

HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION IN DOMAINS WITH MOVING BOUNDARIES

OCTAVIO V. VILLAGRAN, M. SEPÚLVEDA, R. VÉJAR ASEM, AND RAÚL NINA MOLLISACA

ABSTRACT. The initial-boundary value problem in a bounded domain with moving boundaries and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions for a higher order nonlinear Schrödinger (HNLS) equation is considered. Existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions are proved as well as the stability of the solution. Additionally, a conservative numerical method of finite differences is introduced that also verifies stability properties with respect to the L^2 -norm, and along with proving its convergence, some interesting numerical examples are shown that illustrate the behavior of the solution.

Keywords: Higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Moving boundary, Conservative Finite Difference Method.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35Q53, 35Q55; Secondary 47J35, 35B35

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we study the existence of the weak solution as well as the asymptotic behaviour, and numerical approximation of three-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation (HNLS) in a bounded domain with moving boundary. Indeed, for real $\tau \geq 0$, let I_τ be time-moving interval: $I_\tau = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha(\tau) < \xi < \beta(\tau)\}$ and Q_τ denote bounded domain with moving boundaries: $Q_T = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi \in I_\tau, 0 < \tau < T\}$. In Q_T we consider the higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} iv_\tau + \gamma v_{\xi\xi} + i\chi v_{\xi\xi\xi} = |v|^2 v & \text{in } Q_T, \\ v(\xi, 0) = v_0(\xi) & \text{in } I_0, \\ v(\alpha(\tau), \tau) = v(\beta(\tau), \tau) = v_\xi(\alpha(\tau), \tau) = v_\xi(\beta(\tau), \tau) = 0, \\ v_{\xi\xi}(\alpha(\tau), \tau) = v_{\xi\xi}(\beta(\tau), \tau) = v_{\xi\xi\xi}(\alpha(\tau), \tau) = v_{\xi\xi\xi}(\beta(\tau), \tau) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where γ and χ are real positive constants and $i^2 = -1$. Moreover, we assume that there exists α_0 and β_0 such that

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < \alpha_0 \leq \beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau) \leq \beta_0 < +\infty, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0.$$

The lateral boundary Σ_τ of Q_τ is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \Sigma_\tau = \bigcup_{0 < \tau < T} (\alpha(\tau) \times \{\tau\}) \cup (\beta(\tau) \times \{\tau\}).$$

The moving boundary and initial value problem (1.1) is motivated by the generalized HNLS Cauchy problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} iv_t + \gamma v_{xx} + i\chi v_{xxx} + \vartheta |v|^2 v + i\delta |v|^2 v_x + i\varepsilon v^2 \bar{v}_x = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \end{cases}$$

where γ, χ, ϑ , and δ are real numbers with $\chi \neq 0$. This equation was first proposed Hasegawa *et al.* [21] as a model for the propagation of a signal in an optical fiber (see also [26]). The equation given above

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica, Chile, opverav@academicos.uta.cl; octaviovera49@gmail.com. The author is partially financed by projects UTA MAYOR 2022-2023, 4764-22 and UTA MAYOR 2023-2024, 4772-23.

DIM and CI²MA, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, maursepu@udec.cl; masepulveda.cortes@gmail.com. The author is partially financed by project Fondecyt 1220869. ANID-Chile, ANID project ECOS200018, and by Centro de Modelamiento Matemático (CMM), ACE210010 and FB210005, BASAL funds for centers of excellence from ANID-Chile.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de la Serena, La Serena Chile, rodrigo.vejar@userena.cl.

Programa Doctorado en Ciencias con Mención en Matemática, Universidad de Tarapacá, Av. 18 de Septiembre 2222, Arica, Chile, raulnmollisaca@gmail.com.

(1.4) can be reduced to other well-known equations. For instance, setting $\chi = \delta = \varepsilon = 0$ we have the classical cubic semilinear Schrödinger equation, that is,

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} iv_t + \gamma v_{xx} + \vartheta |v|^2 v = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

If $\gamma = 1$, $\chi = \vartheta = 0$ we have the derivative Schrödinger equation, that is,

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} iv_t + v_{xx} + i\delta |v|^2 v_x + i\varepsilon v^2 \bar{v}_x = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

This equation (1.6) is encountered in plasma physics (propagation of Alfvén and radio waves) [13]. Letting $\gamma = \vartheta = \varepsilon = 0$ the equation that arises is the Korteweg-de Vries equation, this is,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} v_t + \chi v_{xx} + \delta |v|^2 v_x = 0 & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The initial value problem for the equations (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) has been extensively studied in the last few years. See, for instance, [4, 5, 8] and references therein. In 1992, Laurey [28] considered the equation (1.4) and proved local well-posedness of the initial value problem associated for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/4$, and global well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 3/4$. In 1997, Staffilani [32] for (1.4) established local well-posedness for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 1/4$ improving Laurey's result. A similar result was given in [9] with $w(t)$, $\gamma(t)$ real functions.

Applying the Gauge transform to the HNLS equation on the real line (1.4), the u_{xx} term can be removed, and the equation becomes a modified complex Korteweg-de Vries [1]. In the case of our equation with moving boundary (1.1), the Gauge transform as a bi-continuous map from $L^p([0, T] : H^s(Q_\tau))$ to $L^p([0, T] : H^s(\tilde{Q}_t))$, allows us to obtain a semilinear Airy equation with a complex nonlinear reaction term and boundary conditions in another moving boundary domain, which is not necessarily simpler than the original equation (1.1) (see appendix A). Thus, this one of the motivations to continue this study for the equations (1.1).

In this paper, we study initial-boundary value problem for the higher order nonlinear problem equation (1.1) in a bounded domain with moving boundaries and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions. Following the idea of transforming the moving boundary given by Doronin and Larkin [16] (see also [6, 34]), we study the HNLS equation in domains with moving boundaries where now the function v is a complex valued function. In our case, the aim of this work is to establish the well-posedness of the system with moving boundaries as well as the exponential decay of small solutions in asymptotically cylindrical domains accompanied by numerical results. The most common way to treat this kind of problems is to transform the domain with moving boundaries into a cylindrical one. After the transformation of the domain, we obtain a HNLS equation type with coefficients depending on the space and time variables. Then, we study the existence, uniqueness and stability for this new problem and we give some numerical examples. For this, we consider a Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme based on conservation laws similar to the one described in [10, 11, 12]. This method is originally inspired by L2 norm-preserving, stable, and convergent schemes for dispersive equations [15, 31] for the KdV and the KdV-Kawahara equations. Our method is different from the one presented in [19, 20] where the authors used Fourier transform for the x-variable. The advantage of our method is that it is better adapted to our nonperiodic boundary conditions, and to the treatment of the system with variable coefficients in the cylindrical domain given by the problem with moving boundaries.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we transform the moving boundary domain into the cylindrical one. In Section 3 and 4 we obtain the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the model. In Section 5 we analyze the solution of (1.1) using suitable multiplier techniques. Finally in Section 6 a numerical scheme is given.

Throughout this paper C is a generic constant, not necessarily the same at each occasion (it will change from line to line), which depends in an increasing way on the indicated quantities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some notations will be introduced. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ open and bounded, the Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, with norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ will be used. Let m be a positive integer, i.e., $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the usual Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p} < +\infty, \forall |\alpha| \leq m\}$, with norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}}$ is considered. When $p = 2$, it is established $H^m(\Omega) := W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ denoting the respective norm by $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}$. Denote by $C_0(\Omega)$ the space of continuous functions with compact support in Ω and $C_0^\infty(\Omega) = C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_0(\Omega)$ also by $H_0^1(\Omega)$ the closed of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.

For any interval I of \mathbb{R} and any Banach space X with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, $L^p(I : X)$ indicates the space of valued functions in X defined on the interval I that are integrable in the Bochner sense, and its norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(I;X)}$. Denote $C(0, T : X)$ the space of continuous functions form $[0, T]$ into X equipped with the uniform convergence norm.

2.1. Moving Boundary Transformation. In this section we will provide the details of the transform of the system of equations with moving boundary Q_T into the cylindrical one. For this, we consider the application

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g : Q_T &\rightarrow Q \\ (\xi, \tau) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{\xi - \alpha(\tau)}{\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau)}, \tau \right) = (x, t). \end{aligned}$$

where $Q = \{x \in (0, 1), t \in (0, T)\}$. Note that $g \in C^2$ and its inverse g^{-1} is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{-1} : Q &\rightarrow Q_T \\ (x, t) &\rightarrow (\xi, \tau) = (\alpha(t) + (\beta(t) - \alpha(t))x, t), \end{aligned}$$

and it is also C^2 . Let $\mathbf{p}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau)}$. Denoting

$$(2.3) \quad u(x, t) = v \circ g^{-1}(x, t) = v(\xi, \tau)$$

and taking into account the change of variable (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \\ &= u_x \left[-\frac{\alpha'(\tau)}{\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau)} - \frac{(\xi - \alpha(\tau))(\beta'(\tau) - \alpha'(\tau))}{(\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau))^2} \right] + u_t \\ &= u_x \left[\alpha'(\tau)\mathbf{p}(\tau) + \frac{(\xi - \alpha(\tau))}{(\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau))} (\ln \mathbf{p}(\tau))' \right] + u_t \\ &= u_x [\alpha'(\tau)\mathbf{p}(\tau) + x(\ln \mathbf{p}(\tau))'] + u_t \end{aligned}$$

where the notation $' = \frac{d}{d\tau}$. Let $L(\xi, \tau) = \alpha'(\tau)\mathbf{p}(\tau) + x(\ln \mathbf{p}(\tau))'$, then

$$(2.4) \quad v_\tau(\xi, \tau) = u_x(g(\xi, \tau))L(\xi, \tau) + u_t(g(\xi, \tau))$$

for all $(\xi, \tau) \in Q_T$. Similary

$$\begin{aligned} v_\xi(\xi, \tau) &= u_x(g(\xi, \tau))\mathbf{p}(\tau), & v_{\xi\xi}(\xi, \tau) &= u_{xx}(g(\xi, \tau))[\mathbf{p}(\tau)]^2 \\ v_{\xi\xi\xi}(\xi, \tau) &= u_{xxx}(g(\xi, \tau))[\mathbf{p}(\tau)]^3 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\xi, \tau) \in Q_T$. Hence, into (1.1)₁ we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &u_t(g(\xi, \tau)) - i\gamma u_{xx}(g(\xi, \tau))[\mathbf{p}(\tau)]^2 + \chi[\mathbf{p}(\tau)]^3 u_{xxx}(g(\xi, \tau)) + L(\xi, \tau)u_x(g(\xi, \tau)) \\ &= -i|u(g(\xi, \tau))|^2 u(g(\xi, \tau)). \end{aligned}$$

How $(x, t) = g(\xi, \tau)$ and $L(\xi, \tau) = -\alpha'(\tau)\mathbf{p}(\tau) + x[\ln \mathbf{p}(\tau)]' = -\alpha'(t)\mathbf{p}(t) + x[\ln \mathbf{p}(t)]'$, we denote $L(x, t) = -\alpha'(t)\mathbf{p}(t) + x[\ln \mathbf{p}(t)]'$, then

$$(2.6) \quad u_t - i\gamma u_{xx}[\mathbf{p}(t)]^2 + \chi[\mathbf{p}(t)]^3 u_{xxx} + L(x, t)u_x + i|u|^2 u = 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q$.

We denote by $I(x, t) = iL(x, t)$, $\mathfrak{B}(t) = [\mathbf{p}(t)]^3$ and $\mathfrak{C}(t) = [\mathbf{p}(t)]^2$, then

$$(2.7) \quad iu_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)u_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)u_{xx} + I(x, t)u_x - |u|^2 u = 0$$

On the other hand, on the boundary, the variable x becomes

$$(2.8) \quad x = \frac{\xi - \alpha(\tau)}{\beta(\tau) - \alpha(\tau)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \xi = \alpha(\tau), \\ 1, & \text{if } \xi = \beta(\tau), \end{cases} \implies 0 < x < 1,$$

that is, $\xi = (\beta(0) - \alpha(0))x + \alpha(0)$ then the initial condition is

$$(2.9) \quad u(x, 0) = (v \circ g^{-1})(x, 0) = u_0(\alpha(0) + (\beta(0) - \alpha(0))x).$$

and the boundary conditions remain homogeneous.

2.2. Main Result. We consider the system of PDE

$$(2.10) \quad iu_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)u_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)u_{xx} + I(x, t)u_x - |u|^2u = 0$$

$(x, t) \in Q = (0, 1) \times (0, T)$ and with initial and boundary conditions

$$(2.11) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

$$(2.12) \quad u(0, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_{xx}(0, t) = u_{xxx}(0, t) = 0$$

$$(2.13) \quad u(1, t) = u_x(1, t) = u_{xx}(1, t) = u_{xxx}(1, t) = 0.$$

Our main result is as follows:

- (1) Let $u_0(x) \in H^4(0, 1)$, there is one classic solution of (2.10)-(2.13) (or (1.1)).
- (2) There is only one solution of (2.10)-(2.13) (or (1.1)).
- (3) If u is solution of (2.10)-(2.13), then

$$(2.14) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq Ce^{-t}$$

where C depends only u_0 .

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In the previous Section we have transformed a domain with moving boundaries into cylindrical one. Now we will apply the Faedo-Galerkin method in the transformed mixed problem.

For this we study the regularized problem with a spatial basis and we prove the existence of global solutions for this regularization. This regularization procedure is classical adding a dissipative term of fourth order for a KdV type equation (see [29]). In our case, we consider a basis and a regularization very close to the one used in [16, 27]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For the unknown functions $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ we consider the following regularized problem (hereafter we omit the index ε in calculations):

$$(3.1) \quad iu_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)u_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)u_{xx} + I(x, t)u_x + i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)u_{xxxx} - |u|^2u = 0$$

with $Q = (0, 1) \times (0, T)$ and initial and boundary conditions

$$(3.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

$$(3.3) \quad u(0, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_{xx}(0, t) = u_{xxx}(0, t) = 0$$

$$(3.4) \quad u(1, t) = u_x(1, t) = u_{xx}(1, t) = u_{xxx}(1, t) = 0.$$

We assume that $3\chi - 4\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u_0 \in H^4(0, 1)$, there is one classic solution of (2.10)-(2.13) (or (1.1)).*

Proof. Let $\{g_j(x)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ the Hilbertian base of $L^2(0, 1)$ solution of the eigenvalue problem

$$(3.5) \quad g_j(0) = g_j'(0) = g_j''(0) = g_j'''(0) = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(3.6) \quad g_j(1) = g_j'(1) = \varepsilon g_j''(1) = g_j'''(1) = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We construct the approximation

$$(3.7) \quad u^N(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j^N(t)g_j(x),$$

where $h_j^N(t)g_j(x)$ is solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & i\langle u_t^N, g_j \rangle + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxx}^N, g_j \rangle + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)\langle u_{xx}^N, g_j \rangle + \langle I(x, t)u_x^N, g_j \rangle \\ & + i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxxx}^N, g_j \rangle - \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, g_j \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and subject to initial data

$$(3.9) \quad h_j^N(0) = \langle u_0, g_j \rangle = 0$$

such that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \equiv \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{[L^2(0,1)]^2}$. Due to orthonormality of $\{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, the coupled system (3.8) can be written in the norm form. Moreover, according to the standard existence theory for ordinary differential equations, a unique solution exists on some time-interval.

From the nonlinear multipliers we are able to pass to the limits in the linear term of (3.8). The nonlinear terms need a careful analysis. For that, we will need to use the Lions–Aubin compactness theorem (see [2, 29]), as we will see in the next subsections.

First estimate. Setting $g_j = e^x \bar{u}^N$ in (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\langle u_t^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle + i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxxx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle \\ & + \langle I(x, t)u_x^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)\langle u_{xx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle - \langle |u^N(t)|^2 u^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that integrating by parts and using (3.2)-(3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_{xxx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle &= \int_0^1 u_x^N e^x \bar{u}^N dx + \int_0^1 u_x^N e^x \bar{u}_x^N dx - \int_0^1 u_{xx}^N e^x \bar{u}_x^N dx. \\ \langle u_{xxxx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle &= - \int_0^1 u_x^N e^x \bar{u}^N dx - \int_0^1 u_x^N e^x \bar{u}_x^N dx + 2 \int_0^1 u_{xx}^N e^x \bar{u}_x^N dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xx}^N|^2 dx. \\ \langle u_{xx}^N e^x, \bar{u}^N \rangle &= \int_0^1 u_{xx}^N e^x \bar{u}^N dx = - \int_0^1 u_x^N e^x \bar{u}^N dx - \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, replacing these three expressions in the previous equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_0^1 e^x u_t^N \bar{u}^N dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}^N u_x^N dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx \\ & - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}_x^N u_{xx}^N dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}^N u_x^N dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx \\ & + \int_0^1 I(x, t) e^x \bar{u}^N u_x^N dx - i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}^N u_x^N dx - i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx \\ & + 2i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}_x^N u_{xx}^N dx + i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xx}^N|^2 dx - \int_0^1 e^x |u^N|^4 dx \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Considering then the imaginary part of this expression and applying integration by parts, we deduce

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle - (\chi - \varepsilon)\mathfrak{B}(t) \langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle + \mathfrak{B}(t)(3\chi - 4\varepsilon) \langle e^x, |u_x^N|^2 \rangle + 2\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t) \langle e^x, |u_{xx}^N|^2 \rangle \\ & = 2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \text{Im} \langle e^x \bar{u}^N, u_x^N \rangle - 2 \text{Im} \langle I(x, t) e^x \bar{u}^N, u_x^N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to bounded the right side of (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)Im\langle e^x\bar{u}^N, u_x^N \rangle &\leq C\|e^x\bar{u}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}\|\bar{u}_x^N e^x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \varepsilon_1\|\bar{u}_x^N e^x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1)\|e^x\bar{u}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 e \int_0^1 e^x |u^N|^2 dx + C(\varepsilon_1) e \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx. \\
-2Im\langle I(x, t)e^x\bar{u}^N, u_x^N \rangle &\leq 2\|I(x, t)e^x u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}\|\bar{u}^N e^x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \varepsilon_2\|I(x, t)e^x u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
&+ C(\varepsilon_2)\|\bar{u}^N e^x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \varepsilon_2 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |I(x, t)|^2 e \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx + C(\varepsilon_2) e \int_0^1 e^x |u^N|^2 dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_3 \int_0^1 e^x |u_x^N|^2 dx + C(\varepsilon_3) \int_0^1 e^x |u^N|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle + (3\chi - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)\langle e^x, |u_x^N|^2 \rangle + 2\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle e^x, |u_{xx}^N|^2 \rangle \\
(3.11) \quad &\leq (|\chi - \varepsilon| + C(\varepsilon_1)e + C(\varepsilon_3))\langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

For ε_1 and ε_3 suitable and integrating over $(0, t)$ with $t \leq T$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle &\leq \langle e^x, |u^N(\cdot, 0)|^2 \rangle + C \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle ds \\
&\leq e\|u_0^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall inequality we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle \leq C \exp(Ct)$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Therefore

$$(3.13) \quad (u^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

Note that of (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle e^x, |u^N|^2 \rangle + (3\chi - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3) \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u_x^N|^2 \rangle ds + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \mathfrak{B}(s)\langle e^x, |u_{xx}^N|^2 \rangle ds \\
(3.14) \quad &\leq e\|u_0\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3.15) \quad (u_x^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

Remark 3.2. (1) If $\varepsilon = 0$, for ε_1 and ε_3 suitable, we have (3.12)-(3.13) and (3.14) – (3.15), this is the constant C independent of ε .

(2) Note that of (3.14) we have

$$(u_{xx}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

but the estimative depend of ε .

This is a difficulty, we will improve the estimative for u_{xx}^N .

Second estimate. Setting $g_j = \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N$ in (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\chi\langle u_t^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)\langle u_{xx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle \\
(3.16) \quad &+ \langle I(x, t)u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + i\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxxx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle - \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, multiplying by χ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\chi\langle u_t^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + i\chi^2\mathfrak{B}(t)\langle u_{xxx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + \gamma\chi\mathfrak{C}(t)\langle u_{xx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle \\
&+ \chi\langle I(x, t)u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle + i\chi\varepsilon\mathfrak{B}(t)\|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle u_t^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle = \int_0^1 u_{txx}^N \bar{u}_{xx}^N dx,$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i\chi \int_0^1 u_{xxt}^N \bar{u}_{xx}^N dx + i\chi^2 \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xxx}^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx + \gamma\chi \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xx}^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx \\ & + \chi \int_0^1 I(x, t) u_x^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx + i\chi \varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Conjugate

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\chi \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxt}^N u_{xx}^N dx - i\chi^2 \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxx}^N u_{xxxx}^N dx + \gamma\chi \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xx}^N u_{xxxx}^N dx \\ & + \chi \int_0^1 \overline{I(x, t) u_x^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx} - i\chi \varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the above equations it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & i\chi \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + i\chi^2 \mathfrak{B}(t) \left(\int_0^1 u_{xxx}^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx + \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxx}^N u_{xxxx}^N dx \right) \\ & + \gamma\chi \mathfrak{C}(t) \left(\int_0^1 u_{xx}^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xx}^N u_{xxxx}^N dx \right) \\ & + \chi \int_0^1 I(x, t) u_x^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx - \chi \int_0^1 \overline{I(x, t) u_x^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx} \\ & + 2i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi (\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle - \overline{\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & i\chi \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \gamma\chi \mathfrak{C}(t) 2i \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_0^1 u_{xx}^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx \right) + 2\chi i \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_0^1 I(x, t) u_x^N \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N dx \right) \\ & + 2i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi 2i \operatorname{Im} (\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ & = -2\gamma\chi \mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} (\langle u_{xx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle) - 2\chi \operatorname{Im} \langle I(x, t) u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle \\ (3.17) \quad & + 2\chi \operatorname{Im} \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to bounded the right side of (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle I(x, t) u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1) \|I(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))}^2 \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ & |\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_2 \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_2) \|u^N\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))}^2 \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ & |\langle u_{xx}^N, \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_3 \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_3) \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating 0 to t with $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\chi \int_0^t \mathfrak{B}(s) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
& \leq \chi \|u_{xx}^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\gamma\chi C(\varepsilon_3) \int_0^t \mathfrak{C}(t) \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + 2\gamma\chi\varepsilon_3 \int_0^t \mathfrak{C}(t) \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
& + 2\chi\varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + 2\chi C(\varepsilon_1) \|u^N\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))}^2 \int_0^t \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
(3.18) \quad & + 2\chi\varepsilon_2 \int_0^t \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + 2\chi C(\varepsilon_2) \|u^N\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))}^2 \int_0^t \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \leq \|u_0^N\|_{H^2(0,1)}^2 \\
(3.19) \quad & + \chi \int_0^t \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

considering $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ suitable we have $C_2 > 0$. By Gronwall inequality

$$(3.20) \quad \chi \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C \exp(T)$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, where C independent of ε , then

$$(3.21) \quad (u_{xx}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)).$$

Finally of (3.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C_2 \int_0^T \|u_{xxxx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 dt \\
(3.22) \quad & \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{H^2(0,1)}^2 + \|J(x, s)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(0,1))}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

where C independent of ε . Here we obtain that

$$(3.23) \quad (u_{xxxx}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

Third estimate. Now from (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\eta(t) \langle u_t^N, g_j \rangle + i\chi \langle u_{xxx}^N, g_j \rangle + \gamma\mu(t) \langle u_{xx}^N, g_j \rangle + \langle A(x, t)u_x^N, g_j \rangle \\
(3.24) \quad & + i\varepsilon \langle u_{xxxx}^N, g_j \rangle - \eta(t) \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, g_j \rangle = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta(t) := \mathfrak{B}(t) = [\beta(t) - \alpha(t)]^3, \quad \mu(t) := [p(t)]^{-1} = \beta(t) - \alpha(t) \\
& A(x, t) := [p(t)]^{-3} I(x, t) = [\beta(t) - \alpha(t)]^3 I(x, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (3.24) with respect to $t > 0$ and we multiply the result by $e^x \bar{u}_t^N$

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\eta'(t) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle + i\eta(t) \langle e^x, \bar{u}_{tt}^N u_{tt}^N \rangle + i\chi \langle u_{xxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \gamma\mu'(t) \langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\
& + \gamma\mu(t) \langle u_{xxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + i\varepsilon \langle u_{xxxxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\
& - \eta'(t) \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t) \langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t) \langle e^x, |u^N|^4 \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Conjugate

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i\eta'(t) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle - i\eta(t) \langle e^x, \bar{u}_{tt}^N u_{tt}^N \rangle - i\chi \langle \bar{u}_{xxx}^N, e^x u_t^N \rangle + \gamma\mu'(t) \langle \bar{u}_{xx}^N, e^x u_t^N \rangle \\
& + \gamma\mu(t) \langle \bar{u}_{xxt}^N, e^x u_t^N \rangle + \langle \bar{A}_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle \bar{A} u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - i\varepsilon \langle \bar{u}_{xxxxt}^N, e^x u_t^N \rangle \\
& - \eta'(t) \langle \overline{|u^N|^2 u^N}, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t) \langle \overline{(|u^N|^2)_t u^N}, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t) \langle e^x, |u^N|^4 \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the above equations we have that the terms above are estimate in the same way as previously.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2i\eta'(t)\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle + i\eta(t) (\langle e^x, \bar{u}_t^N u_{tt}^N \rangle + i\eta(t)\langle e^x, \bar{u}_{tt}^N u_t^N \rangle) + i\chi \left(\langle u_{xxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \overline{\langle u_{xxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) \\
 & + \gamma\mu'(t) \left(\langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) + \gamma\mu(t) \left(\langle u_{xxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle u_{xxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) \\
 & + \langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} + \langle A u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle A u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \\
 & + i\varepsilon \left(\langle u_{xxxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \overline{\langle u_{xxxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) - \eta'(t) \left(\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) \\
 (3.25) \quad & - \eta(t) \left(\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \right) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle u_{xxxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \overline{\langle u_{xxxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} = - \int_0^1 u_{xxxx}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx - \int_0^1 u_{xxxx}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx \\
 & - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N e^x u_t^N dx - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxxx}^N e^x u_t^N dx = \int_0^1 u_{xxt}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx + \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx \\
 & + \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx + 2 \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xxt}^N|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxt}^N e^x u_{xt}^N dx = - \int_0^1 u_{xt}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx - \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx \\
 & - \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx - \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx + 2 \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xxt}^N|^2 dx - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xt}^N e^x u_t^N dx - \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx \\
 & = - \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u_t^N|^2 dx - 4 \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle u_{xxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \overline{\langle u_{xxx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} = - \int_0^1 u_{xxx}^N \bar{u}_t^N e^x dx - \int_0^1 u_{xxx}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxx}^N u_t^N e^x dx \\
 & - \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xxx}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx = 2 \int_0^1 e^x |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx + \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u_t^N|^2 dx - \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u_{xt}^N|^2 dx \\
 & = 3 \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle - \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} = - \int_0^1 e^x u_x^N \bar{u}_t^N dx - \int_0^1 e^x u_x^N \bar{u}_t^N dx + \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}_x^N u_t^N dx \\
 & + \int_0^1 e^x \bar{u}_x^N u_t^N dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle u_{xxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle u_{xxt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} = - \int_0^1 u_{xt}^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N dx + \int_0^1 \bar{u}_{xt}^N e^x u_t^N dx.$$

Replacing in (3.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2i\eta'(t)\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle + i\eta(t) \frac{d}{dt} \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle + 3i\chi \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle - i\chi \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle \\
 & + 2i\gamma\mu'(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + 2i\gamma\mu(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle e^x, \bar{u}_{xt}^N u_t^N \rangle + 2i \operatorname{Im} \langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\
 & + 2i \operatorname{Im} \langle A u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + i\varepsilon \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle - 4i\varepsilon \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle \\
 & - 2i\eta'(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - 2i\eta(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} (\eta(t) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle) + (3\chi - 4\varepsilon) \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle + (\varepsilon - \chi) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle \\
 & \leq -2\gamma\mu'(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - 2\gamma\mu(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle e^x, \bar{u}_{xt}^N u_t^N \rangle - 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\
 & - 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle A u_{xt}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + 2\eta'(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\
 (3.26) \quad & + 2\eta(t) \operatorname{Im} \langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

We are going to bounded the right side of (3.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\gamma\mu'(t) \operatorname{Im}\langle u_{xx}^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq \varepsilon_1 \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle. \\
& -2\gamma\mu(t) \operatorname{Im}\langle e^x, \bar{u}_{xt}^N u_t^N \rangle \leq \varepsilon_2 e\langle e^x, |\bar{u}_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle + C(\varepsilon_2) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle. \\
& -2 \operatorname{Im}\langle A_t u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq 2 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A_t| \int_0^1 |u_x^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N| dx \leq \varepsilon_3 \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_3) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle. \\
& -2 \operatorname{Im}\langle A u_x^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq 2 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A| \int_0^1 |u_{xt}^N e^{2x} \bar{u}_t^N| dx \leq \varepsilon_4 e\langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle + C(\varepsilon_4) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle. \\
& 2\eta'(t) \operatorname{Im}\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq C \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |u^N|^2 \int_0^1 |u^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N| dx \leq \varepsilon_5 \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& \quad + C(\varepsilon_5) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle. \\
& 2\eta(t) \operatorname{Im}\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, e^x \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq C \sup_{x \in (0,1)} (|u^N|^2)_t \int_0^1 |u^N e^x \bar{u}_t^N| dx \leq \varepsilon_6 \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& \quad + C(\varepsilon_6) e\langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

How $3\chi - 4\varepsilon > 0$ with ε_2 and ε_4 suitable. Replacing in (3.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.27) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} (\eta(t) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle) + (3\chi - 4\varepsilon - e\varepsilon_2 - e\varepsilon_4) \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle \leq C \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle \\
& + \varepsilon_1 \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \varepsilon_3 \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ with $t \leq T$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(t) \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle & \leq \eta(0) \langle e^x, |u_t^N(\cdot, 0)|^2 \rangle + \varepsilon_1 \int_0^T \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
& + \varepsilon_3 \int_0^T \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + C \int_0^T \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand of (3.8) with $t = 0$ and $g_j = u_t^N(\cdot, 0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& i \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(0) \langle u_{xxx}^N(\cdot, 0), \bar{u}_t^N(\cdot, 0) \rangle + \gamma \mathfrak{C}(0) \langle u_{xx}^N(\cdot, 0), \bar{u}_t^N(\cdot, 0) \rangle \\
& + \langle I(\cdot, 0) u_x^N(\cdot, 0), \bar{u}_t^N(\cdot, 0) \rangle + i\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(0) \langle u_{xxxx}^N(\cdot, 0), \bar{u}_t^N(\cdot, 0) \rangle - \langle |u^N(\cdot, 0)|^2 u^N(\cdot, 0), \bar{u}_t^N(\cdot, 0) \rangle \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \varepsilon_8 \|u_{xxx}^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_8) \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& + \varepsilon_9 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |I(\cdot, 0)| \|u_x^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |I(\cdot, 0)| C(\varepsilon_9) \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& + \varepsilon_{10} \|u_{xxxx}^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_{10}) \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |u_0^N|^2 \varepsilon_{11} \|u^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |u_0^N|^2 C(\varepsilon_{11}) \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

then for $C(\varepsilon_i)$ with $i = 8, \dots, 11$ suitable, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C \left(\|u_0^N\|_{H^4(0,1)} + \|J(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right)$$

Remark 3.3. *If $\varepsilon = 0$ then (3.28) is true, therefore the constant C in (3.28) independent of ε .*

Then

$$(3.29) \quad \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle \leq C + C \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle ds.$$

By Gronwall inequality

$$(3.30) \quad \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \langle e^x, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle \leq C \exp(Ct)$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$ where the constant C independent of ε . Therefore

$$(3.31) \quad (u_t^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

independent of ε . Note that of (3.27) together with (3.30) we have

$$(3.32) \quad (3\chi - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_4) \int_0^t \langle e^x, |u_{xt}^N|^2 \rangle ds \leq C.$$

Remark 3.4. *If $\varepsilon = 0$, then for ε_2 and ε_4 suitable, we have (3.32) is true, where C independent of ε .*

Therefore

$$(3.33) \quad (u_{xt}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

Step four. We obtain a bound for u_{xxt}^N . Differentiating (3.24) respect t , and replacing g_j by \bar{u}_t^N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\eta(t)\langle u_{tt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + i\eta'(t)\|u_t^N(t)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + i\chi\langle u_{xxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + \gamma\mu(t)\langle u_{xxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \gamma\mu'(t)\langle u_{xx}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + i\varepsilon\langle u_{xxxxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t)\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t)\langle |u^N|^2 u_t^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & - \eta'(t)\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & i\eta(t)\langle u_{tt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + i\eta'(t)\|u_t^N(t)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - i\chi\langle u_{xxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & - \gamma\mu(t)\|u_{xt}^N(t)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \gamma\mu'(t)\langle u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + i\varepsilon\langle u_{xxxxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t)\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \eta(t)\langle |u^N|^2, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle - \eta'(t)\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying conjugate

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\eta(t)\langle \bar{u}_{tt}^N, u_t^N \rangle - i\eta'(t)\|u_t^N(t)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + i\chi\langle \bar{u}_{xxt}^N, u_{xt}^N \rangle \\ & - \gamma\mu(t)\|u_{xt}^N(t)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \gamma\mu'(t)\langle \bar{u}_x^N, u_{xt}^N \rangle + \overline{\langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} + \overline{\langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} \\ & - i\varepsilon\langle \bar{u}_{xxxxt}^N, u_t^N \rangle - \eta(t)\overline{\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} - \eta(t)\langle |u^N|^2, |u_t^N|^2 \rangle - \eta'(t)\overline{\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the above equations yield

$$\begin{aligned} & i\eta(t) \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2i\eta'(t)\|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - i\chi(\langle u_{xxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle \bar{u}_{xxt}^N, u_{xt}^N \rangle) \\ & - \gamma\mu'(t)(\langle u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xt}^N \rangle - \langle \bar{u}_x^N, u_{xt}^N \rangle) + 2i\text{Im}\langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + 2i\text{Im}\langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + i\varepsilon(\langle u_{xxxxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle \bar{u}_{xxxxt}^N, u_t^N \rangle) - \eta(t)\left(\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle}\right) \\ (3.34) \quad & - \eta'(t)\left(\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - \overline{\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle u_{xxxxt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + \langle \bar{u}_{xxxxt}^N, u_t^N \rangle = 2\|u_{xxt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$

then replacing in (3.34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\eta(t) \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2i\eta'(t)\|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - i\chi \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dx} |u_{xt}|^2 dx \\ & - 2i\gamma\mu'(t)\text{Im}\langle u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xt}^N \rangle + 2i\text{Im}\langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + 2i\text{Im}\langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + 2i\chi|u_{xt}(1, t)|^2 + 2i\varepsilon\|u_{xxt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - 2i\eta(t)\text{Im}\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & - 2i\eta'(t)\text{Im}\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta(t) \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\eta'(t)\|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \chi|u_{xt}(1, t)|^2 + \chi|u_{xt}(0, t)|^2 + 2\varepsilon\|u_{xxt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ (3.35) \quad & = 2\gamma\mu'(t)\text{Im}\langle u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xt}^N \rangle - 2\text{Im}\langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle - 2\text{Im}\langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \\ & + 2\eta(t)\text{Im}\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle + 2\eta'(t)\text{Im}\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to bounded the right side of (3.35)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\gamma\mu'(t)Im\langle u_x^N, \bar{u}_{xt}^N \rangle \leq C \left(\|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|u_{xt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \\
& - 2Im\langle A_t u_x^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A_t| \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A_t| \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& - 2Im\langle A u_{xt}^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A| \|u_{xt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |A| \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
& 2\eta(t)Im\langle (|u^N|^2)_t u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq C \left(\sup_{x \in (0,1)} |(|u^N|^2)_t| \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |(|u^N|^2)_t| \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \\
(3.36) \quad & 2\eta'(t)Im\langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \bar{u}_t^N \rangle \leq C \left(\sup_{x \in (0,1)} |u^N|^2 \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |u^N|^2 \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.35) over 0 to t with $t \leq T$ together with (3.36) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta(t) \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \|u_{xxt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \leq \eta(0) \|u_t^N(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
(3.37) \quad & + C \int_0^t \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|u_{xt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since (3.37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta(t) \|u_t^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \|u_{xxt}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 ds \\
(3.38) \quad & \leq C \|u_0^N\|_{H^4(0,1)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(3.39) \quad (u_{xxt}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ dependent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.40)$$

Step to the limit. Now follows summarize our results.

$$(3.41) \quad (u^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.42) \quad (u_x^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ indepent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.43) \quad (u_{xx}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.44) \quad (u_{xxxx}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.45) \quad (u_t^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.46) \quad (u_{xt}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon$$

$$(3.47) \quad (u_{xxt}^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) \text{ dependent of } \varepsilon$$

We affirm that

$$(3.48) \quad (u_x^N) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T : H^1(0, 1)) \text{ independent of } \varepsilon.$$

In effect, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \alpha \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)} + C(\alpha) \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_x^N\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \alpha \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_{xx}^N\|_{L^2(0,1)} + C(\alpha) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^N\|_{L^2(0,1)} < C$$

the result follows.

Gathering the estimates (3.41)-(3.47) we have there exists an subsequences of (u^N) and (u_t^N) that also

denote by (u^N) and u_t^N such that

$$(3.49) \quad u^N \rightharpoonup u, \quad \text{weak}, \quad u \in L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

$$(3.50) \quad u^N \rightharpoonup^* u, \quad \text{star weak}, \quad u \in L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

$$(3.51) \quad u_x^N \rightharpoonup u_x, \quad \text{weakly}, \quad u_x \in L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

$$(3.52) \quad u_x^N \rightharpoonup^* u_x, \quad \text{star weak}, \quad u_x \in L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

$$(3.53) \quad u_t^N \rightharpoonup u_t, \quad \text{weak}, \quad u_t \in L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

$$(3.54) \quad u_t^N \rightharpoonup^* u_t, \quad \text{star weak}, \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T : L^2(0, 1))$$

the convergence independent of ε .

Finally multiplying (3.1) by $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$ test functions and integrating over $(0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_0^1 u_t \varphi \, dx + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xxx} \varphi \, dx + \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xx} \varphi \, dx + \int_0^1 I(x, t) u_x \varphi \, dx \\ & + i\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xxxx} \varphi \, dx - \int_0^1 |u|^2 u \varphi \, dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating of parts, we have

$$(3.55) \quad i \langle u_t, \varphi \rangle + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} \rangle - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \rangle + \langle I(x, t) u_x, \varphi \rangle$$

$$(3.56) \quad - i\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dx^3} \rangle - \langle |u|^2 u, \varphi \rangle = 0.$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$.

Since u^N es approximation solution, we have

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{aligned} & i \langle u_t^N, \varphi \rangle + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x^N, \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} \rangle - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \langle u_x^N, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \rangle + \langle I(x, t) u_x^N, \varphi \rangle \\ & - i\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x^N, \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dx^3} \rangle - \langle |u^N|^2 u^N, \varphi \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^1(0, 1) \subset C([0, 1]) \subset L^2(0, 1)$ with the first injection compact and the second injection continuous, together with (3.41)-(3.48), by the Aubin -Lions lemma and Simon's compactness result, we have there exists $u \in C([0, T] : C([0, 1]))$ and $u_x \in C([0, T] : C([0, 1]))$ such that a subsequence of (u^N) and (u_x^N) that denote also by (u^N) and (u_x^N) that independent of ε , that converge (strong) to u and u_x en $C([0, T] : C([0, 1]))$. This is

$$(3.58) \quad \|u^N - u\|_{C([0, T] : C([0, 1]))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \|u_x^N - u_x\|_{C([0, T] : C([0, 1]))} \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that approximations $u^N(x, t)$ can be extended to entire $Q = (0, 1) \times (0, T)$ with arbitrary finite $T > 0$, gathering with (3.49)-(3.54) and justify the passage to the limit as $N \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.57). This is

$$(3.59) \quad \begin{aligned} & i \langle u_t, \varphi \rangle + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} \rangle - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \rangle + \langle I(x, t) u_x, \varphi \rangle \\ & - i\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dx^3} \rangle - \langle |u|^2 u, \varphi \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The solution independent of ε , take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(3.60) \quad i \langle u_t, \varphi \rangle + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} \rangle - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \langle u_x, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \rangle + \langle I(x, t) u_x, \varphi \rangle - \langle |u|^2 u, \varphi \rangle = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$. Since $H^4(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C^3([0, 1])$ together with (3.44) we have $(u^N) \subset C^3[0, 1]$. Then since (3.60) we have

$$(3.61) \quad i \langle u_t, \varphi \rangle + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \langle u_{xxx}, \varphi \rangle - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \langle u_{xx}, \varphi \rangle + \langle I(x, t) u_x, \varphi \rangle - \langle |u|^2 u, \varphi \rangle = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$, then

$$(3.62) \quad i u_t + i\chi \mathfrak{B}(t) u_{xxx}^N - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) u_{xx} + I(x, t) u_x - |u|^2 u = 0.$$

This prove the existence of a classic solution to (2.10)-(2.13).

4. UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

The following theorem shows the uniqueness of solutions.

Theorem 4.1. *There is only one solution of (2.10)-(2.13).*

Proof. Assume that u and w are two solutions of (2.10)-(2.13). Therefore

$$(4.1) \quad iu_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)u_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)u_{xx} + I(x, t)u_x - |u|^2u = 0$$

$$(4.2) \quad iw_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)w_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)w_{xx} + I(x, t)w_x - |w|^2w = 0$$

Subtracting (4.1) with (4.2) we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & i(u-w)_t + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t)(u-w)_{xxx} + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)(u-w)_{xx} + (u-w)_x I(x, t) \\ & - [|u|^2u - |w|^2w] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$|u|^2u - |w|^2w = |u|^2(u-w) + [|u|^2 - |w|^2]w.$$

Multiplying (4.3) by $e^x(\overline{u-w})$ and integrating over $(0, 1)$ and using (2.11)-(2.13) it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & i \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_t dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_{xxx} dx \\ & + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 e^x I(x, t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\ & - \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w}) (|u|^2(u-w) + (|u|^2 - |w|^2)w) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Each term is treated separately integrating by parts and using (2.10)-(2.13). In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_{xxx} dx = i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\ & + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})_x (u-w)_{xx} dx \\ & \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_{xx} dx = -\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing into (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_t dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\ & + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})_x (u-w)_{xx} dx \\ & - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx \\ & - \int_0^1 e^x |u|^2 |u-w|^2 dx + \int_0^1 e^x I(x, t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\ & - \int_0^1 e^x (|u| + |w|)(|u| - |w|)(\overline{u-w})w dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying conjugate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -i \int_0^1 e^x (u-w)(\overline{u-w})_t dx - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x (u-w)(\overline{u-w})_x dx \\
 & -i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x (u-w)_x (\overline{u-w})_{xx} dx \\
 & -\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x (u-w)(\overline{u-w})_x dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx \\
 & -\int_0^1 e^x |u|^2 |u-w|^2 dx + \int_0^1 e^x I(x,t)(u-w)(\overline{u-w})_x dx \\
 & -\int_0^1 e^x (|u|+|w|)(|u|-|w|)(u-w)\overline{w} dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then subtracting the above two terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 e^x |u-w|^2 dx + \chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |u-w|^2 dx \\
 & -\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x \frac{d}{dx} |(u-w)_x|^2 dx + 2\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx \\
 & -2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx + 2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x I(x,t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\
 & -2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(|u|+|w|)(|u|-|w|)w dx = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (2.11)-(2.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 e^x |u-w|^2 dx - \chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |u-w|^2 dx + 3\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 e^x |(u-w)_x|^2 dx \\
 & -2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx + 2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x I(x,t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\
 & -2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(|u|+|w|)(|u|-|w|)w dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle - \chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle + 3\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \langle e^x, |(u-w)_x|^2 \rangle \\
 & = 2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx - 2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x I(x,t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx \\
 & + 2\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 e^x (\overline{u-w})(|u|+|w|)(|u|-|w|)w dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We are going to bounded the right side of (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t)Im \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx &\leq C \int_0^1 e^{2x}|\overline{u-w}| |(u-w)_x| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 e\langle e^x, |(u-w)_x|^2 \rangle + C(\varepsilon_1)e\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle. \\
-2Im \int_0^1 e^x I(x, t)(\overline{u-w})(u-w)_x dx &\leq 2 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |I(x, t)| \int_0^1 e^{2x}|u-w| |(u-w)_x| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_2 e\langle e^x, |(u-w)_x|^2 \rangle + C(\varepsilon_2)e\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle. \\
2Im \int_0^1 e^x(\overline{u-w})(|u|+|w|)(|u|-|w|)w dx &\leq 2(\|u\|_{C(0,T;C([0,1]))} + \|w\|_{C(0,T;C([0,1]))}) \\
&\quad \times \|w\|_{C(0,T;C([0,1]))} \int_0^1 e^x |u-w|^2 dx \\
&\leq C\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We replacing in (4.5), we have

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle + (3\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) - \varepsilon_1 e - \varepsilon_2 e)\langle e^x, |(u-w)_x|^2 \rangle \leq C\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle.$$

For $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ suitable, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle \leq C\langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle.$$

By Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|u-w\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \langle e^x, |u-w|^2 \rangle \leq 0$$

then $u = w$.

5. STABILITY

Let $q \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ given by $q(x) = 1 + 4x - x^3$, now we will prove the exponential decay of the solutions for the L^2 -norm. For this we want to obtain a priori estimate time-independent for this norm.

Theorem 5.1. *If u is solution of (2.10)-(2.13), then*

$$(5.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C e^{-t}$$

where C depends only of u_0 .

Proof. Multiplying (2.10) by $q(x)\bar{u}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i \int_0^1 q\bar{u}u_t dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q\bar{u}u_{xxx} dx + \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q\bar{u}u_{xx} dx \\
(5.2) \quad + \int_0^1 I(x, t)q\bar{u}u_x dx - \int_0^1 q|u|^4 dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Each term is treated separately, using integrating by parts and using (2.11)-(2.13).

$$\begin{aligned}
i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q\bar{u}u_{xxx} dx &= -i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xx}q_x\bar{u} dx - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xx}q\bar{u}_x dx \\
&= i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_xq_{xx}\bar{u} dx + i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 |u_x|^2q_x dx - i\chi\mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 u_{xx}q\bar{u}_x dx. \\
\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q\bar{u}u_{xx} dx &= -\gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q_x\bar{u}u_x dx - \gamma\mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q|u_x|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i \int_0^1 q \bar{u} u_t dx + i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_{xx} \bar{u} u_x dx + i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_x |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & - i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q \bar{u}_x u_{xx} dx - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q_x \bar{u} u_x dx - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & + \int_0^1 I(x, t) q \bar{u} u_x dx - \int_0^1 q |u|^4 dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying conjugate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - i \int_0^1 q u \bar{u}_t dx - i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_{xx} u \bar{u}_x dx - i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_x |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & + i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q u_x \bar{u}_{xx} dx - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q_x u \bar{u}_x dx - \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \int_0^1 q |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & + \int_0^1 \overline{I(x, t)} q u \bar{u}_x dx - \int_0^1 q |u|^4 dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the above equations yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_{xx} \frac{d}{dx} (|u|^2) dx + 2i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_x |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & - i \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q \frac{d}{dx} (|u_x|^2) dx - \gamma 2i \mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 q_x u_x \bar{u} dx \\
 & + 2i \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 I(x, t) q \bar{u} u_x dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, integrating by part some terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 q_{xxx} |u|^2 dx + 3 \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
 & - 2 \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 q_x \bar{u} u_x dx + 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 I(x, t) q \bar{u} u_x dx = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 6 \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \int_0^1 |u|^2 dx + 3 \chi \mathfrak{B}(t) \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\
 & = 2 \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 q_x \bar{u} u_x dx - 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 I(x, t) q \bar{u} u_x dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Each term in second member is treated separated

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \gamma \mathfrak{C}(t) \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 q_x \bar{u} u_x dx \leq C \int_0^1 |q_x \bar{u} u_x| dx \leq C \|q_x u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_1 \|q_x u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1) \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \varepsilon_1 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |q_x| \int_0^1 |q_x| |u_x|^2 dx \\
 & + C(\varepsilon_1) \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \varepsilon_1 C \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1) \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 I(x, t) q \bar{u} u_x dx \leq 2 \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |q| \sup_Q |I(x, t)| \int_0^1 |u| |\sqrt{q_x} u_x| dx \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \varepsilon_2 \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_2) \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $k = \inf_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{B}(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 6\chi k \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + 3\chi k \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 C \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_1) \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \varepsilon_2 \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C(\varepsilon_2) \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

implies que

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + (6\chi k - C(\varepsilon_1) - \varepsilon_2) \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + (3\chi k - \varepsilon_1 C - C(\varepsilon_2)) \|\sqrt{q_x} u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq 0.$$

Let $C_1 = 6\chi k - C(\varepsilon_1) - \varepsilon_2$ and $C_2 = 3\chi k - \varepsilon_1 C - C(\varepsilon_2)$. For ε_1 and ε_2 suitable we have C_1 and C_2 are positive numbers, then, integrating over $(0, t)$ with $t \leq T$

$$\|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{q} u_0\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - C_1 \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.$$

Since $\inf_{x \in (0,1)} |q| = 1$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{q} u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$

then

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{q} u_0\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - C_1 \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$

the result follow.

6. NUMERICAL SCHEME

6.1. Notation. For the sake of the following analysis, and for a given $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we will introduce the vector space

$$X_M := \{u = [u_0 \ u_1 \ \dots \ u_M]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M+1} : u_0 = u_{M-1} = u_M = 0\}$$

Let us introduce the classical finite differences operators for complex-valued arrays:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{D}^+ u]_j &= D^+ u_j := \frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\Delta x} \\ [\mathbf{D}^- u]_j &= D^- u_j := \frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{\Delta x} \\ \mathbf{D}_x u &:= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{D}^+ u + \mathbf{D}^- u) \\ \mathbf{D}_x^2 u &:= \mathbf{D}^+ \mathbf{D}^- u \\ \mathbf{D}_x^3 u &:= \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^+ \mathbf{D}^- u. \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Foundations of the Numerical Scheme. For a given $\Delta t < 1$, $t_n = n\Delta t$, and for $u^n \in X_M$, our numerical scheme is inspired in the discretization of (3.1), and it is defined as follows:

$$i \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + i\xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) \mathbf{D}_x^3 u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) \mathbf{D}_x^2 u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + i \mathbf{I}^n \mathbf{D} u^n - |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2 u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = i \mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\mathbf{J}^n \in X_M : [\mathbf{J}^n]_i = J(x_i, t_n)$, while $\mathbf{I}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$[\mathbf{I}^n]_{ij} = \begin{cases} I(x_i, t_n), & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{in other case.} \end{cases}$$

As this is a nonlinear problem, we will find approximate solutions using a Picard Fixed Point iteration. Re-arranging terms and using matrix notation, we get

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{I} \mathbf{d} - 2i\Delta t \xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) \mathbf{D}^3 - 2i\Delta t \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) \mathbf{D}^2] u^{n+1} \\ & = [\mathbf{I} \mathbf{d} - 2i\Delta t \xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) \mathbf{D}^3 + 2i\Delta t \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) \mathbf{D}^2 - 2\Delta t \mathbf{I}^n \mathbf{D}] u^n - 2i\Delta t |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2 u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + 2\Delta t \mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: doing the inner product between (6.3) and $u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ and extracting the imaginary part, we get

$$\|u^{n+1}\|_2^2 = \|u^n\|_2^2 + \text{Im}\left(i(\mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\right),$$

where

$$(6.5) \quad i(\mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = -(2\gamma\mathbf{p}^2(t)\Psi, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - 2i(\mathbf{I}\Psi x, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - i(\mathbf{I}\Phi, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + i(G'(t), u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$(6.6) \quad - (2|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2 G(x, t), u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - (u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} G, |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2)$$

$$(6.7) \quad - 2(G^2, |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2) - (G^2, (u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})^2) - (G^3, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Our objective is to obtain an upper bound for G , I , Ψ and Φ terms. We have

$$\phi(t) = \varphi_3(t)[\mathbf{p}(t)]^{-1} = u_x(1, t)\mathbf{p}(t)[\mathbf{p}(t)]^{-1} = u_x(1, t)$$

and thus, we can bound $\phi(t)$ assuming that $u_x(1, t)$ is also bounded. Furthermore,

$$|\Phi(t)| = |2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + \phi| = |2(u(0, t) - u(1, t)) + u_x(1, t)| \leq C_\Phi$$

$$|\Psi(t)| = |\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 - \phi| = |u(1, t) - u(0, t) - u_x(1, t)| \leq C_\Psi$$

this is, the $G(x, t)$ function will be bounded if the boundary conditions also are. Thus, we can infer the existence of a real function $G(x, t)$, depending on the boundary conditions and the boundary itself, such that

$$|G(x, t)| \leq C_G(x, t).$$

$G'(t)$ will also be bounded if the same conditions fulfill along with the fact that boundary conditions are in $C^2([9, +\infty))$. Meanwhile,

$$I(x, t) = \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\mathbf{p}'(t)}x - \alpha'(t)\mathbf{p}(t) \leq \mathbf{p}(t) \left| \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'(t)} - \alpha'(t) \right|.$$

Through Cauchy-Schwarz, we have the following estimates

$$(6.8) \quad |(\mathbf{p}^2(t)\Psi, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})| \leq \mathbf{p}^2(t)\|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 C_\Psi$$

$$(6.9) \quad |(\mathbf{I}\Psi x, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})| \leq \mathbf{p}^2(t) \left| \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'(t) - \alpha'(t)} \right| \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 C_\Psi$$

$$(6.10) \quad |(\mathbf{I}\varphi, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})| \leq \mathbf{p}(t) \left| \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'(t) - \alpha'(t)} \right| \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 |\varphi_1(t)|$$

$$(6.11) \quad |(G'(t), u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})| \leq |G'(t)| \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_1$$

$$(6.12) \quad (|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2 G(x, t), u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C_G(x, t) \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_3^3$$

$$(6.13) \quad (u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} G(x, t), |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2) \leq C_G(x, t) \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_3^3$$

$$(6.14) \quad \text{Im}(G^2, |u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2) = 0$$

$$(6.15) \quad (G^2, (u^{n+\frac{1}{2}})^2) \leq C_G(x, t)^2 \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2$$

$$(6.16) \quad (G^3, u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C_G(x, t)^3 \|u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_1$$

Because $\|z\|_3^3 \leq \|z\|_2^2 \|z\|_\infty$, $z \in X_M$, we can thus infer the existence of a function $C(t)$, bounding both $C_G(x, t)$ and the boundary conditions, such that we get the desired result.

6.4. Convergence. Let us recall that $u_j^n \approx u(x_j, t_n)$, for $u(x, t)$ the exact solution of our problem. We will denote $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^M : [\mathbf{u}(x, t)]_k := u(x_k, t)$. We will define the truncation error $\mathbf{F}^n \in \mathbb{R}^M$ as follows

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{I} - 2i\Delta t \xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) \mathbf{D}^3 - 2i\Delta t \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) \mathbf{D}^2] \mathbf{u}(x, t_{n+1}) \\ &= [\mathbf{I} - 2i\Delta t \xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) \mathbf{D}^3 + 2i\Delta t \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) \mathbf{D}^2 - 2\Delta t \mathbf{I}^n \mathbf{D}] \mathbf{u}(x, t_n) \\ & \quad - 2i\Delta t |u(x, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(x, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + 2\Delta t \mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{F}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The following result holds.




FIGURE 1. Left: Energy at L^2 level. Right: Energy at H^1 level.

Theorem 6.2. For u_j^n the solution of problem (6.3), and $u(x, t)$ the solution of problem (...), such that $u \in C^1[(0, T), C^2(\Omega)]$. Then, there exists a constant C , depending on Ω , such that

$$\|\mathbf{F}^n\|_2 \leq C\mathcal{O}(\Delta t + \Delta x^2).$$

Proof: due to Taylor expansions at (x_k, t_n) , it is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t u(x_k, t_n) &= u_t(x_k, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t), & D_x^2 u(x_k, t_n) &= u_{xx}(x_k, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2) \\ D_x^3 u(x_k, t_n) &= u_{xxx}(x_k, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2), & u(x_k, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= u(x_k, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, at $x_k = x_0 + k\Delta x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t(x_k, t_n) + i\xi \mathbf{p}^3(t_n) u_{xxx}(x_k, t_n) + \gamma \mathbf{p}^2(t_n) u_{xx}(x_k, t_n) + i\mathbf{I}^n u_x(x_k, t_n) - |u(x_k, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 u(x_k, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ = i\mathbf{J}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + F_k^n + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t + \Delta x^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$|F_k^n| = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t + \Delta x^2)$$

multiplying by Δx and summing for k we get the result.

6.5. Numerical experiments. Case 1: travelling soliton. We have applied our scheme to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} iv_\tau - v_{\xi\xi} &= |v^2|v, & (\xi, \tau) &\in [-40, 40] \times (0, 9] \\ v(\xi, 0) &= \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech}(\xi + 1) \\ v(\alpha(\tau), \tau) &= v(\beta(\tau), \tau) = v_\xi(\beta(\tau), \tau) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha(\tau) = 3\tau - 40$ and $\beta(\tau) = 40 + \sin(4\pi\tau)$. Figure 1 illustrates the situation of the energy at L^2 and H^1 levels, respectively. Figure 2 shows the numerical solution obtained.

Case 2: travelling soliton for a returning linear boundary condition. We will now consider the case

$$\begin{aligned} iv_\tau + v_{\xi\xi} &= |v^2|v, & (\xi, \tau) &\in (\alpha(\tau), \beta(\tau)) \times (0, T] \\ v(\xi, 0) &= 2\sqrt{2}e^{i\xi-5} \operatorname{sech}(2\xi + 5) \\ v(\alpha(\tau), \tau) &= v(\beta(\tau), \tau) = v_\xi(\beta(\tau), \tau) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha(\tau) = \frac{x_0 + 20}{1.7}|\tau - 1.7| - 20$ and $\beta(\tau) = x_f + \sin(4\pi\tau)$. This is an interesting case, as the L^2 -norm is not preserved during the whole integration time, as one would expect. Figure 2 shows our results. We can clearly see that the L^2 -norm is lost when the soliton approaches to the narrow zone, but then it goes back when the domain recovers its original length.

Table 1 illustrates how the difference in L^2 -norm evolves with respect to the initial length of the domain. We can clearly see that the difference is small when the initial length is larger, which indicates a dependence in the preservation of the L^2 -norm on the length of the domain.




FIGURE 2. Numerical solution.

x_0	x_f	$x_f - x_0$	$\Delta \ u\ _2$
-40	10	50	0.87353
-40	20	60	0.71152
-40	40	80	0.52033
-40	60	100	0.41055

TABLE 1. Difference in L^2 - norm of the numerical solution for different values of x_f for the second case.

Case 3: two soliton solution. As a final case, we will repeat the previous case but for the initial condition

$$v(\xi, 0) = 2\sqrt{2}e^{i\xi-5} \operatorname{sech}(2\xi + 5) + 2\sqrt{2}e^{i\xi+10} \operatorname{sech}(2\xi - 10)$$

Figure 3 shows the numerical solution obtained by the scheme. While we can see more detail as the domain gets narrower, the L^2 - norm gets smaller.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alves, M., Sepúlveda, M., Vera, O., *Smoothing properties for the higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with constant coefficients*. Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), no. 3-4, 948-966.
- [2] Aubin, J.P. *Un théorème de compacité*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 256(1963)5042-5044.
- [3] Ben-Artzi, M.H., Koch, H., and Saut, J.-C. *Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 330(2000)87-92.
- [4] Bisognin, E., Bisognin, V., and Vera, O. *Stabilization of solutions for the higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with localized damping*. EJDE. 6(2007)1-18.
- [5] V. Bisognin, V., and Vera, O. *On the unique continuation property for the higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with constant coefficients*. Turkish J. Math. 30(2007)265-302.
- [6] Bisognin, E., Bisognin, V., Sepúlveda, M., and Vera, O. *Coupled system of Korteweg de Vries equations type in domains with moving boundaries*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 220 (2008), 290-321.
- [7] Bisognin, V., Buriol, C., Ferreira, M.V., Sepúlveda, M., and Vera, O. *Asymptotic behaviour for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in domains with moving boundaries*. Acta Appl. Math. 125 (2013), 159-172.
- [8] Bona, J., and J.C. Saut. *Dispersive blow-up of solutions of generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*. J. Differential Equations. 103(1993)3-57.
- [9] Carvajal, X. and Linares, F. *A higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with variable coefficients*. Differential Integral Equations. 16(2003)1111-1130.
- [10] Cavalcanti, M.-M., Corrêa, W.-J., Faminskii, A.-V., Sepúlveda, M.-A., Véjar-Asem, R., *Well-posedness and asymptotic behavior of a generalized higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with localized dissipation*. Comput. Math. Appl. 96 (2021), 188-208.




FIGURE 3. Left: Energy at L^2 level. Right: Numerical solution. In both cases, $x_0 = -40$ and $x_f = 40$.

[11] Cavalcanti, M.-M., Corrêa, W.-J., Sepúlveda, M.-A., Véjar-Asem, R., *Finite difference scheme for a higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. *Calcolo* 56 (2019), no. 4, Paper No. 40, 32 pp.

[12] Cavalcanti, M.-M., Corrêa, W.-J., Sepúlveda, M.-A., Véjar-Asem, R., *Finite difference scheme for a high order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with localized damping*. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 64 (2019), no. 2, 161–172.

[13] Chen, S.-S., Tian, B. Qu, Q.-X. Li, H. Sun, Y., Du, X.-X., *Alfvén solitons and generalized Darboux transformation for a variable-coefficient derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in an inhomogeneous plasma*, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Volume 148, (2021), 111029.

[14] Coddington, E.A., and Levinson, N. *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1955).

[15] Colin, T., and Gisclon, M. *An initial-boundary-value problem that approximate the quarter-plane problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation*. *Nonlinear Anal.* 46(2001)869-892.

[16] Doronin, G. and Larkin, N. *KdV equation in domains with moving boundaries*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328(2007)503-517.

[17] Dysthe, K.B. *Note on a modification to the nonlinear Schrodinger equation for application to deep water waves*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* 369 (1979), 105–114.

[18] Friedman, A. *Partial Differential Equations*. Holt-Rinehart and Winston, New York (1969).




FIGURE 4. Numerical solution for the two-soliton case, with its respective L^2 - time evolution plot.

- [19] Gear, J.A. *Strong interactions between solitary waves belonging to different wave modes*. Stud. Appl. Math. 72(1985)95-124.
- [20] Gear, J.A., and Grimshaw, R. *Weak and strong interactions between internal solitary waves*. Stud. Appl. Math. 70(1984)235-258.
- [21] Hasegawa, A. and Kodama, Y. *Nonlinear pulse propagation in a monomode dielectric guide*. IEEE. J. Quant. Elect. 23(1987)510-524.
- [22] Hirota, R. *Direct Methods in Soliton Theory*. Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [23] Karpman, V. *Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher order dispersion: fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations*. Phys. Rev. E. Vol. 53. 2(1996)1336-1339.
- [24] Karpman, V., and Shagalov, A. *Stability of soliton described by nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion*. Physica D. 144(2000)194-210.
- [25] Kato, T. *On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equations*. Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., Stud. Appl. Math. 8 (1983)93-128.
- [26] Kodama, Y. *Optical solitons in a monomode fiber*. J. Phys. Stat. 39(1985)597-614.

- [27] Larkin, N.A. *Korteweg–de Vries and Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equations in bounded domains*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 297(2004)169-185.
- [28] C. Laurey. *Le problème de Cauchy pour une équation de Schrödinger non-linéaire de ordre 3*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 315(1992)165-168.
- [29] Lions, J.L. *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris (1969).
- [30] Pachpatte, B.G. *Inequalities for differential and Integral Equations*. Mathematics in Sciences and Engineering, Vol. 197, Academic Press (1997).
- [31] Sepúlveda, M. and Vera, O. *Numerical methods for a transport equation perturbed by dispersive terms of 3rd and 5th order*, *Sci. Ser. A: Mathematics* (New Series) 13(2006)13-21.
- [32] G. Staffilani, G. *On the generalized Korteweg–de Vries type equation*. Differential Integral Equations. Vol. 10. 4(1997)777-796.
- [33] Teman, R. *Sur un problème non linéaire*. J. Math. Pures Appl. 48(1969)159-172.
- [34] Vera Villagran, O.-P., *Stabilization for a fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation in domains with moving boundaries*. J. Partial Differ. Equ. 34 (2021), no. 3, 268-283.
- [35] Wloka, J. *Partielle Differentialgleichungen*. BSB, B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft. Leipzig. 1982.

A. APPENDIX: THE GAUGE TRANSFORMATION

We consider the Gauge transformation

$$(A.18) \quad v(\xi, \tau) = e^{id_2\xi + id_3\tau} w(\xi - d_1\tau, \tau) \equiv e^\Theta w(y, s)$$

where $\Theta = id_2\xi + id_3\tau$, $y = \xi - d_1\tau$ and $s = \tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau &= id_3e^\Theta w - d_1e^\Theta w_y + e^\Theta w_s; \quad v_\xi = id_2e^\Theta w + e^\Theta w_y; \\ v_{\xi\xi} &= -d_2^2e^\Theta w + 2id_2e^\Theta w_y + e^\Theta w_{yy}; \\ v_{\xi\xi\xi} &= -id_2^3e^\Theta w - 3d_2^2e^\Theta w_y + 3id_2e^\Theta w_{yy} + e^\Theta w_{yyy}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in (1.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -d_3e^\Theta w - id_1e^\Theta w_y + ie^\Theta w_s - \gamma d_2^2e^\Theta w + 2i\gamma d_2e^\Theta w_y + \gamma e^\Theta w_{yy} \\ + \chi d_2^3e^\Theta w_y - 3i\chi d_2^2e^\Theta w_y - 3\chi d_2e^\Theta w_{yy} + i\chi e^\Theta w_{yyy} = |z|^2 e^\Theta z. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering similar terms and performing straightforward calculation we obtain

$$d_1 = \frac{\gamma^2}{3\chi}; \quad d_2 = \frac{\gamma}{3\chi}; \quad d_3 = \frac{-2\gamma^3}{27\chi^2}.$$

Thereby in (1.1) follows that

$$w_s + \chi w_{yyy} + i|w|^2 w = 0,$$

This way using the Gauge transformation we have the equivalent problem to (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} w_s + \chi w_{yyy} + i|w|^2 w = 0, & \text{in } \tilde{Q}_s, \\ w(y, 0) = e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{3}y} v_0(y) & \text{in } \tilde{I}_0, \\ v(\tilde{\alpha}(s), s) = \varphi_1(s), \quad v(\tilde{\beta}(s), s) = \varphi_2(s), \quad v_y(\tilde{\beta}(s), s) = \varphi_3(s). \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \alpha(s) - d_1s$, $\tilde{\beta}(s) = \beta(s) - d_1s$, $\tilde{I}_s = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \tilde{\alpha}(s) < y < \tilde{\beta}(s)\}$ and $\tilde{Q}_s = \{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \in \tilde{I}_s, 0 < s < T, T > 0\}$. The above Gauge transformation is a bi-continuous map from $L^p([0, T] : H^\sigma(Q_\tau))$ to $L^p([0, T] : H^\sigma(\tilde{Q}_s))$, as long as $0 < T < +\infty$. Using the Gauge transformation we see that the $v_{\xi\xi}$ term in the equation (1.1) can be removed, Thus, using the transform moving boundary of the chapter 2, the system (2.10)-(2.13) is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \chi[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(t)]^3 u_{xxx} - i\tilde{I}(x, t)u_x = |u|^2 u + i\tilde{J}(x, t), & 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0 & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha(\tau)$ and $\beta(\tau)$ are replaced by $\tilde{\alpha}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{\beta}(\tau)$, respectively, and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(t)$, $\tilde{I}(x, t)$, $\tilde{J}(x, t)$, are defined in term of these modified moving boundary functions.