

GEOMETRICAL FINITENESS FOR AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS VIA CONE CONJECTURE

KOHEI KIKUTA

ABSTRACT. This paper aims to establish the geometrical finiteness for the natural isometric actions of (birational) automorphism groups on the hyperbolic spaces for K3 surfaces, Enriques surfaces, Coble surfaces, and irreducible symplectic varieties.

As an application, it can be seen that such groups are non-positively curved: CAT(0) and relatively hyperbolic. In the case of K3 surfaces, we additionally provide a dynamical characterization of the relative hyperbolicity, and the first counterexample to Mukai's conjecture concerning the virtual cohomological dimension of automorphism groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Geometrical finiteness.** Geometrical finiteness is one of the central notions in the study of Kleinian groups or more generally, discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups of real rank one. This term describes associated quotient orbifolds such that all the interesting geometry goes on in some compact subset. Many people have contributed to the development of this idea, and among them, Bowditch provided several equivalent definitions of geometrical finiteness [Bow93].

1.2. **Main results.** The study of automorphism groups of algebraic varieties is a classical subject in algebraic geometry. For certain algebraic varieties X , the torsion-free part of the Néron–Severi group admits a hyperbolic lattice structure, and the representation of the automorphism group has a finite kernel, which establishes a connection to hyperbolic geometry. We denote the associated isometric action on the hyperbolic space by

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1}),$$

where ρ_X is the Picard rank of X .

The main result of this paper is the geometrical finiteness for automorphism groups of such varieties further satisfying the Morrison–Kawamata cone conjecture: the existence of a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action on the effective nef cone. We also have a similar result for birational automorphism groups. The precise statement is as follows.

Theorem A. *Let G_X be one of the following groups:*

- (1) *the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of a K3 surface X over a field of characteristic not 2.*
- (2) *the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of a non-supersingular K3 surface X over a field of characteristic 2.*
- (3) *the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of an Enriques surface X over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2.*
- (4) *the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of a Coble surface X over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.*
- (5) *the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of an irreducible symplectic variety X over a field of characteristic 0.*
- (6) *the birational automorphism group $\text{Bir}(X)$ of an irreducible symplectic variety X over a field of characteristic 0.*

Then the representation $G_X \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1})$ is geometrically finite.

Geometric group theory studies infinite groups with isometric actions on non-positively curved spaces, such as $\text{CAT}(0)$ or hyperbolic spaces mentioned in this paper. As a corollary, the following can be derived from classical results for geometrically finite Kleinian groups:

Corollary B (Corollary 4.1 and 4.2). *Let G_X be a group as in Theorem A.*

- (1) *G_X is $\text{CAT}(0)$.*
- (2) *G_X is either virtually abelian or non-elementary relatively hyperbolic.*

As further applications, in the case of K3 surfaces, we obtain a dynamical characterization of the relative hyperbolicity using entropy (Corollary 4.5), and the first counterexample to Mukai's conjecture concerning the relation between the virtual cohomological dimension of automorphism groups and the Mordell–Weil rank of elliptic fibrations (Example 4.13).

1.3. Organization of the paper. Section 2 briefly provides the basics of geometrically finite Kleinian groups from hyperbolic geometry and various cones appeared in algebraic geometry. In Section 3, we prove the main result (Theorem A). However, prior to this, we prove a general statement (Theorem 3.1) in terms of hyperbolic geometry, which is the technical part of this paper. Several applications of Theorem A are explained in the final section.

1.4. Related works.

- (i) Taiki Takatsu independently proves the geometrical finiteness for automorphism groups of K3 surfaces over the complex number field \mathbb{C} in a different way [Tak23]. As an application, he proves that the virtual cohomological dimension of automorphism groups is determined by the covering dimension of the

blown-up boundaries of their ample cones. He also provides an affirmative example of Mukai’s conjecture using sphere packings.

- (ii) Kurnosov–Yasinsky announced a result on the CAT(0) property for automorphism groups and birational automorphism groups of irreducible symplectic varieties over \mathbb{C} in a conference proceeding [KY23]. They adopted a similar approach as in [Rat06, Section 12.4]. By the above corollary, Theorem A is a broader generalization of their results.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Koji Fujiwara, Tomohiro Fukaya, Shin-ichi Oguni, and Taiki Takatsu for valuable discussions and helpful comments. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K13780.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Geometrically finite representations. We briefly recall the basics of hyperbolic geometry and Kleinian groups, and provide the definition of the geometrical finiteness. For further details, readers are referred to [Rat06].

A *lattice* is a finitely generated free abelian group endowed with an integral non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Let Λ be a lattice of signature $(1, n)$. Fix one of the two connected components of $\{v \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \mid v^2 > 0\}$ called a *positive cone* and denoted by \mathcal{C} . The *hyperboloid model* \mathbb{H}^n of the *hyperbolic space* is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}^n := \{x \in \mathcal{C} \mid (x, x) = 1\}$$

endowed with the metric d determined by $\cosh d(x, y) := (x, y)$. The *boundary* of \mathbb{H}^n is defined by $\partial\mathbb{H}^n := (\partial\mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The set of rational points on \mathbb{H}^n is given by $\mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{H}^n \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Similarly, the set $\partial\mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{Q})$ of rational points on $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ is the image of $(\partial\mathcal{C} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus \{0\}$ with respect to the quotient $\partial\mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^n$. We set $\overline{\mathbb{H}^n} := \mathbb{H}^n \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}(\mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}) \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{Q})$.

In this paper, we also consider other isometric models as necessary: the *conformal ball model* \mathbb{B}^n and the *upper half-space model* \mathbb{U}^n . For a subset $S \subset \mathbb{B}^n$, \overline{S} denotes the closure of S in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$. A subset S of \mathbb{B}^n is *convex* if, for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in S$, the geodesic segment $[x, y]$ is contained in S . For a convex open subset $S \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ (or its closure in \mathbb{B}^n), a *side* of S is a maximal convex subset of the topological boundary ∂S . A *generalized polytope* in \mathbb{B}^n is a convex hull of finitely many points in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$. A *horoball* is an open ball in \mathbb{B}^n tangent to $\partial\mathbb{B}^n$.

Let $O(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$ (resp. $O(\Lambda)$) be the orthogonal group of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. Λ). We write $O^+(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$ for the index two subgroup of transformations preserving the cone \mathcal{C} , which is naturally isomorphic to the isometry group

$\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. We define a discrete subgroup $O^+(\Lambda) := O^+(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap O(\Lambda) < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Each element $g \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is classified as:

- *Elliptic*: g fixes a point in \mathbb{H}^n .
- *Parabolic*: g fixes no point in \mathbb{H}^n and fixes a unique point in $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$.
- *Hyperbolic*: g fixes no point in \mathbb{H}^n and fixes exactly two points in $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$.

Note that, for a fixed point of a parabolic (resp. hyperbolic) isometry in $O^+(\Lambda)$, a representative fixed isotopic vector in $\partial\mathcal{C}$ is rational (resp. irrational).

Definition 2.1. A subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is *Kleinian* if it is discrete.

A Kleinian group is *elementary* if it is virtually abelian, that is, it contains an abelian subgroup of finite index. Each elementary Kleinian group Γ is also classified into the following three types:

- *Elliptic type*: Γ fixes a point in \mathbb{H}^n , or equivalently, Γ is finite.
- *Parabolic type*: Γ has a unique fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$, or equivalently, Γ has a free abelian subgroup of finite index generated by parabolic elements.
- *Hyperbolic type*: Γ has two fixed points in $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$, or equivalently, Γ has an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index generated by a hyperbolic element.

Let Γ be a Kleinian group. A point $c \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ is a *limit point* of Γ if there exists $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\{g_i x\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to c . The *limit set* $L(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the set of all limit points of Γ . Note that a fixed point of either a parabolic or hyperbolic element of Γ is a limit point of Γ . For each $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have $L(\Gamma) = \overline{\Gamma \cdot x} \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^n$, hence $L(\Gamma)$ is a Γ -invariant closed subset of $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let Γ be a Kleinian group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) Γ is elementary.
- (ii) $L(\Gamma)$ is finite, especially $|L(\Gamma)| \leq 2$.
- (iii) Γ has a finite orbit in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}$.

Thus, for each $c \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$, its stabilizer Γ_c is elementary.

The set $C(\Gamma)$ is the convex hull of $L(\Gamma)$ in \mathbb{H}^n , that is, the smallest convex subset of \mathbb{H}^n satisfying $\overline{C(\Gamma)} \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^n = L(\Gamma)$. $C(\Gamma)$ is Γ -invariant and closed in \mathbb{H}^n , and when Γ is non-elementary, any Γ -invariant closed subset of \mathbb{H}^n contains $C(\Gamma)$. The quotient $C(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ is called the *convex core* of the hyperbolic orbifold \mathbb{H}^n/Γ .

We introduce the notion of the geometrical finiteness, which is significant in this paper.

- Definition 2.3.** (i) A Kleinian group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is *geometrically finite* if Γ is finitely generated, and $\text{vol}(C_\epsilon(\Gamma)/\Gamma) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, where $C_\epsilon(\Gamma)$ is a ϵ -neighborhood of $C(\Gamma)$ in \mathbb{H}^n .
- (ii) Let G be a group. A representation $G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is *geometrically finite* if the image is a geometrically finite Kleinian group, and the kernel is finite.

The following is one of several characterizations of geometrically finite Kleinian groups.

Theorem 2.4 ([Bow93], see also [Rat06, Kap07]). *Let Γ be a Kleinian group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) Γ is geometrically finite.
- (ii) There exists a Γ -invariant, pairwise disjoint collection $\{V_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of open horoballs at parabolic fixed points of Γ , such that the quotient $(C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_\lambda V_\lambda) / \Gamma$ is compact.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a convex subset and Γ a group of isometries of \mathbb{H}^n preserving \mathcal{N} . A connected closed subset $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ of \mathbb{H}^n is a *fundamental domain* for the action of Γ on \mathcal{N} if the members of $\{g\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \mid g \in \Gamma\}$ are mutually disjoint, and $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$. A fundamental domain $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for the action of Γ on \mathcal{N} is *locally finite* if $\{g\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \mid g \in \Gamma\}$ is a locally finite collection of subsets of \mathcal{N} . A convex fundamental domain $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for the action of Γ on \mathcal{N} is *exact* if for each side S of $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ there is an element $g \in \Gamma$ such that $S = \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \cap g\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$.

2.2. Groups and Cones in algebraic geometry. Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field K . The automorphism group (resp. birational automorphism group) of X is denoted by $\text{Aut}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Bir}(X)$). We write $N^1(X)$ for the torsion-free part of the Néron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$. Its rank is called the Picard rank and denoted by ρ_X .

We define several notions of cones in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ as follows:

- The *positive cone* \mathcal{C}_X is the connected component of the set $\{v \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid v^2 > 0\}$ containing ample classes.
- The cone $\mathcal{C}_X^+ \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$ is the convex hull of $\overline{\mathcal{C}_X} \cap N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- The *ample cone* $\text{Amp}_X \subset \mathcal{C}_X$ is the cone generated by ample divisor classes.
- The *nef cone* $\text{Nef}_X \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$ is the closure of Amp_X in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- The cone $\text{Nef}_X^+ \subset \text{Nef}_X$ is the convex hull of $\text{Nef}_X \cap N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- The *effective cone* $\text{Eff}_X \subset N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the cone generated by integral curve classes.
- The *effective nef cone* $\text{Nef}_X^e := \text{Nef}_X \cap \text{Eff}_X$.
- The *movable cone* $\text{Mov}_X \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$ is the cone generated by movable divisor classes.

- The cone $\text{Mov}_X^\dagger \subset \overline{\text{Mov}}_X$ is the convex hull of $\overline{\text{Mov}}_X \cap N^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ in $N^1(X)_\mathbb{R}$.

A closed cone $C \subset N^1(X)_\mathbb{R}$ is *rational polyhedral* if C is the convex hull of finitely many rational vectors, i.e. elements of $N^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Note that in any case of Theorem A, $N^1(X)$ admits a lattice structure of signature $(1, \rho_X - 1)$: the intersection form for surfaces, and the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form for irreducible symplectic varieties. For a rational polyhedral cone $C \subset N^1(X)_\mathbb{R}$, the convex subspace $C \cap \mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1}$ is a generalized polytope whose vertices lie in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1}}(\mathbb{Q})$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

3.1. General statement. We shall prove the following general statement on the geometrical finiteness.

Throughout this subsection, let Λ be a lattice of signature $(1, n)$ and fix a positive cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a subgroup of $O^+(\Lambda)$ and \mathcal{N} be a Γ -invariant closed convex subset of \mathbb{H}^n . Suppose that there exists a fundamental domain $\Pi_\mathcal{N}$ for the action of Γ on \mathcal{N} satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $\Pi_\mathcal{N}$ is locally finite and exact,
- (ii) $\Pi_\mathcal{N}$ is a generalized polytope whose vertices lie in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Then Γ is geometrically finite.

To prove this theorem, we take a similar approach as in [Rat06, Section 12.4]. Elementary Kleinian groups are geometrically finite. Therefore, in the following, we assume that Γ is non-elementary.

Lemma 3.2. *The set $\overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N} \cap L(\Gamma)$ is nonempty and finite.*

Proof. On the contrary, suppose that $\overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N} \cap L(\Gamma)$ is empty. By $L(\Gamma) \subset \overline{C(\Gamma)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma \cdot \overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N}$, $L(\Gamma)$ is empty, which contradicts that Γ is non-elementary. Since $\Pi_\mathcal{N}$ is a generalized polytope, $\overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N} \cap L(\Gamma)$ is finite. \square

The following is a key to prove Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *$\overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N} \cap L(\Gamma)$ consists of parabolic fixed points of Γ .*

Proof. Since any $c \in \overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N} \cap L(\Gamma)$ is rational, the stabilizer Γ_c is elementary of either elliptic or parabolic type, hence it is enough to show that Γ_c is infinite.

We pass to the upper half-space model \mathbb{U}^n and conjugate Γ so that $c = \infty$. Let $\nu : \mathbb{U}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n-1}$ be the vertical projection. We define the subset U of \mathcal{N} as follows

$$U := \cup \{g\Pi_\mathcal{N} \mid g \in \Gamma \text{ such that } c \in g\overline{\Pi}_\mathcal{N}\}.$$

We now show that $\nu U = \nu \mathcal{N}$. Since $\{g\Pi_\mathcal{N}\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ is locally finite, νU is closed in $\nu \mathcal{N}$. Let us show that νU is open in $\nu \mathcal{N}$. For any $z \in \nu U$, we take an element $w \in \nu^{-1}z$. If w is an inner point of U , then z is also.

If not, we may assume that $w \in \partial U$ lies in a vertical side (i.e. a side whose closure contains $c = \infty$) of $f_1 \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for some $f_1 \in \Gamma$. There exist $f_2, \dots, f_s \in \Gamma$ and a sufficiently small open ball $B(w)$ at w such that $w \in f_i \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for each i and

$$\mathcal{N} \cap B(w) \subset \cup_{j=1}^s f_j \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Furthermore, we can assume that $B(w)$ does not meet non-vertical sides of each $f_i \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$. Note that [Rat06, Theorem 6.7.5] is available since $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a locally finite, exact fundamental domain and a generalized polytope. For some $f_{i_1} \in \Gamma$, if $f_{i_1} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ has a side that intersects a vertical one of $f_1 \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ containing w , then the side of $f_{i_1} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is also vertical by [Rat06, Theorem 6.7.5]. Hence, any side of $f_{i_1} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ containing w is vertical. If some $f_{i_2} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ has a side that intersects a vertical one of $f_{i_1} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ containing w , the same argument implies that any side of $f_{i_2} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ containing w is vertical. Repeating this argument, we find that each $f_i \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ contains c , namely $\cup_{j=1}^s f_j \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \subset U$. We have

$$z \in \mathfrak{v}\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{v}B(w) = \mathfrak{v}(\mathcal{N} \cap B(w)) \subset \cup_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{v}f_j \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathfrak{v}U.$$

It turns out that $\mathfrak{v}U$ is open in $\mathfrak{v}\mathcal{N}$. Hence $\mathfrak{v}U = \mathfrak{v}\mathcal{N}$.

By the finiteness of $\overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap \Gamma.c$, we have elements $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \Gamma$ such that $\overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap \Gamma.c = \{h_j^{-1}c \mid j = 1, \dots, k\}$. Note that, for $g \in \Gamma$, $c \in g\overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}}$ if and only if $g \in \cup_{j=1}^k \Gamma_c h_j$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{v}\mathcal{N} = \{ \mathfrak{v}g \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \mid g \in \cup_{j=1}^k \Gamma_c h_j \}.$$

On the contrary, suppose that Γ_c is finite. Then we have $\cup_{j=1}^k \Gamma_c h_j = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ for some elements of Γ . For any $y \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists $y_0 \in g_{j_0} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for some $g_{j_0} \in \Gamma$ such that the hyperbolic geodesic ray, or equivalently, the vertical ray $[y, c) \subset \mathbb{U}^n$ satisfies that

$$[y, c) \cap g_{j_0} \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} = [y_0, c).$$

Thus, taking a sufficiently small open ball $B(c)$ at c in $\overline{\mathbb{U}^n}$, we have $\mathcal{N} \cap B(c) \subset \cup_{j=1}^m g_j \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$. By $c \in L(\Gamma) = \overline{\Gamma}.x \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^n$ for any $x \in g_1 \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}^\circ$, there exist a infinite sequence $\{f_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\{f_j x\}_j \subset \mathcal{N} \cap B(c)$, hence $\{f_j x\}_j \subset \cup_{j=1}^m g_j \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$. However, this contradicts that $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a fundamental domain. \square

Set $\overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap L(\Gamma) = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\} \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 3.4 ([Tot09]). *There exists an open horoball B_i at each $c_i \in \overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap L(\Gamma)$ such that elements in the collection*

$$\{gB_i \mid g \in \Gamma, i = 1, \dots, l\}$$

are pairwise disjoint.

Proof. The claim is proved in [Tot09, Section 2], but we provide a proof here for reader convenience. For $c_i \in \overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap L(\Gamma)$, let $e_i \in \partial \mathcal{C} \cap \Lambda$ be the

corresponding primitive isotropic integral vector. We explicitly define an open horoball B_i at c_i as follows

$$B_i := B_{e_i} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid (x, e_i) < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

For distinct primitive isotropic integral vectors $e, e' \in \partial\mathcal{C} \cap \Lambda$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, by [Rat06, Theorem 3.1.1] and [Tot09, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$1 \leq (e, e') \leq 2(x, e)(x, e'),$$

which implies that B_e and $B_{e'}$ are disjoint. Furthermore, it is easy to check that $gB_e = B_{ge}$ for each $g \in \Gamma$, which completes the proof. \square

Therefore, we obtain the Γ -invariant, pairwise disjoint collection $\mathcal{B} := \{gB_i \mid g \in \Gamma, i = 1, \dots, l\}$ of open horoballs at parabolic fixed points of Γ .

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let $\pi : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ be the quotient map. Then it easily follows from $C(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{N}$ that

$$\pi \left(C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{B}} gB_i \right) \subset \pi \left(\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \cap C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l B_i \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Assume that $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \cap C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l B_i$ is unbounded. Then there exists unbounded sequence $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \cap C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l B_i$. We can take a subsequence $\{a'_j\}$ convergent to a point y in the set

$$\left(\overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \cap C(\Gamma)} \right) \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^n \subset \overline{\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}} \cap L(\Gamma) = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\},$$

hence $y = c_{i_0}$ for some i_0 . Since $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is finite-sided, a'_j is in the horoball B_{i_0} for sufficiently large j , which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.1) is compact, thus the left-hand side is also. By Theorem 2.4, Γ is geometrically finite. \square

3.2. Cone conjecture implies Geometrical finiteness. We now complete the proof of Theorem A using Theorem 3.1 and the cone conjecture for each case.

Proof of Theorem A.

Set $\tau := \rho_X - 1$ for simplicity.

Case (1): Let X be a K3 surface over a field of characteristic not 2. Let us recall that the representation $\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{O}^+(\text{NS}(X))$ has a finite kernel (see [Huy16, LM18, BLvL20]), and the image is denoted by Γ_X . For the Weyl group W_X of X , we define $\tilde{\Gamma}_X := \Gamma_X \rtimes W_X$.

We apply Theorem 3.1 with $\Lambda := \text{NS}(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} := \text{Nef}_X^+ \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$. Fix an element $h := \frac{H}{\sqrt{(H,H)}} \in \mathcal{N}$ for some ample class $H \in \text{NS}(X)$ such that the stabilizer $\Gamma_{X,H}$ is trivial. We here recall the cone conjecture:

Theorem 3.5 ([Ste85, Tot10, LM18, BLvL20, Tak21]). *Let X be a K3 surface over a field K . Suppose that the characteristic of K is not 2 or X is not supersingular. Then*

$$D_X^+ := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{C}_X^+ \mid (H, x) \leq (H, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \tilde{\Gamma}_X \right\}$$

is a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on Nef_X^+ .

A closed subset $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}' := D_X^+ \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$ is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on \mathcal{N} . Clearly, $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}'$ is a subset of the Dirichlet domain ([Rat06, p243]) for the action of Γ_X on \mathbb{H}^τ . Thus, $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}'$ is locally finite as a fundamental domain, which implies that \mathcal{N} is closed in \mathbb{H}^τ , hence is proper (i.e. any closed ball is compact). \mathcal{N} is also geodesically connected and geodesically complete in the sense of [Rat06]. By [Rat06, Theorem 6.6.13 and Theorem 6.7.4], the Dirichlet domain $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ defined by

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} := \{ x \in \mathcal{N} \mid d(h, x) \leq d(h, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \Gamma_X \},$$

is a locally finite, exact fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on \mathcal{N} . Therefore, the inclusion $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}' \subset \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is actually an equality. Since $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}'$ is also a generalized polytope whose vertices lie in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^\tau}(\mathbb{Q})$, $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 3.1.

Case (2): The proof is the same as Case (1).

Case (3): Let X be an Enriques surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. The representation $\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{O}^+(N^1(X))$ has a finite kernel (see [Wan21, DK]), and the image is denoted by Γ_X . For the subgroup W_X^{nod} of $\text{O}^+(N^1(X))$ generated by reflections associated with classes of nodal curves in X , we define $\tilde{\Gamma}_X := \Gamma_X \rtimes W_X^{\text{nod}}$.

The proof is similar to Case (1). We apply Theorem 3.1 with $\Lambda := N^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} := \text{Nef}_X^e \cap \mathbb{H}^9$. The cone conjecture is as follows:

Theorem 3.6 ([Nam85, Kaw97, OS01, Wan21]). *Let X be an Enriques surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. Then*

$$D_X^+ := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{C}_X^+ \mid (H, x) \leq (H, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \tilde{\Gamma}_X \right\}$$

is a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on Nef_X^e .

Case (4): In this paper, a Coble surface means a *terminal Coble surface of K3 type* in the sense of Dolgachev–Zhang [DZ01]. Let X be a Coble surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and $Y \rightarrow X$ the K3 cover with covering involution σ . A natural representation

$$\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{O}^+(\text{Pic}(X)) \simeq \text{O}^+(\text{NS}(Y)^\sigma) < \text{O}^+(\text{NS}(Y))$$

has a finite kernel (see [CD12, Xu24]), and the image in $O^+(\mathrm{NS}(Y)^\sigma)$ is denoted by Γ_X . For the subgroup R_X of $O^+(\mathrm{NS}(Y)^\sigma)$ called σ -equivariant reflection group ([OS01]), we define $\tilde{\Gamma}_X := \Gamma_X \rtimes R_X$.

The proof is similar to Case (1). We apply Theorem 3.1 with $\Lambda := \mathrm{NS}(Y)^\sigma \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, $\mathcal{C}_X := \mathcal{C}_Y \cap \mathrm{NS}(Y)^\sigma$, and $\mathcal{N} := (\mathrm{Nef}_Y^e)^\sigma \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau \simeq \mathrm{Nef}_X^e \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$. The cone conjecture is as follows:

Theorem 3.7 ([OS01, Xu24]). *Let X be a Coble surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then*

$$D_X^+ := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{C}_X^+ \mid (H, x) \leq (H, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \tilde{\Gamma}_X \right\}$$

is a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on $(\mathrm{Nef}_Y^e)^\sigma$.

Case (5): Let X be an irreducible symplectic variety over a field of characteristic 0. The representation $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow O^+(N^1(X))$ has a finite kernel (see [Tak21]), and the image is denoted by Γ_X .

We apply Theorem 3.1 with $\Lambda := N^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} := \mathrm{Nef}_X^+ \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$. Fix an element $h := \frac{H}{\sqrt{(H, H)}} \in \mathcal{N}$ for some ample class $H \in N^1(X)$ such that the stabilizer $\Gamma_{X, H}$ is trivial. The cone conjecture is as follows:

Theorem 3.8 ([AV17, Tak21]). *Let X be an irreducible symplectic variety over a field of characteristic 0. Then*

$$D_X^+ := \{ x \in \mathrm{Nef}_X \mid (H, x) \leq (H, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \Gamma_X \}$$

is a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on Nef_X^+ .

In this case, a closed subset $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} := D_X^+ \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$ is nothing but the Dirichlet fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on \mathcal{N} . The remaining arguments are the same as Case (1).

Case (6): Let X be an irreducible symplectic variety over a field K of characteristic 0. The representation $\mathrm{Bir}(X) \rightarrow O^+(N^1(X))$ has a finite kernel (see [Tak21]), and the image is denoted by Γ_X . Let $W_{X_{\overline{K}}}^{\mathrm{Exc}}$ be the subgroup of $O^+(N^1(X_{\overline{K}}))$ generated by reflections associated with classes of prime exceptional divisors on $X_{\overline{K}}$, and R_X the Gal_K -fixed part in $W_{X_{\overline{K}}}^{\mathrm{Exc}}$ ([Tak21]), where Gal_K is the absolute Galois group of K . Note that R_X faithfully acts on $N^1(X)$. We define $\tilde{\Gamma}_X := \Gamma_X \rtimes R_X < O^+(N^1(X))$.

The proof is similar to Case (1). We apply Theorem 3.1 with $\Lambda := N^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} := \mathrm{Mov}_X^+ \cap \mathbb{H}^\tau$. The cone conjecture is as follows:

Theorem 3.9 ([Mar11, Tak21]). *Let X be an irreducible symplectic variety over a field of characteristic 0. Then*

$$D_X^+ := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{C}_X^+ \mid (H, x) \leq (H, gx) \text{ for any } g \in \tilde{\Gamma}_X \right\}$$

is a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of Γ_X on Mov_X^+ .

□

4. APPLICATIONS

We present several applications of Theorem A.

4.1. Non-positively curved properties. Throughout this subsection, let G_X be a group as in Theorem A. We first see that G_X is non-positively curved: CAT(0) and relatively (Gromov) hyperbolic, see [BH99, Bow99] for definitions.

Corollary 4.1. G_X is CAT(0).

Proof. It is well-known that each geometrically finite Kleinian group Γ is CAT(0) via the isometric action on the CAT(0) space $C(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_\lambda V_\lambda$ with the induced length metric, called the *truncated convex hull* of the limit sets, where $\{V_\lambda\}$ is a Γ -invariant pairwise disjoint collection of open horoballs at parabolic fixed points of Γ ([BH99, Ch.II, Exercise 11.37(2)]).

Since the representation $G_X \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1})$ has a finite kernel, the isometric action of G_X on the truncated convex hull is also proper and cocompact. □

Historically, non-elementary geometrically finite Kleinian groups are the original examples of relatively hyperbolic groups. Recall that a (relatively) hyperbolic group is called *elementary* if it is virtually cyclic.

Corollary 4.2 (Alternative). G_X is either virtually abelian or non-elementary relatively hyperbolic.

Proof. The claim is clear by Theorem A. Note that since the isometric action on \mathbb{H}^{ρ_X-1} has a finite kernel, Γ_X is non-elementary relatively hyperbolic (resp. virtually abelian) if and only if G_X is non-elementary relatively hyperbolic (resp. virtually abelian).

We also obtain a criterion for relative hyperbolicity.

Corollary 4.3. G_X is non-elementary relatively hyperbolic if and only if G_X contains the rank 2 free group F_2 .

Proof. This is a direct corollary of the strong Tits alternative: for every subgroup $H < G_X$, either H is virtually abelian or H contains F_2 . Note that relatively hyperbolic groups satisfy the Tits alternative ([Tuk94]), and the virtual solvability is equivalent to the virtual abelianity in this case by [BH99, Ch.II, Theorem 7.8]. □

4.2. Dynamical characterizations for K3 surfaces. In this subsection, let X be a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field K . We further suppose that the characteristic of K is not 2 or X is not supersingular as in Theorem A.

For each automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$, the *entropy* is numerically defined as the logarithm of the spectral radius of its induced action on $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We call that $\text{Aut}(X)$ *has zero entropy* if the entropy of any automorphism of X is zero, otherwise, $\text{Aut}(X)$ *has positive entropy*. Note that, over the complex number field, the entropy is actually equal to the *topological entropy* due to Gromov–Yomdin [Gro03, Yom87].

The following is a characterization of the virtual abelianity via entropy.

Theorem 4.4 (Brandhorst–Mezzedimi [BM22], Yu [Yu22]). *Suppose that $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite.*

- (i) *For $\rho_X = 2$, $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually cyclic and has positive entropy.*
- (ii) *For $\rho_X = 3$, $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually abelian if and only if $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually cyclic.*
- (iii) *For $\rho_X = 4$, $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually abelian if and only if either $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually cyclic and has positive entropy, or $\text{Aut}(X)$ has zero entropy.*
- (iv) *For $\rho_X \geq 5$, $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually abelian if and only if $\text{Aut}(X)$ has zero entropy.*

Proof. Most of the proof follows from [BM22, Corollary 1.5, Remark 3.10, Proposition 3.11] and [Yu22, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3], but note that we use the hyperbolicity (see Example 4.7) in the case of $\rho_X = 2, 3$. \square

By the alternative in Corollary 4.2, we obtain a dynamical and numerical characterization of relative hyperbolicity.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that the Picard rank of X is at least 5. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is non-elementary relatively hyperbolic if and only if $\text{Aut}(X)$ has positive entropy.*

4.3. Examples. We collect several examples in this subsection.

Example 4.6 ([BM22, Yu22]). For an integer $\rho' \in \{3, 4, \dots, 18\}$, there exists a complex K3 surface of Picard rank ρ' such that $\text{Aut}(X)$ is virtually abelian of rank at most 8.

Example 4.7. Let X be a K3 surface as in Theorem A. If its Picard rank is at most 3, then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is (possibly elementary) hyperbolic by [BH99, Ch.III.Γ, Theorem 3.1].

Example 4.8 (cf. [BM22, Corollary 1.6]). The following K3 surfaces over an algebraically closed field have positive entropy, and hence their automorphism groups are non-elementary relatively hyperbolic.

- (i) Kummer surfaces in characteristic not 2.
- (ii) K3 surfaces covering an Enriques surface, unless $\mathrm{NS}(X) \simeq U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_8$ in characteristic not 2.
- (iii) Singular K3 surfaces.
- (iv) Supersingular K3 surfaces in characteristic not 2.

Example 4.9. We shall consider two specific examples of singular K3 surfaces over \mathbb{C} .

- (i) Let X_F be the Fermat quartic. Then by Shioda [Shi15, Proposition 19], X_F admits an elliptic fibration of the Mordell–Weil rank 6. Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_F)$ is relatively hyperbolic, but not hyperbolic.
- (ii) Let X_3 and X_4 be the K3 surfaces whose transcendental lattices are of the form

$$\mathrm{T}(X_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{T}(X_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, see [SI77] and [Huy16, Corollary 14.3.21]. By Vinberg ([Vin83, Theorem in 2.4 and Theorem in 3.3]), their automorphism groups are virtually free, hence hyperbolic.

Example 4.10. Let X be a K3 surface as in Theorem A. If its Picard rank is at least 2 and X does not contain any (-2) curve, then Γ_X is a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{\rho_X-1})$, hence hyperbolic. Furthermore, in the case of $\rho_X \geq 3$, it is easy to construct a quasi-isometric embedding of \mathbb{H}^2 into $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ since $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ itself is quasi-isometric to \mathbb{H}^{ρ_X-1} by Švarc–Milnor lemma. Therefore $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is not virtually free due to Bonk–Kleiner [BK05, Theorem 1]. To our best knowledge, all known explicit descriptions of automorphism groups that are hyperbolic so far have been virtually free, for example, free products of finite groups.

Example 4.11. We here present examples in the case of Enriques (resp. Coble) surfaces over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 (resp. positive characteristic), which are not covered by Theorem A.

- (i) [Cob19] (see also [Mar19]): Let X be an ordinary unnodal Enriques surface. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is a finite index subgroup of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}^+(E_{10})$ of E_{10} preserving the positive cone, where E_{10} is the unique even unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 9)$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{O}^+(E_{10})$ is a lattice (in the sense of hyperbolic geometry) in $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^9)$, and hence is geometrically finite. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is also geometrically finite.
- (ii) [CD12, Theorem 3.5]: Let X be an unnodal Coble surface. Then the representation $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^+(\mathrm{Pic}(X))$ has a finite kernel and an image isomorphic to a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{O}^+(E_{10})$, hence is geometrically finite as in (i).

4.4. Mukai’s conjecture. In 2018, Mukai conjectured the relation between the virtual cohomological dimension of automorphism groups of elliptic K3 surfaces and the Mordell–Weil rank of elliptic fibrations.

Conjecture 4.12 (Mukai [Muk18]). Let X be a complex elliptic K3 surface. Then we have

$$\mathrm{vcd}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) = \max_f \{\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{MW}(f)\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is any elliptic fibration and $\mathrm{MW}(f)$ is the Mordell–Weil group of the Jacobian fibration of f .

As an application of the results in this paper, we can provide the first counterexample to Mukai’s conjecture as follows.

Example 4.13. Let X_0 be a complex elliptic K3 surface of Picard rank 3. We further suppose that X_0 does not contain any (-2) curve. Note the well-known fact that a group is virtually free if and only if its virtual cohomological dimension is at most 1. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(X_0)$ is a non-virtually-free hyperbolic group as in Example 4.10, we have

$$\mathrm{vcd}(\mathrm{Aut}(X_0)) \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, we generally have

$$\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{MW}(f) \leq \rho_{X_0} - 2 = 1$$

for any elliptic fibration $f : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, thus the equation (4.1) does not hold.

REFERENCES

- [AV17] E. Amerik and M. Verbitsky. Morrison–Kawamata cone conjecture for hyperkähler manifolds. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.(4)*, 50(4):973–993, 2017.
- [BH99] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [BK05] M. Bonk and B. Kleiner. Quasi-Hyperbolic Planes in Hyperbolic Groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(9):2491–2494, 2005.
- [BLvL20] M. Bright, A. Logan, and R. v. Luijk. Finiteness results for K3 surfaces over arbitrary fields. *Eur. J. Math.*, 6:336–366, 2020.
- [BM22] S. Brandhorst and G. Mezzedimi. Borcherds lattices and K3 surfaces of zero entropy. preprint arXiv:2211.09600, 2022.
- [Bow93] B.H. Bowditch. Geometrical Finiteness for Hyperbolic Groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 113:245–317, 1993.
- [Bow99] B.H. Bowditch. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *Southampton Preprint*, 1999.
- [CD12] S. Cantat and I. V. Dolgachev. Rational surfaces with a large group of automorphisms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25:863–905, 2012.
- [Cob19] A. Coble. The Ten Nodes of the Rational Sextic and of the Cayley Symmetroid. *Amer. J. Math.*, 41(4):243–264, 1919.
- [DK] I. V. Dolgachev and S. Kondō. Enriques Surfaces II. <https://dept.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/EnriquesTwo.pdf>.

- [DZ01] I. V. Dolgachev and D.-Q. Zhang. Coble rational surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123:79–114, 2001.
- [Gro03] M. Gromov. On the entropy of holomorphic maps. *Enseign. Math.*, 49:217–235, 2003.
- [Huy16] D. Huybrechts. *Lectures on K3 surfaces*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [Kap07] M. Kapovich. Kleinian Groups in Higher Dimensions. *Geometry and Dynamics of Groups and Spaces*, pages 487–564, 2007.
- [Kaw97] Y. Kawamata. On the cone of divisors of Calabi–Yau fiber spaces. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(5):665–687, 1997.
- [KY23] N. Kurnosov and E. Yasinsky. Automorphisms of Hyperkähler Manifolds and Groups Acting on CAT(0) Spaces. *Birational Geometry, Kähler–Einstein Metrics and Degenerations*, pages 477–500, 2023.
- [LM18] M. Lieblich and D. Maulik. A note on the cone conjecture for K3 surfaces in positive characteristic. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 25(6):1879–1891, 2018.
- [Mar11] E. Markman. A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. *Complex and differential geometry*, Springer, pages 257–322, 2011.
- [Mar19] G. Martin. Automorphisms of unnodal Enriques surfaces. preprint arXiv:1908.00049, 2019.
- [Muk18] S. Mukai. Proceedings of the 67th Algebra Symposium (Japanese), 2018.
- [Nam85] Y. Namikawa. Periods of Enriques surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 270:201–222, 1985.
- [OS01] K. Oguiso and J. Sakurai. Calabi–Yau threefolds of quotient type. *Asian J. Math.*, 5(1):43–77, 2001.
- [Rat06] J.G. Ratcliffe. *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Nature, 2006.
- [Shi15] T. Shioda. Mordell-Weil Lattice of Higher Genus Fibration on a Fermat Surface. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 22:443–468, 2015.
- [SI77] T. Shioda and H. Inose. *On singular K3 surfaces*. In Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 119–136. Iwanami Shoten, 1977.
- [Ste85] H. Sterk. Finiteness results for algebraic K3 surfaces. *Math. Z.*, 189:507–514, 1985.
- [Tak21] T. Takamatsu. On the finiteness of twists of irreducible symplectic varieties. preprint arXiv:2106.11651, 2021.
- [Tak23] T. Takatsu. Blown-up boundaries associated with ample cones of K3 surfaces. preprint arXiv:2312.13831, 2023.
- [Tot09] B. Totaro. The cone conjecture for Calabi–Yau pairs in dimension two. arXiv version of [Tot10]: arXiv:0901.3361, 2009.
- [Tot10] B. Totaro. The cone conjecture for Calabi–Yau pairs in dimension 2. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(2):241–263, 2010.
- [Tuk94] P. Tukia. Convergence groups and Gromov’s metric hyperbolic spaces. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 23(2):157–187, 1994.
- [Vin83] È. Vinberg. The two most algebraic K3 surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 265(1):1–21, 1983.
- [Wan21] L. Wang. On automorphisms and the cone conjecture for Enriques surfaces in odd characteristic. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 28(4):1263–1281, 2021.
- [Xu24] F. Xu. On the cone conjecture for certain pairs of dimension at most 4. preprint arXiv:2405.20899, 2024.
- [Yom87] Y. Yomdin. Volume growth and entropy. *Israel J. Math.*, 57:285–300, 1987.

- [Yu22] X. Yu. K3 surface entropy and automorphism groups. preprint arXiv:2211.07526, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN.

Email address: `kikuta@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, PETER GUTHRIE TAIT ROAD, EDINBURGH, SCOTLAND, EH9 3FD, UNITED KINGDOM.