

CONVERGENCE TO EQUILIBRIUM FOR A DEGENERATE THREE SPECIES REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEM

SAUMYAJIT DAS AND HARSHA HUTRIDURGA

ABSTRACT. In this work, we study a reaction-diffusion system for three species. The nonlinearity in the system comes from an underlying chemical reaction. Our main objective is to understand the long time behaviour of solutions to this reaction-diffusion system when there are degeneracies. More precisely, we treat cases when one of the diffusion coefficients vanishes while the other two diffusion coefficients stay positive. We prove convergence to equilibrium type results. In all our results, the constants appearing in the decay estimates are explicit.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reaction-diffusion equations are among the most widely used differential equations in applications. These equations govern the evolution (in time) of species concentrations at various spatial locations that are simultaneously diffusing and undergoing chemical reactions. We consider a reaction-diffusion model that concerns the three species X_1, X_2 and X_3 undergoing the following reversible reaction:



The spatial domain is taken to be a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $C^{2+\nu}$ boundary with $\nu > 0$. For the unknown $a, b, c : [0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, representing the concentrations of 3 species X_1, X_2, X_3 respectively, the reaction-diffusion model is given by the following system of partial differential equations:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a - d_a \Delta a = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t b - d_b \Delta b = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t c - d_c \Delta c = ab - c & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a = n \cdot \nabla_x b = n \cdot \nabla_x c = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ a(0, \cdot) = a_0; b(0, \cdot) = b_0; c(0, \cdot) = c_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $n(x)$ denotes the outward unit normal to Ω at the point $x \in \partial\Omega$. The initial data a_0, b_0, c_0 are taken to be smooth and the diffusion coefficients d_a, d_b, d_c are taken to be nonnegative. When the diffusion coefficients are strictly positive (referred to, now onwards, as the non-degenerate setting), it is well-known that a unique strictly positive global-in-time C^∞ solution exists for the above model [Pie04, Pie10], where the positiveness comes from the quasi-positive nature of the rate function [Ama85, QS19, Rot06]. The large time behaviour of solutions to (1) in the non-degenerate setting was addressed in [DF06] using the method of entropy. In this method, a Lyapunov functional (termed entropy) is found for the evolution equation. The negative of the time derivative of this entropy functional is referred to as the entropy dissipation functional. The entropy dissipation functional is then related back to the relative entropy via a functional inequality. This will then be followed by a Grönwall type argument to deduce convergence of relative entropy to zero. A Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker type inequality that relates relative entropy and the L^1 -norm helps the authors in [DF06] to prove the convergence to equilibrium in the $L^1(\Omega)$ -norm and that the decay is exponentially fast in time. In the proof of [DF06], uniform boundedness of the solution (a, b, c) to (1) plays an important role. This uniform bound is available in the non-degenerate case.

In this paper, we discuss the large time behaviour of solutions to couple of degenerate cases (i.e when one of the species stops diffusing) of the three species model (1). Our work is heavily inspired by [EMT20] which dealt with a four species degenerate model where one of the species stops diffusing. The authors in [EMT20] demonstrated a so-called *indirect diffusion effect* wherein an effective diffusion is felt by the non-diffusive species, thanks to interplay between the diffusion from diffusive species and the underlying

reversible reaction. Our work demonstrates that a similar indirect diffusion effect is present in the above three species model in the presence of degeneracies. The two degenerate reaction-diffusion systems that we study in this article are:

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t a - d_a \Delta a = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t b = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t c - d_c \Delta c = ab - c & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a = n \cdot \nabla_x b = n \cdot \nabla_x c = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a(0, \cdot) = a_0; b(0, \cdot) = b_0; c(0, \cdot) = c_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t a - d_a \Delta a = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t b - d_b \Delta b = c - ab & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t c = ab - c & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a = n \cdot \nabla_x b = n \cdot \nabla_x c = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a(0, \cdot) = a_0; b(0, \cdot) = b_0; c(0, \cdot) = c_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Here, the initial data are assumed to be smooth and strictly positive. The diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c in (2) and the diffusion coefficients d_a, d_b in (3) are assumed to be strictly positive. The existence of a smooth positive solution to (2) was proved in [DF15, Theorem 3.1] for all smooth initial non-negative data. The existence of a smooth positive solution to (3) was proved in [DF15, Theorem 3.2] for all smooth initial non-negative data if the dimension $N \leq 3$. The authors in [DF15], however, prove the existence of a weak global-in-time positive solution to (3) in any arbitrary dimension. The process of establishing various estimates in the article [EMT20] has greatly motivated us to establish similar kind of estimates in dimension larger than three for the degenerate case (2) corresponding to $d_b = 0$. We further use various Neumann Green's function results from [FMT19, Rot06, Mor83, CK15], to get various estimates specifically for dimensions less than four.

Observe that both the models (2) and (3) satisfy the following mass conservation properties:

$$(4) \quad 0 < M_1 |\Omega| =: \int_{\Omega} a(t, x) + c(t, x) = \int_{\Omega} a(0, x) + c(0, x)$$

$$(5) \quad 0 < M_2 |\Omega| =: \int_{\Omega} b(t, x) + c(t, x) = \int_{\Omega} b(0, x) + c(0, x)$$

An equilibrium state $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$ associated with these models should also satisfy these conservation properties. Hence we should have

$$(6) \quad a_{\infty} + c_{\infty} = M_1, \quad b_{\infty} + c_{\infty} = M_2$$

Moreover, at equilibrium the rate function should vanish, i.e.

$$(7) \quad c_{\infty} = a_{\infty} b_{\infty}$$

The relations (6) and (7) put together leads to a quadratic equation for c_{∞} whose only admissible non-negative solution is

$$(8) \quad c_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + M_1 + M_2) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(1 + M_1 + M_2)^2 - 4M_1M_2}.$$

From now onwards, we will be considering this unique homogeneous equilibrium state $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$.

Some Notation:

- For any function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote the average of f as

$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx.$$

- $\Omega_{\tau, T} = (\tau, T) \times \Omega$ and $\Omega_t = (0, t) \times \Omega$

- For a, b, c non-negative, we define $A := \sqrt{a}$; $B := \sqrt{b}$; $C := \sqrt{c}$. The deviations from their averages are denoted by

$$\delta_A := A - \bar{A}; \quad \delta_B := B - \bar{B}; \quad \delta_C := C - \bar{C}.$$

We consider the following entropy functional associated with (2) and (3):

$$(9) \quad E(a, b, c) = \int_{\Omega} (a(\ln a - 1) + 1) + (b(\ln b - 1) + 1) + (c(\ln c - 1) + 1).$$

While studying (2) in dimensions $N \geq 4$, we have been able to arrive at large time asymptotics of the solution only under certain closeness assumption on the non-zero diffusion coefficients. The precise assumption is the following:

Assumption 1. *The non-zero diffusion coefficients d_a and d_c are said to satisfy the closeness assumption if*

$$(10) \quad |d_a - d_c| < \frac{2}{C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c} < \frac{1}{C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p')},$$

where the constants $C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}$ and $C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p')$, are the parabolic regularity constant (see Theorem 12 in the Appendix) and the second order regularity constant (see Theorem 11 in the Appendix), respectively.

Now we are going to state our two main results.

Theorem 1. *For $N \geq 4$, let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). Let $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Let the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_{ε} and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 such that for $t \geq T_{\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2M_1} \|a - a_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2M_2} \|b - b_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{(M_1 + M_2)} \|c - c_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{(9 + 2\sqrt{2})}{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|} \mathcal{S}_1 e^{-\mathcal{S}_2(1+t)\frac{1-\varepsilon}{N-1}}.$$

For $N < 4$, let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). Let $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_{ε} and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_3 and \mathcal{S}_4 such that for $t \geq T_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2M_1} \|a - a_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2M_2} \|b - b_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{(M_1 + M_2)} \|c - c_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{(9 + 2\sqrt{2})}{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|} \mathcal{S}_3 e^{-\mathcal{S}_4(1+t)\frac{1-\varepsilon}{6}}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Let $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_{ε} and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_5 and \mathcal{S}_6 such that for $t \geq T_{\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2M_1} \|a - a_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2M_2} \|b - b_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{(M_1 + M_2)} \|c - c_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{(9 + 2\sqrt{2})}{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|} \mathcal{S}_5 e^{-\mathcal{S}_6(1+t)\frac{2-\varepsilon}{3}}.$$

Now based on solutions of two degenerate systems we define two different entropy dissipation functional which will be related to the entropy functional by a sign of time derivative.

We define the following positive **entropy dissipation functionals** for $d_b = 0$ and $d_c = 0$:

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For } d_b = 0 \\ D(a, b, c) = d_a \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla a|^2}{a} + d_c \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{c} + \int_{\Omega} (ab - c) \ln \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right). \\ \text{For } d_c = 0 \\ D(a, b, c) = d_a \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla a|^2}{a} + d_b \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla b|^2}{b} + \int_{\Omega} (ab - c) \ln \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right). \end{cases}$$

Using classical Poincaré inequality, taking $C(\Omega)$ as the Poincaré constant of the domain, and on the last term application of the following algebraic inequality

$$(x - y)(\ln x - \ln y) \geq 4(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2; \quad \forall x, y \geq 0, \text{ yields:}$$

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{d_a}{C(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_c}{C(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \text{for } d_b = 0, \\ D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{d_a}{C(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_b}{C(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \text{for } d_c = 0. \end{cases}$$

An application of Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality (see Lemma 7 in the Appendix) for the dimension $N \geq 4$ gives us for $d_b = 0$,

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_c}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

and the same inequality for dimension $N = 1, 2, 3$, gives us for $d_b = 0$,

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

where $P(\Omega)$ is the Poincaré-Wirtinger constant (see Lemma 7 in the Appendix).

A similar application of Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality for $N \geq 4$ gives us for $d_c = 0$,

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_b}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

and the same inequality for the dimension $N = 1, 2, 3$, gives us for $d_c = 0$,

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_b}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

where $P(\Omega)$ is the Poincaré-Wirtinger constant (see Lemma 7 in the Appendix)

Furthermore for both the cases we have the following relation between the two functional by the time derivative i.e

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) = -D(a, b, c)$$

Furthermore consider the function $\Gamma(x, y) = \frac{x \ln \frac{x}{y} - x + y}{(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2}$; we see that we can find a Constant $C_\Gamma > 0$; such that $\Gamma(x, y) \leq C_\Gamma \max\{1, \ln \frac{x}{y}\}$. We are going to represent difference between entropy by this function. We can write:

$$\begin{aligned} & E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \\ &= \int_\Omega \Gamma(a, a_\infty)(A - A_\infty)^2 + \int_\Omega \Gamma(b, b_\infty)(B - B_\infty)^2 + \int_\Omega \Gamma(c, c_\infty)(C - C_\infty)^2 \\ &\leq C_\Gamma \max\{1, \ln(\|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 1) + |\ln a_\infty|\} \|A - A_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + C_\Gamma \max\{1, \ln(\|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 1) + |\ln b_\infty|\} \|B - B_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + C_\Gamma \max\{1, \ln(\|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 1) + |\ln c_\infty|\} \|C - C_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now for degeneracy $d_b = 0$, we derive in Lemma 4 and for degeneracy $d_c = 0$, we derive in Proposition 8 that the growth of the species varies polynomially with time, which leads us to the following relation: for some positive constant $C_1 > 0$, and time sufficiently large time i.e $t \geq T_\epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \\ &\leq C_1(1+t)^\epsilon \left(\|A - A_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|B - B_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|C - C_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have from [DF06]; $\exists C_{EB} > 0$, depending only on the domain and equilibrium point such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sqrt{A^2} - A_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{B^2} - B_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{C^2} - C_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{EB} (\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|C - AB\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that we will able to apply Grönwall inequality if we somehow link entropy dissipation with the missing term $\|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ for $d_b = 0$ case and similarly with the missing term $\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ for $d_c = 0$ case.

So to obtain exponential decay in convergence type of results our goal is to relate entropy dissipation with the missing term.

We will relate the missing term with entropy dissipation in Proposition 4 for $d_b = 0$ case in dimension $N \geq 4$, and proved the same for $d_b = 0$ case in dimension $N = 1, 2, 3$ in Proposition 5 in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} D(a, b, c) \geq \hat{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & N \geq 4; \\ D(a, b, c) \geq \hat{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & N = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

To prove this we require an estimate of $L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)$ norm of b for $N \geq 4$ and an estimate of $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ norm of b for $N = 1, 2, 3$. These estimates are the **Key Estimates** of our article. It turns out our **Key estimates** are the following:

- **For** $N \geq 4$ $\|b\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \hat{K}(1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}}$
- **For** $N = 1, 2, 3$; $\|b\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \hat{K}(1+t)^{\frac{5}{6}}$

which are proved in Lemma 2, Proposition 3 and Lemma 3. The proof of this key estimate for $N = 1, 2, 3$ is an application of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality whereas for $N \geq 4$ we need to have some extra closeness condition(10) on the other two non-zero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c . This extra condition helps us to get evolution of space integral of diffusive species a and c , with time or more specifically in unit interval of time. It turns out if d_a and d_c satisfies closeness condition(10) for dimension $N \geq 4$, we have the following result for $p > N$: $\exists C_0 > 0$ independent of time, such that

$$\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} + \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq C_0 \quad \forall \tau \geq 0$$

This above result is proved in Lemma 1, and this will help us to prove our **key estimate** for dimension $N \geq 4$. Similarly we will able to prove time dependent evolution of space integral of diffusive species for degenerate $d_b = 0$ case in dimension $N = 1, 2, 3$, in Proposition 3 and Lemma 3. The relation for dimension $N = 1, 2, 3$ for $d_b = 0$ case is described below: for some natural number $\mu_C > 0$,

$$\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} + \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_C} \quad \forall \tau \geq 0$$

In this article our calculations are inspired from the article [EMT20].

For $d_c = 0$, we will relate entropy dissipation with δ_C . In this article for $d_c = 0$ we consider the dimension $N \leq 4$. Although we will establish our result only for dimension $N = 3$, for dimension $N = 1, 2, 4$ all the calculations are similar. In Proposition 9 We establish the following relations between entropy dissipation and δ_C :

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \hat{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}} (\|A - \bar{A}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|B - \bar{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|C - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

To prove this we need a particular integral estimate of species a , which we will establish in Lemma 6. The particular relation is the following:

$$\|a\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}(1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}}; \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This is the key estimate for the degenerate case $d_c = 0$; to establish this we will use the following estimate (see Lemma 5): $\exists C_\Omega > 0$ independent of time such that;

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega a^2 \leq C_\Omega(1+T); \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega b^2 \leq C_\Omega(1+T) \quad \forall T \geq 0$$

These results along with Grönwall inequality will help us to show entropy functional for both degeneracy cases $d_b = 0$ and $d_c = 0$ decays sub-exponentially with time. On the other hand an application of Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker Inequality provides us the following lower bound of entropy functional; [DF06]

$$\begin{aligned} E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) &\geq \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{2M_1(9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|a - a_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{2M_2(9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|b - b_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{(M_1 + M_2)(9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|c - c_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these we arrive at the main results of our article i.e Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

2. THE CASE OF $d_b = 0$

The idea is to connect entropy dissipation with the missing δ_B term in (12) so that we can apply Grönwall inequality to have a sub-exponential decay. We begin by proving an uniform integrability estimate for a and c in a parabolic cylinder of unit height. A similar estimate was obtained for a degenerate four species model in [EMT20, Lemma 3.12, p.4343].

Lemma 1. *Let $p > N \geq 4$ and let p' be its Hölder conjugate. Let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2) and let the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10). Then there exists a $C_0 > 0$, depending only on the initial condition and the dimension N , such that*

$$\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} + \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq C_0 \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Proof. Define $d := \frac{d_a + d_c}{2}$. We can rewrite the equations corresponding to concentrations a and c in (2) as

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a - d\Delta a = c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a, \\ \partial_t c - d\Delta c = ab - c + (d_c - d)\Delta c. \end{cases}$$

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= 1 && \text{for } x \in [1, \infty) \\ 0 \leq \phi'(x) &\leq M && \text{for } x \in [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $M > 0$. For an arbitrary $\tau > 0$, consider $\phi_\tau : [\tau, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as $\phi_\tau(s) := \phi(s - \tau)$ for $s \in [\tau, \infty)$. Then, the product $\phi_\tau(t)a(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t (\phi_\tau a) - d\Delta (\phi_\tau a) = a\partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau (c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a) & \text{in } \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau \nabla a \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau(\tau)a(\tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Making the change of variable $t_1 = t - \tau$ in the above equation yields

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{t_1} (\phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x)) - d\Delta (\phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x)) \\ \quad = a(t_1 + \tau, x)\partial_{t_1} \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau) + \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)(c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a) & \text{in } \Omega_{0,2} \\ \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)\nabla a(t_1 + \tau, x) \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{0,2} \\ \phi_\tau(0 + \tau)a(0 + \tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Similarly, the concentration c satisfies the following boundary value problem:

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{t_1} (\phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)c(t_1 + \tau, x)) - d\Delta (\phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)c(t_1 + \tau, x)) \\ \quad = c(t_1 + \tau, x)\partial_{t_1} \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau) + \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)(ab - c + (d_c - d)\Delta c) & \text{in } \Omega_{0,2} \\ \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)\nabla c(t_1 + \tau, x) \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{0,2} \\ \phi_\tau(0 + \tau)c(0 + \tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Let G_d denotes the Green's function associated with the operator $\partial_t - d\Delta$ with Neumann boundary condition. Then, we can express the solutions to (14) and (15) as follows:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x) &= \int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)a(s + \tau, y)\partial_s\phi_\tau(s + \tau) dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)\phi_\tau(s + \tau)(c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a)(s, y) dy ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)c(t_1 + \tau, x) &= \int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)c(s + \tau, y)\partial_s\phi_\tau(s + \tau) dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)\phi_\tau(s + \tau)(ab - c + (d_c - d)\Delta c)(s, y) dy ds \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix a non-negative $\theta \in L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})$. Let ψ be the solution to

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{t_1}\psi(t_1, x) + d\Delta\psi(t_1, x) = -\theta(t_1, x) & \text{for } (t_1, x) \in \Omega_{0,2} \\ \nabla\psi(t_1, x) \cdot n = 0 & \text{for } (t_1, x) \in (0, 2) \times \partial\Omega \\ \psi(2, x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

Applying the second order regularity estimate (see Theorem 11 in Appendix A for further details) to the above equation yields

$$(19) \quad \|\Delta\psi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq \frac{2C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p')}{d_a + d_c} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})}$$

Multiplying the expression (16) by θ and integrating over time and space yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^2 \int_\Omega \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x)\theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &= \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)a(s + \tau, y)\partial_s\phi_\tau(s + \tau) dy ds \right) \theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &+ \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)\phi_\tau(s + \tau)(c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a)(s, y) dy ds \right) \theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for θ in terms of ψ , using (18), in the second term on the right hand side of the above expression followed by integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^2 \int_\Omega \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x)\theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &= \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)a(s + \tau, y)\partial_s\phi_\tau(s + \tau) dy ds \right) \theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &+ \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega (\partial_{t_1} - d\Delta) G_d(t_1, s, x, y) (\phi_\tau(s + \tau)(c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a)(s, y)) dy ds \right) \psi(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

Using the property of the Green's function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^2 \int_\Omega \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)a(t_1 + \tau, x)\theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &= \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_\Omega G_d(t_1, s, x, y)a(s + \tau, y)\partial_s\phi_\tau(s + \tau) dy ds \right) \theta(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \\ &+ \int_0^2 \int_\Omega \phi_\tau(t_1 + \tau)(c - ab + (d_a - d)\Delta a)(t_1, x)\psi(t_1, x) dx dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

A further integration by parts in the second term on the right hand side of the above expression yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) a(t_1 + \tau, x) \theta(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
(20) \quad & \leq \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} G_d(t_1, s, x, y) a(s + \tau, y) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(s + \tau) \, dy \, ds \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})} \\
& \quad + \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) ((c - ab) \psi + (d_a - d) a \Delta \psi)(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used Hölder inequality to bound the first term on the right hand side.

The following Green's function estimate is available from [Mor83][CK15]: there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$, depending only on the domain, such that

$$0 \leq G_d(t_1, s, x, y) \leq \frac{K_1}{(4\pi(t_1 - s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\kappa \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{(t_1-s)}} =: g_d(t_1 - s, x - y),$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$ depending only on Ω and the diffusion coefficient d . Note that

$$\|g_d\|_{L^{1+\frac{2}{N}}(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq K_2$$

for some constant $K_2 > 0$. Observe that there exists a $q < p$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{N}} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

Hence, applying the Young's convolution inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} G_d(t_1, s, x, y) a(s + \tau, y) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(s + \tau) \, dy \, ds \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} & \leq \|g_d\|_{L^{1+\frac{2}{N}}(\Omega_{0,2})} \|a(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} \\
& \leq K_2 \|a(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})}
\end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate and the estimate of $\Delta \psi$ from (19) in (20), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) a(t_1 + \tau, x) \theta(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
(21) \quad & \leq K_2 \|a(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})} + \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) ((c - ab) \psi)(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
& \quad + C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p') \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c} \|\phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau) a(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})}
\end{aligned}$$

Performing a similar set of computations on the equation (17) for $\phi_{\tau}c$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) c(t_1 + \tau, x) \theta(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
(22) \quad & \leq K_2 \|c(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})} + \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) ((ab - c) \psi)(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
& \quad + C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p') \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c} \|\phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau) c(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the inequalities (21) and (22) and using positivity of a and c yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\tau}(t_1 + \tau) (a + c)(t_1 + \tau, x) \theta(t_1, x) \, dx \, dt_1 \\
& \leq K_2 \|(a + c)(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})} \\
& \quad + C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p') \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c} \|\phi_{\tau}(\cdot + \tau) (a + c)(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\theta\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{0,2})}
\end{aligned}$$

As $1 \leq q < p$, there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\alpha}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{1}.$$

Hence by interpolation, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1}^\alpha \quad \forall f \in L^q \cap L^1.$$

Using the above interpolation inequality and a duality argument, we obtain

(23)

$$\|\phi_\tau(\cdot + \tau)(a+c)(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq \frac{K_2 M^\alpha M_1^\alpha |\Omega|^\alpha}{\left(1 - C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p') \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c}\right)} \|(a+c)(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \partial_s \phi_\tau(\cdot + \tau)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})}^{1-\alpha}$$

To obtain bounds which are independent of τ , let us take

$$\mathbf{C} := \frac{K_2 M M_1^\alpha |\Omega|^\alpha}{\left(1 - C_{SOR}(\Omega, N, p') \frac{|d_a - d_c|}{d_a + d_c}\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n := \|a+c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{n,n+1})} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

As $\tau \geq 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce from (23) that

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq \mathbf{C} \beta_n^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider the set

$$\Lambda := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{such that} \quad \beta_n \leq \beta_{n+1}\}.$$

Observe that $\beta_n \leq \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ for all $n \in \Lambda$. Hence we deduce that

$$\beta_n \leq \max\{\beta_0, \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\}.$$

Observe that the sum of concentrations $a+c$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(a+c) - \Delta(\mu(a+c)) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \nabla_x(a+c) \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ (a+c)(0, x) = a_0 + c_0 \in L^p(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$\mu(t, x) := \left(\frac{d_a a + d_c c}{a+c} \right) (t, x) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \Omega_T.$$

Observe that μ satisfies

$$0 < \min\{d_a, d_c\} \leq \mu(t, x) \leq \max\{d_a, d_c\} \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \Omega_T.$$

As the diffusion coefficients d_a and d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10), employing the p^{th} order integrability estimate [CDF14, Proposition 1.1, p.1186] (see Theorem 12 from the Appendix for the precise statement), we arrive at

$$\beta_0 = \|a+c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,1})} \leq \left(1 + \max\{d_a, d_c\} \frac{|d_c - d_a| C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}}{2 - |d_c - d_a| C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}} \right) \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

Hence we deduce

$$\beta_n \leq \max \left\{ \left(1 + \max\{d_a, d_c\} \frac{|d_c - d_a| C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}}{2 - |d_c - d_a| C_{\frac{d_a+d_c}{2}, p'}^{PRC}} \right) \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}.$$

Hence there exists a constant C_0 , independent of τ , such that

$$\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} + \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq C_0 \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

□

The following lemma derives the key integrability estimate for the concentration b which will play an important role in our analysis.

Lemma 2. *Let $p > N \geq 4$ and let p' be its Hölder conjugate. Let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2) and let the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10). Then there exists a constant $K_3 > 0$, depending only on the initial data and the dimension N , such that*

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq K_3 (1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Recall that b solves the equation

$$\partial_t b = c - ab.$$

Non-negativity of a and b implies that

$$\partial_t b \leq c.$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ yields

$$b(t, x) \leq b_0(x) + \int_0^t c(s, x) \, ds$$

Raising it to the power N and employing Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$(b(t, x))^N \leq 2^{N-1} \left((b_0(x))^N + \left(\int_0^t c(s, x) \, ds \right)^N \right) \leq 2^{N-1} (1+t)^{N-1} \left((b_0(x))^N + \int_0^t (c(s, x))^N \, ds \right)$$

Integrating the above inequality in the x variable over Ω yields

$$(24) \quad \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^N \leq 2^{N-1} (1+t)^{N-1} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^N + \|c\|_{L^N(\Omega_t)}^N \right)$$

According to Lemma 1, for any $p > N$ and for any $\tau \geq 0$, we have

$$\|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq C_0,$$

where the constant $C_0 > 0$ depends only on the dimension N , the domain Ω and the initial data. Hölder inequality yields

$$\|c\|_{L^N(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-N}{p}}$$

Hence we deduce that for any $t > 0$,

$$\|c\|_{L^N(\Omega_t)}^N \leq C_0^N |\Omega|^{\frac{N(p-N)}{p}} (1+t).$$

Using this in (24) yields

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^N \leq 2^{N-1} (1+t)^{N-1} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^N |\Omega| + C_0^N |\Omega|^{\frac{N(p-N)}{p}} (1+t) \right)$$

Define a constant $K_3 > 0$ as follows:

$$K_3^{\frac{N(N-1)}{N-2}} := 2^{N-1} (M_2 |\Omega|)^{\frac{N}{N-2}} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^N |\Omega| + C_0^N |\Omega|^{\frac{N(p-N)}{p}} \right)$$

Thus we arrive at

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^N(\Omega)} \leq K_3^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} (M_2 |\Omega|)^{-\frac{1}{N-2}} (1+t)$$

Note that

$$\frac{2}{N} = \frac{\alpha}{N} + \frac{1-\alpha}{1} \quad \text{with } \alpha = \frac{N-2}{N-1}.$$

Hence by interpolation, we have

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$$

This yields the following estimate:

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq K_3 (1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}}.$$

□

Unlike the case of dimension $N \geq 4$, we are able to obtain $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ -norm estimates on $b(t, \cdot)$ without any closeness assumption on the diffusion coefficients d_a and d_c in the case of dimensions $N \leq 3$. Next, we prove such an estimate and more in dimension three followed by a similar result in dimensions one and two.

Proposition 3. Let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2) in dimension $N = 3$. Then, there exist constants $\hat{K}, K_c > 0$ and $\mu_c \in \mathbb{N}$, independent of time, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \hat{K}(1+t)^{\frac{5}{6}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \|a\|_{L^{\frac{7}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} &\leq K_c \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0, \\ \|c\|_{L^{\frac{7}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} &\leq K_c(1+t)^{\mu_c} \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that the solution (a, b, c) to the degenerate system (2) satisfies the following estimate:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(4d_a |\nabla \sqrt{a}|^2 + 4d_c |\nabla \sqrt{c}|^2 + (ab - c) \ln \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right) \right) dx ds \leq E(a_0, b_0, c_0) - E(a, b, c) \leq E(a_0, b_0, c_0),$$

where E is the entropy functional defined in (9) and $(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}, c_{\infty})$ is the homogeneous equilibrium state defined by (6)-(8). The above estimate along with the mass conservation properties (4)-(5) results in

$$(25) \quad \int_{\tau}^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq K_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tau}^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{c}(t, \cdot)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq K_4 \quad \text{for any } \tau \geq 0,$$

where $K_4 > 0$ is the following constant

$$K_4 := \frac{E(a_0, b_0, c_0)}{4d_a} + \frac{E(a_0, b_0, c_0)}{4d_c} + 2M_1 |\Omega| + 2M_2 |\Omega|.$$

Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality says that there exist constants $K_5 = K_5(\Omega, N)$ and $K_6 = K_6(\Omega, N, r, q)$ where N is the dimension and $1 \leq p, q, r \leq +\infty$ such that for nonnegative integers $j < m$,

$$\|D^j u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K_5 \|D^m u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{\alpha} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-\alpha} + K_6 \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \quad \text{for any } u \in L^q(\Omega) \text{ satisfying } D^m u \in L^r(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, the various parameters in the above inequality satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{N} + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{N} \right) \alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{j}{N} \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for \sqrt{a} by taking $r = 2, m = 1, j = 0, N = 3, q = 2$ and $\alpha = \frac{3}{5}$ yields

$$\|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega)} \leq K_5 \|\nabla \sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{5}} \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{5}} + K_6 \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Raising it to the power $\frac{10}{3}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} &\leq 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_5^{\frac{10}{3}} \|\nabla \sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_6^{\frac{10}{3}} \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_5^{\frac{10}{3}} \|\nabla \sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 M_1^{\frac{4}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_6^{\frac{10}{3}} M_1^{\frac{10}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{10}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

Take an arbitrary $\tau \geq 0$ and integrate the above inequality from τ to $\tau + 2$ in the t variable to get

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} dt \leq 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_5^{\frac{10}{3}} K_4 M_1^{\frac{4}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2^{\frac{10}{3}} K_6^{\frac{10}{3}} M_1^{\frac{10}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{10}{3}},$$

where we have used the apriori bound (25). Similarly, we can obtain the following estimate for \sqrt{c} :

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{c}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} dt \leq 2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_5^{\frac{10}{3}} K_4 M_1^{\frac{4}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2^{\frac{10}{3}} K_6^{\frac{10}{3}} M_1^{\frac{10}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{10}{3}}.$$

Define a constant $K_7 > 0$ as follows:

$$K_7 := \left(2^{\frac{7}{3}} K_5^{\frac{10}{3}} K_4 M_1^{\frac{4}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2^{\frac{10}{3}} K_6^{\frac{10}{3}} M_1^{\frac{10}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{10}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{5}}.$$

We have thus arrived at the following integrability estimates:

$$(26) \quad \|a\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq K_7 \quad \text{and} \quad \|c\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq K_7 \quad \text{with } \tau \geq 0.$$

Recall that non-negativity of a, b implies $\partial_t b \leq c$ which in turn implies that

$$b(t, x) \leq b_0(x) + \int_0^t c(s, x) ds.$$

Raising the above inequality to the power $\frac{5}{3}$ yields

$$(b(t, x))^{\frac{5}{3}} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left((b_0(x))^{\frac{5}{3}} + \int_0^t (c(s, x))^{\frac{5}{3}} ds \right).$$

Integrating the above inequality over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (b(t, x))^{\frac{5}{3}} dx &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (b_0(x))^{\frac{5}{3}} dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (c(s, x))^{\frac{5}{3}} dx ds \right) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{3}} |\Omega| + \sum_{\tau=0}^{1+[t]} \|c\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})}^{\frac{5}{3}} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{3}} |\Omega| + (1+t)K_7^{\frac{5}{3}} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{3}} |\Omega| + K_7^{\frac{5}{3}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Define a constant $K_8 > 0$ as follows:

$$K_8 := \|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{3}} |\Omega| + K_7^{\frac{5}{3}}.$$

We have thus arrived at the following integrability estimate:

$$(27) \quad \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{3}} \leq K_8(1+t)^{\frac{5}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Note that

$$\frac{2}{3} = \frac{3\alpha}{5} + \frac{1-\alpha}{1} \quad \text{with } \alpha = \frac{5}{6}.$$

Hence by interpolation, we have

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq K_8^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{6}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{6}} (1+t)^{\frac{5}{6}},$$

thanks to the estimate (27) and the mass conservation property (5).

As a is a positive subsolution of the parabolic equation $\partial_t a - d_a \Delta a \leq c$, integrability estimation (11) yields

$$\|a\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a) \|c\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a) K_7.$$

Consider $0 \leq \Theta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_{0,2})$ (space of all compactly supported smooth function) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -[\partial_t \phi + d_c \Delta \phi] &= \Theta && \Omega_{0,2} \\ \nabla \phi \cdot n &= 0 && (0, 2) \times \partial \Omega \\ \phi(2) &= 0 && \Omega \end{aligned}$$

We have $\phi \geq 0$, and the following estimates for a constant $C_{q,d_c} > 0$ ($q \in (1, \infty)$, arbitrary)[Pie10][Ama85]

$$\|\phi_t\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} + \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} + \sup_{s \in [0,2]} \|\phi(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{L^q((0,2) \times \partial \Omega)} \leq C_{q,d_c} \|\Theta\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})}.$$

We derive the following estimate of c , through integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{0,2}} c \Theta &= \int_{\Omega} c_0 \phi(0) - \int_{\Omega_{0,2}} (\partial_t - d_c \Delta) c \phi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} c_0 \phi(0) + \int_{\Omega_{0,2}} (\partial_t - d_a \Delta) a \phi = \int_{\Omega} (c_0 + a_0) \phi(0) + \int_{\Omega_{0,2}} a \partial_t \phi + \int_{\Omega_{0,2}} a d_a \Delta \phi \\ &\leq \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\phi(0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})} + d_a \|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{0,2})} \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega_{0,2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $q = \frac{4.5}{4.5-1}$, i.e., Hölder conjugate of 4.5, duality estimate yields

$$\|c\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega_{0,2})} \leq \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega)} + 2C_{q,d_c} \|a\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega_{0,2})}.$$

Choose $K_{0,1} = \max \{ (C_{IE} + 2C_{q,d_c})(\Omega, d_a) K_7 + \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega)} \}$, we have the following estimate

$$\|a\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega_{0,1})} + \|c\|_{L^{4.5}(\Omega_{0,1})} \leq K_{0,1}.$$

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= 1 & \text{for } x \in [1, \infty) \\ 0 \leq \phi'(x) &\leq M & \text{for } x \in [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $M > 0$. For an arbitrary $\tau > 0$, consider $\phi_\tau : [\tau, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as $\phi_\tau(s) := \phi(s - \tau)$ for $s \in [\tau, \infty)$. Then, the product $\phi_\tau(t)a(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\phi_\tau a) - d_a \Delta(\phi_\tau a) = a \partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau(c - ab) & \text{in } \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau \nabla a \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau(\tau)a(\tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Let $\zeta(t, x)$ be the solution to the following initial boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta - d_a \Delta \zeta = a \partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau c & \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \nabla \zeta \cdot n = 0 & \partial\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \zeta(\tau, x) = 0 & \Omega \end{cases}$$

By employing the maximum principle for the heat equation and exploiting the positivity of a, b , we deduce

$$(28) \quad \phi_\tau(t, x)a(t, x) \leq \zeta(t, x) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2}.$$

Employing the integrability estimate for ζ available from [CDF14, Lemma 3.3] (see Theorem 11 from the Appendix for the precise statement), we deduce that

$$\|\zeta\|_{L^s(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a, s) \|a \partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau c\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq 2C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a, s)(1 + M)K_7 \quad \text{for any } s < 5,$$

where the second inequality is a consequence of the properties of smooth function ϕ and the integrability estimates on a and c from (26). Thanks to the pointwise bound (28), we in particular (taking $s = \frac{9}{2}$) have

$$\|a\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau+1, \tau+2})} \leq \|\zeta\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq 2C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a)(1 + M)K_7 \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

Hence we deduce for $\tau \geq 0$,

$$(29) \quad \|a\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{0,1})} + 2C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a)(1 + M)K_7 \leq K_{0,1} + 2C_{IE}(\Omega, d_a)(1 + M)K_7 =: K_9.$$

Furthermore, integrating the estimate (27) in the time variable from τ to $\tau + 1$ helps us obtain

$$\|b\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq 2K_9^{\frac{3}{5}}(1 + \tau) =: K_{10}(1 + \tau) \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

Observe that an application of the Hölder inequality yields

$$\|ab\|_{L^{\frac{45}{37}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})}^{\frac{2}{5}} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})}^{\frac{3}{5}} \leq K_9^{\frac{2}{5}} K_{10}^{\frac{3}{5}}(1 + \tau)^{\frac{3}{5}}$$

Using the smooth function ϕ defined earlier, remark that the product $\phi_\tau(t)c(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\phi_\tau c) - d_c \Delta(\phi_\tau c) = c \partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau(ab - c) & \text{in } \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau \nabla c \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau(\tau)c(\tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_\tau(s) := \phi(s - \tau)$ for $s \in [\tau, \infty)$ with $\tau > 0$. Thanks to the positivity of c , employing the maximum principle for the heat equation and the integrability estimate from [CDF14, Lemma 3.3] (see Theorem 11 from the Appendix for the precise statement), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\tau c\|_{L^s(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} &\leq C_{IE}(\Omega, d_c, s) \|c \partial_t \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau ab\|_{L^{\frac{45}{37}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \\ &\leq C_{IE} \left\{ M \|c\|_{L^{\frac{45}{37}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} + \|ab\|_{L^{\frac{45}{37}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \right\} \\ &\leq C_{IE} \left\{ M \|c\|_{L^1(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})}^{\frac{5}{9}} \|c\|_{L^{\frac{4}{5}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})}^{\frac{4}{9}} + \|ab\|_{L^{\frac{45}{37}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \right\} \\ &\leq C_{IE} \left\{ MM_1^{\frac{5}{9}} K_7^{\frac{4}{9}} + 2K_9^{\frac{2}{9}} K_{10}^{\frac{3}{5}}(1 + \tau)^{\frac{3}{5}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $s < \frac{(5)\frac{45}{37}}{(5-\frac{30}{37})}$. Taking $s = \frac{9}{4}$ leads to

$$\|\phi_\tau c\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+2})} \leq K_{11}(1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{5}}$$

where $K_{11} := C_{IE}(\Omega, d_c) \max \left\{ MM_1^{\frac{5}{9}} K_7^{\frac{4}{9}}, 2K_9^{\frac{2}{9}} K_{10}^{\frac{3}{9}} \right\}$. Hence we deduce

$$\|c\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq \|c\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega_{0,1})} + K_{11}(1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{5}} \leq K_{0,1} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_{11}(1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{5}} \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

Again, exploiting the relation $\partial_t b \leq c$, we arrive at

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{9}{4}} \leq 2^{\frac{5}{4}}(1+t)^{\frac{5}{4}} \left(\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{9}{4}} |\Omega| + (1+t)^{\frac{47}{20}} K_{12}^{\frac{9}{4}} \right) \leq K_{13}(1+t)^{\frac{18}{5}}$$

thus deducing

$$\|b\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq \left(\frac{5K_{13}}{23} \right)^{\frac{4}{9}} (1+\tau)^{\frac{92}{45}} \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

Hölder inequality results in

$$\|ab\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{9}{4}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq K_9 \left(\frac{5K_{13}}{23} \right)^{\frac{4}{9}} (1+\tau)^{\frac{92}{45}},$$

where we have used the bounds obtained earlier. As $\frac{7}{2} < \frac{(5)\frac{3}{2}}{5-3}$, employing the maximum principle for the heat equation and the integrability estimate from [CDF14, Lemma 3.3] (see Theorem 11 from the Appendix for the precise statement) as before, we get

$$\|c\|_{L^{\frac{7}{2}}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq K_{14}(1+\tau)^{\frac{92}{45}} \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

□

In our above proof Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality played an important role. We will use the same technique to get this $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ integral estimation of b for $N=1,2$ case.

Lemma 3. *Let a, b, c be the solution of the degenerate system-(2) in dimension $N = 1, 2$, then there exists constants $\hat{K}, K_c > 0$ and some $\mu_c \in \mathbb{N}$, independent of time, such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \hat{K}(1+\tau)^{\frac{5}{6}}; & \tau \geq 0 \\ \|a\|_{L^{3.5}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_c}; & \tau \geq 0 \\ \|c\|_{L^{3.5}(\Omega_{\tau,\tau+1})} \leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_c}; & \tau \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Similar as in the proof of proposition-3, we are going to use Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality which says there exists a constant depending on the domain $C_2 = C_2(\Omega, N)$ N be the dimension, for $1 \leq p, q, r \leq +\infty$

$$\|D^j \sqrt{a}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|D^m \sqrt{a}\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^\alpha \|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-\alpha} + \tilde{C}_2(\Omega, N, r, q) \|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}$$

where, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{N} + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{N}\right)\alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}$ and $\frac{j}{N} \leq \alpha \leq 1$

For $N=2$ take $r = 2, m = 1, j = 0, q = 2$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \leq 2^3 C_2^4 \|\nabla \sqrt{a}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2^3 \tilde{C}_2^4 \|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4$$

We have just like in theorem 3 $\forall \tau \geq 0$

$$\int_\tau^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{a}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq K_1; \quad \int_\tau^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{c}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq K_1$$

Where $K_1 = \frac{2E(a_0, b_0, c_0)}{d_a} + \frac{2E(a_0, b_0, c_0)}{d_c} + 2M_1\Omega + 2M_2\Omega$. Integrating from τ to $\tau + 2$

$$\int_\tau^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{a}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \leq 2^4 C_2^4 M_1^2 |\Omega|^2 K_1 + 2^4 \tilde{C}_2^4 M_1^4;$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+2} \|\sqrt{c}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \leq 2^4 C_2^4 M_1^2 |\Omega|^2 K_1 + 2^4 \tilde{C}_2^4 M_1^4$$

Take $K_2 = (2^4 C_2^4 M_1^2 |\Omega|^2 K_1 + 2^4 \tilde{C}_2^4 M_1^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have, $\forall \tau \geq 0$

$$\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq K_2, \quad \|c\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq K_2$$

Applying Hölder inequality we get for all $\tau \geq 0$,

$$(30) \quad \|a\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq 2K_2 |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \|c\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} \leq 2K_2 |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{6}}$$

Rest of the proof is just like the proof of proposition 3. We will get $\hat{K} > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$

$$\|b\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \leq \hat{K}(1+t)^{\frac{5}{6}}$$

Now for $N=1$ we take, $r = 2, m = 1, j = 0, q = 2$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ and like in the previous $N=2$ case a similar approach gives us $\exists \hat{K} > 0, K_c > 0$ and $\mu_c \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for $\tau \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} &\leq \hat{K}(1+\tau)^{\frac{5}{6}}; & \tau \geq 0 \\ \|a\|_{L^{3.5}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} &\leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_c}; & \tau \geq 0 \\ \|c\|_{L^{3.5}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} &\leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_c}; & \tau \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Now above proposition-1 and proposition-3 will help us to relate entropy dissipation with the missing term δ_B . Furthermore the integral estimates of c will provide us polynomial growth for the solutions too. We begin by the polynomial growth in the next theorem.

Lemma 4. *Let a, b, c be the solution of degenerate system-(2). Furthermore let for $p > \frac{N+2}{2}$, there exists a constant $K_c > 0$, and some $\mu_c \in \mathbb{N}$, independent of time, such that:*

$$\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} + \|c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq K_c(1+\tau)^{\mu_c}, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0$$

Then there exists constant K_{∞} and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$\begin{cases} \|a\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_t)} \leq K_{\infty}(1+t)^{\mu}; & \forall t \geq 0 \\ \|b\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_t)} \leq K_{\infty}(1+t)^{\mu}; & \forall t \geq 0 \\ \|c\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_t)} \leq K_{\infty}(1+t)^{\mu}; & \forall t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Considering $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\phi(0) = 0; \phi|_{[1, \infty)} = 1$ and $\phi' \in [0, M_{\phi}]$. Let's denote $\phi_{\tau}(s) = \phi(s - \tau)$, then:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi_{\tau} a - d_a \Delta \phi_{\tau} a = \phi'_{\tau} a + \phi_{\tau} (c - ab) & \text{in } \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \nabla \phi_{\tau} a \cdot \gamma = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_{\tau} a(\tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then from integrability estimation(appendix-11) we have:

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\tau} a\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} &\leq C_{IE}(\Omega, N, p) \|\phi'_{\tau} a + \phi_{\tau} c\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \\ \|a\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\tau+1, \tau+2})} &\leq C_{IE}(\Omega, N, p) (1 + M_{\phi}) K_c (1 + \tau)^{\mu_c} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore from the relation $\text{partial}_t b \leq c$ using jensen inequality we get for some $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|b\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K_1(1+t)^{\mu_1}$$

Integrating with respect to time variable from τ to $\tau + 1$, we will get some $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|b\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq K_2(1+\tau)^{\mu_2}$$

This along with relation-(31) implies for some $\mu_3 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|ab\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \leq K_3(1+\tau)^{\mu_3}; \quad p > \frac{N+2}{2}$$

Considering the same smooth cutoff function ϕ

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi_\tau c - d_c \Delta \phi_\tau c = \phi'_\tau c + \phi_\tau (ab - c) & \text{in } \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \nabla \phi_\tau c \cdot \gamma = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\tau, \tau+2} \\ \phi_\tau c(\tau, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then from integrability estimation(appendix-11) we have:

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\phi_\tau c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+2})} &\leq C_{IE}(\Omega, N, p) \|\phi'_\tau c + \phi_\tau ab\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, \tau+1})} \\ \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\tau+1, \tau+2})} &\leq C_{IE}(\Omega, N, p) (1 + M_\phi) (K_c + K_3) (1 + \tau)^{\mu_c + \mu_3} \end{aligned}$$

Now again from the relation $\partial_t b \leq c$ using jensen inequality we get for some $\mu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_4 (1 + t)^{\mu_4}$$

This along with supremum estimates of a ((31)) and c ((32)) gives us the required result, that there exists constant K_∞ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$\begin{cases} \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_\infty (1 + t)^\mu; & \forall t \geq 0 \\ \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_\infty (1 + t)^\mu; & \forall t \geq 0 \\ \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_\infty (1 + t)^\mu; & \forall t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Note for $N \geq 4$, if d_a, d_c satisfying closeness condition for $p > N \geq \frac{N+2}{2}$, the assumption in the theorem-4 is automatically satisfied(proposition-1), however the assumption holds true also for $N = 1, 2, 3$ without any closeness condition. \square

Next we show the integral estimate of b , as in lemma-2 and proposition-3, helps us to relate the missing term δ_B with the entropy dissipation functional. The relation is described in the following proposition:

Proposition 4. *Let $N \geq 4$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). Let the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10). Then the entropy dissipation $D(a, b, c)$ satisfies*

$$(33) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \mathcal{K} (1 + t)^{-\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where the positive constant \mathcal{K} depends only on the dimension N , the domain Ω , the constants M_1 and M_2 in the mass conservation properties (4)-(5) and the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a and d_c .

Proof. We rewrite the entropy dissipation functional as

$$(34) \quad D(a, b, c) = 4d_a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla A|^2 dx + 4d_c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla C|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (ab - c) \ln \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right) dx.$$

We recall an algebraic identity which says that for all $p, q \geq 0$, there holds $(p - q) (\ln p - \ln q) \geq 4 (\sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q})^2$. Using this algebraic identity in the last term and employing the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality (see Theorem 7 from the Appendix for the precise statement) for the first two terms of the above dissipation functional yields

$$(35) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

where $P(\Omega) = C \left(\Omega, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right)$ is the Poincaré constant. From the above inequality, it follows that

$$(36) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \eta \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

for any $0 \leq \eta \leq 4$. As a consequence of the Hölder inequality, the inequality (35) leads to

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_c |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

It is apparent from the above lower bound that a term involving $\|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ is missing. To arrive at a lower bound involving this missing term, we fix an arbitrary constant $\varepsilon > 0$ (to be chosen later) and distinguish two cases: a case corresponding to

$$(37) \quad \max \left\{ \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \leq \varepsilon$$

and another case corresponding to

$$(38) \quad \max \left\{ \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\} > \varepsilon.$$

We first treat the case corresponding to (37). Observe that

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|(\delta_A + \bar{A})B - (\delta_C + \bar{C})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|(\bar{A}B - \bar{C}) + (B\delta_A - \delta_C)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

We recall an algebraic identity which says that for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, there holds $(p - q)^2 \geq \frac{p^2}{2} - q^2$. Using this algebraic identity in the above equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|B\delta_A - \delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\|B\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the algebraic identity $(p - q)^2 \leq 2p^2 + 2q^2$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Employing the Hölder inequality in the second and third terms of the lower bound in the above inequality results in

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\|b\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 - 2|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2.$$

Next, using the key integrability estimate on $\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)}$ from Lemma 2 yields

$$(39) \quad \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2K_3(1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 - 2|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2.$$

In order to relate the above lower bound to $\|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, we further analyse the following term:

$$\|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Note that if $\bar{A} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, then

$$(40) \quad \|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \varepsilon \|B - \bar{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

To see this, factorising $\bar{A}B$ as $\bar{C}(1 + \mu(x))$, we get

$$\|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \bar{C}^2 \overline{\mu^2} |\Omega| \quad \text{and} \quad \|B - \bar{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \frac{\bar{C}^2}{\bar{A}^2} \|\mu - \bar{\mu}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\bar{C}^2}{\bar{A}^2} \overline{\mu^2} |\Omega| \leq \frac{\bar{C}^2 \overline{\mu^2} |\Omega|}{\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, let us consider the case when $\bar{A} < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Note that

$$\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = |\Omega| \left(\bar{A}^2 - \bar{A}^2 \right) \implies \bar{A}^2 \leq \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \right),$$

where we have also used the fact that we are dealing with the case $\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}^2 &= \bar{C}^2 - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \bar{C}^2 + \bar{A}^2 - \bar{A}^2 - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq M_1 - \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the mass conservation property (4), the bound on \bar{A}^2 from above and the fact that $\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon$.

Now, using the algebraic identity $(p - q)^2 \geq \frac{p^2}{2} - q^2$, we arrive at

$$\|\bar{A}B - \bar{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \frac{|\Omega|}{2} \left(\bar{C}^2 - 2\bar{A}^2 \bar{B}^2 \right) \geq \frac{|\Omega|}{2} \left(M_1 - \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \right) - 2\varepsilon M_2 \right)$$

where we have used the aforementioned lower bound for \overline{C}^2 , the mass conservation property (5) and that $\overline{A} < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Let us now choose

$$(41) \quad \varepsilon := \frac{M_1}{2} \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + 2 + 2M_2 |\Omega|}.$$

With the above choice of ε , we obtain

$$(42) \quad \|\overline{AB} - \overline{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{M_1 |\Omega|}{4} \geq \frac{M_1}{4M_2} \|B - \overline{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

where we have used the observation (43) from earlier. Using (40) and (42) in (39) and (36) helps us deduce that

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \left(\frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} - 2\eta K_3 (1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \right) \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \left(\frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} - 2\eta |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \right) \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \eta\varepsilon \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for the case $\overline{A} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and that

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \left(\frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} - 2\eta K_3 (1+t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \right) \|\delta_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \left(\frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} - 2\eta |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \right) \|\delta_C\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 + \eta \frac{M_1}{8M_2} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for the case $\overline{A} < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Next, observe that by taking

$$\eta(t) := \left(\frac{2 \min\{d_a, d_c, 2\}}{P(\Omega) \left(K_3 + |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \right) + 1} \right) (1+t)^{-\frac{N-2}{N-1}}$$

we obtain

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{M_1 |\Omega|}{2|\Omega| + 4 + 4M_2 |\Omega|}, \frac{M_1}{8M_2} \right\} \eta(t) \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Observe that the above choice of η does satisfy $0 \leq \eta \leq 4$. Hence we deduce in the case corresponding to (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{2} D(a, b, c) + \frac{1}{2} D(a, b, c) \\ &\geq \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{2d_c |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{M_1 |\Omega|}{2|\Omega| + 4 + 4M_2 |\Omega|}, \frac{M_1}{8M_2} \right\} \eta(t) \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \mathcal{K}_1 (1+t)^{-\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := \min \left\{ \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)}, \frac{2d_c |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)}, \min \left\{ \frac{M_1 |\Omega|}{2|\Omega| + 4 + 4M_2 |\Omega|}, \frac{M_1}{8M_2} \right\} \left(\frac{\min\{d_a, d_c, 2\}}{P(\Omega) \left(K_3 + |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}} \right) + 1} \right) \right\}.$$

The case corresponding to (38) is relatively simpler. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, b, c) &\geq \frac{4|\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \min\{d_a, d_c\} \max \left\{ \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \\ &> \frac{4\varepsilon |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \min\{d_a, d_c\} \geq \frac{4\varepsilon |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega) M_2 |\Omega|} \min\{d_a, d_c\} \|B - \overline{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality is thanks to the following observation:

$$(43) \quad \|B - \overline{B}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \overline{B} |\Omega| \leq \|B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|b\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M_2 |\Omega|,$$

which is a consequence of the mass conservation property (5). Hence we deduce in this case that

$$\begin{aligned}
D(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{2}D(a, b, c) + \frac{1}{2}D(a, b, c) \\
&\geq \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{2d_c |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}} \min\{d_a, d_c\}}{P(\Omega)M_2 |\Omega|} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\geq \mathcal{K}_2 (1+t)^{-\frac{N-2}{N-1}} \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where

$$\mathcal{K}_2 := \min \left\{ \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)}, \frac{2d_c |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}}}{P(\Omega)}, \frac{2\varepsilon |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{N}} \min\{d_a, d_c\}}{P(\Omega)M_2 |\Omega|} \right\}.$$

Taking $\mathcal{K} := \min\{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2\}$ yields the desired result. \square

It should be noted that a result similar to that of Proposition 4 can be found when the dimension $N < 4$. As in the proof of the above proposition, employing the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in the expression (34) for the entropy dissipation for the case of $N < 4$ yields

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_c}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_C\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Arguing exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4 and exploiting the bound

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \hat{K} (1+t)^{\frac{5}{6}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

obtained in Proposition 3 and Lemma 3 helps us prove the following result. To avoid the repeat of arguments, we skip its proof. The key point to be noted, however, is that this result is unconditional in the sense that the nonzero diffusion coefficients are not assumed to satisfy the closeness condition (10).

Proposition 5. *Let $N < 4$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). The entropy dissipation $D(a, b, c)$ satisfies*

$$D(a, b, c) \geq \mathcal{S} (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{6}} \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where the positive constant \mathcal{S} depends only on the dimension N , the domain Ω , the constants M_1 and M_2 in the mass conservation properties (4)-(5) and the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a and d_c .

Next, we derive a sub-exponential decay estimate for the relative entropy.

Proposition 6. *Let $N \geq 4$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). Let $(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Let the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a, d_c satisfy the closeness condition (10). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_ε and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 such that*

$$(44) \quad E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \leq \mathcal{S}_1 e^{-\mathcal{S}_2(1+t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{N-1}}} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

Proof. The relative entropy reads

$$\begin{aligned}
E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) &= \int_{\Omega} (a \ln a - a - a_\infty \ln a_\infty + a_\infty) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (b \ln b - b - b_\infty \ln b_\infty + b_\infty) \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (c \ln c - c - c_\infty \ln c_\infty + c_\infty) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation (7), the above expression for the relative entropy becomes

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned}
E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(a \ln \frac{a}{a_\infty} - a + a_\infty \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(b \ln \frac{b}{b_\infty} - b + b_\infty \right) \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(c \ln \frac{c}{c_\infty} - c + c_\infty \right) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define a function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$(46) \quad \Phi(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{x \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - x + y}{(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2} & \text{for } x \neq y, \\ 2 & \text{for } x = y. \end{cases}$$

It can be shown (see [DF06, Lemma 2.1, p.162] for details) that the above defined function satisfies the following bound:

$$(47) \quad \Gamma(x, y) \leq C_\Phi \max \left\{ 1, \ln \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right\}$$

for some positive constant C_Φ . Next we rewrite the relative entropy as

$$E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) = \int_\Omega \Phi(a, a_\infty)(A - A_\infty)^2 dx + \int_\Omega \Phi(b, b_\infty)(B - B_\infty)^2 dx + \int_\Omega \Phi(c, c_\infty)(C - C_\infty)^2 dx.$$

Note that for any $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $q > 0$, we have

$$\ln p - \ln q \leq \ln(1 + |p|) + |\ln q| \leq 1 + \ln |p| + |\ln q|$$

and for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ and $q > 0$, we have

$$\ln p - \ln q \leq |\ln q|.$$

This helps us arrive at

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} & E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \\ & \leq C_1 (1 + \ln(1 + t)) \left(\|A - A_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|B - B_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|C - C_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where the positive constant C_1 is given by

$$C_1 := C_\Phi (1 + |\ln a_\infty| + |\ln b_\infty| + |\ln c_\infty| + |\ln K_\infty| + \mu).$$

Here the constants K_∞ and μ are the ones appearing in the $L^\infty(\Omega_t)$ bounds on the concentrations from Lemma 4. The factor $(1 + \ln(1 + t))$ in the above estimate of the relative entropy is due to the fact that Lemma 4 says that at least one of the concentrations has a polynomial (in time) bound on its L^∞ -norm. It should be noted that having an uniform (in time) bound on all of the concentrations gets rid of this time factor. In [DF06], the authors prove the following bound

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|A - A_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|B - B_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|C - C_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

exploiting only the conservation properties (4)-(5). Furthermore, the constant C_2 in the above bound depends only on the equilibrium states $A_\infty, B_\infty, C_\infty$ and the constants M_1, M_2 from the conservation properties (4)-(5) (see [DF06, Lemma 3.2, p.168] for precise expression for the constant). We thus arrive at the following bound for the relative entropy using (48) and (49):

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} & E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \\ & \leq C_3 (1 + \ln(1 + t)) \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant $C_3 := C_1 C_2$. Hence, thanks to the lower bound (33) obtained in Proposition 4 and the lower bound (35), it follows from (50) that

$$E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \leq C_3 (1 + \ln(1 + t)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}}, \frac{1}{4} \right\} (1 + t)^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}} D(a, b, c).$$

Note that for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_ε such that

$$\ln(1 + t) < (1 + t)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{N-1}} \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

Hence we have

$$E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \leq C_4 (1 + t)^{\frac{N-2+\varepsilon}{N-1}} D(a, b, c) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_\varepsilon,$$

where the constant $C_4 := C_3 \max\{\frac{1}{\mathcal{K}}, \frac{1}{4}\}$. Recall that we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) = -D(a, b, c) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) \leq -\frac{1}{C_4} (1+t)^{-\frac{N-2+\varepsilon}{N-1}} (E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

Integrating the above differential inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E(a(t, \cdot), b(t, \cdot), c(t, \cdot)) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \\ & \leq (E(a(T_\varepsilon, \cdot), b(T_\varepsilon, \cdot), c(T_\varepsilon, \cdot)) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) e^{-\frac{N-1}{C_4(1-\varepsilon)}(1+T_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{N-1}}} e^{-\frac{N-1}{C_4(1-\varepsilon)}(1+t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{N-1}}} \\ & \leq (E(a_0, b_0, c_0) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) e^{-\frac{N-1}{C_4(1-\varepsilon)}(1+t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{N-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the sub-exponential decay (44) of relative entropy with the following explicit constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= (E(a_0, b_0, c_0) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) e^{-\frac{N-1}{C_4(1-\varepsilon)}} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &= \frac{N-1}{C_4(1-\varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

□

A result similar to that of Proposition 6 can be found when the dimension $N < 4$. The proof goes along similar lines and we skip it in the interest of space. The proof banks on the lower bound for the dissipation functional obtained in Proposition 5. The key point to be noted, however, is that this result is unconditional in the sense that the nonzero diffusion coefficients are not assumed to satisfy the closeness condition (10).

Proposition 7. *Let $N < 4$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (2). Let $(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_ε and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_3 and \mathcal{S}_4 such that*

$$E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \leq \mathcal{S}_3 e^{-\mathcal{S}_4(1+t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{6}}} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

We are now equipped to prove our main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1. We have already obtained sub-exponential decay (in time) of the relative entropy in Proposition 6 (for dimension $N \geq 4$) and in Proposition 7 (for dimension $N < 4$). Hence the sub-exponential decay in the L^1 -norm is a direct consequence of the following Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker type inequality that relates relative entropy and the L^1 -norm:

$$\begin{aligned} E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) & \geq \frac{(3+2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{2M_1(9+2\sqrt{2})} \|a - a_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{(3+2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{2M_1(9+2\sqrt{2})} \|b - b_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{(3+2\sqrt{2})|\Omega|}{(M_1+M_2)(9+2\sqrt{2})} \|c - c_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the above functional inequality is available in [DF06, Lemma 3.3, p.173] which exploits the conservation properties (4) and (5). □

3. THE CASE OF $d_c = 0$

In this section, we shall devote our attention to the study of the degenerate model (3) which corresponds to the vanishing of the diffusion coefficient d_c . The existence of a smooth positive solution to (3) was proved in [DF15, Theorem 3.2] for all smooth initial non-negative data if the dimension $N \leq 3$. Our main objective of this section is to understand the large time behaviour of solutions to (3). Here, we choose to present our computations when the dimension $N = 3$. Analogous results hold true in dimensions one and two as well. Note that we have the entropy equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)) = -D(a, b, c) \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

where (a, b, c) is the solution to the degenerate model (3) and $(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)$ is the corresponding equilibrium state given by (6) and (8). The dissipation functional D in the above equality is given by

$$D(a, b, c) = 4d_a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla A|^2 dx + 4d_b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla B|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (ab - c) \ln \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right) dx.$$

We arrive at the following straightforward lower bound for the dissipation:

$$(51) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_b}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

thanks to the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality and an algebraic identity which says that for all $p, q \geq 0$, there holds $(p - q) (\ln p - \ln q) \geq 4 (\sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q})^2$. An application of the Hölder inequality leads to

$$(52) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_b |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

It is apparent from the above inequality that the term involving $\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ is missing from its lower bound. A similar scenario was handled in the previous section while dealing with the missing $\|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ term. Our strategy was to derive polynomial (in time) bounds on the supremum norms of the concentrations. Here too, we will adapt a similar approach. Note, however, that the proofs of most results in this section markedly differ from the proofs in the previous section. We begin with a time-dependent $L^1(\Omega)$ estimate on certain combinations of the concentrations. This result is inspired by [DFPV07, Theorem 3.1, p.495]. Our proof argues along similar lines as in [DFPV07] while keeping track of the polynomial (in time) bound.

Lemma 5. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Then, there exist positive constants ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 such that for all $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(a^2(s, x) + a(s, x)c(s, x) \right) dx ds &\leq \ell_1 (1 + t), \\ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(b^2(s, x) + b(s, x)c(s, x) \right) dx ds &\leq \ell_2 (1 + t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Adding up the equations for a and c in the degenerate model (3), we obtain

$$(53) \quad \partial_t (a + c) - d_a \Delta a = 0.$$

Let $H \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be arbitrary and let $Z := \frac{a d_a}{a+c}$. Now consider the following backward parabolic problem:

$$(54) \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_t w - Z \Delta w = H \sqrt{Z} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \nabla w \cdot n(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_T, \\ w(T, x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this duality approach, the idea is to multiply the equation (53) by the solution w to the backward problem (54) followed by an integration over Ω_T leading to

$$-\int_{\Omega} w(0, x) (a_0(x) + c_0(x)) dx - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a + c) \partial_t w dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} d_a a \Delta w dx dt = 0,$$

thanks to integration by parts. Using the equation satisfied by w in (54), we deduce the following:

$$(55) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a + c) H \sqrt{Z} dx dt = \int_{\Omega} w(0, x) (a_0(x) + c_0(x)) dx \leq \|w(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where the inequality is due to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Our next objective is to get an estimate for $\|w(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. To that end, multiply the evolution equation by $-\Delta w$ and integrating with respect to the spatial variable yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta w \partial_t w dx + \int_{\Omega} Z (\Delta w)^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} H \sqrt{Z} \Delta w dx.$$

Performing an integration by parts in the first term on the left hand side (while using the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition from (54)) and employing Young's inequality for the term on the right hand side yields

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} Z (\Delta w)^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} Z (\Delta w)^2 dx.$$

Integrating the above inequality on the interval $(0, T)$ in the time variable results in

$$(56) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(0, x)|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} Z (\Delta w)^2 dx dt \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H^2 dx dt.$$

Hence, invoking Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(w(0, x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} w(0, y) dy \right)^2 dx \leq P(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(0, x)|^2 dx,$$

where $P(\Omega)$ is the Poincaré constant. Note that integrating the evolution equation in (54) over Ω_T yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} w(0, x) dx &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} Z \Delta w dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H \sqrt{Z} dx dt \\ &\leq \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} Z (\Delta w)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left\| \sqrt{Z} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq 2 \|H\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \left\| \sqrt{Z} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the estimate from (56). Using the fact that $Z \leq d_a$, we deduce

$$(57) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} w(0, x) dx \right)^2 \leq 4d_a T |\Omega| \|H\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |w(0, x)|^2 dx \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(w(0, x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} w(0, y) dy \right)^2 dx + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \left(\int_{\Omega} w(0, x) dx \right)^2 \leq (2P(\Omega) + 8d_a T) \|H\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2,$$

thanks to (56) and (57). Going back to (55), we have thus obtained

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a + c) H \sqrt{Z} dx dt \leq (2P(\Omega) + 8d_a T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|H\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

Since the above inequality holds true for arbitrary $H \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we deduce by duality that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a + c)^2 Z dx dt \leq (2P(\Omega) + 8d_a T) \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

Substituting for Z in the above inequality, we arrive at

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a^2 + ac) dx dt \leq \left(\frac{2P(\Omega) + 8d_a}{d_a} \right) \|a_0 + c_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 (1 + T).$$

Proceeding exactly as above but working with the equation satisfied by $b + c$, we can obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (b^2 + bc) dx dt \leq \left(\frac{2P(\Omega) + 8d_b}{d_b} \right) \|b_0 + c_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 (1 + T).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Then, there exist positive constants ℓ_3, ℓ_4, ℓ_7 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \ell_3 (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{3}} && \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \ell_4 (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{3}} && \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \|c(t, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} &\leq \ell_7 (1 + t) && \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation for a in (3) by a and integrating in space and time variables yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a^2 dx + d_a \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla a|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_0^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} ac dx ds,$$

where we have used the fact that a, b are non-negative. The estimate from Lemma 5 helps us get

$$(58) \quad \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + d_a \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq \|a_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\ell_1(1+t).$$

As

$$\frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1} + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{3}}{2} = \frac{2}{3},$$

interpolation leads to the following bound:

$$\|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq M_1^{\frac{1}{3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\|a_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\ell_1(1+t) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where we have used the mass conservation property (4). Taking $\ell_3 := \left(M_1 |\Omega| \left(\|a_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\ell_1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we have thus shown

$$\|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \ell_3 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Arguing exactly as above, we obtain

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \ell_4 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

with the constant $\ell_4 = \left(M_2 |\Omega| \left(\|b_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\ell_2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. It follows from (58) and the estimate from Lemma 5 that

$$(59) \quad \int_0^t \|a(s, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq \ell_5(1+t).$$

A similar estimate holds for $\|b\|_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))}^2$ as well. By Sobolev embedding we have

$$(60) \quad \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq \ell_6 \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq \ell_6 \|b(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

Exploiting the non-negativity of c , observe from (3) that c satisfies the inequality $\partial_t c \leq ab$. Hence we have

$$(c(t, x))^3 \leq 2^2 \left((c_0(x))^3 + \left(\int_0^t a(s, x) b(s, x) ds \right)^3 \right) \leq 2^2(1+t)^2 \left((c_0(x))^3 + \int_0^t (a(s, x) b(s, x))^3 ds \right),$$

thanks to Jensen's inequality. Integrating the above inequality in the x variable yields

$$\|c(t, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 \leq 2^2(1+t)^2 \left(\|c_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 + \int_0^t \|ab(s, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 ds \right)$$

Employing the Hölder inequality leads to the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(t, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 &\leq 2^2(1+t)^2 \left(\|c_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 + \int_0^t \|a(s, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b(s, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \right) \\ &\leq 2^2(1+t)^2 \left(\|c_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\|a(s, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)} + \|b(s, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \right) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the Young's inequality. Hence it follows from (59) and (60) that

$$\|c(t, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \leq \ell_7(1+t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

for some constant ℓ_7 □

Our next task is to obtain polynomial (in time) growth estimates on the solution in the supremum norm.

Proposition 8. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Then, there exist positive constants K_∞ and μ such that for all $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} &\leq K_\infty (1+t)^\mu \\ \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} &\leq K_\infty (1+t)^\mu \\ \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} &\leq K_\infty (1+t)^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let G_{d_a} denote the Green's function associated with the operator $\partial_t - d_a \Delta$ with Neumann boundary condition. We can express the solution a as follows:

$$(61) \quad a(t, x) = \tilde{a}(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega G_{d_a}(t-s, x, y) [c-ab](s, y) dy ds,$$

where \tilde{a} solves the following initial boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{a} - d_a \Delta \tilde{a} = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla \tilde{a} \cdot n(x) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, x \in \partial\Omega, \\ \tilde{a}(0, x) = a_0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We recall the following Gaussian bound on the Neumann Green's function (see [Mor83, Theorem 2.2, p.37]): there exist positive constants C_H, κ such that

$$(62) \quad |G_{d_a}(t-s, x, y)| \leq C_H \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\kappa \frac{|x-y|^2}{(t-s)}} =: g(t-s, x-y)$$

Also see [CK15, Theorem 3.1, p.639] for general parabolic operators. As a consequence we have the following bound on the solution \tilde{a} to the above homogeneous problem:

$$(63) \quad \|\tilde{a}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for some positive constant C_S , independent of time, and for any $p \geq 1$. In (61), the positivity of a and b leads to

$$a(t, x) \leq \tilde{a}(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega G_{d_a}(t-s, x, y) c(s, y) dy ds.$$

Using the aforementioned Gaussian bound, we arrive at

$$a(t, x) \leq \tilde{a}(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega g(t-s, x-y) c(s, y) dy ds.$$

Computing the L^p norm in the x variable, the above inequality leads to

$$\|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \|g(t-s, \cdot)\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \|c(s, \cdot)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} ds,$$

thanks to the bound (63), the Minkowski's integral inequality and the Young's convolution inequality with

$$1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

Therefore, there exists a positive constant $C_{H,N,r}$ such that

$$(64) \quad \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C_{H,N,r} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \|c(s, \cdot)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} ds$$

Taking $q = 3$ and $p = \infty$ in the above bound, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C_S \|a_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C_{H,N,r} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{6}} \|c(s, \cdot)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq C_S \|a_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C_{H,N,r} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{6}} (1+s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the bound from Lemma 6. Hence we arrive at

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_\infty (1+t)^{\frac{18-N}{6}}$$

for some positive constant K_∞ . Arguing along exactly same lines, we can obtain an estimate of b in the supremum norm as well. Again, exploiting the positivity of c , we have from (3)

$$c(t, x) \leq c_0(x) + \int_0^t a(s, x)b(s, x) ds.$$

The above supremum norm estimates on a and b will help us arrive at the supremum norm estimate for c as well. \square

Recall from the lower bound in (52) that the term involving $\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is apparently missing. Similar to Propositions 4 and 5, we now derive a lower bound for the dissipation functional involving this missing term.

Proposition 9. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Then the entropy dissipation $D(a, b, c)$ satisfies*

$$(65) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \mathcal{K}_c (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(\|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where the positive constant \mathcal{K}_c depends only on the domain Ω , the constants M_1 and M_2 in the mass conservation properties (4)-(5) and the nonzero diffusion coefficients d_a and d_b .

Proof. It follows from (51) that

$$(66) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4d_b}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \eta \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for any $0 \leq \eta \leq 4$. In order to relate the dissipation functional to the missing $\|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ term, we work on the following term:

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \|(\delta_A + \bar{A})(\delta_B + \bar{B}) - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}\bar{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - 3 \|\bar{A}\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 3 \|\bar{B}\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 3 \|\delta_A\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following algebraic identities that hold for all $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(p - q)^2 \geq \frac{p^2}{2} - q^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (p + q + r)^2 \leq 3(p^2 + q^2 + r^2).$$

Using the mass conservation property (4), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} A(t, x) dx \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(t, x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \implies \bar{A} \leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we have $\bar{B} \leq M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence we arrive at

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}\bar{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - 3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 3 \|\delta_A\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Employing the Hölder inequality in the last three terms in the above lower bound leads to

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}\bar{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - 3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3 \|\delta_A^2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2$$

Using the algebraic identity $(p - q)^2 \leq 2(p^2 + q^2)$ in the last term of the above lower bound, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}\bar{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - 3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - 6 \left\| A^2 + \bar{A}^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By employing triangular inequality in the last term of the above lower bound, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\overline{A}\overline{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - 6 \|a\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\overline{A}\overline{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) + 6\ell_3 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the estimate on $\|a\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)}$ from Lemma 6. Now we claim that

$$\|\overline{A}\overline{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|C - \overline{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

To see this, factorising C as $\overline{A}\overline{B}(1 + \mu(x))$, we get

$$\|\overline{A}\overline{B} - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \overline{A}^2 \overline{B}^2 \overline{\mu}^2 |\Omega| \quad \text{and} \quad \|C - \overline{C}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \overline{A}^2 \overline{B}^2 \|\mu - \overline{\mu}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \overline{A}^2 \overline{B}^2 \overline{\mu}^2 |\Omega|.$$

Putting it all together, we arrive at

$$\|AB - C\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) + 6\ell_3 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 - 3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2.$$

Hence it follows from (66) that

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} D(a, b, c) &\geq \left(\frac{4d_a}{P(\Omega)} - 3\eta M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) \|\delta_A\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{4d_b}{P(\Omega)} - \eta \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) + 6\ell_3 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right) \|\delta_B\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us take

$$\eta(t) := \frac{4 \min\{d_a, d_b, 1\}}{P(\Omega) \left(3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} + |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) + 6\ell_3\right) + 1} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then, it follows from (67) that the dissipation functional has the following lower bound:

$$(68) \quad D(a, b, c) \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta(t) \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Observe that the above choice of η clearly satisfies $0 \leq \eta \leq 4$. To conclude our proof, we write

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{2} D(a, b, c) + \frac{1}{2} D(a, b, c) \\ &\geq \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{2d_b |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)} \|\delta_B\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \eta(t) \|\delta_C\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the lower bound from (66) for the first term while the lower bound from (68) for the second term. Hence we have proved (65) with the constant \mathcal{K}_c given by

$$\mathcal{K}_c := \min \left\{ \frac{2d_a |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)}, \frac{2d_b |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{P(\Omega)}, \frac{\min\{d_a, d_b, 1\}}{P(\Omega) \left(3M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} + |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(3M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6M_1\right) + 6\ell_3\right) + 1} \right\}.$$

□

Thanks to the lower bound in (65), we can derive a sub-exponential decay estimate for the relative entropy.

Proposition 10. *Let $N \leq 3$ and let (a, b, c) be the solution to the degenerate system (3). Let $(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty)$ be the associated equilibrium state given by (6)-(8). Then, for any given positive $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a time T_ε and two positive constants \mathcal{S}_5 and \mathcal{S}_6 such that*

$$(69) \quad E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \leq \mathcal{S}_5 e^{-\mathcal{S}_6(1+t)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{3}}} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

The proof of the above proposition is exactly similar to the proof of Proposition 6. Hence we skip the proof. Note that the constants \mathcal{S}_5 and \mathcal{S}_6 appearing in (69) depend on the constant \mathcal{K}_c (appearing in Proposition 65), the constants K_∞ and μ (appearing in Proposition 8). Finally, we are all equipped to prove our main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2. We have already obtained sub-exponential decay (in time) of the relative entropy (69) in Proposition 10. Hence the sub-exponential decay in the L^1 -norm is a direct consequence of the following Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker type inequality that relates relative entropy and the L^1 -norm:

$$E(a, b, c) - E(a_\infty, b_\infty, c_\infty) \geq \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) |\Omega|}{2M_1 (9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|a - a_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) |\Omega|}{2M_1 (9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|b - b_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) |\Omega|}{(M_1 + M_2) (9 + 2\sqrt{2})} \|c - c_\infty\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

The proof of the above functional inequality is available in [DF06, Lemma 3.3, p.173] which exploits the conservation properties (4) and (5). \square

REFERENCES

- [Ama85] Herbert Amann. Global existence for semilinear parabolic systems. 1985.
- [CDF14] José A Canizo, Laurent Desvillettes, and Klemens Fellner. Improved duality estimates and applications to reaction-diffusion equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 39(6):1185–1204, 2014.
- [CK15] Mourad Choulli and Laurent Kayser. Gaussian lower bound for the neumann green function of a general parabolic operator. *Positivity*, 19:625–646, 2015.
- [DF06] Laurent Desvillettes and Klemens Fellner. Exponential decay toward equilibrium via entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 319(1):157–176, 2006.
- [DF08] Laurent Desvillettes and Klemens Fellner. Entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations: slowly growing a-priori bounds. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 24(2):407–431, 2008.
- [DF15] Laurent Desvillettes and Klemens Fellner. Duality and entropy methods for reversible reaction-diffusion equations with degenerate diffusion. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 38(16):3432–3443, 2015.
- [DFPV07] Laurent Desvillettes, Klemens Fellner, Michel Pierre, and Julien Vovelle. Global existence for quadratic systems of reaction-diffusion. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 7(3):491–511, 2007.
- [EMT20] Amit Einav, Jeffrey J Morgan, and Bao Q Tang. Indirect diffusion effect in degenerate reaction-diffusion systems. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 52(5):4314–4361, 2020.
- [FMT19] Klemens Fellner, Jeff Morgan, and Bao Quoc Tang. Uniform-in-time bounds for quadratic reaction-diffusion systems with mass dissipation in higher dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.06902*, 2019.
- [Lam87] Damien Lambertson. Equations d'évolution linéaires associées à des semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces lp. *Journal of functional analysis*, 72(2):252–262, 1987.
- [Mor83] Xavier Mora. Semilinear parabolic problems define semiflows on c^k spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 278(1):21–55, 1983.
- [Pie04] Michel Pierre. Weak solutions and supersolutions in L^1 for reaction-diffusion systems. *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Related Topics: Dedicated to Philippe Bénilan*, pages 153–168, 2004.
- [Pie10] Michel Pierre. Global existence in reaction-diffusion systems with control of mass: a survey. *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, 78:417–455, 2010.
- [QS19] Pavol Quittner and Philippe Souplet. *Superlinear parabolic problems*. Springer, 2019.
- [Rot06] Franz Rothe. *Global solutions of reaction-diffusion systems*, volume 1072. Springer, 2006.
- [Tan18] Bao Quoc Tang. Close-to-equilibrium regularity for reaction-diffusion systems. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 18(2):845–869, 2018.

APPENDIX A. SOME USEFUL RESULTS

Lemma 7 (Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality). *There exists a positive constant $P(\Omega)$, depending only on Ω and q , such that*

$$P(\Omega) \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \|f - \bar{f}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(\Omega),$$

where

$$q = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2} & \text{for } N \geq 3, \\ \in [1, \infty) & \text{for } N = 2, \\ \in [1, \infty) \cup \{\infty\} & \text{for } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

We refer to $P(\Omega)$ as the Poincaré constant.

Theorem 11 (Second Order Regularity and Integrability estimation). *Let $d > 0$ and let $\tau \in [0, T]$. Take $\theta \in L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})$ for some $1 < p < +\infty$. Let ψ be the solution to the backward heat equation:*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi + d\Delta \psi = -\theta & \text{for } (t, x) \in \Omega_{\tau, T}, \\ \nabla \psi \cdot n(x) = 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in [\tau, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ \psi(T, x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a positive constant C_{SOR} , depending only on the domain Ω , the dimension N and the exponent p such that the following maximal regularity holds:

$$(70) \quad \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \leq \frac{C_{SOR}}{d} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})}.$$

Moreover, if $\theta \geq 0$ then $\psi(t, x) \geq 0$ for almost every $(t, x) \in \Omega_{\tau, T}$. Furthermore, we have

$$(71) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{If } p < \frac{N+2}{2} \text{ then } \quad & \|\psi\|_{L^s(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \leq C_{IE} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \quad \text{for all } s < \frac{(N+2)p}{N+2-2p} \\ \text{If } p = \frac{N+2}{2} \text{ then } \quad & \|\psi\|_{L^s(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \leq C_{IE} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \quad \text{for all } s < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C_{IE} = C_{IE}(T - \tau, \Omega, d, p, s)$ and

$$(72) \quad \text{if } p > \frac{N+2}{2} \text{ then } \quad \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\tau, T})} \leq C_{IE} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau, T})}.$$

The proof of (70) can be found in [Lam87, Theorem 1]. We refer to the constant C_{SOR} as the second order regularity constant. Proof of the estimates (71) can be found in [CDF14, Lemma 3.3] and the estimate (72) was derived in [Tan18, Lemma 4.6]. We refer to the constant C_{IE} as the integrability estimation constant.

Theorem 12 (p^{th} order integrability estimation). *Let $p \in (2, \infty)$ and let p' be its Hölder conjugate. Let $M(t, x)$ be such that the following holds*

$$\theta \leq M(t, x) \leq \Theta \quad \forall (t, x) \in \Omega_T,$$

for some fixed positive constants θ, Θ . Let $\psi_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$ and let ψ be a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi - \Delta(M\psi) = 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in \Omega_T, \\ \nabla \psi \cdot n(x) = 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ \psi(0, x) = \psi_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then the following estimate holds

$$\|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \leq (1 + \Theta K_{\theta, \Theta, p'}) T^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\psi_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where the constant $K_{\theta, \Theta, p'}$ is given by

$$K_{\theta, \Theta, p'} := \frac{C_{\frac{\theta+\Theta}{2}, p'}^{PRC} \left(\frac{\Theta-\theta}{2}\right)}{1 - C_{\frac{\theta+\Theta}{2}, p'}^{PRC} \left(\frac{\Theta-\theta}{2}\right)} \quad \text{provided we have} \quad C_{\frac{\theta+\Theta}{2}, p'}^{PRC} \left(\frac{\Theta-\theta}{2}\right) < 1.$$

Here, the constant $C_{r, p'}^{PRC}$ is the best constant in the following parabolic regularity estimate:

$$\|\Delta \phi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_T)} \leq C_{r, p'}^{PRC} \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_T)},$$

where $\phi, f : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are any two functions such that $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega_T)$ and they satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi + r\Delta \phi = f & \text{for } (t, x) \in \Omega_T, \\ \nabla \phi \cdot n(x) = 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ \phi(T, x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

It has to be noted that $C_{r,p'}^{PRC} < \infty$ for $r > 0$ and $C_{r,2}^{PRC} \leq \frac{1}{r}$ and depends only on r, p' , the domain and on the dimension, i.e it is independent of time. Moreover, as $C_{r,p'}^{PRC} < \infty$, if we take the difference between θ and Θ sufficiently small, then we have the required property that

$$C_{\frac{\theta+\Theta}{2},p'}^{PRC} \left(\frac{\Theta - \theta}{2} \right) < 1.$$

Proof of the above theorem can be found in [CDF14, Proposition 1.1].