

THE GLOBAL DYNAMICS FOR THE MAXWELL-DIRAC SYSTEM

YONGGEUN CHO AND KIYEON LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the global existence and modified scattering of solutions to (1+3) dimensional massive Maxwell-Dirac system in the Lorenz gauge. We employ a vector-field energy method combined with a delicate analysis of the space-time resonance argument. This approach allows us to establish decay estimates and energy bounds crucial for proving the main theorem. Especially, we provide an explicit phase correction arising from the strong nonlinear resonances.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Linear estimates	12
4. Nonlinear estimates	17
5. Proof of main theorem	26
6. Proof of energy estimates	28
7. Proof of weighted energy estimates	39
8. Asymptotic behavior for the spinor field	39
9. Asymptotic behavior for the gauge fields	50
Acknowledgements	52
References	52

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Maxwell-Dirac system.** In this paper we consider (1+3)-dimensional Maxwell-Dirac system:

$$\begin{cases} i\alpha^\mu \mathbf{D}_\mu \psi = m\beta\psi, \\ \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} = -\langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (\text{MD})$$

where the spinor field is $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$, the gauge fields are $A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the covariant derivative \mathbf{D}_μ denotes $\partial_\mu - iA_\mu$ for $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $\partial_0 = \partial_t$. The curvature is defined by $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. α^μ and β are Hermitian 4×4 matrices. In particular, α^0 denotes the 4×4 identity I_4 and $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \beta$ have the relation

$$\alpha^j \alpha^k + \alpha^k \alpha^j = 2\delta^{jk} I_4, \quad \alpha^j \beta + \beta \alpha^j = 0 \quad (j, k = 1, 2, 3), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 = I_4.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q41, 35Q55, 35Q40.

Keywords and phrases. Maxwell-Dirac system, Lorenz gauge, global existence, modified scattering, space-time resonance, vector-field energy method.

We use the standard Pauli-Dirac representation [2, 44] in this paper. The Greek indices indicate the space-time components $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and Roman indices mean the spatial components $j = 1, 2, 3$, in the sequel. The Einstein summation convention is in effect with Greek indices summed over $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ and Roman indices summed over the spatial variables $j = 1, 2, 3$. We lower and raise indices with Minkowski metric $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. Thus $\alpha_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}\alpha^\nu$ and $\partial^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu$. The $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \phi^\dagger \psi$ denotes a standard complex inner product. We call *massive* and *massless* (MD) if the mass parameter is $m > 0$ and $m = 0$, respectively.

Maxwell-Dirac system is the Euler-Lagrange equations for $\mathbf{S}[A_\mu, \psi]$, where

$$\mathbf{S}[A_\mu, \psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+3}} \left[-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i \langle \psi, \alpha^\mu \mathbf{D}_\mu \psi \rangle - m \langle \psi, \beta \psi \rangle \right] dx dt.$$

The system (MD) models an electron in electromagnetic field and form a fundamental system in quantum electrodynamics. For detailed description, we refer to [2, 42].

One of the basic features of (MD) is the gauge invariance. Indeed, (MD) is invariant under the gauge transformation $(\psi, A) \mapsto (e^{i\chi}\psi, A - d\chi)$, for a real-valued function χ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$. For the sake of concreteness of discussion, let us choose the Lorenz gauge

$$\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Under (1.1), the system (MD) becomes

$$\begin{cases} (-i\partial_t + \alpha \cdot D + m\beta)\psi = A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}, \\ -\square A_\mu = \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The momentum operator $D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$ is defined by $D_j = -i\partial_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)$ and $\square = -\partial_t^2 + \Delta$. We will consider a Cauchy problem of (1.2) with initial data

$$\psi(0) = \psi_0, \quad A_\mu(0) = a_\mu, \quad \partial_t A_\mu(0) = \dot{a}_\mu. \tag{1.3}$$

If the solution to (1.2) with (1.3) is sufficiently smooth, the system possesses the charge conservation law

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2}.$$

1.2. Previous works. There is a large amount of literature dealing with the local and global well-posedness, and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of IVP to (MD). For early work in [3, 21], the authors considered the local well-posedness of (MD) on \mathbb{R}^{1+3} and Georgiev [17] proved the global existence for small, smooth initial data in the Lorenz gauge. Later, D'Ancona-Foschi-Selberg [8] obtained an almost optimal regularity $(\psi_0, a_\mu, \dot{a}_\mu) \in H^\varepsilon \times H^{\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}} \times H^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}$ on \mathbb{R}^{1+3} of local solution in the Lorenz gauge. They exploited the spinorial null structures, which stem from Dirac projection operators. D'Ancona-Selberg [9] extended their previous approach to (MD) on \mathbb{R}^{1+2} and proved the global well-posedness in the charge class $L^2 \times H^{\frac{1}{2}} \times H^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Regarding the local well-posedness for (MD) in the Coulomb gauge ($\partial^j A_j = 0$), we refer to [1, 34]. Moreover, Masmoudi and Nakanishi [35] showed the unconditional uniqueness results for (1+3) dimensional (MD) in the Coulomb gauge.

Concerning the asymptotic behavior of global solution to (MD) in the \mathbb{R}^{1+3} Minkowski space, we refer to [14, 39]. In [14], Flato et al considered a final state problem of (MD) in the Lorenz gauge and they showed the asymptotic behavior and asymptotic completeness which leads to the

global well-posedness of IVP to *massive* (MD) for a data set in Schwartz class and also to a modified scattering. The result of [14] seems to be the first nonlinear scattering result obtained without compact support condition. Later, Psarelli [39] showed the global behavior for the IVP to *massive* (MD) in the Lorenz gauge with compactly supported initial data. Regarding (MD) with vanishing magnetic field, in [6, 7], the authors established the modified scattering results in the Lorenz gauge, independently. Recently, Herr, Ifrim, and Spitz [26] considered (MD) in the Lorenz gauge and showed the global existence and modified scattering based on the method of testing with wave packets. Especially, they investigated the asymptotic behavior of the solutions via asymptotic expansion inside the light cone.

For other problems related to (MD), we first refer to [16] for a modified scattering in the energy critical space on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} ($d \geq 4$) in the Coulomb gauge and also [33] for a linear scattering on \mathbb{R}^{1+4} in the Lorenz gauge. As a scalar counterpart of (MD), the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon system (MKG) has been also studied by many authors. Regarding the global well-posedness for the (1+4) dimensional *massless* (MKG), we refer the reader to [32, 41]. In [31, 36, 37], the authors studied independently the scattering results for *massless* (MKG) in the Coulomb gauge on \mathbb{R}^{1+4} . Concerning the global existence for (1+3) dimension, we refer to [43]. In particular, in [5, 25, 45, 46], the authors presented an asymptotic behavior of the solution to the *massless* (MKG) in the Coulomb and Lorenz gauge on \mathbb{R}^{1+3} . We also refer to [28, 38] for the modified scattering results of the *massive* Maxwell-Klein-Gordon type system in the Lorenz gauge. While the *massless* (MKG) are concerned by many authors, there is a few scattering results for *massive* (MKG). We would like to mention the recent result in [15] which establishes the global regularity for the modified scattering results of the higher dimensional *massive* (MKG) on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} ($d \geq 4$) in the Coulomb gauge. See also [13, 30] and [11, 12] for the asymptotic behavior of the (1+3) dimensional *massive* (MKG) and wave-Klein-Gordon type systems, respectively.

1.3. Main Theorem. To identify the asymptotic behavior for Dirac spinor, we introduce Dirac projection operator and decompose spinor by using this projection. Let us denote $\langle D \rangle := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \xi \rangle)$ and $|D| := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|)$, where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are Fourier transform and its inverse, respectively, and $\langle \cdot \rangle := (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we define projection operators Π_θ for $\theta \in \{+, -\}$ by

$$\Pi_\theta \left(= \Pi_\theta(D) \right) := \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 + \theta \frac{\alpha \cdot D + \beta}{\langle D \rangle} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

We denote the symbol of Π_θ by $\Pi_\theta(\xi)$. This simply implies $\psi = \Pi_+ \psi + \Pi_- \psi$.

Let us define the standard vector fields as follows:

$$\partial_\mu \ (\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3), \quad \Omega_{jk} := x_j \partial_k - x_k \partial_j \ (jk \in \{23, 31, 12\}), \quad \Gamma_j := t \partial_j + x_j \partial_t \ (j = 1, 2, 3),$$

which are the infinitesimal generators of translation, rotation, and Lorentz boost, respectively. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define sets of differential operators by

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{I\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_n := \{[\partial]^a [\Omega]^b [\Gamma]^c : |a| + |b| + |c| \leq n\},$$

where $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$, $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$, $|a| = \sum_{\mu=0}^4 a_\mu$, $|b| = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j$, $|c| = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j$, and

$$[\partial]^a := \partial_0^{a_0} \partial_1^{a_1} \partial_2^{a_2} \partial_3^{a_3}, \quad [\Omega]^b := \Omega_{23}^{b_1} \Omega_{31}^{b_2} \Omega_{12}^{b_3}, \quad [\Gamma]^c := \Gamma_1^{c_1} \Gamma_2^{c_2} \Gamma_3^{c_3}.$$

Our main theorem is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N(n)$ be indexed as follows:*

n	0	1	2	3
$N(n)$	70	30	20	10

Then, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that:

(i) *Suppose that the initial data (1.3) satisfies that*

$$\|\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\psi}_0\|_{L_\xi^\infty} + \sum_{n=0}^3 \left[\|\langle x \rangle^n \langle D \rangle^n \psi_0\|_{H^{N(n)}} + \|\langle x \rangle^n \langle D \rangle^n |D|^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_\mu, \dot{a}_\mu)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \right] < \varepsilon_0. \quad (1.5)$$

Then there exists a unique global solution (ψ, A_μ) with $(\psi, |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A_\mu) \in C([0, \infty); H^{N(0)})$ to (MD) in the Lorenz gauge (1.1).

(ii) *The solution $(\psi(t), A_\mu(t))$ has the following asymptotic behavior: There exists $(\psi^\infty(t), A_\mu^\infty(t))$ and phase modification $B_\theta(t, D)$ ($\theta \in \{+, -\}$) such that for some $0 < \delta, \zeta \ll 1$,*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\Pi_\theta \psi(t) - e^{iB_\theta(t, D)} \Pi_\theta \psi^\infty(t)\|_{L^2} \\ \|(A_\mu(t), \partial_t A_\mu(t)) - (A_\mu^\infty(t), \partial_t A_\mu^\infty(t))\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\zeta} \times \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\zeta}} \end{array} \right\} = O(t^{-\delta}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

where the phase modification is defined by the symbol

$$B_\theta(t, \xi) := \Pi_\theta(\xi) \alpha^\mu \int_0^t (P_{\leq K} A_\mu) \left(s, \theta \frac{s\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) ds \quad (1.7)$$

for $K = K(s)$ which is the largest integer such that $2^K \leq \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}-2\zeta}$, and $(\psi^\infty, A_\mu^\infty) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2 \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\zeta})$ is a solution to the linear system (MD):

$$\begin{cases} i\alpha^\mu \partial_\mu \psi^\infty = m\beta \psi^\infty, \\ \square A_\mu^\infty = 0. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *For some small $\bar{\delta} > 0$, the solution (ψ, A_μ) satisfies the energy bounds with slow growth,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^3 \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \|\mathcal{L} \Pi_\theta \psi(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} + \||D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} A_\mu(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \lesssim \varepsilon_0 \langle t \rangle^{\bar{\delta}},$$

for $t \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover, (ψ, A_μ) decays as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^3 \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \|\mathcal{L} \Pi_\theta \psi(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{L} A_\mu(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_0 \langle t \rangle^{-1+\bar{\delta}}. \quad (1.8)$$

The novelty of this paper is to provide an explicit form of interaction between spinor and gauge fields resulting in modified scattering. The proof is based on the space-time resonance argument developed by Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah [18, 19, 20] and the vector-field energy method by Ionescu-Pausader [28]. We believe that our method for modified scattering used in this paper has its own specific advantages that would be of independent interest in various applications. It remains still open to obtain the global existence and asymptotic behavior of (MD) in the other gauges (especially the Coulomb gauge). The Maxwell part consists of elliptic and wave equations in the Coulomb gauge. Due to the lack of Lorentz invariance in the elliptic equation, the extension of current results to the problem in the Coulomb gauge will be nontrivial. This will be addressed as a next issue.

Remark 1.2. (1) *The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a bootstrap argument under the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). The initial data condition (1.5) is essential for satisfying these assumptions. Specifically, the Fourier amplitude condition in (1.5) is crucial to demonstrate modified scattering behavior for the Dirac component.*

(2) *The phase correction $B_\theta(t, \xi)$ is a real-valued and exhibits $t^{\bar{\delta}}$ -growth due to the slow decay (1.8) of the gauge fields (see (8.33)). In view of the dispersive effects, even if we obtain the full decay t^{-1} of the Maxwell part, this phase correction still possesses at least logarithmic divergence.*

(3) *In (1.6), this asymptotic behavior for Dirac spinor implies that there exists $B(t, D)$ such that*

$$\left\| \psi(t) - e^{iB(t, D)} \psi^\infty(t) \right\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Here, $B(t, D)$ can be defined as

$$e^{iB(t, D)} := e^{iB_+(t, D)} \Pi_+ + e^{iB_-(t, D)} \Pi_-.$$

(4) *Theorem 1.1 describes the linear scattering behavior for the wave part, while the spinor component exhibits modified scattering effects. The regularity of the homogeneous space plays a role of a null structure, which implies additional time decay. Based on this observation, we also obtain*

$$\left\| (A_\mu(t), \partial_t A_\mu(t)) - (A_\mu^\infty(t), \partial_t A_\mu^\infty(t)) \right\|_{\dot{H}^m \times \dot{H}^{m-1}} \lesssim \varepsilon_0 \langle t \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad (1.9)$$

for $m \in [1, N(2)]$.

(5) *Within the existence time the solution has the same regularity as the initial data. We brief on this in Section 5.*

(6) *Some exponents appearing in Theorem 1.1 are obtained roughly. For instance, the regularity exponent $N(n)$ could be improved. In this paper, we set $\delta = 10^{-10}$, $\zeta = 1050\delta$, and $\bar{\delta} = 410\delta$ and we will not pursue the sharpness of these parameters.*

(7) *We tried to find the minimal order of $\mathcal{V}_n (n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_0\})$, and $n_0 = 3$ seems to be best in our analysis. One may consider higher orders $n_0 \geq 4$ by adjusting $N(n)$ and δ suitably. In this higher order case, one may get $t^{-\frac{3}{2} + \bar{\delta}}$ decay for Dirac part.*

1.4. Main idea. We prove the existence of global solution and the asymptotic behavior with a bootstrap argument starting from an a priori assumption with energy and weighted energy estimates, which are equipped with differential operators $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ and scattering norms that we devise to control Fourier amplitude throughout the paper (see (3.3)–(3.5)). Our argument is summarized as the vector-field energy method, which enables us to handle the higher-order energy estimates by the normal form approach exploiting space-time resonances suitably.

The nonlinear terms of (1.2) give rise to several oscillatory integrals with the stationary points of the phase interactions. Our analysis begins with addressing the space, time, and space-time resonances of phase functions originating from the Dirac and wave propagators. Specifically, through a standard reformulation with the Dirac projection operator and first-order wave equations (see Section 2.1 below), we obtain the nonlinearities Φ_Θ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mu,\Theta'}$ for the profiles $\phi_{\theta_0} = e^{\theta_0 it \langle D \rangle} \psi_{\theta_0}$ and $V_{\mu,\theta_0'} = |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\theta_0' it |D|} A_{\mu,\theta_0'}$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+3}} e^{is p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} \Pi_{\theta_0}(\xi) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V}_{\mu,\theta_2}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1}(s,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad p_\Theta(\xi,\eta) = \theta \langle \xi \rangle - \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|, \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+3}} |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{is q_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta)} \left\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1'}(s,\eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_2'}(s,\xi+\eta) \right\rangle d\eta ds, \quad q_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta) = -\theta' |\xi| + \theta_1' \langle \eta \rangle - \theta_2' \langle \xi + \eta \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where 3-tuples $\Theta = (\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $\Theta' = (\theta', \theta_1', \theta_2')$ where $\theta_j, \theta_j' \in \{+, -\}$ ($j = 1, 2$). We call the functions p_Θ and $q_{\Theta'}$ *phase interactions* in this paper. As we can observe obviously, the nonlinearity of the Dirac part and wave part consists of Dirac-wave interaction and Dirac-Dirac interaction, respectively. Hence the phase interactions exhibit various sign relations, requiring consideration of different resonance cases.

While the phases experience space-time resonance, the nonlinearities of (1.2) lack sufficient null structures to eliminate these resonances. As observed in [6, 8, 16, 27, 33], the relation between projection operators and Dirac matrices α_j induces sign-changed projection parts and Riesz transform parts:

$$\alpha^j \Pi_\theta(\xi) = \Pi_{-\theta}(\xi) \alpha^j + \theta \frac{\xi_j}{\langle \xi \rangle}. \quad (1.11)$$

Depending on the sign relation, some terms with null structures emerge, whereas the interaction generates terms without null structures, regardless of the sign relation. To address the lack of null structure, we use a feature of Lorentz invariance in the Lorenz gauge. More precisely, we exploit the vector-field energy method alongside the space-time resonance argument. It is crucial to introduce the vector fields in the energy estimates in order to avoid the time growth, which is a cost of weighted estimates occurring in the space-time resonance estimates under the a priori assumptions. In fact, the number of weights implies the same number of time growth in the Duhamel's formula. However, by imposing the vector fields in both energy and weighted estimates, we can convert weighted estimates into nonlinear estimates without additional time growth arising from Lorentz boosts (see (2.15) and (2.17)). Since the Dirac operator does not commute with Lorentz boosts in contrast to the Klein-Gordon equation, we find out the exact additional terms coming from the commutator between vector fields and Dirac operators (see Section 2.3). It turns out that the additional terms have no harm in the energy estimates. These terms arising in the exchange procedure can be decomposed into two parts. One part comprises vector fields of lower order, while the other part includes at least one time-translation vector field. In particular, the latter does not involve Lorentz boosts. Therefore, we can treat these terms as an easier case due to the lower order of vector fields throughout the entire estimates.

To show the asymptotic behavior of spinor, we need to control the scattering norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}}$ as defined in (3.1). At the same time, a phase modification is required. In view of the dispersive estimates for Dirac and Maxwell equations, the nonlinearities are not integrable in time due to

the resonance in the infrared regime. Hence the phase modification is necessary to get rid of the resonance effect of slow decay of the low frequency part of gauge fields A_μ associated with the spinor. Indeed, the phase interaction of Dirac part has a decomposition $p_\Theta = p_{res} + p_{non}$, in which $p_{res} = \theta \frac{s\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle}$ (when $\theta = \theta_1$) has the most strong resonance and p_{non} can give a suitable decay effect (see (8.8)). By cutting off the low frequency part from A_μ as stated in the main theorem, we will see that

$$\int_0^t \left[\Phi_\Theta(t, \xi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta i s \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle}} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \widehat{P_{\leq K} A_\mu}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi}_\theta(s, \xi) d\eta \right] ds = O(t^{-\delta}) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

This implies that

$$\partial_t \widehat{\phi}_\theta(t, \xi) = i \Pi_\theta(\xi) (P_{\leq K} A_\mu) \left(t, \theta \frac{t\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi}_\theta(t, \xi) + [\text{lower order terms}].$$

From this idea we construct the phase correction (1.7) and learn how to define the scattering norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}}$. For more diverse phase corrections, we refer to [10, 22, 24].

Compared to the asymptotic behavior of Dirac spinor, Maxwell part exhibits the linear scattering feature via the scattering norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}}$. In view of (1.10), the obstacle preventing the dispersion effect for scattering is a singularity of the factor $|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover, another obstacle is the space-time resonance of the phase appearing in (1.10) when $\xi = 0$. Fortunately, these obstacles can be eliminated by the norm of homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\zeta}$ for some $\zeta > 0$. As a consequence we get the anticipated linear scattering like (1.6) and (1.9) for the Maxwell part.

1.5. Organization of paper. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the half-Klein-Gordon and half-wave equations of (1.2) are derived via projections and also a system of profiles is considered. We classify the resonance sets of phase interactions. Then in order to describe the fields and profiles with differential operators, we look into the commutator $[\mathcal{L}, \Pi_\theta]$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, which generates nonlinear terms of smooth differential operators essentially in \mathcal{V}_n . In Section 3 we provide a bootstrap argument. For this purpose, we define target solution spaces defined by the energy of fields and weighted profiles equipped with differential operators \mathcal{L} , and to close bootstrapping we define control norms through the phase-space localization. Then we establish linear estimates under bootstrapping assumptions, which consists of estimates on the time decay and on the localized profile. In Section 4 we consider nonlinear estimates based on the bootstrapping assumptions and linear estimates established in Section 3. Since the nonlinear estimates are carried out in L^2 space, the nonlinear terms generated by commutator turn out be harmless throughout the whole estimates. In Section 5 we prove the main theorem by assuming bootstrap argument. The Section 6 is devoted to proving the energy estimate parts of bootstrap and the Section 7 to proving the estimates of weighted profiles. In Section 8, 9 we show the asymptotic behaviors of spinor field and gauge field, respectively, from which we can control the scattering norms and close the bootstrap argument. We describe how to extract the phase modification of the Dirac spinor from the resonance interaction between spinor and gauge fields in Section 8. We also show the linear scattering results for gauge fields in Section 9.

1.6. Notations.

- (1) (Mixed-normed spaces) For a Banach space X and an interval I , $u \in L^q_I X$ iff $u(t) \in X$ for a.e. $t \in I$ and $\|u\|_{L^q_I X} := \|\|u(t)\|_X\|_{L^q} < \infty$. Especially, we abbreviate $L^p = L^p_x$ for the

spatial norm and indicate the subscripts for only Fourier space norm.

- (2) (Sobolev spaces) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We define homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} := \| |D|^s u \|_{L^2}$. For inhomogeneous spaces, we define $\|u\|_{H^s} := \| \langle D \rangle^s u \|_{L^2}$.
- (3) Different positive constants depending only on n, ε_0 are denoted by the same letter C , if not specified. $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$ mean that $A \leq CB$ and $A \geq C^{-1}B$ respectively for some $C > 0$. $A \sim B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$.
- (4) (Littlewood-Paley operators) Let ρ be a Littlewood-Paley function such that $\rho \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2))$ with $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and define $\rho_k(\xi) := \rho\left(\frac{\xi}{2^k}\right) - \rho\left(\frac{\xi}{2^{k-1}}\right)$ for $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we define the frequency projection P_k by $\mathcal{F}(P_k f)(\xi) = \rho_k(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$, and also $\rho_{\leq k} := \sum_{k' \leq k} \rho_{k'}$ and $\rho_{> k} = 1 - \rho_{\leq k}$. Then we also denote $\mathcal{F}(P_{\leq k} f)(\xi) = \rho_{\leq k}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}(P_{> k} f)(\xi) = \rho_{> k}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $\rho_{[\ell_1, \ell_2]} = \sum_{\ell_1 \leq k \leq \ell_2} \rho_k$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_k := \rho_{[k-2, k+2]}$. In particular, $\widetilde{P}_k P_k = P_k \widetilde{P}_k = P_k$ where $\widetilde{P}_k = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \widetilde{\rho}_k \mathcal{F}$.
- (5) (Localization on phase space) Let $\mathcal{U}_k = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : k + j \geq 0 (k \leq 0) \text{ and } j = 0 (k \geq 1)\}$ for fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$ means $j \geq -\min(k, 0)$. For any $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$, let

$$\widetilde{\rho}_j^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\leq -k}(x) & \text{if } k + j = 0 \text{ and } k \leq 0, \\ \rho_{\leq 0}(x) & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ \rho_j(x) & \text{if } k + j \geq 1 \text{ and } j \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \widetilde{\rho}_j^{(k)} = 1$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$, let Q_{jk} denote the phase space localization operator

$$Q_{jk} f(x) = \widetilde{\rho}_j^{(k)}(x) P_k f(x).$$

We note that the uncertainty principle allows us to consider Q_{jk} only when $2^{j+k} \geq 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Set up for the Maxwell-Dirac system. By the definition of Dirac projection (1.4), Π_θ satisfies the properties:

$$\Pi_\theta + \Pi_{-\theta} = I_4, \quad \Pi_\theta \Pi_{-\theta} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_\theta \Pi_\theta = \Pi_\theta.$$

We can decompose the Dirac spinor ψ into half Klein-Gordon waves, i.e., ψ_+ and ψ_- by projection operator Π_θ . Let $\psi_\theta = \Pi_\theta \psi$ for $\theta \in \{+, -\}$. Then we obtain the following decoupled equations from the Dirac part of (1.2):

$$\begin{cases} (-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \psi_\theta = \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi), \\ \psi_\theta(0) := \psi_{0, \theta}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$.

We now decompose gauge field as $A_\mu = A_{\mu,+} + A_{\mu,-}$ with

$$A_{\mu, \theta'} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \theta' |D|^{-1} (-i\partial_t) \right) A_\mu,$$

for $\theta' \in \{+, -\}$. Then the Maxwell part of (1.2) is rewritten as the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \theta'|D|)A_{\mu,\theta'} = \theta' \frac{1}{2}|D|^{-1} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle, \\ A_{\mu,\theta'}(0) := a_{\mu,\theta'} := \frac{1}{2}(a_\mu - \theta' i|D|^{-1} \dot{a}_\mu). \end{cases}$$

Therefore (1.2) becomes the system

$$\begin{cases} (-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \psi_\theta = \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi), \\ (i\partial_t + \theta'|D|)A_{\mu,\theta'} = \theta' \frac{1}{2}|D|^{-1} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle \end{cases}$$

with initial data $(\psi_\theta(0), A_{\mu,\theta'}(0)) = (\psi_{0,\theta}, a_{\mu,\theta'})$, for $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$. Defining $W_{\mu,\theta'} := |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{\mu,\theta'}$ ($W_\mu := W_{\mu,+} + W_{\mu,-}$), we also have

$$\begin{cases} (-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \psi_\theta = \Pi_\theta \left[\left(|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_\mu \right) \alpha^\mu \psi \right], \\ (i\partial_t + \theta'|D|)W_{\mu,\theta'} = \theta' \frac{1}{2}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Now by Duhamel's principle, (2.1) can be converted into

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\theta(t) &= e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \psi_{0,\theta} + i \sum_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{\pm\}} \int_0^t e^{-\theta i(t-s) \langle D \rangle} \Pi_\theta \left(\left(|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{\mu, \theta_2} \right) \alpha^\mu \psi_{\theta_1} \right) (s) ds, \\ W_{\mu,\theta'}(t) &= e^{\theta' i t |D|} |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} a_{\mu,\theta'} - \theta' \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\theta'_1, \theta'_2 \in \{\pm\}} \int_0^t e^{\theta' i(t-s) |D|} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \psi_{\theta'_1}, \alpha_\mu \psi_{\theta'_2} \rangle (s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

To keep track of the scattering state, we define profile fields ϕ_θ and $V_{\mu,\theta'}$ by

$$\phi_\theta(t) := e^{\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \psi_\theta(t) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\mu,\theta'}(t) := e^{-\theta' i t |D|} W_{\mu,\theta'}(t).$$

By taking Fourier transform and time derivative, one gets the frequency representation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \widehat{\phi}_\theta(t, \xi) &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{\pm\}} \Phi_\Theta(t, \xi) \quad (\Theta = (\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2)), \\ \partial_t \widehat{V}_{\mu,\theta'}(t, \xi) &= -\theta' \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\theta'_1, \theta'_2 \in \{\pm\}} \mathbf{V}_{\mu,\Theta'}(t, \xi) \quad (\Theta' = (\theta', \theta'_1, \theta'_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_\Theta(t, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i s p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \Pi_\theta(\xi) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V}_{\mu, \theta_2}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mu, \Theta'}(t, \xi) := |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i s q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\theta'_1}(s, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\phi}_{\theta'_2}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (2.3)$$

$$p_\Theta(\xi, \eta) := \theta \langle \xi \rangle - \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|, \quad (2.4)$$

$$q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta) := -\theta' |\xi| + \theta'_1 \langle \eta \rangle - \theta'_2 \langle \xi + \eta \rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.1. *Our energy estimates rely on the quasilinear characteristics of (MD), rather than directly utilizing the specific forms given in (2.2) and (2.3). However, from the significance of observing the oscillations within the nonlinearities of (MD), we include the forms (2.2) and (2.3) for convenience.*

2.2. Space-time resonance for Maxwell-Dirac system. We proceed our proof by a bootstrap argument based on the space-time resonance argument [18, 19, 20] (see also [23]). In this section we investigate time, space, and space-time resonances for *massive* Maxwell-Dirac system, respectively. These types of resonance have been already investigated in [33]. For the readers' convenience, we mention them again in this section. For the time resonant set of wave and Klein-Gordon type systems, we refer to [28, 29]. We also refer to [4, 40] for the analogous observation of Dirac operator. According to the definition in [18], we define time, space, and space-time resonant sets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{p_\Theta} &:= \{(\xi, \eta) : p_\Theta(\xi, \eta) = 0\}, & \mathcal{T}_{q_{\Theta'}} &:= \{(\xi, \eta) : q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{p_\Theta} &:= \{(\xi, \eta) : \nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi, \eta) = 0\}, & \mathcal{S}_{q_{\Theta'}} &:= \{(\xi, \eta) : \nabla_\eta q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{p_\Theta} &:= \mathcal{T}_{p_\Theta} \cap \mathcal{S}_{p_\Theta}, & \mathcal{R}_{q_{\Theta'}} &:= \mathcal{T}_{q_{\Theta'}} \cap \mathcal{S}_{q_{\Theta'}}.\end{aligned}$$

To identify these sets, it suffices to examine the lower bounds of phase interactions $p_\Theta, q_{\Theta'}$ and their gradients $\nabla_\eta p_\Theta, \nabla_\eta q_{\Theta'}$.

Let us observe that $p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)$ never vanishes for any sign $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{+, -\}$, when $\xi \neq 0$ and $\eta \neq 0$. In fact, if for some ξ, η , $p_\Theta(\xi, \eta) = 0$, then

$$(\theta \langle \xi \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|)^2 = 1 + |\xi - \eta|^2.$$

This implies that

$$\langle \xi \rangle |\eta| = |\xi \cdot \eta| \quad \text{and hence} \quad \langle \xi \rangle \leq |\xi|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}|p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| &= |\theta \langle \xi \rangle - \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta| = \left| \frac{(\theta \langle \xi \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|)^2 - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^2}{\theta \langle \xi \rangle + \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2\theta\theta_2 \langle \xi \rangle |\eta| + 2\xi \cdot \eta}{\theta \langle \xi \rangle + \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|} \right|.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta = \theta_1$, then

$$|p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{2|\eta|(\langle \xi \rangle - |\xi|)}{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + |\eta|} \gtrsim \frac{|\eta|}{\langle \xi \rangle (\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle)}. \quad (2.6)$$

If $\theta \neq \theta_1, \theta \neq \theta_2$, then

$$|p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{2|\eta|(\langle \xi \rangle - |\xi|)}{|\langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - |\eta||} \gtrsim (\langle \xi \rangle - |\xi|) \gtrsim \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}.$$

Also if $\theta \neq \theta_1, \theta = \theta_2$, then trivially $|p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \geq \langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle$. Then we have, for $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1$,

$$|p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \gtrsim \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{T}_{p_\Theta} = \{\eta = 0\}$ when $\theta_0 = \theta_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_{p_\Theta} = \emptyset$, otherwise.

Now one can readily observe that there is no space resonance of (2.2) due to the fact

$$|\nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)| = \left| \theta_1 \frac{\xi - \eta}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} - \theta_2 \frac{\eta}{|\eta|} \right| \gtrsim 1 - \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} \gtrsim \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore $\mathcal{S}_{p_\Theta} = \emptyset$ for any sign and hence $\mathcal{R}_{p_\Theta} = \emptyset$.

By virtue of the similarity between $p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)$ and $q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta)$, we readily see that

$$|q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta)| \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{|\xi|}{\langle \eta \rangle (\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle)} & \text{if } \theta'_1 = \theta'_2, \\ \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

and a direct calculation shows that

$$|\nabla_\eta q_{\Theta'}(\xi, \eta)| \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{|\xi|}{\langle \xi + \eta \rangle^3} & \text{if } \theta'_1 = \theta'_2, \\ \left| \frac{\eta}{\langle \eta \rangle} + \frac{\xi + \eta}{\langle \xi + \eta \rangle} \right| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Note that space resonance of $q_{\Theta'}$ is different from that of p_Θ . Therefore, we have $\mathcal{T}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \mathcal{S}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \mathcal{R}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \{\xi = 0\}$ when $\theta'_1 = \theta'_2$. On the other hand, if $\theta'_1 \neq \theta'_2$, then $\mathcal{T}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{S}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \{\xi = -2\eta\}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{q_{\Theta'}} = \emptyset$.

2.3. Vector fields on Dirac and Maxwell parts. By the Lorentz invariance the wave operator \square commutes with \mathcal{L} for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Hence

$$-\square \mathcal{L} W_\mu = |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, the vector fields of rotations and boosts do not commute with both of the half Klein-Gordon operators $-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle$ and projection operators Π_θ , we need to investigate carefully the commutators between vector fields and those operators.

Rotations. The vector fields Ω commute with the half Klein-Gordon operator. Indeed,

$$(-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \Omega_{jk} \psi_\theta = \Omega_{jk} \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi).$$

On the other hand, Ω does not commute with the projection operators and the commutator is the following:

$$[\Omega_{jk}, \Pi_\theta] = \theta \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_j \partial_k}{\langle D \rangle} - \frac{\alpha_k \partial_j}{\langle D \rangle} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

Lorentz boosts. The Lorentz vector field Γ does not commute with half-Klein-Gordon operators and their commutators are as follows:

$$(-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \Gamma_j \psi_\theta = \Gamma_j (-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \psi_\theta - i \partial_j \psi_\theta - \theta \frac{\partial_j}{\langle D \rangle} \partial_t \psi_\theta.$$

The first formula implies that

$$(-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \Gamma_j \psi_\theta = \Gamma_j \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) - \theta \frac{\partial_j}{\langle D \rangle} \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi).$$

A direct calculation shows that for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$[\Gamma_j, \Pi_\theta] = \theta \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\langle D \rangle} + i \frac{(\alpha \cdot D) \partial_j + m \beta \partial_j}{\langle D \rangle^3} \right) \partial_t. \quad (2.12)$$

Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} (-i\partial_t + \theta \langle D \rangle) \mathcal{L} \psi_\theta &= \Pi_\theta \mathcal{L} (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) + [\mathcal{L}, \Pi_\theta] (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) \\ &= \Pi_\theta \mathcal{L} (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n} C(\mathcal{L}') \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \mathcal{L}' (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are the operator-valued coefficients bounded in $L^p(1 < p < \infty)$ of the form R^c , where $C(\mathcal{L}')$ is a 4×4 matrix defined by multiplications of α^μ and β , $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$, $R^c = R_1^{c_1} R_2^{c_2} R_3^{c_3}$, and R_j are Riesz type transforms defined by $\frac{-i\partial_j}{\langle D \rangle}$. The coefficients C and multi-indices c may

vary in $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n$. In fact, let us denote $\mathcal{O}_{jk} := \theta[\Omega_{jk}, \Pi_\theta]$ and $\mathcal{G}_j \partial_t := \theta[\Gamma_j, \Pi_\theta]$. Then for any $\mathcal{L} = [\partial]^a [\Omega]^b [\Gamma]^c \in \mathcal{V}_n$, the commutator can be written as

$$[\mathcal{L}, \Pi_\theta] = \theta[\partial]^a [\Omega]^b [\mathcal{G}]^c \partial_0^{|\mathcal{L}|} + \theta[\partial]^a [\mathcal{O}]^b [\Gamma]^c - \theta[\mathcal{G}]^c \partial_0^{|\mathcal{L}|} + \theta \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j [\partial]^a [\mathcal{O}]^b R_j^{c_j},$$

where $[\mathcal{O}]^b = \mathcal{O}_{23}^{b_1} \mathcal{O}_{31}^{b_2} \mathcal{O}_{12}^{b_3}$ and $[\mathcal{G}]^c = \mathcal{G}_1^{c_1} \mathcal{G}_2^{c_2} \mathcal{G}_3^{c_3}$. Rearranging four terms in order of ∂, Ω, Γ , one can get the expression $C(\mathcal{L}')R^c$. Especially, we note that, for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, there exists $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\bar{n}}$ such that $n_0, \bar{n} \leq n-1$ and

$$[\mathcal{L}, \Pi_\theta] = \mathcal{L}_0 + \sum_{\bar{\mathcal{L}} \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\bar{n}}} C(\bar{\mathcal{L}}) \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}} \bar{\mathcal{L}} \partial_t, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}}_0 := \mathcal{V}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\bar{n}} := \{[\partial]^a [\Omega]^b : |a| + |b| \leq \bar{n}\}.$$

Remark 2.2. In (2.14), \mathcal{L}_0 consists of lower order vector fields compared to \mathcal{L} . Similarly, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ in the second term of the right-hand side of (2.14) also comprises lower order vector fields. As well as, the Lorentz vector field Γ is not included in $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$. This fact implies that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ commutes not only with ∂_t , but also with the differential operators $|D|$ and $\langle D \rangle$.

Throughout the paper we use the following notations for the fields and profiles equipped with vector fields: For $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}} &:= \mathcal{L} \psi_\theta, & \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}} &:= e^{\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}, \\ A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}} &:= \mathcal{L} A_\mu, & A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \theta' \frac{-i \partial_t}{|D|} \right) A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}, \\ W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}} &:= |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}, & W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} &:= |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}, & V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} &:= e^{-\theta' i t |D|} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lorentz boosts play the role of weight generators. Indeed, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_j \psi_\theta &= x_j (-\theta i \langle D \rangle \psi_\theta + i \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi)) + t \partial_j \psi_\theta \\ &= -\theta i e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} x_j (\langle D \rangle \phi_\theta) + i x_j \Pi_\theta (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand, by (2.1) and (2.10), there holds

$$(i \partial_t + \theta' |D|) W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} = \theta' \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

Analogously to (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_j W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} &= x_j (\theta' i |D| W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} - \theta' i \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle) + t \partial_j W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} \\ &= \theta' i e^{\theta' i t |D|} x_j (|D| V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} - \theta' i \frac{1}{2} x_j |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

3. LINEAR ESTIMATES

3.1. A priori assumption. Here we define the solution spaces for the bootstrap argument. We also recall the regularity depending on the number of vector fields and set time growth index table as follows:

n	0	1	2	3
N(n)	70	30	20	10
H(n)	1	10	210	410

Let $\varepsilon_1 := \varepsilon_0^{\frac{2}{3}}$ for a small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\delta = 10^{-10}$. Then the scattering norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}}$ to control the bootstrap argument are defined as follows:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{D}} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \langle 2^k \rangle^{20} 2^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{100})k} \|\rho_k \widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty} + \langle 2^k \rangle^{38} 2^{-(1 - \frac{1}{100})k} \|P_k \varphi\|_{L^2} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|v\|_{\mathbf{M}} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \langle 2^k \rangle^{25} 2^{(1+5H(2)\delta)k} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \|Q_{jk} v\|_{L^2} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Given any $T > 0$, assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$ and that, for any $t \in [0, T]$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $n_1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$, and the solution satisfies the bootstrap hypotheses

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} (\|\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} + \|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}}) \leq \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n_1+1)} & \left(\langle 2^k \rangle \left\| \rho_k(\xi) (\partial_{\xi_l} \widehat{\phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}})(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} + 2^{k/2} \left\| \rho_k(\xi) (\partial_{\xi_l} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}})(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_1+1)\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\|\phi_\theta(t)\|_{\mathbf{D}} + \|V_{\mu, \theta'}(t)\|_{\mathbf{M}} \leq \varepsilon_1. \quad (3.5)$$

3.2. Time decay estimates. In this section, we give the time decay properties of the Maxwell-Dirac system. To this end, we introduce some abbreviations as follows:

$$\varphi_{j,k} := \widetilde{P}_k Q_{jk} \varphi, \quad Q_{\leq jk} \varphi := \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{U}_k, j' \leq j} Q_{j'k} \varphi, \quad \varphi_{\leq j,k} := \widetilde{P}_k Q_{\leq jk} \varphi.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in L^2$ and $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get the following:*

(i) *For any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^3$, we have*

$$\|\nabla_\xi^\alpha \widehat{\varphi_{j,k}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim 2^{|\alpha|j} \left\| \widehat{Q_{jk} \varphi} \right\|_{L_\xi^2}, \quad \|\nabla_\xi^\alpha \widehat{\varphi_{j,k}}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{|\alpha|j} \left\| \widehat{Q_{jk} \varphi} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|\widehat{\varphi_{j,k}}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \min \left(2^{\frac{3j}{2}} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{L_x^2}, 2^{\frac{j}{2}-k} 2^{\frac{\delta(j+k)}{8}} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\|v\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} = (\|\Omega_{12} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Omega_{23} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Omega_{31} v\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(ii) *We have*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^{j+k} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \leq B,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \leq B \in [0, \infty)$, then we obtain

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k \varphi} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} A^{\frac{1-\zeta}{2}} B^{\frac{1+\zeta}{2}} \quad (3.8)$$

for any $\zeta \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. See (i) of Lemma 3.4 of [28]. □

Lemma 3.2 (Time decay for Dirac part). *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta \in \{+, -\}$, and $\varphi \in L^2$. Then, we have*

$$\|e^{-\theta it \langle D \rangle} \varphi_{j,k}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \min \left(2^{\frac{3k}{2}}, \langle 2^k \rangle^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} \right) \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{L^2} \quad (3.9)$$

for $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$. Moreover, if $\langle 2^k \rangle^2 \ll 2^{2k} \langle t \rangle$ and $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$, then we have

$$\|e^{-\theta it(D)} \varphi_{j,k}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle 2^k \rangle^5 2^{\frac{j}{2}-k} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\langle t \rangle 2^{2k})^{\frac{\delta}{8}} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \quad \text{for } 2^j \ll 2^k \langle t \rangle \quad (3.10)$$

and also

$$\|e^{-\theta it(D)} \varphi_{\leq j,k}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle 2^k \rangle^5 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\| \widehat{Q_{\leq jk} \varphi} \right\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{for } 2^j \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. See (iii) of Lemma 3.4 of [28]. \square

Lemma 3.3 (Time decay for Maxwell part). *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta \in \{+, -\}$, and $\varphi \in L^2$. Then, we have*

$$\|e^{-\theta it|D|} \varphi_{j,k}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \min(1, 2^j \langle t \rangle^{-1}) \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.12)$$

for $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$. Moreover, if $|t| \geq 1$ and $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \rho_{[-100,100]} \left(\frac{x}{\langle t \rangle} \right) e^{-\theta it|D|} \varphi_{j,k} \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} (1 + \langle t \rangle 2^k)^{\frac{\delta}{8}} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}, \\ \|e^{-\theta it|D|} \varphi_{j,k}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} (1 + \langle t \rangle 2^k)^{\frac{\delta}{8}} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \quad \text{for } 2^j \ll \langle t \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|e^{-\theta it|D|} \varphi_{\leq j,k}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{2k} \left\| \widehat{Q_{\leq jk} \varphi} \right\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{for } 2^j \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Proof. See (ii) of Lemma 3.4 of [28]. \square

3.3. Universal tools.

Lemma 3.4 (Coifman-Meyer operator estimates). *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ satisfy that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$. Assume that a multiplier \mathbf{m} satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{m}\|_{\text{CM}} := \left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{iy \cdot \eta} d\xi d\eta \right\|_{L^1_{x,y}} \leq C_{\mathbf{m}} < \infty \quad (\zeta = \eta \text{ or } \xi - \eta).$$

Then

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{v}(\eta) \widehat{w}(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2_\xi} \lesssim C_{\mathbf{m}} \|v\|_{L^p} \|w\|_{L^q}.$$

Lemma 3.5 (Lemma 3.5 of [28]). *Let $\varphi \in L^2$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for*

$$A_k := \|P_k \varphi\|_{L^2} + \sum_{l=1}^3 \|\rho_k(\xi) (\partial_{\xi_l} \widehat{\varphi})(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi}, \quad B_k := \left[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^{2j} \|Q_{jk} \varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have

$$A_k \lesssim \sum_{2^k \sim 2^{k'}} B_{k'}$$

and

$$B_k \lesssim \begin{cases} \sum_{2^k \sim 2^{k'}} A_{k'} & \text{for } k \geq 0, \\ \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{|k-k'|}{2}} \min(1, 2^{k'-k}) A_{k'} & \text{for } k < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Especially, we have

$$2^{-k} \|P_k \varphi\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{|k-k'|}{2}} \min(1, 2^{k'-k}) A_{k'}. \quad (3.14)$$

3.4. Profile estimates. We define the localized profiles as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j,k}(t) &:= \tilde{P}_k Q_{jk} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t), & \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\leq J,k}(t) &:= \sum_{j \leq J} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j,k}(t), & \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{> J,k}(t) &:= \sum_{j > J} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j,k}(t), \\ V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{j,k}(t) &:= \tilde{P}_k Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t), & V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{\leq J,k}(t) &:= \sum_{j \leq J} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{j,k}(t), & V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{> J,k}(t) &:= \sum_{j > J} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{j,k}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_k W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_n(t, k), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$G_n(t, k) := \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)}.$$

Moreover, if $n \leq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then

$$\langle 2^k \rangle \left\| \rho_k(\xi) \left(\partial_{\xi_i} \widehat{\phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}} \right) (t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} + 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \rho_k(\xi) \left(\partial_{\xi_i} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}} \right) (t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_{n+1}(t, k), \quad (3.16)$$

As a consequence, if $n \leq 2$ and $j \in \mathcal{U}_k$, then

$$2^j \langle 2^k \rangle \|Q_{jk} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^j 2^k \|Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_{n+1}(t, k) \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\langle 2^k \rangle \|P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^k \|P_k V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^k G_{n+1}(t, k). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. By the a priori assumptions (3.3) and (3.4), we directly obtain (3.15) and (3.16), respectively. From (3.5) and (3.13), we also have (3.17). By (3.17) with the summation over j , the bound (3.18) holds. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$ for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j,k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_{n+1}(t, k) \langle 2^k \rangle^2 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^{2k}), \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \left\| e^{\theta' i t |D|} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}^{j,k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} G_{n+1}(t, k) \langle 2^k \rangle^2 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k), \quad (3.20)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $n \leq 1$ and $\langle t \rangle^{-1} \ll 2^{2k}$, then

$$\sum_{2^j \in [2^{-k}, 2^k \langle t \rangle]} \left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j,k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}} G_{n+2}(t, k) \langle 2^k \rangle^6 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. By (3.17) and (3.9), we have (3.19). Similarly, the bound (3.20) follows from (3.12) and (3.17). Using (3.17), we see that, for $|b| \leq 1$,

$$\|Q_{jk} \Omega^b \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_{n+|b|+1}(t, k) \langle 2^k \rangle^{-1} 2^{-j}. \quad (3.22)$$

This together with (3.10) implies (3.21). \square

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$ for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j, k}(t) \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\zeta}}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 G_{n+1}(t, k) \langle 2^k \rangle^2 \min \left(2^{(\frac{1}{2}-3\zeta)k} \langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^{\frac{5k}{2}} \right), \quad (3.23)$$

for $0 < \zeta \ll 1$.

Proof. By (3.9), we have

$$\left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{j, k}(t) \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\zeta}}} \lesssim \min \left(2^{\frac{3k}{2}-3\zeta k}, 2^{\frac{3j}{2}-3\zeta j} \langle 2^k \rangle^{3-6\zeta} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}+3\zeta} \right) \| Q_{jk} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t) \|_{L^2}.$$

Then, (3.17) implies (3.23) directly. \square

Lemma 3.9 (Vector fields free estimates). *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \| Q_{jk} A_\mu(t) \|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-(1+5H(2)\delta)k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-25}, \\ \left\| \widehat{P_k \psi_\theta}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_\xi} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{100})k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-25}, \\ \| P_k \psi_\theta(t) \|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{(1+\frac{1}{100})k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(0)+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We also get

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \left\| e^{\theta i t |D|} V_{\mu, \theta}^{j, k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \min \left(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k \right) 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-5H(2)\delta)k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-22}. \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, for $\langle t \rangle \gg 2^{-2k}$ and $2^J \in [2^{-k}, C2^k \langle t \rangle]$ for some $C \ll 1$, we have

$$\left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_\theta^{\leq J, k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{1000})k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-19}. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. The estimates in (3.24) follow from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}}$. Then (3.12) and (3.24) imply (3.25). To prove (3.26), we consider the case $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{30}}$. The bound (3.15) yields (3.26) for $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{30}}$. If $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{1000}}$ or $2^J \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$, it also follows from (3.24) and (3.11). The remaining case is that $2^k \in [\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{1000}}, \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{30}}]$ and $2^J \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By (3.10) and (3.22), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^j \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_\theta^{j, k}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{2^j \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}-k} \langle t \rangle^{11\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-26} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{1000})k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-19}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (3.26). \square

The following lemma will be used in Section 8.

Lemma 3.10. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}-10H(2)\delta m}$, we have*

$$\| \partial_t P_k(x_l V_{\mu, \theta})(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{8}}.$$

Proof. Taking Fourier transform and then time derivative, one gets

$$\partial_t \widehat{P_k x_l V_{\mu, \theta}}(t) = \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_l} \left[|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2}}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta \right]. \quad (3.27)$$

If the derivative ∂_{ξ_l} falls on $\widehat{\phi}_{\theta_j}$, the bound of (3.27) follows from (3.16). Thus, we consider the cases that the derivative falls on $|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ or the phase modulation $e^{itq_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)}$. Let us first handle the case that the derivative falls on the phase modulation:

$$t\rho_k(\xi)|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_{\xi} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1}(t, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_2}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta.$$

In view of (2.8) and (2.9), it suffices to consider only the case $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. By integration by parts in η , we get the following terms:

$$\rho_k(\xi)|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_{\eta} \frac{\nabla_{\xi} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta) \nabla_{\eta} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)}{|\nabla_{\eta} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)|^2} \left\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1}(t, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_2}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\rho_k(\xi)|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\nabla_{\xi} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta) \nabla_{\eta} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)}{|\nabla_{\eta} q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)|^2} \left\langle x \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_1}(t, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} \widehat{\phi}_{\theta_2}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (3.29)$$

and additional symmetric term. To estimate (3.28), we make the dyadic decomposition for $|\eta|$ and $|\xi + \eta|$ into 2^{k_1} and 2^{k_2} , respectively. If $2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then by (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| (3.28) \|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{\frac{-2k_2 + 3\min(k_1, k_2)}{2}} \| P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}(t) \|_{L^2} \| P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}(t) \|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{2H(1)\delta} 2^{\frac{3\min(k_1, k_2)}{2} + k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we handle the case $2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. We decompose the profiles into $P_{k_{\ell}} \phi_{\theta_j} = \phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^{\leq J, k_{\ell}} + \phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^{> J, k_{\ell}}$ for $\ell = 1, 2$ with $2^J = C \langle t \rangle 2^{k_{\ell}}$ for some $C \ll 1$, and we denote $\phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^1 = \phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^{\leq J, k_{\ell}}$ and $\phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^2 = \phi_{\theta_{\ell}}^{> J, k_{\ell}}$ for $a, b = 1, 2$. Using (3.17), for $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$, we see that

$$\sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| (3.28) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \phi_{\theta_1}^a(t) \|_{L^2} \| \phi_{\theta_2}^b(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} + 2H(2)\delta}.$$

If $(a, b) = (1, 1)$, by Lemma 3.4, (3.17), and (3.26), we then estimate

$$\sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| (3.28) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} \left\| \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \phi_{\theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}(t) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{8}}.$$

The estimates for (3.29) can be treated similarly.

When the derivative falls on $|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (3.27), an additional factor of $|\xi|^{-1}$ appears. Though we are given this singular factor, since it corresponds to the growth $\langle t \rangle^{\frac{2}{3} + 10H(2)\delta}$ from the assumption of this lemma, we can handle this case by using the similar methods to those detailed above. \square

4. NONLINEAR ESTIMATES

In this section we consider nonlinear estimates for Dirac part and Maxwell part based on the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) and linear estimates of Section 3.

4.1. Nonlinear estimates for Dirac part. Let us invoke the nonlinearity of Dirac part (2.13) and define the nonlinearity by

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\text{D}} := \Pi_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(A_{\mu} \alpha^{\mu} \psi) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \mathcal{L}'(A_{\mu} \alpha^{\mu} \psi).$$

Then

$$(-i\partial_t + \theta\langle D \rangle)\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}, \quad -i\partial_t \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}} = e^{\theta it\langle D \rangle} \mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}. \quad (4.1)$$

We will first prove the L^2 boundedness of $\mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$. Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\theta \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\|P_k \mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_k \partial_t \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)-5} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$N(4) = 0, \quad \tilde{H}(0) := 5, \quad \tilde{H}(n) := H(n) + 160.$$

Proof. By the boundedness of Π_θ , $R_{\mathcal{L}'}$, and $e^{\theta it\langle D \rangle}$ it suffices to show the following bilinear estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)-5} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for $\mathcal{L}_l \in \mathcal{V}_l$, $\theta_l \in \{+, -\}$ ($l = 1, 2$), $n_1 + n_2 \leq n$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Hölder's inequality and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k_2}{2}} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1) + H(n_2)]\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{k} := (k, k_1, k_2)$. This yields that

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1) + H(n_2)]\delta} 2^k,$$

which implies (4.2) in the case that $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$.

Let us consider the case $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. From (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_1+1)\delta} 2^{\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)+2} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^{2k_1}), \\ \|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_2+1)\delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2+1)+2} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^{2k_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for $0 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq 2$. We partition the set of numbers of vector fields as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_n &= \{(n_1, n_2) : n_1 + n_2 = n, 0 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq n\} \\ &= \{(n_1, n_2) : n_1 + n_2 = n, n_1, n_2 \geq 1\} \cup \{(n, 0), (0, n) : n \geq 1\} \cup \{(0, 0)\} \\ &=: \mathcal{N}_n^1 \cup \mathcal{N}_n^2 \cup \{(0, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{N}_n^1$ and $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. When $2^k \lesssim 2^{k_2}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^k \lesssim 2^{k_2}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \sum_{2^k \lesssim 2^{k_2}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1+1) + H(n_2)]\delta - 1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H(n_1 + 1) + H(n_2) \leq H(n) + 10$ for $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{N}_n^1$. Analogously, if $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2^{k_2} \ll 2^k} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^{k_2} \ll 2^k} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n)+11]\delta-1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{N}_n^2$ and $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. If $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$, then we consider the cases $2^{k_1} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $2^{k_1} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, for which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2^{k_1} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{\frac{3 \min(k) - k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n)+2]\delta-1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2^{k_1} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n)+12]\delta-1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

These finish the proof of (4.3) when $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$.

If $(n_1, n_2) = (0, n)$, we only consider the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$ since apart from this case, we can obtain the estimates similar to the above. By (3.18), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t))\|_{L^2} & \lesssim 2^{k_2} \|P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(1)+H(n)]\delta} 2^{k_1+k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)-1} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to get (4.3) if $2^{k_1+k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$.

To handle the case $2^{k_1+k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, we make the decomposition $P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1} = \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1} + \phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}$ with $2^J = C 2^{k_1} \langle t \rangle$ for some $C \ll 1$. In view of (3.26) and (3.17), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} & \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_1}{1000}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19}, \\ \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} & \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1+H(1)\delta} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| P_k \left(P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}+H(n)\delta} 2^{-\frac{k_1+k_2}{2} + \frac{k_1}{2000}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| P_k \left(P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_2} \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1 + [H(n) + H(1)]\delta} 2^{k_2 - k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

These give us the desired estimates in case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$ and $2^{k_1 + k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$.

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$. By Hölder's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1})(t)\|_{L^2} & \lesssim 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k})}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k})}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(0)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.3) if $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ or $\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{30}}$.

Let us now consider

$$\text{Case A: } 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1} \text{ and } \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{30}}.$$

By Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\|P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2} \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1})\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{F} [P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2} \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1})]\|_{L_\xi^2}.$$

In particular, one gets

$$\mathcal{F} [P_k (P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t))] (\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) d\eta,$$

where the phase

$$p_{12}(\xi, \eta) = \theta_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta|.$$

As we observed in (2.7), this phase interaction does not exhibit a space resonance. We exploit this non-resonance feature as follows: By the relation

$$e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)} = -i t^{-1} \frac{\nabla_\eta p_{12}(\xi, \eta) \cdot \nabla_\eta e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)}}{|\nabla_\eta p_{12}(\xi, \eta)|^2},$$

we integrate by parts with respect to η to obtain the following integrals

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_\eta M_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (4.6)$$

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)} M_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) P_{k_2} \widehat{(x V_{\mu, \theta_2})}(t, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (4.7)$$

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i t p_{12}(\xi, \eta)} M_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} x \phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$M_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\nabla_\eta p_{12}(\xi, \eta)}{|\nabla_\eta p_{12}(\xi, \eta)|^2} \rho_k(\xi) \rho_{k_1}(\xi - \eta) \rho_{k_2}(\eta).$$

Then, a direct calculation yields that

$$|\nabla_\eta M_{12, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim 2^{-k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^4.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (3.15), we see that

$$\sum_{\text{Case A}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|(4.6)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \sum_{\text{Case A}} \langle t \rangle^{-1 + 2\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - 3k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(0) + 4} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1 + 3\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1) - 5}.$$

This leads us (4.3). For (4.7), we have

$$\|M_{12,\mathbf{k}}\|_{\text{CM}} \lesssim \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^8.$$

Then, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.4, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{Case A}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|(4.7)\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\text{Case A}} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^2 \|P_{k_2}(xV_{\mu,\theta_2})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1}\phi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{\text{Case A}} 2^{\frac{\min(\mathbf{k})}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-1+[H(1)+H(0)]\delta} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(0)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{Case A}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|(4.7)\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\text{Case A}} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^8 \|P_{k_2}(xV_{\mu,\theta_2})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1}\phi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{\text{Case A}} 2^{-k_2} \langle t \rangle^{-2+2H(1)\delta+\frac{1}{2}\delta} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+10} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+8}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. By decomposing $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $2^{k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we complete the bound for (4.7). The estimates for (4.8) can be obtained analogously. \square

We will use the following lemma to prove weighted energy estimates and asymptotic behavior.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$. Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $0 \leq n \leq 2$, and $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we have*

$$\|P_k(x_l \mathfrak{N}_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}})(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)-5}. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Since $[x_l, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'}]$ is smoother than $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'}$, it suffices to consider only the first term of $\mathfrak{N}_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}$ as previously. By Plancherel's theorem, we see that

$$2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_l} \left[\widehat{W_{\mu,\theta_2,\mathcal{L}_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 it(\xi-\eta)} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(t,\xi-\eta) \right] d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2}. \quad (4.10)$$

The derivative ∂_{ξ_l} falls on either $e^{-\theta_1 it(\xi-\eta)}$ or $\widehat{\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(t,\xi-\eta)$. If the derivative falls on the phase modulation $e^{-\theta_1 it(\xi-\eta)}$, Lemma 4.1 leads us to the bound of (4.9). Thus, we have only to consider the case when the derivative falls on $\widehat{\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(t,\xi-\eta)$.

By (3.15), (3.16), and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_l} P_{k_2} \widehat{W_{\mu,\mathcal{L}_2,\theta_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 it(\xi-\eta)} P_{k_1} \widehat{x_l \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(t,\xi-\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1}(x_l \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu,\mathcal{L}_2,\theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1+1)+H(n_2)]\delta} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)-1} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

which implies the bound of (4.9) if $n_1 \neq n$.

Let us consider the case $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$. Using (4.11), we get the bound of (4.9) if $2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}$ and $2^{k_1} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-10\delta}$. By (3.17) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_l} P_{k_2} \widehat{W_{\mu,\theta_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 it(\xi-\eta)} P_{k_1} \widehat{x_l \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(t,\xi-\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1}(x_l \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu,\theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1+1)+H(1)]\delta-1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)-1} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

If $2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}$ and $2^{k_1} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-10\delta}$, then we get the desired bound by (4.12). In addition, we are done for the case $2^k \lesssim 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$ or the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$ and $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{20}}$.

Now it remains to consider the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$ and $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{20}}$. Making the change of variables in the integral of (4.10), for (4.10) we need to estimate

$$2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) e^{\theta_2 i t |\xi - \eta|} P_{k_2} \widehat{x_l V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \xi - \eta) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \eta) d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2}.$$

This leads us that, by Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) e^{\theta_2 i t |\xi - \eta|} P_{k_2} \widehat{x_l V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \xi - \eta) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \eta) d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2}(x_l V_{\mu, \theta_2})(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n)+H(1)]\delta} 2^{k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{20}}$ to the bound $t^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta}$. This completes the proof of (4.9). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$. Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\theta \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{N}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{D}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}+2H(3)\delta}. \quad (4.13)$$

We note that Lemma 4.3 is improved in terms of the regularity condition, whereas decay effect has a loss.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. For $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_2}$ ($0 \leq n_1 + n_2 = n$), we have

$$\mathcal{L}(A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \psi(t)) = \sum_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{\pm\}} A_{\mu, \theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \alpha^\mu \mathcal{L}_1 \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t).$$

Then it suffices to show

$$\|A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}+2H(3)\delta}$$

for $\mathcal{L}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{n_l}$ ($l = 1, 2$). To this end, we decompose $A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2} = \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}$. If $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}$, Hölder inequality with (3.15) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}} \|P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} & \lesssim \sum_{2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}} 2^{k_2} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}+2H(3)\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider $2^{k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. If $n \leq 2$, using (3.15), (3.19), and (3.20), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}+2H(3)\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Next, we treat the case $n = 3$. When $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$ or $(n_1, n_2) = (0, n)$, we similarly have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|\langle D \rangle^{N(n)} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^p} \|\langle D \rangle^{N(n)} P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^q} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}+2H(3)\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$ when $(n_1, n_2) = (0, n)$ and $(p, q) = (2, \infty)$ when $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$. Then it remains to handle the case $1 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq n - 1$ when $n = 3$. However, this case can be done by the same estimates to (4.14). Therefore, we complete the proof of (4.13). \square

4.2. Nonlinear estimates for Maxwell part. We denote the nonlinear term of Maxwell part (2.16) by

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{M}} := \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_\mu \psi \rangle.$$

Then for $\theta' \in \{+, -\}$

$$(i\partial_t + \theta'|D|)W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} = \theta' \mathfrak{N}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{M}}, \quad i\partial_t V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'} = \theta' e^{-i\theta'|D|} \mathfrak{N}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) is a solution to (1.2) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$ and satisfies (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\theta' \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\|P_k \mathfrak{N}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{M}}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_k \partial_t V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)+5} \min(2^{k-}, \langle t \rangle^{-1}). \quad (4.16)$$

Note that $\tilde{H}(n)$ is defined in Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. We partition the range of $|\eta|$ and $|\xi + \eta|$ with dyadic numbers 2^{k_1} and 2^{k_2} ($k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$), respectively. Then, it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \rangle \|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\tilde{H}(n)} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)+5} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

for $\mathcal{L}_j \in \mathcal{V}_{n_l}$ ($l = 1, 2$) and $n_1 + n_2 = n$. We also assume that $n_1 \geq n_2$ due to the symmetry between ψ_{θ_1} and ψ_{θ_2} . By (3.15), a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \rangle \|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{3 \min(k) - k}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1) + H(n_2)]\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(k) - k}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(n_1) + H(n_2) \leq \tilde{H}(n)$ and $\min(N(n_1), N(n_2)) = N(n)$, we get (4.17) if $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$.

Let us assume that $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. In this case, we estimate by dividing the distribution of vector fields as follows:

$$(n_1, n_2) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 1)\} \cup \{(n, 0) : n \geq 1\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 1)\}$. Analogously to the proof of Lemma 4.1, we exploit the space resonance. Taking Fourier transform to integrand of left-hand side in (4.17), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left[P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \rangle \right] (\xi) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{itq_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \xi + \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(t, \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$q_{12}(\xi, \eta) = \theta_1 \langle \xi + \eta \rangle - \theta_2 \langle \eta \rangle.$$

As we observed in (2.9), we exploit the resonance cases according to $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ and $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

Let us first consider the case $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. By performing the integration by parts in η , (4.18) is the sum of the following:

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_{\eta} \widetilde{M}_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \left\langle P_{k_1} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \xi + \eta), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_2} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(t, \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (4.19)$$

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \widetilde{M}_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \left\langle P_{k_1} \widehat{x\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \xi + \eta), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_2} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(t, \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (4.20)$$

$$t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itq_{12}(\xi, \eta)} \widetilde{M}_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \left\langle P_{k_1} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \xi + \eta), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_2} \widehat{x\phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(t, \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\nabla_{\eta} q_{12}(\xi, \eta)}{|\nabla_{\eta} q_{12}(\xi, \eta)|^2} \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta).$$

A direct calculation leads us that

$$\left| \nabla_{\eta}^{\ell} \widetilde{M}_{12, \mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) \right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-\ell \min(k_1, k_2)} \max(\langle 2^{k_1} \rangle, \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle)^{3+\ell}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(4.19)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - 3k}{2}} 2^{-\min(k_1, k_2)} \max(\langle 2^{k_1} \rangle, \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle)^4 \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1) + H(n_2 + 1)]\delta - 1} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - 3k}{2} - \min(k_1, k_2) + k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1) + 4} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2 + 1) + 4}. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate follows from (3.15) and (3.18) for $\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}$, respectively. Summing over k_1, k_2 , we obtain the bound for (4.19).

By (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(4.20)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - 3k}{2}} \max(\langle 2^{k_1} \rangle, \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle)^3 \|P_{k_1}(x\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1 + 1) + H(n_2)]\delta - 1} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - 3k}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1 + 1) + 3} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2) + 3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(n_1 + 1) + H(n_2) = H(n) + 10$ and $N(n) - 5 \leq N(n_1 + 1) - 3$, this implies (4.17). Similarly, the estimate of (4.21) can be carried out and hence we omit the details.

We now turn to the case $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. In view of (2.9), the phase interaction $q_{12}(\xi, \eta)$ exhibits the space resonance when $\xi + 2\eta = 0$. If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \ll 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})}$, then by the frequency relation, we have $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \sim |\xi + 2\eta|$. Using the integration by parts in η , this case can be treated in a similar way to the case $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Thus, we have only to consider

$$2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \sim 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})}.$$

The estimate for this case is straightforward. Indeed, by (3.15) and (3.19), we see that

$$\|(4.18)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1) + H(n_2 + 1)]\delta - 1} 2^{\frac{-k + k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2 + 1) + 2}.$$

This finishes the proof of (4.17) when $(n_1, n_2) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 1)\}$.

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$ and $n \geq 1$. From (3.15) and (3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(t), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k}{2}} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k}{2}} 2^{(1 + 5H(2)\delta)k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0) + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1+130\delta}$ or $2^{k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{40}}$, this estimate implies (4.17). If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \gg \langle t \rangle^{-1+130\delta}$ and $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{40}}$, we decompose $P_{k_2}\phi_{\theta_2}$ into $\phi_{\theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}$ and $\phi_{\theta_2}^{> J, k_2}$ with $J = C2^{k_2} \langle t \rangle$ for some $C \ll 1$. And we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-\theta_2 it \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2000}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-19}, \\ \left\| \phi_{\theta_2}^{> J, k_2}(t) \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1+H(1)\delta} 2^{-k_2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

which follow from (3.26) and (3.17), respectively. On the one hand, $L^2 \times L^\infty$ estimate leads us to the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(t), \alpha_\mu e^{-\theta_2 it \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_2}^{\leq J, k}(t) \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta - \frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k+k_2}{2} + \frac{k_2}{1000}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-19}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

If $2^{k_2} \lesssim 2^{k_1}$, (4.23) yields (4.17) over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \gg \langle t \rangle^{-1+130\delta}$ and $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{40}}$. If $2^{k_1} \ll 2^{k_2}$, then in view of (4.17) with $n = 1$, we can observe that the factor $\langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-19}$ is not sufficient for $\langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}$ when $2^k \geq 1$. However, from the frequency restriction $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{40}}$, we have

$$\langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-19} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{20}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5},$$

which enables to obtain the desired bound. On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(t), \alpha_\mu e^{-\theta_2 it \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_2}^{> J, k}(t) \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n)+H(1)]\delta - 1} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})}{2} - \frac{k}{2} - k_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Them, (4.17) follows from the sum over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \gg \langle t \rangle^{-1+130\delta}$ and $2^{k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{40}}$.

Estimates for $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$. By the symmetry between ψ_{θ_1} and ψ_{θ_2} , we only consider the case $k_1 \leq k_2$. If $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{2\delta}$, using (3.15) and (3.19), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} \|P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} 2^{-\frac{k+k_1}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{11\delta-1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\delta-1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(0)+5}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1+5\delta}$, (3.5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} \|P_k |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1 \leq k_2} \langle t \rangle^\delta 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{6\delta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the mid frequency case $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1+5\delta}$ and $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{2\delta}$. Setting $J := C2^{k_2} \langle t \rangle$ for some $C \ll 1$, we decompose $P_{k_2}\phi_{\theta_2}$ into $\phi_{\theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}$ and $\phi_{\theta_2}^{> J, k_2}$. Then we obtain (4.22) and the remaining estimates can be done similarly to those for the case $(n_1, n_2) = (n, 0)$ and $n \geq 1$. \square

The following lemma will be used in the proof of weighted estimates and scattering for the Maxwell part.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$, for some $T > 1$. Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $0 \leq n \leq 2$, and $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we have*

$$\|P_k(x_l \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbf{M}})(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{\widetilde{H}(n)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)+5}. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. As described in the proof of Lemma 4.2, one may consider only

$$2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(\xi) \left\langle e^{-\theta_1 i t (\xi + \eta)} \partial_{\xi_l} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(t, \xi + \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(t, \eta) \right\rangle d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^2}. \quad (4.25)$$

Note that $n_1 \leq n_2$ due to the symmetry. By (3.15) and (3.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{k_1}(x_l \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_1+1)\delta} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)}, \\ \|P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_2)\delta} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality yields that

$$|(4.25)| \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{3 \min(k) - k}{2}} \|P_{k_1}(x_l \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.26)$$

Since $H(n_1 + 1) + H(n_2) \leq \widetilde{H}(n)$ for $n = 1, 2$, we obtain (4.24) apart from the case $n = 0$. Let us consider the case $n = 0$. This case corresponds to the case $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$. Then, by the symmetry, it suffices to handle $2^{k_1} \lesssim 2^{k_2}$. If $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, we simply have (4.24) due to (4.26), If $2^k \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, using (3.19), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(4.25)| &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \lesssim 2^{k_2}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|P_{k_1}(x_l \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1})(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \lesssim 2^{k_2}} \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1+2H(1)\delta} 2^{\frac{-k+k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (4.24). \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

For any initial data (1.3) satisfying (1.5), one can readily show the existence of local solution (ψ, A_μ) to (2.1) with $(\psi, W_\mu) \in C([0, T]; H^{N(0)} \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{N(0)-1})$ for some $T > 0$ by the regularity persistence based on the local theory of [8]. Then the standard approximation with $e^{-\lambda|x|^2} \langle x \rangle^N$ is applicable to the system (2.1) and enables us to get, for sufficiently small T ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{n=1,2,3} [\|\langle x \rangle^n \langle D \rangle^n (\psi(t), W_\mu(t))\|_{H^{N(n)}}] \leq C(T, \psi(0), W_\mu(0)).$$

Now we show $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\psi}(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} < +\infty$. In fact, by (2.2) we have

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\phi_\theta}(t)| \leq |\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\psi_\theta}(0)| + c \sum_{\theta_1 \in \{\pm\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{W}_\mu(s, \eta)| |\widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi - \eta)| d\eta ds.$$

Let $f(t) = \|\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\psi_\theta}(t)\|_{L_\xi^\infty}$. Then using

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim (\xi - \eta)^{20} |\xi - \eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{20} |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle \eta \rangle^{20} |\xi - \eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle \eta \rangle^{20} |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the factor $|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ gets rid of the singularity of $|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and Hölder's inequality leads us to the bound

$$f(t) \leq C_T + C \int_0^t \|W_\mu(s)\|_{H^{N(0)}} f(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad C_T = CT \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(\psi(t), W_\mu(t))\|_{H^{N(0)}}^2.$$

Hence Gronwall's inequality shows $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} f(t) \leq C_T$. If T is sufficiently small, then one can readily verify the local solution satisfies the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) via (2.15) and (2.17).

Remark 5.1. *The above local properties are not good enough for the global extension due to the bad growth in time. One may take bootstrap argument based on the weighted energy $\| |x|^2(\phi_\theta, V_{\mu, \theta'}) \|_{H^m}$ as in [6]. But the square weight makes a trouble because it plays a role as the second derivative in the Fourier side and gives rise to a serious singularity to the nonlinearity. This obstacle prevents us from closing bootstrap due to the lack of null structure like (1.11). This is a reason why we use bootstrap argument based on the vector-field energy method.*

Now we extend the solution globally by time continuity. To this end, we go through several steps of bootstrap argument, which are energy, weighted energy, and scattering norm estimates to be implemented under a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). Each step is described as the proposition below in which solution turns out to have more improvement than a priori assumptions. Since the proof of propositions when $T \lesssim 1$ is similar to (much simpler than) the case $T \gg 1$, we assume that $T \gg 1$ from now. Let us introduce propositions running bootstrap.

Proposition 5.2 (Energy estimates). *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$, and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Then we have*

$$\|\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proposition 5.3 (Weighted energy estimates). *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$, $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Then, we have*

$$\langle 2^k \rangle \|P_k(x_l \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$2^k \|P_k(x_l V_{\theta, \mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Proposition 5.4 (Scattering norm estimates). *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $\theta, \theta' \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\|\psi_\theta(t)\|_{\mathbf{D}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\|V_{\mu, \theta'}(t)\|_{\mathbf{M}} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2. \quad (5.6)$$

The proof of propositions will be given in Section 6, Section 7, and Sections 8 and 9, respectively.

Proof of the asymptotic behavior for the Maxwell-Dirac system. The above propositions close the bootstrap argument and lead us the global existence immediately. Now we show the asymptotic behavior parts of the main theorem. Let us first consider the modified scattering for Dirac part. In Section 8 we will show that for $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_2, \xi) - \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_1, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t_2 \rangle^{-\delta} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{100}k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20},$$

where $\Psi_\theta(t, \xi) = e^{-iB_\theta(t, \xi)} \widehat{\phi}_\theta(t, \xi)$ and $B_\theta(t, \xi)$ is the phase modification symbol as defined in Theorem 1.1. This bound shows the existence of limit $\lim_{t' \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\theta(t')$ in L^2 . By setting

$$\psi_\theta^\infty(t) := e^{-\theta i t \langle D \rangle} \lim_{t' \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\theta(t'),$$

we obtain

$$\|\psi_\theta(t) - e^{iB_\theta(t,D)}\psi_\theta^\infty(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{20} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F} \left[\psi_\theta(t) - e^{iB_\theta(t,D)}\psi_\theta^\infty(t) \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\delta} \varepsilon_0.$$

Note that $\psi^\infty := \Pi_+ \psi_+^\infty + \Pi_- \psi_-^\infty$ is a solution to linear Dirac equation.

On the other hand, for the proof of (5.6), we will show that for $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta'}(t_2) - Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta'}(t_1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t_2 \rangle^{-\delta} 2^{-k-5H(2)\delta k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}.$$

Then this implies the existence of limit $\lim A_{\mu, \theta'}$ in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+5H(2)\delta}$. By setting

$$A_{\mu, \theta'}^\infty(t) := e^{\theta'it|D|} \lim_{t' \rightarrow \infty} V_{\mu, \theta'}(t'),$$

we see that

$$\left\| \langle D \rangle^{25} (A_{\mu, \theta'}(t) - A_{\mu, \theta'}^\infty(t)) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+5H(2)\delta}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\delta} \varepsilon_0.$$

Utilizing (3.19) and (3.20), one can readily establish (1.8) with $\bar{\delta} := 11\delta$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. PROOF OF ENERGY ESTIMATES

This section is devoted to proving Proposition 5.2.

6.1. Proof of (5.1): the bound on $\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}$. We first prove the energy estimates for the Dirac part.

In order to show (5.1) let us define the energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{D}}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t), \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t) \rangle - \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t), A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t) \right\rangle dx,$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} := \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}' } := \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} \mathcal{L}'$. Then, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{D}} \sim \|\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}\|_{H^{N(n)}}^2$ since $\varepsilon_1 \ll 1$.

Using (2.13), we see that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{L} \psi_\theta = -\theta i \langle D \rangle \mathcal{L} \psi_\theta + i \Pi_\theta \mathcal{L} (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) + i\theta \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n} C(\mathcal{L}') \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \mathcal{L}' (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi). \quad (6.1)$$

Then, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{D}}(t) &= 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\langle \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta, \Pi_\theta \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) \rangle + \theta \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n} C(\mathcal{L}') \left\langle \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} \mathcal{L}' (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) \right\rangle \right] dx \\ &\quad - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) &= 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t), A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t) \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t), \partial_t A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}} \psi_\theta(t) \right\rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition finishes the energy estimates for Dirac part.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that (ψ, A_μ) satisfies a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5). Let $t \in [0, T]$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, and $\theta \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have*

$$\left| 2\text{Im} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(t), \Pi_\theta \mathcal{P}^D(A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \psi(t)) \rangle dx - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s) ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle t \rangle^{2H(n)\delta}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s), \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} \mathcal{L}'(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \psi(s)) \rangle dx ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle t \rangle^{2H(n)\delta}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Let us first consider (6.2). Regarding $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s)$, by (6.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s) &= 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s), A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s) \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_\theta \mathcal{P}^D(A_\nu(s) \alpha^\nu \psi(s)), A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s) \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad - \theta 2\text{Re} \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_{n'}} C(\mathcal{L}') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{N(n)-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \mathcal{L}'(A_\nu(s) \alpha^\nu \psi(s)), A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s) \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(t), \partial_t A_\mu(t) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(t) \right\rangle dx \\ &=: \sum_{j=1}^4 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_j(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.3 and (3.20), we estimate

$$\left| \int_0^t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2(s) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_3(s) ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle t \rangle^{2H(n)\delta}.$$

Moreover, by (3.25) we obtain that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\partial_t A_\mu(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \theta' \in \{\pm\}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_t P_k W_{\mu, \theta'}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1},$$

which implies

$$\left| \int_0^t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4(s) ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(n)\delta}.$$

Thus, it suffices to consider the part

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s), \Pi_\theta \mathcal{P}^D(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \psi(s)) \rangle dx - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(s) ds,$$

which can be written as

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\langle \mathcal{P}^D \psi_\theta(s), \Pi_\theta \mathcal{P}^D(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \psi(s)) - \Pi_\theta \langle D \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^D \psi(s) \right) \right\rangle dx ds. \quad (6.4)$$

By commutation relation one gets

$$\mathcal{P}(A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) = \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \mathcal{L} \psi) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_\ell \in \mathcal{V}_{n_\ell}, \ell=1,2} C(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} (\mathcal{L}_2 A_\mu \alpha^\mu \mathcal{L}_1 \psi),$$

where $C(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ are constants depending only on $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and $n_1 \leq n-1, n_1 + n_2 = n$.

We can write (6.4) in the Fourier side as the sum of the followig:

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{-6} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^1(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \\ (2\pi)^{-6} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^2(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^1(\xi, \eta) &:= |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{N(n)+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle \xi \rangle^{N(n)-\frac{1}{2}} - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{N(n)-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ M_n^2(\xi, \eta) &:= |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate (6.5) by dividing the time $t \in [0, T]$ into a dyadic pieces 2^m ($m \in \{0, \dots, L+1\}$) with

$$|L - \log_2(t+2)| \leq 2$$

and by taking cut-offs $q_m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(q_0) \subset [0, 2], \quad \text{supp}(q_{L+1}) \subset [t-2, t], \quad \text{supp}(q_m) \subset [2^{m-1}, 2^{m+1}], \\ \sum_{m=0}^{L+1} q_m(s) = \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s), \quad q_m \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_0^t |q'_m(s)| ds \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let I_m denote the support of q_m and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_m^1 &:= \int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) M_n^1(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \\ \mathcal{I}_m^2 &:= \int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) M_n^2(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

To get the bound (6.2), it suffices to show that for each $l = 1, 2$ and $m \in \{0, \dots, L+1\}$

$$|\mathcal{I}_m^l| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}. \quad (6.6)$$

Estimates for \mathcal{I}_m^1 . To this end, we divide the frequencies of \mathcal{I}_m^1 into the dyadic pieces $2^k, 2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}_{m, \mathbf{k}}^1 := \int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) M_n^1(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds,$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k, k_1, k_2)$. For the multiplier estimates, we have

$$\left\| M_n^1(\xi, \eta) \rho_k(\xi) \rho_{k_1}(\xi + \eta) \rho_{k_2}(\eta) \right\|_{\text{CM}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1}.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.4, (3.15), and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_{m, \mathbf{k}}^1| &\lesssim \int_{I_m} 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{2H(n)\delta m - m} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} 2^{k_2 - 5H(2)\delta k_2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-22}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.6) in case that $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_2$.

Let us move on to the case $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k$ or k_1 . If $\min(\mathbf{k}) \leq -\frac{2}{3}m - \delta m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{I}_{m, \mathbf{k}}^1| \\ &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \quad (6.7) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[2H(n)+1]\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1-N(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the high frequency case $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{\frac{1}{60}m}$. In this case the high frequency sum can be easily treated by the regularity condition $\langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)}$ of W_{μ, θ_2} in the energy estimates (3.15). To

exploit this regularity gap, we estimate to distinguish $n \leq 2$ and $n = 3$. Indeed, if $n \leq 2$, it follows from (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_{m,\mathbf{k}}^1| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|P_k \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu,\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[H(n)+H(n+1)+1]\delta m - m} 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{k_1} \right\rangle^{-N(n+1)+3} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1-N(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $n = 3$, (6.7) yields that

$$|\mathcal{I}_{m,\mathbf{k}}^1| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[2H(3)+1]\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})+k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{k_1} \right\rangle^{-N(3)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(3)-1-N(0)}.$$

whose $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{\frac{m}{60}}$ leads us to (6.6).

Let us now consider $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m - \delta m}$ and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{60}}$. For this, we use the normal form approach. Making integration by parts in time, $\mathcal{I}_{m,\mathbf{k}}^1$ becomes the sum of the integrals:

$$\int_{I_m} q'_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{e^{isp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)}}{p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_n^1(\xi,\eta) \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}}(s,\xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}}}(s,\xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.8)$$

$$\int_{I_m} q_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{e^{isp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)}}{p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_n^1(\xi,\eta) \partial_s \left[\left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}}(s,\xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}}}(s,\xi - \eta) \right\rangle \right] d\eta d\xi ds, \quad (6.9)$$

where p_Θ is the phase defined in (2.4). By (3.15) and (3.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(6.8)| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^k \right\rangle^{N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|\partial_s P_k \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu,\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{2H(n)\delta m - 2m} 2^{-5H(2)\delta k_2} \left\langle 2^{k_1} \right\rangle^{-N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-23}. \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to sum over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m - \delta m}$ and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{60}}$. To estimate (6.9), we utilize

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k \partial_s \phi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-m + \tilde{H}(n)\delta m} \left\langle 2^k \right\rangle^{-N(n+1)-5}, \\ \|P_k \partial_s V_{\mu,\theta_2}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-m + \tilde{H}(0)\delta m} \left\langle 2^k \right\rangle^{-N(1)-5}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

obtained by (4.2) and (4.16), respectively. In (6.9), if the time derivative falls on $\phi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}$ or $\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(6.9)| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^k \right\rangle^{N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|\partial_s P_k \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu,\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[H(n)+\tilde{H}(n)]\delta m - 2m} 2^{-5H(2)\delta k_2} \left\langle 2^k \right\rangle^{N(n)-N(n+1)-5} \left\langle 2^{k_1} \right\rangle^{-N(n)} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-23}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the case that the derivative falls on V_{μ,θ_2} . In this case, we decompose $n \leq 2$ and $n = 3$. If $n \leq 2$, by (3.19) and (6.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(6.9)| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-1} \|P_k \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta,\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_s P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[H(n)+H(n+1)+\tilde{H}(0)]\delta m - 2m} 2^{\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}} \left\langle 2^{k_1} \right\rangle^{-N(n+1)+2} \left\langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \right\rangle^{N(n)-6-N(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us move on to the case $n = 3$. Using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and (3.25), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{\theta_2 it|D|} \partial_s P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \| |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{k_2} \langle \psi(s), \alpha_\mu \psi(s) \rangle \|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\theta_3, \theta_4 \in \{\pm\}} \sum_{\substack{j_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_{k_\ell} \\ k_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell=3,4}} \|Q_{j_3, k_3} \psi_{\theta_3}(s)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|Q_{j_3, k_3} \psi_{\theta_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|Q_{j_4, k_4} \psi_{\theta_4}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{5}{3}m + 20\delta m} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(6.9)| & \lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{N(3)-1} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{H^{N(3)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|e^{\theta_2 it|D|} \partial_s P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[2H(3)+20]\delta m - \frac{5}{3}m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(3)} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{N(3)-N(1)+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof for (6.9). Summing over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m - \delta m}$ and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{60}}$, we get (6.6).

Remark 6.2. Regarding the restriction $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{60}}$, we need this condition only when $n = 0, 1$ and $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_1$ in the estimates for (6.8). In view of (6.10), we also require this restriction in the estimates for (6.9) when $n \leq 2$.

Estimates for \mathcal{I}_m^2 . We postpone a proof for the case $n_1 = 0$ to Section 6.3. Here, we consider the cases $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 1)$, and $(1, 2)$ when $n = 3$ and $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$ when $n = 2$. Similarly to the previous estimates, we separate $|\xi|, |\xi + \eta|, |\eta|$ by dyadic numbers $2^k, 2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}$ and consider the integral

$$\int_{I_m} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) M_n^2(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds. \quad (6.11)$$

If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{-m}$ or $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{10}}$, by Hölder's inequality and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(6.11)| & \lesssim |I_m| 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{(H(n)+H(n_1)+H(n_2))\delta m} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq n - 1$, one gets $H(n_1) + H(n_2) \leq H(n) - 190$ and $N(n) \leq \min(N(n_1), N(n_2)) - 10$. Summing over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{-m}$ or $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{10}}$, one can get (6.6).

If $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$ and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{10}}$, then we exploit the space resonance (2.7). More precisely, making integration by parts in η , (6.11) becomes the sum of the following:

$$\int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} s^{-1} q_m(s) e^{isp\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_\eta \widetilde{M_{n, \mathbf{k}}^2} \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} s^{-1} q_m(s) e^{isp\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \widetilde{M_{n, \mathbf{k}}^2} \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} x V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.13)$$

$$\int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} s^{-1} q_m(s) e^{isp\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \widetilde{M_{n, \mathbf{k}}^2} \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} x \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.14)$$

where the multiplier \widetilde{M}_n^2 is defined by

$$\widetilde{M}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^2(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi, \eta) M_n^2(\xi, \eta)}{|\nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)|^2} \rho_k(\xi) \rho_{k_1}(\xi + \eta) \rho_{k_2}(\eta).$$

In view of (2.7), this multiplier satisfies

$$\left| \nabla_\eta^\ell \widetilde{M}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^2(\xi, \eta) \right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2} - \ell k_2} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{2+2\ell}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$. Then, (3.15) leads us to the bound

$$|(6.12)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{(H(n)+H(n_1)+H(n_2))\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})}{2} - \frac{3k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)+4} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}.$$

The sum of (6.12) over $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$ now gives us (6.6).

As for (6.13), by using (3.16) and (3.19), we estimate as follows:

$$|(6.13)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{(H(n)+H(n_1)+H(n_2+1))\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})}{2} - k_2} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2+1)} \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$|(6.13)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{(H(n)+H(n_1+1)+H(n_2+1))\delta m} 2^{-m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)+8} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2+1)}. \quad (6.16)$$

Since $H(n_1) + H(n_2 + 1) \leq H(n) + 10$ and

$$2^{-k_2} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2+1)} \lesssim \langle 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{-10} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^{-1},$$

one gets (6.6) by summing (6.15) over $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{10\delta m}$ and (6.16) over $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{10\delta m}$. The estimates for (6.14) can be obtained similarly with $L^2 \times L^2 \times L^\infty$ estimates due to the restriction $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{10}}$.

We prove (6.3). By (2.14) we have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{V}_n} C(\mathcal{L}') \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'} \mathcal{L}' \partial_t (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) = C_1(\mathcal{L}_0) \mathcal{L}_0 (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi) + \sum_{\overline{\mathcal{L}} \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_\pi} C_2(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}} \overline{\mathcal{L}} \partial_t (A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi),$$

for $\overline{n}, \widetilde{n} \leq n - 1$. By (4.1) and (4.15), it suffices to consider

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^3(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi}_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^4(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s, \xi), \widehat{W}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{\mathbf{D}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.18)$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^4(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{\psi}_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s, \xi), \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2}^{\mathbf{M}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\psi}_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$M_n^3(\xi, \eta) = |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}(\xi)) \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} (1 + |\eta| + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad M_n^4(\xi, \eta) = |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}$ is smooth and plays a similar role to Π_θ , the proof of (6.17) can be done similarly to the estimates for \mathcal{I}_m^2 by replacing Π_θ, \mathcal{L} with $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively. Indeed, the estimates for (6.3) can be obtained more easily from the fact that $n_0, \overline{n} \leq n - 1$. For the proofs of (6.18) and (6.19), Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4 imply the desired bounds straightforwardly. Therefore, we omit the proof of (6.3). \square

6.2. Proof of (5.2): the bound on $W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}$. With $\mathcal{P}^M := \langle D \rangle^{N(n)} |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}$, we define the energy functional for Maxwell part

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^M(t) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(\mathcal{P}^M A_{\mu}(t))^2 + (\partial_t |D|^{-1} \mathcal{P}^M A_{\mu}(t))^2] dx. \quad (6.20)$$

Then, by the definition of $W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^M(t) \sim \|W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}}^2.$$

Using the commutation relation of wave operator, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^M(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D|^{-1} \mathcal{P}^M [\langle \psi, \alpha_{\mu} \psi \rangle](t) \partial_t |D|^{-1} \mathcal{P}^M A_{\mu}(t) dx \\ &= i(2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{\theta' \in \{\pm\}} \theta' \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L} \langle \psi, \alpha_{\mu} \psi \rangle](t, \xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}[W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}]}(t, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (6.20), it suffices to show

$$\left| \int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q_m(s) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \mathcal{F} \langle \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}, \alpha_{\mu} \psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2} \rangle (t, \xi) \overline{\widehat{W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}}}(t, \xi) d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m},$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$, $m \in \{0, \dots, L+1\}$, $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_2}$ ($n_1 + n_2 = n$), and $\theta', \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{+, -\}$.

Then, we focus on the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} \widehat{\psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle \overline{\widehat{W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}}}(s, \xi) d\eta d\xi ds \right| \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

By the frequency decomposition, we define

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu, m, \mathbf{k}} := \int_{I_m} q_m(s) M(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \left\langle P_{k_2} \widehat{\psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(s, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_1} \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle \overline{P_k \widehat{W_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}}}(s, \xi) d\eta d\xi ds,$$

where $M(\xi) = |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)}$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k, k_1, k_2)$. To prove (6.21), it suffices to handle

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\mathcal{J}_{\mu, m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m} \quad (6.22)$$

for $n_1, n_2 \leq n \leq 3$, $\mathcal{L}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_{\ell}}$. To observe the resonances, we recall the phase interaction:

$$\int_{I_m} q_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M(\xi) e^{isq_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle P_{k_2} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(s, \eta), \alpha_{\mu} P_{k_1} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle \overline{P_k \widehat{V_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}}}(s, \xi) d\eta d\xi ds,$$

where $q_{\Theta}(\xi, \eta)$ is as in (2.5).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_1 \leq n_2$. The proof of (6.22) is divided into the cases $n_1 = 0$ and $n_1 \geq 1$. The case $n_1 = 0$ ($n \geq 1$) will be treated in Section 6.3. Let us first consider the vector field free case $n = 0$. From the symmetry between spinors, we assume that $k_1 \leq k_2$. Then the bounds (3.15) and (3.24) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{\mu, m, \mathbf{k}}| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \|P_k W_{\mu, \theta'}(s)\|_{H^{N(0)}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{2H(0)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k}{2} + (1 + \frac{1}{100})k_1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(0)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (6.22) for $2^{k+k_1} \leq 2^{-m}$. Let us consider $2^{k+k_1} \geq 2^{-m}$. When $2^k \sim 2^{k_2}$, the estimate above yields the desired bound. Thus, we handle the case $2^k \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$. Using the decomposition

and their bounds (4.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{\mu,m,\mathbf{k}}| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \|P_k W_{\mu,\theta'}(s)\|_{H^{N(0)}} \|e^{-\theta_1 it \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J,k_1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{H(0)\delta m - \frac{3}{2}m} 2^{-\frac{k+k_1}{2} + \frac{k_1}{1000} + (1 + \frac{1}{100})k_2} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)+2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{\mu,m,\mathbf{k}}| &\lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \|P_k W_{\mu,\theta'}(s)\|_{H^{N(0)}} \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J,k_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[H(0)+2H(1)]\delta m - 2m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} - k_1 + \frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(0)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates give us (6.22).

Here we focus on the case $n_1 \geq 1$, in which at least one vector field falls on each spinor. We first consider the cases $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{-m}$ and $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$. When $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{-m}$. By (3.15) we obtain the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k V_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}(s)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(n)\delta m} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n)}, \\ \|P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}(s)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(n_1)\delta m} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)}, \\ \|P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2,\mathcal{L}_2}(s)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(n_2)\delta m} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

By Hölder's inequality and (6.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} |\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbf{k}}| &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{[H(n)+H(n_1)+H(n_2)]\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}\min(\mathbf{k})} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Since $H(n_1) + H(n_2) = H(n) - 190$ and $N(n) \leq N(n_2) - 10$, this directly implies (6.22). Let us now handle the case $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$. By integration by parts in time, $\mathcal{J}_{\mu,m,\mathbf{k}}$ is written as the sum of the following

$$\int_{I_m} q'_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{e^{isq_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta)}}{q_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta)} \left\langle P_{k_2} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2,\mathcal{L}_2}}(s,\eta), \alpha_\mu P_{k_1} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s,\xi+\eta) \right\rangle \overline{P_k V_{\mu,\mathcal{L},\theta'}(s,\xi)} d\eta d\xi ds, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\int_{I_m} q_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{e^{isq_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta)}}{q_{\Theta'}(\xi,\eta)} \partial_s \left[\left\langle P_{k_2} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_2,\mathcal{L}_2}}(s,\eta), \alpha_\mu P_{k_1} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s,\xi+\eta) \right\rangle \overline{P_k V_{\mu,\theta',\mathcal{L}}(s,\xi)} \right] d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (6.26)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$|(6.25)| \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}\min(\mathbf{k})-k} \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle^2 \|P_k V_{\mu,\theta',\mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1,\mathcal{L}_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2,\mathcal{L}_1}(s)\|_{L^2}.$$

Analogously to (6.24), we estimate

$$\sum_{2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} |(6.25)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{H(n)\delta m}.$$

Similar estimate to the above can be obtained for (6.26) by (6.10). Since $n_1 \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(n) + H(n_1) + H(n_2) &\leq 2H(n) - 30, \\ H(n) + \tilde{H}(n_1) + H(n_2) &\leq 2H(n) - 30, \\ H(n) + H(n_1) + \tilde{H}(n_2) &\leq 2H(n) - 30. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} |(6.26)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m - 30\delta m} \max \left(\langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-1}, \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-1} \right).$$

This completes the proof of (6.22) for $n_1 \geq 1$.

6.3. Bulk estimate on Dirac part. In this section, we finish the proof of the energy estimates of Dirac part for the cases that all vector fields fall on only one of the profiles. Let us invoke (6.11) with $n_2 = n(n \geq 1)$ and write

$$\mathcal{K}_{m,\mathbf{k}} := \int_{I_m} q_m(s) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M_n^2(\xi, \eta) \left\langle \widehat{P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), P_{k_2} \widehat{W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds.$$

Note that $M_n^2(\xi, \eta) = |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)}$. The following proposition gives us the proof of energy estimate on Dirac part.

Proposition 6.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, k_2)$ and $m \in \{0, \dots, L+1\}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\mathcal{K}_{m,\mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}. \quad (6.27)$$

Proof. We prove this proposition by decomposing the case into four cases.

Case 1: $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{-m}$. We begin with $L^\infty \times L^2 \times L^2$ estimate. By Hölder's inequality with (3.15) and (3.24), we have

$$|\mathcal{K}_{m,\mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 |I_m| 2^{2H(n)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-k_2}{2}} 2^{(1+\delta_1)k_1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(0)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \quad (6.28)$$

This estimate implies (6.27) if $\min(\mathbf{k}) \leq -m$.

Case 2: $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$ and $2^k \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m}$. We claim that

$$\sum_{\text{Case 2}} |\mathcal{K}_{m,\mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}. \quad (6.29)$$

When $2^k \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m}$ and $2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m}$, (6.29) follows from (6.28). When

$$2^k \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} 2^{(1+\delta_1)k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-35} \leq 2^{-m},$$

(6.29) can be shown treated similarly. Then, it remains to prove (6.29) when

$$2^{-m} \leq 2^k \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} 2^{(1+\delta_1)k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-35} \geq 2^{-m}. \quad (6.30)$$

From this relation, we deduce $2^{k_1} \geq 2^{-\frac{2}{5}m}$. Setting $2^J := C \langle s \rangle 2^{k_1}$ for some constant $0 < C \ll 1$, we decompose $P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1} = \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1} + \phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}$ as follows: $\mathcal{K}_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ is the sum of the integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_m} q_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^2(\xi, \eta) e^{isp_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), P_{k_2} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_m} q_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} M_n^2(\xi, \eta) e^{isp_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), P_{k_2} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J, k_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

where $p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)$ is in (2.4). By (3.26) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\theta_1 it(D)} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1000})k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19}, \\ \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{\geq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1+H(1)\delta} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads us to the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |(6.31)| &\lesssim 2^m 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \sup_{s \in I_m} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|e^{-\theta_1 it(D)} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m - \frac{m}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k$, we have, for small $0 < \zeta \ll 1$,

$$|(6.31)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{m}{2} + \zeta m} 2^{\zeta k_2} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-19} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)},$$

which implies the desired bound for (6.31) from the fact that $k_1 \geq -\frac{2}{5}m$. When $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_2$ or k_1 , by the second condition of (6.30), we directly obtain the desired results. Analogously, using Bernstein's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(6.32)| &\lesssim 2^m 2^k \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \sup_{s \in I_m} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{\geq J, k_1}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{[H(1)+2H(n)]\delta m} 2^{k-k_1} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2^{k-k_1} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{5}m}$, this finishes the proof for **Case 2**.

Case 3: $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$, $2^k \geq 2^{-\frac{3}{5}m}$, and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \leq 2^{20\delta m}$. Using the integration by parts in time, $\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}$ is decomposed by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_m} q'_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{M_n^2(\xi, \eta)}{p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} e^{isp_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \\ \times \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_m} q'_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} \frac{M_n^2(\xi, \eta)}{p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} e^{isp_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \\ \times \partial_s \left\langle \widehat{P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}}(s, \xi), \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

Using (3.15), (4.2), (4.16), and (3.19), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} + 2^m \|P_k(\partial_s \phi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}})(s)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta m} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)-5}, \\ \|e^{-\theta_1 is(D)} P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{\frac{k_1}{2}} 2^{-m+10\delta m} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2}, \\ \|P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} + 2^m \|P_{k_2}(\partial_s V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2})(s)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} 2^{\tilde{H}(n)\delta m} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)+5}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

This yields that

$$|(6.33)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+(2\tilde{H}(n)+10)\delta m} 2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)-N(n+1)-5} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)+5}.$$

In (6.34), when the time derivative falls on $P_k \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}$ or $P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}$, we estimate

$$|(6.34)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-2m+(2\tilde{H}(n)+10)\delta m} 2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)-N(n+1)-5} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)+5}.$$

It remains to handle the case that ∂_s falls on $P_{k_1}\phi_{\theta_1}$ in (6.34). By the condition of **Case 3**, (3.20) and (3.23) show us that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{-\theta_1 i s \langle D \rangle} P_{k_1}(\partial_s \phi_{\theta_1}(s))\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \sim \|\Pi_{\theta_1}(D) P_{k_1}(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \psi(s))\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim 2^{3\zeta k_1} \|P_{k_1}(A_\mu(s) \alpha^\mu \psi(s))\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\zeta}}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{3\zeta k_1} \sum_{\theta_3, \theta_4 \in \{\pm\}} \sum_{\substack{j_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_{k_\ell} \\ k_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell=3,4}} \|Q_{j_3, k_3} \psi_{\theta_3}(s)\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\zeta}}} \|Q_{j_4, k_4} A_{\mu, \theta_4}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-2m+12\delta m} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

for sufficiently small $\zeta > 0$. Note that we used the frequency relation $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{\max(k_3, k_4)}$ in the fourth inequality. Then we have

$$|(6.34)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-2m+20\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}}.$$

Since $\max(\mathbf{k}) \leq 4\delta_1 m$, we readily obtain

$$\sum_{\text{Case 3}} |\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}.$$

Case 4: $2^{\min(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{-m}$, $2^k \geq 2^{-\frac{3}{5}m}$, and $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \geq 2^{20\delta m}$. We prove

$$\sum_{\text{Case 4}} |\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{2H(n)\delta m}. \tag{6.37}$$

To this end, we consider the subcases $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_1$, $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_2$, and $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k$. If $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_1$, then by the multiplier estimates, we see that

$$|\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim |I_m| 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{2N(n)} \|P_k \psi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence (3.15) and (3.19) show that

$$|\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{(10+2H(n))\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} 2^{\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n)}. \tag{6.38}$$

The RHS of (6.38) is summable over **Case 4** with $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_1$.

For the high frequency sum with respect to k and k_2 , (6.38) is not sufficient for (6.37) in the case of $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k$ or $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_2$. If $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k$, then we use $L^\infty \times L^2 \times L^2$ estimate. By (3.15), and (3.19), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{[2H(n)+1]\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{N(n)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n+1)-N(0)} \tag{6.39}$$

for $n = 1, 2$. Now the RHS of (6.39) is summable. Analogously, for the case $\min(\mathbf{k}) = k_2$, we use $L^2 \times L^2 \times L^\infty$ estimate. Then (3.20) yield that, for $n = 0, 1, 2$,

$$|\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{k}}| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{[2H(n)+H(1)+\frac{1}{2}]\delta m} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n+1)+2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{N(n)-N(0)}, \tag{6.40}$$

which finishes (6.37) for $n = 1, 2$.

The estimates (6.39) and (6.40) do not cover (6.37) when $n = 3$. To handle this case, we use the integration by parts in time and obtain (6.33) and (6.34). Since $2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \sim 2^{k_1}$, the second estimate in (6.35) guarantees the high frequency sum for (6.33) and the sum for the cases that ∂_s falls on $\phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}$ and $V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta_2}$ in (6.34). To estimate remainder case, one can utilize (6.36) for the high frequency sum. This completes the proof of (6.37). \square

6.4. Bulk estimate on Maxwell part. Let us consider the remaining case of Maxwell part:

$$\int_{I_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} q_m(s) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}}(s, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle \overline{\widehat{W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta'}}(s, \xi)} d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (6.41)$$

Compared to $\mathcal{K}_{m, \mathbf{K}}$ discussed in Section 6.3, the only difference, resulting from the change of variables $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto (\eta', \xi' - \eta')$, is in the multipliers $\langle \xi' \rangle$ and $\langle \eta' \rangle$. Consequently, the bound for (6.41) can be obtained in the same manner. We omit the details.

7. PROOF OF WEIGHTED ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove Proposition 5.3. At first we consider (5.3). By Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\|P_k(x_j \phi_\theta(t))\|_{H^1} \sim \langle 2^k \rangle \|\rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{\phi_\theta}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2}.$$

Using (2.15), we see that

$$e^{-\theta it \langle \xi \rangle} \langle \xi \rangle \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{\phi_\theta}(t, \xi) = -\theta \widehat{\Gamma_j \psi_\theta}(t, \xi) + \theta \partial_{\xi_j} \left[\Pi_\theta(\xi) \widehat{A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi}(t, \xi) \right] - e^{-\theta it \langle \xi \rangle} \frac{\xi_j}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{\phi_\theta}(t, \xi).$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2^k \rangle \|\rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{\phi_\theta}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|P_k \Gamma_j \psi_\theta\|_{L^2} + \left\| \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \left[\Pi_\theta(\xi) \widehat{A_\mu \alpha^\mu \psi}(t, \xi) \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|P_k \phi_\theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For this we used Proposition 5.2 and (4.9).

Let us move on to the proof of (5.4). By (2.17), we obtain

$$e^{\theta it |\xi|} |\xi| \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}}(t, \xi) = -\theta \Gamma_j \widehat{W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}}(t, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\xi_j} \left[|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \widehat{\psi}, \alpha_\mu \widehat{\psi} \rangle(\xi) \right] - e^{\theta it |\xi|} \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}}(t, \xi).$$

Then, Proposition 5.2 and (4.24) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k \|\rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{V_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|P_k \Gamma_j W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}\|_{L^2} + \left\| \rho_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \left[|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L} \langle \widehat{\psi}, \alpha_\mu \widehat{\psi} \rangle(\xi) \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|P_k W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}, \theta}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (5.4).

8. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR THE SPINOR FIELD

This section is devoted to proving the bound of scattering norm and the modified scattering for spinor fields under the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$. In view of the definition of scattering norm (3.1), we need to show the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(t) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}, \\ \left\| \widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(t) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{\delta}{2} m} 2^{k + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-38} \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

for $t \in [2^m - 2, 2^{m+1}] \cap [0, T]$. This gives us the bound (5.5). Now we define the phase modification symbol by

$$B_\theta(t, \xi) = \Pi_\theta(\xi) \alpha^\mu \int_0^t P_{\leq K} A_\mu \left(s, \frac{\theta s \xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) ds, \quad (8.2)$$

where $K = K(s)$ is an integer satisfying $2^K \leq \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}-10H(2)\delta} < 2^{K+1}$ and $\theta \in \{+, -\}$. We also define a profile associated with scattering by

$$\Psi_\theta(t, \xi) := e^{-iB_\theta(t, \xi)} \widehat{\phi}_\theta(t, \xi) = e^{-iB_\theta(t, \xi)} e^{\theta i t \langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{\psi}_\theta(t, \xi).$$

Then the estimates of (8.1) are equivalent to the bounds

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_2, \xi) - \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_1, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t_2 \rangle^{-\delta} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}, \quad (8.3)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_2, \xi) - \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_1, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t_2 \rangle^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{k + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-38}, \quad (8.4)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t_1 \leq t_2 \in [0, T]$. Let $t_1 \leq t_2 \in [2^m - 2, 2^{m+1}] \cap [0, T]$. Once (8.3) is established, the proof of (8.4) is quite straightforward. More precisely, the bound (8.4) follows from (3.15) if $2^k \geq 2^{\frac{m}{40}\delta}$. On the other hand, the estimate (8.3) implies (8.4) directly if $2^k \leq 2^{\frac{m}{40}\delta}$.

For (8.3) let us observe that

$$\widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_2, \xi) - \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_1, \xi) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_s \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(s, \xi) ds \quad (8.5)$$

and

$$\partial_s \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(s, \xi) = e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \left(\partial_s \widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(s, \xi) - i [\partial_s B_\theta(s, \xi)] \widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(s, \xi) \right). \quad (8.6)$$

The phase modification (8.2) plays a role of subtracting the resonance interaction in (8.6). Indeed, the explicit form can be derived to track the resonance case of nonlinearity of Dirac part. Taking Fourier transform, we see that

$$\partial_s \widehat{\phi_\theta}(s, \xi) = c \Pi_\theta(\xi) \sum_{\theta_1 \in \{\pm\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is(\theta(\xi) - \theta_1(\xi - \eta))} \widehat{A}_\mu(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (8.7)$$

where $c = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}$. In view of (2.6), since the resonance does not occur when $\theta \neq \theta_1$, we take into account the case $\theta = \theta_1$. We simply observe that the phase interaction satisfies

$$\theta \langle \xi \rangle - \theta \langle \xi - \eta \rangle = \theta \frac{\xi \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} + O(|\eta|^2). \quad (8.8)$$

Using (8.8), we can rewrite (8.7) and hence (8.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_2, \xi) - \widehat{P_k \Psi_\theta}(t_1, \xi) = \\ & c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta is \frac{\xi \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle}} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) \widehat{A}_\mu(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \left(\widehat{\phi}_\theta(s, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{\phi}_\theta(s, \xi) \right) d\eta ds \quad (8.9) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(e^{\theta is(\langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle)} - e^{\theta is \frac{\xi \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle}} \right) \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) \widehat{A}_\mu(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi}_\theta(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds \quad (8.10)$$

$$+ c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) \sum_{\theta_1 \in \{\pm\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta is(\langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle)} \rho_{> K}(\eta) \widehat{A}_\mu(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds \quad (8.11)$$

$$+ c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta is(\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle)} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) \widehat{A}_\mu(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{-\theta}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds. \quad (8.12)$$

Note that $2^K \sim 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m - 10H(2)\delta m}$.

We begin with the proof of (8.1) and (8.3) with the dyadic decomposition into low, high, and mid frequencies. For the low and high frequencies, we estimate (8.1) directly (See Section 8.1 below).

For the mid frequency part, we prove (8.3) by handling the resonance decomposition (8.9)–(8.12) (See Section 8.2 below).

8.1. **Proof for the high and low frequencies.** We prove (8.1) for the frequency range:

$$2^k \leq 2^{-30H(2)\delta m} \quad \text{or} \quad 2^k \geq 2^{2H(2)\delta m}.$$

By (3.8), we have

$$\|\widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(t)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k}{2}} \left(\sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} \|Q_{jk} \phi_\theta\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \right)^{\frac{1-\zeta}{2}} \left(\sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^{j+k} \|Q_{jk} \phi_\theta\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \right)^{\frac{1+\zeta}{2}}$$

for any $\zeta \in (0, 1)$. From (3.17), it follows that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \|Q_{jk} \phi_{\theta, \mathcal{L}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(n+1)-1} \quad (8.13)$$

for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ ($0 \leq n \leq 2$), which implies

$$\|\widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(t)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{2H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(2)-1}. \quad (8.14)$$

For the high frequencies $2^k \geq 2^{2H(2)\delta m}$, the bounds (8.14) and (5.1) yield the desired bound (8.1).

Let us move on to the low frequency regime $2^k \leq 2^{-30H(2)\delta m}$. This can be done by obtaining

$$\|P_k \phi_{\theta, \Omega}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{2H(2)\delta m} 2^{k+\frac{k}{6}}. \quad (8.15)$$

Note that the above estimate is an improvement of (8.13) by the factor $2^{\frac{k}{6}}$. Then (3.13) yields that

$$\|\widehat{P_k \phi_\theta}(t)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{2H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1-\zeta}{12}k}.$$

This finishes the proof of (8.1) for $2^k \leq 2^{-30H(2)\delta m}$.

We now prove (8.15). By (3.14), it suffices to show

$$\|P_k \phi_{\theta, \Omega}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{l=1}^3 \left\| \rho_k(\xi) \widehat{x_l \phi_{\theta, \Omega}}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}}.$$

Since the first term in the left-hand side can be handled by (3.15) straightforwardly, we focus on the second term. Using (2.15), we prove

$$\|P_k \Gamma_l \psi_{\theta, \Omega}\|_{L^2} + \|P_k(x_l \mathfrak{N}_\Omega^{\mathbf{D}})(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}}.$$

The estimates for the first term also is obtained by Proposition 5.2. Thus, we consider

$$\|P_k \mathfrak{N}_\Omega^{\mathbf{D}}(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k),$$

which implies

$$\|P_k(x_l \mathfrak{N}_\Omega^{\mathbf{D}})(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2\tilde{H}(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $2^k \leq 2^{-30H(2)\delta m}$. We prove

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|P_k(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^k), \quad (8.16)$$

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|P_k x_l(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \theta_2, \mathcal{L}_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{k}{6}} \quad (8.17)$$

for $l = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathcal{L}_\ell \in \mathcal{V}_{n_\ell}$ ($\ell = 1, 2$), and $n_1 + n_2 \leq 1$. To show (8.16), we utilize the estimates in the proof of Lemma 4.1. We first consider the cases: $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $\langle t \rangle^{-1} \leq 2^k \leq 2^{-\delta m}$. By (3.15) and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_k(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta} 2^{\frac{3 \min(\mathbf{k}) - k_2}{2}} 2^{k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1)} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate shows (8.16) when $2^k \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$.

If $\langle t \rangle^{-1} \leq 2^k \leq 2^{-30H(2)\delta m}$, we use (3.18) and (4.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_k(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|\psi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1+1)+H(n_2+1)]\delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{k_1} \min(\langle t \rangle^{-1}, 2^{k_2}) \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(n_1+1)+1} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2+1)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

The bound (8.16) follows from the summation over $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ apart from the case $2^k \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$ and $2^{k_1} \geq 2^{\frac{k}{3}}$. For the remaining case, we divide $P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1} = \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{\leq J, k_1} + \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{> J, k_1}$ with $2^J = C \langle t \rangle 2^{k_1}$ for some $C \ll 1$. Then, (3.21) and (3.17) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_1+2) - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}, \\ & \|\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{> J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(n_1+1) - 1} 2^{-k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By these we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_k(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} \|e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{\leq J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{2H(2)\delta - \frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(n_2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_k(P_{k_2} A_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t) \alpha^\mu e^{-\theta_1 i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{> J, k_1}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{3k - k_2}{2}} \|\phi_{\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1}^{> J, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|W_{\mu, \mathcal{L}_2, \theta_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{[H(n_1+1)+H(n_2)]\delta - 1} 2^{\frac{3k - 2k_1 - k_2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the bound (8.16) for $2^k \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$ and $2^{k_1} \geq 2^{\frac{k}{3}}$. Since the proof of (8.17) is similar to that of Lemma 4.2, we omit the details.

8.2. Proof for mid frequencies. This section is devoted to taking on the mid frequency regime

$$2^{-30H(2)\delta m} \leq 2^k \leq 2^{2H(2)\delta m}. \quad (8.18)$$

As observed in (8.7), we estimate (8.1) on the regime (8.18) by decomposition (8.9)–(8.12).

Bound for (8.9). By the frequency localization, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (8.9) & = c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta i s \frac{\xi \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle}} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{P_{k_2} W_\mu}(s, \eta) \\ & \quad \times \alpha^\mu \left[\widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s, \xi) \right] d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the frequency cut-off function with respect to ξ and η , it suffices to consider the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^k$. To investigate the difference between spinors in the integrand, we make a further decomposition $P_{k_1}\phi_\theta = \sum_{j_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{k_1}} \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}$. By (3.7) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{j_1}{2} + \frac{\delta j_1}{8}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \quad (8.19)$$

Using this estimate and mean value theorem, we see from (3.6) that

$$\left| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s, \xi) \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{k_2} 2^{\frac{j_1}{2} + \frac{\delta j_1}{8}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \quad (8.20)$$

Let J_0 be an integer such that $2^{J_0} \sim 2^{\frac{2}{3}m + 20H(2)\delta m}$. Then the bounds (8.19) and (8.20) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1 > J_0} \left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{J_0}{2} + \frac{\delta J_0}{8}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}, \\ \sum_{j_1 \leq J_0} \left| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{\phi_\theta^{j_1, k_1}}(s, \xi) \right| &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{k_2} 2^{\frac{J_0}{2} + \frac{\delta J_0}{8}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(8.9)| &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_2} \leq 2^K, 2^{k_1} \sim 2^k} \varepsilon_1 2^m 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} 2^{-\frac{J_0}{2} + \frac{\delta J_0}{8}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \|\rho_{k_2}\|_{L_\eta^2} \|P_{k_2} W_\mu(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \sum_{2^{k_2} \leq 2^K, 2^{k_1} \sim 2^k} \varepsilon_1 2^m 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} 2^{\frac{J_0}{2} + \frac{\delta J_0}{8}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \|\rho_{\leq K}\|_{L_\eta^2} \|P_{k_2} W_\mu(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \sim 2^k} \varepsilon_1^2 2^m 2^{[16H(2)+1]\delta m} 2^K 2^{-\frac{J_0}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \\ &+ \sum_{2^{k_1} \sim 2^k} \varepsilon_1^2 2^m 2^{[H(2)+1]\delta m} 2^K 2^{\frac{J_0}{2}} 2^{2H(0)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2^K \sim 2^{m(-\frac{2}{3} + 10H(2)\delta)}$, $2^{J_0} \sim 2^{\frac{2}{3}m + 20H(2)\delta m}$, using the restriction (8.18) and frequency relation $2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$, we get the first part of (8.1).

Bound for (8.10). (8.10) can be treated similarly to (8.9). By dyadic decomposition, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[e^{\theta i s((\xi) - (\xi - \eta))} - e^{\theta i s \frac{\xi \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle}} \right] \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} A_\mu}(s, \eta) \\ \times \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. As we observed in the proof of bound for (8.9), we have only to consider the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^k$. Using (8.8) and (8.19), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{k_2} \ll 2^{k_1}} |(8.21)| &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_2} \ll 2^{k_1}} 2^{2m} 2^{3K} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_2} \ll 2^{k_1}} \varepsilon_1 2^{[1+H(2)]\delta m - 30H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{100}k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Bound for (8.11). Analogously, we consider the following integrand

$$c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Pi_\theta(\xi) \rho_k(\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\theta i s p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \rho_{>K}(\eta) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds \quad (8.22)$$

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. For this we exploit the space-time resonances (2.6) and (2.7) suitably. In view of the phase interaction (2.6), the most delicate part is the resonance case $\theta = \theta_1$. We can deal with the other case similarly but with a better bound and hence we will omit it. For the case $\theta = \theta_1$ we use the integration by parts in time and see that (8.22) is the linear combination of the following:

$$e^{-iB_\theta(t,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(t,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (t = t_1, t_2), \quad (8.23)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_s B_\theta(s,\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(s,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.24)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} \partial_s V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_\theta}(s,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.25)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_\theta(s,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{P_{k_1} \partial_s \phi_\theta}(s,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.26)$$

where

$$M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) := \frac{\Pi_\theta(\xi)|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) \rho_{>K}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta) = \rho_k(\xi) \tilde{\rho}_{k_1}(\xi-\eta) \tilde{\rho}_{k_2}(\eta).$$

Then we have

$$|M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k_2}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle \langle 2^{\max(\mathbf{k})} \rangle \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k_2}{2}} 2^{4H(2)\delta m}.$$

Let us handle (8.23). To this end, we make a decomposition $P_{k_1} \phi_\theta = \phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1} + \phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}$ with $2^J = 2^m$. Then, by (8.19), one obtains

$$\left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m - \frac{1}{2}m} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \quad (8.27)$$

This leads us to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.23)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2}} \left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \|P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{[H(0)+5H(2)]\delta m - \frac{m}{2}} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2} - k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{m}{4}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2} - k_1} \lesssim 2^{30H(2)\delta m}$ from the frequency relation and (8.18). On the other hand, for $\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}$, we exploit the space resonance (2.7). Indeed, we integrate by parts in η . Then, (8.23) equipped with $\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}$ is the linear combination of the following:

$$t^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(t,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} \nabla_\eta M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(t,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.28)$$

$$t^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(t,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} x V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(s,\eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(t,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.29)$$

$$t^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(t,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{itp_\Theta(\xi,\eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi,\eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu,\theta_2}}(t,\eta) \alpha^\mu x \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(s,\xi-\eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.30)$$

where $t = t_1, t_2$ and

$$M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi,\eta) = \frac{\nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)}{|\nabla_\eta p_\Theta(\xi,\eta)|^2} M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta).$$

A direct calculation gives us the bound

$$|\nabla_\eta^\ell M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3k_2}{2} - \ell k_2} 2^{2(4+2\ell)H(2)\delta m}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$. By (8.19), one readily gets

$$\left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \quad (8.31)$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.28)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-m+12H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{m}{6}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for (8.29) can be done similarly by using (3.16). In view of (8.19) and (3.6), one can see that

$$\left\| x \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{j \leq J} \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{H(2)\delta m + \frac{1}{2}m} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}. \quad (8.32)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.30)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-m} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^4 \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| x \widehat{\phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{[H(0)+9H(2)]\delta m - \frac{m}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{m}{4}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the desired bounds for (8.28)–(8.30).

Let us move on to estimates for (8.24). From the definition of $B_\theta(s, \xi)$ in (8.2) and (3.20), we have

$$|\partial_s B_\theta(s, \xi)| \lesssim \left| P_{\leq K} A_\mu \left(s, \frac{s\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right| \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{11\delta m - m} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+2}. \quad (8.33)$$

By the proof for (8.23) this finishes the proof for (8.24).

To estimate (8.25), we use decomposition $P_{k_1} \phi_\theta = \phi_\theta^{\leq J, k_1} + \phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}$ with $2^J = 2^{m-60H(2)\delta m}$ and have

$$\left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{31H(2)\delta m - \frac{1}{2}m} 2^{-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)}.$$

Then, by (4.16), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.25)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^m 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(k)-3k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_2} \partial_s V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{\phi_\theta^{> J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{37H(2)\delta m - \frac{1}{2}m} 2^{\frac{3\min(k)-3k_2}{2} - k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)+5} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{m}{4}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-25}. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider $\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}$ in (8.25). For this case, we can obtain an extra time decay by exploiting the space resonance (2.7). Indeed, we write (8.25) with $\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}$ as the linear combination of the following:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_{\theta}(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_{\theta}(\xi, \eta)} \nabla_{\eta} M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta) \partial_s \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^{\mu} \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.34)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_{\theta}(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_{\theta}(\xi, \eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta) \partial_s \widehat{P_{k_2} x V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^{\mu} \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.35)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_{\theta}(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isp_{\theta}(\xi, \eta)} M_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta) \partial_s \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^{\mu} x \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds. \quad (8.36)$$

By Hölder's inequality and (8.19), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.34)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{12H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-5k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_2} \partial_s V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-m+14H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-5k_2-k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)+5} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{m}{6}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2^{k_2} \gtrsim 2^K \sim 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m-10H(2)\delta m}$. Regarding (8.35), we use Lemma 3.10 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.35)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{8H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_2} \partial_s (x V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s))\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{m}{8}+9H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2-k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)+5} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{m}{10}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the similar bound to (8.32), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.36)| &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{8H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2}} \|P_{k_2} \partial_s V_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| x \widehat{\phi_{\theta}^{\leq J, k_1}}(s) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-50H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3\min(\mathbf{k})-3k_2}{2}-k_1} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)+5} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to handle (8.26). Note that the frequency relation has the trichotomy: $2^k \lesssim 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$, $2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$, and $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$. As observed previously, the last case becomes the most difficult case. Hence, we consider only the case $2^{k_2} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_1}$. By direct calculation and dyadic decomposition, (8.26) can be written as the linear combination of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_{\theta}(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{isr_{\theta}(\xi, \eta, \sigma)} \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \widehat{P_{k_2} V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_4} V_{\nu, \theta_4}}(s, \sigma) \\ \times \alpha^{\mu} \alpha^{\nu} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}}(s, \xi - \eta - \sigma) d\sigma d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (8.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a, b=1, 2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-iB_{\theta}(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{isr_{\theta}(\xi, \eta, \sigma)} \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}^a}(s, \eta) \widehat{V_{\nu, \theta_4}^b}(s, \sigma) \\ \times \alpha^{\mu} \alpha^{\nu} \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}}(s, \xi - \eta - \sigma) d\sigma d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (8.38)$$

where $2^J = 2^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma) &= \theta \langle \xi \rangle + \theta_2 |\eta| - \theta_3 \langle \xi - \eta - \sigma \rangle + \theta_4 |\sigma|, \\ \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta, \sigma) &= M_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta) |\sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{k_3}(\xi - \eta - \sigma) \rho_{k_4}(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

and $V_{\lambda, \kappa}^1 := V_{\lambda, \kappa}^{>J, k}$, $V_{\lambda, \kappa}^2 := V_{\lambda, \kappa}^{\leq J, k}$ for $\lambda \in \{\mu, \nu\}$ and $\kappa \in \{\theta_2, \theta_4\}$. Note that the frequency relation can be divided into $2^{k_1} \lesssim 2^{k_3} \sim 2^{k_4}$, $2^{k_3} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_4}$, and $2^{k_4} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_3}$ and the multiplier has the bound

$$\left| \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \right| \lesssim 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{3k_2+k_4}{2}}.$$

We first consider (8.37). If $2^{k_4} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}$, (3.20), (3.17), and (3.15) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_4} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} |(8.37)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_4} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} 2^{m+4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{3k_2+k_4}{2}} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{>J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_4} W_{\mu, \theta_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_4} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{2k_2+k_4}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $2^{k_4} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}$, from the fact that $2^{k_1} \sim 2^k \in [2^{-30H(2)\delta m}, 2^{2H(2)\delta m}]$, only the case $2^{k_4} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_3}$ appears. Hence by (8.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{2^{k_4} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_4} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_3}}} |(8.37)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_4} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_4} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_3}}} 2^{m+4H(2)\delta m} 2^{k_4} \|P_{k_2} W_{\mu, \theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \left\| \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{>J, k_3}}(s) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \|P_{k_4} W_{\mu, \theta_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_4} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_4} \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_3}}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{\frac{m}{2}+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{k_4} 2^{-\frac{k_3}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used the condition $2^{-30H(2)\delta m} \lesssim 2^{k_3}$ and $2^k \sim 2^{k_3}$.

We consider (8.38) with $(a, b) = (1, 1)$. If $2^{k_3} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}$, by (3.17), (8.31), and Hölder's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_3} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} |(8.38)| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_3} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} 2^{m+4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_4}{2}} \|\rho_{k_4}\|_{L^2_\xi} \|V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{>J, k_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty_\xi} \|P_{k_4} V_{\mu, \theta_4}^{>J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{k_3} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2 - \frac{k_3}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $2^{k_3} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}$, then the frequency relation gives us only the case $2^{k_3} \ll 2^{k_4} \sim 2^{k_1} \in [2^{-30H(2)\delta m}, 2^{2H(2)\delta m}]$. Then, by (3.20), (3.17), and the above estimate done with $\|\rho_{k_3}\|_{L^2_\xi}$ instead of $\|\rho_{k_4}\|_{L^2_\xi}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{2^{k_3} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_3} \ll 2^{k_4} \sim 2^{k_1}}} |(8.38)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_3} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_3} \ll 2^{k_4} \sim 2^{k_1}}} 2^{m+4H(2)\delta m} 2^{\frac{3k_3-k_4}{2}} \|V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{>J, k_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty_\xi} \|V_{\mu, \theta_4}^{>J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_3} \leq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m} \\ 2^{k_3} \ll 2^{k_4} \sim 2^{k_1}}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{2k_2+3k_4}{2}} 2^{k_3} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(2)} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-20}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of (8.38) with $(a, b) = (2, 1)$. Analogously to (2.7), we have

$$|\nabla_\eta r_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)| \geq \langle \xi - \eta - \sigma \rangle^{-2}.$$

Keeping this lower bound in mind, we integrate by parts in η and write (8.38) as the linear combination of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{isr_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)} \nabla_\eta \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}}(s, \eta) \widehat{V_{\nu, \theta_4}^{>J, k_4}}(\sigma) \\
& \quad \times \alpha^\mu \alpha^\nu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}}(s, \xi - \eta - \sigma) d\sigma d\eta ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{isr_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)} \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma) x \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}}(s, \eta) \widehat{V_{\nu, \theta_4}^{>J, k_4}}(\sigma) \\
& \quad \times \alpha^\mu \alpha^\nu \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}}(s, \xi - \eta - \sigma) d\sigma d\eta ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{isr_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)} \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}}(s, \eta) \widehat{V_{\nu, \theta_4}^{>J, k_4}}(\sigma) \\
& \quad \times \alpha^\mu \alpha^\nu x \widehat{\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}}(s, \xi - \eta - \sigma) d\sigma d\eta ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.41}$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma) = \frac{\nabla_\eta r_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)}{|\nabla_\eta r_\Theta(\xi, \eta, \sigma)|^2} \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi, \eta, \sigma).$$

The multiplier is bounded by

$$\left| \nabla_\eta^\ell \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma) \right| \lesssim 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{3k_2}{2} - \ell k_2} 2^{-\frac{k_4}{2}} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{2+2\ell} \quad (\ell = 0, 1).$$

Then we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(8.39)| &\lesssim 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} 2^{k_4} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^4 \|V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|V_{\mu, \theta_4}^{> J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+20H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} 2^{-\frac{k_3+k_4}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(2)+4} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing both sides over $2^{\min(k_3, k_4)} \geq 2^{-\frac{7}{12}m}$, we get the desired bound. On the other hand, since

$$\|V_{\mu, \theta_4}^{> J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-rm} 2^{-rk_4} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ by (3.17), it follows that, for $\frac{1}{4} < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.39)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} 2^{-\frac{k_4}{2}} 2^{\frac{3\min(k_3, k_4)}{2}} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^4 \|V_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|V_{\nu, \theta_4}^{> J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-rm+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} 2^{-\frac{k_3+k_4}{2}} 2^{\frac{3\min(k_3, k_4)}{2}} 2^{-rk_4} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(2)+4} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{20}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we summed for $\max(k_3, k_4)$ by using the frequency relation trichotomy. The estimates for (8.40) and (8.41) are quite similar. Hence, we treat only the estimate for (8.40). By (3.26),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} |(8.40)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{4H(2)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k_4}{2}} 2^{\frac{3\min(k_3, k_4)}{2}} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^2 \|xV_{\mu, \theta_2}^{\leq J, k_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_3}^{\leq J, k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|V_{\nu, \theta_4}^{> J, k_4}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m+7H(2)\delta m} 2^{-k_2} 2^{\frac{3\min(k_3, k_4)-k_3-3k_4}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_3} \rangle^{-N(2)+2} \langle 2^{k_4} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{100}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{20}. \end{aligned}$$

For the estimates of (8.38) with $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ and $(2, 2)$, we can obtain the desired bound by exploiting the resonance with respect to σ . We omit the details.

Bound for (8.12). As for (8.12) the phase interaction does not exhibit the space-time resonance. Hence we can use the normal form approach as follows: The integration by parts in time shows that (8.12) consists of the following terms:

$$\rho_k(\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(t, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{\theta i t p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)}}{p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(t, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{-\theta}}(t, \xi - \eta) d\eta, \quad (t = t_1, t_2) \quad (8.42)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \rho_k(\xi) [\partial_s B_\theta(s, \xi)] e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{\theta i s p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)}}{p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{-\theta}}(s, \xi - \eta) d\eta ds, \quad (8.43)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \rho_k(\xi) e^{-iB_\theta(s, \xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{\theta i s p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)}}{p_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \rho_{\leq K}(\eta) |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s \left[\widehat{V_{\mu, \theta_2}}(s, \eta) \alpha^\mu \widehat{\phi_{-\theta}}(s, \xi - \eta) \right] d\eta ds. \quad (8.44)$$

From the restriction $|\eta| \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{3}m-10H(2)\delta m}$, we readily close the estimates for (8.42)–(8.44). Especially, we can use (8.33) for (8.43).

9. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR THE GAUGE FIELDS

In this section, we show the scattering norm bound (5.6) for Maxwell part under the a priori assumptions (3.3)–(3.5) on $[0, T]$ for $T > 1$.

According to the definition (3.2), the scattering norm bound (5.6) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(t_2) - Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(t_1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-k-5H(2)\delta k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5} \quad (9.1)$$

for $t_1 \leq t_2 \in [2^m - 2, 2^{m+1}] \cap [0, T]$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Analogously to the proof in Section 8, we prove (9.1) by decomposing the case into low, high, and mid frequency regime. Before the decomposition, we consider the large spatial region as follows:

$$\sum_{j \geq J} 2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(t_2) - Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(t_1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-k-5H(2)\delta k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}, \quad (9.2)$$

where $2^J \sim 2^{m(1+H(2)\delta)} 2^{|k|}$. For the difference in the norm of (9.2), one may use

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_s Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(s) ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Q_{jk} e^{-\theta |s| |D|} \mathfrak{R}_\mu^{\mathbf{M}}(s) ds. \quad (9.3)$$

Hence it suffices for (9.2) to prove

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|\rho_j(x) P_k \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-(1+\delta)m} 2^{-(1+\delta)j} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}. \quad (9.4)$$

For the sum over $\max(k_1, k_2) \geq j$, we have the bound (9.2) straightforwardly by (3.15). As for the sum over $\min(k_1, k_2) \leq -j$, (3.18) also implies the bound (9.2). We now assume $2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2} \in [2^{-j}, 2^j]$. For this case we further decompose

$$P_{k_\ell} \psi_{\theta_\ell} = \sum_{j_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_{k_\ell}} e^{-\theta_\ell i t \langle D \rangle} \phi_{\theta_\ell}^{j_\ell, k_\ell} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2.$$

If $\min(j_1, j_2) \geq j(1 - \delta)$, by (3.17), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|\rho_j(x) P_k \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_{k_\ell}} 2^k \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{j_1, k_1}(t)\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\theta_2}^{j_2, k_2}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{j_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_{k_\ell}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{2H(1)\delta m} 2^k 2^{-j_1-j_2} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-(1+\delta)m} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\min(j_1, j_2) \leq j(1 - \delta)$, using the inverse Fourier transform, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & P_k \langle P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}(t), \alpha_\mu P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}(t) \rangle \\ & = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{ix\xi} \rho_k(\xi) e^{itq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle \widehat{P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}}(t, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

Then, using integration by parts in ξ repeatedly, (9.5) is bounded by

$$2^{-nj} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3+3}} e^{ix\xi} \nabla_\xi^n \left[\rho_k(\xi) e^{itq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} \left\langle \widehat{\psi_{\theta_1}^{j_1, k_1}}(t, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{\psi_{\theta_2}^{j_2, k_2}}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle \right] d\xi d\eta \right|. \quad (9.6)$$

Note that the derivative ∇_ξ implies $2^m + 2^{-k} + 2^{j_\ell}$ -growth in (9.6). Summing over $\min(j_1, j_2) \leq j(1 - \delta)$ for suitable n , we get the desired bound (9.4).

We consider the contribution of sum over $j \leq J$ in the sequel.

9.1. **Proof for the low and high frequency regimes.** Let us restrict $|\xi|$ as follows:

$$2^k \leq 2^{-\frac{m}{100}} \quad \text{or} \quad 2^k \geq 2^{H(1)\delta m}. \quad (9.7)$$

Then by (3.17), we have

$$\sup_{j \in \mathcal{U}_k} 2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mu, \theta}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{H(1)\delta} 2^{-k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)}.$$

Then, (9.1) follows for (9.7) from the fact that $H(1) < \frac{5H(2)}{100}$.

9.2. **Proof for mid frequency regime.** We now consider the mid frequency part

$$2^{-\frac{m}{100}} \leq 2^k \leq 2^{H(1)\delta m}. \quad (9.8)$$

Using the time derivative expression in (9.3) and the profile expression, we can write (9.1) as

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{isq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta ds. \quad (9.9)$$

Then, for the proof of (9.1) over the regime (9.8), it suffices to show that

$$2^J \| (9.9) \|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 2^{-\delta m} 2^{-k-5H(2)\delta k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)-5}. \quad (9.10)$$

By the symmetry between ψ_{θ_1} and ψ_{θ_2} , we assume that $\min(k_1, k_2) = k_1$.

Let us handle first the case $2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{9}{10}m}$. Then, by (3.24), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \| (9.9) \|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{9}{10}m}} 2^m 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} 2^{3k_1} \|\widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \|P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{5}{4}m} 2^{-10H(2)\delta m + H(0)\delta m} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the desired bound. If $2^{\max(k_1, k_2)} \geq 2^{\frac{m}{50}}$, then we have the frequency relation $2^k \ll 2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_2}$ from (9.8). Using (3.15) together with the above summation taken over $2^{\max(k_1, k_2)} \geq 2^{\frac{m}{50}}$, we obtain (9.10).

Now it remains to handle the case $2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2} \in [2^{-\frac{9}{10}m}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]$. To do so, we exploit the space-time resonance (2.8) and (2.9). By the integration by parts in time, we have the decomposition of (9.9) as follows:

$$\rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{itq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)}}{q_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(t, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}}(t, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta, \quad (t = t_1, t_2) \quad (9.11)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \rho_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{isq_\Theta(\xi, \eta)}}{q_\Theta(\xi, \eta)} |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \partial_s \widehat{P_{k_1} \phi_{\theta_1}}(s, \eta), \alpha_\mu \widehat{P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}}(s, \xi + \eta) \right\rangle d\eta ds, \quad (9.12)$$

and the symmetric term with time derivative falling on $\widehat{P_{k_2} \phi_{\theta_2}}$. Let us treat (9.11) first. If $2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{10}m}$, then we have the relation $2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}$. Then, by (3.19) and (3.15), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{10}m} \\ 2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}}} \| (9.11) \|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{10}m} \\ 2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}}} \|P_{k_1} \psi_{\theta_1}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{k_1} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{10}m} \\ 2^{k_1} \ll 2^k \sim 2^{k_2}}} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-m+4H(1)\delta m + \frac{m}{200}} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-m+4H(1)\delta m - \frac{9}{200}m} 2^{-k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]$, then by (3.26), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\theta_1 it(D)} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J_0, k_1}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)}, \\ \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J_0, k_1}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1+H(1)\delta} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where let J_0 be an integer satisfying $2^{J_0} = c_0 \langle t \rangle 2^{k_1}$ for some fixed $0 < c_0 \ll 1$. From the above bounds and the restriction $k_1 \leq k_2$ under the regime (9.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} \|(\mathbf{9.11})\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{2H(1)\delta m} \|e^{-\theta_1 it(D)} \phi_{\theta_1}^{\leq J_0, k_2}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{3}{2}m+4H(1)\delta m + \frac{m}{200}} 2^{-k} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(0)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{5}{4}m} 2^{-k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} \|(\mathbf{9.11})\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{2H(1)\delta m} \|\phi_{\theta_1}^{> J_0, k_2}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \psi_{\theta_2}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k_1} \in [2^{-\frac{m}{10}}, 2^{\frac{m}{50}}]} \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-2m+10H(1)\delta m + \frac{m}{200}} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} \langle 2^{k_1} \rangle^{-N(1)-1} \langle 2^{k_2} \rangle^{-N(1)+2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-\frac{3}{2}m} 2^{-k} \langle 2^k \rangle^{-N(1)+5}. \end{aligned}$$

These lead us to (9.10). The estimates for (9.12) can be obtained by (4.2) similarly to those for (9.11).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Y. Cho was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (RS-2024-00333393) and K. Lee was supported in part by NRF-2022R1I1A1A0105640813 and NRF-2019R1A5A102832422, the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MOE) and (MSIT), respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Philippe Bechouche, Norbert J. Mauser, and Frédéric Poupaud. (Semi)-nonrelativistic limits of the Dirac equation with external time-dependent electromagnetic field. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 197(2):405–425, 1998.
- [2] James D. Bjorken and Sidney D. Drell. *Relativistic quantum mechanics*. New York:McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- [3] Nikolaos Bournaveas. Local existence for the Maxwell-Dirac equations in three space dimensions. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 21(5-6):693–720, 1996.
- [4] Timothy Candy and Sebastian Herr. Transference of bilinear restriction estimates to quadratic variation norms and the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system. *Anal. PDE*, 11(5):1171–1240, 2018.
- [5] Timothy Candy, Christopher Kauffman, and Hans Lindblad. Asymptotic behavior of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 367(2):683–716, 2019.

- [6] Yonggeun Cho, Soonsik Kwon, Kiyeon Lee, and Changhun Yang. The modified scattering for Dirac equations of scattering–critical nonlinearity. *Advances in Differential Equations*, 29(3/4):179 – 222, 2024.
- [7] Cai Constantin Cloos. On the long-time behavior of the three-dimensional Dirac-Maxwell equation with zero magnetic field. *Bielefeld: Universität Bielefeld*, pages 1–127, 2020.
- [8] Piero D’Ancona, Damiano Foschi, and Sigmund Selberg. Null structure and almost optimal local well-posedness of the Maxwell-Dirac system. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 132(3):771–839, 2010.
- [9] Piero D’Ancona and Sigmund Selberg. Global well-posedness of the Maxwell-Dirac system in two space dimensions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 260(8):2300–2365, 2011.
- [10] Yu Deng, Alexandru D. Ionescu, Benoît Pausader, and Fabio Pusateri. Global solutions of the gravity-capillary water-wave system in three dimensions. *Acta Math.*, 219(2):213–402, 2017.
- [11] Shijie Dong, Kuijie Li, Yue Ma, and Xu Yuan. Global behavior of small data solutions for the 2D Dirac-Klein-Gordon system. *To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [12] Shijie Dong and Zoe Wyatt. Asymptotic stability for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions. *To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire.*
- [13] Allen Fang, Qian Wang, and Shiwu Yang. Global solution for massive Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations with large Maxwell field. *Ann. PDE*, 7(1):Paper No. 3, 69, 2021.
- [14] Moshé Flato, Jacques C. H. Simon, and Erik Taflin. Asymptotic completeness, global existence and the infrared problem for the Maxwell-Dirac equations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(606):x+311, 1997.
- [15] Cristian Gavrus. Global well-posedness for the massive Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation with small critical Sobolev data. *Ann. PDE*, 5(1):Paper No. 10, 101, 2019.
- [16] Cristian Gavrus and Sung-Jin Oh. Global well-posedness of high dimensional Maxwell-Dirac for small critical data. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 264(1279):v+94, 2020.
- [17] Vladimir Georgiev. Small amplitude solutions of the maxwell-dirac equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40:845–883, 1991.
- [18] Pierre Germain, Nader Masmoudi, and Jalal Shatah. Global Solutions for 3D Quadratic Schrödinger Equations. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(3):414–432, 12 2008.
- [19] Pierre Germain, Nader Masmoudi, and Jalal Shatah. Global solutions for 2D quadratic Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 97(5):505–543, 2012.
- [20] Pierre Germain, Nader Masmoudi, and Jalal Shatah. Global solutions for the gravity water waves equation in dimension 3. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):691–754, 2012.
- [21] Leonard Gross. The Cauchy problem for the coupled Maxwell and Dirac equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 19:1–15, 1966.
- [22] Yan Guo, Alexandru D. Ionescu, and Benoît Pausader. Global solutions of the Euler-Maxwell two-fluid system in 3D. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 183(2):377–498, 2016.
- [23] Stephen Gustafson, Kenji Nakanishi, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Scattering theory for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in three dimensions. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 11(4):657–707, 2009.
- [24] Zaher Hani, Benoît Pausader, Nikolay Tzvetkov, and Nicola Visciglia. Modified scattering for the cubic Schrödinger equation on product spaces and applications. *Forum Math. Pi*, 3:e4,

- 63, 2015.
- [25] Lili He. Scattering from infinity of the Maxwell Klein Gordon equations in Lorenz gauge. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 386(3):1747–1801, 2021.
 - [26] Sebastian Herr, Mihaela Ifrim, and Martin Spitz. Modified scattering for the three dimensional Maxwell-Dirac system. *Preprint, arXiv:2406.02460*, 2024.
 - [27] Hyungjin Huh and Sung-Jin Oh. Low regularity solutions to the Chern-Simons-Dirac and the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Lorenz gauge. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 41(3):375–397, 2016.
 - [28] Alexandru D. Ionescu and Benoît Pausader. On the global regularity for a wave-Klein-Gordon coupled system. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 35(6):933–986, 2019.
 - [29] Alexandru D. Ionescu and Benoît Pausader. *The Einstein-Klein-Gordon coupled system: global stability of the Minkowski solution*, volume 213 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2022.
 - [30] Sergiu Klainerman, Qian Wang, and Shiwu Yang. Global solution for massive Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 73(1):63–109, 2020.
 - [31] Joachim Krieger and Jonas Lührmann. Concentration compactness for the critical Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation. *Ann. PDE*, 1(1):Art. 5, 208, 2015.
 - [32] Joachim Krieger, Jacob Sterbenz, and Daniel Tataru. Global well-posedness for the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation in $4 + 1$ dimensions: Small energy. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(6):973–1040, 2015.
 - [33] Kiyeon Lee. Scattering results for the $(1+4)$ dimensional massive maxwell-dirac system under lorenz gauge condition. *Preprint, arXiv:2312.13621*, 2023.
 - [34] Nader Masmoudi and Kenji Nakanishi. Nonrelativistic limit from Maxwell-Klein-Gordon and Maxwell-Dirac to Poisson-Schrödinger. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(13):697–734, 2003.
 - [35] Nader Masmoudi and Kenji Nakanishi. Uniqueness of Finite Energy Solutions for Maxwell-Dirac and Maxwell-Klein-Gordon Equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 243:123–136, 2003.
 - [36] Sung-Jin Oh and Daniel Tataru. Global well-posedness and scattering of the $(4 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation. *Invent. Math.*, 205(3):781–877, 2016.
 - [37] Sung-Jin Oh and Daniel Tataru. Energy dispersed solutions for the $(4 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 140(1):1–82, 2018.
 - [38] Zhimeng Ouyang. Modified wave operators for the Wave-Klein-Gordon system. *Adv. Math.*, 423:Paper No. 109042, 84, 2023.
 - [39] Maria Psarelli. Maxwell-Dirac equations in four-dimensional Minkowski space. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 30(1-2):97–119, 2005.
 - [40] Fabio Pusateri. Modified scattering for the Boson star equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 332(3):1203–1234, 2014.
 - [41] Igor Rodnianski and Terence Tao. Global regularity for the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation with small critical Sobolev norm in high dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 251(2):377–426, 2004.
 - [42] Matthew D. Schwartz. *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*. Cambridge: Cambridge university Press, 2013.

- [43] Sigmund Selberg and Achenef Tesfahun. Finite-energy global well-posedness of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon system in Lorenz gauge. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(6):1029–1057, 2010.
- [44] Bernd Thaller. *The Dirac Equation*. Springer, 1992.
- [45] Shiwu Yang. On the global behavior of solutions of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations. *Adv. Math.*, 326:490–520, 2018.
- [46] Shiwu Yang and Pin Yu. On global dynamics of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations. *Camb. J. Math.*, 7(4):365–467, 2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AND INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, JEONBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JEONJU, 54896, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `changocho@jbnu.ac.kr`

STOCHASTIC ANALYSIS AND APPLICATION RESEARCH CENTER(SAARC), KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON, 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `kiyeonlee@kaist.ac.kr`