the unit circle.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur matrices. For all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $(-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] > 0$ if and only if $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow): Assume that $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] &= \det[I - C(\alpha)] \\ &= \det[I - (\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2)] \\ &= \det[\alpha I + (1 - \alpha)I - \alpha A_1 - (1 - \alpha)A_2] \\ &= \det[\alpha(I - A_1) + (1 - \alpha)(I - A_2)] \\ &= \det[\alpha \left((I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} I \right) (I - A_2)] \\ &= \alpha^n \cdot \det[I - A_2] \cdot \det[(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} I]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\det[I - A_2] \neq 0$ because the matrix A_2 is Schur stable. Since $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue, $\det[(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} I] \neq 0$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ($(1 - \alpha)/\alpha \in (0, \infty) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (0, 1]$). As a result,

$$(-1)^n \det(C(\alpha) - I) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1]. \quad (2)$$

Since A_1, A_2 are Schur stable matrices, the matrices $A_1 - I$ and $A_2 - I$ are Hurwitz stable (all eigenvalues lie in the open left half of the complex plane). The Routh-Hurwitz stability criteria lead to the positivity of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials of $A_1 - I$ and $A_2 - I$ [Barmish, 1994, p. 9]. Here $\det[C(0) - I] = \det[A_2 - I]$, $\det[C(1) - I] = \det[A_1 - I]$.

The constant terms of the characteristic polynomials of the matrices $A_1 - I$ and $A_2 - I$ are $(-1)^n \det[A_1 - I] > 0$, $(-1)^n \det[A_2 - I] > 0$, respectively. Then

$$0 < (-1)^n \det[C(0) - I], \quad 0 < (-1)^n \det[C(1) - I]. \quad (3)$$

From the continuity of $\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I]$ and equations (2)-(3), $(-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] > 0$ for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(\Rightarrow): Assume that $(-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] > 0$. Take arbitrary $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < (-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] &= \det[I - C(\alpha)] \\ &= \alpha^n \det[I - A_2] \det[(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1} - \beta I], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\beta = -(1 - \alpha)/\alpha$. Since the mapping $-(1 - \alpha)/\alpha : (0, 1] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ is onto, from (4) it follows that for all $\beta < 0$

$$\det[(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1} - \beta I] \neq 0.$$

Therefore $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative eigenvalue. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur stable. $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue $\lambda = 1 \Leftrightarrow (I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow): If $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue then by Lemma 2.1 $(-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] > 0$, consequently $\det[C(\alpha) - I] \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ is not eigenvalue of $C(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(\Rightarrow): If $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ then $\det[C(\alpha) - I] \neq 0$ and by the proof of Lemma 2.1 (see the part of Lemma 2.1 starting from formula (2)) $(-1)^n \det[C(\alpha) - I] > 0$ and by Lemma 2.1 $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur matrices. For all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $\det[C(\alpha) + I] > 0$ if and only if $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue.*

Proof. Define $B_1 = -A_1$, $B_2 = -A_2$ and in view of A is Schur stable $\Leftrightarrow -A$ is Schur stable by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(I - B_1)(I - B_2)^{-1} \text{ has no negative real eigenvalue if and only if} \\ (-1)^n \det[\alpha B_1 + (1 - \alpha)B_2 - I] > 0$$

or

$$(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1} \text{ has no negative real eigenvalue if and only if} \\ (-1)^{2n} \det[\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2 + I] = \det[C(\alpha) + I] > 0.$$

\square

Corollary 2.4. *Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur stable. $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue $\lambda = -1 \Leftrightarrow (I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ has no negative real eigenvalue.*

In the following we obtain condition on nonexistence of eigenvalue of $C(\alpha)$ in the set $\Theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1, z \neq \pm 1\}$. This condition is given in term of the bialternate product of matrices.

Definition 2.5. Elsner and Monov [2011] The bialternate product of matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$, $B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined to be the matrix $F = A \cdot B$ where the entries of F are given by

$$f_{ij,kl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{vmatrix} a_{ik} & a_{il} \\ b_{jk} & b_{jl} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{ik} & b_{il} \\ a_{jk} & a_{jl} \end{vmatrix} \right)$$

where $(i, j), (k, l) \in Q_{2,n} = \{(p, q) : p < q\}$.

The dimension of $A \cdot B$ is $d \times d$, where $d = n(n-1)/2$. If the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ then the eigenvalues of $A \cdot A$ are written $\lambda_i \lambda_j$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $j = i+1, i+2, \dots, n$ (see Fuller [1968], Govaerts and Sijnave [1999], Elsner and Monov [2011]). For example, if a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ has three eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ then the eigenvalues of $A \cdot A$ are $\lambda_1 \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_3, \lambda_2 \lambda_3$.

Lemma 2.6. *A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has an eigenvalue in Θ if and only if $\nu(A) := \det[I - A \cdot A] = 0$.*

Proof. If $\lambda \in \Theta$ is an eigenvalue of A then $\bar{\lambda} \neq \lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of A as well and $\lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda} = |\lambda|^2 = 1$ is an eigenvalue of $A \cdot A$. Therefore $\nu(A) = 0$. \square

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I - C(\alpha) \cdot C(\alpha) &= I - (\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2) \cdot (\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2) \\ &= I - A_2 \cdot A_2 - 2\alpha(A_1 \cdot A_2 - A_2 \cdot A_2) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2(A_1 \cdot A_1 + A_2 \cdot A_2 - 2A_2 \cdot A_1). \end{aligned}$$

(We have used $(A + B) \cdot (C + D) = A \cdot C + A \cdot D + B \cdot C + B \cdot D$ and $A \cdot B = B \cdot A$). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= I - A_2 \cdot A_2, \\ F_1 &= -2(A_1 \cdot A_2 - A_2 \cdot A_2), \\ F_2 &= -(A_1 \cdot A_1 + A_2 \cdot A_2 - 2A_2 \cdot A_1). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then

$$I - C(\alpha) \cdot C(\alpha) = F_0 + \alpha F_1 + \alpha^2 F_2.$$

Lemma 2.7. Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur matrices. $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue in Θ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ if and only if the $(2d \times 2d)$ dimensional matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -F_0^{-1}F_2 & -F_0^{-1}F_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume that $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue in Θ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(C(\alpha)) &= \det[I - C(\alpha) \cdot C(\alpha)] \\ &= \det[F_0 + \alpha F_1 + \alpha^2 F_2] \\ &= \det[F_0^{-1}] \cdot \det[I_d + \alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + \alpha^2 F_0^{-1}F_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\nu(C(\alpha)) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ if and only if $\det[I_d + \alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + \alpha^2 F_0^{-1}F_2] \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

We rewrite the above determinant as follows

$$\det[I_d + \alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + \alpha^2 F_0^{-1}F_2] = \alpha^{2d} \det[1/\alpha^2 I_d + 1/\alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + F_0^{-1}F_2].$$

Take $\mu := 1/\alpha$, then

$$\det[I_d + \alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + \alpha^2 F_0^{-1}F_2] = \mu^{-2d} \det[\mu^2 I_d + \mu F_0^{-1}F_1 + F_0^{-1}F_2].$$

On the other hand, the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -X & -Y \end{bmatrix}$ is $\det[\lambda I - Z] = \det[\lambda^2 I_r + \lambda Y + X]$ (see [Barmish, 1994, p. 309]). Therefore

$$\det[\mu I - M] = \det[\mu^2 I_d + \mu F_0^{-1}F_1 + F_0^{-1}F_2].$$

Thus, $\det[I_d + \alpha F_0^{-1}F_1 + \alpha^2 F_0^{-1}F_2] \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ if and only if $\det[\mu I - M] \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in [1, \infty)$ (recall that $\mu = 1/\alpha$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1] \Leftrightarrow \mu \in [1, \infty)$). This means that the matrix M has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$. \square

Using the above lemmas, we derive the following result.

Theorem 2.8. Let A_1 and A_2 be Schur stable matrices. $C(\alpha)$ is Schur stable for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ if and only if

- i) $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ have no negative real eigenvalue,
- ii) M has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) : Assume that $C(\alpha)$ is Schur stable for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Therefore the matrix $C(\alpha)$ has no eigenvalue in $\Theta \cup \{-1, 1\}$. Then by Corollary 2.2, 2.4 and Lemma 2.7, i) and ii) are satisfied.

(\Leftarrow) : By the theorem of continuity eigenvalues on a parameter (see [Barmish, 1994, p. 52]), there exists continuous functions $\lambda_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that $\lambda_1(\alpha), \lambda_2(\alpha), \dots, \lambda_n(\alpha)$ are eigenvalues of $C(\alpha)$. Here $|\lambda_i(0)| < 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), since the matrix $C(0)$ is Schur stable. Suppose that $C(\alpha_*)$ is not Schur stable for some $\alpha_* \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, there exists an index $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\lambda_{i_0}(\alpha_*)| \geq 1$. In view of the continuity of $\lambda_{i_0}(\alpha)$ with respect to α , there must exist $\tilde{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\lambda_{i_0}(\tilde{\alpha})| = 1$. The matrix $C(\tilde{\alpha})$ has an eigenvalue which lies on the unit circle. If the real eigenvalue is 1 this contradicts Corollary 2.2 and i). If the real eigenvalue is -1 , this contradicts Corollary 2.4 and i). If the eigenvalue is complex, this contradicts ii). These contradictions show that $C(\alpha)$ is stable for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. \square

Example. Consider the matrix segment $[A_1, A_2]$ with Schur stable matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & -0.2 & 0.4 \\ -0.2 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\ -0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.2 \\ 0.6 & 0.1 & -0.6 \\ -0.3 & -0.2 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the matrices $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ are 13.4, 0.2, 1.2 and 2.5, 0.8, 0.4 respectively. From (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.27 & 0.3 & 0.32 \\ -0.09 & 0.82 & -0.24 \\ 0.09 & -0.06 & 1.08 \end{bmatrix}, F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.86 & -0.52 & -0.96 \\ 0.05 & 0.11 & 0.47 \\ -0.46 & 0.14 & -0.53 \end{bmatrix}, \\ F_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.08 & 0.88 \\ 0.08 & -0.06 & -0.13 \\ 0.32 & -0.24 & 0.54 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of matrix


$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_3 \\ -F_0^{-1}F_2 & -F_0^{-1}F_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0.35 & -0.15 & -0.56 & 0.55 & 0.48 & 0.74 \\ -0.21 & 0.12 & -0.03 & 0.11 & -0.13 & -0.37 \\ -0.27 & 0.24 & -0.45 & 0.38 & -0.17 & 0.40 \end{bmatrix}$$

are $-0.81, 0.33, 0.54 \pm 0.75i, 0.10 \pm 0.39i$. Hence M has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$. By Theorem 2.8, the segment $[A_1, A_2]$ is robust stable.

Example. For the Schur matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -21.456 & -28.539 & -26.541 \\ 12.582 & 16.758 & 15.552 \\ 2.808 & 3.627 & 3.663 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2394 & -1.1466 & -2.9484 \\ 1.89 & 3.15 & 3.15 \\ -2.9106 & -3.8934 & -1.4616 \end{bmatrix},$$

we consider the matrix segment $[A_1, A_2]$. The eigenvalues of the matrix $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ are calculated as $-10.3584, -0.4883$ and 7.6502 . Since the matrix has at least one negative eigenvalue, $C(\alpha) = \alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2$ has eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ by Lemma 2.1. Similarly, the eigenvalues of matrix $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ are $-4.5996, -0.01153, 0.4870$, $C(\alpha) = \alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2$ has eigenvalue $\lambda = -1$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ by Lemma 2.1 (see Fig. 1a). As a result there is a Schur unstable matrix in the segment $[A_1, A_2]$ by Theorem 2.8 (see Fig. 1b).



(a) Eigenvalues of the $C(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(b) The set of coefficient vectors of third order monic Schur stable polynomials and the curve corresponding to the coefficient vectors of the characteristic polynomials of $C(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Figure 1: Example 2

Let A be a Metzler matrix that is all off-diagonal elements of A are nonnegative. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a nonnegative matrix P such that $A = P - \alpha I$. By Perron's theorem the spectral radius $\rho(P)$ is an eigenvalue of P , therefore $\rho(P) - \alpha$ is an eigenvalue of A . Consequently if A or $-A$ is Metzler matrix then it has a real eigenvalue.

If λ is a complex eigenvalue of A then the complex conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$ is also an eigenvalue. Consequently, if A or $-A$ is 2×2 Metzler matrix then it has no complex eigenvalues. Additionally, if A_1 and A_2 are 2×2 Metzler then $C(\alpha)$ are Metzler for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Summarizing above we have

Theorem 2.9. Let A_1 and A_2 are 2×2 Metzler Schur stable (or $-A_1$ and $-A_2$ are 2×2 Metzler Schur stable) matrices. The convex combination $C(\alpha)$ is Schur stable for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ have no negative real eigenvalue.

Proof. By the aboves, condition *ii*) in Theorem 2.8 is redundant. \square

Consider the stability region D (1). Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}_0 &= I - A_2 \cdot A_2 + 2\delta A_2 \cdot I_n - \delta^2 I_n \cdot I_n, \\ \tilde{F}_1 &= -2(A_1 \cdot A_2 - A_2 \cdot A_2) + 2\delta(A_1 \cdot I_n - A_2 \cdot I_n), \\ \tilde{F}_2 &= -(A_1 \cdot A_1 + A_2 \cdot A_2 - 2A_2 \cdot A_1).\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Theorem 2.10. Let A_1 and A_2 be D -stable matrices (all eigenvalues lie in D). $C(\alpha)$ is D -stable for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ if and only if

- i*) $[(\delta + r)I - A_1][(\delta + r)I - A_2]^{-1}$ and $[(\delta - r)I + A_1][(\delta - r)I + A_2]^{-1}$ have no negative real eigenvalue,
- ii*) $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\tilde{F}_0^{-1}\tilde{F}_2 & -\tilde{F}_0^{-1}\tilde{F}_1 \end{bmatrix}$ has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$.

Proof. The proof is immediate. \square

3 Robust stability of a one matrix polytope

In this section using results of Section 2 we obtain condition for robust stability of a matrix polytope with rank one uncertainty, namely consider a polytope

$$A = \text{co}\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}, \quad A_i = B_0 + B_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (7)$$

where $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{rank}(B_i) = 1$. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ it is well known that

$$\text{rank}(A) = 1 \iff A = bc^T \text{ for some nonzero column vectors } b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore we will assume that

$$B_i = bc_i^T \text{ or } B_i = b_i c_i^T \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Lemma 3.1. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ then the eigenvalues of A are zero with multiplicity $(n - 1)$ and $\text{trace}(A)$ with multiplicity 1.

Proof. Assume that $A = uv^T$ for nonzero $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The equation $v^T x = 0$ has $(n - 1)$ linear independent solutions $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $Ax^i = 0 \cdot x^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$. On the other hand from the well known equality

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = \text{trace}(A)$$

it follows that $\lambda_n = \text{trace}(A)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. If $A = uv^T$ ($u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$) then $A \cdot A = 0$.

Proof.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix} [v_1 \quad v_2 \quad \dots \quad v_n] = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 v_1 & u_1 v_2 & \dots & u_1 v_n \\ u_2 v_1 & u_2 v_2 & \dots & u_2 v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_n v_1 & u_n v_2 & \dots & u_n v_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The entries of the matrix $A \cdot A$ are

$$\begin{aligned}f_{i,j,kl} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{vmatrix} u_i v_k & u_i v_l \\ u_j v_k & u_j v_l \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} u_i v_k & u_i v_l \\ u_j v_k & u_j v_l \end{vmatrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} u_i v_k & u_i v_l \\ u_j v_k & u_j v_l \end{vmatrix} \\ &= u_i u_j \begin{vmatrix} v_k & v_l \\ v_k & v_l \end{vmatrix} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A \cdot A = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let a segment $[A_1, A_2]$ be given, where A_1 and A_2 are Schur stable, $\text{rank}(A_1 - A_2) = 1$ with $A_1 = A_0 + ba^T$, $A_2 = A_0 + bc^T$. Then $[A_1, A_2]$ is robustly Schur stable if and only if*

- i) *the matrices $(I - A_1)(I - A_2)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_1)(I + A_2)^{-1}$ have no real negative eigenvalue,*
- ii) *$-F_0^{-1}F_1$ has no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$, where F_0 and F_1 are defined in (5).*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \cdot A_1 &= A_0 \cdot A_0 + 2A_0 \cdot (ba^T) + (ba^T) \cdot (ba^T), \\ A_2 \cdot A_2 &= A_0 \cdot A_0 + 2A_0 \cdot (bc^T) + (bc^T) \cdot (bc^T), \\ A_1 \cdot A_2 &= A_0 \cdot A_0 + A_0 \cdot (bc^T) + A_0 \cdot (ba^T) + (ba^T) \cdot (bc^T). \end{aligned}$$

From (5),

$$\begin{aligned} -F_2 &= A_1 \cdot A_1 + A_2 \cdot A_2 - 2A_2 \cdot A_1 \\ &= (ba^T) \cdot (ba^T) - 2(ba^T) \cdot (bc^T) + (bc^T) \cdot (bc^T) \\ &= (ba^T - bc^T) \cdot (ba^T - bc^T) \\ &= [b(a^T - c^T)] \cdot [b(a^T - c^T)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Therefore the matrix M in Lemma 2.7 becomes

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ 0 & -F_0^{-1}F_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of M consist of 0 (with multiplicity d) and the eigenvalues of the matrix $-F_0^{-1}F_1$. Then the necessary and sufficient condition for Schur stability of \mathcal{A} follows from Theorem 2.8. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $A = uv^T$ ($u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$) then*

$$\text{trace}(A) = 1 - \det(I - A).$$

Proof. The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$, $\lambda_n = \text{trace}(A)$ (Lemma 3.1). The eigenvalues of $I - A$ are $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{n-1} = 1$, $\mu_n = 1 - \text{trace}(A)$. The determinant of any matrix equals to the product of the eigenvalues, therefore $\det(I - A) = 1 - \text{trace}(A)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Consider the polytope \mathcal{A} defined by (7) and let A be any matrix from \mathcal{A} :*

$$A = \alpha_1 A_1 + \dots + \alpha_N A_N, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0.$$

Then

$$p_A(s) = \alpha_1 p_{A_1}(s) + \alpha_2 p_{A_2}(s) + \dots + \alpha_N p_{A_N}(s)$$

where $p_A(s)$ is the characteristic polynomial of A .

Proof. $A = B_0 + (\alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 + \dots + \alpha_N B_N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_A(s) &= \det[sI - B_0 - (\alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 + \dots + \alpha_N B_N)] \\ &= \det \left[(sI - B_0)(I - (sI - B_0)^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i bc_i^T)) \right] \\ &= \det[sI - B_0] \det[I - \tilde{b}(\tilde{c})^T], \quad \left(\tilde{b} = (sI - B_0)^{-1}b, \tilde{c} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i c_i \right)^T \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
p_A(s) &= \det[(sI - B_0)(1 - \text{trace } \tilde{b}(\tilde{c})^T)] \\
&= \det[(sI - B_0)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \text{trace } \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T)] \\
&= \det[(sI - B_0)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (1 - \det[I - \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T]))] \\
&= \det[(sI - B_0)(1 - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \det[I - \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T])] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \det[sI - B_0] \det[I - (sI - B_0)^{-1} \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \det[(sI - B_0)(I - (sI - B_0)^{-1} \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \det[sI - B_0 - \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_i^T] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i p_{A_i}(s)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we arrive at the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Let the family (7) be given with Schur stable generators A_i . This family is robustly Schur stable if and only if*

- i) $(I - A_i)(I - A_j)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_i)(I + A_j)^{-1}$ have no negative real eigenvalues ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N; i < j$),
- ii) $-(F_0^{ij})^{-1} F_1^{ij}$ have no real eigenvalue in $[1, \infty)$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N; i < j$), where F_0^{ij} and F_1^{ij} are defined by (5) with replacing A_1 by A_i and A_2 by A_j respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 the family of the characteristic polynomials of the matrix family \mathcal{A} (7) is the polynomial polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \text{co}\{p_{A_1}(s), \dots, p_{A_N}(s)\},$$

and \mathcal{A} is robustly stable if and only if \mathcal{P} is robustly stable. By the Edge Theorem ([Barmish, 1994, p. 153]) the polytope \mathcal{P} is robustly stable if and only if all the edges

$$\begin{aligned}
[p_{A_i}(s), p_{A_j}(s)] &= \{\alpha p_{A_i}(s) + (1 - \alpha)p_{A_j}(s) : \alpha \in [0, 1]\} \\
&= \text{co}\{p_{A_i}(s), p_{A_j}(s)\} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N; i < j)
\end{aligned}$$

are stable. The polynomial segment $[p_{A_i}(s), p_{A_j}(s)]$ is the set of the characteristic polynomials of the matrix segment $[A_i, A_j]$. By Lemma 3.3 the segment $[A_i, A_j]$ is stable if and only if i) and ii) are satisfied.

Summarizing; \mathcal{A} is stable $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is stable \Leftrightarrow All edges $[p_{A_i}(s), p_{A_j}(s)]$ are stable \Leftrightarrow All matrix segment $[A_i, A_j]$ are stable \Leftrightarrow i) and ii) are satisfied. □

Example. For the vectors $b = (1, -1, 1)^T$, $c_1 = (0.5, 0.5, -0.5)^T$, $c_2 = (-0.25, 0.5, 0.5)^T$, $c_3 = (0.1, -0.1, -0.1)^T$ and matrix

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3 & 0.3 & -0.3 \\ -0.1 & 0.1 & -0.1 \\ 0.2 & -0.2 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

consider the polytope $\mathcal{A} = \text{co}\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ where

$$A_1 = B_0 + b c_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.8 & -0.8 \\ -0.6 & -0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 & -0.3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = B_0 + b c_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} -0.55 & 0.8 & 0.2 \\ 0.15 & -0.4 & -0.6 \\ -0.05 & 0.3 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = B_0 + b c_3^T = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0.2 & -0.4 \\ -0.2 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0.3 & -0.3 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are Schur stable matrices. The matrices $(I - A_i)(I - A_j)^{-1}$ and $(I + A_i)(I + A_j)^{-1}$ have no negative real eigenvalue ($i, j = 1, 2, 3, i < j$). We calculate

$$F_0^{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & -0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.125 & 1.375 & -0.5 \\ -0.025 & -0.075 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_1^{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3 & 0.7 & -0.4 \\ 0.375 & -0.875 & 0.5 \\ -0.075 & 0.175 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_0^{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.08 & -0.08 \\ 0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.02 & 0.98 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_1^{13} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & 0.32 & 0.08 \\ 0.5 & -0.4 & -0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0.08 & 0.02 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_0^{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.08 & -0.08 \\ 0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.02 & 0.98 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_1^{23} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & -0.38 & 0.48 \\ 0.125 & 0.475 & -0.6 \\ -0.025 & -0.095 & 0.12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of $-(F_0^{ij})^{-1}F_1^{ij}$ are not in $[1, \infty)$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3, i < j$). By Theorem 3.6, all matrices in the family \mathcal{A} are Schur stable.

4 Conclusion

In this study, we have investigated the robust Schur stability of $n \times n$ dimensional matrix segments using the bialternate product of matrices. We have shown that the problem can be reduced to checking the existence of negative eigenvalues in two out of three specially constructed matrices and the presence of eigenvalues in the interval $[1, \infty)$ for the third matrix.

We have provided a necessary and sufficient condition for the convex combinations of two stable matrices with rank one difference to be robustly Schur stable. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that the robust stability of the convex hull of a finite number of matrices, whose pairwise differences are of rank 1, is equivalent to the robust stability of the segments formed by these matrices.

The obtained results have been illustrated through examples, showcasing their applicability in analyzing the stability of matrix polytopes with rank one uncertainty. These findings can be particularly useful in the stability analysis of linear systems subject to polytopic uncertainties, which are commonly encountered in control systems.

References

- Shankar P. Bhattacharya, Herve Chapellat, and Lee H. Keel. *Robust Control: the parametric approach*. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1995.
- Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Topics in matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- Ricardo C. L. F. Oliveira and Pedro L. D. Peres. Parameter-dependent lmis in robust analysis: characterization of homogeneous polynomially parameter-dependent solutions via lmi relaxations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 7(52):1334–1340, July 2007. doi:10.1109/TAC.2007.900848.
- Graziano Chesi. Lmi techniques for optimization over polynomials in control: a survey. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 11(55):2500–2510, November 2010. doi:10.1109/TAC.2010.2046926.
- B. Ross Barmish. *New Tools for Robustness of Linear Systems*. Macmillan, New York, 1994.

- Leonid Gurvits and Alexander Olshevsky. On the np-hardness of checking matrix polytope stability and continuous-time switching stability. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 54(2):337–341, February 2009. doi:10.1109/TAC.2008.2007177.
- Takehiro Mori and Hideki Kokame. A parameter-dependent lyapunov function for a polytope of matrices. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 45(8):1516–1519, August 2000. doi:10.1109/9.871762.
- Lior Fainshil, Michael Margaliot, and Pavel Chigansky. On the stability of positive linear switched systems under arbitrary switching laws. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 54(4):897–899, March 2009. doi:10.1109/TAC.2008.2010974.
- Taner Büyükköroğlu, Gökhan Çelebi, and Vakif Dzhafarov. On the robust stability of polynomial matrix families. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 30:905–915, February 2015. doi:10.13001/1081-3810.3093.
- Graziano Chesi. Exact robust stability analysis of uncertain systems with a scalar parameter via lmis. *Automatica*, 49(4):1083–1086, April 2013. doi:10.1016/j.automatica.2013.01.033.
- Oliver Mason and Robert Shorten. On common quadratic lyapunov functions for stable discrete-time lti systems. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 69(3):271–283, June 2004. doi:10.1093/imamat/69.3.271.
- Ludwig Elsner and Tomasz Szulc. Convex combinations of matrices-nonsingularity and schur stability characterizations. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 44(4):301–312, 1998. doi:10.1080/03081089808818566.
- Cheong B. Soh. Schur stability of convex combinations of matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 128:159–168, January 1990. doi:10.1016/0024-3795(90)90290-S.
- Ludwig Elsner and Viladimir Monov. The bialternate matrix product revisited. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 434(4):1058–1066, February 2011. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2010.10.016.
- A. Thomas Fuller. Conditions for a matrix to have only characteristic roots with negative real parts. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 23(1):71–98, July 1968. doi:10.1016/0022-247X(68)90116-9.
- Willy J. F. Govaerts and B. Sijnave. Matrix manifolds and jordan structure of the bialternate matrix product. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 292(1):245–266, May 1999. doi:10.1016/S0024-3795(99)00039-7.