

# Ultra-Short Pulse Looped Antennas

H. Grebel

Electronic Imaging Center and the department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, NJIT, Newark, NJ, 07102. [grebel@njit.edu](mailto:grebel@njit.edu)

**Abstract:** Modern optical systems send and receive ultra-short temporal pulses (USP). While ultra-broad band antennas do exist in the microwave region (e.g., log-periodic antennas, or, concentric loop antennas), their short temporal response is typically limited by the antenna's large dispersion, hence, resulting in a substantial pulse broadening. Here we show that loop antennas may exhibit USP attributes – below 400 ps (or, an equivalent coherent band pass exceeding 2.5 GHz) with only three loops, or, a single, thick loop.

## I. Introduction

Ultra-short optical pulses (USP) are well-known for many applications; from laser ablation, communication, light detection and ranging (LIDAR), and medical applications [1-2]. The RF/microwave versions is typically limited by large antenna dispersions. In the past, we showed that broad-side dipole array and two, side-by side diamond-shape antennas array may be constructed as to produce ultra-short pulses - as narrow as 100 ps (or, coherently, a broad bandwidth, larger than 10 GHz) [2-4]. With ultra-wide bandwidth (UWB) applications in mind [5], concentric loop antennas have been shown to possess a large bandwidth of ca 1 GHz [6-10]. Related applications with concentric antenna arrays (not to be confused with the shape of the antenna itself) have been proposed, as well [11-12]. Missing is a direct evidence that such construction is not only wide-band but also capable of transmitting and receiving ultra-short pulses, say, narrower than 1 ns.

We concentrate on planar loop antennas which amenable to conformations. The loop's width and the gaps between loops translate to the coupling between loops and affect the ability of the antenna to transmit and receive short pulses. We concentrate here on a single and 3-elements antenna that are connected to a common transmission line and show that the system can transmit pulses of 260 ps and receive pulses shorter than 400 ps.

## II. Simulations

We compare mainly three structures: the well-known single thin loop, concentric 3-loop and a solid, thick loop antennas. A single-loop antenna (Fig. 1a, 2a) exhibits a relatively narrow-band radiation, of less than 200 MHz in the vicinity of  $f_0=1.2$  GHz (Fig. 3a),  $f_0$  being the center frequency. A concentric 3-loop antenna exhibits a much broader band ( $\sim 1.25$  GHz in the range between 1.25 to 2.5 GHz; Figs. 1b, 2b, 3b). A single exciter is placed horizontally at the loops' bottom (1 V onto an equivalent impedance of 50 Ohms). The simulations were conducted with Comsol and the transmitter/receiver pairs are shown in Fig. 2. The resistance of the ports varies as a function of frequency: between 40 to 60 Ohms. The standing wave ratio (SWR) of the concentric loop antenna is shown in Fig. 2c.




Figure 1. Simulations: (a) A single loop antenna. (b) 3-loop antenna. The central loop has the same dimensions as the loop in (a).




Figure 2. Simulations: a pair of single (a) and 3-loop antennas (b) – the transmitter is on the right and the receiver is on the left.




Figure 2. Simulations: (a) S-parameters for a single loop antenna. (b) S-parameters for 3-loops, equally spaced antenna






Figure 3. Simulations: standing wave ratio (SWR) for 3-loop, equally spaced antenna. The horizontal line is placed at SWR=2 and alludes to a bandwidth of more than 1 GHz.

As mentioned earlier, having a broad-band antenna does not guarantee formation of USP at the receiver end. The temporal response to a transient (a step function) for the two structures is shown in Fig 4a,b. The single-loop antenna cannot sustain a sharp input transient into the transmitter. This is also exhibited by the receiver response. On the other hand, the concentric 3-loop antenna exhibits both good input response by the transmitter and a reasonably good output response by the receiver. The input current in port 1 (the transmitter) is generated by a 1 V bias over  $50 \Omega$  input impedance of the transmitter and the output current in port 2 (the receiver) is measured at the end of the  $50 \Omega$  transmission line of the receiver.

In Fig. 4 we notice a ca 1 ns delay in the receiver's response due to time-of-flight and "ringing" at 5.5 ns; the latter is the result of back-and-forth propagation. That "ringing" is absent from a single loop and also is absent from the experimental results, probably, because the reflection from the receiver is not as substantial.



(a)



(b)




Figure 4. Simulations: (a) a single thick loop antenna – input current (at the transmitter) and output current (at the receiver). (b) 3-loop antenna – input current (at the transmitter) and output current (at the receiver). The signal input (port 1 - the transmitter) has substantially narrowed. The output signal (port 2 - the receiver) has also narrowed but not as substantially as the transmitter. (c) A single, thick loop antenna and its temporal response (d).

As with other USP antennas, and unlike a broad-band frequency antennas, the gap between loops does not have a large impact on its temporal behavior. The small dip at  $f=1.7$  GHz in the S-parameters of Fig. 2b shifts a bit with no effect on the SWR. In fact, a single loop (Fig. 4c-d) whose width is composed of the sum of all loops' widths (including the gaps) has a similar or better time response as the concentric 3-loop antenna.

### III. Experiments

A pair of 3-loop antennas have been fabricated by a lift-off process resulting in Fig. 5. The antenna pair were placed, facing each other at a distance of ca 25 cm. A 1 MHz to 8 GHz VNA (Copper-Mountain Technologies) was used.




Figure 5. Experiments: the antenna on FR4 dielectric and its SMA connector. The cut in the FR4 is the result of fabricating two antennas on the same substrate.

### III.a Frequency-domain results:

The S-parameters for a 3-loop antennas are presented in Fig. 6a. The experimental standing wave ratio (SWR) is shown in Fig. 6b (see simulations in Fig. 3 for comparison). Both results corroborate the simulations.




Figure 6. Experiments: (a) S-parameters in dB and (b) Standing wave ratio (SWR) of  $S_{11}$ .

### III.b Time-domain results:

Time domain results are presented in Fig. 7. Shown are the linear magnitude of the S-parameters. There is a relative delay between pulses of ca 1 ns due the distance between antennas. The linear magnitude of  $S_{11}$  exhibits a full width at half maximum amplitude (FWHM) of 260 ps for the total signal that includes the entire pulse. The linear magnitude of  $S_{12}$  exhibits a FWHM of 380 ps. If the concentric loop is made solid (Fig. 4), there is little pulse variations from the three concentric loop case.  $SWR < 2$  of the solid loop exhibits frequency range between 1.25-3 GHz (Fig. 6b).




Figure 7. Experiments: linear magnitude of the S-parameters as a function of time (one way). The full width of the signal at half maximum amplitude (FWHM) of the  $S_{11}$  was 260 ps (accounting for the entire pulse) whereas the FWHM of the  $S_{12}$  was 380 ps. A 1 ns propagation delay between the recorded pulses is also noted. (b) Solid thick loop (Fig. 4): FWHM of the  $S_{12}$  was 380 ps, as well.

The broadening is related to a non-planar wave that propagates between the two close-proximity antennas in addition to the effect of the transmission line(s) (TL). Nevertheless, even with this pulse broadening, the coherent bandwidth of the entire transmitter/receiver system is ca 2.6 GHz.

Could we do better in terms of TL broadening? One may form an inward-tilted, or outward-tilted TL as shown in Fig. 8 in order to affect the excitation phase. Typically, the VNA is expressed in voltages and so are these curves.




Figure 8. Simulations: (a,c) Slanted feeding transmission lines and their voltage response (b,d). Note the reflection effect at 6 ns.

Could we extend the concept to a larger number of loops? Presently, the design is limited by the relative excitation phase between loops. Slanted TL may help a little bit as shown in Fig. 8c. In Fig. 9, simulation for a slanted solid loop, whose radius extends from 1.8 to 4.8 cm show a bit improvement due to the extended bandwidth. The deficiency may be corrected by a common feeding point and dividers that produce the same excitation phase for each loop [3,4].




Figure 9. Simulations: Slanted feeding transmission line to solid loop and its voltage response.

#### IV. Conclusions

Loop antennas that exhibited a coherent bandwidth of 2.6 GHz, resulting in a pulse widths of <400 ps have been simulated and experimentally characterized.

#### References

1. Norihiko Nishizawa, Ultrashort pulse fiber lasers and their applications, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 53, 090101 (2014). <http://dx.doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.53.090101>
2. *Ultrashort Pulse Laser Technology: Laser Sources and Applications*, edited by Stefan Nolte, Frank Schrepel, Friedrich Dausinger, Springer, 2016
3. Nan Ni, Haim Grebel, Independently center-fed dipole array, Microwave Opt Technol Lett, 45: 545–548, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mop.20874>
4. Nan Ni and H. Grebel. Two-Element Independently Center-Fed Dipole Array, for Ultrawide band and Ultrashort Pulse Applications, IEEE ANTENNAS AND WIRELESS PROPAGATION LETTERS, 5, 127-129, (2006)
5. H. Grebel and Nan Ni, Independently center fed dipole array, Patent number: 7365699, April 29, 2008.
6. Hans Gregory Schuntz, INTRODUCTION TO ULTRA-WIDEBAND ANTENNAS, [file:///E:/dipole%20antennas/Introduction to ultra-wideband antennas.pdf](file:///E:/dipole%20antennas/Introduction%20to%20ultra-wideband%20antennas.pdf)
7. Hans Gregory Schantz, SINGLE ELEMENT ANTENNA APPARATUS, US Patent 6,437,756 B, Aug 20, 2002.
8. RongLin Li, Gerald DeJean, Joy Laskar, and Manos M. Tentzeris, Investigation of Circularly Polarized Loop Antennas With a Parasitic Element for Bandwidth Enhancement, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ANTENNAS AND PROPAGATION, 53(12), 3930-3939 (2005). DOI: 10.1109/TAP.2005.859917
9. Durbadal Mandal, Aniruddha Chandra<sup>1</sup>, Sakti Prasad Ghoshal, Anup Kumar Bhattacharj, Side Lobe Reduction of a Concentric Circular Antenna Array using Genetic Algorithm, Serbian Journal of Electrical Engineering, 2010. DOI: 10.2298/SJEE1002141M

10. Sameir M. Ali Hamed and Ashraf A. Osman, Design Formulas for Broadband Concentric Circular-Loops Antennas, ADVANCED ELECTROMAGNETICS, VOL. 4(1), 45-51 (2015)
11. Md. Farhamdur Reza, Md. Selim Hossain, Robust Concentric Circular Antenna Array with Variable Loading Technique in the Presence of Look Direction Disparity, Progress In Electromagnetics Research M, Vol. 57, 35-43, 2017. doi:10.2528/PIERM17030809
12. Jang Wook Moon, Hongbing Cheng, Kee-Bong Song, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ANTENNA PLACEMENT FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, US 2022/0247091 A1 Aug. 4, 2022