

STATIONARY STATES OF A CHEMOTAXIS CONSUMPTION SYSTEM WITH SINGULAR SENSITIVITY AND INHOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

JAEWOOK AHN AND JOHANNES LANKEIT

ABSTRACT. For given total mass $m > 0$ we show unique solvability of the stationary chemotaxis-consumption model

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u}{v} \nabla v \right) \\ 0 = \Delta v - uv \\ \int_{\Omega} u = m \end{cases}$$

under no-flux-Dirichlet boundary conditions in bounded smooth domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

When chemotaxis directs motion (eg. of bacteria) toward higher concentrations of oxygen (or other sources of nutrient), the mathematical description of this phenomenon results in chemotaxis-consumption models, in a typical form given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (uS(v)\nabla v) \\ v_t &= \Delta v - uv, \end{aligned}$$

where the density of cells is denoted by u and the concentration of oxygen by v , and where S is the chemotactic sensitivity.

Especially in the wake of experiments showing the emergence of patterns and large-scale structures in suspensions of chemotactically active bacteria of the species *B. subtilis* in drops of water [23], the mathematical analysis of such systems has flourished (see the survey [17]).

However, it turned out that if combined with no-flux boundary conditions (the usual choice in most of said studies), (1.1) does not seem to adequately account for any large-time behaviour beyond convergence to constant states. Consequently, different boundary conditions for the signal v were included, in numerical experiments already in [23]; in analytical treatments mainly starting from [3], with the main options being Robin-type conditions (see [3, 4]) or (as a limiting case, cf. eg. [4, Prop. 5.1]) Dirichlet conditions.

With these boundary conditions, results on the fully dynamic system (1.1) are mostly concerned with existence of solutions, in classical, weak or generalized sense [1, 2, 3, 5, 16, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Some convergence results like that in [21, 27] again rely on the absence of oxygen influx, only rarely is convergence to a stationary state proven, see eg. [6, 28], or [8] for a one-dimensional result.

Nevertheless, the stationary states on their own appear to be worthwhile objects of study. For classical, production-type chemotaxis systems with Neumann boundary conditions, their investigation goes back at least to the 1980's ([20]), for systems such as (1.1), results are more

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J25; 92C17; 35Q92.

Key words and phrases. chemotaxis, stationary state, inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, uniqueness, classical solvability.

recent: If $S(v) = 1$, their existence and uniqueness has been shown in [4] for Robin and [16, 18] for Dirichlet boundary conditions or [15] if additional logistic source terms are included, and local asymptotic stability results for the stationary solutions from [16] have been obtained in [19]. Boundary layers arise if the diffusion coefficient in the signal equation vanishes, see [18] in the case of Dirichlet conditions and [10] for Robin boundary conditions and under assumptions of radial symmetry.

Related aerotaxis systems with certain bounded and sign-changing functions S have been investigated in [13, 14].

In this article we study stationary states of (1.1) with the choice $S(v) = \frac{\chi}{v}$, for some constant $\chi > 0$, as chemotactic sensitivity, which accounts for the Weber-Fechner law of stimulus perception and is an important ingredient of models that are able to yield migrating bands of bacteria (see [12]).

For Robin boundary conditions and in a radially symmetric setting, an analytic solution was obtained in [11], together with a result on boundary layers, which also occur if Dirichlet conditions are imposed on both components, as shown for the radially symmetric setting in [9].

2. RESULTS

We consider the chemotaxis-consumption model

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - \chi u \nabla \log v), & v_t = \Delta v - uv, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ (\nabla u - \chi u \nabla \log v) \cdot \nu = 0, & v = v^*, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. Here, ν denotes the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$, $0 < \chi \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < v^* \in C^{2+\theta}(\partial\Omega)$ with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. We establish the existence and uniqueness of the steady state of (2.1).

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a bounded smooth domain. If $d \geq 3$ assume that $\Omega = B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$. Moreover, let $0 < \chi \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < v^* \in C^{2+\theta}(\partial\Omega)$ with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. For any positive number m , the steady state problem*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u_\infty - \chi u_\infty \nabla \log v_\infty), & 0 = \Delta v_\infty - u_\infty v_\infty, & x \in \Omega, \\ (\nabla u_\infty - \chi u_\infty \nabla \log v_\infty) \cdot \nu = 0, & v_\infty = v^*, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a positive unique solution $(u_\infty, v_\infty) \in (C^2(\overline{\Omega}))^2$ satisfying $\int_\Omega u_\infty = m$.

Remark 1. *While obvious for the case of $d \in \{1, 2\}$, it seems worth noting that also for the higher-dimensional case, radial symmetry of the solution (i.e. v^* being constant) is not assumed in Theorem 1. In fact, the condition that Ω be a ball can be weakened: It is sufficient if Ω lies inside a ball that it shares a small part of its boundary with, see (3.20) below.*

For other domains, unique solvability can be asserted for sufficiently small values of m (cf. Lemma 10).

3. STATIONARY STATES

To prove Theorem 1, we follow the idea of [16, Lem. 7.1–7.7]. First, we reduce (2.1) into a single equation, see [4, Lemma 4.1] or, alternatively, [20, Sec. 2] for a different approach.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 < v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. If $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - \chi u \nabla \log v), & x \in \Omega, \\ (\nabla u - \chi u \nabla \log v) \cdot \nu = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad u = \alpha v^\chi.$$

Conversely, if (3.2) holds for some $0 < v \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then (3.1) is satisfied. Furthermore, the signs of $\int_{\Omega} u$ and α coincide.

Proof. We show that (3.1) implies (3.2). The other direction follows from a straightforward computation. Since (3.1) is invariant under sign change of u (and the claim is trivial if $u \equiv 0$), we may assume that $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) > 0\}$ is nonempty. In Ω_+ , we have (for any $\varepsilon > 0$, because $\nabla \log \varepsilon = 0$)

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u \left| \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} \right|^2 &= u \left(\nabla \log u - \frac{\nabla v^\chi}{v^\chi} \right) \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} = \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} - \frac{u}{v^\chi} \nabla v^\chi \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} \\ &= \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{\varepsilon v^\chi} - \chi v^\chi \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \frac{u}{v^\chi} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) we may conclude that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \chi \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \varphi \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Letting $\psi_\varepsilon := \max\{1, \frac{u}{\varepsilon v^\chi}\}$, we have that $\log \psi_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and from (3.3), (3.4) we thus obtain from integrating over $\Omega_\varepsilon := \{u \geq \varepsilon v^\chi\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u \left| \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} \right|^2 &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{\varepsilon v^\chi} - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi v^\chi \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \frac{u}{v^\chi} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \psi_\varepsilon - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi v^\chi \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \frac{u}{v^\chi} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi u \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \log \psi_\varepsilon - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi v^\chi \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \frac{u}{v^\chi} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi u \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \log \frac{u}{v^\chi} - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi v^\chi \nabla \log v \cdot \nabla \frac{u}{v^\chi} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\frac{u}{v^\chi}$ is constant on (each connected component of) Ω_ε and thus on Ω_+ (after taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$) and hence $u = \alpha v^\chi$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ – throughout Ω , for reasons of continuity. \square

The following two lemmas are concerned with existence of solutions of the single equation to which (2.2) has been reduced by Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Let $\alpha_* \geq 0$ and $0 < v^* \in C^{2+\theta}(\partial\Omega)$ with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. For any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_*]$, $0 \leq v \in \mathcal{C}^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$, the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \Delta w - \alpha w v^\chi, & x \in \Omega, \\ w = v^*, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution $w \in C^{2+\tilde{\theta}}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\tilde{\theta} = \theta \cdot \min\{1, \chi\} \in (0, \theta]$, and w always satisfies $0 < w \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v^$. Moreover, there exists $C_1 = C_1(\alpha_*) > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_*]$ and every $v \in \mathcal{C}^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq v \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v^*$,*

$$(3.5) \quad \|w\|_{\mathcal{C}^\theta(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1.$$

Proof. According to [7, Thm 6.14], the Dirichlet problem has a unique solution $w \in C^{2+\tilde{\theta}}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\tilde{\theta} \in (0, \theta]$. Then, the maximum principle yields $0 < w \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v^*$. The Hölder bound (3.5) results from the elliptic regularity theory (eg. [7, Thm 8.33]) and the boundedness of $\alpha w v^\chi$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$. The Dirichlet problem*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = \Delta v - \alpha v^{\chi+1}, & x \in \Omega, \\ v = v^*, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution $v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, and v always satisfies $0 < v \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v^$ and*

$$\|v\|_{C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1,$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is the number from (3.5).

Proof. As in [16, Lem. 7.3], the existence result can be deduced if we use Schauder's fixed point theorem with Lemma 2 in $X = \{f \in C^\theta(\overline{\Omega}) \mid 0 \leq f \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v^*, \|f\|_{C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1\}$. The estimates result from Lemma 2. \square

Over the course of the next lemmas, we will treat the dependence of the solution v on the parameter α . A first observation in this regard consists in the following monotonicity statement.

Lemma 4. *Let v_{α_1} and v_{α_2} be two solutions of (3.6) with $\alpha = \alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \geq 0$, respectively. If $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, then $v_{\alpha_1} \leq v_{\alpha_2}$.*

Proof. Let $D := \{x \in \Omega \mid v_{\alpha_1} > v_{\alpha_2}\}$ and $\delta v := v_{\alpha_1} - v_{\alpha_2}$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \delta v = \alpha_1 v_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1} - \alpha_2 v_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1} \geq \alpha_2 (v_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1} - v_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1}) \geq 0, & x \in D, \\ \delta v = 0, & x \in \partial D. \end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle, $\delta v \leq 0$ in D and thus, $D = \emptyset$. Therefore, $v_{\alpha_1} \leq v_{\alpha_2}$ in Ω . \square

Lemma 5. *Let $f \in C^2([a, b])$. Then $g: [a, b]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$g(u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{u - v}, & u \neq v, \\ f'(u), & u = v, \end{cases}$$

is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. The domain $[a, b]^2$ is convex, g is differentiable, and its derivatives are bounded, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(u, u) = f''(u); \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(u, v) = \frac{f'(u)(u - v) - (f(u) - f(v))}{(u - v)^2} \quad (u \neq v),$$

where boundedness is easily obtained from (Taylor's theorem and) boundedness of f'' . \square

Lemma 6. *Let $\alpha_* \in (0, \infty)$, let $v^* \in C^{2+\theta}(\partial\Omega)$, $v^* > 0$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_*]$, the solution v_α of (3.6) satisfies*

$$\max v^* \geq v_\alpha(x) \geq C \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}$$

Proof. For any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_*]$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have

$$\max v^* \geq v_\alpha(x) \geq v_{\alpha_*}(x) \geq \min_{\overline{\Omega}} v_{\alpha_*} > 0$$

and we set $C = \min_{\overline{\Omega}} v_{\alpha_*}$. \square

Lemma 7. Let $\alpha_* \in (0, \infty)$. There is $C > 0$ such that the following holds: Whenever $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, \alpha_*]$ and v_{α_1} and v_{α_2} are the corresponding solutions of (3.6), the function $z: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$z(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{v_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1}(x) - v_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1}(x)}{v_{\alpha_2}(x) - v_{\alpha_1}(x)}, & v_{\alpha_1}(x) \neq v_{\alpha_2}(x), \\ (\chi + 1)v_{\alpha_1}^\chi(x), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\|z\|_{C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C$.

Proof. With $C > 0$ from Lemma 6, we apply Lemma 5 to $f: [C, \max v^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(s) = s^{\chi+1}$ and use (3.5) and the fact that the concatenation of a Lipschitz continuous function with Hölder continuous functions remains Hölder continuous. \square

Lemma 8. Let $\alpha_1 > 0$. The function $v'_{\alpha_1} = \lim_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{v_{\alpha_2} - v_{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ exists, belongs to $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, and satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta v'_{\alpha_1} = v_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1} + \alpha_1(\chi + 1)v_{\alpha_1}^\chi v'_{\alpha_1}, & x \in \Omega, \\ v'_{\alpha_1} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $\tilde{v} = \frac{v_{\alpha_2} - v_{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{v} = v_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1} + \alpha_1 \frac{v_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1} - v_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1}}{v_{\alpha_2} - v_{\alpha_1}} \tilde{v}, & x \in \Omega, \\ \tilde{v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We fix $\alpha_* > 0$. From Lemma 7 and elliptic Schauder estimates ([7, Thm. 6.6]) we find $C > 0$ such that $\|\tilde{v}\|_{C^{2+\theta}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C$ for any such function \tilde{v} with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, \alpha_*]$. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and uniqueness of the solutions of (3.7), we find that $\lim_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{v_{\alpha_2} - v_{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ exists in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and solves (3.7). \square

Lemma 9. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then, $v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha \geq 0$ in Ω and $v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha \not\equiv 0$.

Proof. Lemma 4 shows the nonpositivity of $\alpha\chi v'_\alpha$. Since

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta(v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha) = \alpha(\chi + 1)v_\alpha^\chi(v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha), & x \in \Omega, \\ (v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha) = v^*, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

the maximum principle yields $(v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha) \geq 0$. Moreover, $(v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha) \not\equiv 0$ due to $v^* > 0$. \square

At this point we can conclude that α and the total mass (of u) directly correspond to each other:

Lemma 10. There is $m_\infty \in (0, \infty]$ such that the map $m: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, m_\infty)$ defined by

$$(3.9) \quad m(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha v_\alpha^\chi$$

is bijective.

Proof. Due to

$$m'(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} (v_\alpha^\chi + \alpha\chi v_\alpha^{\chi-1} v'_\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha^{\chi-1} (v_\alpha + \alpha\chi v'_\alpha)$$

and Lemma 9, we have $m'(\alpha) > 0$. Thus, m is injective.

Next, we prove the surjectivity of m . Note from $0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \alpha v_{\alpha}^{\chi} \leq \alpha (\max_{\partial\Omega} v^*)^{\chi} |\Omega|$ that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} m(\alpha) = 0.$$

If we set $m_{\infty} := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} m(\alpha) \in (0, \infty]$, which exists due to the monotonicity of m , surjectivity follows from continuity. \square

In order to obtain solvability not only for small bacterial mass, it would be desirable to have that $m_{\infty} = \infty$.

Note that the reasoning at this point has to become more involved compared to stationary solutions of the chemotaxis–consumption system (1.1) with nonsingular sensitivity $S(v) = \text{const}$, where mass can be computed according to $\tilde{m}(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha e^{v_{\alpha}}$ and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(\alpha) = \infty$ is immediate (cf. [4, Lemma 3.15]).

To this end, for any $\alpha > 0$ we introduce \underline{v}_{α} as solution of

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \underline{v}_{\alpha} = \alpha \underline{v}_{\alpha}^{\chi+1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \underline{v}_{\alpha} = \underline{v}^* := \inf_{\partial\Omega} v^* & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We moreover abbreviate

$$(3.11) \quad z_{\alpha} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \underline{v}_{\alpha},$$

so that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta z_{\alpha} = z_{\alpha}^{\chi+1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z_{\alpha} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \underline{v}^* & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

and let

$$(3.13) \quad \underline{m}(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha \underline{v}_{\alpha}^{\chi} = \int_{\Omega} z_{\alpha}^{\chi}.$$

Lemma 11. *For any $\alpha > 0$, there is a unique $\underline{v}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega})$ solving (3.10). It satisfies $\underline{v}_{\alpha} \leq v_{\alpha}$ in Ω . Moreover, if $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1 \underline{v}_{\alpha_1}^{\chi} \leq \alpha_2 \underline{v}_{\alpha_2}^{\chi}$ in Ω , so that \underline{m} is increasing.*

Proof. Lemma 3 shows the existence and uniqueness of $\underline{v}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega})$. The comparison principle yields $\underline{v}_{\alpha} \leq v_{\alpha}$ in Ω .

Let \underline{v}_{α_1} and \underline{v}_{α_2} be two solutions with $\alpha = \alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $\alpha = \alpha_2 \geq 0$, respectively. We show

$$(3.14) \quad \alpha_1 \underline{v}_{\alpha_1}^{\chi} \leq \alpha_2 \underline{v}_{\alpha_2}^{\chi} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2.$$

We take z_{α} from (3.11) and let $D := \{x \in \Omega \mid z_{\alpha_1} > z_{\alpha_2}\}$ and $\delta z := z_{\alpha_1} - z_{\alpha_2}$. Then, by (3.12),

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \delta z = z_{\alpha_1}^{\chi+1} - z_{\alpha_2}^{\chi+1} \geq 0, & x \in D, \\ \delta z = (\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{\chi}} - \alpha_2^{\frac{1}{\chi}}) \underline{v}^* \leq 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases}$$

and thus, as in the proof of Lemma 4 we have $z_{\alpha_1} \leq z_{\alpha_2}$ in Ω . Namely, $\alpha_1 \underline{v}_{\alpha_1}^{\chi} \leq \alpha_2 \underline{v}_{\alpha_2}^{\chi}$. \square

Lemma 12. *If $d \in \{1, 2\}$, then*

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \underline{m}(\alpha) = \infty.$$

Proof. From Lemma 11, we note that $\underline{m}'(\alpha) = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \int_{\Omega} z_{\alpha}^{\chi} \geq 0$ and hence $M := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \underline{m}(\alpha) \in (0, \infty]$ exists. Suppose (3.15) does not hold, i.e., $M = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \underline{m}(\alpha) < \infty$. Let $\alpha \geq \alpha_1 = 1$. By the maximum principle and Lemma 11, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $C_1 \leq z_{\alpha_1}(x) \leq z_{\alpha}(x) \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \underline{v}^*$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and all $\alpha \geq 1$. The last of these inequalities shows that $\partial_{\nu} z_{\alpha} \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$; accordingly, for every $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \log z_{\alpha}|^2 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{z_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \log z_{\alpha}|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \Delta \log z_{\alpha} + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \log z_{\alpha}|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta z_{\alpha}}{z_{\alpha}} = \int_{\Omega} z_{\alpha}^{\chi} = \underline{m}(\alpha) \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, due to the fact that $\log y \leq \frac{1}{\chi} y^{\chi}$ for all $y \geq 0$,

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} \log \frac{z_{\alpha}}{C_1} \leq \frac{1}{\chi C_1^{\chi}} \int_{\Omega} z_{\alpha}^{\chi} \leq \frac{M}{\chi C_1^{\chi}}.$$

Note also from the Moser-Trudinger inequality that there exist positive constants C_2, C_3 satisfying

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{|\varphi|} \leq C_2 \exp\{C_3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + |\varphi|)\} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Setting $p = 2\chi + 2$, from (3.18), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain that for every $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_1^p} \int_{\Omega} z_{\alpha}^p &= \int_{\Omega} e^{\log((z_{\alpha}/C_1)^p)} \leq C_2 \exp\{C_3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \log((z_{\alpha}/C_1)^p)|^2 + |\log((z_{\alpha}/C_1)^p)|)\} \\ &= C_2 \exp\{C_3 p^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \log z_{\alpha}|^2 + C_3 p \int_{\Omega} \log(z_{\alpha}/C_1)\} \\ &\leq C_2 \exp\{C_3 p^2 M + C_3 p \frac{M}{\chi C_1^{\chi}}\}, \end{aligned}$$

in particular, there is $C_4 > 0$ such that $\|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_4$ for all $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$. From the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality, we obtain $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$\|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 \left(\|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^{2\chi+2}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|D^2 z_{\alpha}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + \|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^{2\chi+2}(\Omega)} \right), \quad \theta = \frac{(4-d)(2\chi+2)}{(4-d)(2\chi+2) + 2d}$$

for every $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$. Using (3.12) and the elliptic regularity result [7, Lem. 9.17], we also note that with some $C_6 > 0$,

$$\|D^2 z_{\alpha}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|z_{\alpha} - \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \underline{v}^*\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C_6 \|z_{\alpha}^{\chi+1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = C_6 \|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\chi+1}$$

holds for every $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$. It follows that

$$\alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \underline{v}^* = \|z_{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 (C_4^{\theta} (C_6 C_4)^{1-\theta} + C_4)$$

for every $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, which for large α constitutes a contradiction, thus proving (3.15). \square

Due to its reliance on the Trudinger-Moser inequality, the proof presented for Lemma 12 is inherently (at most) two-dimensional. In higher-dimensional domains, we resort to explicit subsolutions. In order to compute these, let us consider radially symmetric functions on $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 13. *Let $d \geq 2$, $R > 0$, $\alpha > 0$. The function*

$$\underline{z}_\alpha(x) = \gamma|x|^\beta, \quad x \in \overline{B_R(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\beta = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(\underline{v}^*)^{\frac{\chi}{2}}R$ and $\gamma = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}}\underline{v}^*R^{-\beta}$ satisfies

$$(3.19) \quad -\Delta \underline{z}_\alpha \leq -\underline{z}_\alpha^{\chi+1} \quad \text{in } B_R(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{z}_\alpha \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}}\underline{v}_\alpha \quad \text{in } \overline{B_R(0)}.$$

Proof. Since, \underline{z}_α is radially symmetric, for $|x| = r \in (0, R)$ we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \underline{z}_\alpha - \underline{z}_\alpha^{\chi+1} &= r^{1-d}(r^{d-1}(z_\alpha)_r)_r - (z_\alpha)^{\chi+1} \\ &= r^{1-d}(r^{d-1}(\gamma r^\beta)_r)_r - (\gamma r^\beta)^{\chi+1} \\ &= \gamma\beta r^{1-d}(r^{d+\beta-2})_r - (\gamma r^\beta)^{\chi+1} \\ &= \gamma\beta(d+\beta-2)r^{\beta-2} - (\gamma r^\beta)^{\chi+1} \\ &= \gamma r^\beta(\beta(d+\beta-2)r^{-2} - \gamma^\chi r^{\beta\chi}) \\ &\geq \gamma r^\beta(\beta^2 r^{-2} - \gamma^\chi r^{\beta\chi}) \\ &\geq \gamma r^\beta(\beta^2 R^{-2} - \gamma^\chi R^{\beta\chi}) \\ &= \gamma r^\beta(\alpha(\underline{v}^*)^\chi R^2 R^{-2} - \alpha(\underline{v}^*)^\chi R^{-\beta\chi} R^{\beta\chi}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, $\underline{z}_\alpha(x) = \gamma|x|^\beta \leq \gamma R^\beta = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}}\underline{v}^*$. □

Lemma 14. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\Omega \subseteq B_R(0)$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, $z_\alpha \geq \underline{z}_\alpha$ in Ω .*

Proof. By (3.12) and (3.19), $\underline{z}_\alpha \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{\chi}}\underline{v}^* = z_\alpha$ on $\partial\Omega \subset \overline{B_R(0)}$, and in Ω we have $-\Delta \underline{z}_\alpha \leq -\underline{z}_\alpha^{\chi+1}$, whereas $-\Delta z_\alpha \geq -z_\alpha^{\chi+1}$. □

For $R > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ we let

$$S_R(\delta, \omega_0) := \{\rho\omega \mid \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, |\omega - \omega_0| < \delta, \rho \in (R - \delta, R)\}$$

denote a sector around $R\omega$ of a spherical shell centered at 0.

Lemma 15. *Let $d \geq 2$, $R > 0$ and assume that*

$$(3.20) \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0 \text{ and } \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \text{ we have } S = S_R(\delta, \omega) \subseteq \Omega \subseteq B_R(0).$$

Then $\underline{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 14, it is sufficient to show that $\int_S \underline{z}_\alpha^\chi \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Denoting by σ_d the $(d-1)$ -dimensional surface area of $\overline{S_1(\delta, \omega)} \cap \partial B_1(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \underline{z}_\alpha^\chi &\geq \sigma_d \int_{R-\delta}^R \gamma^\chi r^{\chi\beta} r^{d-1} dr = \frac{\sigma_d \gamma^\chi}{\chi\beta + d} (R^{\chi\beta+d} - (R-\delta)^{\chi\beta+d}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_d \alpha(\underline{v}^*)^\chi R^{-\chi\beta}}{\chi \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(\underline{v}^*)^{\frac{\chi}{2}} R + d} R^{\chi\beta+d} (1 - (1 - \frac{\delta}{R})^{\chi\beta+d}) \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. □

Lemma 16. *If $d \in \{1, 2\}$ or Ω satisfies (3.20), then $m_\infty = \infty$.*

Proof. From Lemma 11, we know that $m(\alpha) \geq \underline{m}(\alpha)$ and Lemma 12 and 15 show that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \underline{m}(\alpha) = \infty$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. The pair of functions $(u, v) \in (C^2(\overline{\Omega}))^2$ satisfies $\int_{\Omega} u = m$ and (2.2) if and only if $u = \alpha v^{\chi}$, $m(\alpha) = m$ and v solves (3.6) (cf. Lemma 1 and (3.9)). Unique solvability of (3.6) by Lemma 3 and bijectivity of m from Lemma 10 and Lemma 16 thus prove Theorem 1. \square

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

JA acknowledges support of the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea (Grant No. RS-2024-00336346).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Black and M. Winkler. Global weak solutions and absorbing sets in a chemotaxis–Navier-Stokes system with prescribed signal concentration on the boundary. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 32(1):137–173, 2022.
- [2] T. Black and C. Wu. Prescribed signal concentration on the boundary: eventual smoothness in a chemotaxis–Navier-Stokes system with logistic proliferation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 61(3):Paper No. 96, 56, 2022.
- [3] M. Braukhoff. Global (weak) solution of the chemotaxis–Navier-Stokes equations with non-homogeneous boundary conditions and logistic growth. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 34(4):1013–1039, 2017.
- [4] M. Braukhoff and J. Lankeit. Stationary solutions to a chemotaxis–consumption model with realistic boundary conditions for the oxygen. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 29(11):2033–2062, 2019.
- [5] M. Braukhoff and B. Q. Tang. Global solutions for chemotaxis–Navier-Stokes system with Robin boundary conditions. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(12):10630–10669, 2020.
- [6] M. Fuest, J. Lankeit, and M. Mizukami. Long-term behaviour in a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis–consumption model. *J. Differential Equations*, 271:254–279, 2021.
- [7] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [8] G. Hong and Z.-A. Wang. Asymptotic stability of exogenous chemotaxis systems with physical boundary conditions. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 79(4):717–743, 2021.
- [9] Q. Hou. Global well-posedness and boundary layer effects of radially symmetric solutions for the singular Keller–Segel model. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 24(3):Paper No. 58, 24, 2022.
- [10] Q. Hou. Boundary layer problem on the chemotaxis model with Robin boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 44(2):378–424, 2024.
- [11] Q. Hou, T.-C. Lin, and Z.-A. Wang. On a singularly perturbed semi-linear problem with Robin boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 26(1):401–414, 2021.
- [12] E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel. Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 30(2):235–248, 1971.
- [13] P. Knosalla. Steady-state solutions of the aerotaxis problem. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 46(1):71–88, 2023.
- [14] P. Knosalla and T. Nadzieja. Stationary solutions of aerotaxis equations. *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)*, 42(2-3):125–135, 2015.
- [15] P. Knosalla and M. Wróbel. On the steady state problem of the chemotaxis–consumption model with logistic growth and Dirichlet boundary condition for signal. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 28(10):5361–5379, 2023.
- [16] J. Lankeit and M. Winkler. Radial solutions to a chemotaxis–consumption model involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary. *Nonlinearity*, 35(1):719–749, 2022.
- [17] J. Lankeit and M. Winkler. Depleting the signal: analysis of chemotaxis–consumption models—a survey. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 151(4):1197–1229, 2023.
- [18] C.-C. Lee, Z.-A. Wang, and W. Yang. Boundary-layer profile of a singularly perturbed nonlocal semi-linear problem arising in chemotaxis. *Nonlinearity*, 33(10):5111–5141, 2020.
- [19] X. Li and J. Li. Stability of stationary solutions to a multidimensional parabolic–parabolic chemotaxis–consumption model. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 33(14):2879–2904, 2023.
- [20] R. Schaaf. Stationary solutions of chemotaxis systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 292(2):531–556, 1985.
- [21] Y. Tian and Z. Xiang. Global solutions to a 3D chemotaxis–Stokes system with nonlinear cell diffusion and Robin signal boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(3):2012–2056, 2020.

- [22] Y. Tian and Z. Xiang. Global boundedness to a 3D chemotaxis-Stokes system with porous medium cell diffusion and general sensitivity under Dirichlet signal boundary condition. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 25(3):Paper No. 67, 27, 2023.
- [23] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. W. Wolgemuth, J. O. Kessler, and R. E. Goldstein. Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 102(7):2277–2282, 2005.
- [24] Y. Wang, M. Winkler, and Z. Xiang. Local energy estimates and global solvability in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-fluid system with prescribed signal on the boundary. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 46(6):1058–1091, 2021.
- [25] Y. Wang, M. Winkler, and Z. Xiang. Global mass-preserving solutions to a chemotaxis-fluid model involving Dirichlet boundary conditions for the signal. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 20(1):141–170, 2022.
- [26] Y. L. Wang, M. Winkler, and Z. Y. Xiang. A smallness condition ensuring boundedness in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system involving Dirichlet boundary conditions for the signal. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 38(6):985–1001, 2022.
- [27] C. Wu and Z. Xiang. Asymptotic dynamics on a chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system with nonlinear diffusion and inhomogeneous boundary conditions. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 30(7):1325–1374, 2020.
- [28] S.-O. Yang and J. Ahn. Long time asymptotics of small mass solutions for a chemotaxis-consumption system involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 79:104129, 2024.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, 04620, REPUBLIC OF KOREA, ORCID:
0000-0003-3425-9830

Email address: jaewookahn@dgu.ac.kr

LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167
HANNOVER, GERMANY, ORCID: 0000-0002-2563-7759

Email address: lankeit@ifam.uni-hannover.de