

The Variable Muckenhoupt Weight Revisited

Hongchao Jia and Xianjie Yan*

Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004,
The People's Republic of China
E-mails: hongchaojia@henu.edu.cn (H. Jia); xianjieyan@henu.edu.cn (X. Yan)

Abstract Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a variable exponent function and X a ball quasi-Banach function space. In this paper, we first study the relationship between two kinds of variable weights $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by regarding the weighted variable Lebesgue space $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a special case of X and applying known results of the Hardy-type space $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X , we further obtain several equivalent characterizations of the weighted variable Hardy space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the boundedness of some sublinear operators on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. All of these results coincide with or improve existing ones, or are completely new.

1 Introduction

Given a locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^n , the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator $\mathcal{M}(f)$ is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

It is well-known that the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p \in [1, \infty)$, is closely related to classical Muckenhoupt weights (see, for instance, [8, 40]). Based on this, the real-variable theory, including some equivalent characterizations and boundedness of operators, of weighted Hardy spaces has been developed (see [23, 45]). On the other hand, since intrinsic properties of variable function spaces are widely applied to harmonic analysis, partial differential equations and variational integrals with nonstandard growth conditions (see [19, 21, 39]), real-variable theory of them has attracted many attentions in recent years (see, for instance, [2, 15, 41, 51]). Recall that the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right]^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

The boundedness of \mathcal{M} on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has also been studied in many academic literature (see, for instance, [12, 17]).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B25; Secondary 42B30, 42B20, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. variable Muckenhoupt weight, Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, weighted variable Hardy space, real-variable characterization, Calderón–Zygmund operator, Bochner–Riesz means.

*Corresponding author/May 6, 2024/Final version.

There is an increasing interest to explore what conditions are necessary for the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on the weighted variable Lebesgue space because it generalizes both $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, lots of efforts are made; see [5, 6, 9, 24, 32, 33]. Especially, Diening and Hästö [18] first introduced the variable Muckenhoupt weight $\mathbb{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to characterize the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where the class $\mathbb{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to consist of all weights ω such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left\| \omega^{1/p(\cdot)} \mathbf{1}_B \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \omega^{-1/p(\cdot)} \mathbf{1}_B \right\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |B|,$$

$p'(\cdot)$ denotes the conjugate variable exponent of $p(\cdot)$, and ωdx is treated as a measure, namely,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\omega^{1/p(\cdot)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For more studies about $\mathbb{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer the reader to [1, 29, 30, 31, 37, 42]. Later, Cruz-Uribe et al. [11, 13] introduced other variable Muckenhoupt weight $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to characterize the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the class $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to consist of all weights ω such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\omega \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\omega \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |B|,$$

and ω is regarded as a multiplier, namely,

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\omega\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For more studies about $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer the reader to [4, 10, 14, 16, 27, 46]. However, the real-variable theory of the weighted variable Hardy space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is still unknown.

To present some light on the above question, Ho [25] introduced the variable weight $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in order to establish the atomic characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and obtain some important operators on it. Since then, various variants of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have been introduced and their real-variable theories have been well developed. To be precise, Laadjal et al. [34] established molecular characterizations and the duality of $H_{L,\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with operators L satisfying Davies–Gaffney estimates; Melkemi et al. [38] studied weighted variable Hardy spaces $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ on domains. For more studies about $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer the reader to [26, 43].

On the other hand, Sawano et al. [44] first introduced the ball quasi-Banach function spaces X , which extend quasi-Banach function spaces in [12] further so that weighted Lebesgue spaces and Morrey spaces are included. Sawano et al. in [44] also introduced the Hardy-type spaces $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, associated with X , and established their various equivalent characterizations in terms of maximal functions, atoms, molecules, and Lusin area functions. Later, Wang et al. [48] established Littlewood–Paley functions characterizations of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and obtained the boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, Yan et al. [54] established intrinsic square function characterizations of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For more studies about the real-variable theory of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer the reader to [7, 28, 47, 49].

Very recently, Sawano points out that it is worth comparing the variable weights $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when he reviews [34]. Motivated by this and [44], in this paper, we first clarify the relation between variable weights $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by viewing $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a special case of X and applying known results of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we further establish several equivalent characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of maximal functions, atoms, molecules, (intrinsic) Lusin area functions, and (intrinsic) Littlewood–Paley g -functions and g_λ^* -functions; and also obtain the boundedness of some sublinear operators, including Calderón–Zygmund operators and Bochner–Riesz means, on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is remarkable to point out that, one part of these results improves or coincides with existing ones and the other part is completely new.

The organization of the remainder of this article is as follows.

In Section 2, we aim to clarify the relation between $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, in Subsection 2.1, we first recall definitions of $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In Subsection 2.2, we introduce the concept of ball quasi-Banach function spaces X and show that $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a special case of X . In Subsection 2.3, we first recall the definition of $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by using the two key lemmas about X (see Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 below), we show the relations between $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Propositions 2.13 and 2.19 below).

Section 3 is devoted to characterizing the space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of maximal functions, atoms, molecules, (intrinsic) Lusin area functions, and (intrinsic) Littlewood–Paley g -functions and g_λ^* -functions. To this end, we first introduce the weighted variable Hardy space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via Lusin area functions in Subsection 3.1. Then, in Subsection 3.2, we prove that $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supports a Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, and that the powered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on the associate space of $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 3.9 below). Finally, by viewing $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as special cases of Hardy spaces $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces X and applying known results of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain equivalent characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Subsection 3.3.

In Section 4, we establish the boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and of Bochner–Riesz means from $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3. Especially, the obtained results improves the known ones.

At the end of this section, we make some conventions on notation. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We denote by C a *positive constant* which is independent of the main parameters, but may vary from line to line. We use $C_{(\alpha, \dots)}$ to denote a positive constant depending on the indicated parameters α, \dots . The symbol $f \lesssim g$ means $f \leq Cg$ and, if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$, then we write $f \sim g$. If $f \leq Cg$ and $g = h$ or $g \leq h$, we then write $f \lesssim g = h$ or $f \lesssim g \leq h$, rather than $f \lesssim g \sim h$ or $f \lesssim g \leq h$. If E is a subset of X , we denote by $\mathbf{1}_E$ its *characteristic function* and by E^c the set $X \setminus E$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the ball centered at x with the radius r , namely, $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, y) < r\}$. For any ball B , we use x_B to denote its center and r_B its radius, and denote by λB for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ the ball concentric with B having the radius λr_B . For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $[\alpha]$ the largest integer not greater than α . For any index $q \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by q' its *conjugate index*, namely, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$.

2 The Relation Between Two Variable Muckenhoupt Weights

In this section, we first recall some basic concepts about Hardy–Littlewood maximal operators and weighted variable Lebesgue spaces in Subsection 2.1. We also recall some basic concepts about ball quasi-Banach function spaces in Subsection 2.2, which are used throughout this article. Then we introduce two variable Muckenhoupt weights and clarify their relation in Subsection 2.3.

2.1 Weighted Variable Lebesgue Spaces

In this subsection, we recall some concepts of weighted variable Lebesgue spaces.

A measurable function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called a *variable exponent*. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < p_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) =: p_+ < \infty.$$

In what follows, for any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$(2.2) \quad p_* := \min\{p_-, 1\}$$

and $p'(\cdot)$ be the *conjugate variable exponent* of $p(\cdot)$, namely, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$.

For any given measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^n and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *modular functional* (or, simply, the *modular*) $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}$, associated with $p(\cdot)$, is defined by setting

$$\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

and the *Luxemburg* (also known as *Luxemburg–Nakano*) *quasi-norm* is given by setting

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \varrho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then the *variable Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(f) < \infty$, equipped with the quasi-norm $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Now, we recall the definition of weighted variable Lebesgue spaces from [25, Definition 2.2] (see also [34, p. 70]).

Definition 2.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and ω be a measurable function such that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . The *weighted variable Lebesgue space* $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\omega\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

For any given $r \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all *r*-locally integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Recall that the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* \mathcal{M} is defined by setting, for any $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B of \mathbb{R}^n containing x .

Next, we recall the definition of variable Muckenhoupt weights from [25, Definition 2.3] (see also [34, Definition 1]).

Definition 2.2. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $\mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all measurable functions ω on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere and

- (i) for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{p^*}}^{p(\cdot)/p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ and $\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-p^*}}^{(p(\cdot)/p^*)' }(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, where p^* is the same as in (2.2);
- (ii) there exist $\kappa, s \in (1, \infty)$ such that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function. Recall that ω is said to be a *Muckenhoupt A_p -weight*, denoted by $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$(2.3) \quad [\omega]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|^p} \int_B \omega(x) dx \left\{ \int_B [\omega(y)]^{1-p'} dy \right\}^{p/p'} < \infty$$

when $p \in (1, \infty)$, or

$$[\omega]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x) dx \left(\text{ess sup}_{y \in B} [\omega(y)]^{-1} \right) < \infty,$$

where the suprema are taken over all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (see, for instance, [45]). Throughout this article, we always let

$$A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcup_{p \in [1, \infty)} A_p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Remark 2.3. As was pointed out in [25, p. 388], when $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, 1]$, Definition 2.2(i) is equivalent to the assumption that ω^p and $\omega^{-p'}$ are locally integrable; when $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (1, \infty)$, Definition 2.2(i) is equivalent to the assumption that ω is locally integrable and ω^{-1} is locally bounded; when $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$, Definition 2.2(ii) is equivalent to the assumption that $\omega^p \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.2 Ball Quasi-Banach Function Spaces

In this subsection, we recall some concepts of ball quasi-Banach function spaces. To this end, we first recall the definition of ball quasi-Banach function spaces (see, for instance, [44, Definition 2.2]). In what follows, we always let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the *set of all measurable functions* on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.4. A quasi-normed linear space $X \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, equipped with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ which makes sense for the whole $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is called a *ball quasi-Banach function space* if it satisfies that

- (i) for any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_X = 0$ implies that $f = 0$ almost everywhere;
- (ii) for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|g| \leq |f|$ almost everywhere implies that $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$;
- (iii) for any $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq f_m \uparrow f$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere implies that $\|f_m\|_X \uparrow \|f\|_X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{1}_B \in X$ for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, a ball quasi-Banach function space X is called a *ball Banach function space* if it satisfies:

- (v) for any $f, g \in X$, $\|f + g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X + \|g\|_X$;
- (vi) for any given ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(B)}$ such that, for any $f \in X$,

$$\int_B |f(x)| dx \leq C_{(B)} \|f\|_X.$$

The associate space X' of any given ball Banach function space X is defined as follows; see [3, Chapter 1, Section 2] or [44, p. 9].

Definition 2.5. For any given ball Banach function space X , its *associate space* (also called the *Köthe dual space*) X' is defined by setting

$$X' := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{X'} := \sup_{g \in X, \|g\|_X = 1} \|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{X'}$ is called the *associate norm* of $\|\cdot\|_X$.

Now, we recall the concept of the p -convexification of ball quasi-Banach function spaces, which is a part of [44, Definition 2.6].

Definition 2.6. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space and $p \in (0, \infty)$. The p -convexification X^p of X is defined by setting

$$X^p := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) : |f|^p \in X\}$$

equipped with the *quasi-norm* $\|f\|_{X^p} := \| |f|^p \|_X^{1/p}$ for any $f \in X^p$.

Next, we prove that the weighted variable Lebesgue space $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is a ball quasi-Banach function space.

Lemma 2.7. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach function space. Moreover, if $p_- \geq 1$, then $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach function space.

Proof. On the one hand, by Definition 2.1 and [12, Theorems 2.17 and 2.59], we find that $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii) of Definition 2.4. On the other hand, from Definition 2.2(i), we deduce that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_*} &= \|\omega \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_*} = \left[\inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \varrho_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{\omega \mathbf{1}_B}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \right]^{p_*} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda^{p_*} \in (0, \infty) : \int_B \left[\frac{\omega(x)}{\lambda} \right]^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda^{p_*} \in (0, \infty) : \int_B \left\{ \frac{[\omega(x)]^{p_*}}{\lambda^{p_*}} \right\}^{p(x)/p_*} dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ t \in (0, \infty) : \varrho_{p(\cdot)/p_*} \left(\frac{\omega^{p_*} \mathbf{1}_B}{t} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|\omega^{p_*} \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{p_*}}^{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

which further implies that $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies Definition 2.4(iv). Thus, $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach function space. If $p_- \geq 1$, then $p_* = 1$. By this, Definition 2.1, [12, Theorems 2.17 and 2.26], and Definition 2.2(i), we conclude that $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies Definition 2.4(v) and, for any given ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |f(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) \mathbf{1}_B(x) [\omega(x)]^{-1} dx \lesssim \|f\omega\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathbf{1}_B \omega^{-1}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|f\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-1}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-p_*}}^{(p(\cdot)/p_*)' }(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies Definition 2.4(vi) and hence completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. \square

Now, we state a fundamental conclusion about the θ -convexification ($\theta \in (0, \infty)$) of weighted variable Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\theta \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\left(L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)^{\theta} = L_{\omega^{1/\theta}}^{\theta p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms, where $(L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\theta}$ denotes the θ -convexification of $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 2.7 and Definitions 2.6 and 2.1, we find that, for any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{(L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\theta}} &= \| |f|^{\theta} \|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\theta} = \|\omega |f|^{\theta}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\theta} \\ &= \left[\inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{\omega |f|^{\theta}}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \right]^{1/\theta} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda^{1/\theta} \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{\omega(x) |f(x)|^{\theta}}{\lambda} \right]^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda^{1/\theta} \in (0, \infty) : \int_B \left\{ \frac{[\omega(x)]^{1/\theta} |f(x)|}{\lambda^{1/\theta}} \right\}^{\theta p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ t \in (0, \infty) : \mathcal{Q}_{\theta p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{\omega^{1/\theta} f}{t} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \|\omega^{1/\theta} f\|_{L^{\theta p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L_{\omega^{1/\theta}}^{\theta p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.8. \square

At the end of this subsection, we state a fundamental conclusion about associate spaces of weighted variable Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in [1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1), and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\left(L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)' = L_{\omega^{-1}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms, where $p'(\cdot)$ is the conjugate variable exponent of $p(\cdot)$.

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 2.7, Definition 2.5, and [25, Proposition 2.2], we find that, for any $f \in (L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))'$,

$$\|f\|_{(L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))'} = \sup_{g \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=1} \|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{L_{\omega^{-1}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the implicit positive equivalence constant is independent of f . \square

2.3 Relations between Two Variable Muckenhoupt Weights

In this subsection, we introduce another definition of the variable Muckenhoupt weight and clarify the relationship between it and the weight in Definition 2.2. To this end, we first recall the concept of the variable Muckenhoupt weight from [11, p. 364] (see also [13, Definition 1.4]).

Definition 2.10. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in [1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1) and ω be a measurable function such that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . We say $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(2.4) \quad \|\omega \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|B|.$$

Remark 2.11. (i) By Definition 2.10, we conclude that $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\omega^{-1} \in A_{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in [1, \infty)$, then $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\omega^p \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This conclusion can be found in [13, p. 746] (see also [11, p. 365]). But we still give some details of its proof here for the sake of completeness. Let $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (1, \infty)$. By (2.4) and (2.3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\iff \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \|\omega \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \\ &\iff \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \left\{ \int_B [\omega(x)]^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_B [(\omega(y))^{p'}]^{1-p'} dy \right\}^{1/p'} \leq C \\ &\iff \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|^p} \int_B [\omega(x)]^p dx \left\{ \int_B [(\omega(y))^{p'}]^{1-p'} dy \right\}^{p/p'} \leq C^p \\ &\iff \omega^p \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Some usual modifications are made when $p(\cdot) \equiv p = 1$.

Via Definition 2.10 and arguments similar to those used in the proofs of Lemmas 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9, we have the following result; and we omit the details of its proofs.

Lemma 2.12. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in [1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1). Then the conclusions of Lemmas 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9 still hold true with $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ replaced by $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A function $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to satisfy the *globally log-Hölder continuous condition*, denoted by $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if there exist positive constants $C_{\log}(p)$ and C_∞ , and $p_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{\log}(p)}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}$$

and

$$|p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

The following conclusion is the main result of this subsection.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in (1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1), and ω be a measurable function such that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . If $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

To prove Proposition 2.13, we need more preparations. For any given $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, the *powered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* $\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}(f)(x) := \left\{ \mathcal{M}(|f|^\theta)(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

The following conclusion comes from [44, Lemma 2.15(ii)].

Lemma 2.14. *Assume that X is a ball quasi-Banach function space on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathcal{M} bounded on X . Then there exists an $\eta \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{(\eta)}$ is bounded on X .*

The following lemma is just [7, Lemma 2.9].

Lemma 2.15. *Let X be a ball Banach function space and $\eta \in [1, \infty)$. If \mathcal{M} is bounded on X , then \mathcal{M} is also bounded on X^η .*

The following conclusion was obtained in [13, Theorem 1.5] (see also [11, Theorem 1.3]).

Lemma 2.16. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in (1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1). Then \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 2.17. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in (1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1). By Remark 2.11(i) and [17, Remark 4.1.5], we find that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, we prove Proposition 2.13.

Proof of Proposition 2.13. On the one hand, since $p_- \in (1, \infty)$, it follows that $p_* = 1$. Moreover, by $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Definition 2.10, we find that, for any $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{p_*}}^{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-p_*}}^{(p(\cdot)/p_*)'(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-1}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

which implies Definition 2.2(i).

On the other hand, from $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Lemma 2.16, we deduce that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, combined with Lemmas 2.12 and 2.15, implies that there exists a constant $\eta_1 \in (1, \infty)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $(L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\eta_1} = L_{\omega^{1/\eta_1}}^{\eta_1 p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, together with Remark 2.17, further shows that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By this and Lemma 2.14, we

conclude that there exists a constant $\eta_2 \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{(\eta_2)}$ is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and hence, for any $f \in L_{\omega^{-\eta_2/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'/\eta_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{L_{\omega^{-\eta_2/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'/\eta_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} &= \|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{(L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{1/\eta_2}} = \left\| [\mathcal{M}(f)]^{1/\eta_2} \right\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)}}^{\eta_2} \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{M}^{(\eta_2)}(|f|^{1/\eta_2}) \right\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)}}^{\eta_2} \lesssim \| |f|^{1/\eta_2} \|_{L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)}}^{\eta_2} \\ &= \|f\|_{(L_{\omega^{-1/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{1/\eta_2}} = \|f\|_{L_{\omega^{-\eta_2/\eta_1}}^{(\eta_1 p(\cdot))'/\eta_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies Definition 2.2(ii) with κ and s therein replaced, respectively, by η_2 and η_1 here. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.13. \square

Remark 2.18. (i) We point out that the proof of Proposition 2.13 depends heavily on the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not necessary in Proposition 2.13. Indeed, $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not necessary for the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $p_{\infty} \in (1, \infty)$ and define

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} - |e^{k^2} - x| & \text{if } 0 \leq |e^{k^2} - x| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad 1 \leq k < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $p(x) := \phi(x) + p_{\infty}$ and $\omega(x) \equiv 1$. Then, by [12, Proposition 4.9, Definition 4.45 and Theorem 4.52], we conclude that $p(\cdot) \notin C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this and an argument similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 2.13, we deduce that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that Cruz-Uribe and Wang in [16, Remark 2.5] conjectured that, if \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is still open. Therefore, what conditions can be weakened in Proposition 2.13 remains unknown.

(ii) We initially wanted to prove the *conclusion* that: if $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega^{p_*} \in A_{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with p_* the same as in (2.2), then $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This generalizes Proposition 2.13 by removing the limitation $p_- \in (1, \infty)$. Indeed, when $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$, the conclusion is easily proved. Recall that, for any given $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *weighted Lebesgue space* $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$ and ω be a measurable function such that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . If $p \in [1, \infty)$, then, by Remarks 2.11(ii) and 2.3, we conclude that

$$\omega^{p_*} \in A_{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff \omega^p \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \omega^p \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff \omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If $p \in (0, 1)$, then, from Remark 2.11(ii), [20, Propositions 7.2 and 7.6(1)], and [20, Theorem 7.3], we deduce that there exist $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in (1/p, \infty)$ such that

$$\omega^{p_*} \in A_{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff \omega^p \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \omega^p \in A_{s p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iff \omega^{p[1-(sp)']}] \in A_{(sp)'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\implies \omega^{p[1-(sp)']}] \in A_{(sp)'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\iff \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L^{(sp)'/\kappa}(\omega^{p[1-(sp)']}]1, \mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\iff \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L^{(sp)'/\kappa}(\omega^{-\kappa/s \cdot (sp)'/\kappa}, \mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\iff \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\iff \omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that Cruz-Uribe and Wang in [16, Theorem 2.4] proved that the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $p_- > 1$, which makes it impossible to prove the conclusion with an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 2.13. Thus, whether the conclusion is right or not is still unknown.

- (iii) Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all measurable functions $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying that, for any families \mathfrak{Q} of disjoint cubes and any $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{Q}} \mathbf{1}_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f . Recall that Ho [26, Proposition 3.7] proved that, if $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega^{p_*} \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, by [17, Theorem 4.5.7 and Remark 4.5.8] (see also [11, p. 367]), we conclude that $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, Proposition 2.13 improves the corresponding results in [26, Proposition 3.7] with $p_- \in (1, \infty)$ by weakening the condition $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (iv) Let $\omega \equiv 1$. If $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $p_- \in (1, \infty)$ with p_- the same as in (2.1), then, by [12, Remark 2.40, Proposition 2.3, and Theorem 3.16], we conclude that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{p_*}}^{p(\cdot)/p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L_{\omega^{-p_*}}^{p(\cdot)/p_*'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

and that there exist $s \in (1, \infty)$ and $\kappa \in (1, (sp_+)')$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This coincides with the conclusion of Proposition 2.13 with $\omega \equiv 1$ therein.

Proposition 2.19. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_- \in [1, \infty)$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a constant $s \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\omega^{1/s} \in A_{sp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Indeed, by $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Remark 2.3, we find that $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n and there exist constants $\kappa, s \in (1, \infty)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/\kappa}$. From these and Lemma 2.15, we further deduce that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By this, Lemma 2.16, and Remark 2.11(i), we obtain $\omega^{1/s} \in A_{sp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

3 Some Real-Variable Characterizations of Weighted Variable Hardy Spaces

In this section, we first introduce weighted variable Hardy spaces $H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Subsection 3.1. Then we verify that the weighted variable Lebesgue space

$L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supports a Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality and the boundedness of the powered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on its associate space in Subsection 3.2. Finally, in Subsection 3.3, we establish the real-variable characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of maximal functions, atoms, molecules, (intrinsic) Lusin area functions, and (intrinsic) Littlewood–Paley g -functions and g_λ^* -functions by viewing $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as special cases of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and applying known results of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained in [7, 44, 54, 55, 56].

3.1 Weighted Variable Hardy Spaces

To introduce the weighted variable Hardy space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we first recall some basic concepts. Throughout this article, we always let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the *space of all Schwartz functions* and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its *topological dual space* equipped with the weak-* topology, and let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote, respectively, the *Fourier transform* and its *inverse*. Namely, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(\xi) := \mathcal{F}f(-\xi),$$

here and thereafter, for any $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\xi := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$x \cdot \xi := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \xi_k \quad \text{and} \quad i := \sqrt{-1}.$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{F}f$ is defined by setting, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle \mathcal{F}f, \varphi \rangle := \langle f, \mathcal{F}\varphi \rangle$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ is defined by setting, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}f, \varphi \rangle := \langle f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi \rangle$.

The following definition is just [54, Definition 2.8] (see also [44, (3.13)] or [48, Definition 2.8]).

Definition 3.1. For any $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\varphi(tD)(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(t \cdot) \mathcal{F}f).$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *Lusin area function* $S(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S(f)(x) := \left[\int_{\Gamma(x)} |\varphi(tD)(f)(y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{1/2},$$

here and thereafter, $\Gamma(x) := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) : |y - x| < t\}$. Then we introduce the weighted variable Hardy space $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is a variant of [25, Definition 2.4].

Definition 3.2. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{1}_{B(\vec{0}_n, 4) \setminus B(\vec{0}_n, 2)} \leq \varphi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(\vec{0}_n, 8) \setminus B(\vec{0}_n, 1)}.$$

Then the *weighted variable Hardy space* $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by setting

$$H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|S(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Remark 3.3. If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ become, respectively, the classical Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the classical Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3.2 Two Important Conditions about Hardy–Littlewood Maximal Operators

We first recall some basic concepts in this subsection. The following definition can be found in [25, p. 387] (see also [43, p. 516] or [34, p. 71]).

Definition 3.4. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define

$$(3.1) \quad s_\omega := \inf \left\{ s \geq 1 : \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}_\omega := \left\{ s \geq 1 : \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for some } \kappa > 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, for any given $s \in \mathbb{S}_\omega$, define

$$(3.2) \quad \kappa_\omega^s := \sup \left\{ \kappa > 1 : \mathcal{M} \text{ is bounded on } L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

Remark 3.5. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (i) As was mentioned in [25, p. 388], the indices s_ω and κ_ω^s are defined for presenting the atomic decomposition of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Especially, s_ω is related to the vanishing moment condition and κ_ω^s is related to the size condition of the atomic decompositions, which can also be seen in Theorem 3.13 below.
- (ii) It is easy to see that $s_\omega \geq \frac{1}{p_*}$. Moreover, if $s \in \mathbb{S}_\omega$, then $s \geq s_\omega$. Indeed, by $s \in \mathbb{S}_\omega$, we conclude that there exists some $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, [52, (2.12)], Definition 2.6, and Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we deduce that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|\mathcal{M}^{(\kappa)}(f)\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| [\mathcal{M}(|f|^\kappa)]^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|\mathcal{M}(|f|^\kappa)\|_{L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\kappa} \leq C \| |f|^\kappa \|_{L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\kappa} \\ &= C \|f\|_{L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which further implies that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $s \geq s_\omega$. This also shows that s_ω is well defined.

- (iii) By (3.1), we conclude that, for any given $s_0 > s_\omega$, there exists $s_1 \in (s_\omega, s_0)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-1/s_1}}^{(s_1 p(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (iv) Let $s \in \mathbb{S}_\omega$. It is easy to see that κ_ω^s is well defined. Moreover, from (3.2), we deduce that, for any given $\kappa_0 < \kappa_\omega^s$, there exists $\kappa_1 \in (\kappa_0, \kappa_\omega^s)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa_1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (v) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by [20, Theorem 2.5], we obtain $s_\omega = \frac{1}{p_*}$ and $\kappa_\omega^s = (\max\{1, p\})'$.

The following conclusion shows the Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequalities on $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is obtained in [25, Theorem 3.1] (see also [38, Theorem 2.4] or [34, Theorem 1]).

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of measurable functions and any $r \in (s_\omega, \infty)$,*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}(f_j)]^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L_\omega^{r p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_\omega^{r p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we present two important conclusions about the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on its associate space.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist some $\theta, h \in (0, 1]$ with $\theta < h$, and a positive constant C such that, for any sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of measurable functions,*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}(f_j)]^h \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^h \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Indeed, choose $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{s_\omega})$ and $h \in (\theta, 1]$. Then, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, Definition 2.6, and Lemma 3.6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}(f_j)]^h \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}(|f_j|^\theta)]^{h/\theta} \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}(|f_j|^\theta)]^{h/\theta} \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)/\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^h \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)/\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^h \right\}^{1/h} \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit positive constant is independent of $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist some $h \in (0, 1]$, $q_0 \in (1, \infty)$, and a positive constant C such that $(L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h}$ is a ball Banach function space and, for any $f \in ((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'$,*

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{(q_0/h)'}(f)\|_{((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'} \leq C \|f\|_{((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'}.$$

Proof. By $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we find that there exist constants $\kappa, s \in (1, \infty)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Choose $h = \frac{1}{s}$ and $q_0 \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)/s, 1\}, \infty)$. Then, from these and Remark 3.5(iv), we deduce that there exists a constant $\kappa_1 \in ((q_0 s)', \kappa_\omega^s)$ such that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L_{\omega^{-\kappa_1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, combined with [52, (2.12)], Lemma 3.6, Definition 2.6, and Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, further implies that, for any $f \in ((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}^{(q_0/h)'}(f)\|_{((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'} &\leq \|\mathcal{M}^{(\kappa_1)}(f)\|_{((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'} = \left\| [\mathcal{M}(|f|^{\kappa_1})]^{1/\kappa_1} \right\|_{L_{\omega^{-h}}^{(p(\cdot)/h)'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|\mathcal{M}(|f|^{\kappa_1})\|_{L_{\omega^{-h\kappa_1}}^{(p(\cdot)/h)'/\kappa_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\kappa_1} = \|\mathcal{M}(|f|^{\kappa_1})\|_{L_{\omega^{-\kappa_1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\kappa_1} \\ &\leq C \| |f|^{\kappa_1} \|_{L_{\omega^{-\kappa_1/s}}^{(sp(\cdot))'/\kappa_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/\kappa_1} = C \|f\|_{((L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h})'}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f . Moreover, by Remark 3.5(ii) and Lemma 2.7, we conclude that $h = \frac{1}{s} \leq p_*$ and hence $(L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{1/h} = L_{\omega^h}^{p(\cdot)/h}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach function space. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.8. \square

Combining Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, we obtain the main result of this subsection as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$. Then $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies all the conclusions of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 with $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$, $h = \frac{1}{s}$, and $q_0 \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)/s, 1\}, \infty)$.*

Remark 3.10. If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by Remarks 3.3 and 3.5(v), we conclude that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies all the conclusions of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 with $\theta \in (0, p_*)$, $h = p_*$, and $q_0 \in (\max\{1, p\}, \infty)$, which coincides with [48, Remark 2.7(i)].

3.3 Several Real-Variable Characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In what follows, we always let $H_{\omega, \text{atom}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H_{\omega, \text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), r, d, \epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be, respectively, the weighted variable atomic Hardy space, the weighted variable finite atomic Hardy space, and the weighted variable molecular Hardy space, which are defined, respectively, as in [54, p. 784], [54, Definition 1.9], and [44, pp. 25-26] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein. Combining both Lemma 3.6 and [44, Theorems 3.1 and 3.21] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the following maximal function characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cap [ns_\omega] + 2, \infty)$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx \neq 0$. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |f * \varphi_t|\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, where $\varphi_t(\cdot) := \frac{1}{t^n} \varphi(\frac{\cdot}{t})$;
- (iii) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|M_\varphi(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, here and thereafter, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_\varphi(f)(x) := \sup_{t \in (0, \infty), |y-x| < t} |f * \varphi_t(y)|;$$

(iv) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\| \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)} M_\varphi(f) \|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, here and thereafter,

$$\mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |D^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

and, for any $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $D^\alpha := (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\alpha_n}$.

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \left\| \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |f * \varphi_t| \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|M_\varphi(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \left\| \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)} M_\varphi(f) \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where implicit positive equivalence constants are independent of f .

Remark 3.12. We point out that the equivalence between (i) and (iv) of Theorem 3.11 coincides with [25, Theorem 6.1]; the rest of Theorem 3.11 is completely new.

By Theorem 3.9 and [55, Theorem 5.1] (or [44, Theorems 3.6 and 3.7]) with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the atomic characterization of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.13. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}, \infty]$, and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\lfloor ns - n \rfloor, \infty)$. Then $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_{\omega, \text{atom}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

Remark 3.14. We point out that Theorem 3.13 coincides with [25, Theorems 5.2 and 5.3].

Throughout this article, the symbol $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of *all continuous complex-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n* . The following finite atomic characterization of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a direct corollary of both Theorem 3.9 and [55, Theorem 5.2] (or [54, Theorem 1.10]) with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein.

Theorem 3.15. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}, \infty]$, and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\lfloor ns - n \rfloor, \infty)$.

(i) If $r \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}, \infty)$, then $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(ii) $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), \infty, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), \infty, d}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.16. We point out that Theorem 3.15 is completely new.

The following molecular characterization of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a direct corollary of both Theorem 3.9 and [44, Theorem 3.9] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein.

Theorem 3.17. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}, \infty]$, $d \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\lfloor ns - n \rfloor, \infty)$, and

$$\epsilon \in \left(n \left(s - \frac{1}{\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}} \right), \infty \right).$$

Then $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_{\omega, \text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), r, d, \epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

Remark 3.18. We point out that Theorem 3.17 is completely new.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *Littlewood–Paley g -function* $g(f)$ and *Littlewood–Paley g_λ^* -function* $g_\lambda^*(f)$ of f with $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ are defined, respectively, by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$g(f)(x) := \left[\int_0^\infty |\varphi(tD)(f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$g_\lambda^*(f)(x) := \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{\lambda n} |\varphi(tD)(f)(y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Recall that $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to *vanish weakly at infinity* if, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f * \varphi_t \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for instance, [22, p. 50]).

By Theorem 3.9 and [7, Theorem 4.11] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the Littlewood–Paley function characterizations of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.19. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{1}_{B(\vec{0}_n, 4) \setminus B(\vec{0}_n, 2)} \leq \varphi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(\vec{0}_n, 8) \setminus B(\vec{0}_n, 1)},$$

and $\lambda \in (2s, \infty)$. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (i) $f \in H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f vanishes weakly at infinity, and $g(f) \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (iii) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f vanishes weakly at infinity, and $g_\lambda^*(f) \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 , independent of f , such that

$$\|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 \|g(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 \|g_\lambda^*(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 3.20. (i) We point out that Theorem 3.19 is completely new.

- (ii) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, 1]$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by Remark 3.10 and Theorem 3.19, we obtain the Littlewood–Paley g_λ^* function of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\lambda \in (2/p, \infty)$, which coincides with the best known range.

The following Campanato-type function space is inspired by [56, Definition 3.2].

Definition 3.21. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then the *Campanato space* $\mathcal{L}_{\omega, q, d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega, q, d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &:= \sup \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right\}^s \right\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k |B_k|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_k}\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \left[\frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} |f(x) - P_{B_k}^d f(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_B^d f$ denotes the minimizing polynomial of f on B with degree not greater than d , and the supremum is taken over all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \neq 0$.

By Theorem 3.9, [12, p. 73] (or [17, Lemma 2.3.16]), and [56, Theorem 3.14] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the duality of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.22. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (\max\{(\kappa_\omega^s)'/s, 1\}, \infty]$, and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cap \llbracket ns - n \rrbracket, \infty)$. Then the dual space of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by $(H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, is $\mathcal{L}_{\omega, r', d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ in the following sense:*

(i) *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega, r', d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the linear functional*

$$(3.3) \quad T_f : g \rightarrow T_f(g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx,$$

originally defined for any $g \in H_{\omega, \text{fin}}^{p(\cdot), r, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has a bounded extension to $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) *Conversely, every continuous linear functional on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ arises as in (3.3) with a unique $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega, r', d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Moreover, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega, r', d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|T_f\|_{(H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^}$, where the implicit positive equivalence constant is independent of f .*

Remark 3.23. We point out that Theorem 3.22 is completely new.

Throughout this article, for any $\delta := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$x^\delta := x_1^{\delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\delta_n}.$$

For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we use $C^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all functions having continuous classical derivatives up to order d . For any given $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $C_{\alpha, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all functions $\varphi \in C^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(x) \neq 0\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)x^\gamma dx = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq d$, and, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| = d$,

$$|D^\nu \varphi(x_1) - D^\nu \varphi(x_2)| \leq |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha.$$

For any $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, let

$$A_{\alpha, d}(f)(y, t) := \sup_{\varphi \in C_{\alpha, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |f * \varphi_t(y)|.$$

Then the *intrinsic Littlewood–Paley g -function* $g_{\alpha, d}(f)$, the *intrinsic Littlewood–Paley g_λ^* -function* $g_{\lambda, \alpha, d}^*(f)$ with $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and the *intrinsic Lusin area function* $S_{\alpha, d}(f)$ of f are defined, respectively, by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$g_{\alpha, d}(f)(x) := \left\{ \int_0^\infty [A_{\alpha, d}(f)(x, t)]^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2},$$

$$g_{\lambda, \alpha, d}^*(f)(x) := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{\lambda n} [A_{\alpha, d}(f)(y, t)]^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\}^{1/2},$$

and

$$S_{\alpha,d}(f)(x) := \left\{ \int_{\Gamma(x)} [A_{\alpha,d}(f)(y,t)]^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

By Theorem 3.9, Theorem 3.22, and [55, Theorems 5.5 and 5.6] (or [54, Theorems 1.15 and 1.16]) with $X := L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the intrinsic square function characterizations of $H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.24. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Assume that $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, (n + \alpha + d)/n)$, and*

$$\lambda \in \left(\max \left\{ 2s, 2s + 1 - \frac{2}{\max\{(\kappa_{\omega}^s)'/s, 1\}} \right\}, \infty \right).$$

Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (i) $f \in H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $f \in (\mathcal{L}_{\omega,1,d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, f vanishes weakly at infinity, and $g_{\alpha,d}(f) \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (iii) $f \in (\mathcal{L}_{\omega,1,d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, f vanishes weakly at infinity, and $g_{\lambda,\alpha,d}^*(f) \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (iv) $f \in (\mathcal{L}_{\omega,1,d}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, f vanishes weakly at infinity, and $S_{\alpha,d}(f) \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|g_{\alpha,d}(f)\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|g_{\lambda,\alpha,d}^*(f)\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|S_{\alpha,d}(f)\|_{L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where implicit positive equivalence constants are independent of f .

Remark 3.25. (i) We point out that, when $d = 0$, the boundedness of intrinsic square functions in Theorem 3.24 improves the corresponding results in [26, Theorems 4.8 and 4.9] by weakening the condition $\omega^{p^*} \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)/p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; the rest of Theorem 3.24 is completely new.

- (ii) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, 1]$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by Remark 3.10 and Theorem 3.24, we obtain the intrinsic Littlewood–Paley g_{λ}^* function of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\lambda \in (2/p, \infty)$, which coincides with the best known range.

4 Boundedness of Some Sublinear Operators on Weighted Variable Hardy Spaces

In this section, we obtain the boundedness of some sublinear operators, including Calderón–Zygmund operators and Bochner–Riesz means, on weighted variable Hardy spaces $H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by viewing $H_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as special cases of Hardy spaces $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces X and applying known results of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained in [47, 48].

4.1 Calderón–Zygmund Operators

Recall that, for any given $\delta \in (0, 1)$, a *convolutional δ -type Calderón–Zygmund operator* T means that: T is a linear bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with kernel $k \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coinciding with a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}_n\}$ and satisfying that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 2|y|$,

$$|k(x - y) - k(x)| \leq C \frac{|y|^\delta}{|x|^{n+\delta}},$$

and that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Tf(x) = k * f(x)$.

Throughout this article, for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, any β -order differentiable function $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\partial_{(2)}^\beta F(x, y) := \frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial y_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial y_n^{\beta_n}} F(x, y).$$

Recall that, for any given $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$, a linear operator T is called a *γ -order Calderón–Zygmund operator* if T is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its kernel

$$k(x, y) : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfies that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| = \lceil \gamma \rceil - 1$ and any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - y| > 2|y - z|$,

$$|\partial_{(2)}^\alpha k(x, y) - \partial_{(2)}^\alpha k(x, z)| \leq C \frac{|y - z|^{\lceil \gamma \rceil + 1}}{|x - y|^{n+\gamma}},$$

and that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having compact support and $x \notin \text{supp } f$,

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\text{supp } f} k(x, y)f(y) dy.$$

For any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an operator T is said to have the *vanishing moment condition up to order m* if, for any $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support satisfying that, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\beta| \leq m$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\beta dx = 0$, it holds true that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Ta(x)x^\beta dx = 0$.

By Theorem 3.9, [12, p. 73] (or [17, Lemma 2.3.16]), and [48, Theorems 3.5 and 3.11] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$.*

- (i) *Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and T be a convolutional δ -type Calderón–Zygmund operator. If $s \in (1, \frac{n+\delta}{n})$, then T has an unique extension on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, moreover, for any $f \in H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|Tf\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f .

- (ii) Let $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ and T be a γ -order Calderón–Zygmund operator with the vanishing moment condition up to order $[\gamma]-1$. If $s \in (\frac{n+[\gamma]-1}{n}, \frac{n+\gamma}{n})$, then T has a unique extension on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, moreover, for any $f \in H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f .

Remark 4.2. (i) We point out that a different variant of Theorem 4.1(ii) was obtained in [26, Theorem 4.3] which uses different Calderón–Zygmund operators and the condition $\omega^{p^*} \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)/p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ instead of $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (ii) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, 1]$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by Remark 3.10 and Theorem 4.1, we obtain the boundedness of convolutional δ -type and non-convolutional γ -order Calderón–Zygmund operators, with the vanishing moment condition up to order $[\gamma]-1$, on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with, respectively, $p \in (\frac{n}{n+\delta}, 1]$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{n+\gamma}, \frac{n}{n+[\gamma]-1}]$.

4.2 Bochner–Riesz Means

We first recall the definitions of the Bochner–Riesz means. Let $\delta, \epsilon \in (0, \infty)$. For any given $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Bochner–Riesz operator $B_{1/\epsilon}^\delta(f)$ of order δ is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$B_{1/\epsilon}^\delta(f)(x) := (f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x),$$

where $\varphi(x) := \mathcal{F}((1 - |\cdot|^2)_+^\delta)(x)$ and, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(a)_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$; moreover, the maximal Bochner–Riesz means $B_*^\delta(f)$ is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$B_*^\delta(f)(x) := \sup_{\epsilon \in (0, \infty)} |B_{1/\epsilon}^\delta(f)(x)|.$$

By Theorem 3.9, [12, p. 73] (or [17, Lemma 2.3.16]), and [47, Theorem 1.8] with $X := L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein, we obtain the boundedness of the maximal Bochner–Riesz means on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.3. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in (1, \infty)$, $\delta \in (\frac{n-1}{2}, \infty)$, and B_*^δ be the maximal Bochner–Riesz means. If $s \in (1, \frac{n+1+2\delta}{2n})$, then B_*^δ has an unique extension on $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, moreover, for any $f \in H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|B_*^\delta(f)\|_{L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f .

Remark 4.4. (i) We point out that Theorem 4.3 improves the corresponding results in [26, Theorem 4.5] by weakening the condition $\omega^{p^*} \in \mathcal{A}_{p(\cdot)/p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (ii) If $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in (0, 1]$ and $\omega \equiv 1$, then, by Remark 3.10 and Theorem 4.3, we obtain the boundedness of maximal Bochner–Riesz means B_*^δ on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (\frac{2n}{n+1+2\delta}, 1]$.

At the end of this section, we point out that, since the main results of this article do not depend on Lebesgue measure and Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^n , via viewing weighted variable Lebesgue spaces as special cases of ball quasi-Banach function spaces and applying known results of in [35, 36, 50, 52, 53], we can obtain some similar real-variable results of $H_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ on anisotropic Euclidean spaces or spaces of homogeneous type; we omit the details.

Acknowledgements This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12301112), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 2022M721024 and 2023M741020), Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (Grant No. GZC20230695), and the Open Project Program of Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex System of Beijing Normal University (Grant No. K202304).

Author Contributions All authors developed and discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Data Availability Statement Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analysed.

Declarations

Conflict of interest All authors state no conflict of interest.

Informed consent Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this research work.

References

- [1] R. Akgün, Variable Muckenhoupt weights with applications in approximation, arXiv: 2109.00290v1.
- [2] A. Almeida and P. Hästö, Besov spaces with variable smoothness and integrability, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), 1628–1655.
- [3] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [4] T. Q. Bui, T. A. Bui and X.-T. Duong, Global regularity estimates for non-divergence elliptic equations on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 23 (2021), Paper No. 2050014, 26 pp.
- [5] A. Cabral, Weighted norm inequalities for the maximal functions associated to a critical radius function on variable Lebesgue spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 516 (2022), Paper No. 126521, 15 pp.
- [6] A. Cabral, Weighted inequalities for Schrödinger type singular integrals on variable Lebesgue spaces, arXiv: 2401.04010v1.
- [7] D.-C. Chang, S. Wang, D. Yang and Y. Zhang, Littlewood–Paley characterizations of Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* 14 (2020), Paper No. 40, 33 pp.
- [8] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, *Studia Math.* 51 (1974), 241–250.
- [9] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and J. Cummings, Weighted norm inequalities for the maximal operator on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ over spaces of homogeneous type, *Ann. Fenn. Math.* 47 (2022), 457–488.

- [10] D. V. Cruz-Uribe, E. Dalmasso, F. J. Martín-Reyes and P. Ortega Salvador, The Calderón operator and the Stieltjes transform on variable Lebesgue spaces with weights, *Collect. Math.* 71 (2020), 443–469.
- [11] D. V. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening and P. Hästö, The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 14 (2011), 361–374.
- [12] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces, Foundations and Harmonic Analysis, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [13] D. V. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and C. J. Neugebauer, Weighted norm inequalities for the maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 394 (2012), 744–760.
- [14] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and O. M. Guzmán, Weighted norm inequalities for the bilinear maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces, *Publ. Mat.* 64 (2020), 453–498.
- [15] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. D. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 63 (2014), 447–493.
- [16] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. D. Wang, Extrapolation and weighted norm inequalities in the variable Lebesgue spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369 (2017), 1205–1235.
- [17] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [18] L. Diening and P. Hästö, Muckenhoupt weights in variable exponent spaces, 2010, Preprint.
- [19] L. Diening and M. Růžička, Calderón–Zygmund operators on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and problems related to fluid dynamics, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 563 (2003), 197–220.
- [20] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [21] X. Fan, Boundary trace embedding theorems for variable exponent Sobolev spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 339 (2008), 1395–1412.
- [22] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Mathematical Notes 28, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [23] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [24] V. S. Guliyev, J. J. Hasanov and X. A. Badalov, Maximal and singular integral operators and their commutators on generalized weighted Morrey spaces with variable exponent, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 21 (2018), 41–61.
- [25] K.-P. Ho, Atomic decompositions of weighted Hardy spaces with variable exponents, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 69 (2017), 383–413.
- [26] K.-P. Ho, Sublinear operators on weighted Hardy spaces with variable exponents, *Forum Math.* 31 (2019), 607–617.
- [27] K.-P. Ho, Extrapolation to weighted Morrey spaces with variable exponents and applications, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* 64 (2021), 1002–1027.
- [28] K.-P. Ho, Strongly singular Calderón–Zygmund operators on Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 13 (2023), Paper No. 67, 22 pp.
- [29] M. Izuki, E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Wavelet characterization and modular inequalities for weighted Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 40 (2015), 551–571.

- [30] M. Izuki, T. Nogayama, T. Noi and Y. Sawano, Wavelet characterization of local Muckenhoupt weighted Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent, *Nonlinear Anal.* 198 (2020), 111930, 14 pp.
- [31] M. Izuki, T. Nogayama, T. Noi and Y. Sawano, Wavelet characterization of local Muckenhoupt weighted Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, *Constr. Approx.* 57 (2023), 161–234.
- [32] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Maximal and singular integral operators in weighted grand variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 12 (2021), Paper No. 48, 29 pp.
- [33] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, The maximal operator in weighted variable exponent spaces on metric spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* 15 (2008), 683–712.
- [34] B. Laadjal, K. Saibi, O. Melkemi and Z. Mokhtari, Weighted variable Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Davies–Gaffney estimates, *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.* 11 (29) (2022), 66–90.
- [35] C. Li, X. Yan and D. Yang, Anisotropic ball Campanato-type function spaces and their applications, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 13 (2023), Paper No. 50, 71 pp.
- [36] C. Li, X. Yan and D. Yang, Fourier transform of anisotropic Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces and its applications to Hardy–Littlewood inequalities, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* (to appear) or arXiv: 2306.05840v2.
- [37] F. I. Mamedov and Y. Zeren, On boundedness of fractional maximal operator in weighted $L^p(\cdot)$ spaces, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 19 (2016), 1–14.
- [38] O. Melkemi, K. Saibi and Z. Mokhtari, Weighted variable Hardy spaces on domains, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 6 (2021), Paper No. 56, 18 pp.
- [39] G. Mingione, Regularity of minima: an invitation to the dark side of the calculus of variations, *Appl. Math.* 51 (2006), 355–426.
- [40] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [41] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 3665–3748.
- [42] T. Nogayama and Y. Sawano, Local Muckenhoupt class for variable exponents, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2021, Paper No. 70, 27 pp.
- [43] P. Rocha, Inequalities for weighted spaces with variable exponents, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 26 (2023), 511–530.
- [44] Y. Sawano, K.-P. Ho, D. Yang and S. Yang, Hardy spaces for ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Dissertationes Math.* 525 (2017), 1–102.
- [45] J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1381, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [46] J. Tan, Weighted variable Hardy spaces associated with para-accretive functions and boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, *J. Geom. Anal.* 33 (2023), Paper No. 61, 32 pp.
- [47] J. Tan and L. Zhang, Bochner–Riesz means on Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 20 (2023), Paper No. 240, 24 pp.
- [48] F. Wang, D. Yang and S. Yang, Applications of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Paper No. 26, 58 pp.
- [49] F. Wang, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Riesz transform characterization of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 29 (2023), Paper No. 56, 49 pp.

- [50] Z. Wang, X. Yan and D. Yang, Anisotropic Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces and their applications, *Kyoto J. Math.* (to appear).
- [51] J. Xu, Variable Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 33 (2008), 511–522.
- [52] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: Characterizations of maximal functions, decompositions, and dual spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 296 (2023), 3056–3116.
- [53] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: Littlewood–Paley characterizations with applications to boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 38 (2022), 1133–1184.
- [54] X. Yan, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Intrinsic square function characterizations of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Front. Math. China* 15 (2020), 769–806.
- [55] X. Yan, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Intrinsic square function characterizations of several Hardy-type spaces – a survey, *Anal. Theory Appl.* 37 (2021), 426–464.
- [56] Y. Zhang, L. Huang, D. Yang, and W. Yuan, New ball Campanato-type function spaces and their applications, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), Paper No. 99, 42 pp.