

Inducing Riesz and Orthonormal Bases in L^2 via Composition Operators

Yahya Saleh^{*1,2} and Armin Iske¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146, Hamburg, Germany

²Center for Free-Electron Laser Science CFEL, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

We investigate perturbations of orthonormal bases of L^2 via a composition operator C_h induced by a mapping h . We provide a comprehensive characterization of the mapping h required for the perturbed sequence to form an orthonormal or Riesz basis. Restricting our analysis to differentiable mappings, we reveal that all Riesz bases of the given form are induced by bi-Lipschitz mappings. In addition, we discuss implications of these results for approximation theory, highlighting the potential of using bijective neural networks to construct complete sequences with favorable approximation properties.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open Lebesgue-measurable set and $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of the $L^2(\Omega)$ space of real-valued functions. Suppose that $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a measurable mapping that induces a composition operator $C_h : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ defined by $C_h f := f \circ h$ for any f in $L^2(\Omega)$. In this work, we investigate completeness properties of perturbed sequences of the form

$$(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty. \quad (1.1)$$

A composition operator C_h that maps $L^2(\Omega)$ into itself is necessarily bounded. Consequently, a sequence of the form (1.1) is precisely an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, or a Riesz basis of $L^2(\Omega)$ if the composition operator C_h is unitary or bijective, respectively. A variety of works derived necessary and sufficient conditions for C_h to satisfy these various criteria, see, for example, [Sin76; SK78]. However, due to the generality of the measure spaces considered in these works, the provided conditions were given in terms of conditions on the operator C_h and the σ -subalgebra induced by h . Moreover, such results were not applied to the study of sequences of the form (1.1).

We build upon several studies of composition operators on $L^2(\Omega)$ to characterize direct conditions on h under which the sequence (1.1) forms an orthonormal or a Riesz basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. Specifically, we show that the sequence $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis if and only if h is measure-preserving, see Theorem 3.4. Similarly, we provide the precise conditions under which the perturbed sequence is a Riesz basis and derive its dual, see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3.

Motivated by the observation that h must be measure-preserving for (1.1) to form an orthonormal basis, we further study perturbations of orthonormal bases via a weighted composition operator. Similarly, we derive here conditions for the induced sequence to form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, see Theorem 3.5.

Given the potential importance of such induced sequences in optimization problems, we restrict our analysis to the case where h is a differentiable mapping. Our results demonstrate that all Riesz bases of the form (1.1) are induced precisely by bi-Lipschitz mappings, see Theorem 4.1.

*Corresponding Author: yahya.saleh@uni-hamburg.de

Finally, we provide an approximation-theory perspective on the applicability of our results. In particular, we argue that given a certain problem, a complete sequence of the form (1.1) with favorable approximation properties can be constructed by choosing a proper inducing mapping h . The fact that Riesz bases induced *via* composition operators are characterized by bi-Lipschitz mappings suggests the use of various bijective neural networks to this end. We provide a numerical example to illustrate this idea. Further, we link our results to recent studies, where bijective neural networks were used to enhance the expressivity of orthonormal bases for solving differential equations.

Organization. In Section 2 we collect some important results on the well-posedness of composition operators and introduce the definitions of different complete sequences in $L^2(\Omega)$. In Section 3 we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the induced sequence to satisfy various completeness criteria. In Section 4 we restrict our analysis to the case where h is differentiable and show that Riesz bases of the form (1.1) are induced precisely by bi-Lipschitz mappings. In Section 5 we provide an approximation-theory perspective on the applicability of our results.

Notation

In what follows we consider the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, d is a positive integer, \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -algebra generated by Ω , and μ is the Lebesgue measure. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the inner product of L^2 . We omit the notational dependence of all function spaces on the domain Ω for simplicity. For any operator $A : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ we denote by $\|A\|_{\text{op}}$ its operator norm.

Given a measurable mapping $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ we denote by $h_{\#}\mu$ the push-forward measure induced by h , i. e., the measure given by

$$h_{\#}\mu(B) = \mu(h^{-1}(B)), \quad \text{for any } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

If this measure is absolutely continuous with respect to μ we write $h_{\#}\mu \ll \mu$. In such a case we call h non-singular and denote by g_h the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $h_{\#}\mu$ with respect to μ . We call the mapping h measure-preserving if $h_{\#}\mu(B) = \mu(B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

We say that the measurable mapping h is surjective if there exists a measurable mapping $\zeta : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $h \circ \zeta = \text{id}_{\Omega}$ almost everywhere, where id_{Ω} denotes the identity map on Ω . We say that h is injective if there exists a measurable mapping $\zeta : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\zeta \circ h = \text{id}_{\Omega}$ almost everywhere. We say that h is bijective if it is both surjective and injective.

2 Preliminaries

Any measurable mapping h that induces an absolutely continuous measure $h_{\#}\mu$ induces a linear composition operator C_h from L^2 into the space of all measurable functions on Ω . However, for the completeness of the induced sequence (1.1) in L^2 , it is necessary that the composition operator maps L^2 into itself. The following result provides necessary and sufficient conditions on h to this end.

Theorem 2.1. *A measurable mapping $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ induces a composition operator on L^2 into itself if and only if $h_{\#}\mu \ll \mu$ and g_h is essentially bounded. In this case,*

$$\|C_h\|_{\text{op}} = \|g_h\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. See [SM93, corollary 2.1.2]. □

The main question of this work is whether the induced sequence $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^\infty := (C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is complete in L^2 , i.e., whether there exists for any f in L^2 a sequence of coefficients $(c_n(f))_{n=0}^\infty$, $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(f) \phi_n.$$

We discuss in our work two notions of completeness. First, that of orthonormal bases. Here, the coefficients are unique and given by the inner product of f with the basis functions. Second, that of Riesz bases.

Here, the coefficients are also unique, but they are given by the inner product of f with another Riesz basis $(\tilde{\phi}_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ that is bi-orthogonal to the original Riesz basis, i. e.,

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}_n, \phi_m \rangle = \delta_{nm} \quad \text{for any } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

An important result for our analysis is the fact that these complete sequences can be characterized by operators acting on an orthonormal basis [Chr16]. In particular, let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . Then

- The Riesz bases of L^2 are precisely the sequences $(U\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$, where $U : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is a bounded bijective operator.
- The orthonormal bases of L^2 are precisely the sequences $(U\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$, where $U : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is a unitary operator.

3 Perturbation of Bases *via* Composition and Weighted Composition Operators

We start by deriving necessary and sufficient conditions on h such that the induced sequence (1.1) forms either a Riesz or an orthonormal basis of L^2 .

3.1 Induced Bases *via* Composition Operators

We start by citing a very important result for our analysis, which only holds for standard Borel spaces, i. e., measurable spaces that can be written as Polish spaces with their Borel σ -algebra.

Lemma 3.1. *Consider the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mu)$ and let C_h be a composition operator from L^2 into itself. If C_h is invertible, its inverse is a composition operator from L^2 into itself.*

Proof. Observe that $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mu)$ is a standard Borel space. Therefore, the result follows from [SM93, Theorem 2.1.13] and [SM93, Corollary 2.2.3]. \square

We now characterize conditions on h for the induced sequence (1.1) to form a Riesz basis.

Theorem 3.1 (Induced Riesz Basis). *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . Let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a measurable mapping that induces a composition operator from L^2 into itself. The sequence $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Riesz basis of L^2 if and only if h is injective and there exists $r > 0$ such that $r \leq g_h$ almost everywhere.*

Proof. Let $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Riesz basis of L^2 . This implies that C_h is bijective. Denote its inverse by C_h^{-1} . Under our measurable space of interest, C_h^{-1} is a composition operator from L^2 into itself, see Lemma 3.1. It follows that there exists a mapping ζ such that $C_h^{-1} = C_\zeta$. Since $C_h C_\zeta f = f$ for any f in L^2 , it follows that $\zeta \circ h = \text{id}_\Omega$ almost everywhere. Therefore, h is injective. Similarly, one can see that $h \circ \zeta = \text{id}_\Omega$, i. e., h is surjective as well. Hence, h is bijective with inverse $h^{-1} = \zeta$. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ denote by χ_B the characteristic function and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) &= \int_\Omega \chi_B \, d\mu \\ &= \int_\Omega \chi_B \circ h \circ h^{-1} \, d\mu \\ &= \int_\Omega \chi_B \circ h \, g_{h^{-1}} \, d\mu \\ &\leq \|g_{h^{-1}}\|_{L^\infty} \, h_\# \mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

Set $r = 1/\|g_{h^{-1}}\|_{L^\infty}$. It straightforwardly follows that $r \leq g_h$ almost everywhere.

Now suppose that h is injective and $g_h \geq r$ almost everywhere for some $r > 0$. Since h is injective, it follows that C_h has a dense range in L^2 [SK78, Corollary 2.4]. Together with the lower bound on g_h , this implies that C_h is surjective, see [SK78, Theorem 2.3]. To see that C_h is one-to-one, we observe that for any f in L^2 and any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\#}\mu(B) &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_B g_h d\mu \\ &\geq \mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that $\mu \ll h_{\#}\mu$. This immediately implies that C_h is one-to-one. Since C_h is also bounded we conclude that $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis. \square

In comparison to other characterizations of the invertibility of a composition operator C_h [SM93], our result provides a characterization in terms of direct conditions on the mapping h . This is, indeed, only possible because we restrict our analysis to a standard Borel space.

Remark 3.1. *Combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 demonstrates that all Riesz bases of the form $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are induced by mappings h that satisfy the following.*

- (i) h is a non-singular injective mapping.
- (ii) there exist $r, R > 0$ such that $r \leq g_h \leq R$ almost everywhere.

We turn now to deriving the expansion coefficients of a Riesz basis of the form $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. To this end we state the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a non-singular injective measurable mapping such that $g_h \geq r$ almost everywhere for some $r > 0$. Then h is bijective.*

Proof. The hypothesis on h implies that C_h is injective, see proof of Theorem 3.1. This, in turn, implies that h is surjective, see [Sin76, Theorem 1]. \square

The previous result shows that any Riesz basis of the form $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is induced by a bijective mapping h . This allows us to derive the dual of a Riesz basis of the given form.

Given a measurable function k , we define the multiplication operator M_k as $M_k f(x) := k(x)f(x)$ for any function f in L^2 and any x in Ω .

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 and let h be a measurable mapping such that $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis. Then $(M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the bi-orthogonal dual of $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$.*

Proof. To prove that the sequence $(M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis it suffices to show that the operator $M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h$ is a bounded bijection. Since C_h is well-posed from L^2 into itself, it is a bounded operator. Moreover, $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ being a Riesz basis implies that C_h is a bijection with inverse $C_{h^{-1}}$. This, in turn, implies that h^{-1} is non-singular and $g_{h^{-1}}$ is bounded almost everywhere, see Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 3.1 it holds that $g_{h^{-1}}$ is bounded away from zero almost everywhere. By combining these results we deduce that $M_{g_{h^{-1}}}$ is a bounded bijection. Therefore, $M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h$ is a bounded bijection.

To see that $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are bi-orthogonal observe that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_n \circ h) (\gamma_m \circ h) g_{h^{-1}} d\mu &= \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_n \circ h) (\gamma_m \circ h) dh_{\#}^{-1}\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_n \circ h \circ h^{-1}) (\gamma_m \circ h \circ h^{-1}) d\mu \\ &= \delta_{nm}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to show that the pair $(C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are dual. In other words, it remains to show that for any f in L^2 we have

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f, M_{g_{h^{-1}}}C_h\gamma_n \rangle C_h\gamma_n.$$

Note that $C_{h^{-1}}f \in L^2$ for any $f \in L^2$. Since $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of L^2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{h^{-1}}f &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{h^{-1}}f, \gamma_n \rangle \gamma_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} f \circ h^{-1} \gamma_n \, d\mu \right) \gamma_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} f \gamma_n \circ h \, g_{h^{-1}} \, d\mu \right) \gamma_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f, M_{g_{h^{-1}}} C_h \gamma_n \rangle \gamma_n. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by applying C_h to both sides. \square

We now turn to derive necessary and sufficient conditions on h for the induced sequence (1.1) to form an orthonormal basis.

Theorem 3.4 (Induced Orthonormal Bases). *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . Let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a measurable mapping that induces a composition operator C_h from L^2 into itself. The sequence $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of L^2 if and only if h is measure-preserving.*

Proof. Let $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . It follows that C_h is a unitary operator. Hence, for any $f, p \in L^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, p \rangle &= \langle C_h f, C_h p \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f \, p \, g_h \, d\mu \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $g_h = 1$ almost everywhere and hence h is measure-preserving.

Now let h be measure-preserving. It follows that $g_h = 1$ almost everywhere and hence h preserves the L^2 inner product. Using [SK78, Theorem 2.3] we conclude that C_h is surjective. \square

Theorem 3.4 demonstrates the impossibility of generating non-trivial orthonormal bases *via* composition operators. Essentially, the problem here is the difficulty of conserving the orthonormality of the underlying basis. To see this, note, e. g., that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} (C_h \gamma_m)^2 \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \gamma_m^2 \, g_h \, d\mu.$$

Requiring that this equals 1 indeed poses a severe restriction on h , namely that $g_h = 1$ almost everywhere. In Section 3.2 we suggest remedying this by perturbation *via* a weighted composition operator.

For the completeness of our results, we conclude this section by the following remark on the possibility of generating frames of the form (1.1).

Remark 3.2 (Flow-Induced Frames). *Another important type of complete sequences in L^2 is that of frames. In contrast to orthonormal and Riesz bases, expansion coefficients of frames are not necessarily unique. One might wonder what the precise conditions on h are for the induced sequence (1.1) to form a frame. It can be demonstrated that frames of the form $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ are induced precisely by non-singular mappings h that are injective and such that g_h is bounded away from zero almost everywhere on its support. Deriving a canonical dual frame for such a frame is, however, not as straightforward as in the case of Riesz bases of the form (1.1), since h is not necessarily bijective.*

3.2 Induced Orthonormal Bases *via* a Weighted Composition Operator

We saw in the previous section that requiring the induced sequence (1.1) to form an orthonormal basis imposes the very restrictive condition that $g_h = 1$ almost everywhere. Therefore, to generate non-trivial orthonormal bases we consider perturbations *via* a weighted composition operator.

Let h be a bijective mapping that induces a composition operator C_h from L^2 into itself. Further, assume that its inverse h^{-1} is non-singular and $\|g_{h^{-1}}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Under this assumption on h^{-1} , $g_{h^{-1}}$ induces a multiplication operator $M_{g_{h^{-1}}}$ from L^2 into itself defined by $M_{g_{h^{-1}}}f(x) := g_{h^{-1}}(x)f(x)$ for any f in L^2 and any x in Ω . Under this setting, we consider the weighted composition operator W_h defined by

$$W_h f := (f \circ h) \cdot g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2} = M_{g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2}} C_h f \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that this setting is very similar to the one of Theorem 3.1, except that we now require h to be bijective. This is necessary to ensure that the weighted composition operator is well-defined. Further, note that W_h maps L^2 into itself.

Given an orthonormal basis $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ we study the completeness of perturbed sequences of the form

$$(W_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty. \quad (3.2)$$

To the best of our knowledge, the completeness of (3.2) was only studied in [Sal+23a] under very smooth assumptions on h . Moreover, only sufficient conditions were derived. In the following we provide a more general analysis.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 , and h be a bijective measurable mapping that induces the weighted composition operator (3.1). Then $(W_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of L^2 if and only if $g_h \geq r$ almost everywhere for some $r > 0$.*

Proof. Let $g_h \geq r > 0$ almost everywhere for some $r > 0$. It follows that C_h is invertible with inverse $C_{h^{-1}}$, see the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the operator $\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ defined by

$$\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}} f := C_{h^{-1}} M_{g_{h^{-1}}^{-1/2}} f = (f \cdot g_{h^{-1}}^{-1/2}) \circ h^{-1}.$$

Observe that this operator is well-defined from L^2 into itself. It clearly holds that

$$\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}} W_h f = f \quad \text{for all } f \text{ in } L^2.$$

Hence, W_h is bijective with inverse $\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}}$. Observe also that for any $f, p \in L^2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (W_h f) \cdot p \, d\mu &= \int_{\Omega} (f \circ h) (p \circ h^{-1} \circ h) g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2} \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f \circ h) (p \circ h^{-1} \circ h) g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2} \frac{g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2}}{g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2}} \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f \circ h) (p \circ h^{-1} \circ h) \frac{1}{g_{h^{-1}}^{1/2}} \, dh_{\#}^{-1} \mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f ((p \cdot g_{h^{-1}}^{-1/2}) \circ h^{-1}) \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f (\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}} p) \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{W}_{h^{-1}}$ is the adjoint of W_h .

Now let $(W_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \|W_h\|_{\text{op}}^2 \\ &= \sup_{f \in L^2, \|f\|_{L^2}=1} \int_{\Omega} (f \circ h)^2 g_{h^{-1}} d\mu \\ &= \sup_{f \in L^2, \|f\|_{L^2}=1} \int_{\Omega} f^2 g_{h^{-1}} g_h d\mu \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $g_{h^{-1}} g_h = 1$ almost everywhere. Since we required that $g_{h^{-1}} \in L^\infty$ in the definition (3.1), we can set $r = 1/\|g_{h^{-1}}\|_{L^\infty}$ and observe that $g_h \geq r$ almost everywhere. This concludes the proof. \square

4 Induced Bases *via* Differentiable Mappings

Complete sequences of the form (1.1) are of interest in optimization problems, particularly those involving differential operators. To facilitate the use of such sequences in applications, we state in this section the necessary and sufficient conditions for completeness in L^2 when h is a differentiable mapping.

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 it immediately follows that $h_{\#}\mu$ is locally finite. Hence, assuming that h is everywhere differentiable, it follows by Lebesgue differentiation theorem [Sch17] that

$$g_h = 1/|\det J_h| \quad \text{almost everywhere,}$$

where J_h denotes the Jacobian of h . Using the inverse function theorem, we have

$$1/|\det J_h| = |\det J_{h^{-1}}| \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

In this case, the weighted composition operator W_h , defined in (3.1), can be written as

$$W_h f = f \circ h (\det J_h)^{1/2}.$$

Based on the gained regularity we can now state the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of L^2 . Let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be an everywhere differentiable mapping that induces a composition operator from L^2 into the space of all measurable mappings. Then $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Riesz basis of L^2 and $(W_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of L^2 if and only if $r \leq \det J_h \leq R$ for some $r, R > 0$.*

Proof. Note that when h is differentiable, the lower and upper bound on $\det J_h$ imply that h is bijective. Therefore, the result follows immediately from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.5 and the application of the inverse function theorem. \square

Observe that in the case where $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Riesz basis, its dual is given by

$$(M_{\det J_h} C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty = (\gamma_n \circ h \det J_h)_{n=0}^\infty.$$

Also observe that $r \leq \det J_h \leq R$ is equivalent to the condition that h is a $(\sqrt[d]{r}, \sqrt[d]{R})$ -bi-Lipschitz mapping, i.e.,

$$\sqrt[d]{r} \|x - y\|_2 \leq \|h(x) - h(y)\|_2 \leq \sqrt[d]{R} \|x - y\|_2 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Omega,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Remark 4.1. *Often, continuous differentiability is assumed to use the inverse function theorem. However, differentiability everywhere is enough, see [Ray02] or "The inverse function theorem for everywhere differentiable mappings" by Terence Tao.*

In summary, we showed that when the inducing mapping h is differentiable, then Riesz basis of the form (1.1) and orthonormal bases of the form (3.2) are characterized by bi-Lipschitz mappings.

5 An Approximation-Theory Perspective

The use of complete sequences for approximation problems is ubiquitous in applied and engineering sciences. Here, an unknown function f is approximated in the linear span of a truncated complete sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The fact that the sequence is complete ensures that the approximation error converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. However, in practice, the accuracy of the approximation and the convergence rate highly depend on the choice of the complete sequence [GO77]. This dependence renders methods to choose an optimal complete sequence for a given problem highly desirable.

We argue here that one can construct a problem-specific complete sequence by optimizing a perturbation to an orthonormal basis *via* a well-posed composition operator. The following example suggests that a perturbation induced by a function as simple as a shifting mapping can lead to a significant improvement in the approximation of a target function.

Example 5.1 (Approximating a Shifted Gaussian). *Let $d = 1$ and set $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to be the sequence of Hermite functions. These functions are given by $\gamma_n(x) = a_n h_n(x) \exp(-x^2/2)$, where h_n is the n -th Hermite polynomial and a_n is a normalization constant. Let f be a normalized Gaussian function centered around a point a , i. e.,*

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}} \exp(-(x-a)^2/2),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider approximating f in the linear span of $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hermite functions form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, approximating f in the linear span of Hermite functions is well-posed and the approximation error in L^2 converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. However, the approximation error in L^2 becomes small for $N \gg \frac{e}{2}a^2$ [Lub08], where e is Euler's number. In other words, to have a good approximation one requires a number of functions that depends nonlinearly on the center of the Gaussian a . Consider now the modified basis $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ where $h(x) = x - a$. Note that $f = \gamma_0 \circ h$, i. e., one needs only one function of the sequence $(C_h \gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to reproduce the target function exactly.

Perturbing orthonormal bases *via* composition with linear mappings is a common practice in computational mathematics [GO77]. Such mappings are often chosen based on insight or formal analytical reasoning [CSX23]. Perturbations *via* non-linear mappings are, however, more scarce in the literature, since it is harder to identify effective nonlinear mappings based on insight. However, given a class \mathfrak{H} of adequate mappings, one can use numerical optimization techniques to choose an optimal mapping $h^* \in \mathfrak{H}$ for a given problem, i. e., a mapping that minimizes a certain objective. In general, one can end up with a mapping h^* that leads to a sequence $(C_{h^*} \gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ that is not complete. Our results demonstrate, that this can be avoided by setting \mathfrak{H} to be a class of bi-Lipschitz mappings.

While there are several ways to construct classes \mathfrak{H} of bi-Lipschitz mappings, one popular approach is to use neural networks. Indeed, there exists many bi-Lipschitz neural-network architectures [KPB20], such as, e. g., invertible residual neural networks [Beh+19]. Here, the network h can be written as a composition of a sequence of M blocks, i. e., $h = h_1 \circ h_2 \circ \dots \circ h_M$, where each block h_i is given by

$$h_i(x) = x + \text{NN}(x),$$

and NN is a standard multi-layer perceptron. Requiring NN to be Lipschitz with a Lipschitz constant $L < 1$ ensures that h_i is a $((1-L) - (1+L))$ -bi-Lipschitz. This, in turn, implies that h is a bi-Lipschitz. Such networks are often used to generate complex probability measures from simple ones and are called *normalizing flows*. Our results suggest that the same flows can be used to generate problem-specific complete sequences. The following numerical example illustrates the idea.

Example 5.2 (Numerical Evidence for Improved Approximation using Nonlinear Perturbations). *Consider the univariate function f given by*

$$f(x) = \sin(2|x|) \exp(-x^2/2), \tag{5.1}$$

and plotted in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Plot of the function (5.1).

Clearly, the function f belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and hence it can be approximated in the linear span of Hermite functions $(\gamma_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the convergence is not rapid due to the non-differentiability of f at $x = 0$. Consider now approximating f in the linear span of $(\gamma_n \circ h)_{n=0}^{N-1}$ where h is a bi-Lipschitz mapping that we modeled using a linear mapping composed with an invertible residual neural network of one block, i. e., h is given by

$$h(x) = \alpha(x + NN_\theta(x)) + \beta,$$

where NN_θ denotes a multi-layer perceptron of one layer composed of 8 hidden units that use nonlinear activation functions. Its parameters θ along with the linear parameters $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ were optimized to minimize the L^2 -error in approximating f in the linear span of $(\gamma_n \circ h)_{n=0}^9$. Figure 2 shows the perturbing function h .




Figure 2: Plot of a bi-Lipschitz mapping $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(x) = \alpha(x + NN_\theta(x)) + \beta$. The function h is used in Example 5.2 to perturb Hermite functions.

Following our theoretical analysis, $(\gamma_n \circ h)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a basis of L^2 and its dual is given by $(\gamma_n \circ h(h'))_{n=0}^{N-1}$. Using these results, we computed the expansion coefficients for multiple values of N and compared the error of the resulting approximation with the approximation using Hermite functions. Figure 3 shows the convergence behavior of the approximation error in L^2 as a function of the number of basis functions N

for both the Hermite basis and the perturbed basis. The results show that more accurate approximations can be obtained with our choice of the perturbing function h .




Figure 3: Convergence of the L^2 -error in approximating the function (5.1) in the linear span of Hermite functions and the perturbed basis.

Figure 4 shows how Hermite basis functions transform under the composition operator C_h . Clearly, the perturbed basis functions exhibit sharper behavior around the origin, at which the function f is non-differentiable.




Figure 4: Hermite functions (solid lines) and perturbed Hermite functions (dashed lines) are plotted. Perturbation is done by composition with the mapping h introduced in Example 5.2.

In summary, we showed in the previous examples that the approximation properties of Hermite functions can be improved by composing them with a proper linear or nonlinear bi-Lipschitz function. This result is, indeed, not restricted to Hermite functions and holds for other bases. Further, we demonstrated that such bi-Lipschitz mappings can be constructed *via* normalizing flows, i. e., invertible neural networks. While the examples are rather simple, we note that a few recent works have already made important first steps

into more complex applications. Specifically, [CGP19] and [Sal+23b] utilized bi-Lipschitz normalizing flows to optimize non-linear perturbations to orthonormal bases of L^2 for solving differential equations. [Sal+23b] reported several orders-of-magnitude increased accuracy upon optimizing a perturbation of the underlying basis. Our results serve as a theoretical framework for such applications and open up new avenues for the design and numerical analysis of problem-specific complete sequences.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Eleonora Ficola and Stephan Wojtowytsch for useful discussions and constructive feedback.

References

- [Sin76] R. K. Singh, “Invertible composition operators on $L^2(\lambda)$ ”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **56**, 127–129.
- [SK78] R. Singh and A. Kumar, “Characterizations of invertible, unitary, and normal composition operators”, *B. Aust. Math. Soc.* **19**, 81–95.
- [SM93] R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *Composition operators on function spaces*, Elsevier, 1993.
- [Chr16] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Springer, 2016.
- [Sal+23a] Y. Saleh, A. Iske, A. Yachmenev, and J. Küpper, “Augmenting basis sets by normalizing flows”, *Proc. Appl. Math. Mech.* **23**, e202200239, arXiv: 2212.01383 [math].
- [Sch17] R. L. Schilling, *Measures, integrals and martingales*, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [Ray02] J. S. Raymond, “Local inversion for differentiable functions and the Darboux property”, *Mathematika* **49**, 141–158.
- [GO77] D. Gottlieb and S. A. Orszag, *Numerical analysis of spectral methods: theory and applications*, SIAM, 1977.
- [Lub08] C. Lubich, *From quantum to classical molecular dynamics: reduced models and numerical analysis*, European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [CSX23] T. Chou, S. Shao, and M. Xia, “Adaptive Hermite spectral methods in unbounded domains”, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **183**, 201–220.
- [KPB20] I. Kobyzev, S. J. Prince, and M. A. Brubaker, “Normalizing flows: An introduction and review of current methods”, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **43**, 3964–3979.
- [Beh+19] J. Behrman, W. Grathwohl, R. T. Q. Chen, D. Duvenaud, and J.-H. Jacobsen, “Invertible Residual Networks”, *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning*, ed. by K. Chaudhuri and R. Salakhutdinov, vol. 97, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, PMLR, 2019, 573–582.
- [CGP19] K. Cranmer, S. Golkar, and D. Pappadopulo, “Inferring the quantum density matrix with machine learning”, arXiv: 1904.05903 [physics].
- [Sal+23b] Y. Saleh, Á. F. Corral, A. Iske, J. Küpper, and A. Yachmenev, “Computing excited states of molecules using normalizing flows”, arXiv: 2308.16468 [physics].