INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR RIEMANN-SIEGEL Z(t)FUNCTION.

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. We apply Poisson formula for a strip to give a representation of Z(t) by means of an integral.

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\pi\frac{x-t}{7}} \, dx, \qquad Z(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}F(t)}{(\frac{1}{4}+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{25}{4}+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

After that we get the estimate

$$Z(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{7}{4}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}H(t)\right\} + O(t^{-3/4}),$$

with

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{7}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{2}{1 + (\frac{t}{2\pi n^2})^{-7/2}}.$$

We explain how the study of this function can lead to information about the zeros of the zeta function on the critical line.

1. INTRODUCTION

Riemann stated that the number $N_0(T)$ of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line with ordinates between 0 and T is approximately equal to the total number of zeros N(T) in the critical strip. In a letter to Weierstrass he said that this assertion follows from a new expansion of the function $\Xi(t)$ that he has not sufficiently simplified to communicate. Nobody knows what expansion Riemann is talking about. Siegel considered the possibility that it was his integral expansion studied in [10] but concluded that probably Riemann was wrong about the possibilities of this expansion. This work is an attempt to obtain a development following ideas available to Riemann.

It is somewhat surprising that the approximation obtained here is very similar to the one studied in our paper [2], obtained by an entirely different method.

2. Integral representation for Z(t).

In this section, we define a real analytic function $F: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that its real part is equal to $Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}+t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4}+t^2}$. For this we will apply the solution of the Dirichlet problem for a strip.

The solution of Dirichlet problem in a strip was considered in [4] we quote its solution from Rademacher $[7, \S 31]$.

Theorem 1. The solution $u(\sigma, t)$ of the Dirichlet problem on the strip $S(a, b) = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : a \leq \sigma \leq b\}$ with boundary values u(a, t) = A(t) and u(b, t) = B(t), where A(t) and

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

Key words and phrases. función zeta, representation integral.

$$\begin{split} B(t) &= \mathcal{O}(e^{k|t|}) \text{ with } 0 < k < \pi/(b-a) \text{ is given by} \\ (1) \quad U(\sigma,t) &= \frac{1}{2(b-a)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A(x) \omega \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{b-a}, \frac{x-t}{b-a}\right) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(b-a)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} B(x) \omega \left(\frac{b-\sigma}{b-a}, \frac{x-t}{b-a}\right) dx. \end{split}$$

where

(2)
$$\omega(\sigma, t) = \frac{\sin \pi \sigma}{\cosh \pi t - \cos \pi \sigma}.$$

Theorem 2. There is a holomorphic function f in the strip S(-3,5) such that for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 5$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$

(3)
$$Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}+t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4}+t^2} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(\sigma+ix)}{\cosh\pi\frac{x-t}{2\sigma-1}}\,dx\right\}.$$

Proof. Consider the function $\Phi(s) = (s+2)s(1-s)(3-s)\zeta(s)\zeta(1-s)$. It is clear that $\Phi(s)$ is an entire function that satisfies the functional equation $\Phi(s) = \Phi(1-s)$. The function $\Phi(s)$ have simple zeros at -4, -6 ... and at 5, 7 ... due to the trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$. The zeros on the strip S(-4, 5) are all of even order, at -2, 3 and at each nontrivial zero ρ of $\zeta(s)$.

In the critical line, we have $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = Z(t)e^{-i\vartheta(t)}$ where Z(t) and $\vartheta(t)$ real functions that are real analytic, and such that

(4)
$$Z(t) = Z(-t), \qquad \vartheta(-t) = -\vartheta(t).$$

It follows that

(5)
$$\Phi(\frac{1}{2} + it) = (\frac{1}{4} + t^2)(\frac{25}{4} + t^2)Z(t)^2.$$

Since the strip S(-3,5) is simply connected and the zeros of $\Phi(s)$ are of even order, there is an analytic function $f: S(-4,5) \to \mathbb{C}$ with $f(s)^2 = \Phi(s)$. We fix the sign of f(s)so that

(6)
$$f(\frac{1}{2} + it) = Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} + t^2}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where we take $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} > 0$ and $\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} + t^2} > 0$. Notice that $Z(0) = \zeta(1/2) = -1.46 < 0$, so that $f(\frac{1}{2}) < 0$.

We apply Theorem 1 to the harmonic function $f(s) = f(\sigma + it)$ on the strip $S(1 - \sigma, \sigma)$. The boundary values are $A(t) = f(1 - \sigma + it)$ and $B(t) = f(\sigma + it)$. Since f(s) is real on the real axis and on the critical line, we have $f(1 - \sigma + it) = \overline{f(\sigma + it)}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(\frac{1}{2} + it) &= Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2\sqrt{\frac{25}{4}} + t^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\sigma - 1)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(x)\omega\left(\frac{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}{2\sigma - 1}, \frac{x - t}{2\sigma - 1}\right)dx + \frac{1}{2(2\sigma - 1)}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} B(x)\omega\left(\frac{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}{2\sigma - 1}, \frac{x - t}{2\sigma - 1}\right)dx \end{aligned}$$

The two integrals are complex conjugate numbers; therefore,

$$Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}+t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4}+t^2} = 2\operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{2(2\sigma-1)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}B(x)\omega\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{x-t}{2\sigma-1}\right)dx.$$

We have

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{x-t}{2\sigma-1}\right) = \frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}}{\cosh\frac{x-t}{2\sigma-1} - \cos\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{1}{\cosh\frac{x-t}{2\sigma-1}},$$

and the result follows.

There are several interesting cases of (3), but we start by trying to obtain the consequences of case $\sigma = 4$.

$$Z(t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}+t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4}+t^2} = \operatorname{Re}\Big\{\frac{1}{7}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(4+ix)}{\cosh\pi\frac{x-t}{7}}\,dx\Big\}.$$

Therefore, we define for $t \in \mathbf{R}$

(7)
$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(4+ix)}{7\cosh \pi \frac{x-t}{7}} \, dx$$

It is easy to prove that F(t) is analytic for $|\operatorname{Im}(t)| < \frac{7}{2}$.

2.1. The function f(4+ix).

Proposition 3. The function $f(4 + ix) = h(x)\zeta(4 + ix)$ where h(x) is the real analytic function defined by

(8)
$$h(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ (6+ix)(4+ix)(3+ix)(1+ix)(2\pi)^{-ix} \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} \Gamma(4+ix) \right\}^{1/2},$$

that satisfies $h(0) = \frac{3}{\pi^2}\sqrt{6} > 0$.

Proof. For $-2 < \sigma < 3$ the function $\Phi(\sigma)$ is continuous and does not vanish, so its sign coincides with the sign at s = 1/2. Therefore $\Phi(\sigma) > 0$ in this range. In the same range $f(\sigma) < 0$ because we took $f(\frac{1}{2}) < 0$ (take t = 0 in (6)).

f(s) is defined on the strip S(-4,5) as the analytic square root of the function $\Phi(s)$ that is negative at $s = \frac{1}{2}$.

By the functional equation of $\zeta(s)$ we have

$$\Phi(s) = (s+2)s(1-s)(3-s)\zeta(s)\zeta(1-s)$$

= 2(s+2)s(1-s)(3-s)(2\pi)^{-s} \cos\frac{\pi s}{2}\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)^2.

Notice that the function $2(s+2)s(1-s)(3-s)(2\pi)^{-s}\cos\frac{\pi s}{2}\Gamma(s)$ is analytic in s = 4 + ix with $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and does not vanish so that there is a real analytic function $h: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$ defined by (8). By a simple computation we obtain

$$\frac{\Phi(4+ix)}{\zeta(4+ix)^2} = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^4} (6+ix)(4+ix)(3+ix)(1+ix)(2\pi)^{-ix} \cosh\frac{\pi x}{2} \Gamma(4+ix).$$

From this we see that $h(0) = \pm \frac{3}{\pi^2}\sqrt{6}$. We may define h(x) as the only continuous square root with $h(0) = \frac{3}{\pi^2}\sqrt{6}$.

With these elections we have $f(4 + ix) = \pm h(x)\zeta(4 + ix)$. We know that f(x) < 0 for -2 < x < 3, and f(4) > 0, because f changes sign at x = 3. Therefore, taking h(0) > 0 we obtain the equality $f(4 + ix) = h(x)\zeta(4 + ix)$.

With the above definitions, we may write (7) as

(9)
$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\pi\frac{x-t}{7}} dx, \qquad Z(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}F(t)}{(\frac{1}{4}+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{25}{4}+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Proposition 4. There are real analytic real functions $\rho \colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha \colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $h(x) = \rho(x)e^{i\alpha(x)}$.

Proof. Since $h(x) \neq 0$, we may use the result in [1, Cor.2.3] (see also Proposition 24 in Section 4) to show that there are a real analytic function $\alpha(x)$ such that $h(x) = |h(x)|e^{i\alpha(x)}$. The function $\rho(x) := |h(x)|$ is real analytic, because h(x) does not vanish. Since $\rho(0) > 0$ and h(0) > 0 we have $\alpha(0) = 2k\pi$. For definiteness, we take $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Proposition 5. For $x \to +\infty$, we have the two asymptotic expansions

(10)
$$\log \rho(x) \sim 2\log x + \frac{7}{4}\log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (6^{2n} + 4^{2n} + 2 \cdot 3^{2n} + 2^{2n} + 2)}{4nx^{2n}}$$

(11)

$$\alpha(x) \sim \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (3 \cdot 6^{2n} + 2 \cdot 4^{2n} + 3 \cdot 3^{2n} + 2^{2n} + 1)}{(2n+1)x^{2n+1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n B_{2n}}{4n(2n-1)x^{2n-1}}.$$

Proof. Consider the function between parenthesis in (8)

$$(6+ix)(4+ix)(3+ix)(1+ix)(2\pi)^{-ix}\cosh\frac{\pi x}{2}\Gamma(4+ix),$$

it is equal to

$$(6+ix)(4+ix)(3+ix)^2(2+ix)(1+ix)^2(2\pi)^{-ix}\cosh\frac{\pi x}{2}\Gamma(1+ix).$$

This function is equal to 2^43^3 for x = 0 and its factors are all positive at x = 0, so we consider its logarithm as the sum of logarithms that are real at x = 0.

$$\log(6+ix) + \log(4+ix) + 2\log(3+ix) + \log(2+ix) + 2\log(1+ix) + \log(\cosh\frac{\pi x}{2}) - ix\log(2\pi) + \log\Gamma(1+ix).$$

Each term of the above sum has a well-known asymptotic expansion for $x \to +\infty$

$$\log(6+ix) = \log x + \frac{\pi i}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{6i}{x}\right)^n, \quad \log(4+ix) = \log x + \frac{\pi i}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{4i}{x}\right)^n,$$

$$2\log(3+ix) = 2\log x + \pi i - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{3i}{x}\right)^n, \quad \log(2+ix) = \log x + \frac{\pi i}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{2i}{x}\right)^n,$$

$$2\log(1+ix) = 2\log x + \pi i - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{i}{x}\right)^n,$$

$$\log\left(\cosh\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) = -\log 2 + \frac{\pi x}{2} + \log(1+e^{-\pi x}) \sim \frac{\pi x}{2} - \log 2,$$

$$-ix\log(2\pi) + \log\Gamma(1+ix)$$

$$\sim -\frac{\pi x}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x}{2\pi} + \log(2\pi) + ix\log\frac{x}{2\pi} - ix + \frac{\pi i}{4} + i\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n B_{2n}}{2n(2n-1)x^{2n-1}}.$$

Then $\log h(x)$ is easily obtained from these values. From (8) we have to add $\frac{1}{2}$ of the above expansions and add the log of $\sqrt{2}/(2\pi)^2$. According to our election $\alpha(0) = 0$ we must take the logarithm of $\sqrt{2}/(2\pi)^2$ equal to $\log(\sqrt{2}/(2\pi)^2)$ the real logarithm. Therefore,

$$\log h(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - 2 \log(2\pi) + \frac{7}{2} \log x + \frac{7\pi i}{4} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6^n + 4^n + 2 \cdot 3^n + 2^n + 2}{2n} \left(\frac{i}{x}\right)^n + \frac{\pi x}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{\pi x}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{ix}{2} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{ix}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{8} + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n B_{2n}}{4n(2n-1)x^{2n-1}}.$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain the asymptotic expansion of $\log\rho(x)$ and $\alpha(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &\sim \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} \\ &\quad -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (3 \cdot 6^{2n} + 2 \cdot 4^{2n} + 3 \cdot 3^{2n} + 2^{2n} + 1)}{(2n+1)x^{2n+1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n B_{2n}}{4n(2n-1)x^{2n-1}} \cdot \\ &\log \rho(x) &\sim -\frac{3}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{7}{2} \log x + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (6^{2n} + 4^{2n} + 2 \cdot 3^{2n} + 2^{2n} + 2)}{4nx^{2n}} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

or by summing the two convergent series we obtain

$$\log \rho(x) \sim 2\log x + \frac{7}{4}\log \frac{x}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4}\log \frac{(1+x^2)^2(4+x^2)(16+x^2)(9+x^2)^2(36+x^2)}{x^{14}}$$

The difference between these two functions is exponentially small.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &\sim \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \arctan \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{2}{x} - \arctan \frac{3}{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{4}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{6}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n B_{2n}}{4n(2n-1)x^{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. The first terms of the expansion of $\log \rho(x)$ are given by

$$\log \rho(x) = -\frac{7}{4}\log(2\pi) + \frac{15}{4}\log x + \frac{19}{x^2} - \frac{433}{2x^4} + \frac{13069}{3x^6} - \frac{439633}{4x^8} + \cdots$$

Therefore, we obtain the next Lemma.

Lemma 7. We have

$$\rho(x) = x^2 \left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right)^{7/4} \left(1 + \frac{19}{x^2} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-4})\right), \qquad x \to +\infty.$$

Analogously, the first terms of the asymptotic expansion of $\alpha(x)$ by the above reasoning are equal to

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{2}\log\frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \frac{241}{24x} + \frac{41279}{720x^3} - \frac{2348641}{2520x^5} + \cdots$$

Therefore,

Lemma 8. We have for $x \to +\infty$

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{2}\log\frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \frac{241}{24x} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-3}).$$

3. First approximation to Z(t).

Let us define

(12)
$$\theta(x) = \frac{x}{2}\log\frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \frac{241}{24x}, \qquad x > 0,$$

(13)
$$\rho_0(x) = x^2 \left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right)^{7/4} \left(1 + \frac{19}{x^2}\right) = (2\pi)^{-7/4} x^{7/4} (19 + x^2), \qquad x > 0,$$

and

$$L_1(x,t) = 1 + \frac{15x}{4t} + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{165x^2}{32t^2} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} + \frac{41ix^3}{48t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2}$$

The object of this section is to prove the following theorem

Theorem 9. For $t \in \mathbf{R}$ let

(14)
$$G(t) = e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_1(x,t) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi x}{7}} dx$$

Then G(t) is real analytic and for $t \to +\infty$ we have $F(t) = G(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4} \log^6 t)$, and consequently

(15)
$$Z(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(G(t))}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2}\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} + t^2}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/4}\log^6 t).$$

Proof. In the next Lemmas and Propositions we define the functions $F_1(t)$, $F_2(t)$, $F_3(t)$ and $F_4(t)$, such that $F_4(t) = G(t)$ and $F(t) = F_1(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4})$, $F_1(t) = F_2(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4})$, $F_2(t) = F_3(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4} \log^6 t)$ and $F_3(t) - F_4(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4})$. And the Theorem follows from this and (9).

Lemma 10 (Gabcke). The incomplete Gamma functions satisfies the inequality

$$\Gamma(a,x) := \int_x^\infty v^{a-1} e^{-v} \, dv \le a e^{-x} x^{a-1}, \qquad x > a \ge 1.$$

Proof. See [3, p.145, p.84 in the electronic version].

Lemma 11. Let $\alpha = 28/\pi$ and

$$F_1(t) = \int_{t-\alpha \log t}^{t+\alpha \log t} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh \frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx.$$

Then for $t \to +\infty$ we have $F(t) = F_1(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4})$.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Proof. The difference $F(t) - F_1(t)$ is the sum of two integrals, we bound each one. Let b > 0, we shall take at the end $b = \alpha \log t$. By Lemma 7 $\rho(x) \leq Cx^{15/4}$ for $x \geq x_0$. Also $|\zeta(4+ix)|$ is bounded for x real. Therefore, we have for $t \to +\infty$

$$\left| \int_{t+b}^{\infty} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \right| \ll \int_{t+b}^{\infty} \frac{x^{15/4}}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{b/t}^{\infty} \frac{t^{15/4}(1+y)^{15/4}}{7\cosh\pi yt/7} t \, dy.$$

We divide the integral into two other (assuming t > b)

$$= t^{15/4} \int_{b/t}^{1} \frac{(1+y)^{15/4}t}{7\cosh \pi yt/7} \, dy + t^{15/4} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+y)^{15/4}t}{7\cosh \pi yt/7} \, dy$$

$$\leq 2^{15/4} t^{15/4} \int_{b/t}^{1} \frac{t}{\cosh \pi yt/7} \, dy + 2^{15/4} t^{15/4} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{15/4}t}{\cosh \frac{\pi yt}{7}} \, dy$$

$$\ll t^{15/4} \int_{b/t}^{\infty} t e^{-\frac{\pi yt}{7}} \, dy + t^{15/4} \int_{1}^{\infty} y^{15/4} t e^{-\frac{\pi yt}{7}} \, dy$$

$$= \frac{7}{\pi} t^{15/4} e^{-\pi b/7} + t^{15/4} \int_{\pi t/7}^{\infty} \left(\frac{7x}{\pi t}\right)^{15/4} t e^{-x} \frac{7}{\pi t} \, dx$$

$$\ll t^{15/4} e^{-\pi b/7} + \int_{\pi t/7}^{\infty} x^{15/4} e^{-x} \, dx$$

The last integral can be bounded by Gabcke's lemma. In the first one we take as $b = \alpha \log t$ and obtain

$$\ll t^{15/4}t^{-4} + t^{15/4}e^{-\pi t/7} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4}).$$

Now we turn to the second integral, for some positive a < t/2, (we will take at the end $a = \alpha \log t$)

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t-a} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{t/2}^{t-a} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t/2} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \right| := I_1 + I_2. \end{split}$$

For the second integral here, we notice that h(x) is bounded for |x| < 1 so that we have

$$I_{2} = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t/2} \cdots \right| \ll \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{7} (x - t)} dx + \int_{1}^{t/2} \frac{x^{15/4}}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{7} (x - t)} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{|x|^{15/4}}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{7} (x - t)} dx := J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3}.$$

It is easy to see that $J_1 \ll e^{-\pi t/7}$. For J_2 we can obtain a better bound, but it is sufficient to bound the integral by the maximum of the function by the length of the interval

$$J_2 \ll t^{15/4} e^{-\pi t/14} \cdot t = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4})$$

For J_3 we reduce it to an incomplete gamma integral

$$J_3 = \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{15/4}}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{7}(x+t)} \, dx \ll \int_1^\infty x^{15/4} e^{-\pi \frac{x+t}{7}} \, dx \ll e^{-\pi t/7}.$$

All is reduced to the bound of I_1

$$I_{1} = \left| \int_{t/2}^{t-a} \frac{h(x)\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \right| \ll \int_{t/2}^{t-a} \frac{x^{15/4}}{\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx$$
$$\ll t^{15/4} \int_{t/2}^{t-a} \frac{dx}{\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \le t^{15/4} \int_{-\infty}^{-a} \frac{dy}{\cosh\frac{\pi y}{7}}$$
$$\le 2t^{15/4} \int_{-\infty}^{-a} e^{\frac{\pi y}{7}} \, dy \ll t^{15/4} e^{-\pi a/7}.$$

We see that this is $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1/4})$ if we choose $a = \alpha \log t$ with $\alpha = 28/\pi$, as we wanted. \Box Lemma 12. For $t - \alpha \log t > 0$ let us define

$$F_2(t) = \int_{t-\alpha \log t}^{t+\alpha \log t} \frac{\rho_0(x)e^{i\theta(x)}\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx,$$

where $\rho_0(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ are defined in (13) and (12). Then $F_1(t) = F_2(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4})$.

Proof. By Lemma 7 and the definition of $\rho_0(x)$ we have for $x \to +\infty$

$$\rho(x) = \rho_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/4}), \qquad \rho_0(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{15/4}).$$

By Lemma 8 and the definition of $\theta(x)$ we have

$$\alpha(x) = \theta(x) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-3}).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} h(x) &= \rho(x)e^{i\alpha(x)} \\ &= \left(\rho_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/4})\right)e^{i\theta(x) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-3})} \\ &= \rho_0(x)e^{i\theta(x)}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-4})\right)\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-3})\right) \\ &= \rho_0(x)e^{i\theta(x)}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-3})\right) \\ &= \rho_0(x)e^{i\theta(x)} + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/4}). \end{split}$$

It follows that the difference $F_1(t) - F_2(t)$ is bounded by

$$F_1(t) - F_2(t) \ll \int_{t-\alpha \log t}^{t+\alpha \log t} \frac{x^{3/4}}{7\cosh \frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} dx$$

In the integration interval $x^{3/4} \ll t^{3/4}$ and we have

$$F_1(t) - F_2(t) \ll t^{3/4} \int_{t-\alpha \log t}^{t+\alpha \log t} \frac{1}{7 \cosh \frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx \ll t^{3/4},$$

since the integral on \mathbf{R} is bounded by a constant.

Lemma 13. For $t - \alpha \log t > 0$, define

$$F_3(t) = e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \int_{-\alpha\log t}^{\alpha\log t} L_1(x,t) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx,$$

where

(16)
$$L_1(x,t) = 1 + \frac{15x}{4t} + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{165x^2}{32t^2} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} + \frac{41ix^3}{48t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2}.$$

Then we have $F_2(t) = F_3(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4} \log^6 t)$.

Proof. First, notice that

$$F_2(t) = \int_{t-\alpha \log t}^{t+\alpha \log t} \frac{\rho_0(x)e^{i\theta(x)}\zeta(4+ix)}{7\cosh\frac{\pi}{7}(x-t)} \, dx = \int_{-\alpha \log t}^{\alpha \log t} \frac{\rho_0(t+x)e^{i\theta(t+x)}\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx.$$

Note also that $|x| \leq \alpha \log t$ when x is in the integration interval. We apply Taylor's theorem to the two functions (see Rudin [9, p. 110]).

For x in the integration interval there exists ξ between t and x + t, therefore $\xi \in (t - \alpha \log t, t + \alpha \log t)$ with

$$\theta(t+x) = \left(\frac{t}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{15\pi}{8} - \frac{241}{24t}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{241}{24t^2}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{4t} - \frac{241}{24t^3}\right)x^2 - \left(\frac{1}{12t^2} - \frac{241}{24t^4}\right)x^3 + \left(\frac{1}{24\xi^3} - \frac{241}{24\xi^5}\right)x^4.$$

So,

(17)
$$\theta(t+x) = \theta(t) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{241}{24t^2}\right)x + \frac{1}{4t}x^2 - \frac{1}{12t^2}x^3 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}\log^4 t).$$

Recall that

$$\rho_0(x) = x^2 \left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right)^{7/4} \left(1 + \frac{19}{x^2}\right) = (2\pi)^{-7/4} x^{7/4} (19 + x^2).$$

For t > 0 large enough and $x \in (-\alpha \log t, \beta \log t)$, we have Taylor's expansion with $\xi \in (t - \alpha \log t, t + \alpha \log t)$

$$(2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t+x) = t^{7/4}(19+t^2) + t^{7/4}\left(\frac{15t}{4} + \frac{133}{4t}\right)x + t^{7/4}\left(\frac{165}{32} + \frac{399}{32t^2}\right)x^2 + \xi^{7/4}\left(\frac{385}{128\xi} - \frac{133}{128\xi^3}\right)x^3.$$

The last term is $\mathcal{O}(t^{3/4}(\log t)^3)$. Eliminating all terms of order less than or equal to this one, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t+x) = t^{7/4}(19+t^2) + \frac{15t^{11/4}}{4}x + \frac{165t^{7/4}}{32}x^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4}(\log t)^3).$$

So that $(2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t+x)$ is equal to

(18)
$$(2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t)\left(1+\frac{15t}{4(19+t^2)}x+\frac{165}{32(19+t^2)}x^2+\mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^3)\right).$$

By (17) and (18) we have

(19)
$$(2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t+x)e^{i\theta(t+x)} = (2\pi)^{7/4}\rho_0(t)e^{i\theta(t)}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2}K(x,t),$$

where

$$K(x,t) = \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{241x}{24t^2} + \frac{x^2}{4t} - \frac{x^3}{12t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}\log^4 t)\right)\right\} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{15t}{4(19+t^2)}x + \frac{165}{32(19+t^2)}x^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^3)\right).$$

The exponential factor is equal to

$$\exp\left(\frac{241ix}{24t^2} + \frac{ix^2}{4t} - \frac{ix^3}{12t^2}\right)(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}\log^4 t)).$$

ARIAS DE REYNA

Expanding the exponential and retaining only terms greater than t^{-3} yields

$$\left(1 + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} - \frac{ix^3}{12t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6)\right) (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}\log^4 t))$$

= $1 + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} - \frac{ix^3}{12t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6).$

Expanding also the second factor in the expression for K(x,t) and retaining only the terms greater than t^{-3} , yields

$$1 + \frac{15x}{4t} + \frac{165x^2}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^3).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} K(x,t) &= \left(1 + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} - \frac{ix^3}{12t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6)\right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \left(1 + \frac{15x}{4t} + \frac{165x^2}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^3)\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{15x}{4t} + \frac{ix^2}{4t} + \frac{165x^2}{32t^2} + \frac{241ix}{24t^2} + \frac{41ix^3}{48t^2} - \frac{x^4}{32t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6). \end{split}$$

That is $K(x,t) = L_1(x,t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6)$ and therefore (19) can be written as

$$\rho_0(t+x)e^{i\theta(t+x)} = \rho_0(t)e^{i\theta(t)} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} (L_1(x,t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}(\log t)^6)),$$

It follows that the difference $F_2(t) - F_3(t)$ is bounded by

$$F_2(t) - F_3(t) \ll t^{15/4} \cdot t^{-3} (\log t)^6 \int_{-\alpha \log t}^{\alpha \log t} \frac{|\zeta(4 + it + ix)|}{7 \cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx = \mathcal{O}(t^{3/4} (\log t)^6),$$

since the integral is bounded by a constant.

To simplify $L_1(x, t)$ we need to consider again the complete integral.

Lemma 14. Let

$$G(t) = F_4(t) = e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L_1(x,t) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx,$$

Then $F_3(t) - F_4(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/4}\log t).$

Proof. We need only to bound the integrals extended to the intervals $(-\infty, -\alpha \log t)$ and $(\alpha \log t, +\infty)$. Since $|\zeta(4 + ix)| \leq \zeta(4)$ and $\rho_0(t) \ll t^{15/4}$ we have for the first integral

$$I_1 := e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \int_{-\infty}^{-\alpha\log t} L_1(x,t) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx \ll t^{15/4} \int_{-\infty}^{-\alpha\log t} \frac{|L_1(x,t)|}{\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx.$$

The definition (16) of $L_1(x,t)$ we have for x in the integration interval and t > 0 large

$$|L_1(x,t)| \ll 1 + \frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{x^4}{t^2}$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 \ll t^{15/4} \int_{\alpha \log t}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x/7} \, dx + t^{11/4} \int_{\alpha \log t}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\pi x/7} \, dx + t^{7/4} \int_{\alpha \log t}^{\infty} x^4 e^{-\pi x/7} \, dx.$$

Applying Gabcke's Lemma 10

$$I_1 \ll t^{-5/4} \log t + t^{-9/4} (\log t)^3 + t^{-13/4} (\log t)^5 \ll t^{-5/4} \log t$$

The second integral is

$$I_2 := e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \int_{\alpha\log t}^{\infty} L_1(x,t) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx \ll t^{15/4} \int_{\alpha\log t}^{\infty} \frac{|L_1(x,t)|}{\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx,$$

which is bounded in the same way as I_1 .

which is bounded in the same way as I_1 .

4. Second Approximation to Z(t).

Proposition 15. For all nonnegative integers n, we have

(20)
$$\frac{d^n}{dy^n} \frac{1}{\cosh y} = (-1)^n \frac{2e^{-y} B_n(-e^{-2y})}{(e^{-2y}+1)^{n+1}}.$$

where $B_n(x)$ are polynomials of degree n.

Proof. For n = 0 we have

$$\frac{1}{\cosh y} = \frac{2}{e^y + e^{-y}} = \frac{2e^{-y}}{1 + e^{-2y}}$$

so that $B_0(x) = 1$. By induction, we easily show that $B_n(x)$ satisfies the recurrence

$$B_n(x) = 2x(1-x)B'_{n-1}(x) + (1+(2n-1)x)B_{n-1}(x)$$

From this equation it can be shown that they are polynomials of degree n. We will only need to know B_0 , B_1 and B_2 . The first few are

$$\begin{array}{ll} B_0(x) = 1, & B_3(x) = x^3 + 23x^2 + 23x + 1, \\ B_1(x) = x + 1, & B_4(x) = x^4 + 76x^3 + 230x^2 + 76x + 1, \\ B_2(x) = x^2 + 6x + 1, & B_5(x) = x^5 + 237x^4 + 1682x^3 + 1682x^2 + 237x + 1. \end{array}$$

The coefficient of these polynomials appears in Oeis A060187. These polynomials are known as type B Eulerian polynomials [6]. They have the following generating functions [5, eq. (13.3), (13.6)]

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} x^j (2j+1)^n = \frac{B_n(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}, \qquad \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(1-x)e^{(1-x)t}}{1-xe^{2(1-x)t}}.$$

We will use the following integral

Proposition 16. For n a nonnegative integer, α and t real numbers we have

(21)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\alpha x} x^n}{7 \cosh \frac{\pi x}{7}} \, dx = \frac{2e^{-y} B_n(-e^{-2y})}{(1+e^{-2y})^{n+1}} \left(\frac{7i}{2}\right)^n, \qquad \text{with } y = \frac{7\alpha}{2}.$$

Proof. It is known ([11, p. 81]) that for $y \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i y x}}{\cosh \pi x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\cosh \pi y}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2i y x}}{\cosh \pi x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\cosh y}.$$

Differentiating, we get

$$(2i)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^n e^{2iyx}}{\cosh \pi x} \, dx = \frac{d^n}{dy^n} \frac{1}{\cosh y} = (-1)^n \frac{2e^{-y} B_n(-e^{-2y})}{(e^{-2y}+1)^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore, our integral can be calculated, first changing variables $x\mapsto 7x$ and then taking $y=\frac{7\alpha}{2}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\alpha x} x^n}{7\cosh\frac{\pi x}{7}} \, dx = 7^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i7\alpha x} x^n}{\cosh\pi x} \, dx = \left(-\frac{7}{2i}\right)^n \frac{2e^{-y} B_n(-e^{-2y})}{(e^{-2y}+1)^{n+1}}.$$

We now define the auxiliary functions $H_r(t)$.

Definition 17. For t real and r a nonnegative integer we define

$$H_r(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\beta x} \frac{x^r \zeta(4 + it + ix)}{7 \cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi},$$

Then the definition of $F_4(t) = G(t)$ implies that

(22)
$$G(t) = e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t) \cdot \left(H_0(t) + \frac{15H_1(t)}{4t} + \frac{iH_2(t)}{4t} + \frac{165H_2(t)}{32t^2} + \frac{241iH_1(t)}{24t^2} + \frac{41iH_3(t)}{48t^2} - \frac{H_4(t)}{32t^2}\right).$$

Proposition 18. When $t \to +\infty$ and a fixed nonnegative integer r we have $H_r(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2})$.

Proof. Since the Dirichlet series for $\zeta(4+it)$ converges uniformly in **R**, we may interchange sum and integral, so that applying Proposition 16 we obtain

$$H_r(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\beta - \log n)x} \frac{x^r}{7\cosh\frac{\pi x}{7}} \, dx = \left(\frac{7i}{2}\right)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \frac{2e^{-y_n} B_r(-e^{-2y_n})}{(1 + e^{-2y_n})^{r+1}},$$

where

$$y_n = \frac{7}{2}(\beta - \log n) = \frac{7}{4}\log\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}, \quad e^{-y_n} = \left(\frac{2\pi n^2}{t}\right)^{7/4}.$$

Since $B_r(x)$ is a polynomial of degree r. There is a constant C_r such that

$$B_r(-x) \le C_r(1+x)^r, \qquad x \ge 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |H_r(t)| &= \left| \left(\frac{7}{2i}\right)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \frac{2e^{-y_n} B_r(-e^{-2y_n})}{(1+e^{-2y_n})^{r+1}} \right| \le C_r \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \frac{2e^{-y_n}}{(1+e^{-2y_n})^r} \\ &\le C_r \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{2\pi n^2}{t}\right)^{7/4} + \left(\frac{2\pi n^2}{t}\right)^{-7/4}} \\ &= C_r \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{7/4} n^{15/2} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{-7/4} n^{1/2}} \\ &\le C_r \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^r \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2 \, dx}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{7/4} x^{15/2} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{-7/4} x^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Change variables $x = (\frac{t}{2\pi})^{1/2}y$ and we obtain

$$|H_r(t)| \le C_r \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^r \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{2dx}{y^{15/2} + y^{1/2}} \ll t^{-3/2}.$$

Theorem 19. For t > 0 let

(23)
$$H(t) = H_0(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} dx.$$

Then we have

(24)
$$Z(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{7}{4}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\theta(t)}H(t)\right\} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}).$$

Proof. By (22) we may write (15), as

$$Z(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\theta(t)}\rho_0(t)H_0(t)\}}{((\frac{1}{4}+t^2)(\frac{25}{4}+t^2))^{1/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^6 T_j + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/4}\log^6 t),$$

where each T_j is the real part of is of the form

$$T_j = C_j e^{i\theta(t)} \rho_0(t) \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} + t^2\right) \left(\frac{25}{4} + t^2\right) \right)^{-1/2} H_r(t) t^{-k}$$

where C_j is a constant for each $j, r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence by Proposition 18

$$|T_j| \ll t^{2+\frac{7}{4}} t^{-2} t^{-3/2} t^{-k} = t^{\frac{1}{4}-k} \ll t^{-3/4}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_0(t)}{((\frac{1}{4}+t^2)(\frac{25}{4}+t^2))^{1/2}} &= (2\pi)^{-7/4}t^{2+\frac{7}{4}} \left(1+\frac{19}{t^2}+\mathcal{O}(t^{-4})\right) \left(\frac{1}{t^2}-\frac{13}{4t^4}+\mathcal{O}(t^{-6})\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{7}{4}}+\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}),\end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$Z(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{7}{4}} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\theta(t)}H(t)\} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}H_0(t)) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}H_0(t)) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-7/4})$ the result follows.

To give an idea of the value of this approximation, I give a table of values.

t	Z(t)	$\operatorname{Re}\{(t/2\pi)^{7/4}e^{i\theta(t)}H(t)\}$	t	Z(t)	$\operatorname{Re}\{(t/2\pi)^{7/4}e^{i\theta(t)}H(t)\}$
10	-1.5491945	-0.9983260	10^{5}	5.8795925	5.8790158
10^{2}	2.6926971	2.6269297	10^{6}	-2.8061339	-2.8061012
10^{3}	0.9977946	0.9849027	10^{7}	14.3525504	14.3525613
104	-0.3413947	-0.3452059	10^{8}	3.6454079	3.6454066

Proposition 20. For t > 0 we have

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \frac{2}{(\frac{t}{2\pi n^2})^{7/4} + (\frac{t}{2\pi n^2})^{-7/4}}.$$

Proof. In the integral (23) defining H(t) we expand $\zeta(4 + it + ix)$ in series and integrate term by term. Then we apply (21).

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{\zeta(4+it+ix)}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}\right)^{ix/2} \frac{1}{7\cosh(\pi x/7)} \, dx$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}\right)^{7/4} + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}\right)^{-7/4}}.$$

Remark 21. The above expression can be written as

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-7/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{2}{1 + (\frac{t}{2\pi n^2})^{-7/2}}.$$

Remark 22. It appears that the phase of H(t) decreases moderately with increasing t. For example, in the figure, we see that $\arg H(t)$ for $t \in (10000, 10020)$ start in the fourth quadrant and purely imaginary (or almost there), pass to the third quadrant, first quadrant and then



FIGURE 1. x-ray of H(z) for $z \in (10000, 10020) \times (-2, 4)$

move between 1st and 4th quadrant (with two slight changes into 2nd and 3rd) ending in the fourth quadrant. Therefore, we pass from $-\pi/2$ to some point in the interval $(-2\pi, -2\pi - \pi/2)$. So the variation of the argument is at most -2π . In this rectangle there is only one zero of H(z) with Im z < 0.

Remark 23. The computation of the continuous argument of H(t) for 0 < t < 15000 is consistent with

$$0 \le -\arg H(t) \approx c \left(\frac{t}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2}\right), \qquad c = \frac{17}{63} \approx 0.27.$$

If this continues to be true for larger values of t, it will imply 73% of zeros of zeta on the critical line.

APPENDIX. COUNTING ZEROS BY MEANS OF THE PHASE.

We show here how finding real analytic functions F(t) such that $Z(t) = \operatorname{Re} F(t)$ is relevant to counting zeros on the critical line. Let $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$. We say that it is *real analytic* if f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of [a, b] in \mathbb{C} . Notice that f real analytic implies that $g(t) = \overline{f(t)} = \overline{f(t)}$ is real analytic also, so that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(t) = \frac{f(t) + g(t)}{2}, \qquad \operatorname{Im} f(t) = \frac{f(t) - g(t)}{2i},$$

are also real analytic functions in [a, b].

First we extend a Proposition from [1, p. 218]

Proposition 24. If $f: [a,b] \to \mathbf{C}$ is real analytic not identically 0, then there are two real analytic functions $U: [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\varphi: [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$f(t) = U(t)e^{i\varphi(t)}.$$

Given two such representations $f = U_1 e^{i\varphi_1}$ and $f = U_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$, we have either $U_1 = U_2$ and $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = 2k\pi$ or $U_1 = -U_2$ and $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = (2k+1)\pi$ for some integer k.

Proof. If $f(t) \neq 0$ there is a simply connected neighborhood Ω of [a, b] where f extends as an analytic function that is never 0 in Ω . Then we may define an analytic function $g: \Omega \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $e^{g(t)} = f(t)$ Then we may take $U(t) = e^{\operatorname{Re} g(t)}$ and $\alpha(t) = \operatorname{Im} g(t)$.

If f have zeros in [a, b], they are a finite number (counting multiplicities). Let α_j , with $1 \leq j \leq n$ these zeros. Let $F(t) = f(t) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (t - \alpha_j)^{-1}$. Then F is real analytic and does not vanish, so it can be written as $F(t) = V(t)e^{i\alpha(t)}$. Putting $U(t) = V(t) \prod_j (t - \alpha_j)$ we obtain our desired representation.

Given two representations $f = U_1 e^{i\varphi_1} = U_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$, U_2 is not identically 0, so we will have $U_1/U_2 = e^{i(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)}$. Therefore U_1/U_2 is real analytic, take only real values, and have modulus = 1. So $U_1/U_2 = 1$ or it is equal to -1. Then the phases have to take the given values.

Given such a representation $f = Ue^{i\varphi}$ we say that φ is a phase of f. When f(t) do not vanish in [a, b] we can take U(t) = |f(t)| and then for any $a \leq t \leq b$, the value of $\varphi(t)$ coincides with some argument of f(t).

Given a real analytic function $f: [a, b] \to \mathbf{C}$, not identically 0, we define $N_f[a, b]$ as the number of zeros of f in the closed segment [a, b] counting multiplicities.

Proposition 25. Let $f: [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ and $g: [a, b] \to \mathbf{C}$ be real analytic functions with $f(t) = \operatorname{Re} g(t)$ not identically 0. Let $\varphi(t)$ a phase of g(t). Then

$$\frac{|\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)|}{\pi} < N_f(a, b) + 1.$$

Proof. Substituting g by \overline{g} that is also real analytic and has the same real part, we may assume that $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$.

We have something to prove only in the case $\varphi(b) - \varphi(a) > \pi$. Consider the odd integer multiples of $\pi/2$ contained between $\varphi(a)$ and $\varphi(b)$. Since $\varphi(b) - \varphi(a) > \pi$ there is at least one. Therefore, there exist $n \leq m$ integers such that

$$(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi(a) \le (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2} < (2n+3)\frac{\pi}{2} < \dots < (2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2} \le \varphi(b) < (2m+3)\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since $\varphi(t)$ is continuous, it takes all values in the interval $[\varphi(a), \varphi(b)]$. Therefore, there are points $t_k \in [a, b]$ with $\varphi(t_k) = (2k+1)\frac{\pi}{2}$ for $n \leq k \leq m$. At these points, we have

 $f(t_k) = \operatorname{Re} g(t_k) = 0$. That is $N_f(a, b) \ge m - n + 1$. The interval $((2n - 1)\pi/2, (2m + 3)\pi/2) \supset [\varphi(a), \varphi(b)]$, comparing its lengths, yields

$$\pi(m-n) + 2\pi > \varphi(b) - \varphi(a).$$

The result follows.

Proposition 26. Let f and $g: [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ real analytic functions such that

(25)
$$|f(t) - g(t)| < |f(t)|, \quad \text{for all } a \le t \le b.$$

Then f and g do not vanish on [a, b], so that we can write $f(t) = |f(t)|e^{i\alpha(t)}$ and $g(t) = |g(t)|e^{i\beta(t)}$ with α and β real analytic. Then we can choose $\beta(t)$ so that

$$|\alpha(t) - \beta(t)| < \pi, \qquad a \le t \le b$$

In particular

$$\left|\frac{\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)}{\pi} - \frac{\beta(b) - \beta(a)}{\pi}\right| < 2.$$

Proof. If f(t) = 0, equation (25) implies |g(t)| < 0 which is a contradiction. If g(t) = 0, then we arrive at the contradiction |f(t)| < |f(t)|. Since the functions do not vanish, we may apply Proposition 25 to get $f(t) = |f(t)|e^{i\alpha(t)}$ and $g(t) = |g(t)|e^{i\beta(t)}$. The function $\beta(t)$ is not unique, in fact $\beta(t) + 2k\pi$ for any integer k can be used instead of $\beta(t)$.

We have |f(0) - g(0)| < |f(0)|. Therefore g(0) is in the open disc with center f(0) and radius the distance from f(0) to 0. $\alpha(0)$ is one of the determination of $\arg f(0)$, there is a value of $\arg g(0)$ such that $|\alpha(0) - \arg g(0)| < \pi$, since the two points are in some half plane. We may choose a k so that $|\beta(0) + 2k\pi - \alpha(0)| < \pi$, without loss of generality we may assume that $|\beta(0) - \alpha(0)| < \pi$. Since these functions are continuous, this will be true for some interval $|\beta(t) - \alpha(t)| < \pi$ if $a \le t < a + \varepsilon$.

By contradiction we shall prove that $|\beta(t) - \alpha(t)| < \pi$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Assume that there is some $|\beta(t) - \alpha(t)| \ge \pi$. The set of points that satisfies this inequality is a closed set of [a, b] contained in $[a + \varepsilon, b]$. Let t_0 be the infimum of these closed sets. By continuity we will have $|\beta(t_0) - \alpha(t_0)| = \pi$. Therefore $f(t_0) = |f(t_0)|e^{i\alpha(t_0)}$ and

$$g(t_0) = |g(t_0)|e^{i\beta(t_0)} = |g(t_0)|e^{i\alpha(t_0)\pm\pi i} = -|g(t_0)|e^{i\alpha(t_0)}.$$

And we have a contradiction with (25) because

$$|f(t_0)| > |f(t_0) - g(t_0)| = \left| |f(t_0)| e^{i\alpha(t_0)} + |g(t_0)| e^{i\alpha(t_0)} \right| = |f(t_0)| + |g(t_0)|.$$

The rest is an easy consequence of this.

References

- J. ARIAS DE REYNA & J. VAN DE LUNE, On the exact location of the nontrivial zeros of Riemann's zeta function, Acta Arith. 163 (2014) 215–245. DOI: 10.4064/aa163-3-3.
- [2] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, Approximate formula for Z(t), arXiv:2406.18150.
- [3] W. GABCKE, Neue Herleitung und explizite Restabschätzung der Riemann-Siegel Formel, Ph.D. thesis, Georg-August-Universität zu Göttingen, 1979.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, A. E. INGHAM, G. PÓLYA, *Theorems concerning mean values of analytic functions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, **113** (1927) 542–569.
- [5] J. HORVÁTH, Topological Vector Spaces I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1966.
- [6] T. K. PETERSEN, Eulerian numbers, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015.
- [7] H. RADEMACHER, Topics in analytic number theory, Edited by E. Grosswald, J. Lehner and M. Newman; Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [8] B. RIEMANN, Gesammelte Mathematische Werke, Wissenschaftlicher Nachlass und Nachträge-Collected Papers, Ed. Narasimham, R., Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1990.

- [9] W. RUDIN, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- [10] C. L. SIEGEL, Über Riemann Nachlaβ zur analytischen Zahlentheorie, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik Astronomie und Physik 2 (1932) 45–80. (Reprinted in [8] pp. 770–805.)
- [11] E. M. STEIN, R. SHAKARCHI, Complex Analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN. *Email address:* arias@us.es, ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com