

Sunburst quantum Ising battery

Akash Mitra^{1,2} and Shashi C. L. Srivastava^{1,2}

¹*Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India*

²*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai - 400094, India*

We study the energy transfer process in the recently proposed sunburst quantum Ising model, which consists of two interacting integrable systems: a transverse Ising chain with a very small transverse field and a finite number of external isolated qubits. We show that in this model of the quantum battery, coupling between the battery and charger can be used to optimize the ergotropy, which is the maximum amount of energy that can be extracted from the battery. At the same time, maximum charging power increases with the coupling strength, allowing for the simultaneous optimization of both ergotropy and charging power in the strong coupling limit. Furthermore, we show that both ergotropy and charging power are independent of the initial state of the charger.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the recent advances in quantum technology, there is a new stimulus for theoretical questions about thermodynamic principles, heat exchange, work extraction, etc, in the quantum regime. Small quantum systems that can store the energy at the point of source and deliver the energy to desired quantum systems are called quantum batteries (QBs), a term coined by Alicki and Fannes while studying the maximal amount of work that can be extracted from a quantum system [1]. Since then, several studies have explored different aspects of quantum batteries such as charging schemes, work extraction, charging speed, the role of correlations, many-body interaction etc. [2–19]. While entangling control operations has been shown favorable for maximum work extraction [1], entanglement between charger and battery or different parts of battery has been argued to reduce the ergotropy [5]. However, this can be handled to a certain extent by optimization over the initial state of the charger [5]. Similar initial state dependence of the charger for maximal work extraction in central spin quantum battery has been shown in [17]. In a recent experimental study, coherence has been identified as the key resource for the charging process [20]. The quantum advantage in terms of charging power has been shown in the collective charging scheme in contrast to the parallel charging scheme [2–4]. The collective charging scheme has been realized in one set of models by charging two-level systems (QBs) inside a single optical cavity [5–10], while other set of models have realized the collective nature by allowing interaction between different batteries [11–16]. Collective charging schemes enhance the charging power in various spin-chain battery models. Defects and impurities in disordered spin chains enhance disorder-averaged power [12] but ergotropy decreases when these disordered spin chains are in many-body localized regime [21].

The role of multiple chargers and the number of batteries in the power stored per unit battery have been explored using multiple central spin chain batteries. In the two limits, first, when the number of chargers is more as compared to the number of batteries N_B , the power stored per unit battery increases proportional to $\sqrt{N_B}$

while in the second limit of the number of batteries being comparable with the number of chargers, the power stored per unit battery decreases as $N_B^{-1/2}$ [18]. Further, it has been shown that maximum ergotropy for the central spin battery strongly depends on the initial state of chargers [17]. Recently, this model of quantum battery has been studied experimentally in NMR systems [22] and has shown the \sqrt{N} advantage when N number of chargers are used in the collective scheme as first shown theoretically in [18].

Several questions naturally arise, like whether it is possible to devise a scheme where ergotropy (first defined in [23]) and charging power are almost independent of the initial state of the charger. For a fixed initial state, it has been shown that charging power increases with increasing coupling between charger and battery at the expense of lower stored energy [7, 24]. Is it possible to optimize charging power and ergotropy simultaneously by tuning the coupling strength? To explore these questions, we study the sunburst quantum Ising model as a quantum battery.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the sunburst quantum Ising battery and analytical solution of its time-evolved state for a single battery. We analyze the performance of the sunburst quantum Ising battery by calculating different figures of merit such as ergotropy, charging power, and charging time analytically for both single and two batteries, and numerically for more than two batteries in Section III. The initial state independence of both ergotropy and charging power is discussed in Section IV. Finally, we present the summary in Section V.

II. SUNBURST QUANTUM ISING BATTERY

A recently proposed model called the sunburst quantum Ising model has been studied from the perspective of quantum phase transitions [25], out of equilibrium dynamics in weak coupling limit [26] and strong coupling limit [27]. We re-imagine this model as an extended charger modeled by a transverse field Ising model with periodic boundary condition and various qubits attached

to it as batteries. The Hamiltonian of this model is,

$$H = H_c \otimes \mathbb{I}_b + \mathbb{I}_c \otimes H_b + V_{cb}, \quad (1)$$

where $H_c(H_b)$ is the Hamiltonian of the extended charger (batteries), $\mathbb{I}_{b(c)}$ is the identity operator in the space of batteries (charger), and V_{cb} represents the interaction term between charger and batteries. The Hamiltonians for individual subsystems and the interaction Hamiltonian are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c &= - \sum_{i=1}^L (J\sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + h\sigma_i^z) \\ H_b &= -\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i^z; \quad V_{cb} = -\kappa \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{1+(i-1)d}^x \Sigma_i^x. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, L represents the total number of lattice points in the Ising ring acting as an extended charger ($J \gg h$), and σ_i denotes Pauli matrices on the i -th site, with periodic boundary conditions implying $\sigma_{L+1}^x = \sigma_1^x$. Without loss of generality, we assume $J > 0$ to ensure ferromagnetic interaction between spins, and h is the strength of the transverse field. The spin chains are externally coupled to n batteries (qubits), described by the Hamiltonian H_b , where Σ_i denotes the Pauli matrix corresponding to the i -th battery. The energy gap between the two lowest eigenstates for the battery is denoted by δ and κ represents the strength of interaction between the charger and batteries. Additionally, d represents the distance between consecutive batteries.

One of the most striking features of this model is that the total bipartite system, which, in the presence of interaction between the two subsystems, is no longer integrable, even though the individual subsystems are integrable [27]. The non-integrability of the sunburst quantum Ising model contrasts with the central spin model, which is always in the integrable regime [28]. However, the quantum chaotic nature of the system only becomes apparent when all the parameters of the Hamiltonian are comparable. As batteries are locally coupled to a charger, charging multiple batteries becomes easier than the central spin model, where each battery is connected globally. Before we present the numerical results of the energy transfer process for multiple batteries, we first analyze the charger-battery system within the limit of a single battery.

Initially, the charger and batteries are decoupled from each other and prepared in the state $|\psi_G^I\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|+\dots+\rangle + |-\dots-\rangle]$ and $|0\rangle$ respectively with $\sigma_x|\pm\rangle = \pm|\pm\rangle$, $\Sigma_z|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. $|\psi_G^I\rangle$, a cat state [29], or Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state [30], is the ground state of the transverse Ising chain to a very good approximation in the limit $J \gg h$. Later on, we will see that this particular choice of the charger's initial state does not affect the energy transfer between the battery and the charger. The charging process starts at $t \geq 0$ when the charger and battery interact with the term V_{cb} . The

time evolved state at arbitrary time t is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t)\rangle &= A(t) |\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + B(t) |\psi_N^I\rangle \otimes |1\rangle, \\ |\psi_N^I\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|+\dots+\rangle - |-\dots-\rangle], \\ A(t) &= \cos \frac{\omega t}{2} + i \frac{\delta}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega t}{2}, \quad B(t) = \frac{2i\kappa}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega t}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}$ as obtained in [27]. Notably, $|\psi_N^I\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ also is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian of decoupled charger and battery with eigenvalue $-J + \frac{\delta}{2}$.

Having the exact time evolved state at arbitrary time t (Eq.3), in the next section, we study the characteristics of sunburst quantum Ising batteries in terms of charging time, entanglement, and ergotropy.

III. ENTANGLEMENT AND ERGOTROPY

We use linear entropy to quantify entanglement between the charger and battery, which, more accurately is a measure of mixedness that, in turn, increases with increasing entanglement between the subsystems. In other words, linear entropy will always be non-zero for a bipartite entangled state. Being simple to calculate (with no requirement for diagonalization of the reduced matrix) and, at the same time, experimental accessibility [31–34] makes it an attractive quantity to study. The linear entropy for the battery is defined as:

$$(S_L)_b = 1 - \text{tr}(\rho_b^2), \quad (4)$$

where ρ_b is the reduced density matrix of the battery obtained by tracing over the charger degrees of freedom of the density matrix of the complete system. While charging, charger and battery at time t are in state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ given in Eq. 3. The linear entropy for the battery is given by[27]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_L &= 1 - \left(\left[\cos^2 \left(\frac{\omega t}{2} \right) + \frac{\delta^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega t}{2} \right) \right]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{4\kappa^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega t}{2} \right) \right]^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

With the introduction of coupling between the battery and charger, the energy of the battery is increased from its initial ground state energy $E(0)$. The energy stored in the battery at time t is given by

$$\Delta E(t) = E(t) - E(0), \quad (6)$$

where $E(t) = \text{tr}(\rho_b(t)H_b)$. However, not all of the stored energy in the battery can be extracted in the form of thermodynamical work. The maximum amount of energy that can be extracted is known as ergotropy, and is defined as [23]

$$\xi(t) = E(t) - E_p(t) \quad (7)$$

where $E_p(t) = \text{tr}(\rho_b^p(t)H_b)$ represents the energy of the passive state $\rho_b^p(t)$ in the space of battery. In the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian H_b , the passive state is defined as [35, 36]

$$\rho_b^p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |c_j(t)|^2 |j\rangle\langle j| \quad (8)$$

where $|c_j(t)|^2$ is occupation probability of j th eigenstate $|j\rangle$ of H_b , and $|c_j(t)|^2 \geq |c_{j+1}(t)|^2$. No energy can be extracted from the passive state since the lower energy states are always more populated than the higher energy states.

For a single battery, the two possible states denoted as $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, are associated with probabilities $|A(t)|^2$ and $|B(t)|^2$. The ergotropy is non-zero only when $|B(t)|^2 \geq |A(t)|^2$, leading to the following constraint on the parameters:

$$|B(t)|^2 \geq |A(t)|^2 \implies 2\kappa \geq \delta. \quad (9)$$

This constraint arises naturally, signifying that the battery charging is a consequence of the interaction. Therefore, the strength of the interaction must be sufficiently high to ensure that the excited state probability surpasses that of the ground state. Failure to meet this condition would result in the battery remaining predominantly in the ground state, precluding any work extraction.

The time (say t^*) at which $|A(t^*)|^2$ and $|B(t^*)|^2$ are equal is,

$$t^* = \frac{2}{\omega} \cos^{-1} \left[\pm \sqrt{\frac{4\kappa^2 - \delta^2}{8\kappa^2}} \right]. \quad (10)$$

The ergotropy remains non-zero for time t between t_1^* and t_2^* , as during this period, $|B(t)|^2 \geq |A(t)|^2$. Here, t_1^* (t_2^*) corresponds to the time associated with the positive (negative) sign inside the inverse cosine function. We obtain the ergotropy $\xi(t)$ using Eq. 7 as

$$\xi(t) = \begin{cases} \delta (|B(t)|^2 - |A(t)|^2) & t_1^* \leq t < t_2^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (11)$$

Substituting the values of $|A(t)|^2$ and $|B(t)|^2$ from Eq. 3 in the above equation, we get the nonzero ergotropy as

$$\xi(t) = \delta \left[\left(\frac{8\kappa^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} - 1 \right]. \quad (12)$$

The above analytical expression of ergotropy is compared with the numerical result obtained by exact diagonalization of sunburst quantum Ising battery in Fig. 1 and found in complete agreement. The stored energy in the battery at time t as defined in Eq. 6 is given by

$$\Delta E(t) = \delta \left[\left(\frac{4\kappa^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} \right]. \quad (13)$$




FIG. 1. (a) The linear entropy and ergotropy are plotted for a single battery with an extended charger of length $L = 11$. The numerical data points for linear entropy and ergotropy are denoted by blue triangles and black squares, respectively. The solid green line and the red line correspond to the analytical formulas derived in Eq.5 and Eq. 11, respectively. (b) The ergotropy per unit battery is plotted for different numbers of batteries. The system sizes are selected as $L = 10, n = 2$ (blue triangles), $L = 9, n = 3$ (red circles), and $L = 8, n = 4$ (purple plus). The collapse of all the data on a single line demonstrates the linear scaling of ergotropy with number of batteries. In both figures, the parameters of the Hamiltonian are chosen as $J = 1, h = 0.1, \delta = 0.5$, and $\kappa = 2$.

The amount of energy which is unavailable for extraction is given by the difference between stored energy and ergotropy and is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{unavailable}} &= \Delta E(t) - \xi(t) = \delta (1 - |B(t)|^2) \\ &= \delta \left[1 - \left(\frac{4\kappa^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $0 \leq |B(t)|^2 < 1$, the amount of unavailable energy is always positive consistent with the results obtained for other models of quantum batteries [5, 24, 37]. For large interaction strength κ , $\max(|B(t)|^2) \rightarrow 1$, and therefore, the unavailable energy vanishes. The charging time (T) is minimum time at which stored energy becomes maximum during the charging process and, in this case, is given by,

$$T = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}}. \quad (15)$$

At charging time, we see that along with stored energy, ergotropy attains its maximum, while the linear entropy is at its minimum non-zero value (see Fig. 1). This agrees with earlier results for central spin battery [17].

We now analyze the performance of the sunburst quantum Ising battery in the presence of multiple batteries and a single extended charger. We begin our discussion with two battery cells, considering the initial state as $|\psi(0^-)\rangle = |\psi_G^L\rangle \otimes |00\rangle$. In the case of two battery cells,

we can analytically derive the exact expressions of the probabilities for the batteries to be in states $|00\rangle$ and $|11\rangle$. These two probabilities are sufficient to obtain the exact analytical expressions for both the stored energy and ergotropy since the Hamiltonian H_b is diagonal with the elements $\text{diag}(-\delta, 0, 0, \delta)$. We find that both the stored energy and ergotropy are exactly twice the stored energy and ergotropy given in Eq. 13 and Eq. 12 respectively obtained for the case of a single battery. The details of the calculation are presented in the Appendix. A.

We have numerically calculated ergotropy using the exact diagonalization method for multiple numbers of batteries. To compare these numerical results, we plotted ergotropy per battery and the analytical results obtained for single and double batteries in Fig. 1. Encouraged by a complete collapse of all the numerical data on analytical result (Eq. 12) for ergotropy, we conjecture that ergotropy increases linearly with the number of batteries.

$$\xi(t) \propto n. \quad (16)$$

However, the charging time remains the same as that of a single battery, implying that the charging time is independent of the number of batteries in agreement with the earlier work for the central spin battery [17].

The other figure of merit for quantum batteries is the charging power of the battery, which is defined as,

$$P(t) = \frac{\Delta E(t)}{t}, \quad (17)$$

where $\Delta E(t)$ is stored energy defined in Eq. 6 and calculated for sunburst quantum Ising battery in Eq. 13. The charging power then can be directly obtained as

$$P(t) = \frac{\delta}{2} \left[\frac{8\kappa^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right)}{t} \right], \quad (18)$$

which goes to 0 linearly in $t \rightarrow 0$ while for large time $P(t)$ approaches 0 as t^{-1} . Numerically, we calculate the charging power using the exact diagonalization method for different numbers of batteries. For a single battery, the numerical result matches well with the analytical result obtained in Eq. 18 (see Fig. 2). For more than one battery cell, we observe a linear scaling of stored power with the number of battery cells (see Fig. 2), similar to the ergotropy. Specifically, we find that $P_{\max} \propto n$ for a single extended charger. This is in contrast to the central spin model, where $P_{\max} \propto \sqrt{n}$ in the limit where number of charger approaches one [18]. Consequently, the sunburst quantum Ising battery exhibits a charging rate that is \sqrt{n} times faster compared to the central spin battery. A superior scaling of $n^{3/2}$ is observed for Dicke-type batteries where the extra \sqrt{n} comes from the fact that charging time reduces as $n^{-1/2}$ [7–9].

The power is maximized at time $t_{\max} \approx 2.3312/\omega$ and the maximum power is

$$P_{\max}(t = t_{\max}) \approx \frac{1.45\delta\kappa^2}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}}. \quad (19)$$




FIG. 2. Charging power per unit battery as a function of time is plotted for different numbers of batteries. A collapse of all the data reveals the linear scaling of charging power with the number of batteries. The system sizes are selected as $L = 11, n = 1$ (black squares), $L = 10, n = 2$ (blue triangles), $L = 9, n = 3$ (red circles), and $L = 8, n = 4$ (purple plus). The solid orange line corresponds to the analytical formula derived in Eq.18 for single battery cell.

The above equation suggests that for large κ values, the maximum power increases linearly with increasing κ . The expression of the stored power at charging time is obtained as

$$P(t = T) = \frac{4\delta\kappa^2}{\pi\sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}} \approx \frac{1.27\delta\kappa^2}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}}. \quad (20)$$

Ergotropy attains its maximum value at the charging time $t = T$ and is given by,

$$\xi(T) = \delta \left[\frac{4\kappa^2 - \delta^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \right]. \quad (21)$$

From this equation, it is clear that the maximum ergotropy increases with the coupling strength κ till $4\kappa^2 \gtrsim \delta^2$ and eventually saturates to the value δ in the limit $\kappa \gg \delta$. This implies that for the sunburst quantum Ising battery, optimal work extraction is achievable by increasing the coupling strength κ . Optimal work extraction here refers to a situation where all stored energy in the battery can be extracted without any waste of energy [17]. With Eqs. 19 and 21, we establish that for the sunburst quantum Ising battery, both the power and maximum value of ergotropy increase with increasing the coupling strength and optimal work extraction is possible in the strong coupling limit (see Fig. 3). In contrast, for other models like spin chains and Dicke quantum batteries, maximum power can be increased in the strong interaction limit at the expense of lower stored energy (or ergotropy)[7, 11]. The observation that the strong coupling limit is not suitable for efficient energy exchange between a battery and a charger has been shown by studying the energy transfer between two-level systems, a two-level system and quantum harmonic oscillator, and

two quantum harmonic oscillators [24]. For the central spin model, the analytical evaluation of the maximum ergotropy for two battery cells shows that it is independent of the coupling strength [17]. In this case, optimization is possible only by varying the initial state of the charger. For the sunburst quantum Ising battery, we observe in Fig. 3 that even for more than one battery, both the maximum power and ergotropy increase with the coupling strength κ . This indicates that simultaneous optimization of both ergotropy and power is possible in the strong coupling limit. In the next section, we study the initial state dependence of ergotropy in the sunburst quantum Ising battery.




FIG. 3. Maximum ergotropy and power, divided by the number of batteries, as a function of the coupling strength κ . The numerical data points are represented by black squares, blue triangles, red circles, and purple plus signs for one, two, three, and four batteries, respectively. The orange and green solid lines correspond to the analytical formulas for maximum ergotropy and power with one battery, derived in Eq. 21 and 19, respectively. The blue flat line represents optimal work extraction.

IV. INITIAL STATE INDEPENDENCE

The initial studies on quantum batteries establish that unitary operations generating more entanglement between battery cells help in speeding up the charging time of a quantum battery [2–4]. On the other hand, for the Tavis-Cummings model, the entanglement between the battery and charger is shown to have a negative effect on ergotropy. This negative effect on ergotropy can be reduced to some extent by optimization over the initial state of the charger. In particular, it has been shown that the initial states of the charger that generate more entanglement between the battery and charger are unsuitable for optimizing ergotropy. In contrast, semiclassical initial states that induce little entanglement can produce optimal ergotropy [5]. The initial state dependence is also found for a quantum battery modeled by the N number of two-level systems driven by a time-dependent classi-

cal source [8]. For the central spin battery, it has been shown that optimal work extraction is possible only if the initial state of the charger is chosen in such a way that the number of spin-up charging units for the charger is $m = \frac{N+n}{2}$, where N is the number of chargers.

In contrast to other models, the ergotropy of the sunburst quantum Ising battery is found to be independent of the charger’s initial state. This is not difficult to understand if the initial state of the charger is an eigenstate. The Hamiltonian of the charger (H_c) almost commutes with both the Hamiltonian of the battery (H_b) and the interaction Hamiltonian V_{cb} , considering the limit $\hbar \approx 0$. In this limit, the time evolution operator can be expressed as:

$$U = \exp(-iHt) \approx \exp[-it(H_b + V_{cb})] \exp(-iH_c t), \quad (22)$$

where we assume $[H_c, H_b + V_{cb}] \approx 0$. If the initial state of the charger is an eigenstate, it only produces a global phase factor, and then naturally, the ergotropy is the same for any such eigenstate.

The situation becomes more interesting when the initial state is not just an eigenstate. In that case, the operator $\exp(-iH_c t)$ does not simply produce a global phase. We numerically calculate the ergotropy by considering the initial state of the charger as three different realizations of a random state, while the initial state for the battery is the same as earlier (see Fig. 4). We observe that the ergotropy turns out to be the same for all three different random initial states. This numerically establishes the initial state independence of ergotropy in the sunburst quantum Ising battery case. In this case, charging power is closely related to ergotropy (Eq. 17); therefore, not only ergotropy but charging power also comes out to be independent of the initial state of the charger.




FIG. 4. Ergotropy as a function of time for three different realizations of random states (shown by different symbols) as initial state of the charger is plotted here for $L = 11, n = 1$. The solid line is the analytical curve obtained in Eq. 12.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we study the energy transfer process of the sunburst quantum Ising model by treating the transverse field Ising model as an extended charger in the limit $J \gg h$, with the external qubits considered as batteries. We analytically derive expressions for both ergotropy and charging power in the case of a single battery, and these expressions are found to be in exact agreement with numerical results based on exact diagonalization. For the case of two batteries, we analytically show that both ergotropy and charging power are exactly twice that of a single battery for any value of the interaction strength κ . For more than two batteries, based on numerical results, we conjecture that both ergotropy and charging power are linearly proportional to the number of batteries. Furthermore, for the sunburst quantum Ising battery, we find that the charging power per unit battery is \sqrt{n} times larger than the central spin battery [17]. Like the central spin battery, the charging time is independent of the number of batteries, although it can be significantly reduced in the strong coupling limit. In contrast to other quantum battery models, we observe that both the maximum ergotropy and charging power increase with the coupling strength, κ [7, 11, 24]. We further show that the ergotropy of the sunburst quantum Ising battery is independent of the charger's initial state, making it more experiment-friendly. Analytical understanding of linear scaling of ergotropy and maximum power with the number of batteries remains open.

Appendix A: Calculation of stored energy and ergotropy for sunburst quantum Ising model with two batteries

For sunburst quantum Ising battery for $n = 2$, the Hamiltonian of batteries is given as $H_b = -\frac{\delta}{2}(\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_z)$, which is a diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements $\text{diag}(-\delta, 0, 0, \delta)$ with respect to be basis vectors for the batteries, given as $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$. This implies that we can compute the exact expression for both the stored energy and ergotropy with only the first and last diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix for the two batteries. To this end, we first note that our initial state is chosen as $|\psi(0^-)\rangle = |\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |00\rangle$. As explained in the main text, the time evolution operator in the limit $J \gg h$ can be expressed as Eq. 22. The second term in the time evolution operator will generate a global phase during the time evolution, and hence, its effect can be ignored. As a result, the time-evolved state can be obtained by repeated applications of both the Hamiltonian H_b and V_{cb} on the initial state. Since we are only interested in computing the first and last diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix for the two batteries, we need to calculate the coefficients of the two states $|\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |00\rangle$ (say $A(t)$) and $|\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |11\rangle$ (say $B(t)$). For ease of calculation, we express

the terms associated with even powers of time separately from those associated with odd powers of time. To start, we note down the coefficient of the state $|\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |00\rangle$ associated with odd powers of time, represented as $A_{\text{odd}}(t)$.

$$iA_{\text{odd}}(t) = -\delta t + \frac{t^3}{3!} [4\delta\kappa^2 + \delta^3] - \frac{t^5}{5!} [16\delta\kappa^4 + 8\kappa^2\delta^3 + \delta^5] + \frac{t^7}{7!} [64\delta\kappa^6 + 48\delta^3\kappa^4 + 12\kappa^2\delta^5 + \delta^7] - \dots$$

With few lines of simplification, the above term can be expressed as

$$A_{\text{odd}}(t) = i\frac{\delta}{\omega} \sin(\omega t), \quad (\text{A1})$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{\delta^2 + 4\kappa^2}$. Now we collect the coefficient which will appear with even order of time:

$$A_{\text{even}}(t) = 1 - \frac{t^2}{2!} [2\kappa^2 + \delta^2] + \frac{t^4}{4!} [\delta^4 + 8\kappa^4 + 6\delta^2\kappa^2] - \frac{t^6}{6!} [\delta^6 + 32\kappa^6 + 32\delta^2\kappa^4 + 10\delta^4\kappa^2] + \dots$$

The above term can be simplified to

$$A_{\text{even}}(t) = \cos(\omega t) + \frac{4\kappa^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right). \quad (\text{A2})$$

The first diagonal element of the reduced density matrix for the two batteries is

$$\lambda_1 = |A_{\text{even}}|^2 + |A_{\text{odd}}|^2 = \cos^2(\omega t) + \frac{16\kappa^4}{\omega^4} \sin^4\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) + \frac{8\kappa^2}{\omega^2} \cos(\omega t) \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) + \frac{\delta^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2(\omega t). \quad (\text{A3})$$

It can be shown that for the state $|\psi_G^I\rangle \otimes |11\rangle$, there is no term associated with odd powers of time t , i.e., $B_{\text{odd}}(t) = 0$. $B_{\text{even}}(t)$ can be expressed as

$$B_{\text{even}}(t) = -\frac{4\kappa^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right). \quad (\text{A4})$$

The fourth diagonal element of the reduced density matrix for the two batteries is

$$\lambda_4 = |B_{\text{even}}|^2 + |B_{\text{odd}}|^2 = \frac{16\kappa^4}{\omega^4} \sin^4\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) \quad (\text{A5})$$

The total amount of stored energy for the two batteries at time t can be expressed as

$$\Delta E(t) = \delta(1 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_1) = \frac{4\delta\kappa^2}{\omega^2} (1 - \cos(\omega t)). \quad (\text{A6})$$

From Eq. 13 and Eq. A6, it is evident that for two batteries, the stored energy for all values of interaction strength κ is exactly twice that of a single battery at all times t .

For two batteries, the time at which the stored energy becomes maximum exactly coincides with the charging time of a single battery (Eq. 15). The ergotropy becomes non-zero at time $t = t^*$, which is obtained by setting $|A(T)|^2$ and $|B(T)|^2$ as equal. For two batteries, t^* comes out to be the same as the single battery (Eq.10). The non-zero ergotropy can be obtained as

$$\xi(t) = 2\delta \left[\left(\frac{8\kappa^2}{4\kappa^2 + \delta^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} - 1 \right], \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is again exactly twice that of a single battery at all times t and for all interaction strength values κ .

-
- [1] Robert Alicki and Mark Fannes. Entanglement boost for extractable work from ensembles of quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. E*, 87:042123, Apr 2013. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042123. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042123>.
- [2] Felix C Binder, Sai Vinjanampathy, Kavan Modi, and John Goold. Quantacell: powerful charging of quantum batteries. *New Journal of Physics*, 17(7):075015, jul 2015. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/7/075015. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/7/075015>.
- [3] Francesco Campaioli, Felix A Pollock, Felix C Binder, Lucas Céleri, John Goold, Sai Vinjanampathy, and Kavan Modi. Enhancing the charging power of quantum batteries. *Physical Review Letters*, 118(15), April 2017. ISSN 0031-9007. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.150601.
- [4] Karen V. Hovhannisyanyan, Martí Perarnau-Llobet, Marcus Huber, and Antonio Acín. Entanglement generation is not necessary for optimal work extraction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:240401, Dec 2013. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240401. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240401>.
- [5] Gian Marcello Andolina, Maximilian Keck, Andrea Mari, Michele Campisi, Vittorio Giovannetti, and Marco Polini. Extractable work, the role of correlations, and asymptotic freedom in quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122:047702, Feb 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.047702. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.047702>.
- [6] Faezeh Pirmoradian and Klaus Mølmer. Aging of a quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. A*, 100:043833, Oct 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.043833. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.043833>.
- [7] Dario Ferraro, Michele Campisi, Gian Marcello Andolina, Vittorio Pellegrini, and Marco Polini. High-power collective charging of a solid-state quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:117702, Mar 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.117702. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.117702>.
- [8] Alba Crescente, Matteo Carrega, Maura Sassetti, and Dario Ferraro. Ultrafast charging in a two-photon dicke quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. B*, 102:245407, Dec 2020. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.102.245407. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.245407>.
- [9] Xiang Zhang and Miriam Blaauboer. Enhanced energy transfer in a dicke quantum battery. *Frontiers in Physics*, 10, January 2023. ISSN 2296-424X. doi:10.3389/fphy.2022.1097564. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.1097564>.
- [10] Gian Marcello Andolina, Donato Farina, Andrea Mari, Vittorio Pellegrini, Vittorio Giovannetti, and Marco Polini. Charger-mediated energy transfer in exactly solvable models for quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. B*, 98:205423, Nov 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.98.205423. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.205423>.
- [11] Thao P. Le, Jesper Levinsen, Kavan Modi, Meera M. Parish, and Felix A. Pollock. Spin-chain model of a many-body quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. A*, 97:022106, Feb 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.97.022106. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.022106>.
- [12] Srijan Ghosh, Titas Chanda, and Aditi Sen(De). Enhancement in the performance of a quantum battery by ordered and disordered interactions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 101:032115, Mar 2020. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.101.032115. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.032115>.
- [13] Fang Zhao, Fu-Quan Dou, and Qing Zhao. Quantum battery of interacting spins with environmental noise. *Phys. Rev. A*, 103:033715, Mar 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.033715. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.033715>.
- [14] Davide Rossini, Gian Marcello Andolina, and Marco Polini. Many-body localized quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. B*, 100:115142, Sep 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.100.115142. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.115142>.
- [15] Srijan Ghosh, Titas Chanda, Shiladitya Mal, and Aditi Sen(De). Fast charging of a quantum battery assisted by noise. *Phys. Rev. A*, 104:032207, Sep 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.104.032207. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.032207>.
- [16] Yu-Yu Zhang, Tian-Ran Yang, Libin Fu, and Xiaoguang Wang. Powerful harmonic charging in a quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. E*, 99:052106, May 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.99.052106. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.99.052106>.
- [17] Jia-Xuan Liu, Hai-Long Shi, Yun-Hao Shi, Xiao-Hui Wang, and Wen-Li Yang. Entanglement and work extraction in the central-spin quantum battery. *Phys. Rev. B*, 104:245418, Dec 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.104.245418. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.245418>.
- [18] Li Peng, Wen-Bin He, Stefano Chesi, Hai-Qing Lin, and Xi-Wen Guan. Lower and upper bounds of quantum battery power in multiple central spin systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, 103:052220, May 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052220. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052220>.

- [aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052220](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052220).
- [19] Chang-Kang Hu, Jiawei Qiu, Paulo J P Souza, Jiahao Yuan, Yuxuan Zhou, Libo Zhang, Ji Chu, Xianchuang Pan, Ling Hu, Jian Li, Yuan Xu, Youpeng Zhong, Song Liu, Fei Yan, Dian Tan, R Bachelard, C J Villas-Boas, Alan C Santos, and Dapeng Yu. Optimal charging of a superconducting quantum battery. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 7(4):045018, aug 2022. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ac8444. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088>.
- [20] Xiaojian Huang, Kunkun Wang, Lei Xiao, Lei Gao, Haiqing Lin, and Peng Xue. Demonstration of the charging progress of quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. A*, 107:L030201, Mar 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.107.L030201. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.107.L030201>.
- [21] Mohammad B. Arjmandi, Hamidreza Mohammadi, Andriela Saguia, Marcelo S. Sarandy, and Alan C. Santos. Localization effects in disordered quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. E*, 108:064106, Dec 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.108.064106. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.108.064106>.
- [22] Jitendra Joshi and T. S. Mahesh. Experimental investigation of a quantum battery using star-topology nmr spin systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, 106:042601, Oct 2022. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042601. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042601>.
- [23] Allahverdyan, A. E., Balian, R., and Nieuwenhuizen, Th. M. Maximal work extraction from finite quantum systems. *Europhys. Lett.*, 67(4):565–571, 2004. doi:10.1209/epl/i2004-10101-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2004-10101-2>.
- [24] Gian Marcello Andolina, Donato Farina, Andrea Mari, Vittorio Pellegrini, Vittorio Giovannetti, and Marco Polini. Charger-mediated energy transfer in exactly solvable models for quantum batteries. *Phys. Rev. B*, 98:205423, Nov 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.98.205423. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.205423>.
- [25] Alessio Franchi, Davide Rossini, and Ettore Vicari. Quantum many-body spin rings coupled to ancillary spins: The sunburst quantum ising model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 105:054111, May 2022. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.105.054111. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.105.054111>.
- [26] Alessio Franchi, Davide Rossini, and Ettore Vicari. Decoherence and energy flow in the sunburst quantum ising model. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2022(8):083103, aug 2022. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/ac8284. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ac8284>.
- [27] Akash Mitra and Shashi C. L. Srivastava. Sunburst quantum ising model under interaction quench: Entanglement and role of initial state coherence. *Phys. Rev. E*, 108:054114, Nov 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.108.054114. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.108.054114>.
- [28] Tamiro Villazon, Anushya Chandran, and Pieter W. Claeys. Integrability and dark states in an anisotropic central spin model. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, 2:032052, Aug 2020. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.032052. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.032052>.
- [29] E. Schrödinger. Die gegenwärtige situation in der quantenmechanik. *Naturwissenschaften*, 23(48):807–812, 1935. ISSN 1432-1904. doi:10.1007/BF01491891. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01491891>.
- [30] Daniel M. Greenberger, Michael A. Horne, and Anton Zeilinger. *Going Beyond Bell's Theorem*, pages 69–72. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [31] Rajibul Islam, Ruichao Ma, Philipp M Preiss, M Eric Tai, Alexander Lukin, Matthew Rispoli, and Markus Greiner. Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system. *Nature*, 528(7580):77–83, 2015.
- [32] Adam M Kaufman, M Eric Tai, Alexander Lukin, Matthew Rispoli, Robert Schittko, Philipp M Preiss, and Markus Greiner. Quantum thermalization through entanglement in an isolated many-body system. *Science*, 353(6301):794–800, 2016.
- [33] Norbert M Linke, Sonika Johri, Caroline Figgatt, Kevin A Landsman, Anne Y Matsuura, and Christopher Monroe. Measuring the rényi entropy of a two-site fermi-hubbard model on a trapped ion quantum computer. *Physical Review A*, 98(5):052334, 2018.
- [34] Tiff Brydges, Andreas Elben, Petar Jurcevic, Benoît Vermersch, Christine Maier, Ben P. Lanyon, Peter Zoller, Rainer Blatt, and Christian F. Roos. Probing rényi entanglement entropy via randomized measurements. *Science*, 364(6437):260–263, 2019. doi:10.1126/science.aau4963. URL <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.aau4963>.
- [35] W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz. Passive states and kms states for general quantum systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 58(3):273–290, 1978. ISSN 1432-0916. doi:10.1007/BF01614224. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01614224>.
- [36] A. Lenard. Thermodynamical proof of the gibbs formula for elementary quantum systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 19(6):575–586, 1978. ISSN 1572-9613. doi:10.1007/BF01011769. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01011769>.
- [37] F. H. Kamin, S. Salimi, and Alan C. Santos. Energy of passive states: Waste energy after ergotropy extraction. *Phys. Rev. E*, 104:034134, Sep 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.104.034134. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.104.034134>.