

A Fast Algorithm for Denumerants with Three Variables

Feihu Liu¹ and Guoce Xin^{2, *}

^{1,2} School of Mathematical Sciences,
Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, P.R. China

¹ Email address: liufeihu7476@163.com

² Email address: guoce_xin@163.com

June 27, 2024

Abstract

Let a, b, c be distinct positive integers such that $a < b < c$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. For any non-negative integer n , the denumerant function $d(n; a, b, c)$ denotes the number of solutions of the equation $ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 = n$ in non-negative integers x_1, x_2, x_3 . We present an algorithm that computes $d(n; a, b, c)$ with a time complexity of $O(\log b)$.

Mathematic subject classification: Primary 05A17; Secondary 05A15, 11P81.

Keywords: Denumerant; Restricted partition function; Diophantine equation.

1 Introduction

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ be a nonempty set of positive integers. The *restricted partition function of the set A* , denoted $p_A(n)$, counts the number of solutions in non-negative integers x_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, to the equation

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k = n.$$

When $\gcd(A) = 1$, the function $p_A(n)$ is referred to as the *denumerant*, denoted as $d(n; a_1, \dots, a_k)$. This concept was introduced by Sylvester [13]. A related problem is to determine the largest non-negative integer $F(A)$ for which $d(F(A); a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$. This integer is known as the *Frobenius number* of A .

For the case $k = 2$, Sylvester [14] derived the formula for the Frobenius number $F(A) = a_1a_2 - a_1 - a_2$. Sertöz [12] and Tripathi [15] independently obtained an explicit formula for $d(n; a_1, a_2)$. However, Curtis [5] demonstrated that for $k \geq 3$, the Frobenius number cannot be expressed by closed formulas of a certain type.

*This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12071311).

For $k = 3$, let $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Greenberg [7] proposed a fast algorithm for computing $F(A)$ with a runtime complexity of $O(\log a_1)$. In 1953, Popoviciu [11] developed an algorithm with a time complexity of $O(a_3 \log a_3)$ for calculating $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$ when a_1, a_2, a_3 are pairwise coprime positive integers. In 1995, Lisoněk [9] presented an arithmetic procedure to compute $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$ in time complexity of $O(a_1 a_2 \log a_2)$ when a_1, a_2, a_3 are pairwise coprime positive integers (under certain conditions, this complexity can be reduced to $O(a_1 a_2)$). In 2003, Brown, Chou, and Shiue [4] introduced an $O(a_1 a_2 \log a_3)$ algorithm for computing $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$. In 2018, Aguiló-Gost and Llena [2] proposed an $O(a_2 + \log a_3)$ algorithm to compute $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$. In 2020, Binner [3] developed an algorithm for computing $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$ with a runtime of $O(\log a_3)$. Some relevant references can be found in [1, 8, 10].

In this paper, we combine the constant term method mentioned in [16] with a key transformation technique in [18] to devise a fast algorithm for calculating $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$. The complexity of our algorithm is $O(\log a_2)$ with $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief introduction to the constant term method. Section 3 outlines the necessary reduction operations for our algorithm. Section 4 presents our algorithm and a specific example. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{P} denote the set of all complex numbers, all integers, all non-negative integers, and all positive integers, respectively.

2 A Brief Introduction to the Constant Term Method

In this section, we introduce two basic tools in the study of constant term theory. To maintain brevity, we only work over the field $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ of Laurent series in λ . The constant term operator CT_λ acts by

$$\text{CT}_\lambda \sum_{i=M}^{\infty} c_i \lambda^i = c_0,$$

where $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the coefficients $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Subsequently, the denominator is expressed as

$$d(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{x_i \geq 0} \text{CT}_\lambda \lambda^{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k - n} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1})(1 - \lambda^{a_2}) \dots (1 - \lambda^{a_k})}.$$

For the theory for constant terms in several variables, we refer the reader to [16].

The basic problem in constant term theory is how to efficiently extract the constant term of

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{L(\lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i})}, \tag{1}$$

where $L(\lambda)$ is a Laurent polynomial, the variables z_i are independent of λ , and $a_i \in \mathbb{P}$ for all i . In the general setting, we need to clarify the series expansion of $(1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i})^{-1}$ in a field of iterated Laurent series. The situation in $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ is simple. For a given positive integer k and

complex variable z , we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - z\lambda^k} = \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j \lambda^{jk}; \quad \frac{1}{1 - z\lambda^{-k}} = \frac{-z^{-1}\lambda^k}{1 - z^{-1}\lambda^k} = - \sum_{j \geq 0} z^{-(j+1)} \lambda^{(j+1)k}.$$

Our investigation relies on the well-known partial fraction decompositions.

Proposition 2.1 ([16]). *Let $E(\lambda)$ be defined as in (1), where z_i are complex parameters and the denominator factors are coprime to each other. Then $E(\lambda)$ has a partial fraction decomposition given by*

$$E(\lambda) = P(\lambda) + \frac{p(\lambda)}{\lambda^m} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{A_i(\lambda)}{1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i}}, \quad (2)$$

where $P(\lambda), p(\lambda)$, and the $A_i(\lambda)$'s are all polynomials, $\deg p(\lambda) < m$, and $\deg A_i(\lambda) < a_i$ for all i .

Furthermore, the polynomial $A_i(\lambda)$ is uniquely characterized by

$$A_i(\lambda) \equiv E(\lambda) \cdot (1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i}) \pmod{\langle 1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i} \rangle}, \quad \deg_\lambda A_i(\lambda) < a_i, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle 1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i} \rangle$ denotes the ideal generated by $1 - z_i \lambda^{a_i}$.

The following corollary is straightforward but important.

Corollary 2.2. *Follow the notation in Proposition 2.1. We obtain*

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E(\lambda) = P(0) + A_1(0) + A_2(0) + \cdots + A_k(0). \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if $E(\lambda)$ is a proper fraction in λ , that is, the degree of the numerator is less than the degree in the denominator, then $P(\lambda) = 0$; if $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E(\lambda)$ exists, then $p(\lambda) = 0$. If both conditions hold, then

$$E(0) = A_1(0) + A_2(0) + \cdots + A_k(0).$$

It is important to note that in the multivariate setting, certain terms $A_i(0)$ may be omitted from (4). For the basic building block $A_s(0)$, we introduce the notation

$$\text{CT}_\lambda \frac{1}{\underline{1 - z_s \lambda^{a_s}}} E(\lambda) \cdot (1 - z_s \lambda^{a_s}) = A_s(0).$$

One can think that only the single underlined factor of the denominator contributes when taking the constant term in λ . Define the *type* of $\text{CT}_\lambda E(\lambda)$ as (a_1, \dots, a_k) and the *type* of $\text{CT}_\lambda E(\lambda)$ with $\underline{1 - z_s \lambda^{a_s}}$ as $(\underline{\textcircled{s}}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$. The *index* of the latter constant term is defined as a_s .

We require an additional tool, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 ([18], The key transformation). *Let $\gcd(u, a) = 1$. For any rational function $F(\lambda)$ that is meaningful when modulo $1 - z\lambda^a$, we have*

$$\text{CT}_\lambda \frac{1}{\underline{1 - z\lambda^a}} F(\lambda) = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{1}{\underline{1 - z^{1/u}\lambda^a}} F(\lambda^u),$$

where u is referred to as a multiplier.

For denumerant $d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)$, we need to introduce slack variables z_i to apply Proposition 2.1. We have

$$d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3) = \lim_{z_i \rightarrow 1} \left\{ \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1} z_1)(1 - \lambda^{a_2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{a_3} z_3)} + \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1} z_1)(1 - \lambda^{a_2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{a_3} z_3)} + \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1} z_1)(1 - \lambda^{a_2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{a_3} z_3)} \right\}$$

or (simply written as)

$$d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3) = \lim_{z_i \rightarrow 1} \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1} z_1)(1 - \lambda^{a_2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{a_3} z_3)}. \quad (5)$$

The flow chart of our algorithm is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c} (\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, a_3) \\ \downarrow \\ (\textcircled{1}; a_{11}, 1, a_{31}) \longrightarrow (\textcircled{1}; a_{12}, 1, a_{32}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (\textcircled{1}; a_{1(p-1)}, 1, a_{3(p-1)}) \longrightarrow \boxed{(\textcircled{1}; a_{1p}, 1, a_{3p})} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \boxed{(\textcircled{2}; a_{11}, 1, a_{31})} \quad \boxed{(\textcircled{2}; a_{12}, 1, a_{32})} \quad \cdots \quad \boxed{(\textcircled{2}; a_{1(p-1)}, 1, a_{3(p-1)})} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Our algorithm is based on the following observations of constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, a_3)$. If $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$, then a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, a_3)$ can be transformed into a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{11}, 1, a_{31})$ by using Theorem 2.3, where $a_{11} = a_1$.

A constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{1i}, 1, a_{3i})$, for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, can be expressed as the sum of two distinct constant terms: One is of type $(\textcircled{2}; a_{1i}, 1, a_{3i})$ and hence simplifies to a rational function; The other is of type $(\textcircled{3}; a'_{1i}, 1, a'_{3i})$ and can be written as a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{1(i+1)}, 1, a_{3(i+1)})$ with index $a_{1(i+1)} = a'_{3i} \leq a_{1i}/2$.

In the flow chart (6), $a_{1p} = 0$ or 1 with $p \leq \log a_1$. When $a_{1p} = 0$, the constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{1p}, 1, a_{3p})$ is 0 (see Subsection 3.4). When $a_{1p} = 1$, the constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{1p}, 1, a_{3p})$ is a single rational function.

3 Several Reductions

Let a, b, c be positive integers such that $a < b < c$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. We derive several reductions needed in our algorithms.

3.1 Reduction to the Case $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

Though not used in our algorithm, we can reduce (a, b, c) to the pairwise coprime case by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $F(\lambda)$ be a formal power series in λ with coefficients in the field \mathbb{C} . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g, c \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\gcd(g, c) = 1$, then*

$$\text{CT}_{\lambda} \left(F(\lambda^g) \cdot \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^c)} \right) = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \left(F(\lambda) \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\frac{-n+tc}{g}}}{(1 - \lambda^c)} \right),$$

where $c'c \equiv 1 \pmod{g}$, $t \equiv nc' \pmod{g}$, and $0 \leq t < g$.

Proof. We have

$$\text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{F(\lambda^g) \cdot \lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^c)} = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{F(\lambda^g) \cdot \lambda^{-n} \cdot (1 + \lambda^c + \dots + \lambda^{(g-1)c})}{(1 - \lambda^{cg})}.$$

By $\gcd(c, g) = 1$ and $-n + tc \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$, we deduce that $t \equiv c^{-1}n \pmod{g}$, leading to

$$\text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{F(\lambda^g) \cdot \lambda^{-n} \cdot (1 + \lambda^c + \dots + \lambda^{(g-1)c})}{(1 - \lambda^{cg})} = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{F(\lambda^g) \cdot \lambda^{-n} \cdot \lambda^{tc}}{(1 - \lambda^{cg})} = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{F(\lambda) \cdot \lambda^{\frac{-n+tc}{g}}}{(1 - \lambda^c)}.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gcd(b, c), & a_1 a &\equiv 1 \pmod{g_1}, & k &\equiv n a_1 \pmod{g_1}, & 0 \leq k < g_1, \\ g_2 &= \gcd(a, c), & b_2 b &\equiv 1 \pmod{g_2}, & j &\equiv n b_2 \pmod{g_2}, & 0 \leq j < g_2, \\ g_3 &= \gcd(a, b), & c_3 c &\equiv 1 \pmod{g_3}, & i &\equiv n c_3 \pmod{g_3}, & 0 \leq i < g_3. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 iteratively, we obtain

$$d(n; a, b, c) = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^a)(1 - \lambda^b)(1 - \lambda^c)} = d \left(\frac{n - ic}{g_3}; \frac{a}{g_3}, \frac{b}{g_3}, c \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= d \left(\frac{n - ic - jb}{g_2 g_3}; \frac{a}{g_2 g_3}, \frac{b}{g_3}, \frac{c}{g_2} \right) = d \left(\frac{n - ic - jb - ka}{g_1 g_2 g_3}; \frac{a}{g_2 g_3}, \frac{b}{g_1 g_3}, \frac{c}{g_1 g_2} \right). \quad (8)$$

3.2 Reduction to Two Contribution Term

For (5), we consider the contribution of $(1 - \lambda^c z_3)$ to the constant term. There exists $s \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $0 < sc - n \leq c$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)} &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n} (\lambda^c z_3)^s}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)} \\ &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{-\lambda^{sc-n} z_3^s}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from Corollary 2.2.

Hence, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} d(n; a, b, c) &= \lim_{z_i \rightarrow 1} \left(\text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{-n} - \lambda^{sc-n} z_3^s}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)} + \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{-n} - \lambda^{sc-n} z_3^s}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z_i \rightarrow 1} (T(a) + T(b)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For the reduction from (a, b) to $(a/g_3, b/g_3)$, it is sufficient to perform the extended gcd computation once.

$$\gcd(a, b) = g_3 \Rightarrow au + bv = g_3 \Leftrightarrow ua/g_3 + vb/g_3 = 1, \text{ where } u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (10)$$

In the subsequent subsections, we assume that $a := \frac{a}{g_3}$ and $b := \frac{b}{g_3}$, or simply $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

3.3 Reduction to the Case $b = 1$

If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then we can reduce a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a, b, c)$ to a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a, 1, c')$. This handles the constant term $T(a)$ in (9). The constant term $T(b)$ is similar.

Consider a constant term of the following form:

$$\text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)},$$

where r_1, r_2 are integers and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_i} = z_1^{m_{i1}} z_2^{m_{i2}} z_3^{m_{i3}}$, $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Applying (10), we find u, v such that $ua + vb = 1$. It follows that $\gcd(a, v) = 1$, so that Theorem 2.3 applies to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1)(1 - \lambda^b z_2)(1 - \lambda^c z_3)} &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1^{\frac{1}{v}})(1 - \lambda^{bv} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{cv} z_3)} \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1^{\frac{1}{v}})(1 - \lambda^{1-au} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{cv} z_3)} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2 v} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a z_1^{\frac{1}{v}})(1 - \lambda z_1^{\frac{u}{v}} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{cv} z_3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

3.4 A Euclid Style Recursive Operation

We aim to establish a recursion for $T(a)$ and $T(b)$. For this, we relax to consider the following constant term:

$$F(\lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta, \lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}) = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha)(1 - \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma)(1 - \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta)}, \quad (12)$$

where $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$. The base cases are: i) if $a = 0$, then the constant term is just 0; ii) if $a = 1$, then the constant term becomes (according to (3))

$$\frac{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1 - r_1 \alpha} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2 - r_2 \alpha}}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\gamma - \alpha})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\beta - c\alpha})}.$$

We require the following two elementary operations.

The *remainder* $\text{rem}^*(\lambda^m, 1 - \mathbf{z}^h \lambda^a, \lambda)$ and the *signed remainder* $\text{srem}^*(\lambda^m, 1 - \mathbf{z}^h \lambda^a, \lambda)$ [16] of λ^m when dividing by $1 - \mathbf{z}^h \lambda^a$ are defined as follows (different from the usual remainder):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rem}^*(\lambda^m, 1 - \mathbf{z}^h \lambda^a, \lambda) &= \mathbf{z}^{h \cdot (-\ell)} \lambda^r, \quad \text{where } m = \ell a + r, \quad 0 < r \leq a; \\ \text{srem}^*(\lambda^m, 1 - \mathbf{z}^h \lambda^a, \lambda) &= \mathbf{z}^{h \cdot (-\ell)} \lambda^r, \quad \text{where } m = \ell a + r, \quad -\frac{a}{2} < r \leq \frac{a}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *We have the following recursion:*

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\underline{\lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta, \lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2' - t_2 \gamma} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1' - t_1 \gamma}}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\alpha - a \gamma})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2 - c_2 \gamma})} + F(\underline{\lambda^{c_2} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2}}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda^{t_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2'}, \lambda^{t_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1'}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the new parameters are determined through several elementary operations.

Let us delay the proof of this lemma. The first term in (13) corresponds to the constant term of type $(\textcircled{2}; a_{11}, 1, a_{31})$ within the flow chart (6). By $c_2 \leq \frac{a}{2}$, the second term in (13) corresponds to the constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_{12}, 1, a_{32})$ with index $a_{12} \leq \frac{a_{11}}{2}$ in the flow chart (6). Finally, by iteratively applying Lemma 3.2 at most $p(\leq \log a)$ steps, we can derive a summation formula for rational functions only involving z_1, z_2, z_3 .

Proof. Let $\lambda^{c_1} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_1} = \text{srem}^*(\lambda^c, 1 - \mathbf{z}^\alpha \lambda^a, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{z}^\beta$. If $0 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{a}{2}$, then

$$F(\underline{\lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta, \lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}) = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha)(1 - \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma)(1 - \lambda^{c_1} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_1})}$$

If $-\frac{a}{2} < c_1 < 0$, then

$$F(\underline{\lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta, \lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}) = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{-(\lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2})(\lambda^{-c_1} \mathbf{z}^{-\beta_1})}{(1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha)(1 - \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma)(1 - \lambda^{-c_1} \mathbf{z}^{-\beta_1})}$$

Let

$$\lambda^{c_2} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{c_1} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_1} & \text{if } 0 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{a}{2}, \\ \lambda^{-c_1} \mathbf{z}^{-\beta_1} & \text{if } -\frac{a}{2} < c_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\lambda^{t_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1'} = \begin{cases} \text{rem}^*(\lambda^{r_1}, 1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} & \text{if } 0 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{a}{2}, \\ \text{rem}^*(\lambda^{r_2 - c_1}, 1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2 - \beta_1} & \text{if } -\frac{a}{2} < c_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda^{t_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2'} = \begin{cases} \text{rem}^*(\lambda^{r_2}, 1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2} & \text{if } 0 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{a}{2}, \\ \text{rem}^*(\lambda^{r_1 - c_1}, 1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1 - \beta_1} & \text{if } -\frac{a}{2} < c_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\underline{\lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^c \mathbf{z}^\beta, \lambda^{r_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \lambda^{r_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}) &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{t_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1'} - \lambda^{t_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2'}}{(1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha)(1 - \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma)(1 - \lambda^{c_2} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2})} \\
&= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{t_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2'} - \lambda^{t_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1'}}{(1 - \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha)(1 - \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma)(1 - \lambda^{c_2} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2})} \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.2}) \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2' - t_2 \gamma} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1' - t_1 \gamma}}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\alpha - a \gamma})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2 - c_2 \gamma})} + F(\lambda^{c_2} \mathbf{z}^{\beta_2}, \lambda \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \lambda^a \mathbf{z}^\alpha, \lambda^{t_2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2'}, \lambda^{t_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1'}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.5 Dispelling the Slack Variables

In Subsection 3.4, we obtain a summation of the following form.

$$\sum_{\text{Finite term}} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_1} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}_2}}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^\omega)(1 - \mathbf{z}^\theta)}, \tag{14}$$

where the number of terms is bounded by $\log a + \log b$.

To eliminate the slack variables, as mentioned in [16], we need to take $z_i \rightarrow 1$ for the final summation. We first choose a vector $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ and substitute $z_i \mapsto \kappa^{\mu_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and then $\kappa \mapsto e^s$ ¹. Finally, we need to calculate the following constant term.

$$\sum_{\text{Finite term}} \text{CT}_s \frac{e^{s \cdot \langle \mathbf{m}_1, \mu \rangle} - e^{s \cdot \langle \mathbf{m}_2, \mu \rangle}}{(1 - e^{s \cdot \langle \omega, \mu \rangle})(1 - e^{s \cdot \langle \theta, \mu \rangle})}. \tag{15}$$

It is essential to choose μ such that there are no zero denominators in Equation (15). We can use random vectors to get μ (see [6]). For (15), we also used the linearity of the CT operator.

Let $h_1 = \langle \omega, \mu \rangle$, $h_2 = \langle \theta, \mu \rangle$, $h_3 = \langle \mathbf{m}_1, \mu \rangle$ and $h_4 = \langle \mathbf{m}_2, \mu \rangle$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$\text{CT}_s \frac{e^{h_3 s} - e^{h_4 s}}{(1 - e^{h_1 s})(1 - e^{h_2 s})} = \frac{(h_4 - h_3)(h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4)}{2h_1 h_2}. \tag{16}$$

4 The Algorithm

We first give the algorithm, then do complexity analysis, and finally give an example.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}$. Suppose $a < b < c$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. Then Algorithm 1 correctly computes $d(n; a, b, c)$ with a computational complexity of $O(\log b)$.*

¹This is a special constant term of GTodd type handled in [17]. The natural substitution $\kappa \mapsto 1 + s$ also works.

Algorithm 1: Computing $d(n; a, b, c)$.

Input: $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}$, $a < b < c$, and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$.

Output: $d(n; a, b, c)$.

- 1 Use the extended gcd algorithm to compute u, v such that $au + bv = g_3 = \gcd(a, b)$, and apply (7) to assume $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.
 - 2 By (9), reduction to two constant terms $T(a), T(b)$.
 - 3 For $T(a)$, we first reduce it to the case $b = 1$ (Equation (11)) by using $(a, v) = 1$ and Theorem 2.3. Then, iteratively applying Lemma 3.2 at most $\log a$ steps, we obtain a summation formula R_1 of simple rational functions.
 - 4 For $T(b)$, we first reduce it to the case $a = 1$ by employing $(b, u) = 1$ and Theorem 2.3. Then, iteratively applying Lemma 3.2 at most $\log b$ steps, we obtain a summation formula R_2 for a simple rational function.
 - 5 We obtain (14) by $R_1 + R_2$ of at most $\log a + \log b$ simple rational functions.
 - 6 Apply (16) to each term of (15). We obtain $d(n; a, b, c)$.
-

Proof. In step 1, the primary task is the computation of u, v , which costs $O(\log a)$. Subsequently, we arrive at the $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ case.

Step 2 takes several ring operations to reach the constant term $T(a) + T(b)$.

Step 3 performs at most $\log a$ iterative application of Lemma 3.2, each reducing the index of the constant term by half. Each iteration step takes $O(1)$ ring operations since the two operations $\text{rem}(\lambda^m, 1 - z\lambda^a, \lambda)$ and $\text{srem}(\lambda^m, 1 - z\lambda^a, \lambda)$ are both $O(1)$. This results in a total cost of $O(\log a)$ for this step.

Step 4 is similar to Step 3. It takes $O(\log b)$ ring operations.

Now, we arrive at the summation in (14).

Step 5 takes $O(\log a + \log b)$, as each constant term is computed by (16) using $O(1)$ ring operations.

In total, the algorithm cost $O(\log b)$ ring operations. □

Example 4.2. Let $a = 3$, $b = 7$, $c = 11$ and $n = 25$. We have

$$d(25; 3, 7, 11) = \lim_{z_i \rightarrow 1} \left(\text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{-25} - \lambda^8 z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda^7 z_2)(1 - \lambda^{11} z_3)} + \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{-25} - \lambda^8 z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda^7 z_2)(1 - \lambda^{11} z_3)} \right).$$

For the first term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^2 z_1^9 - \lambda^2 z_1^{-2} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda^7 z_2)(1 - \lambda^{11} z_3)} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^2 z_1^9 - \lambda^2 z_1^{-2} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda z_1^{-2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{11} z_3)} \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^2 z_1^9 - \lambda^2 z_1^{-2} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda z_1^{-2} z_2)(1 - \lambda^{-1} z_1^{-4} z_3)} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^3 z_1^2 z_3^2 - \lambda^3 z_1^{13} z_3^{-1}}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda z_1^{-2} z_2)(1 - \lambda z_1^4 z_3^{-1})} \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^3 z_1^{13} z_3^{-1} - \lambda^3 z_1^2 z_3^2}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda z_1^{-2} z_2)(1 - \lambda z_1^4 z_3^{-1})} + \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^3 z_1^{13} z_3^{-1} - \lambda^3 z_1^2 z_3^2}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda z_1^{-2} z_2)(1 - \lambda z_1^4 z_3^{-1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{z_1^{19} z_2^{-3} z_3^{-1} - z_1^8 z_2^{-3} z_3^2}{(1 - z_1^7 z_2^{-3})(1 - z_1^6 z_2^{-1} z_3^{-1})} + \frac{z_1 z_3^2 - z_1^{-10} z_3^5}{(1 - z_1^{-11} z_3^3)(1 - z_1^{-6} z_2 z_3)}.$$

Similarly, for the second term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^3 z_2^4 - \lambda z_2^{-1} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^3 z_1)(1 - \lambda^7 z_2)(1 - \lambda^{11} z_3)} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{3 \cdot (-2)} z_2^4 - \lambda^{-2} z_2^{-1} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda^{3 \cdot (-2)} z_1)(1 - \lambda^7 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^{11 \cdot (-2)} z_3)} \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda z_2^{\frac{7}{2}} - \lambda^5 z_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} z_3^3}{(1 - \lambda z_1 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^7 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^{-1} z_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} z_3)} = \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^6 z_3^2 - \lambda^2 z_2^5 z_3^{-1}}{(1 - \lambda z_1 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^7 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda z_2^{\frac{3}{2}} z_3^{-1})} \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^2 z_2^5 z_3^{-1} - \lambda^6 z_3^2}{(1 - \lambda z_1 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^7 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda z_2^{\frac{3}{2}} z_3^{-1})} + \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{\lambda^2 z_2^5 z_3^{-1} - \lambda^6 z_3^2}{(1 - \lambda z_1 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda^7 z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 - \lambda z_2^{\frac{3}{2}} z_3^{-1})} \\ &= \frac{z_1^{-2} z_2^6 z_3^{-1} - z_1^{-6} z_2^3 z_3^2}{(1 - z_1^{-7} z_3^2)(1 - z_1^{-1} z_2^2 z_3^{-1})} + \frac{z_2^2 z_3 - z_2^{-9} z_3^8}{(1 - z_1 z_2^{-2} z_3)(1 - z_2^{-11} z_3^7)}. \end{aligned}$$

We take $\mu = (0, 1, 1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(25; 3, 7, 11) \\ &= \text{CT}_s \frac{e^{-4s} - e^{-s}}{(1 - e^{-3s})(1 - e^{-2s})} + \text{CT}_s \frac{e^{2s} - e^{5s}}{(1 - e^{3s})(1 - e^{2s})} + \text{CT}_s \frac{e^{5s} - e^{5s}}{(1 - e^{3s})(1 - e^s)} + \text{CT}_s \frac{e^{3s} - e^{-s}}{(1 - e^{-s})(1 - e^{-4s})} \\ &= 0 - \frac{1}{2} + 0 + \frac{7}{2} = 3. \end{aligned}$$

5 Concluding Remark

The constant term of type $(\textcircled{S}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ corresponds to a denumerant simplicial cone, as detailed in [18]. A basic problem is to write such a constant term as the sum of a N rational functions, so that N is small in some sense. Barvinok's algorithm in computational geometry applies to show that N can be bounded by a polynomial in $\log a_s$ when k is fixed. In algebraic combinatorics, it is conjectured in [18] that $f^h(\textcircled{S}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is bounded by a polynomial in $\log a_s$ for a suitable strategy h on the choices of the valid multiplier. Here, $f^h(\textcircled{S}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ denotes the number of terms obtained by repeated application of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.2 according to h .

A partial result is presented in [18] for the case where $a_1 \leq 13$, where the optimal strategy h is specified, and the value of $f^h(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, 1, \dots, 1)$ is explicitly determined to be bounded by a polynomial in k .

Lemma 3.2 is equivalent to giving a simple strategy h so that $f^h(\textcircled{S}; a_1, a_2, a_3) \leq \log a_s$: First transform to $(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, 1)$ and then use the fact $f^1(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, 1) \leq \log a_1$, where $h = 1$ means to always choose 1 as the valid multiplier. The fact naturally extends to general length k as $f^1(\textcircled{1}; a_1, a_2, 1, \dots, 1) \leq (k - 2) \log a_1$.

Extending the above idea gives the following partial, but stronger, result:

Proposition 5.1. *If one application of Theorem 2.3 results in a constant term of type $(\textcircled{1}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ such that for an ℓ we have $|a_i - a_{i'}| \leq 13$ for $2 \leq i, i' \leq \ell$, and $a_j \leq 13$ for $j > \ell$, then there exists a strategy h such that $f^h(\textcircled{1}; a_1, \dots, a_k) \leq p(k) \log a_1$ for some polynomial $p(k)$.*

Sketched proof. The case $\ell = 2$ follows the same idea as in the flow chart, except that the outputs are constant terms handled by the partial result.

For $\ell > 2$, the recursion yields

$$f^h(\textcircled{1}; a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} f^h(\textcircled{i}; a_1, \dots, a_k) + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^k f^h(\textcircled{j}; a_1, \dots, a_k).$$

Since $a_j \leq 13$, each $f^h(\textcircled{j}; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is bounded by a polynomial in k . Since $|a_i - a_{i'}| \leq 13$ for $2 \leq i, i' \leq \ell$, one more application of Corollary 2.2 yields

$$f^h(\textcircled{i}; a_1, \dots, a_k) = f^h(\textcircled{i}; b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_k) = \sum_{j \neq i} f^h(\textcircled{j}; b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_k),$$

where $b_j \leq 13$ for all $j \neq 1, i$. This is just the $\ell = 2$ case of the proposition. \square

Finally, it is natural to propose the following open problem:

Open problem 5.2. *Let $a < b < c$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is there an algorithm to compute $d(n; a, b, c)$ with a runtime complexity of $O(\log a)$?*

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Zihao Zhang for many useful suggestions. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [12071311].

References

- [1] F. Aguiló-Gost and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Factoring in embedding dimension three numerical semigroups*, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010), #R138.
- [2] F. Aguiló-Gost and D. Llena, *Computing denumerants in numerical 3-semigroups*, Quaestiones Mathematicae. 41(8) (2018), 1083–1116.
- [3] D. S. Binner, *The number of solutions to $ax + by + cz = n$ and its relation to quadratic residues*, J. Integer Seq. 23 (2020), 20.6.5.
- [4] T. C. Brown, W. S. Chou, and P. J. Shiue, *On the partition function of a finite set*, Australas. J. Combin. 27 (2003), 193–204.
- [5] F. Curtis, *On formulas for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup*, Math. Scand. 67 (1990), 190–192.
- [6] J. A. De Loera, R. Hemmecke, J. Tauzer, and R. Yoshida, *Effective lattice point counting in rational convex polytopes*, J. Symbolic Comput. 38 (2004), 1273–1302.
- [7] H. Greenberg, *Solution to a linear diophantine equation for nonnegative integers*, J. Algorithms. 9(3) (1988), 343–535.

- [8] T. Komatsu, *On the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation of Frobenius-general case*, Math. Commun. 28 (2003), 195–206.
- [9] P. Lisoněk, *Denumerants and their approximations*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 18 (1995), 225–232.
- [10] M. B. Nathanson, *Partition with parts in a finite set*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 1269–1273.
- [11] T. Popoviciu, *Asupra unei probleme de partiție a numerelor*, Acad. Republicii Populare Romane, Filiala Cluj, Studii și cercetări științifice. 4 (1953), 7–58.
- [12] S. Sertöz, *On the number of solutions of a Diophantine equation of Frobenius*, Discrete Math. Appl. 8 (1998), 153–162.
- [13] J. J. Sylvester, *On the partition of numbers*, Quart.J. Pure Appl. Math. 1 (1857), 141–152.
- [14] J. J. Sylvester, *On sub-invariants, i.e., semi-invariants to binary quantics of an unlimited order*, Amer. J. Math. 5 (1882), 119–136.
- [15] A. Tripathi, *The number of solutions to $ax + by = n$* , Fibonacci Quart. 38 (2000), 290–293.
- [16] G. Xin, *A Euclid style algorithm for MacMahon’s partition analysis*, J. Combin Theory, Series A. 131 (2015), 32–60.
- [17] G. Xin, Y. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, *Fast evaluation of generalized Todd polynomials: Applications to MacMahon’s partition analysis and integer programming*, arXiv:2304.13323.
- [18] G. Xin, Y. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, *A combinatorial decomposition of knapsack cones*, arXiv:2406.13974.