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Abstract. The series for the zeta function does not converge on the critical line but the function

$$
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t}
$$

satisfies $Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right)$. So one expects that the zeros of zeta on the critical line are very near the zeros of $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}$. There is a related function $U(t)$ that satisfies the equality $Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} U(t)\right\}$.

## Introduction.

There are many functions $f(t)$ with $Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} f(t)\right\}$. Notable examples of this type of functions are $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right),-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)}{2 \vartheta^{\prime}(t)}$, and $\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)$. The position of the zeros of these functions is closely related to the zeros of zeta on the critical line. In this paper we define a function $G(t)$ that only satisfies the approximate equation

$$
Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Therefore, we expect that the real zeros of $2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}$ are close to the real zeros of $Z(t)$. In exchange for the fact that it is only an approximation, we find that $G(t)$ has a very simple definition, valid for all real $t$,

$$
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t},
$$

and that $2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}$ seems to have of order of $\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log T$ real zeros in the interval $[0, T]$. Although the latter we have not been able to prove, I only base this statement on the calculation of some of the first zeros of $G(t)$.

The function $G(t)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ with poles at $\left(2 k-\frac{1}{2}\right) i$ and $-2 \pi n^{2}$. There are many interesting things about $G(t)$ for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\frac{t}{2 \pi}\left(\zeta\left(2+\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2+\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that the values of $Z(t)$ appear to depend only on things happening at $\sigma \geq 2$. Also for small $t$ we observe that the continuous $\arg G(t)$ is increasing very slowly. Giving the zeros we want for $Z(t)$.


Figure 1. Plot of $G(t)$ in $(1000,1040) \times(-10,10)$.

The function $G(t)$ is only a first example of many other approximate solutions. For example, see [1].

Notations and known results. Throughout the paper, $C$ 's and $c$ 's denote positive absolute constants that are not always the same from one occurrence to another. $\mathcal{O}$ 's are absolute unless otherwise stated. Thus $f(x)=\mathcal{O}(\phi(x))$ means $|f(x)| / \phi(x)<K$ for $x>x_{0}$ : an $\mathcal{O}$ involves two constants, $K$ and $x_{0}$. We also write $f(x) \ll \phi(x)$ with the same meaning.

We recall some known results. First, by Stirling's formula (see Titchmarsh [9, (4.12.1), p. 78]. In any fixed strip $\alpha \leq \sigma \leq \beta$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\sigma+i t)=(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}|t|^{\sigma+i t-1 / 2} e^{-\pi|t| / 2-i t+\operatorname{sgn}(t) \frac{\pi i}{2}(\sigma-1 / 2)}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(|t|^{-1}\right)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $Z(t)$ is defined (see [9, Section 4.17], [3, Section 6.5]) as
(3) $Z(t)=e^{i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right), \quad$ where $\quad e^{i \vartheta(t)}=\pi^{-i t / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+i \frac{t}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}-i \frac{t}{2}\right)}}=\frac{\pi^{-\frac{1}{2} i t} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} i t\right)}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} i t\right)\right|}$.

It is an analytical function on $\Omega$ the plane minus two cuts along the imaginary axis, one from $i / 2$ to $i \infty$ and one from $-i / 2$ to $-i \infty$. The function $\vartheta(t)$ is also holomorphic on $\Omega$. It is defined so that $\vartheta(0)=0$. The functional equation of zeta implies that $Z(t)$ is an even function and $\vartheta(t)$ an odd function.

By the Stirling formula, for $t=x+i y$ and $\alpha \leq y \leq \beta$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \vartheta(x+i y)}=\left|\frac{x}{2 \pi}\right|^{-y / 2+i x / 2} e^{-i \frac{x}{2}-\frac{\pi i}{8} \operatorname{sgn}(x)}\left(1+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(|x|^{-1}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also need a simple bound for $\zeta(\sigma+i t)$ Edwards [3, p. 185]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta(\sigma+i t)| \leq C t^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} \log t, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \quad t>2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sometimes, it suffices to apply the more simple inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta(\sigma+i t)| \leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad t>1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that we may deduce from [9, Theorem 4.11].
For the incomplete Gamma function we have the following inequality (see Gabcke [4, Ch. 5 § 4 Theorem 3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a, x):=\int_{x}^{\infty} v^{a-1} e^{-v} d v \leq a e^{-x} x^{a-1}, \quad x>a \geq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall make use of the following asymptotic expansions (see Gabcke [4, Ch. 4 § 2 Theorem 3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(t) \sim \frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi}-\frac{t}{2}-\frac{\pi}{8}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(2^{2 n-1}-1\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{2^{2 n}(2 n-1) 2 n} \frac{1}{t^{2 n-1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta^{\prime}(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(2^{2 n-1}-1\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{2^{2 n} 2 n} \frac{1}{t^{2 n}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t) \sim \frac{1}{2 t}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(2^{2 n-1}-1\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{2^{2 n}} \frac{1}{t^{2 n+1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since they follow from the Stirling expansion, all of them are valid on $|\arg t|<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$.

## 1. The function $U(t)$.

Definition 1. For $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \sigma-\infty}^{-i \sigma+\infty} e^{i \vartheta(t+x)-i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\sigma<\frac{1}{2}$.
It is easy to see that the integrand is a holomorphic function of $x$ for $-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Im}(x)<0$, and by Cauchy's Theorem the integral is independent of $\sigma$.

Theorem 2. For $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} U(t)\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following an idea of Berry and Keating [2], by Cauchy's Theorem, we have

$$
Z(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L_{-}+L_{+}} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x
$$

where for $0<\sigma<\frac{1}{2}$, the integration path $L^{-}$and $L^{+}$are parametrized by $x=-i \sigma+i y$ and $x=i \sigma-i y$ respectively with $-\infty<y<+\infty$.

That is,

$$
Z(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \sigma-\infty}^{-i \sigma+\infty} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{i \sigma-\infty}^{i \sigma+\infty} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x
$$

For real $t$, the second integral is the conjugate of the first integral, therefore

$$
Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \sigma-\infty}^{-i \sigma+\infty} Z(t+x) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x\right\} .
$$

This may be written as

$$
Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \sigma-\infty}^{-i \sigma+\infty} e^{i \vartheta(t+x)-i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x\right\}
$$

## 2. First approximation to $U(t)$.

Theorem 3. Given a natural number $n$ and $0<\sigma_{0}<2$ there is a constant $C>0$ such that for $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma_{0}}^{b-i \sigma_{0}} e^{i \vartheta(t+x)-i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-n}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b=C \log t$.
Proof. In equation (11) we may take $0<\sigma<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma<\sigma_{0}$. Applying Cauchy's Theorem we change the path of integration to a broken line $L$ through the points

$$
-\infty-i \sigma, \quad-b-i \sigma, \quad-b-i \sigma_{0}, \quad+b-i \sigma_{0}, \quad+\infty-i \sigma_{0}
$$

We call $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, and $L_{4}$ the four segments that make up $L$. Since we want to approximate $U(t)$ by the integral on $L_{3}$, we must bound the other three integrals.
(a) Integral along $L_{4}$. The path is parametrized by $x=y-i \sigma_{0}$ with $b<y<+\infty$. By (4)

$$
\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+x)}\right|=\left|e^{i \vartheta\left(t+y-i \sigma_{0}\right)}\right| \ll\left|\frac{t+y}{2 \pi}\right|^{\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}} \ll|t+y|^{\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}}
$$

and by (6) since $y>b=C \log t>1$

$$
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{0}+i(t+y)\right)\right| \leq C(t+y)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Since $0<\sigma_{0}<2$ the last factor is bounded by

$$
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\left(y-i \sigma_{0}\right)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(L_{4}\right):=\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i \sigma_{0}}^{\infty-i \sigma_{0}} e^{i \vartheta(t+x)-i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{ \pm}{i}(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x\right| \ll \\
& \ll \int_{b}^{+\infty}(t+y)^{\frac{1+\sigma_{0}}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y \ll \int_{b}^{+\infty}(t+y)^{2} e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1<b<t$ we have $t+y \leq t y$ and

$$
I\left(L_{4}\right) \ll t^{2} \int_{b}^{+\infty} y^{2} e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y \ll t^{2} \int_{\pi b / 2}^{+\infty} y^{2} e^{-y} d y=t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(3, \pi b / 2)
$$

Now we use (7) and $b=C \log t$

$$
I\left(L_{4}\right) \ll t^{2} e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi b}{2}\right)^{2} \ll t^{2} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi C}{2} \log t\right)^{2} \ll t^{-n}
$$

if we take $C=c n$ with $c$ large enough.
(b) Integral along $L_{2}$. The path is parametrized by $x=-b-i y$ with $\sigma<y<\sigma_{0}$.

By (4) we have the following

$$
\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+x)}\right|=\left|e^{i \vartheta(t-b-i y)}\right| \ll\left|\frac{t-b}{2 \pi}\right|^{y / 2}
$$

We choose $b=C \log t$ and $t$ large enough so that $t-b>2 \pi$. Also, observe that $y<\sigma_{0}<2$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+x)}\right| \ll(t-b)<t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+y+i(t-b)\right)\right| \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi(b+i y)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(L_{2}\right) \ll \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma_{0}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}} d y \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-n}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Integral along $L_{1}$. The path is parametrized by $x=y-i \sigma$ with $-\infty<y<-b$. In this case, we have

$$
\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+x)}\right|=\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+y-i \sigma)}\right|,
$$

but now $t+y$ ranges through $(-\infty, t-b)$ so it takes small values. Since $0<\sigma<\frac{1}{2}$ the function $\vartheta(t+y-i \sigma)$ is continuous and for $|t+y|$ big it is given by (4). It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+x)}\right|=\left|e^{i \vartheta(t+y-i \sigma)}\right| \ll\left(1+|t+y|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i(t+y)\right)\right| \ll\left(1+|t+y|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi(y-i \sigma)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{\frac{\pi y}{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(L_{1}\right) \ll \int_{-\infty}^{-b}\left(1+|t+y|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(1+\mid t & \left.+\left.y\right|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right) e^{\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y= \\
& =\int_{b}^{\infty}\left(1+|t-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}+|t-y|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+|t-y|^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

For each one $|t-y|^{\alpha} \leq 1+|t|+|y|$ since $|t-y| \leq 1$ or else $|t-y|^{\alpha} \leq|t-y|$ for $\alpha \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(L_{1}\right) & \ll \int_{b}^{\infty}(1+t+y) e^{-\frac{\pi y}{2}} d y=\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{2(1+b+t)}{\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} \\
& \ll(t+C \log t+1) t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}}=\boldsymbol{O}\left(t^{-n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by the choice of $C$.
For the next Theorem we shall need a lemma.
Lemma 4. For $t>0$ real, $|z| \leq t / 4$ and when $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(t+z)=\vartheta(t)+z \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+\frac{z^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log |t|}{|t|^{2}}|z|^{3}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Cauchy's Theorem

$$
\vartheta(t+z)-\vartheta(t)-z \vartheta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t) z^{2}=\frac{z^{3}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{R}} \frac{\vartheta(t+\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{3}},
$$

when $C_{R}$ is the boundary of a disc that contains the two poles $\zeta=0$ y $\zeta=z$ of the integrand. If we assume that $|z|<t / 4$ we can take $C_{R}$ as the disc with center in 0 and radius $|t| / 2$.

At the points of the circle $|t| / 2 \leq|t+\zeta| \leq 3|t| / 2$, thus by the asymptotic expansion (8) we get $|\vartheta(t+\zeta)| \leq C|t| \log |t|$. Hence we will have the following

$$
\left|\vartheta(t+z)-\vartheta(t)-z \vartheta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t) z^{2}\right| \leq C \frac{|z|^{3}}{2 \pi} \frac{|t| \log |t|}{|t|^{4}}|t| \leq C \log |t| \frac{|z|^{3}}{|t|^{2}} .
$$

Theorem 5. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $0<\sigma_{0}<2$, then with the notation of Theorem 3, and taking $C>0$ big enough, we have for $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma_{0}}^{b-i \sigma_{0}} e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x+\boldsymbol{O}\left(t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}\right), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b=C \log t$.
Proof. First, we consider the case $\sigma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$. Take $C$ large enough so that Theorem 3 applies with $n=2$ and this $\sigma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$. Hence, $L_{3}$ being the segment with extremes $-b-i \sigma_{0}$ and $b-i \sigma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L_{3}} e^{i \vartheta(t+x)-i \vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $I(t)$ the above integral and $I_{0}(t)$ that in (22). By Lemma 4 we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vartheta(t+x)-\vartheta(t)=x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)+w(t, x),  \tag{24}\\
w(t, x)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log t}{t^{2}}|x|^{3}\right), \quad|x|<t / 4 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

For all $x$ in $L_{3}$, the bound (25) applies. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)-I_{0}(t)=\int_{L_{3}} e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)}\left(e^{i w(t, x)}-1\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to bound this difference. To this end, observe that the path $L_{3}$ is parametrized by $x=y-i \sigma_{0}$ with $-b<y<b$. So that by (9) and (10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)}\right|=e^{\sigma_{0} \vartheta^{\prime}(t)} \ll\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\sigma_{0} / 2} .  \tag{27}\\
\left|e^{i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right|=e^{\sigma_{0} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t) y} \leq e^{c \sigma_{0} \frac{|y|}{t}} \ll\left(1+\frac{\log t}{t}\right) \ll 1 .  \tag{28}\\
\left|e^{i w(t, x)}-1\right| \ll \frac{\log t}{t^{2}} y^{3} \ll \frac{\log ^{4} t}{t^{2}} . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that $\sigma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{0}+i(t+y)\right)\right| \leq \zeta(1+\varepsilon) \ll 1 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\left(y-i \sigma_{0}\right)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|I(t)-I_{0}(t)\right| \ll \int_{-b}^{b} t^{\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}} \frac{\log ^{4} t}{t^{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} d y \ll t^{-\left(2-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}\right)} \log ^{4} t=t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \log ^{4} t \ll t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}
$$

By (23) we have $U(t)=I(t)+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right)$ with (2) we have proved $U(t)=I_{0}(t)+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}\right)$. Therefore, we have (22) for $\sigma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$.

Put $I_{0}(t)=I_{0}\left(t, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right)$ to get our general result we only have to show that for any $0<\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}<2$ we have $I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{1}\right)=I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right)$. By Cauchy's Theorem we have

$$
I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{2}\right)-I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{1}\right)=-J_{0}(t, b)+J_{0}(t,-b),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(t, a):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i \sigma_{2}}^{a-i \sigma_{1}} e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, both integrals $J_{0}(t, b)$ and $J_{0}(t,-b)$ are $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right)$. The two proofs are similar. For example, for $J_{0}(t, b)$ the integration path is given by $x=b+i y$ with $-\sigma_{2}<y<-\sigma_{1}$. Hence $0<-y<2$ and we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)}\right|=e^{-y \vartheta^{\prime}(t)} \ll\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{-y / 2} \ll t .  \tag{33}\\
\left|e^{i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right|=e^{-b y \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)} \ll e^{2 C \frac{\log t}{t}} \ll 1 .  \tag{34}\\
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}-y+i(t+b)\right)\right| \ll(t+b)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi(b+i y)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}}, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{0}(t, b)\right| \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi b}{2}} \ll t^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{\pi C}{2}}=\boldsymbol{O}\left(t^{-2}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $0<\sigma<2$, then with the notation of Theorem 3, and taking $C>0$ big enough, we have for $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma}^{b-i \sigma}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b=C \log t$.
Assuming Lindelöf hypothesis we may substitute the error term in (38) by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)$.
Proof. Take $\sigma_{0}=\varepsilon$ in Theorem 5. Therefore,

$$
U(t)=I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

where $I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is the integral in (22). Now, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma_{0}}^{b-i \sigma_{0}}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)-K\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma_{0}}^{b-i \sigma_{0}}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}}\left\{e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-i \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi}}-1\right\} \\
& \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The path of integration is given by $x=y-i \sigma_{0}=y-i \varepsilon$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}}\right|=\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}} \ll t^{\varepsilon / 2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (9) and (10)

$$
i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)-i \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi}=i \frac{x^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 t}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-3}\right)\right)+i x \boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

and since $|x| \ll \log t$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i x \vartheta^{\prime}(t)+i \frac{x^{2}}{2} \vartheta^{\prime \prime}(t)-i \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi}}-1\right| \ll \frac{|x|^{2}}{t} \ll \frac{\log ^{2} t}{t} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need here a better bound for $\zeta(\sigma+i t)$, we choose the exponent $\frac{1}{6}$ which is certainly valid, but not the best possible (see [9, Section 5.18])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)\right|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+i(t+y)\right)\right| \ll t^{\frac{1}{6}}  \tag{42}\\
\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\left(y-i \sigma_{0}\right)}{2}}\right| \ll e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} . \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{0}\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)-K\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)\right| \ll \int_{-b}^{b} t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \frac{\log ^{2} t}{t} t^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}} d y \ll t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem (5) we may now prove that for any $0<\sigma<2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t, \varepsilon)-K(t, \sigma)=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the Theorem is true for any value of $\sigma \in(0,2)$.
Assuming Lindelöf hypothesis we may improve (42) from $t^{\frac{1}{6}}$ to $t^{\varepsilon}$ and we get a better bound of the error in our Theorem.
Theorem 7. For $t>2 \pi$ let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty-i \sigma}^{+\infty-i \sigma}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<2$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have for $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The integrand in (46) is a meromorphic function of $x$ with poles at $x=2 i k$ with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x=-t-i / 2$. It is easy to show that $G(t)$ does not depend on $\sigma$.

Also we may show, with proof analogous to the one given above, that with $b=C \log t$ as in Theorem 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-b-i \sigma}^{+b-i \sigma}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right) \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-2}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=U(t)+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)\right\}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta(t)} U(t)\right\}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right)=Z(t)+\boldsymbol{\mathcal { O }}\left(t^{-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The function $G(t)$.

Lemma 8. Let $a>0, \sigma>0$ and $y$ be real numbers then for $\sigma a<\pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\sigma i-\infty}^{-\sigma i+\infty} \frac{a}{\sinh a x} e^{i x y} d x=\frac{e^{\frac{\pi y}{a}}}{1+e^{\frac{\pi y}{a}}} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $I(\sigma)$ be the integral on the left side of (51) it is clear that it defines an analytic function of $y$ on the strip $|\operatorname{Im}(y)|<a$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the formula for $y<0$. Let $n>0$ be an integer, an application of Cauchy's Theorem gives us (we need here $\sigma a<\pi$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\sigma+2 n \pi / a)-I(\sigma)=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \operatorname{Res}_{x=-\frac{k \pi}{a} i} \frac{a}{\sinh a x} e^{i x y}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k} e^{\frac{k \pi y}{a}} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we assume $y<0$ we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\sigma+2 n \frac{\pi}{a}\right)=0$.
Taking the limits in (52) we get (51).
Theorem 9. For $t>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}+i t} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the definition (46) of $G(t)$ since $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$, the function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)\right)$ is given by the Dirichlet series. The dominated convergence Theorem allows one to integrate term by term the resulting series so that we obtain

$$
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\sigma i-\infty}^{-\sigma i+\infty}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{i x}{2}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i(t+x)}} \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} d x
$$

that we may write in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\sigma i-\infty}^{-\sigma i+\infty} \frac{\pi}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2}} e^{i x \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}}} d x \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (51) (which we may certainly apply since $t>0$ is real and $\sigma \frac{\pi}{2}<\pi$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{\frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}}}{1+\frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. x-ray of $e^{i \vartheta(t)} G(t)$ in $(200040,200060) \times(-2,4)$

Theorem 10. $G(t)$ extends to a meromorphic function in the plane with poles at $t=$ $2 k i-\frac{i}{2}$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t=-2 \pi n^{2}$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof. It is clear that the series (53) defines an analytic function for $\operatorname{Im}(t)<\frac{3}{2}$. For other values of $t$, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t}=\frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t}\right) . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that for $|\operatorname{Im}(t)|<\frac{3}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\frac{t}{2 \pi}\left(\zeta\left(2+\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2+\frac{1}{2}+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the left-hand side defines a meromorphic function on $|\operatorname{Im}(t)|<\frac{7}{2}$.
We may repeat the procedure to obtain for any natural number $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{K}(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{k} \zeta\left(2 k+\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)+(-1)^{K}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2 K+1 / 2+i t}} \frac{t}{2 \pi n^{2}+t} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $G$ extends to a meromorphic function to $|\operatorname{Im}(t)|<2 K+\frac{3}{2}$. We see that the points $t=2 k i-\frac{i}{2}$ and $t=-2 \pi n^{2}$ are simple poles and that they are the only poles of $G(t)$.


Figure 3. Plot of $G(t)$ in $(50,100) \times(-20,20)$.


Figure 4. Plot of $G(t)$ in $(1000,1040) \times(-10,10)$.

Theorem 11. For $|t|<2 \pi$ and $t \neq \frac{3 i}{2}, \frac{7 i}{2}$ or $\frac{11 i}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{k} \zeta\left(2 k+\frac{1}{2}+i t\right) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In (58) take limits when $K \rightarrow \infty$. We must exclude the poles for which the terms of the sums are not well defined.

## 4. Zeros of $G(t)$.

There are zeros of $G(t)$ with $\operatorname{Im}(t)<0$. The first three are approximately

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}=415.01331438521870321080-i 0.00271640578484456275 \\
& \rho_{2}=528.44823332731163094848-i 0.03545662788004427465 \\
& \rho_{3}=540.65132609371462847690-i 0.02335581384925918221
\end{aligned}
$$

I detected 610 zeros with imaginary part negative and $0<t<10000$. A list of these zeros is found in the file ListZerosG.tex. Usually the imaginary part is very small. The greater detected negative imaginary part of a zero is for the zero $8645.6148-0.3494 j$. I used file 130212-LectorArgG.py to compute these zeros starting from the computed arguments of $G(t)$. Since the zeros are so close to the real axis, this argument jumps almost $2 \pi$ at each zero. So, we have a good candidate to start the computation of each zero.
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