# **APPROXIMATE FORMULA FOR** Z(t)

#### J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. The series for the zeta function does not converge on the critical line but the function

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t}$$

satisfies  $Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} G(t) \} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon})$ . So one expects that the zeros of zeta on the critical line are very near the zeros of  $\operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} G(t) \}$ . There is a related function U(t) that satisfies the equality  $Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} U(t) \}$ .

## INTRODUCTION.

There are many functions f(t) with  $Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} f(t) \}$ . Notable examples of this type of functions are  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it), -\frac{\zeta'(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{2\vartheta'(t)}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ . The position of the zeros of these functions is closely related to the zeros of zeta on the critical line. In this paper we define a function G(t) that only satisfies the approximate equation

$$Z(t) = 2\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}G(t)\} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}).$$

Therefore, we expect that the real zeros of  $2 \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} G(t) \}$  are close to the real zeros of Z(t). In exchange for the fact that it is only an approximation, we find that G(t) has a very simple definition, valid for all real t,

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t}$$

and that  $2 \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} G(t) \}$  seems to have of order of  $\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T$  real zeros in the interval [0, T]. Although the latter we have not been able to prove, I only base this statement on the calculation of some of the first zeros of G(t).

The function G(t) extends to a meromorphic function on **C** with poles at  $(2k - \frac{1}{2})i$  and  $-2\pi n^2$ . There are many interesting things about G(t) for example

(1) 
$$G(t) = \frac{t}{2\pi} \Big( \zeta (2 + \frac{1}{2} + it) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2 + \frac{1}{2} + it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t} \Big).$$

So that the values of Z(t) appear to depend only on things happening at  $\sigma \geq 2$ . Also for small t we observe that the continuous  $\arg G(t)$  is increasing very slowly. Giving the zeros we want for Z(t).

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

Key words and phrases. función zeta, representation integral.



The function G(t) is only a first example of many other approximate solutions. For example, see [1].

Notations and known results. Throughout the paper, C's and c's denote positive absolute constants that are not always the same from one occurrence to another.  $\mathcal{O}$ 's are absolute unless otherwise stated. Thus  $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(\phi(x))$  means  $|f(x)|/\phi(x) < K$  for  $x > x_0$ : an  $\mathcal{O}$  involves two constants, K and  $x_0$ . We also write  $f(x) \ll \phi(x)$  with the same meaning.

We recall some known results. First, by Stirling's formula (see Titchmarsh [9, (4.12.1), p. 78]. In any fixed strip  $\alpha \leq \sigma \leq \beta$ , as  $t \to \infty$ 

(2) 
$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) = (2\pi)^{1/2} |t|^{\sigma + it - 1/2} e^{-\pi |t|/2 - it + \operatorname{sgn}(t)\frac{\pi i}{2}(\sigma - 1/2)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1})).$$

The function Z(t) is defined (see [9, Section 4.17], [3, Section 6.5]) as

(3) 
$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)}\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it), \text{ where } e^{i\vartheta(t)} = \pi^{-it/2}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{t}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - i\frac{t}{2})}} = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}it}\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}it)}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}it)|}$$

It is an analytical function on  $\Omega$  the plane minus two cuts along the imaginary axis, one from i/2 to  $i\infty$  and one from -i/2 to  $-i\infty$ . The function  $\vartheta(t)$  is also holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . It is defined so that  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ . The functional equation of zeta implies that Z(t) is an even function and  $\vartheta(t)$  an odd function.

By the Stirling formula, for t = x + iy and  $\alpha \le y \le \beta$  and  $x \to \infty$  we have

(4) 
$$e^{i\vartheta(x+iy)} = \left|\frac{x}{2\pi}\right|^{-y/2+ix/2} e^{-i\frac{x}{2} - \frac{\pi i}{8}\operatorname{sgn}(x)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1})\right).$$

We also need a simple bound for  $\zeta(\sigma + it)$  Edwards [3, p. 185]

(5) 
$$|\zeta(\sigma+it)| \le Ct^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}\log t, \qquad 0 \le \sigma \le 1, \quad t > 2.$$

Sometimes, it suffices to apply the more simple inequality

(6) 
$$|\zeta(\sigma+it)| \le Ct^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \sigma \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad t > 1,$$

that we may deduce from [9, Theorem 4.11].

For the incomplete Gamma function we have the following inequality (see Gabcke [4, Ch. 5 § 4 Theorem 3])

(7) 
$$\Gamma(a,x) := \int_x^\infty v^{a-1} e^{-v} \, dv \le a e^{-x} x^{a-1}, \qquad x > a \ge 1.$$

We shall make use of the following asymptotic expansions (see Gabcke [4, Ch. 4  $\S$  2 Theorem 3])

(8) 
$$\vartheta(t) \sim \frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{2n-1}-1)|B_{2n}|}{2^{2n}(2n-1)2n} \frac{1}{t^{2n-1}}$$

(9) 
$$\vartheta'(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{2n-1}-1)|B_{2n}|}{2^{2n}2n} \frac{1}{t^{2n}}.$$

(10) 
$$\vartheta''(t) \sim \frac{1}{2t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{2n-1}-1)|B_{2n}|}{2^{2n}} \frac{1}{t^{2n+1}}$$

Since they follow from the Stirling expansion, all of them are valid on  $|\arg t| < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

1. The function U(t).

**Definition 1.** For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  we define

(11) 
$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\sigma-\infty}^{-i\sigma+\infty} e^{i\vartheta(t+x)-i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} dx,$$

where  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is easy to see that the integrand is a holomorphic function of x for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \text{Im}(x) < 0$ , and by Cauchy's Theorem the integral is independent of  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem 2.** For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  we have

(12) 
$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} U(t) \right\}$$

*Proof.* Following an idea of Berry and Keating [2], by Cauchy's Theorem, we have

$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{-}+L_{+}} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx,$$

where for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ , the integration path  $L^-$  and  $L^+$  are parametrized by  $x = -i\sigma + iy$ and  $x = i\sigma - iy$  respectively with  $-\infty < y < +\infty$ .

That is,

$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\sigma - \infty}^{-i\sigma + \infty} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\sigma - \infty}^{i\sigma + \infty} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx.$$

For real t, the second integral is the conjugate of the first integral, therefore

$$Z(t) = 2\operatorname{Re}\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\sigma-\infty}^{-i\sigma+\infty}Z(t+x)\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\,dx\Big\}.$$

This may be written as

$$Z(t) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\sigma-\infty}^{-i\sigma+\infty}e^{i\vartheta(t+x)-i\vartheta(t)}\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\,dx\right\}.\qquad \Box$$

#### ARIAS DE REYNA

### 2. FIRST APPROXIMATION TO U(t).

**Theorem 3.** Given a natural number n and  $0 < \sigma_0 < 2$  there is a constant C > 0 such that for  $t \to +\infty$ 

(13) 
$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma_0}^{b-i\sigma_0} e^{i\vartheta(t+x)-i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx + \mathcal{O}(t^{-n}),$$

with  $b = C \log t$ .

*Proof.* In equation (11) we may take  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sigma < \sigma_0$ . Applying Cauchy's Theorem we change the path of integration to a broken line L through the points

 $-\infty - i\sigma$ ,  $-b - i\sigma$ ,  $-b - i\sigma_0$ ,  $+b - i\sigma_0$ ,  $+\infty - i\sigma_0$ .

We call  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ , and  $L_4$  the four segments that make up L. Since we want to approximate U(t) by the integral on  $L_3$ , we must bound the other three integrals.

(a) Integral along  $L_4$ . The path is parametrized by  $x = y - i\sigma_0$  with  $b < y < +\infty$ . By (4)

$$|e^{i\vartheta(t+x)}| = |e^{i\vartheta(t+y-i\sigma_0)}| \ll \left|\frac{t+y}{2\pi}\right|^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}} \ll |t+y|^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}},$$

and by (6) since  $y > b = C \log t > 1$ 

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma_0 + i(t+y))| \le C(t+y)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $0 < \sigma_0 < 2$  the last factor is bounded by

$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(y-i\sigma_0)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}}$$

It follows that

$$I(L_4) := \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\sigma_0}^{\infty - i\sigma_0} e^{i\vartheta(t+x) - i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{\pm}{i}(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx \right| \ll \\ \ll \int_b^{+\infty} (t+y)^{\frac{1+\sigma_0}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} \, dy \ll \int_b^{+\infty} (t+y)^2 e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} \, dy.$$

Since 1 < b < t we have  $t + y \le ty$  and

$$I(L_4) \ll t^2 \int_b^{+\infty} y^2 e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} dy \ll t^2 \int_{\pi b/2}^{+\infty} y^2 e^{-y} dy = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(3, \pi b/2).$$

Now we use (7) and  $b = C \log t$ 

$$I(L_4) \ll t^2 e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi b}{2}\right)^2 \ll t^2 t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi C}{2} \log t\right)^2 \ll t^{-n},$$

if we take C = cn with c large enough.

(b) Integral along  $L_2$ . The path is parametrized by x = -b - iy with  $\sigma < y < \sigma_0$ . By (4) we have the following

$$|e^{i\vartheta(t+x)}| = |e^{i\vartheta(t-b-iy)}| \ll \left|\frac{t-b}{2\pi}\right|^{y/2}.$$

We choose  $b = C \log t$  and t large enough so that  $t - b > 2\pi$ . Also, observe that  $y < \sigma_0 < 2$  and hence

(14) 
$$|e^{i\vartheta(t+x)}| \ll (t-b) < t.$$

We have

(15)

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + y + i(t-b))| \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand,

(16) 
$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(b+iy)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}}.$$

Hence,

(17) 
$$I(L_2) \ll \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma_0} t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} dy \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-n}).$$

(c) Integral along  $L_1$ . The path is parametrized by  $x = y - i\sigma$  with  $-\infty < y < -b$ . In this case, we have

$$|e^{i\vartheta(t+x)}| = |e^{i\vartheta(t+y-i\sigma)}|,$$

but now t + y ranges through  $(-\infty, t - b)$  so it takes small values. Since  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  the function  $\vartheta(t + y - i\sigma)$  is continuous and for |t + y| big it is given by (4). It follows that

(18) 
$$|e^{i\vartheta(t+x)}| = |e^{i\vartheta(t+y-i\sigma)}| \ll (1+|t+y|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}).$$

In the same way

(19) 
$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i(t+y))| \ll (1 + |t+y|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

And

(20) 
$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(y-i\sigma)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{\frac{\pi y}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$I(L_1) \ll \int_{-\infty}^{-b} (1+|t+y|^{\frac{1}{2}})(1+|t+y|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}})e^{\frac{\pi y}{2}} dy =$$
$$= \int_{b}^{\infty} (1+|t-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}+|t-y|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+|t-y|^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2}})e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} dy.$$

For each one  $|t - y|^{\alpha} \le 1 + |t| + |y|$  since  $|t - y| \le 1$  or else  $|t - y|^{\alpha} \le |t - y|$  for  $\alpha \le 1$ . Therefore,

$$I(L_1) \ll \int_b^\infty (1+t+y)e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} \, dy = \left(\frac{4}{\pi^2} + \frac{2(1+b+t)}{\pi}\right)e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} \\ \ll (t+C\log t+1)t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-n}),$$

by the choice of C.

For the next Theorem we shall need a lemma.

**Lemma 4.** For t > 0 real,  $|z| \le t/4$  and when  $t \to \infty$  we have

(21) 
$$\vartheta(t+z) = \vartheta(t) + z\vartheta'(t) + \frac{z^2}{2}\vartheta''(t) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\log|t|}{|t|^2}|z|^3\Big).$$

Proof. By Cauchy's Theorem

$$\vartheta(t+z) - \vartheta(t) - z\vartheta'(t) - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta''(t)z^2 = \frac{z^3}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{\vartheta(t+\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^3},$$

when  $C_R$  is the boundary of a disc that contains the two poles  $\zeta = 0$  y  $\zeta = z$  of the integrand. If we assume that |z| < t/4 we can take  $C_R$  as the disc with center in 0 and radius |t|/2.

At the points of the circle  $|t|/2 \le |t + \zeta| \le 3|t|/2$ , thus by the asymptotic expansion (8) we get  $|\vartheta(t + \zeta)| \le C|t| \log |t|$ . Hence we will have the following

$$\left|\vartheta(t+z) - \vartheta(t) - z\vartheta'(t) - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta''(t)z^2\right| \le C\frac{|z|^3}{2\pi}\frac{|t|\log|t|}{|t|^4}|t| \le C\log|t|\frac{|z|^3}{|t|^2}.$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $0 < \sigma_0 < 2$ , then with the notation of Theorem 3, and taking C > 0 big enough, we have for  $t \to +\infty$ 

(22) 
$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma_0}^{b-i\sigma_0} e^{ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon})$$

with  $b = C \log t$ .

*Proof.* First, we consider the case  $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ . Take C large enough so that Theorem 3 applies with n = 2 and this  $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $L_3$  being the segment with extremes  $-b - i\sigma_0$  and  $b - i\sigma_0$ ,

(23) 
$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_3} e^{i\vartheta(t+x) - i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).$$

We call I(t) the above integral and  $I_0(t)$  that in (22). By Lemma 4 we have

(24) 
$$\vartheta(t+x) - \vartheta(t) = x\vartheta'(t) + \frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t) + w(t,x),$$

(25) 
$$w(t,x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log t}{t^2}|x|^3\right), \quad |x| < t/4.$$

For all x in  $L_3$ , the bound (25) applies. Therefore,

h :-

(26) 
$$I(t) - I_0(t) = \int_{L_3} e^{ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t)} (e^{iw(t,x)} - 1)\zeta (\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} dx.$$

We need to bound this difference. To this end, observe that the path  $L_3$  is parametrized by  $x = y - i\sigma_0$  with -b < y < b. So that by (9) and (10)

(27) 
$$|e^{ix\vartheta'(t)}| = e^{\sigma_0\vartheta'(t)} \ll \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\sigma_0/2}$$

(28) 
$$|e^{i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t)}| = e^{\sigma_0\vartheta''(t)y} \le e^{c\sigma_0\frac{|y|}{t}} \ll \left(1 + \frac{\log t}{t}\right) \ll 1.$$

(29) 
$$|e^{iw(t,x)} - 1| \ll \frac{\log t}{t^2} y^3 \ll \frac{\log^4 t}{t^2}.$$

We assume that  $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  so that

(30) 
$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma_0 + i(t+y))| \le \zeta(1+\varepsilon) \ll 1.$$

and

(31) 
$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(y-i\sigma_0)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|I(t) - I_0(t)| \ll \int_{-b}^{b} t^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}} \frac{\log^4 t}{t^2} e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} \, dy \ll t^{-(2-\frac{\sigma_0}{2})} \log^4 t = t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \log^4 t \ll t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

By (23) we have  $U(t) = I(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$  with (2) we have proved  $U(t) = I_0(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon})$ . Therefore, we have (22) for  $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ .

Put  $I_0(t) = I_0(t, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)$  to get our general result we only have to show that for any  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 2$  we have  $I_0(t, \sigma_1) = I_0(t, \sigma_2) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$ . By Cauchy's Theorem we have

$$I_0(t,\sigma_2) - I_0(t,\sigma_1) = -J_0(t,b) + J_0(t,-b),$$

where

(32) 
$$J_0(t,a) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\sigma_2}^{a-i\sigma_1} e^{ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} dx.$$

However, both integrals  $J_0(t, b)$  and  $J_0(t, -b)$  are  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$ . The two proofs are similar. For example, for  $J_0(t, b)$  the integration path is given by x = b + iy with  $-\sigma_2 < y < -\sigma_1$ . Hence 0 < -y < 2 and we have

(33) 
$$|e^{ix\vartheta'(t)}| = e^{-y\vartheta'(t)} \ll \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-y/2} \ll t$$

(34) 
$$|e^{i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t)}| = e^{-by\vartheta''(t)} \ll e^{2C\frac{\log t}{t}} \ll 1.$$

(35) 
$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} - y + i(t+b))| \ll (t+b)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

and

(36) 
$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(b+iy)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}},$$

so that

(37) 
$$|J_0(t,b)| \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).$$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $0 < \sigma < 2$ , then with the notation of Theorem 3, and taking C > 0 big enough, we have for  $t \to +\infty$ 

(38) 
$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma}^{b-i\sigma} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}),$$

with  $b = C \log t$ .

Assuming Lindelöf hypothesis we may substitute the error term in (38) by  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1+\varepsilon})$ . Proof. Take  $\sigma_0 = \varepsilon$  in Theorem 5. Therefore,

$$U(t) = I_0(t, \sigma_0) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{7}{4} + \varepsilon}).$$

where  $I_0(t, \sigma_0)$  is the integral in (22). Now, define

(39) 
$$K(t,\sigma_0) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma_0}^{b-i\sigma_0} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} dx$$

Then

$$I_{0}(t,\sigma_{0}) - K(t,\sigma_{0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma_{0}}^{b-i\sigma_{0}} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \left\{ e^{ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^{2}}{2}\vartheta''(t) - i\frac{x}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi}} - 1 \right\} \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx.$$

The path of integration is given by  $x = y - i\sigma_0 = y - i\varepsilon$  and therefore

(40) 
$$\left| \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \right| = \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}} \ll t^{\varepsilon/2}$$

By (9) and (10)

$$ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t) - i\frac{x}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi} = i\frac{x^2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3})\right) + ix\,\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}),$$

and since  $|x| \ll \log t$  we have

(41) 
$$\left| e^{ix\vartheta'(t) + i\frac{x^2}{2}\vartheta''(t) - i\frac{x}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi}} - 1 \right| \ll \frac{|x|^2}{t} \ll \frac{\log^2 t}{t}$$

We need here a better bound for  $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ , we choose the exponent  $\frac{1}{6}$  which is certainly valid, but not the best possible (see [9, Section 5.18])

(42) 
$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x))| = |\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+i(t+y))| \ll t^{\frac{1}{6}},$$

(43) 
$$\left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi(y-i\sigma_0)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}}$$

Therefore,

(44) 
$$|I_0(t,\sigma_0) - K(t,\sigma_0)| \ll \int_{-b}^{b} t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \frac{\log^2 t}{t} t^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} dy \ll t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem (5) we may now prove that for any  $0 < \sigma < 2$  we have

(45) 
$$K(t,\varepsilon) - K(t,\sigma) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).$$

Hence, the Theorem is true for any value of  $\sigma \in (0, 2)$ .

Assuming Lindelöf hypothesis we may improve (42) from  $t^{\frac{1}{6}}$  to  $t^{\varepsilon}$  and we get a better bound of the error in our Theorem.

**Theorem 7.** For  $t > 2\pi$  let us define

(46) 
$$G(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty - i\sigma}^{+\infty - i\sigma} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx,$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 2$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have for  $t \to +\infty$ 

(47) 
$$Z(t) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}G(t)\right\} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}).$$

*Proof.* The integrand in (46) is a meromorphic function of x with poles at x = 2ik with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and x = -t - i/2. It is easy to show that G(t) does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

Also we may show, with proof analogous to the one given above, that with  $b = C \log t$  as in Theorem 6

(48) 
$$G(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\sigma}^{+b-i\sigma} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).$$

It follows from Theorem 6 that

(49) 
$$G(t) = U(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{6}+\varepsilon})$$

Hence, by Theorem 2

(50) 
$$2\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}G(t)\right\} = 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\vartheta(t)}U(t)\right\} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}) = Z(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}).$$

3. The function G(t).

**Lemma 8.** Let a > 0,  $\sigma > 0$  and y be real numbers then for  $\sigma a < \pi$ 

(51) 
$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\sigma i - \infty}^{-\sigma i + \infty} \frac{a}{\sinh ax} e^{ixy} \, dx = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi y}{a}}}{1 + e^{\frac{\pi y}{a}}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $I(\sigma)$  be the integral on the left side of (51) it is clear that it defines an analytic function of y on the strip  $|\operatorname{Im}(y)| < a$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove the formula for y < 0. Let n > 0 be an integer, an application of Cauchy's Theorem gives us (we need here  $\sigma a < \pi$ )

(52) 
$$I(\sigma + 2n\pi/a) - I(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \operatorname{Res}_{x=-\frac{k\pi}{a}i} \frac{a}{\sinh ax} e^{ixy} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (-1)^k e^{\frac{k\pi y}{a}}.$$

Now, if we assume y < 0 we see that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} I(\sigma + 2n\frac{\pi}{a}) = 0$ . Taking the limits in (52) we get (51).

**Theorem 9.** For t > 0 we have

(53) 
$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t}.$$

*Proof.* In the definition (46) of G(t) since  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , the function  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x))$  is given by the Dirichlet series. The dominated convergence Theorem allows one to integrate term by term the resulting series so that we obtain

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\sigma i - \infty}^{-\sigma i + \infty} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{ix}{2}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + i(t+x)}} \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} \, dx,$$

that we may write in the form

(54) 
$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\sigma i - \infty}^{-\sigma i + \infty} \frac{\pi}{2\sinh\frac{\pi x}{2}} e^{ix\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}} dx.$$

Hence, by (51) (which we may certainly apply since t > 0 is real and  $\sigma \frac{\pi}{2} < \pi$ ) we obtain

(55) 
$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{\frac{t}{2\pi n^2}}{1 + \frac{t}{2\pi n^2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t}.$$



FIGURE 2. x-ray of  $e^{i\vartheta(t)}G(t)$  in  $(200\,040, 200\,060) \times (-2, 4)$ 

**Theorem 10.** G(t) extends to a meromorphic function in the plane with poles at  $t = 2ki - \frac{i}{2}$  for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $t = -2\pi n^2$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the series (53) defines an analytic function for  $\text{Im}(t) < \frac{3}{2}$ . For other values of t, we observe that

(56) 
$$\frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t} = \frac{t}{2\pi n^2} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t} \right)$$

It follows that for  $|\operatorname{Im}(t)| < \frac{3}{2}$ 

(57) 
$$G(t) = \frac{t}{2\pi} \Big( \zeta (2 + \frac{1}{2} + it) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2 + \frac{1}{2} + it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t} \Big).$$

But the left-hand side defines a meromorphic function on  $|\operatorname{Im}(t)| < \frac{7}{2}$ .

We may repeat the procedure to obtain for any natural number  $\overline{K}$ 

(58) 
$$G(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^k \zeta(2k + \frac{1}{2} + it) + (-1)^K \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2K+1/2+it}} \frac{t}{2\pi n^2 + t}.$$

It follows that G extends to a meromorphic function to  $|\operatorname{Im}(t)| < 2K + \frac{3}{2}$ . We see that the points  $t = 2ki - \frac{i}{2}$  and  $t = -2\pi n^2$  are simple poles and that they are the only poles of G(t).



FIGURE 3. Plot of G(t) in  $(50, 100) \times (-20, 20)$ .



FIGURE 4. Plot of G(t) in  $(1000, 1040) \times (-10, 10)$ .

**Theorem 11.** For  $|t| < 2\pi$  and  $t \neq \frac{3i}{2}$ ,  $\frac{7i}{2}$  or  $\frac{11i}{2}$  we have

(59) 
$$G(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^k \zeta(2k + \frac{1}{2} + it).$$

*Proof.* In (58) take limits when  $K \to \infty$ . We must exclude the poles for which the terms of the sums are not well defined.

#### ARIAS DE REYNA

4. ZEROS OF G(t).

There are zeros of G(t) with Im(t) < 0. The first three are approximately

 $\rho_1 = 415.01331\,43852\,18703\,21080\,-i\,\,0.00271\,64057\,84844\,56275$ 

 $\rho_2 = 528.44823\,33273\,11630\,94848\,-i\,\,0.03545\,66278\,80044\,27465$ 

 $\rho_3 = 540.65132\,60937\,14628\,47690\,-i\,\,0.02335\,58138\,49259\,18221$ 

I detected 610 zeros with imaginary part negative and 0 < t < 10000. A list of these zeros is found in the file ListZerosG.tex. Usually the imaginary part is very small. The greater detected negative imaginary part of a zero is for the zero 8645.6148 – 0.3494*j*. I used file 130212-LectorArgG.py to compute these zeros starting from the computed arguments of G(t). Since the zeros are so close to the real axis, this argument jumps almost  $2\pi$  at each zero. So, we have a good candidate to start the computation of each zero.

### References

- [1] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, Integral representation for Riemann-Siegel Z(t), preprint (162).
- [2] M. V. BERRY AND J. B. KEATING, A New Asymptotic Representation for  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$  and Quantum Spectral Determinants, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 437 (1992) 151–173.
- [3] H. M. EDWARDS, *Riemann's zeta function*, Reprint of the 1974 original (Academic Press, New York). Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2001.
- [4] W. GABCKE, Neue Herleitung und explizite Restabschätzung der Riemann-Siegel Formel, Ph.D. thesis, Georg-August-Universität zu Göttingen, 1979.
- [5] C. L. SIEGEL, Uber Riemann's Nachlaβ zur analytischen Zahlentheorie, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik, Astronomie und Physik 2 (1932), 45–80. Reprinted in [6], 1, 275–310. English version.
- [6] C. L. SIEGEL, Carl Ludwig Siegel's Gesammelte Abhandlungen, (edited by K. Chandrasekharan and H. Maaß), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [7] F. W. J. OLVER, Introduction to Asymptotics and Special functions, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [8] Y. L. LUKE, The Special Functions and Their Approximations, Vol 1, Academic Press, 1959.
- [9] E. C. TITCHMARSH *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [10] E. T. WHITTAKER AND G. N. WATSON, A course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN. *Email address:* arias@us.es, ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com