

Return time sets and product recurrence

Jian Li, Xianjuan Liang, and Yini Yang

ABSTRACT. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group. We show that a subset F of G contains a return time set of some piecewise syndetic recurrent point x in a compact Hausdorff space X with a G -action if and only if F is a quasi-central set. As an application, we show that if a nonempty closed subsemigroup S of the Stone-Ćech compactification βG contains the smallest ideal $K(\beta G)$ of βG then S -product recurrent is equivalent to distality, which partially answers a question of Auslander and Furstenberg (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 343, 1994, 221–232).

1. INTRODUCTION

By a classical topological dynamical system, we mean a pair (X, T) , where X is a compact metric space with a metric d and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map. The study of recurrence is one of the central topics in topological dynamics. For a point $x \in X$ and a subset U of X , the return time set of x to U is

$$N(x, U) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : T^n x \in U\},$$

where \mathbb{N}_0 is the collection of non-negative integers. Recurrent time sets are closely associated with the combinatorial property of the sets of non-negative integers. In the seminal monograph [10], Furstenberg characterized the return time sets of a recurrent point via IP-subsets of \mathbb{N}_0 which is defined combinatorially. Recall that a point $x \in X$ is called recurrent if for every neighborhood U of x , the recurrent time set $N(x, U)$ is infinite, and a subset F of \mathbb{N}_0 is called an IP-set if there exists a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N}_0 such that the finite sum $FS(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$ of $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is infinite and contained in F , where

$$FS(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \alpha} p_i : \alpha \text{ is a nonempty finite subset of } \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 ([10, Theorem 2.17]).

- (1) *Given a classical dynamical system (X, T) , if a point $x \in X$ is recurrent, then for any neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is an IP-set.*
- (2) *If a subset F of \mathbb{N}_0 is an IP-set, then there exists a classical system (Z, S) , a recurrent point $z \in Z$ and a neighborhood V of z such that $N(z, V) \subset F \cup \{0\}$.*

Recall that two points $x, y \in X$ are called proximal if $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(T^k x, T^k y) = 0$, and a point $x \in X$ is called distal if it is not proximal to any point in its orbit

Date: June 27, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37B20; Secondary: 37B05.

Key words and phrases. Return time set, product recurrence, quasi-central set, piecewise syndetic set, the Stone-Ćech compactification.

closure other than itself. In [10] Furstenberg also characterized distal points in terms of recurrent time sets and synchronized recurrence with some kinds of recurrent points. Recall that a subset F of \mathbb{N}_0 is called an IP^* -set if for any IP -subset F' of \mathbb{N}_0 , $F \cap F' \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.2 ([10, Theorem 9.11]). *Let (X, T) be a classical dynamical system and $x \in X$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) x is distal;
- (2) x is IP^* -recurrent, that is, for any neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is an IP^* -set;
- (3) x is product recurrent, that is, for any classical dynamical system (Y, S) and any recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, T \times S)$;
- (4) for any classical dynamical system (Y, S) and any almost periodic point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is almost periodic in the product system $(X \times Y, T \times S)$.

Let G be a countable infinite discrete group with identity e . By a G -system, we mean a compact Hausdorff space X equipped with a continuous left action of G by homeomorphisms. Here, a continuous left action of G on X is understood as continuity of the map $G \times X \ni (g, x) \mapsto gx \in X$. Furstenberg's characterizations of return time sets of recurrent points and distal points (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2) were generalized to G -systems in [8] and [6].

In [1], Auslander and Furstenberg treated directly the action $E \times X \ni (p, x) \mapsto px \in X$ of a compact right topological semigroup E on a compact Hausdorff space X . It should be noticed that the maps $x \mapsto px$ are often not continuous for such semigroup actions. The Stone-Ćech compactification βG of G is a compact right topological semigroup, and its action is an important case. Partially inspired by Theorem 1.2, Auslander and Furstenberg introduced S -product recurrence for a closed subsemigroup S of E , and showed that under some conditions, a point is S -product recurrent if and only if it is a distal point. In the end of the paper [1], Auslander and Furstenberg asked the following two questions:

Question 1.3. How to characterize the closed semigroups S of a compact right topological semigroup for which a S -product recurrent point is distal?

Question 1.4. If (x, y) is recurrent for any almost periodic point y , is x necessarily a distal point?

Question 1.4 was answered negatively by Haddad and Ott in [13] for classical dynamical systems. In fact, this question is related to dynamical systems which are disjoint from all minimal systems. In [7], Dong, Shao and Ye studied general product recurrence properties systematically and left the following question:

Question 1.5. If (x, y) is recurrent for any piecewise syndetic recurrent point y , is x necessarily a distal point?

In [19] Oprocha and Zhang gave a positive answer of Question 1.5 for classical dynamical systems. The key point of their proof is that the intersection of a dynamical syndetic set and a thick set contains some recurrent time set of a piecewise syndetic recurrent point.

In [10] Furstenberg also introduced the concept of central set in \mathbb{N}_0 in a dynamical approach and showed that central sets have very rich combinatorial structure (see [10, Proposition 8.21]). In [14] Hindman et al. obtained a combinatorial characterization of central sets and introduced the notion of quasi-central sets, which have a much simpler combinatorial characterization than central sets. In [4] Burns and Hindman obtained a dynamical characterization of quasi-central sets, which is similar to Furstenberg's original definition of central sets.

Motivated by the connection between IP-sets and the recurrent time sets of recurrent points, we obtain the following characterization of the return time sets of piecewise syndetic recurrent points for G -systems on compact Hausdorff spaces.

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group.*

- (1) *Given a G -system (X, G) , if a point $x \in X$ is piecewise syndetic recurrent, then for every neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is a quasi-central set;*
- (2) *For any quasi-central subset F of G , there exists a G -system (X, G) , a piecewise syndetic recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F \cup \{e\}$.*

Recall that the Stone-Čech compactification βG of G has a smallest ideal $K(\beta G)$ which is the union of all minimal left ideals of βG . We consider βG -actions on compact Hausdorff spaces and obtain the following sufficient condition for the closed subsemigroups S of βG for which a S -product recurrent point is a distal point, partly answering Auslander and Furstenberg's Question 1.3.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action and S be a nonempty closed subsemigroup of $\beta G \setminus G$. If $\text{cl}_{\beta G} K(\beta G) \subset S$, then a point $x \in X$ is distal if and only if x is S -product recurrent.*

As an application, we obtain a characterization of distal points with product recurrence for G -systems on compact Hausdorff spaces. Note that in [19] Oprocha and Zhang have proved the result in the case of classical dynamical systems.

Theorem 1.8. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family. If \mathcal{F} has the Ramsey property and the hull of \mathcal{F} ,*

$$h(\mathcal{F}) := \{p \in \beta G : p \subset \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a subsemigroup of βG and $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$, then for any G -system (X, G) and $x \in X$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) *x is distal;*
- (2) *x is \mathcal{F} -product recurrent, that is, for any G -system (Y, G) and any \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, G)$;*
- (3) *for any G -system (Y, G) and any \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is \mathcal{F} -recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, G)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study some properties about several collections of subsets in a countable infinite discrete group G ,

which may be of interest independently. In Section 3, for compact metric G -systems we give combinatorial characterizations of return time sets of points with \mathcal{F} -recurrent points under the conditions (P1) and (P2) introduced in Section 2. As an application, we show that Dong-Shao-Ye's Question 1.5 also has a positive answer for G -systems. In Section 4, we recall some results about Stone-Ćech compactification βG of G and prove a generalization of Theorem 1.6, see Theorem 4.7. In Section 5, we study βG -actions on compact Hausdorff spaces and prove Theorem 1.7.

2. SUBSETS IN A COUNTABLE INFINITE GROUP

In this section we investigate some classes of subsets in a countable infinite discrete group. We propose two abstract properties (P1) and (P2) for a Furstenberg family which we will use in Section 3 to characterize recurrent time sets. We will verify that the collection of all piecewise syndetic sets and the collection of all infinite sets satisfy the two abstract properties. If the group is amenable, the collection of all sets with positive upper density (with positive upper Banach density, respectively) also satisfies the two abstract properties.

Let G be a countable infinite discrete group with identity e . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ the collections of all nonempty subsets of G and all nonempty finite subsets of G respectively. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$. If for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F \subset H \subset G$ implies $H \in \mathcal{F}$, then we say that \mathcal{F} is a *Furstenberg family* (simplify for family). A Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} is said to be *proper* if $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{F}$. For a Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} , the *dual family* of \mathcal{F} , denote by \mathcal{F}^* , is

$$\{F \in \mathcal{P}(G) : F \cap F' \neq \emptyset, \text{ for any } F' \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

A Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} is called a *filter* if $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ imply $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$. A *ultrafilter* is a filter which is not properly contained in any other filter. A Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} has *Ramsey property* if whenever $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ there exists some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that a Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} has the Ramsey property if and only if the dual family \mathcal{F}^* is a filter.

Let A be a subset of G .

- (1) If for every $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $Kg \subset A$, then we say that A is *thick*.
- (2) If there exists $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that for any $g \in G$, $Kg \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. $G = K^{-1}A$), then we say that A is *syndetic*.
- (3) If there exists a thick set $B \subset G$ and a syndetic $C \subset G$ such that $A = B \cap C$, then we say that A is *piecewise syndetic*.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{F}_{ps}, \mathcal{F}_{inf}$ the collection of all thick, syndetic, piecewise syndetic and infinite subsets of G .

We say that a Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} satisfies (P1) if for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that

- (1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \subset A$;
- (2) for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$, $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$;
- (3) for every strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} , $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k} \in \mathcal{F}$,

and (P2) if for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, there exists a subset F' of F such that $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ and for any distinct $f_1, f_2 \in F' \cup \{e\}$, $Kf_1 \cap Kf_2 = \emptyset$.

The following result must be folklore. We provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. \mathcal{F}_t satisfies (P1).

Proof. Denote by $|\cdot|$ the cardinality of a set. We need the following Claims.

Claim 1. For every $F, H \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$ if $|H| > |F|^2$ there exists $h \in H$ such that $F \cap Fh = \emptyset$.

Proof. For any $f_1, f_2 \in F$, let $B(f_1, f_2) = \{h \in H: f_1 = f_2h\}$. As G is a group, each $B(f_1, f_2)$ is the empty set or a singleton. If for every $h \in H$, $F \cap Fh \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcup_{f_1, f_2 \in F} B(f_1, f_2) = H$. As $|H| > |F|^2$, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$, such that $B(f_1, f_2)$ contains at least two points. This is a contraction. \square

Claim 2. Let F be a thick set. Fix $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, then $\{g \in G: Kg \subset F\}$ is a thick set.

Proof. For any $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, $KH \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$. As F is thick, there exists $h \in G$ such that $KHh \subset F$. Then $Hh \subset \{g \in G: Kg \subset F\}$. So $\{g \in G: Kg \subset F\}$ is a thick set. \square

Now fix a thick set A . As G is countable, there exists a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = G$. As A is thick, there exists $g_1 \in G$ such that $G_1g_1 \subset A$. Let $A_1 = G_1g_1$. Let $B_2 = A_1 \cup G_2$. By Claim 2 $\{g \in G: B_2g \subset A\}$ is thick. By Claim 1, there exists $g_2 \in G$ such that $B_2g_2 \subset A$ and $B_2 \cap B_2g_2 = \emptyset$. Let $A_2 = G_2g_2$.

By induction, we construct two sequences $\{A_n\}, \{B_n\}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ and a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in G such that for any $n \geq 2$,

- (1) $B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i \cup G_n$;
- (2) $B_n g_n \subset A$;
- (3) $B_n \cap B_n g_n = \emptyset$;
- (4) $A_n = G_n g_n$.

So \mathcal{F}_t satisfies (P1). \square

In [20] Xu and Ye showed that \mathcal{F}_s satisfies (P2). Here we have the following sufficient condition for a Furstenberg family to satisfy (P2).

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper Furstenberg family. If \mathcal{F} satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, $A \setminus K \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in G$, $gA \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (3) \mathcal{F} has the Ramsey property,

then \mathcal{F} satisfies (P2).

Proof. Fix $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset A: \text{for any distinct } b_1, b_2 \in B \cup \{e\}, Kb_1 \cap Kb_2 = \emptyset\}.$$

By (1) A is infinite. By Claim 1 of Lemma 2.1, there exists $h \in A \setminus \{e\}$ such that $K \cap Kh = \emptyset$, then $\{h\} \in \mathcal{B}$, which implies that \mathcal{B} is not empty. By Zorn's Lemma, pick $B \in \mathcal{B}$ which is maximal with respect to the inclusion relation. For any $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B \cup \{e\}$ such that $Ka \cap Kb \neq \emptyset$. Then $a \in K^{-1}Kb$. This shows that $A \subset K^{-1}KB \cup K^{-1}K$.

Now we will show that $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $A \subset K^{-1}KB \cup K^{-1}K$, $A \setminus K^{-1}K \subset K^{-1}KB$. By (1), $A \setminus K^{-1}K \in \mathcal{F}$ as $K^{-1}K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$. Then $K^{-1}KB \in \mathcal{F}$. By (3), there exists some $g \in K^{-1}K$ such that $gB \in \mathcal{F}$. By (2), $B \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

It is easy to see that \mathcal{F}_{inf} satisfies the properties (P1) and (P2). Now we show that \mathcal{F}_{ps} also satisfies the properties (P1) and (P2).

Lemma 2.3. \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P1) and (P2).

Proof. (1) \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P1).

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$. By the definition of \mathcal{F}_{ps} , there exists a thick set $A \subset G$ and a syndetic set $B \subset G$ such that $F = A \cap B$. By Lemma 2.1 \mathcal{F}_t satisfy (P1), then there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that

- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \subset A$;
- for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$, $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$;
- for every strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} , $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k} \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

Let $F_n = A_n \cap B$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence satisfies (P1) for F . By the arbitrariness of F , \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P1).

(2) \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P2).

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$. By the definition of \mathcal{F}_{ps} , there exists a thick set $A \subset G$ and a syndetic set $B \subset G$ such that $F = A \cap B$. For any $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$, by [20, Lemma 2.7] \mathcal{F}_s satisfy (P2), then there exists a subset B' of B such that $B' \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and for any distinct $b_1, b_2 \in B' \cup \{e\}$, $Kb_1 \cap Kb_2 = \emptyset$. Let $F' = A \cap B'$, then $F' \subset F$ and $F' \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$. For any distinct $f_1, f_2 \in F' \cup \{e\}$, $f_1, f_2 \in B' \cup \{e\}$, thus $Kf_1 \cap Kf_2 = \emptyset$. By the arbitrariness of F , \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P2). \square

A Følner sequence of a group G can be used to define the density of a set $A \subset G$ in a way analogous to the definition given for a subset of non-negative integers of natural density.

Definition 2.4. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\{F_n\}$ be a sequence of nonempty finite subsets of G . We say that $\{F_n\}$ is a *Følner sequence* if for any $g \in G$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|gF_n \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0,$$

where $gF_n \Delta F_n = (gF_n \setminus F_n) \cup (F_n \setminus gF_n)$.

A countable infinite discrete group G is called an *amenable group* if there exists some Følner sequence $\{F_n\} \subset G$.

Definition 2.5. Let G be a countable infinite discrete amenable group and $\{F_n\}$ be a Følner sequence in G . For a subset A of G , the *upper density* of A with

respect to $\{F_n\}$ is defined by

$$\bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} |F_n \cap A|.$$

Denote $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pud}} = \{A \subset G : \bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}(A) > 0\}$.

The *upper Banach density* of A is defined by

$$d^*(A) = \sup\{\bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}(A) : \{F_n\} \text{ is a Følner sequence in } G\}.$$

Denote $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}} = \{A \subset G : d^*(A) > 0\}$.

In the following we show that if G is an amenable group, then \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfy the properties (P1) and (P2).

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be an amenable group and $\{F_n\}$ be a Følner sequence in G . Then \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfy (P1) and (P2).*

Proof. (1) \mathcal{F}_{pud} satisfies (P1).

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{pud}}$, then

$$\bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} |F_n \cap A| > 0.$$

Then there exists a Følner subsequence $\{F'_n\} \subset \{F_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_n|} |F'_n \cap A| > 0.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $|F'_n| > (n+1)(|F'_1| + \dots + |F'_{n-1}|)$. Define $E_1 := F'_1$ and $E_n := F'_n \setminus (F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})$. It is clear that $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$.

Claim: $\{E_n\}$ is a Følner sequence and $\bar{d}_{\{E_n\}}(A) = \bar{d}_{\{F'_n\}}(A)$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} gE_n \Delta E_n &= (gF'_n \setminus g(F'_1 \cup F'_2 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})) \Delta (F'_n \setminus (F'_1 \cup F'_2 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})) \\ &\subset (gF'_n \Delta F'_n) \cup (g(F'_1 \cup F'_2 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1}) \Delta (F'_1 \cup F'_2 \dots \cup F'_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|gE_n \Delta E_n|}{|E_n|} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|gF'_n \Delta F'_n|}{|E_n|} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|g(F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1}) \Delta (F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})|}{|E_n|} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|gF'_n \Delta F'_n|}{|F'_n|} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2|F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1}|}{n(|F'_1| + \dots + |F'_{n-1}|)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So by the definition $\{E_n\}$ is a Følner sequence.

It is easy to verify that

$$\bar{d}_{\{E_n\}}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(F'_n \setminus (F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})) \cap A|}{|F'_n \setminus (F'_1 \cup \dots \cup F'_{n-1})|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F'_n \cap A|}{|F'_n|} = \bar{d}_{\{F'_n\}}(A). \quad \square$$

Let $A_n := E_n \cap A$. Then $A_n \subset A$ and $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$ for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$. For any strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ in \mathbb{N} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k}\right) &\geq \bar{d}_{\{F'_n\}}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k}\right) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_n|} \left| F'_n \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k} \right| \\ &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|E_{n_k}|} |E_{n_k} \cap A| \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_{n_k}|} |F'_{n_k} \cap A| \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{A_n\}$ is the sequence satisfies (P1) for A . By the arbitrariness of A , \mathcal{F}_{pud} satisfies (P1).

(2) $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfies (P1). Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$. There exists a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}$ such that $\bar{d}_{\{F_n\}}(A) > 0$. Then it follows from the proof of \mathcal{F}_{pud} satisfies (P1).

(3) It is easy to verify that \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfy all the conditions in Proposition 2.2. Then \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfy (P2). \square

3. RETURN TIME SETS AND PRODUCT RECURRENCE FOR G -SYSTEMS ON COMPACT METRIC SPACES

In this section we study recurrent time sets of points with some special recurrent property in a G -system (X, G) . Note that in this section, we always assume that X is a compact metric space. Using the abstract properties (P1) and (P2) of Furstenberg families in Section 2 we give combinatorial characterizations of return time sets of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points. We also apply those results to the study of product recurrence.

First we introduce G -system and recall some definitions. By a compact (metric) G -system, we mean a triple (X, G, Π) , where X is a compact (metric) space with a metric d , G is a countable infinite discrete group with an identity e and $\Pi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map satisfying $\Pi(e, x) = x$, for all $x \in X$ and $\Pi(h, \Pi(g, x)) = \Pi(hg, x)$, for all $x \in X$, $h, g \in G$. For convenience, we will use the pair (X, G) instead of (X, G, Π) to denote the G -system, and $gx := \Pi(g, x)$ if the map Π is unambiguous. For two systems (X, G) and (Y, G) , there is a natural product system $(X \times Y, G)$ as $g(x, y) = (gx, gy)$ for every $g \in G$ and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. A nonempty closed G -invariant subset $Y \subseteq X$ defines naturally a subsystem (Y, G) of (X, G) . A G -system (X, G) is called *minimal* if it contains no proper subsystem. Each point belonging to some minimal subsystem of (X, G) is called a *minimal point*. By the Zorn's Lemma, every G -system has a minimal subsystem.

Let (X, G) be a G -system. For a point $x \in X$ and open subsets $U, V \subset X$, define

$$N(x, U) = \{g \in G : gx \in U\},$$

and

$$N(U, V) = \{g \in G : gU \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The *orbit* of a point $x \in X$ is the set $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\}$, and the *orbit closure* is \overline{Gx} . Any point with dense orbit is called *transitive*. It is easy to see that (X, G) is minimal if and only if every point in X is transitive. A G -system (X, G) is called *transitive* if for any nonempty open sets U and V of X , $N(U, V) \neq \emptyset$. A point $x \in X$ is called *recurrent* if for any neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is infinite, and *almost periodic* if for any neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is a syndetic set. It is well known that a point x is almost periodic if and only if the system (\overline{Gx}, G) is minimal.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group. For a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in G , we define the *finite product* of $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ by

$$FP(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \left\{ \prod_{i \in \alpha} p_i : \alpha \text{ is a nonempty finite subset of } \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where $\prod_{i \in \alpha} p_i$ is the product in increasing order of indices. A subset F of G is called an *IP-set* if there exists a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in G such that $FP(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$ is infinite and $FP(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) \subset F$. Denote by \mathcal{F}_{ip} the collection of all IP-subsets of G .

Let (X, G) be a G -system, $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family. We say that x is \mathcal{F} -*recurrent* if for every neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U) \in \mathcal{F}$. We will further study recurrent time sets of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points. First we introduce the Bernoulli shift (Σ_2, G) and symmetrically \mathcal{F} -sets which are closely related to the corresponding recurrent time sets.

For a countable infinite discrete group G , let $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}^G$ endowed with the product topology. An element of Σ_2 is a function $z : G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. For every $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$ and $u \in \{0, 1\}^K$, define a *cylinder* as follows:

$$[u] = \{w \in \Sigma_2 : w(g) = u(g) \text{ for } g \in K\}.$$

Then the collection of all cylinders $\{[u] : u \in \{0, 1\}^K \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)\}$ form a basis of Σ_2 . In particular, we denote $[1] = \{z \in \Sigma_2 : z(e) = 1\}$. For $g \in G$, define $T_g : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ by:

$$T_g z(t) = z(tg), \text{ for any } t \in G.$$

Then $(\Sigma_2, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ is a G -system, which is called the *Bernoulli shift* over G .

For a subset $F \subset G$, let $\mathbf{1}_F \in \Sigma_2$ be the characteristic function of F , that is,

$$\mathbf{1}_F(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g \in F; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [17] Kennedy et al. introduced the concept of symmetrically syndetic set and showed that the dual family of symmetrically syndetic sets is the family of dense orbit sets, which answered Question 9.6 in [12]. We say that a subset $A \subset G$ is *symmetrically syndetic* if for every pair of finite subsets $F_1 \subset A$ and $F_2 \subset G \setminus A$, the set

$$\bigcap_{f_1 \in F_1} f_1^{-1}A \cap \bigcap_{f_2 \in F_2} f_2^{-1}(G \setminus A)$$

is syndetic. In [20] Xu and Ye showed a subset of G is symmetrically syndetic if and only if it is a return time set of an almost periodic point in the Bernoulli shift (Σ_2, G) .

Similar to the symmetrically syndetic set, a general symmetrically set can be defined. Given a Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} over G , a subset $A \subset G$ is a *symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set*, if for any finite subsets $F_1 \subset A$ and $F_2 \subset G \setminus A$,

$$\bigcap_{f_1 \in F_1} f_1^{-1}A \cap \bigcap_{f_2 \in F_2} f_2^{-1}(G \setminus A) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We show that the family of sets containing a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set coincides the collection of the return time set of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family. For a given subset F of G with $e \in F$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F$;*
- (2) *there exists an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in \{0, 1\}^G$ with $x \in [1]$ such that $N(x, [1]) \subset F$;*
- (3) *F contains a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set F' with $e \in F'$.*

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) As G is countable, there exists a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that $e \in G_1$, $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = G$. Let $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}^G$. Define

$$\mathbf{1}_{F'}(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g \in F'; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$I_n = F' \cap G_n, \quad J_n = G_n \setminus F'.$$

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$N(\mathbf{1}_{F'}, [\mathbf{1}_{F'}|_{G_n}]) = \bigcap_{f_1 \in I_n} f_1^{-1}F' \cap \bigcap_{f_2 \in J_n} f_2^{-1}(G \setminus F') \in \mathcal{F}.$$

This shows that $\mathbf{1}_{F'}$ is an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point in $\{0, 1\}^G$. It is clear that $N(\mathbf{1}_{F'}, [1]) = F' \subset F$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) As G is countable, there exists a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that $e \in G_1$, $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = G$. According to (1), there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point x and a neighborhood U of x such that $F \supset N(x, U)$. Since G is countable, Gx is countable, we can choose a neighborhood V of x such that $\overline{V} \subset U$ and for any $g \in G$, either $gx \in V$ or $gx \in X \setminus \overline{V}$.

Now it is sufficient to show that $A := N(x, V)$ is a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set. For any $g \in G$, we can choose a neighborhood W_g of x with $W_g \subset V$ such that if $gx \in V$ then $gW_g \subset V$ and if $gx \in X \setminus \overline{V}$ then $gW_g \subset X \setminus \overline{V}$. For any finite set G_n ,

$\bigcap_{g \in G_n} W_g$ is a neighborhood of x . Denote $W := \bigcap_{g \in G_n} W_g$. Then $N(x, W) \subset F$ and $N(x, W) \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $I_n = G_n \cap A$, $J_n = G_n \setminus A$. We have

$$\bigcap_{f_1 \in I_n} f_1^{-1} A \cap \bigcap_{f_2 \in J_n} f_2^{-1} (G \setminus A) \supset N(x, W).$$

Thus A is a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set. □

By the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family. For a given subset F of G with $e \in F$, F is a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set if and only if there exists an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in \{0, 1\}^G$ such that $N(x, [1]) = F$.*

Though Proposition 3.2 connects the recurrent time sets of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points with symmetrically \mathcal{F} -sets, usually it is not easy to verify whether a set is a symmetrically \mathcal{F} -set. Under the conditions (P1) and (P2) introduced in Section 2, we have the following combinatorial characterization of recurrent time sets of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points, which is the main result in this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family satisfying (P1) and (P2). For a given $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $e \in F$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F$;*
- (2) *there exists a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of subsets of F in \mathcal{F} such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in F_n$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fF_m \subset F_n$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) According to (1), there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $B(x, \delta) \subset U$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $F_n := N(x, B(x, \frac{\delta}{n}))$. It is clear that $F_n \subset F$, $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$ and $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now fix F_n and $f \in F_n$, then $fx \in B(x, \frac{\delta}{n})$ and $x \in f^{-1}B(x, \frac{\delta}{n})$. It is clear that $f^{-1}B(x, \frac{\delta}{n})$ is a neighborhood of x , thus there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B(x, \frac{\delta}{m}) \subset f^{-1}B(x, \frac{\delta}{n})$. Then we have $fN(x, B(x, \frac{\delta}{m})) \subset N(x, B(x, \frac{\delta}{n}))$, i.e. $fF_m \subset F_n$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) As G is countable, fix a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that $G_1 = \{e\}$, $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = G$. Without loss of generality assume that $e \in F_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m_1 = 1$, $F'_1 = F_1$ and $B_1 = \{e\}$. Since \mathcal{F} satisfies the condition (P1), there exists a sequence $\{A_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that

- (1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n^{(1)} \subset F_1$;
- (2) for every $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq n'$, $A_n^{(1)} \cap A_{n'}^{(1)} = \emptyset$;
- (3) for every strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} , $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{n_k}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Consider the Bernoulli shift (Σ_2, G) . First, we define $z^{(1)} \in \Sigma_2$ as follows:

$$z^{(1)}(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g = e; \\ 1, & g \in A_1^{(1)}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $N(z^{(1)}, [1]) = A_1^{(1)} \cup \{e\} \subset F_1$. Since $N(z^{(1)}, [1]) = A_1^{(1)} \cup \{e\}$ is a finite set and $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence, by (2) there exists $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fF_{m_2} \subset F_1$ for every $f \in N(z^{(1)}, [1])$.

Let $B_2 = N(z^{(1)}, [1]) \cup G_2$. By the condition (P2), there exists $F'_{m_2} \subset F_{m_2}$ with $F'_{m_2} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $f_1, f_2 \in F'_{m_2}$, $B_2 f_1 \cap B_2 f_2 = \emptyset$. Since $F'_{m_2} \in \mathcal{F}$, again by the condition (P1), there exists a sequence $\{A_n^{(2)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(G)$ such that

- (1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n^{(2)} \subset F'_{m_2}$;
- (2) for every $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq n'$, $A_n^{(2)} \cap A_{n'}^{(2)} = \emptyset$;
- (3) for every strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} , $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{n_k}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Without loss of generality assume that $A_2^{(1)} \subset G \setminus B_2$ and $A_2^{(2)} \subset G \setminus (B_2^{-1}B_2 \cup B_2^{-1}A_2^{(1)})$. Define $z^{(2)} \in \Sigma_2$ as follows:

$$z^{(2)}(g) = \begin{cases} z^{(1)}(g), & \text{for } g \in B_2, \\ 1, & \text{for } g \in A_2^{(1)}, \\ z^{(1)}(h), & \text{for } g \in hA_2^{(2)} \text{ with } h \in B_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$N(z^{(2)}, [1]) = N(z^{(1)}, [1]) \cup A_2^{(1)} \cup (N(z^{(1)}, [1])A_2^{(2)}) \subset F_1$$

and

$$A_2^{(2)} \subset N(z^{(2)}, [z^{(2)}|_{B_2}]).$$

By induction, we can construct $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{F_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{F'_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{A_n^{(i)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfying that for every $i \geq 2$,

- (1) $N(z^{(i-1)}, [1])F_{m_i} \subset F_1$;
- (2) $F'_{m_i} \subset F_{m_i}$;
- (3) $B_i = N(z^{(i-1)}, [1]) \cup G_i$;
- (4) for any $f_1, f_2 \in F'_{m_i}$, $B_i f_1 \cap B_i f_2 = \emptyset$;
- (5) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n^{(i)} \subset F'_{m_i}$;
- (6) for every $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq n'$, $A_n^{(i)} \cap A_{n'}^{(i)} = \emptyset$;
- (7) for every strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} , $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{n_k}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (8) $A_i^{(1)} \subset G \setminus B_i$, $A_i^{(2)} \subset G \setminus (B_2^{-1}B_i \cup B_2^{-1}A_i^{(1)})$, \dots , $A_i^{(i)} \subset G \setminus (B_i^{-1}B_i \cup B_i^{-1}A_i^{(1)} \cup B_i^{-1}B_2A_i^{(2)} \dots \cup B_i^{-1}B_{i-1}A_i^{(i-1)})$;

$$(9) \quad z^{(i)}(g) = \begin{cases} z^{(i-1)}(g), & \text{for } g \in B_i, \\ 1, & \text{for } g \in A_i^{(1)}, \\ z^{(1)}(h), & \text{for } g \in hA_i^{(2)} \text{ with } h \in B_2, \\ \dots & \\ z^{(i-1)}(h), & \text{for } g \in hA_i^{(i)} \text{ with } h \in B_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The point $z^{(i)}$ in $\{0, 1\}^G$ is well-defined since any two different sets in the collection $\{B_i, A_i^{(1)}, B_j A_i^{(j)} : j = 2, \dots, i\}$ are disjoint. It is easy to verify that

$$N(z^{(i)}, [1]) = N(z^{(i-1)}, [1]) \cup A_i^{(1)} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (N(z^{(j)}, [1]) A_i^{(j+1)}) \subset F_1,$$

and

$$N(z^{(i)}, [z^{(i)}|_{B_j}]) \supset A_j^{(j)} \cup A_{j+1}^{(j)} \dots \cup A_i^{(j)}, j = 2, \dots, i.$$

As $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, let $z := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z^{(i)}$. Then for every $i \geq 1$,

$$\bigcup_{j=i}^\infty A_j^{(i)} \subset N(z, [z|_{B_i}]).$$

Thus z is an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point and

$$N(z, [1]) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty N(z^{(i)}, [1]) \subset F_1 \subset F.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. In Section 2 we showed that \mathcal{F}_{ps} and \mathcal{F}_{inf} satisfy the properties (P1) and (P2). If G is amenable, \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ also satisfy the properties (P1) and (P2). So we can apply Theorem 3.4 to Furstenberg families \mathcal{F}_{ps} , \mathcal{F}_{inf} , \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$.

Definition 3.6. Let (X, G) be a G -system. A pair $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X$ is said to be *proximal* if $\inf_{g \in G} d(gx_1, gx_2) = 0$, and *distal* if it is not proximal. A point $x \in X$ is called *distal* if for any $y \in \overline{Gx}$ with $y \neq x$, (x, y) is distal.

If for any G -system (Y, G) and any recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, G)$, then we say that x is *product recurrent*.

Definition 3.7. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group. A subset $F \subset G$ is called *central* if there exists a G -system (X, G) , a point $x \in X$, an almost periodic point $y \in X$ and a neighborhood U of y such that (x, y) is proximal and $N(x, U) \subset F$. Denote by \mathcal{F}_{cen} the collection of all central subsets of G .

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *IP**-set (resp. *central**-set) if for any IP-subset (reps. central subset) F of G , $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ip}}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}}^*$ the collection of all *IP**-subsets and *central**-subset of G . It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{ip}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ip}}^* \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}}^* \subset \mathcal{F}_s$, see e.g. [15].

The following characterizations of distal points were proved by Furstenberg in [10] for classical dynamical systems and [8] for G -systems (see Corollaries 5.30 and 5.36 of [8]).

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, G) be a G -system and $x \in X$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) x is a distal point;
- (2) x is an $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ip}}^*$ -recurrent point;
- (3) x is a $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}}^*$ -recurrent point;
- (4) x is a product recurrent point.

The notion of weak product recurrence was first introduced in [13] by Haddad and Ott for classical dynamical systems. Let (X, G) be a G -system and $x \in X$. If for any G -system (Y, G) and any almost periodic point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, G)$, then we say that x is *weak product recurrent*.

In [1] Auslander and Furstenberg asked whether weak product recurrent point is product recurrent. It is answered by Haddad and Ott in [13] negatively for classical dynamical systems. In [7], Dong, Shao and Ye related product recurrence with disjointness, which was introduced by Furstenberg in his seminal paper [9], and proved that if a non-trivial transitive system is disjoint from any minimal system, then every transitive point is weak product recurrent but not minimal. Here we generalize this result to G -systems.

Definition 3.9. Let (X, G) and (Y, G) be two G -systems. We say that a nonempty closed subset $J \subset X \times Y$ is a *joining* of (X, G) and (Y, G) if it is G -invariant and its projections onto the first and second coordinates are X and Y respectively.

If every joining is equal to $X \times Y$, then we say that (X, G) and (Y, G) are *disjoint*.

In [12], Glasner et al. showed that for any infinite discrete group G , the Bernoulli shift is disjoint from any minimal system. Recently, Xu and Ye [20] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a transitive system (X, G) to be disjoint from any minimal system when G is a countable discrete group. In the following we show that any transitive point in such a non-trivial transitive system is weak product recurrent but not product recurrent, which shows that Question 1.4 is also negative for G -systems.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (X, G) be a non-trivial transitive system. If (X, G) is disjoint from any minimal system, then every transitive point $x \in X$ is weak product recurrent but not product recurrent.*

Proof. Given any almost periodic point y in a G -system (Y, G) , we need to show that (x, y) is recurrent. Since x is transitive, $\overline{G(x, y)}$ is a joining of X and \overline{Gy} . Since (X, G) is disjoint from any minimal system, in particular (X, G) and (\overline{Gy}, G) are disjoint, thus $\overline{G(x, y)} = X \times \overline{Gy}$. Then for any neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x, y) , $G(x, y) \cap (U \times (V \cap \overline{Gy}))$ is an infinite set, i.e. (x, y) is recurrent.

Now we show that x is not product recurrent. By Theorem 3.8, it is sufficient to show that x is not almost periodic. Assume on the contrary that x is an

almost periodic point. Then (X, G) is a minimal system. By the assumption, (X, G) is disjoint from itself. It is clear that $\{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is a joining of (X, G) and (X, G) . Since (X, G) is non-trivial, $\{(x, x) : x \in X\} \neq X \times X$. This is a contradiction. \square

In [19], Oprocha and Zhang showed that the intersection of a dynamical syndetic set and a thick set contains a recurrent time set of a piecewise syndetic recurrent point for a classical dynamical system. In fact, a subset of \mathbb{N}_0 is the intersection of a dynamical syndetic set and a thick set if and only if it is central, see e.g. [16, Theorem 3.7]. Using Theorem 3.4, we generalize Oprocha and Zhang's result to G -systems.

Lemma 3.11. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $F \subset G$. If F is a central set with $e \in F$, then there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that F satisfies Theorem 3.4 (2) for the case of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$. That is, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of subsets of F in \mathcal{F}_{ps} such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in F_n$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fF_m \subset F_n$.

Since F is a central set, by the definition, there exists a G -system (X, G) , a point $x \in X$, an almost periodic point $y \in X$ and a neighborhood U of y such that (x, y) is proximal and $N(x, U) \subset F$. Since U is a neighborhood of y , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(y, \epsilon) \subset U$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $F_n := N((x, y), B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}) \times B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}))$. It is clear that $F_n \subset F$ and $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we will show that $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$. Let $A := N(y, B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{2n}))$ and $B := \{g \in G : d(gx, gy) < \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\}$. Since y is an almost periodic point, A is a syndetic set. Since (x, y) is proximal, B is a thick set. For any $g \in A \cap B$, $d(gx, y) \leq d(gx, gy) + d(gy, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$, then $gx \in B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})$. Thus $A \cap B \subset N((x, y), B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}) \times B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})) = F_n$ and $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}$.

Now fix F_n and $f \in F_n$. Note that $f(x, y) \in B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}) \times B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})$ and $y \in f^{-1}B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})$. It is clear that $f^{-1}B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})$ is a neighborhood of y , thus there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{m}) \subset f^{-1}B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n})$. Then we have $fN((x, y), B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{m}) \times B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{m})) \subset N((x, y), B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}) \times B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{n}))$, i.e. $fF_m \subset F_n$. \square

In [7], Dong, Shao and Ye further studied product recurrent properties via Furstenberg families. Let \mathcal{F} be a Furstenberg family and (X, G) be a G -system. We say that a point $x \in X$ is \mathcal{F} -product recurrent if for any given \mathcal{F} -recurrent point y in any G -system (Y, G) , (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times Y, G)$. The following result shows that Question 1.5 also has positive answer for G -systems.

Theorem 3.12. *Let (X, G) be a G -system and $x \in X$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) x is distal;
- (2) x is \mathcal{F}_{ps} -product recurrent;
- (3) for every \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent point y in the Bernoulli shift (Σ_2, G) , (x, y) is recurrent in the product system $(X \times \Sigma_2, G)$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) By Theorem 3.8 it is sufficient to show that x is an $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}}^*$ -recurrent point. For any neighborhood U of x and any central subset A of G , by Lemma 3.11 there exists a G -system (Y, G) , an \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent point $y \in Y$ and a neighborhood V of y such that $N(y, V) \subset A \cup \{e\}$. Then by Proposition 3.2, there exists an \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent point $z \in \Sigma_2$ with $z \in [1]$ such that $N(z, [1]) \subset A \cup \{e\}$. By (3), (x, z) is recurrent. Thus

$$N(x, U) \cap N(z, [1]) = N((x, z), U \times [1])$$

is an infinite set. Then we have $N(x, U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $N(x, U) \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{cen}}^*$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) It follows from Theorem 3.8. \square

4. RETURN TIME SETS FOR G -SYSTEMS ON COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACES

In this section, using the algebra properties of the Stone-Ćech compactification βG of G , we study return time sets for general G -systems on compact Hausdorff space.

First we briefly introduce the concept of compact right topological semigroup and its basic properties. By a *compact right topological semigroup*, we mean a triple (E, \cdot, \mathcal{T}) , where (E, \cdot) is a semigroup, (E, \mathcal{T}) is a compact Hausdorff space, and for every $p \in E$, the right translation $q \mapsto q \cdot p$ is continuous. If there is no ambiguous, we will say that E , instead of the triple (E, \cdot, \mathcal{T}) , is a compact right topological semigroup. A subset L of E is called a *left ideal* of E if $E \cdot L \subset L$. A *minimal left ideal* is the left ideal that does not contain any proper left ideal. A subset I of E is called an *ideal* of E if $E \cdot I \cdot E \subset I$. It is well known that E has a smallest ideal, denoted by $K(E)$, which is the union of all minimal left ideals of E , see e.g. [15, Theorem 2.8]. An element $p \in E$ is called *idempotent* if $p \cdot p = p$. An idempotent $p \in E$ is called a *minimal idempotent* if there exists a minimal left ideal L of E such that $p \in L$. The following celebrated Ellis-Namakura Theorem reveals every compact right topological semigroup must contains an idempotent, see e.g. [15, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a compact right topological semigroup. Then there exists $p \in E$ such that $p \cdot p = p$.*

Now we recall the definition and the algebraic structure of Stone-Ćech compactification of a countable infinite discrete group. We refer the reader to the book [15] on this topic. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and βG be the collection of ultrafilters on G . Given $A \subset \beta G$, let $\widehat{A} := \{p \in \beta G : A \in p\}$. If $g \in G$, then $\epsilon(g) := \{A \in \mathcal{P}(G) : g \in A\}$ is easily seen to be an ultrafilter on G , which is called the *principal ultrafilter* defined by g . Once we have identified $g \in G$ with $\epsilon(g) \in \beta G$, we shall suppose that $G \subset \beta G$. In fact, the set $\{\widehat{A} : A \subset G\}$ forms a basis of a topology \mathcal{T} on βG . Then $(\beta G, \mathcal{T})$ is the Stone-Ćech compactification of G , that is, for any compact Hausdorff space Y and any function $\varphi : G \rightarrow Y$ there exists a continuous function $\widetilde{\varphi} : \beta G \rightarrow Y$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}|_G = \varphi$. The operation \cdot on G can be uniquely extended to an operation \cdot on βG such that for any $p, q \in \beta G$,

$p \cdot q = \{A \subset G : \{x \in G : x^{-1}A \in q\} \in p\}$. Then $(\beta G, \cdot, \mathcal{T})$ is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup.

Recall that we have introduced the definition of central set in Section 3, in [2] Bergelson and Hindman obtained the following characterization of central sets using the algebra properties of βG .

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group. A subset F of G is central if and only if there exists a minimal idempotent $p \in \beta G$ such that $F \in p$.*

The extension of the operation \cdot on G can be expressed by p -limits. We refer to [15, Section 3.5] for more about p -limits.

Definition 4.3. Let $p \in \beta G$, $(x_g)_{g \in G}$ be an indexed family in a compact Hausdorff space X and $y \in X$. If for every neighborhood U of y , $\{g \in G : x_g \in U\} \in p$, then we say that the p -limit of $(x_g)_{g \in G}$ is y , denoted by $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} x_g = y$. As X is a compact Hausdorff space, $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} x_g$ exists and is unique.

If viewing $(g)_{g \in G}$ as an indexed family in βG , then $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} g = p$.

For a Furstenberg family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$, the *hull* of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$h(\mathcal{F}) = \{p \in \beta G : p \subset \mathcal{F}\}.$$

If \mathcal{F} has the Ramsey property, then $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subset of βG . One can refer to [11] for more about this notion which indeed establishing a one-to-one correspondence between the set of Furstenberg families with the Ramsey property and the set of nonempty closed subsets of βG .

A Furstenberg family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ is called *left shift-invariant* if for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in G$, $gA \in \mathcal{F}$. We have the following equivalent condition for $h(\mathcal{F})$ to be a nonempty closed left ideal, see [18, Lemma 3.4] for the case \mathbb{N} and [5, Theorem 5.1.2] for a general discrete group.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family with the Ramsey property. Then $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed left ideal of βG if and only if \mathcal{F} is left shift-invariant.*

The following lemma is folklore, see e.g. [18, Theorem 4.4] or [5, Lemma 5.2.2].

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family with the Ramsey property. If $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG , then for any G -system (X, G) on a compact Hausdorff space X , a point $x \in X$ is \mathcal{F} -recurrent if and only if there exists an idempotent $p \in h(\mathcal{F})$ such that $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} gx = x$.*

We say a subset F of G is an *essential \mathcal{F} -set* if there exists an idempotent $p \in h(\mathcal{F})$ such that $F \in p$. We have the following combinatorial characterization of essential \mathcal{F} -sets, which was proved in [18, Proposition 4.13] for the case \mathbb{N} , but it is routine to check the proof also works for a general countable infinite discrete group G .

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family with the Ramsey property. If $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG , then a subset F of G is an essential \mathcal{F} -set if and only if there exists a*

decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of subsets of F in \mathcal{F} such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in F_n$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fF_m \subset F_n$.

Now we have the following main result of this section, which characterizes the recurrent time sets of \mathcal{F} -recurrent points in a G -system on a compact Hausdorff space.

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a Furstenberg family with the Ramsey property. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (P1) and (P2) and $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG , then*

- (1) *for any G -system (X, G) on a compact Hausdorff space X , if a point $x \in X$ is \mathcal{F} -recurrent, then for every neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U)$ is an essential \mathcal{F} -set;*
- (2) *for any essential \mathcal{F} -subset F of G , there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F \cup \{e\}$.*

Proof. (1) Let (X, G) be a G -system and $x \in X$ be an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point. As $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG , by Lemma 4.5 there exists an idempotent $p \in h(\mathcal{F})$ such that $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} gx = x$. For every neighborhood U of x , $N(x, U) = \{g \in G : gx \in U\} \in p$. So $N(x, U)$ is an essential \mathcal{F} -set.

(2) Let $F \subset G$ be an essential \mathcal{F} -set. As $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG , by Proposition 4.6 there exists a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of subsets of F in \mathcal{F} such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in F_n$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fF_m \subset F_n$. As \mathcal{F} satisfies (P1) and (P2), by Theorem 3.4 there exists a G -system (X, G) , an \mathcal{F} -recurrent point $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x such that $N(x, U) \subset F \cup \{e\}$. \square

The following examples show that some Furstenberg families introduced in Section 2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.7.

Example 4.8. Recall that \mathcal{F}_{inf} is the collection of all infinite subsets of G . It is easy to verify that \mathcal{F}_{inf} satisfies the properties (P1) and (P2) and has the Ramsey property. Note that $h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}) = \beta G \setminus G$. Then $h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}})$ is a closed ideal of βG . Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied for \mathcal{F}_{inf} . By [15, Theorem 5.12] a subset F of G is an essential \mathcal{F}_{inf} -set if and only if it is an IP-set. It should be noticed that the IP-set defined in this paper must be an infinite subset of G . So Theorem 4.7 for the Furstenberg family \mathcal{F}_{inf} characterizes the recurrent time sets of recurrent points via IP-sets.

Example 4.9. Recall that \mathcal{F}_{ps} is the collection of all piecewise syndetic subsets of G . Then \mathcal{F}_{ps} has the Ramsey property and by Lemma 2.3 \mathcal{F}_{ps} satisfies (P1) and (P2). We know that $h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{ps}}) = \text{cl}_{\beta G} K(\beta G)$, see e.g. [15, Corollary 4.41], and $\text{cl}_{\beta G} K(\beta G)$ is a closed ideal of βG , see e.g. [15, Theorem 4.44]. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied for \mathcal{F}_{ps} . Following [14], we say that a subset A of G is *quasi-central* if there exists an idempotent $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta G} K(\beta G)$ such that $A \in p$. So Theorem 4.7 for the Furstenberg family \mathcal{F}_{ps} characterizes the recurrent time sets of \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent points via quasi-central sets, which is exact the Theorem 1.6 in the introduction.

Example 4.10. Let G be a countable infinite discrete amenable group and $\{F_n\}$ be a Følner sequence in G . Recall that \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ are the collection of all subset of G with positive upper density with respect to $\{F_n\}$ and the collection of all subsets of G with positive upper Banach density. By Lemma 2.6 \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ satisfy (P1) and (P2). By Lemma 4.4, $h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pud}})$ and $h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}})$ are closed left ideals of βG . Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied for \mathcal{F}_{pud} and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$.

Following [3], we say that a subset A of G is a D -set if there exists an idempotent $p \in h(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}})$ such that $A \in p$. So Theorem 4.7 for the Furstenberg family $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ characterizes the recurrent time sets of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pubd}}$ -recurrent points via D -sets.

5. βG -ACTIONS AND PRODUCT RECURRENCE

In [1] Auslander and Furstenberg initiated the study of the action of a compact right topological semigroup on a compact Hausdorff space. In this section, we will focus on the βG -action and give a sufficient condition for the closed semigroups S of βG for which a S -product recurrent point is a distal point.

Definition 5.1. Let G be a countable infinite discrete group and βG be the Stone-Čech compactification of G . By an *action* of βG on a compact Hausdorff space X , we mean a map $\Phi: \beta G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(p, x) \mapsto px$, such that $p(qx) = (pq)x$, for all $p, q \in \beta G$ and $x \in X$, and such that for each $x \in X$ the map $\Phi_x: \beta G \rightarrow X$, $p \mapsto px$, is continuous. For convenience, we denote such an action of βG on X as $(X, \beta G)$. It should be noticed that it is not assume that for each $p \in \beta G$, the map $X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto px$, is continuous.

For two actions $(X, \beta G)$ and $(Y, \beta G)$, define a map $\Psi: \beta G \times (X \times Y) \rightarrow X \times Y$, $(p, (x, y)) \mapsto (px, py)$, then it is an action on $X \times Y$, we denote such an action of βG on $X \times Y$ as $(X \times Y, \beta G)$.

Remark 5.2. Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action. By the definition of βG -action, for each $x \in X$, $\Phi_x: p \mapsto px$ is a continuous map from βG to X . For every neighborhood V of px , there exists some $A \in p$ such that $\Phi_x(\widehat{A}) \subset V$. Since $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} g = p$, $\{g \in G : g \in \widehat{A}\} \in p$. Note that $\{g \in G : g \in \widehat{A}\} \subset \{g \in G : gx \in V\}$, so we have $\{g \in G : gx \in V\} \in p$. By the uniqueness of p -limit, $p\text{-}\lim_{g \in G} gx = px$.

Remark 5.3. When (X, G) is a G -system with X being a compact Hausdorff space, there is a naturally induced action of βG on X . For every $g \in G$, we view g as a continuous map from X to X . Define $\theta: G \rightarrow X^X$ by $\theta(g) = g$. As βG is the Stone-Čech compactification of G , θ has a continuous extension $\widetilde{\theta}: \beta G \rightarrow X^X$. By the map $\widetilde{\theta}$, βG actions on X .

Now we recall some basic dynamical concepts in the context of βG -actions. It is not hard to see that if the βG -action is induced by a G -system then these concepts coincide.

Definition 5.4. Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action. We say that a pair (x, y) of points in X is *proximal* if there exists some $p \in \beta G$ such that $px = py$. If (x, y) is not

proximal, then (x, y) is said to be *distal*. A point $x \in X$ is called *distal* if for any $y \in \beta Gx$ with $y \neq x$, (x, y) is distal.

Definition 5.5. Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action. We say that a point $x \in X$ is *recurrent* if there exists some $p \in \beta G \setminus G$ such that $px = x$, and *almost periodic* if there exists some minimal idempotent p in βG such that $px = x$.

Let S be a nonempty closed subsemigroup of $\beta G \setminus G$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be *S-recurrent* if there exists some $p \in S$ such that $px = x$.

It is easy to see that a point x is recurrent if and only if there exists an idempotent $p \in \beta G \setminus G$ such that $px = x$, and a point is almost periodic if and only if it is L -recurrent for some minimal left ideal of βG . If $x \in X$ and u is a minimal idempotent in βG , then (x, ux) is proximal as $u(ux) = ux$. It follows that a distal point is almost periodic.

In [1] Auslander and Furstenberg generalized the characterization of distal points to general compact right topological semigroup actions.

Theorem 5.6 ([1, Theorem 1]). *Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action and $x \in X$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) x is a distal point;
- (2) for any almost periodic point $y \in X$, (x, y) is almost periodic in $(X \times X, \beta G)$;
- (3) for any βG -action $(Y, \beta G)$ and any almost periodic point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is an almost periodic point in $(X \times Y, \beta G)$;
- (4) for any idempotent $p \in \beta G$, $px = x$;
- (5) for any minimal idempotent $p \in \beta G$, $px = x$;
- (6) there is a minimal left ideal L in βG such that for any idempotent p in L , $px = x$.

Definition 5.7. Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action and S be a nonempty closed subsemigroup of $\beta G \setminus G$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be *S-product recurrent* if for any βG -action $(Y, \beta G)$ and any S -recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is an S -recurrent point in $(X \times Y, \beta G)$, and *weak S-product recurrent* if for any βG -action $(Y, \beta G)$ and any S -recurrent point $y \in Y$, (x, y) is a recurrent point in $(X \times Y, \beta G)$.

By Theorem 5.6, if L is a minimal left ideal in βG , then L -product recurrence coincides with distality.

In [1], Auslander and Furstenberg studied the general compact right topological semigroup E actions on a compact Hausdorff space X . They introduced the cancellation semigroup condition and showed that if a nonempty closed subsemigroup $S \subset E$ satisfies the cancellation semigroup condition and contains a minimal left ideal of E , then S -product recurrence coincides with distality, see [1, Corollary 4 and Theorem 4]. This inspires Auslander and Furstenberg to propose the Question 1.3.

We obtain the following sufficient conditions on the closed subsemigroup S of βG for which S -product recurrence coincides with distality, which partly answers Question 1.3 for βG -actions. Note that Theorem 1.7 is a direct consequence of the following result.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $(X, \beta G)$ be a βG -action and S be a nonempty closed subsemigroup of $\beta G \setminus G$. If $\text{cl}_{\beta S} K(\beta G) \subset S$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) a point $x \in X$ is distal;
- (2) x is weakly S -product recurrent;
- (3) x is S -product recurrent.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) It is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume on the contrary that x is not distal. Then by Theorem 5.6, there exists a minimal idempotent $p \in \beta G$ such that $px \neq x$. By Remark 5.2, there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $\{g \in G: gx \in X \setminus U\} \in p$. By Theorem 4.2 $\{g \in G: gx \in X \setminus U\}$ is a central set. Now by Lemma 3.11 and Proposition 3.2, there exists an \mathcal{F}_{ps} -recurrent point y with $y \in [1]$ in the Bernoulli shift (Σ_2, G) such that $\{g \in G: gy \in [1]\} \subset \{g \in G: gx \in X \setminus U\} \cup \{e\}$. Let $(\Sigma_2, \beta G)$ be the action of βG on Σ_2 induced by (Σ_2, G) . Then by Lemma 4.5, Remark 5.2 and $\text{cl}_{\beta S} K(\beta G) \subset S$, y is S -recurrent in $(\Sigma_2, \beta G)$. As x is weakly S -product recurrent, (x, y) is recurrent in $(X \times \Sigma_2, \beta G)$. But $\{g \in G: (gx, gy) \in U \times [1]\} \subset \{g \in G: gx \in U\} \cap (\{g \in G: gx \in X \setminus U\} \cup \{e\}) = \{e\}$, which is a contradiction.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that x is a distal point. Given any S -recurrent point y in any action $(Y, \beta G)$, there exists $p \in S$ such that $py = y$. Let $L := \{q \in S : qy = y\}$. Then L is a nonempty closed subsemigroup of βG . By Ellis-Namakura Theorem (Theorem 4.1) there exists an idempotent $u \in L$. That is, there exists an idempotent $u \in S$ such that $uy = y$. Since x is a distal point, by Theorem 5.6, $ux = x$, and then $u(x, y) = (x, y)$. and then (x, y) is S -recurrent in $(X \times Y, \beta G)$. \square

Remark 5.9. For a Furstenberg family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$, if \mathcal{F} has the Ramsey property, then the hull $h(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is a nonempty closed subset of $\beta G \setminus G$. If $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{F}_{ps}$, then $h(\mathcal{F}) \supset h(\mathcal{F}_{ps}) = \text{cl}_{\beta G} K(\beta G)$. Let (X, G) be a G -system. Consider the action βG of G induced by (X, G) . By Lemma 4.5 and Remark 5.2, one has that Theorem 1.8 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.8.

It should be noticed that Theorem 1.8 holds for the Furstenberg families \mathcal{F}_{ps} and \mathcal{F}_{inf} , and if in addition G is amenable, then it holds for the Furstenberg family \mathcal{F}_{pubd} .

Let G be a countable infinite discrete amenable group and $\{F_n\}$ be a Følner sequence in G . Recall that \mathcal{F}_{pubd} is the collection of all subsets of G with positive upper density with respect to $\{F_n\}$. We know that $h(\mathcal{F}_{pubd})$ is a nonempty closed left ideal of βG . As $\mathcal{F}_{ps} \not\subset \mathcal{F}_{pubd}$, we can not apply Theorem 1.8. So we have the following natural question:

Question 5.10. Is \mathcal{F}_{pubd} -product recurrence equivalent to distality?

Acknowledgment. The authors were supported in part by NSF of China (Grant nos. 12222110 and 12171298).

REFERENCES

1. J. Auslander and H. Furstenberg, Product recurrence and distal points, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 343 (1994), no. 1, 221–232.
2. V. Bergelson and N. Hindman, Nonmetrizable topological dynamics and Ramsey Theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 320 (1990), no. 1, 293–320.
3. V. Bergelson and T. Downarowicz, Large sets of integers and hierarchy of mixing properties of measure preserving systems, *Colloq. Math.* 110 (2008), no. 1, 117–150.

4. S. Burns and N. Hindman, Quasi-central sets and their dynamical characterization, *Topology Proc.* 31 (2007), 445–455
5. C. Christopherson, Closed ideals in the Stone-Čech Compactification of a countable semi-group and some applications to ergodic theory and topological dynamics, Thesis (Ph.D.)–The Ohio State University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014. 139 pp.
6. X. Dai, H. Liang and Z. Xiao, Characterizations of relativized distal points of topological dynamical systems, *Topology Appl.* 302 (2021), Paper No. 107832, 23 pp.
7. P. Dong, S. Shao and X. Ye, Product recurrent properties, disjointness and weak disjointness, *Israel J. Math.* 188 (2012), no. 1, 463–507.
8. D. Ellis, R. Ellis and M. Nerurkar, The topological dynamics of semigroup actions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001), no. 4, 1279–1320.
9. H. Furstenberg, Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation, *Math. Systems Theory* 1 (1967), 1–49.
10. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.
11. S. Glasner, Divisible properties and the Stone-Čech compactification, *Canadian J. Math.*, 34 (1980), no. 4, 993–1007.
12. E. Glasner, T. Tsankov, B. Weiss and A. Zucker, Bernoulli disjointness, *Duke Math. J.* 70 (2021), no. 4, 614–651.
13. K. Haddad and W. Ott, Recurrence in pairs, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 28 (2008), no. 4, 1135–1143.
14. N. Hindman, A. Maleki and D. Strauss, Central sets and their combinatorial characterization, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 74 (1996), no. 2, 188–208.
15. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification. Theory and applications*, Second revised and extended edition. De Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.
16. W. Huang, S. Shao and X. Ye, An answer to Furstenberg’s problem on topological disjointness, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 40 (2020), no. 9, 2467–2481.
17. M. Kennedy, S. Raum and G. Salomon, Amenability, proximality and higher-order syndeticity, *Forum Math. Sigma* 10 (2022), Paper No. e22, 28 pp.
18. J. Li, Dynamical characterization of C-sets and its application, *Fund. Math.* 216 (2012), no. 3, 259–286.
19. P. Oprocha and G. Zhang, On weak product recurrence and synchronization of return times, *Adv. Math.* 244 (2013), 395–412.
20. H. Xu and X. Ye, Disjointness with all minimal systems under group actions, preprint, 2022, arxiv:2212.07830.

(J. Li) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, 515821, GUANGDONG, CHINA
Email address: lijian09@mail.ustc.edu.cn

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8724-3050>

(X. Liang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, 650000, YUNNAN, CHINA

Email address: liangxianjuan@outlook.com

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1970-3809>

(Y. Yang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, 515821, GUANGDONG, CHINA

Email address: ynyangchs@foxmail.com

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6564-2213>