

MARTINGALES WITH INDEPENDENT INCREMENTS

FREDDY DELBAEN

ABSTRACT. We show that a discrete time martingale with respect to a filtration with atomless innovations is the (infinite) sum of martingales with independent increments.

1. L^2 -MARTINGALES

We use standard probabilistic notation, $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space equipped with a discrete time filtration. For convenience we suppose that \mathcal{F}_0 is the trivial sigma algebra. All norms – except when otherwise stated are meant to be the L^2 norm. The norm on a \mathbb{R}^m space is the Euclidean norm and is denoted by $|\cdot|$. The scalar product between elements $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is denoted by $x \cdot y$. We suppose that for each k the innovation is sufficiently large to allow independent random variables that have a continuous distribution. More precisely we suppose that for each $k \geq 1$, there is a $[0, 1]$ uniformly distributed random variable U_k that is independent of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} . It is shown in [1] that this is equivalent to the property: for each k , \mathcal{F}_k is atomless conditional to \mathcal{F}_{k-1} . By $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}, X_0 = 0$ we denote an \mathbb{R}^m -valued L^2 martingale. In other words $X_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = X_{k-1}$. The aim of this short note is to prove

Theorem 1.1. *There is a sequence of martingales $Z^n = (Z_k^n)_{k \geq 1}$ such that for each n we have $Z_0^n = 0$ and such that $X_k = \sum_n Z_k^n$ where*

- (1) *Each $Z_k^n - Z_{k-1}^n$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} .*
- (2) *For each $k \geq 1$ the sum $\sum_n Z_k^n$ converges in L^2 .*
- (3) $\|X_k\|^2 = \sum_n \|Z_k^n\|^2$.

The martingales Z^n have independent increments, for each n the differences $(Z_k^n - Z_{k-1}^n)$ form an independent system.

The basis of the proof is the following result we showed in [2].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60G35; Secondary: 68T99, 93E11, 94A99.

Key words and phrases. martingales.

I thank Chitro Majumdar from R-square RiskLab, Dubai for valuable discussions and comments.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space and that \mathcal{A} is a sub sigma-algebra of \mathcal{F} . Suppose that \mathcal{F} is atomless conditionally to \mathcal{A} . Let $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}[\xi \mid \mathcal{A}] = 0$ and put $\xi_1 = \xi$, inductively $\eta_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is independent of \mathcal{A} and is the best L^2 approximation of ξ_n , i.e.*

$$\|\xi_n - \eta_n\| = \inf\{\|\xi_n - \zeta\| \mid \zeta \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\xi_{n+1} = \xi_n - \eta_n.$$

- (1) $\eta_n = \mathbb{E}[\xi_n \mid \eta_n]$.
- (2) For each n : $\|\xi_1\|^2 = \|\xi_{n+1}\|^2 + \|\eta_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\eta_n\|^2$
hence $\|\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n\| \leq \|\xi\| + \|\xi_{n+1}\| \leq 2\|\xi\|$
- (3) $\|\eta_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2^n} \|\xi_n\|_1$ (we need the L^1 -norm).
- (4) $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 , consequently $\xi_1 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \eta_n$ in L^2 and $\|\xi\|^2 = \sum_k \|\eta_k\|^2$.
- (5) For each n : $\xi_n = \sum_{k \geq n} \eta_k$ and $\|\xi_n\|^2 = \sum_{k \geq n} \|\eta_k\|^2$.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof. As shown in [2] for each k there is a sequence Y_k^n such that Y_k^n is independent of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} , is \mathcal{F}_k measurable, $\mathbb{E}[Y_k^n \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0$ and $X_k - X_{k-1} = \sum_n Y_k^n$. The sum converges in L^2 and $\|X_k - X_{k-1}\|^2 = \sum_n \|Y_k^n\|^2$. We put

$$Z_k^n = \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} Y_s^n.$$

It is easily seen that each Z^n defines an L^2 martingale. For each k we have $X_k = \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} (X_s - X_{s-1}) = \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} (\sum_n Y_s^n)$. The sums can be permuted and hence we get

$$X_k = \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} (X_s - X_{s-1}) = \sum_n \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} Y_s^n = \sum_n Z_k^n.$$

For the L^2 norms we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_k\|^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} \|(X_s - X_{s-1})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} \sum_n \|Y_s^n\|^2 = \sum_n \sum_{s=1}^{s=k} \|Y_s^n\|^2 = \sum_n \|Z_k^n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That for each n , the random variables Y_k^n form an independent system follows for instance from a calculation with characteristic functions. To

see this let us fix k and take $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We will calculate

$$\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sum_{s \leq k} u_s \cdot Y_s^n \right) \right]$$

by using successive conditional expectations. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sum_{s \leq k} u_s \cdot Y_s^n \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sum_{s \leq k} u_s \cdot Y_s^n \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sum_{s \leq k-1} u_s \cdot Y_s^n \right) \right] \mathbb{E} [\exp (u_k \cdot Y_k^n)] \\ &\quad \text{since } Y_k^n \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\exp (u_1 \cdot Y_1^n)] \dots \mathbb{E} [\exp (u_k \cdot Y_k^n)], \end{aligned}$$

proving independence. \square

2. CLOSED L^2 -MARTINGALES

In this section we analyse the results of the previous section for closed martingales. We use the same hypothesis on the filtration \mathcal{F} and we suppose that the \mathbb{R}^m valued martingale $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is bounded, i.e. $\sup_k \|X_k\| < \infty$. In that case there is a random variable X_∞ such that $X_k \rightarrow X_\infty$ in L^2 and almost surely. Of course $X_k = \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$. For simplicity and to avoid trivialities we again suppose that $X_0 = \mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0$ and \mathcal{F}_0 is the trivial sigma algebra. The random variables Y_k^n, Z_k^n have the same meaning as in the previous section.

First we observe that the martingales Z^n are all bounded. This is immediate since

$$\|Z_k^n\|^2 = \sum_{s \leq k} \|Y_s^n\|^2 \leq \sum_{s \leq k} \|X_s - X_{s-1}\|^2 = \|X_k\|^2.$$

Each martingale Z_k^n therefore converges in L^2 to a final value Z_∞^n .

Theorem 2.1. *With the notation introduced above*

$$X_\infty = \sum_n Z_\infty^n,$$

in L^2 and $\|X_\infty\|^2 = \sum_n \|Z_\infty^n\|^2$.

Proof. We start by proving the equality $\|X_\infty\|^2 = \sum_n \|Z_\infty^n\|^2$.

$$\|X_\infty\|^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \|X_k - X_{k-1}\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_n \|Y_k^n\|^2 \\
&= \sum_n \sum_{k \geq 1} \|Y_k^n\|^2 \\
&= \sum_k \|Z_\infty^n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove convergence we proceed in the usual way. We take $\varepsilon > 0$. From the convergence $X_k \rightarrow X_\infty$ in L^2 , we deduce that there is k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$:

$$\|X_\infty - X_k\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$$

Hence also for all $k \geq k_0$ and all N :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Z_\infty^1 + \dots + Z_\infty^N - (Z_k^1 + \dots + Z_k^N)\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s > k} \|Y_s^1 + \dots + Y_s^N\|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{s > k} \|X_s - X_{s-1}\|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \|X_\infty - X_k\|^2 \leq 4\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose N_0 such that

$$\|X_{k_0} - (Z_{k_0}^1 + \dots + Z_{k_0}^N)\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. The usual splitting then gives for all $N \geq N_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|X_\infty - (Z_\infty^1 + \dots + Z_\infty^N)\| \\
&\leq \|X_\infty - X_{k_0}\| + \|X_{k_0} - (Z_{k_0}^1 + \dots + Z_{k_0}^N)\| \\
&\quad + \|(Z_{k_0}^1 + \dots + Z_{k_0}^N) - (Z_\infty^1 + \dots + Z_\infty^N)\| \\
&\leq 4\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Delbaen: Conditionally Atomless Extensions of Sigma Algebras, *arxiv 2003.09254, (2020)*
- [2] F. Delbaen and C. Majumdar: Convergence Results for Approximation with independent Variables, *short version <http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.19780>; final version submitted to *Frontiers in Mathematical Finance**

DEPARTEMENT FÜR MATHEMATIK, ETH ZÜRICH, RÄMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

Email address: delbaen@math.ethz.ch