

Analytic torsion for irreducible holomorphic symplectic fourfolds with involution, I: Construction of an invariant

Dai Imaike

Abstract

In this paper, we construct an invariant for irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution by using the equivariant analytic torsion. Moreover, we give a formula for the complex Hessian of the logarithm of the invariant.

Contents

0	Introduction	1
1	Analytic torsion and its fundamental properties	5
1.1	Equivariant analytic torsion	5
1.2	A fundamental property of equivariant analytic torsion	7
2	Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds and antisymplectic involutions	8
2.1	Lattices	8
2.2	Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds and antisymplectic involutions	10
2.3	Kähler-type chambers	13
2.4	Relations of orthogonal modular varieties	16
3	An invariant of $K3^{[2]}$-type manifolds with antisymplectic involution	21
3.1	Some fundamental properties of hyperkähler manifolds	21
3.2	Construction of an invariant	23
3.3	Properties of $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}$	24

0 Introduction

The notion of analytic torsion for complex manifolds was introduced by Ray-Singer [30]. It is the exponential of the derivative at zero of the weighted alternating sum of various spectral zeta functions. In [29], Quillen defined a metric on the determinant of

cohomologies by using analytic torsion. He introduced the product of the L^2 -metric on the determinant of cohomologies and the analytic torsion, and this metric is called the Quillen metric. One of the remarkable properties of the Quillen metric is that it is a C^∞ metric on the determinant of cohomologies even if the dimension of the cohomologies jumps. Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [6] [7] [8] calculated the curvature of the determinant of cohomologies endowed with the Quillen metric for locally Kähler proper holomorphic submersions. In particular, they established the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem at the level of differential forms at bidegree $(1, 1)$. Gillet-Soulé [16] proved the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem in Arakelov geometry, where the determinant of cohomologies are endowed with the Quillen metric.

In theoretical physics, Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa [4] introduced a weighted alternating product of various analytic torsions for Calabi-Yau manifolds. This special combination of analytic torsions is called the BCOV torsion. One of their predictions is an equivalence of the BCOV torsion in B-model and the genus one Gromov-Witten invariants in the corresponding A-model. In mathematics, Fang-Lu-Yoshikawa [13] constructed the BCOV invariant of Calabi-Yau threefolds, which could be viewed as a normalization of the BCOV torsion. Eriksson-Freixas i Montplet-Mourougane [11], [12] constructed the BCOV invariant of Calabi-Yau manifolds of arbitrary dimension and established mirror symmetries at genus one for the pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds consisting of the projective hypersurface and its mirror family. Fu-Zhang [15] constructed the BCOV invariant of Calabi-Yau varieties with canonical singularities and proved its birational invariance.

In [5], Bismut studied analytic torsion in the equivariant setting and obtained the embedding formula and anomaly for equivariant Quillen metrics. In [24], Ma proved the curvature formula for equivariant Quillen metrics. Köhler and Rössler [22] proved the fixed point formula of Lefschetz type in Arakelov geometry, which is an equivariant analog of the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem in [16].

Yoshikawa [32] constructed an invariant of 2-elementary K3 surfaces by using equivariant analytic torsion. He proved that for each deformation type the invariant is expressed as the Petersson norm of a certain automorphic form on a bounded symmetric domain of type IV and a certain Siegel modular form. Furthermore, it gives the BCOV invariants of Borcea-Voisin manifolds and log-Enriques surfaces [34], [10].

The goal of my research is to generalize this result of Yoshikawa [32] to a class of higher dimensional manifolds. In this paper, we construct an invariant of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution by using the equivariant analytic torsion of the holomorphic cotangent bundle. Furthermore, we provide a variational formula for the invariant. Let us explain our results in more details.

A simply-connected compact Kähler manifold X is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold if there exists an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form η on X such that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ is generated by η . The dimension of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold is even. A 2-dimensional irreducible holomorphic symplectic

manifold is a K3 surface. Beauville ([2]) proved that the Hilbert scheme of length n zero-dimensional subschemes of a K3 surface is a $2n$ -dimensional irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. An irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold is of $K3^{[n]}$ -type if it is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of n -points of a K3 surface. An involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ is antisymplectic if $\iota^*\eta = -\eta$.

Let X be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type and let $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ be an antisymplectic involution. The cohomology $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is equipped with an integral symmetric non-degenerate quadric form q_X , called the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki form. Then $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q_X)$ is isomorphic to $L_2 = L_{K3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$ as lattices, where L_{K3} is the K3 lattice and $\mathbb{Z}e$ is a rank 1 lattice generated by e with $e^2 = -2$. An isometry $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is called a marking.

Let $\text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ be the subgroup of the isometry group $O(L_2)$ defined by $\text{Mon}^2(L_2) = \alpha \circ \text{Mon}^2(X) \circ \alpha^{-1}$, where (X, α) is a marked manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type and $\text{Mon}^2(X)$ is the monodromy group of X ([25]). The group $\text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ is independent of the choice of (X, α) . An admissible sublattice of L_2 is the pair of a hyperbolic sublattice M of L_2 and an involution $\iota_M \in \text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ such that the invariant subspace of ι_M coincides with M . Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M = \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}}; x^2 > 0\} \text{ and } \Delta(M) = \{\delta \in M; \delta^2 = -2, \text{ or } \delta^2 = -10, (\delta, L_2) = 2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

A Kähler-type chamber is a connected component of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M \setminus \cup_{\delta \in \Delta(M)} \delta^\perp$. Joumaaah ([21]) showed that the deformation types of manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution are classified by the admissible sublattices and the Kähler-type chambers.

Let (M, ι_M) be an admissible sublattice and let \mathcal{K} be a Kähler-type chamber. Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution. We assume that there is an isometry $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ such that $\iota_M \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \iota^*$ and $\alpha(\mathcal{K}_X^\iota) \subset \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K}_X^ι is an invariant Kähler cone of (X, ι) . We call such a pair (X, ι) a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) .

Let ω_X be an ι -invariant Kähler form on X . The volume of (X, ω_X) is defined by $\text{Vol}(X, \omega_X) = \int_X \frac{\omega_X^4}{4!}$. We denote the fixed locus of $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ by X^ι . This is a possibly disconnected smooth complex surface. If $X^\iota = \sqcup_i Z_i$ is the decomposition of X^ι into the connected components, then the volume of $(X^\iota, \omega_X|_{X^\iota})$ is defined by $\text{Vol}(X^\iota, \omega_X|_{X^\iota}) = \prod_i \int_{Z_i} \frac{\omega_X|_{Z_i}^2}{2!}$. We denote the covolume of the lattice $\text{Im}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{R}))$ in the cohomology $H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{R})$ by $\text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_X|_{X^\iota})$.

We define the real-valued smooth function φ on X^ι by

$$\varphi = \frac{\|\eta^2\|_{L^2}^2 \omega_X^4 / 4!}{\eta^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2 \text{Vol}(X, \omega_X)}.$$

This is independent of the choice of η . The positive real number $A(X, \iota, \omega_X)$ is defined by

$$A(X, \iota, \omega_X) = \exp \left[\int_{X^\iota} (\log \varphi) \Omega \right],$$

where Ω is the characteristic form on X^ι defined by

$$\Omega = c_1(TX^\iota, h_{X^\iota})^2 - 8c_2(TX^\iota, h_{X^\iota}) - c_1(TX, h_X)|_{X^\iota}^2 + 3c_2(TX, h_X)|_{X^\iota}.$$

The ι -invariant Kähler form ω_X on X induces the hermitian metric h_X on the holomorphic cotangent bundle Ω_X^1 , and we set $\bar{\Omega}_X^1 = (\Omega_X^1, h_X)$. The equivariant analytic torsion of $\bar{\Omega}_X^1$ is denoted by $\tau_\iota(\bar{\Omega}_X^1)$. We denote by $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota}$ the trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_{X^ι} equipped with the canonical metric. The analytic torsion of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota}$ is denoted by $\tau(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota})$.

Set $t = \text{Tr}(\iota_M) + 2$. We define a real number $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) &= \tau_\iota(\bar{\Omega}_X^1) \text{Vol}(X, \omega_X)^{\frac{(t-1)(t-7)}{16}} A(X, \iota, h_X) \\ &\quad \cdot \tau(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota})^{-2} \text{Vol}(X^\iota, \omega_{X^\iota})^{-2} \text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_{X^\iota}). \end{aligned}$$

As an application of the curvature formula for Quillen metrics [6], [24], we have the following.

Theorem 0.1. *The real number $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ is independent of the choice of an ι -invariant Kähler form. In particular, $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ is an invariant of (X, ι) .*

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . Joumaah ([21]) constructed an orthogonal modular variety $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$, a reduced divisor $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$, and the period map $P_{M,\mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ such that $P_{M,\mathcal{K}}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}}) = \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp}$. We set $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ = \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp}$. By Theorem 0.1 and Joumaah's theorem [21], $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ is viewed as a smooth real-valued function on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$. Namely,

$$\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(p) = \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) \quad ((X, \iota) \in P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^{-1}(p))$$

is independent of the choice of $(X, \iota) \in P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^{-1}(p)$.

Let $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}}$ be the orbifold Kähler form on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ induced from the Kähler form of the Bergman metric on the period domain

$$\Omega_{M^\perp} = \{[\eta] \in \mathbb{P}(M_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp); (\eta, \eta) = 0, (\eta, \bar{\eta}) > 0\}.$$

In Lemma 3.16, we will prove the existence of a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form $\sigma_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$ such that for any $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ we have

$$P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^* \sigma_{M,\mathcal{K}} = c_1(\pi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota / \text{Def}(X,\iota)}^1, h_{L^2}) - c_1(R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}, h_{L^2}) - 2c_1(\pi_* K_{\mathcal{X}^\iota / \text{Def}(X,\iota)}, h_{L^2}),$$

where $P_{M,\mathcal{K}} : \text{Def}(X, \iota) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ is the period map of the Kuranishi family $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ of (X, ι) .

Theorem 0.2. *The following equation of differential forms on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$ holds:*

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}} = \frac{(t+1)(t+7)}{8} \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}} + \sigma_{M,\mathcal{K}}.$$

There is an application of this invariant to families of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution.

Theorem 0.3. *Suppose that $t \neq -7, -1$ and $q(X^\iota) = p_g(X^\iota) = 0$ for each $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$. There exists no irreducible projective curve on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. In particular, if $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ is a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) , and if S is compact, then f is isotrivial. Namely any two fibers of f are isomorphic.*

In [32], Yoshikawa uses equivariant analytic torsion of trivial line bundle on a 2-elementary K3 surface. If we consider equivariant analytic torsion of trivial line bundle on a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution, we can construct an invariant in the same way. The variation formula for this another invariant is trivial, unlike Theorem 0.2. In some special cases, it can be proved that this another invariant is constant and we cannot construct a nontrivial invariant by using the equivariant analytic torsion of trivial line bundle. For this reason, we consider equivariant analytic torsion of cotangent bundle instead of trivial line bundle. For more detail, see Remark 3.18.

This is the first of a series of three papers investigating equivariant analytic torsion for manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution. The second paper [19] analyzes the singular behavior of the invariant and shows that, in some special cases, it is expressed as the Petersson norm of a certain automorphic form on a bounded symmetric domain of type IV and a certain Siegel modular form. The third paper [20] compares the invariant constructed in this first paper with the BCOV invariant of the Calabi-Yau fourfold obtained as a crepant resolution of the quotient of a $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifold by the antisymplectic involution.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to my supervisor Ken-Ichi Yoshikawa, who suggests this topic to me and provides me with a lot of ideas. This work was supported by JST, the establishment of university the creation of science technology innovation, Grant Number JPMJFS2123 and by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23KJ1249.

1 Analytic torsion and its fundamental properties

1.1 Equivariant analytic torsion

In this section, we recall equivariant analytic torsion for compact Kähler manifolds with holomorphic involution.

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n , and let $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic involution of X . Let μ_2 be the group generated by the order 2 element ι . In what follows, we consider the μ_2 -action on X induced by ι . Let h_X be an ι -invariant Kähler metric on X . The Kähler form attached to h_X is defined by

$$\omega_X = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} h_X \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \right) dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k,$$

where z^1, \dots, z^n is a system of local coordinates on X . The space of smooth (p, q) -forms on X is denoted by $A^{p,q}(X)$.

Let E be a μ_2 -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on X , and h_E a μ_2 -invariant hermitian metric on E . The space of E -valued smooth (p, q) -forms on X is denoted by $A^{p,q}(X, E)$ or $A^{p,q}(E)$.

The metrics h_X and h_E induce a μ_2 -invariant hermitian metric h on the complex vector bundle $\wedge^{p,q} T^* X \otimes E$. The L^2 -metric on $A^{p,q}(X, E)$ is defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2} = \int_X h(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\omega_X^n}{n!}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in A^{p,q}(X, E).$$

The Dolbeault operator of E is denoted by $\bar{\partial}_E : A^{p,q}(X, E) \rightarrow A^{p,q+1}(X, E)$, and its formal adjoint is denoted by $\bar{\partial}_E^* : A^{p,q}(X, E) \rightarrow A^{p,q-1}(X, E)$. We define the Laplacian $D_{p,q}^2$ acting on $A^{p,q}(X, E)$ by

$$D_{p,q}^2 = (\bar{\partial}_E + \bar{\partial}_E^*)^2 : A^{p,q}(X, E) \rightarrow A^{p,q}(X, E).$$

We denote the spectrum of $D_{p,q}^2$ by $\sigma(D_{p,q}^2)$, and the eigenspace of $D_{p,q}^2$ associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(D_{p,q}^2)$ by $E_{p,q}(\lambda)$. Note that $\sigma(D_{p,q}^2)$ is a discrete subset contained in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Moreover $E_{p,q}(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional.

Definition 1.1. *Let $g \in \mu_2$. The spectral zeta function is defined by*

$$\zeta_{p,q,g}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(D_{p,q}^2) \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \text{Tr}(g|_{E_{p,q}(\lambda)}) \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re } s > n).$$

Note that $\zeta_{p,q,g}(s)$ converges absolutely on the domain $\text{Re } s > n$ and extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} which is holomorphic at $s = 0$.

Definition 1.2. *Let $g \in \mu_2$. The equivariant analytic torsion of $\bar{E} = (E, h_E)$ on (X, ω_X) is defined by*

$$\tau_g(\bar{E}) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q q \zeta'_{0,q,g}(0) \right\}.$$

If $g = 1$, it is the (usual) analytic torsion of \bar{E} and is denoted by $\tau(\bar{E})$ instead of $\tau_1(\bar{E})$.

We denote by $H^q(X, E)_{\pm}$ the (± 1) -eigenspace of $\iota^* : H^q(X, E) \rightarrow H^q(X, E)$. We set

$$\lambda_{\pm}(E) = \bigotimes_{q \geq 0} (\det H^q(X, E)_{\pm})^{(-1)^q}.$$

We define the equivariant determinant of the cohomologies of E by

$$\lambda_{\mu_2}(E) = \lambda_+(E) \oplus \lambda_-(E).$$

By Hodge theory, we may identify $H^q(X, E)$ with the space of E -valued harmonic $(0, q)$ -forms on X . The cohomology $H^q(X, E)$ is endowed with the μ_2 -invariant hermitian metric induced from the L^2 -metric on $A^{p,q}(X, E)$. It induces the hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\pm}(E), L^2}$ on $\lambda_{\pm}(E)$. We define the equivariant metric on $\lambda_{\mu_2}(E)$ by

$$\|\alpha\|_{\lambda_{\mu_2}(E), L^2}(\iota) = \|\alpha_+\|_{\lambda_+(E), L^2} \cdot \|\alpha_-\|_{\lambda_-(E), L^2}^{-1} \quad (\alpha = (\alpha_+, \alpha_-) \in \lambda_{\mu_2}(E)),$$

and call it the equivariant L^2 -metric on $\lambda_{\mu_2}(E)$. We define the equivariant Quillen metric on $\lambda_{\mu_2}(E)$ by

$$\|\alpha\|_{\lambda_{\mu_2}(E), Q}^2(\iota) = \tau_g(\overline{E}) \|\alpha\|_{\lambda_{\mu_2}(E), L^2}^2(\iota).$$

1.2 A fundamental property of equivariant analytic torsion

Let \mathcal{X} and S be complex manifolds of dimension $m+n$ and m , respectively. Let $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a holomorphic involution. Then ι induces a μ_2 -action on \mathcal{X} . We consider the trivial μ_2 -action on S .

Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective μ_2 -equivariant holomorphic submersion. Suppose that f is locally Kähler. Namely, for each point $s \in S$ there is an open neighborhood U of s such that $f^{-1}(U)$ is Kähler. The fiber of f is denoted by X_s ($s \in S$) or simply X . Since f is μ_2 -equivariant, the involution ι induces a holomorphic involution on each fiber X_s , which is denoted by ι_s or simply ι .

Let $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ be an ι -invariant hermitian metric on the relative tangent bundle $T\mathcal{X}/S$ which is fiberwise Kähler. Set $h_s = h_{\mathcal{X}/S}|_{X_s}$ ($s \in S$). This is an ι_s -invariant Kähler metric on X_s . Its Kähler form is denoted by ω_s and we set $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \{\omega_s\}_{s \in S}$.

Let $\overline{E} = (E, h_E)$ be a μ_2 -equivariant holomorphic hermitian vector bundle on \mathcal{X} . We assume that $R^q f_* E$ is a locally free sheaf for all $q \geq 0$ and we may regard it as a holomorphic vector bundle on S . By Hodge theory, $R^q f_* E$ is equipped with the ι -invariant hermitian metric. This is called the L^2 -metric and denoted by h_{L^2} .

Let $g \in \mu_2$. We define a real-valued function on S by

$$\tau_g(\overline{E})(s) = \tau_g(\overline{E}|_{X_s}) \quad (s \in S).$$

Let E_{\pm} be the (± 1) -eigenbundle of the μ_2 -action on $E|_{\mathcal{X}^{\iota}}$, and the restriction of h_E to E_{\pm} is denoted by h_{\pm} . The curvature form of (E_{\pm}, h_{\pm}) is denoted by R_{\pm} . Recall that the equivariant Todd form and the equivariant Chern character form are differential forms on \mathcal{X}^{ι} defined by

$$Td_{\iota}(E, h_E) = Td \left(-\frac{R_+}{2\pi i} \right) \det \left(\frac{I}{I + \exp(+\frac{R_-}{2\pi i})} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$ch_{\iota}(E, h_E) = ch \left(-\frac{R_+}{2\pi i} \right) - ch \left(-\frac{R_-}{2\pi i} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

respectively. If α is a differential form, then $[\alpha]^{(p,q)}$ is the component of α of bidegree (p, q) .

Theorem 1.3. *For each $g \in \mu_2$, $\tau_g(\overline{E})$ is smooth on S . Moreover, it satisfies the following equation:*

$$-dd^c \log \tau_g(\overline{E}) + \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch_g(R^q f_* E, h_{L^2})]^{(1,1)} = [f_* Td_g(T\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S}) ch_g(\overline{E})]^{(1,1)}.$$

Proof. See [6, Theorem 0.1] and [24, Theorem 2.12]. □

The (± 1) -eigenbundle of $R^q f_* E$ is denoted by $(R^q f_* E)_\pm$. We set

$$\lambda_\pm(E) = \bigotimes_{q \geq 0} \det(R^q f_* E)_\pm.$$

We define the equivariant determinant of the cohomologies of E by

$$\lambda_{\mu_2}(E) = \lambda_+(E) \oplus \lambda_-(E).$$

It is equipped with the equivariant L^2 -metric and the equivariant Quillen metric. For an open subset U of S , a holomorphic section $\sigma = (\sigma_+, \sigma_-)$ is called an admissible section if both σ_+ and σ_- are nowhere vanishing on U .

2 Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds and antisymplectic involutions

2.1 Lattices

Definition 2.1. *A lattice L is a finitely generated free abelian group equipped with an integral non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.*

The rank of a lattice L is denoted by $\text{rk}(L)$. For $K = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$, or \mathbb{C} , we set $L_K = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$. The signature of L is denoted by $\text{sign}(L)$. The dual lattice is denoted by $L^\vee = \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$. It can be identified with the subgroup of $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined by $\{x \in L_{\mathbb{Q}}; (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in L\}$. Since the bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) is non-degenerate, there is an injection $L \rightarrow L^\vee$. The quotient $A_L = L^\vee/L$ is called the discriminant group of L .

A lattice L is called unimodular if $A_L = \{0\}$. For each $l \in L$, set $l^2 = (l, l) \in \mathbb{Z}$. A lattice L is called even if l^2 is an even number for any $l \in L$. We denote by U the rank 2 even unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 1)$ with Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and denote by E_8 the *negative* definite even unimodular lattice associated with the Dynkin diagram E_8 . We set

$$L_{K3} = E_8^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 3} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = L_{K3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}e,$$

where $e^2 = -2$ and $(e, L_{K3}) = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let (\cdot, \cdot) be the bilinear form of a lattice L . We denote by $L(k)$ the lattice which is the free abelian group L equipped with the bilinear form $k(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The isometry group of L is denoted by $O(L)$. A sublattice $S \subset L$ is primitive if the quotient L/S is a free abelian group. A lattice L is hyperbolic if $\text{sign}(L) = (1, \text{rk}(L) - 1)$. A lattice L is 2-elementary if there exists a non-negative integer $l(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that A_L is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{l(L)}$.

For a lattice L and $l \in L$, the reflection $s_l : L_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$s_l(x) = x - \frac{2(x, l)}{(l, l)}l.$$

Any isometry g can be expressed as the product of reflections

$$g = s_{v_1} \dots s_{v_m},$$

where v_1, \dots, v_m are elements of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$. We define the real spinor norm $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(g)$ by

$$sn_{\mathbb{R}}(g) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } -(v_1)^2 \dots -(v_m)^2 > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } -(v_1)^2 \dots -(v_m)^2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

This is independent of the choice of v_1, \dots, v_m . We define a subgroup $O^+(L)$ of $O(L)$ by

$$O^+(L) = \{g \in O(L); sn_{\mathbb{R}}(g) = +1\}.$$

Let N be a lattice of signature $(2, n)$. We set

$$\Omega_N = \{[\eta] \in \mathbb{P}(N_{\mathbb{C}}); (\eta, \eta) = 0, (\eta, \bar{\eta}) > 0\}.$$

Then Ω_N is a complex manifold consisting of two connected components Ω_1 and Ω_2 , both of which are isomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain of type IV of dimension n . For $v \in L$ with $(v, v) \neq 0$, we have

$$s_v(\Omega_1) = \begin{cases} \Omega_1 & \text{if } (v, v) < 0 \\ \Omega_2 & \text{if } (v, v) > 0 \end{cases}$$

and we have

$$O^+(N) = \{g \in O(N); g \text{ preserves } \Omega_1\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let Γ be a finite index subgroup of $O^+(\Lambda)$. For each $i = 1, 2$, Γ acts on Ω_i projectively. We define an orthogonal modular variety \mathcal{M} by

$$\mathcal{M} = \Omega_i/\Gamma.$$

By [1, Theorems 10.4 and 10.11], \mathcal{M} has a compactification \mathcal{M}^* , called the Baily-Borel compactification, such that \mathcal{M}^* is an irreducible normal projective variety of dimension n and such that the boundary $\mathcal{M}^* \setminus \mathcal{M}$ is of codimension ≥ 2 if $n \geq 3$.

Let Λ be a lattice of signature $(3, n)$. By [25, Lemma 4.1], an isometry $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is of real spinor norm $+1$ if and only if it acts on $H^2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by $+1$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda} = \{x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; x^2 > 0\}$. A generator of $H^2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is called an orientation class of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}$. Let $h \in \Lambda$ be an element with $(h, h) > 0$. Since the signature of $h^{\perp} \in \Lambda$ is $(2, n)$, $\Omega_{h^{\perp}} = \Omega_{\Lambda} \cap h^{\perp}$ consists of two connected components. If an isometry $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is of real spinor norm $+1$ and $g(h) = h$, it preserves connected components of $\Omega_{h^{\perp}}$.

2.2 Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds and anti-symplectic involutions

Definition 2.2. *A simply-connected compact Kähler manifold X is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold if there exists an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form η such that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = \mathbb{C}\eta$.*

Definition 2.3. *A compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $4n$ is a hyperkähler manifold if its holonomy group is equal to $Sp(n)$.*

If (M, g) is hyperkähler, then there exist three complex structures $I, J,$ and K on M such that $IJ = -JI = K$ and that $(M, g, I), (M, g, J), (M, g, K)$ are all irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds [17, Proposition 23.3].

On the other hand, let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold, and let $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a Kähler class of X . By Yau [31], there exists a unique Ricci-flat Kähler form $\omega_{X,0}$ such that $[\omega_{X,0}] = \alpha$ in the cohomology $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. If M is the real manifold underlying X and g is the Ricci-flat Riemannian metric corresponding to $\omega_{X,0}$, then (M, g) is a hyperkähler manifold [17, Proposition 5.11].

Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. The k -th Betti number of X is denoted by $b_k(X)$. By [17, Proposition 23.14 and Remark 23.15], there exists a unique primitive integral quadric form q_X on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of signature $(3, b_2(X) - 3)$ such that it satisfies the following property. There exists a positive rational number $c_X \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $q_X(\alpha)^n = c_X \int_X \alpha^{2n}$ for any $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. If $b_2(X) = 6$, we also require that $q_X(\omega) > 0$ for any Kähler class ω . The quadric form q_X is called the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki form. Let $(\cdot, \cdot) : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the integral bilinear form corresponding to q_X .

Example 2.4. A 2-dimensional irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold is a K3 surface. In this case, the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki form is the cup product.

Example 2.5. The Hilbert scheme $Y^{[n]}$ of length n zero-dimensional subschemes of a K3 surface Y is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. ([2, Théorème 3.])

If the n -th symmetric product of a K3 surface Y is denoted by $Y^{(n)}$, the Hilbert scheme $Y^{[n]}$ is defined by a crepant resolution of $Y^{(n)}$. Such a crepant resolution is unique by [14, Theorem (2.2)]. Let E be its exceptional divisor and its Poincaré dual is denoted by $[E]$. Let $\tau : Y^{[n]} \rightarrow Y^{(n)}$ be the crepant resolution, $\pi : Y^{(n)} \rightarrow Y$ be the projection, and $p_k : Y^{(n)} \rightarrow Y$ be the k -th projection. We define an injection $i : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ by $i(\alpha) = \tau^*(\beta)$, where $\beta \in H^2(Y^{(n)}, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined by

$$\pi^*(\beta) = \sum_k p_k^*(\alpha).$$

By [2, Proposition 6], $i : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki forms and

$$H^2(Y^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong i(H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\varepsilon \in H^2(Y^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the cohomology class such that $2\varepsilon = [E]$.

Definition 2.6. *An irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X is of $K3^{[n]}$ -type if X is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of n -points of a $K3$ surface.*

Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and η a holomorphic symplectic 2-form on X . For any holomorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$, we have $\iota^*\eta = \eta$ or $-\eta$. A holomorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ which satisfies $\iota^*\eta = -\eta$ is called antisymplectic.

Example 2.7. Let Y be a $K3$ surface and let $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an antisymplectic involution of Y . The involution σ induces a holomorphic involution $\sigma^{[2]} : Y^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]}$, and $\sigma^{[2]}$ is an antisymplectic involution. The involution $\sigma^{[2]}$ on $Y^{[2]}$ is called the natural involution.

Example 2.8. We recall the example of antisymplectic involution in [28, proof of Corollary 2.11] and [21, Example 9.12]. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth sextic curve. The double covering of \mathbb{P}^2 branched over C is denoted by $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, and the covering involution is denoted by $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$. Then Y is a $K3$ surface and σ is antisymplectic. By Example 2.7, (Y, σ) induces a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type $(Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]})$ with antisymplectic involution. Its fixed locus is isomorphic to $C^{(2)} \sqcup (Y/\sigma) = C^{(2)} \sqcup \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $f : Y^{[2]} \dashrightarrow \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]})$ be the Mukai flop of $Y^{[2]}$ along $Y/\sigma = \mathbb{P}^2$. (See [17, Example 21.7].) Set $\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]}) = f \circ \sigma^{[2]} \circ f^{-1}$. Then $\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]})$ is a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type. By [28, proof of Corollary 2.11], $\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]}) : \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]}) \rightarrow \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]})$ is biregular and is an antisymplectic involution.

The invariant subspace of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota = \{\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}); \iota^*\alpha = \alpha\}$.

Lemma 2.9. *If ι is antisymplectic, then the following hold:*

- (1) $(\eta, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota) = 0$.
- (2) $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
- (3) $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota$ is hyperbolic. Namely, $\text{sign}(q_X|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota}) = (1, \text{rk } H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\iota - 1)$.
- (4) X is projective.

Proof. See [21, Proposition 4.4]. □

In what follows, involutions on a $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifold always imply antisymplectic ones.

Definition 2.10. *Let \mathcal{X} and S be complex manifolds, let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a surjective proper holomorphic submersion, and let $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a holomorphic involution. Then $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ is called a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

- (1) For each $s \in S$, $X_s = f^{-1}(s)$ is a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type.

$$(2) f \circ \iota = f.$$

(3) $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ induces an antisymplectic involution $\iota_s : X_s \rightarrow X_s$ for all $s \in S$.

Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution, and let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (\text{Def}(X), 0)$ be the Kuranishi family of X with $\pi^{-1}(0) = X$. Since $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Def}(X)$ is a universal family ([17, §22.1]), there exists a holomorphic involution $I : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $J : (\text{Def}(X), 0) \rightarrow (\text{Def}(X), 0)$ such that $I|_X = \iota$ and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathcal{X} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ (\text{Def}(X), 0) & \xrightarrow{J} & (\text{Def}(X), 0) \end{array}$$

The fixed locus of J is called the local deformation space $\text{Def}(X, \iota)$ of (X, ι) .

Lemma 2.11. *Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution, and let η be a holomorphic symplectic 2-form on X . Set*

$$t = \text{Tr}(\iota^*|_{H^{1,1}(X)}).$$

Then the following holds.

- (1) *The fixed locus X^ι is a smooth Lagrangian submanifold of X . Namely, X^ι is a smooth complex surface such that $\eta|_{X^\iota} = 0$.*
- (2) *$\int_{X^\iota} c_1(X^\iota)^2 = t^2 - 1$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X^\iota}) = \frac{t^2+7}{8}$, and $\int_{X^\iota} c_2(X^\iota) = \frac{t^2+23}{2}$.*
- (3) *The local deformation space $\text{Def}(X, \iota)$ is smooth of dimension $\frac{21-t}{2}$.*
- (4) *t is an odd number with $-19 \leq t \leq 21$.*

Proof. See [3, THEOREMS 1 and 2]. □

Example 2.12. By the construction of $i : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ and E in Example 2.5, the antisymplectic involution $\sigma^{[2]} : Y^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]}$ satisfies $(\sigma^{[2]})^* \circ i = i \circ \sigma^*$ and $(\sigma^{[2]})^*[E] = [E]$. Therefore, we have

$$H^2(Y^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\sigma^{[2]}} = i(H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon.$$

By [21, Example 5.1], we have $\text{Def}(Y, \sigma) = \text{Def}(Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]})$ and any deformation of $(Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]})$ is induced from a deformation of (Y, σ) .

2.3 Kähler-type chambers

Following Joumaah [21], we recall the deformation type, the moduli space, and the period map for $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution.

Let X_1, X_2 be irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds. Recall that a parallel-transport operator $f : H^2(X_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism such that there exist a family $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds over a possibly reducible analytic base B , two points $b_1, b_2 \in B$, and a continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ with $\gamma(0) = b_1, \gamma(1) = b_2$ such that $p^{-1}(b_i) \cong X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and that the parallel-transport in the local system $R^2p_*\mathbb{Z}$ induces $f : H^2(X_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.13. *Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. A parallel-transport operator $g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a monodromy operator. The subgroup $\text{Mon}^2(X)$ of $O(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ consisting of all monodromy operators of X is called the monodromy group.*

Let X be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type and $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ be an isometry. The pair (X, α) is called a marked manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type. Let $\text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ be the subgroup of the isometry group $O(L_2)$ defined by

$$\text{Mon}^2(L_2) = \alpha \circ \text{Mon}^2(X) \circ \alpha^{-1}.$$

By [25, Theorem 9.1], the group $\text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ is a normal subgroup of $O(L_2)$ and is independent of the choice of (X, α) . By [25, Lemma 9.2], we have $\text{Mon}^2(L_2) = O^+(L_2)$.

Similarly, we can define the monodromy group $\text{Mon}^2(L_{K3})$ and by [9, Theorem A] we have $\text{Mon}^2(L_{K3}) = O^+(L_{K3})$.

Definition 2.14. *Let M be a sublattice of L_2 and $\iota_M \in \text{Mon}^2(L_2)$ an involution. The pair (M, ι_M) is an admissible sublattice of L_2 if M is hyperbolic and the invariant sublattice $(L_2)^{\iota_M}$ of ι_M is equal to M .*

Let \mathfrak{M}_{L_2} be the moduli space of marked manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type constructed in [17, Definition 25.4]. We fix a connected component $\mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ$ of \mathfrak{M}_{L_2} .

Definition 2.15. *Let (M, ι_M) be an admissible sublattice of L_2 and let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution. An isometry $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is called an (M) -admissible marking of (X, ι) if $(X, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ$ and $\alpha \circ \iota^* = \iota_M \circ \alpha$. Moreover ι is of type M if there exists an M -admissible marking of (X, ι) .*

Let (M, ι_M) be an admissible sublattice of L_2 .

Definition 2.16. *Define a set $\Delta(M)$ by*

$$\Delta(M) = \{\delta \in M; \delta^2 = -2, \text{ or } \delta^2 = -10, (\delta, L_2) = 2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M = \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}}; x^2 > 0\}$. For $\delta \in \Delta(M)$, we define $\delta^\perp = \{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M : (x, \delta) = 0\}$.

Definition 2.17. A connected component of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta(M)} \delta^\perp$ is called a Kähler-type chamber of M . The set of all Kähler-type chambers of M is denoted by $\text{KT}(M)$.

We set

$$\Gamma(M) = \{\sigma \in \text{Mon}^2(L_2); \sigma \circ \iota_M = \iota_M \circ \sigma\},$$

and we define a subgroup Γ_M of $O(M)$ by

$$\Gamma_M = \{\sigma|_M \in O(M); \sigma \in \Gamma(M)\}.$$

The group Γ_M acts on $\text{KT}(M)$.

Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution of type M , and let $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ be an M -admissible marking of (X, ι) . The ι -invariant Kähler cone of X is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_X^\iota = \{\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}); \omega \text{ is a Kähler class, } \iota^* \omega = \omega\}.$$

We denote by $\rho(X, \iota, \alpha)$ the Kähler-type chamber containing $\alpha(\mathcal{K}_X^\iota)$. Let $\alpha, \beta : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ are two M -admissible markings of (X, ι) . Since $(X, \alpha), (X, \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ$, we have $\alpha \circ \beta^{-1} \in \text{Mon}^2(L_2)$. Moreover, $\alpha \circ \beta^{-1} \in \Gamma(M)$ and hence

$$[\rho(X, \iota, \alpha)] = [\rho(X, \iota, \beta)]$$

in $\text{KT}(M)/\Gamma_M$. Therefore the class $[\rho(X, \iota, \alpha)] \in \text{KT}(M)/\Gamma_M$ is independent of the choice of α , and we denote it by $\rho(X, \iota)$.

Lemma 2.18. The map ρ induces a bijection from the set of deformation types of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution of type M to $\text{KT}(M)/\Gamma_M$.

Proof. See [21, Theorem 9.11]. □

Let $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ and fix $h \in \mathcal{K} \cap M$. Then $h^\perp = h^\perp \cap L_2$ is a lattice of signature $(2, 20)$ containing M^\perp , and Ω_{h^\perp} consists of two connected components. By [25, (4.1)], the connected component $\mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ$ determines the connected component $\Omega_{h^\perp}^+$ of Ω_{h^\perp} . Namely, for any $(X, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ$ and $p = [\sigma] \in \Omega_{h^\perp}^+$, the orientation of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_X = \{x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}); q_X(x) > 0\}$ determined by the Kähler cone of X is compatible with the orientation of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{L_2} = \{x \in L_{2, \mathbb{R}}; x^2 > 0\}$ determined by the real 3-dimensional vector space $W = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\text{Re } \sigma, \text{Im } \sigma, h\}$ associated to the basis $\{\text{Re } \sigma, \text{Im } \sigma, h\}$ via the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_X \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{L_2}$ induced from the marking α .

Let $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ be the connected component of Ω_{M^\perp} which satisfies $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \subset \Omega_{h^\perp}^+$. Set

$$\mathfrak{M}_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} = \{(X, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{M}_{L_2}^\circ; \alpha(H^{2,0}(X)) \in \Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \text{ and } \mathcal{K} \cap \alpha(\mathcal{K}_X) \neq \emptyset\},$$

where \mathcal{K}_X is the Kähler cone of the $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifold X . We define a map $P_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathfrak{M}_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ by $P_{\mathcal{K}}(X, \alpha) = \alpha(H^{2,0}(X))$.

We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ the set of isomorphism classes of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds (X, ι) with involution of type M such that $\rho(X, \iota) = [\mathcal{K}]$ in $\text{KT}(M)/\Gamma_M$.

Definition 2.19. Let $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$. An isometry $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is admissible for (M, \mathcal{K}) if α is an M -admissible marking and $\alpha(\mathcal{K}_X^\iota) \subset \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K}_X^ι is the ι -invariant Kähler cone of X .

For each $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$, there exists an admissible marking for (M, \mathcal{K}) . Moreover, if $\alpha, \beta : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ are two admissible markings for (M, \mathcal{K}) , then we have $\alpha \circ \beta^{-1} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$, where

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) = \{\sigma \in \text{Mon}^2(L_2); \sigma \circ \iota_M = \iota_M \circ \sigma \text{ and } \sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}\} = \{\sigma \in \Gamma(M); \sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Let

$$\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} = \{\sigma|_{M^\perp} \in O(M^\perp); \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})\}.$$

For $g \in \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$, there exists $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\sigma|_{M^\perp} = g$. Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Mon}^2(L_2) = O^+(L_2)$, we have $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma) = +1$. On the other hand, since $(\sigma|_M)(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$, we have $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma|_M) = +1$. Therefore, $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(g) = sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma|_{M^\perp}) = +1$ and $g \in O^+(M^\perp)$. Thus, $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ is contained in $O^+(M^\perp)$. By [21, Proposition 10.2], $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ is a finite index subgroup of $O^+(M^\perp)$. By (2.1), $O^+(M^\perp)$ preserves $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+$. Therefore we obtain an orthogonal modular variety

$$\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}} = \Omega_{M^\perp}^+ / \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}.$$

We define the period map $P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ by

$$P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) = [\alpha(H^{2,0}(X))],$$

where $\alpha : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is an admissible marking for (M, \mathcal{K}) .

Let

$$\Delta(M^\perp) = \{\delta \in M^\perp; \delta^2 = -2, \text{ or } \delta^2 = -10, (\delta, L_2) = 2\mathbb{Z}\},$$

and set

$$\mathcal{D}_{M^\perp} = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta(M^\perp)} H_\delta \subset \Omega_{M^\perp},$$

where

$$H_\delta = \{x \in \Omega_{M^\perp}; (x, \delta) = 0\}.$$

By [21, Lemma 7.7], \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp} is locally finite in Ω_{M^\perp} and is viewed as a reduced divisor on Ω_{M^\perp} . Set $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp} = \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp} / \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$. Then $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp}$ is a reduced divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$. We set

$$\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ = \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{M^\perp}^\circ = \Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}.$$

Lemma 2.20. *The image of $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$.*

Proof. See [21, Lemma 9.5 and Proposition 9.9]. □

The period map $P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$ is not injective but generically injective.

Theorem 2.21. *There exists a $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ -invariant effective reduced divisor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that*

$$P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : P_{M, \mathcal{K}}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}$$

is bijective, where $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}/\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$.

Proof. See [21, Theorem 10.5]. □

Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . We define the period map $P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ by

$$P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(s) = P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X_s, \iota_s), \quad (s \in S).$$

Since $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2$ is a holomorphic vector subbundle of the flat bundle $R^2f_*\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$, $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is holomorphic ([17, 22.3]).

Let $(X, \iota), (X', \iota') \in \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$. We call (X, ι) and (X', ι') inseparable if their universal deformations $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ and $\pi' : (\mathcal{X}', \iota') \rightarrow \text{Def}(X', \iota')$ contain isomorphic fibers, where $\text{Def}(X, \iota)$ and $\text{Def}(X', \iota')$ are viewed as germs.

Lemma 2.22. *If $(X, \iota), (X', \iota') \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ satisfy $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) = P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X', \iota')$, then (X, ι) and (X', ι') are inseparable.*

Proof. See [21, Proposition 10.7]. □

2.4 Relations of orthogonal modular varieties

Let M_0 be a primitive hyperbolic 2-elementary sublattice of L_{K3} . Since L_{K3} is unimodular and since M_0 is 2-elementary, the involution

$$M_0 \oplus M_0^\perp \rightarrow M_0 \oplus M_0^\perp, \quad (m, n) \mapsto (m, -n)$$

extends uniquely to an involution $\iota_{M_0} \in O(L_{K3})$ by [26, Corollary 1.5.2].

Let Y be a K3 surface and $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an antisymplectic involution on Y . Set

$$H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma = \{x \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}); \sigma^*x = x\}.$$

Let $\alpha : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_{K3}$ be an isometry. We call the pair (Y, α) a 2-elementary K3 surface of type M_0 if the restriction of α is an isometry from $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma$ to M_0 .

Since $\text{sign}(M_0^\perp) = (2, \text{rk } M_0^\perp - 2)$, $\Omega_{M_0^\perp}$ consists of two connected components. We fix a connected component $\Omega_{M_0^\perp}^+$ of $\Omega_{M_0^\perp}$. By (2.1), $O^+(M_0^\perp)$ acts on $\Omega_{M_0^\perp}^+$ projectively. We obtain the orthogonal modular variety

$$\mathcal{M}_{M_0} = \Omega_{M_0^\perp}^+ / O^+(M_0^\perp)$$

of dimension $20 - \text{rk}(M_0)$.

We set $\Delta(M_0^\perp) = \{d \in M_0^\perp; d^2 = -2\}$, and

$$\mathcal{D}_{M_0^\perp} = \bigcup_{d \in \Delta(M_0^\perp)} d^\perp \subset \Omega_{M_0^\perp}^+.$$

By [32, Proposition 1.9.], $\mathcal{D}_{M_0^\perp}$ is locally finite and is viewed as a reduced divisor on $\Omega_{M_0^\perp}^+$. Set

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{M_0^\perp} = \mathcal{D}_{M_0^\perp} / O^+(M_0^\perp) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{M_0}^\circ = \mathcal{M}_{M_0} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{M_0^\perp}.$$

Lemma 2.23. *The Zariski open subset $\mathcal{M}_{M_0}^\circ$ is a coarse moduli space of 2-elementary K3 surfaces of type M_0 .*

Proof. See [32, Theorem 1.8.] □

Set $\Delta(M_0) = \{d \in M_0; d^2 = -2\}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0} = \{x \in M_{0,\mathbb{R}}; x^2 > 0\}$. As before, the set of connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0} \setminus \bigcup_{d \in \Delta(M_0)} d^\perp$ is denoted by $\text{KT}(M_0)$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_0 \in \text{KT}(M_0)$. Set

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0) = \{\sigma \in \text{Mon}^2(L_{K3}); \sigma \circ \iota_{M_0} = \iota_{M_0} \circ \sigma, \text{ and } \sigma(\mathcal{K}_0) = \mathcal{K}_0\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_{M_0^\perp, \mathcal{K}_0} = \{\sigma|_{M_0^\perp} \in O(M_0^\perp); \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0)\}.$$

Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0) \subset \text{Mon}^2(L_{K3})$, we have $\Gamma_{M_0^\perp, \mathcal{K}_0} \subset O^+(M_0^\perp)$. It is a finite index subgroup of $O^+(M_0^\perp)$.

Lemma 2.24. *For any $\mathcal{K}_0 \in \text{KT}(M_0)$, we have $\Gamma_{M_0^\perp, \mathcal{K}_0} = O^+(M_0^\perp)$.*

Proof. Choose $g \in O^+(M_0^\perp)$. By [33, Proposition 11.2], there exists an isometry $\sigma \in O(L_{K3})$ such that $\sigma \circ \iota_{M_0} = \iota_{M_0} \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma|_{M_0^\perp} = g$. By [26, Corollary 1.5.2], there exists an involution $\xi \in O(L_{K3})$ such that $\xi|_{M_0^\perp} = \text{id}$ and $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi|_{M_0}) = -1$. By replacing σ with $\xi \circ \sigma$, if necessary, we may assume that $\sigma \in O^+(L_{K3})$ and that it preserves the connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0}$.

Let W be the subgroup of $\text{Mon}^2(L_{K3})$ generated by the reflections s_d for $d \in \Delta(M_0)$. Note that $s_d|_{M_0^\perp} = \text{id}_{M_0^\perp}$ for each $d \in \Delta(M_0)$. Let \mathcal{C}_{M_0} be the connected component of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0}$ containing \mathcal{K}_0 , and let $\text{KT}(M_0)_+$ be the set of Kähler-type chambers contained in \mathcal{C}_{M_0} . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{K}_0) \in \text{KT}(M_0)_+$ and W acts on $\text{KT}(M_0)_+$ transitively (cf. [23, Theorem 2.9]). Therefore there exists an element $w \in W$ such that $(w\sigma)(\mathcal{K}_0) = \mathcal{K}_0$. Since $w \in W \subset \text{Mon}^2(L_{K3})$ and $\sigma \in O^+(L_{K3}) = \text{Mon}^2(L_{K3})$, we have $w\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0)$ and this implies $g = (w\sigma)|_{M_0^\perp} \in \Gamma_{M_0^\perp, \mathcal{K}_0}$. □

Recall that L_2 is given by $L_2 = L_{K3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$. We define a sublattice M by

$$M = M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e,$$

and define an involution $\iota_M : L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ by

$$\iota_M(x_0 + ae) = \iota_{M_0}(x_0) + ae$$

for $x_0 \in L_{K3}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (M, ι_M) is an admissible sublattice of L_2 .

Definition 2.25. Let $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$. A hyperplane H of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a face of \mathcal{K} if $H \cap \partial\mathcal{K}$ contains an open subset of H .

Definition 2.26. A Kähler-type chamber $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ is natural if the hyperplane $M_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ is a face of \mathcal{K} .

Lemma 2.27. If $\delta = d + ae \in \Delta(M)$ ($d \in M_0, a \in \mathbb{Z}$), then one of the following holds

$$(1) \quad d^2 \geq 0, \quad (2) \quad d \in \Delta(M_0), \quad \text{or} \quad (3) \quad \frac{d}{2} \in \Delta(M_0).$$

Proof. If $\delta^2 = -2$, then $d^2 = 2a^2 - 2 \geq -2$. Therefore we have (1) $d^2 \geq 0$ or (2) $d \in \Delta(M_0)$.

Assume that $\delta^2 = -10$ and $(\delta, L_2) = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Set $d' = \frac{d}{2} \in L_{K3,\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $L_{K3} \subset L_2$ and $2\mathbb{Z} \supset (\delta, L_{K3}) = (d, L_{K3})$, we have $(d', L_{K3}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Since L_{K3} is unimodular, we have $d' \in L_{K3}^\vee = L_{K3}$. Since $2d' = d \in M_0$ and M_0 is primitive, we have $d' \in M_0$. Therefore $d^2 = 4(d')^2 \in 8\mathbb{Z}$. If $d^2 \geq 0$, then (1) holds. So we may assume that $d^2 \leq -8$. Since $\delta^2 = -10$, we have $a^2 = \frac{d^2}{2} + 5 \leq 1$. If $a = 0$, then $d^2 = -10 \notin 8\mathbb{Z}$, which is impossible. If $a^2 = 1$, then $d^2 = -8$ and $(d')^2 = -2$. Therefore, we have (3) $\frac{d}{2} \in \Delta(M_0)$. \square

Since $M_0^\perp = M^\perp$, we may identify $O(M_0^\perp) = O(M^\perp)$.

Theorem 2.28. If $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ is natural, then $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} = O^+(M_0^\perp)$.

Proof. It is clear that $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} \subset O^+(M^\perp) = O^+(M_0^\perp)$. Since $e \in \Delta(M)$, either $(\mathcal{K}, e) < 0$ or $(\mathcal{K}, e) > 0$ holds. We assume that

$$(\mathcal{K}, e) < 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Step 1 Let $p : M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ be the orthogonal projection. Since $p(\mathcal{K})$ is a connected open subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0} \setminus \bigcup_{d \in \Delta(M_0)} d^\perp$, there exists a unique chamber $\mathcal{K}_0 \in \text{KT}(M_0)$ such that $p(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{K}_0$. By the continuity of p , we have $\bar{\mathcal{K}} \cap M_{0,\mathbb{R}} \subset \overline{p(\mathcal{K})}$. Since $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ is natural, $\bar{\mathcal{K}} \cap M_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ contains an open subset of $M_{0,\mathbb{R}}$, and $\bar{\mathcal{K}} \cap M_{0,\mathbb{R}} \cap p(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$. We fix an element $\omega_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}} \cap M_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ with $\omega_0 \in p(\mathcal{K}) (\subset \mathcal{K}_0)$. Then there exists a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_0 + ae \in \mathcal{K}$. We set $\omega = \omega_0 + ae$. By (2.3), we have $a > 0$.

We claim that $\omega_0 + be \in \mathcal{K}$ for any $b \in (0, a)$. Let $\delta \in \Delta(M)$. If $(\mathcal{K}, \delta) > 0$, then $(\bar{\mathcal{K}}, \delta) \geq 0$. Since $\omega_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $(\omega_0, \delta) \geq 0$ and $(\omega, \delta) > 0$. For any $b \in (0, a)$, we have

$$\omega_0 + be = \frac{a-b}{a}\omega_0 + \frac{b}{a}\omega,$$

and $(\omega_0 + be, \delta) > 0$. Similarly, if $(\mathcal{K}, \delta) < 0$, then $(\omega_0 + be, \delta) < 0$ for any $b \in (0, a)$. Since \mathcal{K} is a connected component of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta(M)} \delta^\perp$, we have

$$\omega_0 + be \in \mathcal{K}$$

for any $b \in (0, a)$.

Step 2 Let $g \in O^+(M_0^\perp)$. By Lemma 2.24, there exists an element $\tau \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0)$ such that $\tau|_{M_0^\perp} = g$. We define $\sigma \in O(L_2)$ by

$$\sigma(x_0 + ae) = \tau(x_0) + ae, \quad (x_0 \in L_{K3}, a \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

By the construction of σ and ι_M , we have $\sigma \circ \iota_M = \iota_M \circ \sigma$. If we write $\tau = s_{v_1} \dots s_{v_m}$ ($v_i \in L_{K3, \mathbb{R}}$), then $v_i \in L_2$ and $\sigma = s_{v_1} \dots s_{v_m}$. Therefore $sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma) = sn_{\mathbb{R}}(\tau) = +1$ and we have $\sigma \in O^+(L_2) = \text{Mon}^2(L_2)$. Therefore we have $\sigma \in \Gamma(M)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{K} \cap \sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$. Then there exists $\delta \in \Delta(M)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{K}, \delta) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma(\mathcal{K}), \delta) < 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Write $\delta = d + \lambda e$, where $d \in M_{0, \mathbb{R}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define a real-valued function $f(t)$ on $[0, 1]$ by

$$f(t) = (t\tau(\omega_0) + (1-t)\omega_0, d), \quad (t \in [0, 1]).$$

Since $\tau \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_0)$, we have $\tau(\omega_0) \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Since \mathcal{K}_0 is a convex set, the line segment $\{t\tau(\omega_0) + (1-t)\omega_0; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is contained in \mathcal{K}_0 . By Lemma 2.27, the hyperplane d^\perp in $M_{0, \mathbb{R}}$ does not intersect with $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M_0} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta(M_0)} \delta^\perp$. Therefore, we have $(x_0, d) \neq 0$ for any $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Hence $f(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(t)| \geq C$ for any $0 < t < 1$. Let $a' > 0$ be a positive number that satisfies

$$a' < \begin{cases} \min \left\{ a, \frac{C}{2|\lambda|} \right\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\ a & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

and set $\omega' = \omega_0 + a'e$. By Step 1, $\omega_0 + be \in \mathcal{K}$ for any $b \in (0, a)$. In particular, $\omega' \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence $\sigma(\omega') \in \sigma(\mathcal{K})$. By (2.4), there is a real number $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that

$$0 = (t_0\sigma(\omega') + (1-t_0)\omega', \delta) = f(t_0) - 2a'\lambda.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, we have $0 = |f(t_0)| \geq C > 0$, which is impossible. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $|f(t_0)| \geq C$ and $a' < \frac{C}{2|\lambda|}$, we get

$$C \leq |f(t_0)| = 2a'|\lambda| < C,$$

which is also impossible. Thus we have $\mathcal{K} \cap \sigma(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{K} and $\sigma(\mathcal{K})$ are connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta(M)} \delta^\perp$, we have $\mathcal{K} = \sigma(\mathcal{K})$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore $g = \tau|_{M_0^\perp} = \sigma|_{M^\perp} \in \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$. Since $g \in O^+(M_0^\perp)$ is arbitrary, we have $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} = O^+(M_0^\perp)$. If $(\mathcal{K}, e) > 0$, then we can prove the statement in the same manner. \square

Corollary 2.29. *Let M_0 be a primitive hyperbolic 2-elementary sublattice of L_{K3} and set $M = M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$. Let $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ be a natural chamber. The identity map $\Omega_{M_0^\perp} \rightarrow \Omega_{M^\perp}$ induces an isomorphism of orthogonal modular varieties $\mathcal{M}_{M_0} \cong \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$.*

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of 2-elementary K3 surfaces of type M_0 , and $\bar{\pi}_{M_0} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M_0}$ be the period map defined by

$$\bar{\pi}_{M_0}(Y, \sigma) = [\alpha(H^{2,0}(Y))] \quad ((Y, \sigma) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0}),$$

where $\alpha : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_{K3}$ is a marking of (Y, σ) . Recall that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) , and $P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is the period map. We have a natural map $\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ defined by

$$\Phi(Y, \sigma) = (Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]}) \quad ((Y, \sigma) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0}).$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \\ \bar{\pi}_{M_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow P_{M, \mathcal{K}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{M_0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}} \end{array}$$

Example 2.30. Let C be a smooth sextic in \mathbb{P}^2 and let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the double cover branched over C . The covering involution of $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is denoted by $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$. By Example 2.4, $(Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]})$ and $(\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]}), \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]}))$ are manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution. The invariant lattice $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma$ is generated by an element h_0 with $h_0^2 = +2$. By Example 2.12, we have $H^2(Y^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\sigma^{[2]}} \cong \mathbb{Z}h_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon$. By [17, Proposition 25.14], we also have $H^2(\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]}), \mathbb{Z})^{\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]})} \cong \mathbb{Z}h_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon$.

We define a sublattice M_0 of L_{K3} by $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}h$, where $h \in L_{K3}$ satisfies $h^2 = 2$. It is a primitive hyperbolic 2-elementary sublattice of L_{K3} . We set $M = M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$. Then M is an admissible sublattice of L_2 . By [21, Example 9.12], we have

$$\Delta(M) = \pm\{e, 2h + 3e, 2h - 3e\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{KT}(M)/\Gamma_M = \{[\mathcal{K}], [\mathcal{K}']\},$$

where \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' are Kähler-type chambers defined by $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}h + \mathbb{R}_{>0}(3h + 2e)$ and $\mathcal{K}' = \mathbb{R}_{>0}(3h + 2e) + \mathbb{R}_{>0}(h + e)$. By [21, Example 9.12], \mathcal{K} is natural, $\rho(Y^{[2]}, \sigma^{[2]}) = [\mathcal{K}]$ and $\rho(\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]}), \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]})) = [\mathcal{K}']$.

By Proposition 2.28 and Corollary 2.29, we have $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}} = O^+(M_0^\perp)$ and the identity map $\Omega_{M_0^\perp} \rightarrow \Omega_{M^\perp}$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}_{M_0} \cong \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$.

We prove that the same statement holds for the non-natural Kähler-type chamber $[\mathcal{K}']$.

Proposition 2.31. *With the same notation as in Example 2.30, we have $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}'} = O^+(M_0^\perp)$. In particular, the identity map $\Omega_{M_0^\perp} \rightarrow \Omega_{M^\perp}$ induces an isomorphism of orthogonal modular varieties $\mathcal{M}_{M_0} \cong \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}'}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}'} = \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$. Let $g \in \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}'}$. There exists an element $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}')$ such that $\sigma|_{M^\perp} = g$. Since the boundary $\partial\mathcal{K}'$ consists of the rays $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(3h + 2e)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(h + e)$ and since $\sigma|_M$ preserves $\partial\mathcal{K}'$, we have

$$(\sigma|_M)(3h + 2e) = 3h + 2e \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma|_M)(h + e) = h + e.$$

Hence $\sigma|_M = \text{id}_M$. Therefore $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ and $g = \sigma|_{M^\perp} \in \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$. Similarly we have $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}'} \supset \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$, which completes the proof. \square

Note that a birational transformation of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold preserves its period ([17, Proposition 25.14]). We have a natural map $\Psi : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}'}$ defined by

$$\Psi(Y, \sigma) = (\text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(Y^{[2]}), \text{elm}_{Y/\sigma}(\sigma^{[2]})) \quad ((Y, \sigma) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0}).$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M_0} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}'} \\ \bar{\pi}_{M_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow P_{M, \mathcal{K}'} \\ \mathcal{M}_{M_0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}'}. \end{array}$$

3 An invariant of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with anti-symplectic involution

3.1 Some fundamental properties of hyperkähler manifolds

Throughout this section, we fix an admissible sublattice M of L_2 and a Kähler-type chamber $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$.

Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . Choose $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ and $\theta \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Let $h_{X,0}$ be an ι -invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metric on X with Kähler form $\omega_{X,0}$. It is locally written as

$$\omega_{X,0} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} h_{X,0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \right) dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k.$$

The Riemannian metric associated with $h_{X,0}$ is denoted by g . The hermitian metric on $\wedge^{p,q} T^*X$ attached to the Ricci-flat Kähler metric is also denoted by $h_{X,0}$.

Let I be the complex structure of X . Since (X, g) is hyperkähler, there are complex structures J and K of X such that (X, I, g) , (X, J, g) and (X, K, g) are manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type and $IJ = -JI = K$. The Kähler forms with respect to J and K are given by

$$\omega_J = g(-, J(-)), \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_K = g(-, K(-)),$$

respectively. Set $\sigma_I = \omega_J + i\omega_K$. This is a holomorphic 2-form on X (cf. [17, §23]). Since $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = \mathbb{C}\eta$, there exists a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\eta = \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_I$. Note that the L^2 -norm of η^2 is given by

$$\|\eta^2\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_X h_{X,0}(\eta^2, \eta^2) \frac{\omega_{X,0}^4}{4!} = \int_X \eta^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2,$$

and the volume of $(X, \omega_{X,0})$ is defined by

$$\text{Vol}(X, \omega_{X,0}) = \int_X \frac{\omega_{X,0}^4}{4!}.$$

Since $\omega_{X,0}$ is Ricci-flat, it follows from [17, Corollary 23.9] that

$$|\lambda|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\eta^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2}{\omega_{X,0}^4/4!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|\eta^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\text{Vol}(X, \omega_{X,0})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, there exists a complex number $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta = \frac{\mu}{2} \bar{\sigma}_I$ in $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We identify the cohomology class θ with its harmonic representative. The L^2 -norm of θ^2 is given by

$$\|\theta^2\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_X h_{X,0}(\theta^2, \theta^2) \frac{\omega_{X,0}^4}{4!} = \int_X \theta^2 \wedge \bar{\theta}^2,$$

and we have

$$|\mu|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|\theta^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\text{Vol}(X, \omega_{X,0})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\alpha \in A^{1,1}(X)$, the following identity holds:*

$$h_{X,0}(\theta \wedge \alpha, \theta \wedge \alpha) = |\mu|^2 h_{X,0}(\alpha, \alpha).$$

Proof. Fix $p \in X$. Since (X, g) is hyperkähler, the real tangent space $T_{p,\mathbb{R}}X$ at p is equipped with the structure of a quaternionic hermitian vector space (see [17, §23.2]). Therefore, there exist two tangent vector $e, f \in T_{p,\mathbb{R}}X$ such that

$$e, Ie, Je, Ke, f, If, Jf, Kf$$

form an orthonormal basis of $(T_{p,\mathbb{R}}X, g)$. Set

$$v_1 = \frac{e - iIe}{2}, \quad v_2 = \frac{-Ke - iJe}{2}, \quad v_3 = \frac{f - iIf}{2}, \quad v_4 = \frac{-Kf - iJf}{2}.$$

Then v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 are of type $(1, 0)$ with respect to the complex structure I and form a \mathbb{C} -basis of the holomorphic tangent space T_pX at p . Moreover, we have

$$h_{X,0}(v_i, v_j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}.$$

Let v^1, v^2, v^3, v^4 be the dual basis. Then we have

$$h_{X,0}(v^i, v^j) = 2\delta_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_I = iv^1 \wedge v^2 + iv^3 \wedge v^4.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} v^i \wedge v^j \in \wedge^{1,1} T_p^* X$. Then we have

$$h_{X,0}(\bar{\sigma}_I \wedge \alpha, \bar{\sigma}_I \wedge \alpha) = 4 \sum_{i,j} |\alpha_{ij}|^2 = 4h_{X,0}(\alpha, \alpha),$$

which completes the proof. \square

3.2 Construction of an invariant

We now turn to the case where the ι -invariant Kähler metric h_X is not necessarily Ricci-flat.

The Kähler form attached to h_X is locally defined by

$$\omega_X = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} h_X \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \right) dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k,$$

where z^1, \dots, z^4 is a local coordinate on X . The volume of (X, ω_X) is defined by

$$\text{Vol}(X, \omega_X) = \int_X \frac{\omega_X^4}{4!}.$$

Set $\omega_{X^\iota} = \omega_X|_{X^\iota}$. This is a Kähler form on X^ι attached to $h_{X^\iota} = h_X|_{X^\iota}$. Recall that X^ι is a possibly disconnected compact complex surface. Let $X^\iota = \sqcup_i Z_i$ be the decomposition into the connected components. We define the volume of $(X^\iota, \omega_{X^\iota})$ by

$$\text{Vol}(X^\iota, \omega_{X^\iota}) = \prod_i \text{Vol}(Z_i, \omega_X|_{Z_i}) = \prod_i \int_{Z_i} \frac{(\omega_X|_{Z_i})^2}{2!}.$$

The covolume of the lattice $\text{Im}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{R}))$ with respect to the L^2 -metric induced from h_X is denoted by $\text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_{X^\iota})$. Namely,

$$\text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_{X^\iota}) = \det(\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_{L^2}),$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_{b_1(X^\iota)}$ is an integral basis of $\text{Im}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{R}))$.

We define a real-valued function φ on X^ι by

$$\varphi = \frac{\|\eta^2\|_{L^2}^2 \omega_X^4 / 4!}{\eta^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2 \text{Vol}(X, \omega_X)}.$$

Obviously, φ is independent of the choice of η . We define a positive number $A(X, \iota, h_X) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by

$$A(X, \iota, h_X) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{48} \int_{X^\iota} (\log \varphi) \Omega \right],$$

where Ω is a characteristic form on X^ι defined by

$$\Omega = c_1(TX^\iota, h_{X^\iota})^2 - 8c_2(TX^\iota, h_{X^\iota}) - c_1(TX, h_X)|_{X^\iota}^2 + 3c_2(TX, h_X)|_{X^\iota}.$$

Here we denote by $c_i(TX, h_X)$, $c_i(TX^\iota, h_{X^\iota})$ the i -th Chern form of the hermitian holomorphic vector bundles (TX, h_X) , (TX^ι, h_{X^ι}) , respectively. Note that if h_X is Ricci-flat, then we have $\varphi = 1$ and $A(X, \iota, h_X) = 1$.

Recall that $t = \text{Tr}(\iota^*|_{H^{1,1}(X)})$. By the definition of the admissible sublattice (M, ι_M) , we have $t = \text{Tr}(\iota_M) + 2$. Therefore t depends only on (M, ι_M) , and is independent of (X, ι) itself.

Let $\tau_\iota(\bar{\Omega}_X^1)$ be the equivariant analytic torsion of the cotangent bundle $\bar{\Omega}_X^1 = (\Omega_X^1, h_X)$ endowed with the hermitian metric induced from h_X , and let $\tau(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota})$ be the analytic torsion of the trivial bundle $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota}$ with respect to the canonical metric.

Definition 3.2. We define a real number $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ by

$$\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) = \tau_\iota(\bar{\Omega}_X^1) \text{Vol}(X, \omega_X)^{\frac{(t-1)(t-7)}{16}} A(X, \iota, h_X) \cdot \tau(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X^\iota})^{-2} \text{Vol}(X^\iota, \omega_{X^\iota})^{-2} \text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_{X^\iota}).$$

3.3 Properties of $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}$

Let \mathcal{X} be a complex manifold with holomorphic involution $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, let S be a complex manifold, and let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) .

The fixed locus of $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}^\iota = \{x \in \mathcal{X}; \iota(x) = x\}$. The restriction of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ to \mathcal{X}^ι is also denoted by $f : \mathcal{X}^\iota \rightarrow S$ and it is a family of smooth complex surfaces.

Let $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ be an ι -invariant fiberwise Kähler metric on $T\mathcal{X}/S$. For $s \in S$, the Kähler form associated with the Kähler metric $h_s = h_{\mathcal{X}/S}|_{X_s}$ is denoted by ω_s . We set $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \{\omega_s\}_{s \in S}$. Let $h_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}$ and h_N be the induced and quotient metric on $T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S$ and $N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}$, respectively. The ι -invariant hermitian metric on $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1$ induced from $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is also denoted by $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$.

Let $E(\pm 1)$ be the (± 1) -eigenbundle of the μ_2 -action of $T\mathcal{X}/S|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}$. They are holomorphic vector bundles on \mathcal{X}^ι , and the decomposition $T\mathcal{X}/S|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} = E(+1) \oplus E(-1)$ is orthogonal with respect to the metric $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. The restriction of $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ to $E(\pm 1)$ is denoted by h_\pm . Then we have two isometries

$$(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, h_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}) \cong (E(+1), h_+) \quad \text{and} \quad (N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}, h_N) \cong (E(-1), h_-). \quad (3.3)$$

Hence the following short exact sequence of holomorphic hermitian vector bundles splits

$$0 \rightarrow (T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, h_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}) \rightarrow (T\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S})|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} \rightarrow (N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}, h_N) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We set

$$\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S = (T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, h_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}), \quad \bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S = (T\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S}), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}} = (N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}, h_N).$$

Let

$$c_i(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S), \quad c_i(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S), \quad \text{and} \quad c_i(\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})$$

be their Chern forms, respectively.

Lemma 3.3. *The following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) &= -c_1(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + c_1(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}, \\ c_2(\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) &= c_1(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 - c_2(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) - c_1(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_1(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} + c_2(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the short exact sequence (3.4) splits, we have

$$c(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} = c(\bar{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c(\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}),$$

and this implies the statement. \square

We define a characteristic form $\Omega \in A^{2,2}(\mathcal{X}^\iota)$ by

$$\Omega = c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 - 8c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) - c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}^2 + 3c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *The following identities holds in $A^{3,3}(\mathcal{X}^\iota)$:*

$$\left[Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) \right]^{(3,3)} = 2 \left[Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \right]^{(3,3)} + \frac{1}{48} c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} \wedge \Omega.$$

Proof. By the construction of the equivariant Todd form (1.1) and by the isometries (3.3), we have

$$Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) = Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \det \left(\frac{I}{I + \exp(+\frac{R_-}{2\pi i})} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where R_- is the curvature form of $(N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}, h_N)$. By the isometries (3.3), $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1$ is the $(+1)$ -eigenbundle of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}$ and $N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}^\vee$ is the (-1) -eigenbundle of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}$. By the construction of the equivariant Chern character form (1.2), we have

$$ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) = ch(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) - ch(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}^\vee). \quad (3.6)$$

By the definition of Todd form and Chern form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + \frac{1}{12}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 + c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + \text{higher degree terms.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{4} + 0x^2 + \dots$, the following identity of functions of 2×2 matrices holds:

$$\det \left(\frac{I}{I + \exp(-A)} \right) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}c_1(A) + \frac{1}{16}c_2(A) + \text{higher degree terms.}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\det \left(\frac{I}{I + \exp(+\frac{R_-}{2\pi i})} \right) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) + \frac{1}{16}c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) + \text{higher degree terms.} \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)]^{(0,0)} &= \frac{1}{4}, \\ [Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)]^{(1,1)} &= \frac{1}{8}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\}, \\ [Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)]^{(2,2)} &= \frac{1}{48}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 + c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + 3c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) + 3c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) &= 2 - c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + \frac{1}{2}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 - 2c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^3 - 3c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)\} + \text{higher degree terms}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}^\vee) &= 2 - c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) + \frac{1}{2}\{c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})^2 - 2c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}\{c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})^3 - 3c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\} + \text{higher degree terms} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.6), (3.10), (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(0,0)} &= 0, \\ [ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} &= -c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) + c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}), \\ [ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(2,2)} &= \frac{1}{2}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 - 2c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) - c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})^2 + 2c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\}, \\ [ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(3,3)} &= -\frac{1}{6}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^3 - 3c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \\ &\quad - c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})^3 + 3c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Combining the formulas (3.9) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(3,3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{48}\{c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) - c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})^3 - c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \\ &\quad + 3c_1(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) + 3c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})c_2(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) - 5c_1(\overline{N}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}})c_2(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 and by (3.7), we obtain the desired formula. \square

Consider the direct image sheaf $f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ of the relative canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. This is a holomorphic line bundle on S and is equipped with the L^2 -metric h_{L^2} . On the other hand, $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is equipped with the hermitian metric h induced from the fiberwise Kähler metric $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. We define a smooth function φ on S by

$$\varphi = \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^4/4!}{\eta^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2} \frac{\|\eta^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S})},$$

where η is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section of $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2$. Then φ is independent of the choice of η . The evaluation map $f^*f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S} \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is an isomorphism and we have

$$c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h) = f^*c_1(f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + dd^c \log \varphi + dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \quad (3.13)$$

in $A^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}^\iota)$. We define a function $A(\mathcal{X}/S)$ on S by

$$A(\mathcal{X}/S)(s) = A(X_s, \iota_s, h_s) \quad (s \in S).$$

Lemma 3.5. *The following identity holds:*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} \Omega = -3(t^2 + 7)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} c_1(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2 = t^2 - 1, \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} c_2(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) = \frac{t^2 + 23}{2}. \quad (3.14)$$

Since the canonical bundle of a irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold is trivial, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} c_1(T\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}^2 = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Since a holomorphic symplectic form induces an isomorphism $N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}} \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1$, we have $c_1(N_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathcal{X}}) = -c_1(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $c_2(T\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} = 2c_2(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) - c_1(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)^2$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} c_2(T\mathcal{X}/S)|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} = 24. \quad (3.16)$$

By (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), we have the desired result. \square

Let f_* be the integration along the fibers of $f : \mathcal{X}^\iota \rightarrow S$.

Proposition 3.6. *The following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[f_* \left(Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}/S) ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) \right) \right]^{(1,1)} \\ &= 2 \left[f_* Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \right]^{(1,1)} - dd^c \log A(\mathcal{X}/S) \\ & \quad + \frac{t^2 + 7}{16} c_1(f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \frac{t^2 + 7}{16} dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 and by the formula (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}/S)ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) \right]^{(3,3)} &= 2 \left[Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \right]^{(3,3)} - \frac{1}{48} f^* c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2})|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} \wedge \Omega \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} dd^c(\log \varphi) \Omega - \frac{1}{48} dd^c(\log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S})) \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

By the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[f_* \left(Td_\iota(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}/S)ch_\iota(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) \right) \right]^{(1,1)} &= 2 \left[f_* Td(\overline{T}\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \right]^{(1,1)} - \frac{1}{48} \int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} dd^c(\log \varphi) \Omega \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} \Omega \right) c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) - \frac{1}{48} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S} \Omega \right) dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, the proof is completed. \square

Recall that each fiber X of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type. By [18, Main Theorem], we have

$$h^{1,q}(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & (q = 0, 2, 4) \\ 21 & (q = 1, 3) \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

By [17, Proposition 24.1], the homomorphism

$$H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^3(X, \Omega_X^1), \quad \alpha \otimes \theta \mapsto \alpha \wedge \theta$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore we have an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles

$$R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1 \otimes R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1. \quad (3.18)$$

For $q = 1, 3$, let $E(\pm 1, R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$ be the (± 1) -eigenbundle of the μ_2 -action of $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1$. By the definition of t , we have

$$\text{rank } E(\pm 1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) = \text{rank } E(\mp 1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) = \frac{21 \pm t}{2}.$$

Since ι is fiberwise antisymplectic, we deduce from (3.18) the following isomorphism

$$E(\pm 1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) \otimes R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow E(\mp 1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1).$$

We denote by h_\pm the hermitian metrics on $E(\pm 1, R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$ induced from the L^2 -metric h_{L^2} on $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1$ and set $R^q f_* \overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^p = (R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^p, h_{L^2})$ and $\overline{E}(\pm 1, R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1) = (E(\pm 1, R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1), h_\pm)$.

Lemma 3.7. *The following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &c_1(\overline{E}(\pm 1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) - c_1(\overline{E}(\mp 1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) \\ &= -\frac{21 \pm t}{4} c_1(R^4 f_* \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}) - \frac{21 \pm t}{4} dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1(\bar{E}(+1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) - c_1(\bar{E}(-1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) \\ &= -\frac{21+t}{4} c_1(R^4 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}) - \frac{21+t}{4} dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

The other identity can be shown in the same manner. Set $N = \frac{21+t}{2}$. Let s_1, \dots, s_N be a local holomorphic frame of $E(+1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$. Then $s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_N$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\det E(+1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$. Let θ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $s_1 \wedge \theta, \dots, s_N \wedge \theta$ are local holomorphic frame of $E(-1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$ and $(s_1 \wedge \theta) \wedge \dots \wedge (s_N \wedge \theta)$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\det E(-1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)$.

It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\log \|(s_1 \wedge \theta) \wedge \dots \wedge (s_N \wedge \theta)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \log \|\theta^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \log \|s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_N\|_{L^2}^2 + \log \left(2^N \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S})^{\frac{N}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We may assume that S consists of one point, and we set $X = \mathcal{X}$.

Since the L^2 -metrics on $H^q(X, \Omega_X^1)$ ($q = 1, 3$) and $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ depend only on the choice of the Kähler class $[\omega_X]$ and are independent of the Kähler form ω_X itself, we may assume that $\omega_X = \omega_{X,0}$ is Ricci-flat.

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$h_{X,0}(\theta \wedge \alpha, \theta \wedge \alpha) = |\mu|^2 h_{X,0}(\alpha, \alpha)$$

for each $\alpha \in A^{1,1}(X)$. By integrating both sides, we have

$$\|\theta \wedge \alpha\|_{L^2}^2 = |\mu|^2 \|\alpha\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore we have an isometry

$$(\det H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)_+, |\mu|^{2N} \det h_+) \cong (\det H^3(X, \Omega_X^1)_-, \det h_-).$$

By the formula (3.2), we obtain the formula (3.19). \square

Proposition 3.8. *The following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch_\iota(R^q f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} = -\frac{t}{2} c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \frac{t}{2} dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 and by (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch_\iota(R^q f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} &= - [ch_\iota(R^1 f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} - [ch_\iota(R^3 f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} \\ &= -c_1(\bar{E}(+1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) + c_1(\bar{E}(-1, R^1 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) \\ &\quad - c_1(\bar{E}(+1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) + c_1(\bar{E}(-1, R^3 f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)) \\ &= \frac{t}{2} c_1(R^4 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}) + \frac{t}{2} dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

By the Serre duality, we have

$$c_1(R^4 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}) = -c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}),$$

and we obtain the desired formula. \square

We define the characteristic form $\omega_{H^1(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)} \in A^{1,1}(S)$ by

$$\omega_{H^1(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)} = c_1(f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1, h_{L^2}) - c_1(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}, h_{L^2}) - 2c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}, h_{L^2}).$$

We define a function $\text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})$ on S by

$$\text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})(s) = \text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^1(X_s^\iota, \mathbb{Z}), \omega_s|_{X_s^\iota}).$$

Proposition 3.9. *The following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch(R^q f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota})]^{(1,1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} dd^c \log \{ \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})^2 \text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})^{-1} \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{H^1(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$c_1(f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}) = -dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}), \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(R^2 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}) = -c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}, h_{L^2}). \quad (3.20)$$

Moreover, since

$$c_1(f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) + c_1(R^1 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}) = c_1(R^1 f_* \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S, h_{L^2}) = -dd^c \log \text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}),$$

we have

$$c_1(R^1 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}) = \frac{1}{2} c_1(R^1 f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}) - \frac{1}{2} c_1(f_* \bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) - \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log \text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}). \quad (3.21)$$

By the formulas (3.20) and (3.21), the proof is completed. \square

We show that a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) is locally projective. For the proof, we follow [32, Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 5.6].

Lemma 3.10. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds and let $\sigma \in H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Z})$. If $\sigma(s) = \sigma|_{X_s} \in H^{1,1}(X_s, \mathbb{R})$ for each $s \in S$, then we have $\sigma \in \text{Im}(H^0(S, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) \rightarrow H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Z}))$.*

Proof. We may assume that S is a polydisk. Since $h^2(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) = 1$ for each $s \in S$, $R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an invertible sheaf and we may regard $R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ as a holomorphic line bundle on S . Since any holomorphic line bundle on the polydisk S is trivial, there exists a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section $\xi \in H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ such that

$$R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_S \cdot \xi \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) = \mathbb{C}\xi|_{X_s} \quad (s \in S).$$

The exponential sequence on \mathcal{X}

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^* \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence:

$$H^0(S, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}). \quad (3.22)$$

Since $\psi(\sigma) \in H^0(S, R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$, there exists a holomorphic function F on S such that $\psi(\sigma) = F \cdot \xi$. By our assumption of $\sigma|_{X_s} \in H^{1,1}(X_s, \mathbb{R})$,

$$0 = \psi(\sigma)|_{X_s} = F(s) \cdot \xi|_{X_s} \quad (s \in S).$$

Therefore $F = 0$ and $\sigma \in \text{Ker } \psi$. By the exact sequence (3.22), we have $\sigma \in \text{Im } \phi$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . Then $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is locally projective.*

Proof. Fix a point $s \in S$. By [21, Proposition 4.4.(iv)], there exists a μ_2 -equivariant ample line bundle L_s on X_s . We may assume that S is a polydisk. Choose an isomorphism $\alpha : R^2 f_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow L_{2,S}$ such that for $s \in S$, $\alpha_s : H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is an admissible marking for (M, \mathcal{K}) . Then we have $\alpha_s(c_1(L_s)) \in M$. If S is sufficiently small, it follows from Lemma 3.10 that there exists a holomorphic line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{L}|_{X_s} = L_s$. Since ampleness is an open condition, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset S$ of s such that $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ is relatively ample. \square

In particular, a family $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) is locally Kähler .

Theorem 3.12. *We define a real-valued function $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/S}$ on S by*

$$\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/S}(s) = \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X_s, \iota_s) \quad (s \in S).$$

Then $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/S}$ is smooth and satisfies

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/S} = \frac{(t+1)(t+7)}{16} c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \omega_{H^1(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [f_*(Td_\iota(T\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S})ch_\iota(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1, h_{\mathcal{X}/S}^*))]^{(1,1)} \\ &= 2[f_*Td(T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, h_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})]^{(1,1)} + dd^c \log A(\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \\ & \quad + \frac{t^2+7}{16}c_1(f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \frac{t^2+7}{16}dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch_\iota(R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1)]^{(1,1)} = -\frac{t}{2}c_1(f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \frac{t}{2}dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}).$$

Therefore, by the curvature formula for the equivariant Quillen metric (Theorem 1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \log \tau_\iota(\bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^1) &= 2[f_*Td(\bar{T}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})]^{(1,1)} + dd^c \log A(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \\ & \quad + \frac{(t+7)(t+1)}{16}c_1(f_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, h_{L^2}) + \frac{(t-7)(t-1)}{16}dd^c \log \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q [ch(R^q f_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota})]^{(1,1)} &= \frac{1}{2}dd^c \log \{ \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})^{-2} \text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}) \} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{H^\cdot(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the curvature formula of the Quillen metric (Theorem 1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f_*Td(\bar{T}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})]^{(1,1)} &= \frac{1}{2}dd^c \log \{ \tau(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^\iota})^{-2} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})^{-2} \text{Vol}_{L^2}(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}) \} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{H^\cdot(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By (3.23) and (3.24) and by the definition of $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}$, we obtain the desired formula. \square

Lemma 3.13. *The form $\omega_{H^\cdot(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)}$ is independent of the choice of an ι -invariant fiber-wise Kähler metric $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$.*

Proof. Set

$$\lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) = \bigotimes_{p, q} \left(\det R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^p \right)^{(-1)^{p+q} p},$$

and

$$\lambda_{dR}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) = \bigotimes_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\det R^k f_* \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \right)^{(-1)^k k}.$$

Then $\lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)$ is a holomorphic line bundle on S , and $\lambda_{dR}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)$ is a flat holomorphic line bundle on S . Moreover there exists a canonical isomorphism of smooth line bundles

$$\lambda_{dR}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \cong \lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S) \otimes \overline{\lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)} \quad (3.25)$$

induced by Hodge decomposition.

For a sufficiently small open subset $U \subset S$, let $\tau \in H^0(U, \lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S))$ be a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section of $\lambda(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)$ on U , and $\tau_0 \in \Gamma(U, \lambda_{dR}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S))$ be a non-zero flat section of $\lambda_{dR}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)$ on U . By the isomorphism (3.25), there is a smooth function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tau_0 = e^f \tau \otimes \bar{\tau}.$$

Note that the 2-form $dd^c \operatorname{Re} f$ is independent of the choice of the sections τ and τ_0 . The Hodge form ω_H is a real smooth differential form on S of type $(1, 1)$ defined by

$$\omega_H|_U = dd^c \operatorname{Re} f$$

on U . By construction, this is independent of the choice of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$.

By [35, 1.2.], the following formula holds:

$$\omega_H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq p, q \leq 2} (-1)^{p+q} (p-q) c_1(R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}^p, h_{L^2}) = \omega_{H \cdot (\mathcal{X}^\iota/S)},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . Then $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ is independent of the choice of an ι -invariant Kähler metric. In particular, it is an invariant of (X, ι) .*

Proof. We follow [32, Theorem 5.7]. We regard $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $h_{X,0}, h_{X,\infty}$ be two ι -invariant Kähler metrics on X . Set $\mathcal{X} = X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection. For each $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we define a ι_z -invariant Kähler metric h_z on the fiber X_z by

$$h_z = \frac{1}{|z|^2 + 1} h_{X,0} + \frac{|z|^2}{|z|^2 + 1} h_{X,\infty}.$$

We may regard $(h_z)_{z \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ as an ι -invariant fiberwise Kähler metric on $T\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1$.

Since the family $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ does not change the complex structures, we have $c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}, h_{L^2}) = \omega_{H \cdot (\mathcal{X}^\iota/\mathbb{P}^1)} = 0$. By Proposition 3.12, we have $dd^c \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1} = 0$, and $\log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is a pluriharmonic function on \mathbb{P}^1 . Since \mathbb{P}^1 is compact, it is constant and $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}(0) = \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}(\infty)$. Thus $\tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}(X, \iota)$ is independent of the choice of an ι -invariant Kähler metric. \square

By Lemma 2.20, the image of the period map $P_{M,\mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $p \in \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$, and we define a real number $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(p)$ by*

$$\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(p) = \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) \quad ((X, \iota) \in P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^{-1}(p)).$$

Then $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(p)$ is well-defined. Namely, it is independent of the choice of $(X, \iota) \in P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^{-1}(p)$.

Proof. Let $(X, \iota), (X', \iota') \in P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^{-1}(p)$, and let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ and $\pi' : (\mathcal{X}', \iota') \rightarrow \text{Def}(X', \iota')$ be the Kuranishi families, respectively. By Lemma 2.22, there exist sequences $\{p_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\text{Def}(X, \iota)$ and $\{p'_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\text{Def}(X', \iota')$ such that $\pi^{-1}(p_m) \cong \pi'^{-1}(p'_m)$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $p_m \rightarrow p, p'_m \rightarrow p$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore we have

$$\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X, \iota) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X} / \text{Def}(X, \iota)}(p_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}' / \text{Def}(X', \iota')}(p'_m) = \tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}(X', \iota'),$$

which completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 3.15, we obtain a real-valued smooth function $\tau_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$.

Fix a vector $l \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $l^2 \geq 0$. Recall that $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ is a bounded symmetric domain of type IV. The Bergman metric $\omega_{\Omega_{M^\perp}^+}$ on $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ is defined by

$$\omega_{\Omega_{M^\perp}^+}([\eta]) = -dd^c \log B_{M^\perp}([\eta]) \quad ([\eta] \in \Omega_{M^\perp}^+), \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$B_{M^\perp}([\eta]) = \frac{(\eta, \bar{\eta})}{|(\eta, l)^2|} \quad ([\eta] \in \Omega_{M^\perp}^+).$$

Since $\omega_{\Omega_{M^\perp}^+}$ is $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ -invariant, it induces an orbifold Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$.

Lemma 3.16. *There exists a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form $\sigma_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}^\circ$ such that for any $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ we have*

$$P_{M,\mathcal{K}}^* \sigma_{M,\mathcal{K}} = \omega_{H \cdot (\mathcal{X} / \text{Def}(X, \iota))},$$

where $P_{M,\mathcal{K}} : \text{Def}(X, \iota) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ is the period map of the Kuranishi family $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ of (X, ι) .

Proof. Let $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ and let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ be the Kuranishi family. Fix an isomorphism $\alpha : R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow L_{2, \text{Def}(X, \iota)}$ such that for each $s \in \text{Def}(X, \iota)$, $\alpha_s : H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_2$ is an admissible marking for (M, \mathcal{K}) . The period map $P_{M,\mathcal{K}} : \text{Def}(X, \iota) \rightarrow \Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ is defined by

$$P_{M,\mathcal{K}}(s) = \alpha_s(H^{2,0}(X_s)) \quad (s \in \text{Def}(X, \iota)).$$

By the local Torelli theorem, we may assume that $P_{M,\mathcal{K}}$ is an isomorphism onto its image. We set $\text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha) = P_{M,\mathcal{K}}(\text{Def}(X, \iota))$ and we identify $\text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha)$ with $\text{Def}(X, \iota)$.

It suffices to show that $\{\omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha))\}_{(X,\iota,\alpha)}$ patch together to a smooth $(1,1)$ -form τ on $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}$ and that τ is $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ -invariant.

Let (X', ι', α') be another triple and its Kuranishi family is denoted by $\pi' : (\mathcal{X}', \iota') \rightarrow \text{Def}(X', \iota', \alpha')$. We assume that $\text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha) \cap \text{Def}(X', \iota', \alpha') \neq \emptyset$. Set $U = \text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha) \cap \text{Def}(X', \iota', \alpha')$ and $U^\circ = U \setminus \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Here $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ -invariant effective reduced divisor on $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+$ defined in Theorem 2.21. By Theorem 2.21, $\pi : (\pi^{-1}(U^\circ), \iota|_{\pi^{-1}(U^\circ)}) \rightarrow U^\circ$ and $\pi' : ((\pi')^{-1}(U^\circ), \iota'|_{{(\pi')^{-1}(U^\circ)}}) \rightarrow U^\circ$ are isomorphic. By Lemma 3.13,

$$\omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha))|_{U^\circ} = \omega_{H^\cdot}((\mathcal{X}')^\iota/\text{Def}(X',\iota',\alpha'))|_{U^\circ}.$$

Since U° is dense in U and since both $\omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha))$ and $\omega_{H^\cdot}((\mathcal{X}')^\iota/\text{Def}(X',\iota',\alpha'))$ are smooth, we have

$$\omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha))|_U = \omega_{H^\cdot}((\mathcal{X}')^\iota/\text{Def}(X',\iota',\alpha'))|_U.$$

Since $\{\text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha)\}$ is an open covering of $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}$, there exists a smooth $(1,1)$ -form τ on $\Omega_{M^\perp}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}$ such that

$$\tau|_{\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)} = \omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha))$$

for each (X, ι, α) .

For $\gamma \in \Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$, there exists $g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\gamma = g|_{M^\perp}$. Since

$$\gamma^* \omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)) = \omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,g^{-1}\circ\alpha)),$$

the form τ is $\Gamma_{M^\perp, \mathcal{K}}$ -invariant. Therefore τ induces a smooth $(1,1)$ -form $\sigma_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. \square

Theorem 3.17. *The following equation of differential forms on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$ holds:*

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/S} = \frac{(t+1)(t+7)}{8} \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}} + \sigma_{M, \mathcal{K}}.$$

Proof. Let (X, ι, α) be as in Lemma 3.16. Applying Theorem 3.12 to the Kuranishi family $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha)$, the following equation on $\text{Def}(X, \iota, \alpha)$ holds:

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X}/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)} = \frac{(t+1)(t+7)}{16} c_1(\pi_* K_{\mathcal{X}/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)}, h_{L^2}) + \omega_{H^\cdot}(\mathcal{X}^\iota/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)).$$

By (3.26), we have $c_1(\pi_* K_{\mathcal{X}/\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)}, h_{L^2}) = 2\omega_{\Omega_{M^\perp}^+}|_{\text{Def}(X,\iota,\alpha)}$. By Lemma 3.16, we obtain the desired equation. \square

Remark 3.18. Let (X, ι) be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type with involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) and let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a deformation of (X, ι) . Consider the equivariant analytic torsion $\tau_\iota(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}})$ of trivial line bundle on \mathcal{X} with the canonical metric. In the same manner as in this subsection §3.3., we have

$$dd^c \log \{ \tau_\iota(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}) A_0(\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \} = 0,$$

where $A_0(\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ is a function on S defined by

$$A_0(\mathcal{X}/S, h_{\mathcal{X}/S})(s) = A_0(X_s, \iota_s, h_s) := \exp \left[\frac{1}{96} \int_{X_s^\iota} \log \left\{ \frac{\omega_s^4/4!}{\eta_s^2 \wedge \bar{\eta}_s^2} \frac{\|\eta_s^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\text{Vol}(X_s, \omega_s)} \right\} \Omega_0|_{X_s^\iota} \right],$$

$$\Omega_0 = c_1(\overline{T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})^2 - 2c_2(\overline{T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S}) - c_1(\overline{T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota} + 3c_2(\overline{T\mathcal{X}^\iota/S})|_{\mathcal{X}^\iota}.$$

Therefore $\tau_\iota(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}})$ does not induce an interesting invariant which reflects the complex structure of a $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifold with antisymplectic involution.

Similarly, consider the equivariant analytic torsion $\tau_\iota(\overline{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2})$ of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2$ on \mathcal{X} with the μ_2 -invariant hermitian metric induced from the fiberwise Kähler metric $h_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. In the same manner as in this subsection §3.3., we have

$$dd^c \log \tau_\iota(\overline{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\tau_\iota(\overline{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2})$ does not induce an interesting invariant which reflects the complex structure of a $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifold with antisymplectic involution.

It is very likely that $\tau_\iota(\overline{\mathcal{O}_X})A_0(X, \iota, h_X)$ and $\tau_\iota(\overline{\Omega_X^2})$ are constant functions on the space $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. These are the reason why we consider the equivariant analytic torsion $\tau_\iota(\overline{\Omega_X^1})$ of holomorphic cotangent bundle with μ_2 -invariant hermitian metric induced from the fiberwise Kähler metric h_X .

As an application of this invariant, we show the isotriviality of families of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution. We assume the following properties of (M, \mathcal{K}) :

- $t \neq -1, -7$.
- Each manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type (X, ι) with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) satisfies $q(X^\iota) = p_g(X^\iota) = 0$.

Here $q(X^\iota) = \dim H^1(X^\iota, \mathcal{O}_{X^\iota})$ be the irregularity of X^ι , and $p_g(X^\iota) = \dim H^2(X^\iota, \mathcal{O}_{X^\iota})$ be the geometric genus of X^ι .

Example 3.19. Let $M_0 = U(2) \oplus E_8(2)$ or $\Lambda_k(2)^\perp$ ($k = 0, \dots, 9$), where

$$\Lambda_k = I_2 \oplus -I_{10-k} \quad (k \neq 8), \quad \Lambda_8 = I_2 \oplus -I_2 \text{ or } U \oplus U \quad (k = 8).$$

By [27, Theorem 4.2.2.], the fixed locus Y^σ of a 2-elementary K3 surface (Y, σ) of type M_0 is the empty set or consists of smooth rational curves. Let $M = M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$ and let $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KT}(M)$ be a natural chamber. Then (M, \mathcal{K}) satisfies the above assumption.

Theorem 3.20. *Suppose that (M, \mathcal{K}) satisfies the above assumption. Then there exists no irreducible projective curve on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. Moreover if $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ is a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) and S is compact, then f is isotrivial. Namely, any two fibers of f are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $(X, \iota) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ and let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow \text{Def}(X, \iota)$ be the Kuranishi family. Since $q(X_s^\iota) = p_g(X_s^\iota) = 0$ for each fiber (X_s, ι_s) , we have $\omega_{H^1(\mathcal{X}^\iota / \text{Def}(X, \iota))} = 0$. By the construction of $\sigma_{M, \mathcal{K}}$, we have $\sigma_{M, \mathcal{K}} = 0$ on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. By Theorem 3.17, we have the formula

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}} = \frac{(t+1)(t+7)}{8} \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}}. \quad (3.27)$$

on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}}$ is a positive form, $-\frac{8}{(t+1)(t+7)} \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$.

Suppose that there is an irreducible projective curve C on $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. Then the function $\left(-\frac{8}{(t+1)(t+7)} \log \tau_{M, \mathcal{K}}\right)|_C$ is a subharmonic function on C . By the maximal principle, it is a constant function, which contradicts the formula (3.27) since $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}}$ is Kähler.

Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \iota) \rightarrow S$ be a family of $K3^{[2]}$ -type manifolds with antisymplectic involution of type (M, \mathcal{K}) . Assume that S is compact. The period map $P_{M, \mathcal{K}} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$ is a proper holomorphic map. By Remmert proper mapping theorem, its image $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(S)$ is an analytic subset of the quasi-projective variety $\mathcal{M}_{M, \mathcal{K}}^\circ$. Suppose that f is not isotrivial. Then $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}$ is not a constant map and $P_{M, \mathcal{K}}(S)$ contains an irreducible projective curve. This contradicts to the first statements. \square

References

- [1] W. L. Baily, Jr. and A. Borel. Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **84**:442–528, 1966.
- [2] A. Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, **18**(4):755–782, 1983.
- [3] A. Beauville. Antisymplectic involutions of holomorphic symplectic manifolds. *J. Topol.*, **4**(2):300–304, 2011.
- [4] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa. Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **165**(2):311–427, 1994.
- [5] J.-M. Bismut. Equivariant immersions and Quillen metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, **41**(1):53–157, 1995.
- [6] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. I. Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **115**(1):49–78, 1988.
- [7] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. II. Direct images and Bott-Chern forms. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **115**(1):79–126, 1988.

- [8] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. III. Quillen metrics on holomorphic determinants. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **115**(2):301–351, 1988.
- [9] C. Borcea. Diffeomorphisms of a $K3$ surface. *Math. Ann.*, **275**(1):1–4, 1986.
- [10] X. Dai and K.-I. Yoshikawa. Analytic torsion for log-Enriques surfaces and Borchers product. *Forum Math. Sigma*, **10**:Paper No. e77, 54, 2022.
- [11] D. Eriksson, G. Freixas i Montplet, and C. Mourougane. BCOV invariants of Calabi-Yau manifolds and degenerations of Hodge structures. *Duke Math. J.*, **170**(3):379–454, 2021.
- [12] D. Eriksson, G. Freixas i Montplet, and C. Mourougane. On genus one mirror symmetry in higher dimensions and the BCOV conjectures. *Forum Math. Pi*, **10**:Paper No. e19, 53, 2022.
- [13] H. Fang, Z. Lu, and K.-I. Yoshikawa. Analytic torsion for Calabi-Yau threefolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, **80**(2):175–259, 2008.
- [14] B. Fu and Y. Namikawa. Uniqueness of crepant resolutions and symplectic singularities. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **54**(1):1–19, 2004.
- [15] L. Fu and Y. Zhang. Motivic integration and birational invariance of BCOV invariants. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, **29**(2):Paper No. 25, 41, 2023.
- [16] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem. *Invent. Math.*, **110**(3):473–543, 1992.
- [17] M. Gross, D. Huybrechts, and D. Joyce. *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001.
- [18] D. Guan. On the Betti numbers of irreducible compact hyperkähler manifolds of complex dimension four. *Math. Res. Lett.*, **8**(5-6):663–669, 2001.
- [19] D. Imai. Analytic torsion for irreducible holomorphic symplectic fourfolds with involution, II. *in preparation*.
- [20] D. Imai. Analytic torsion for irreducible holomorphic symplectic fourfolds with involution, III. *in preparation*.
- [21] M. Joumaah. Non-symplectic involutions of irreducible symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$ -type. *Math. Z.*, **283**(3-4):761–790, 2016.
- [22] K. Köhler and D. Roessler. A fixed point formula of Lefschetz type in Arakelov geometry. I. Statement and proof. *Invent. Math.*, **145**(2):333–396, 2001.

- [23] S. Kondō. *K3 surfaces*, volume 32 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. EMS Publishing House, Berlin, 2020.
- [24] X. Ma. Submersions and equivariant Quillen metrics. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **50**(5):1539–1588, 2000.
- [25] E. Markman. A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In *Complex and differential geometry*, volume 8 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 257–322. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [26] V. V. Nikulin. Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications. *Math. USSR Izv.*, **14**:103–167, 1980.
- [27] V. V. Nikulin. Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections. *J. Soviet Math.*, **22**:1401–1476, 1983.
- [28] H. Ohashi and M. Wandel. Non-natural non-symplectic involutions on symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$ -type. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.6353*, 2013.
- [29] D. Quillen. Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators on Riemann surfaces. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **14**:31–34, 1985.
- [30] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer. Analytic torsion for complex manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **98**:154–177, 1973.
- [31] S.-T. Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31**(3):339–411, 1978.
- [32] K.-I. Yoshikawa. $K3$ surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space. *Invent. Math.*, **156**(1):53–117, 2004.
- [33] K.-I. Yoshikawa. $K3$ surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space, II: A structure theorem for $r(M) > 10$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 677:15–70, 2013.
- [34] K.-I. Yoshikawa. Analytic torsion for Borcea-Voisin threefolds. In *Geometry, analysis and probability*, volume **310** of *Progress in Math.*, pages 279–361. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [35] Y. Zhang. An extension of BCOV invariant. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (8):5973–6018, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: imaike.dai.22s@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp