

# DISTRIBUTIONS AND LEGENDRIAN FOLIATIONS IN DIMENSION 3

MAYCOL FALLA LUZA AND RUDY ROSAS

**ABSTRACT.** We study the field of rational first integrals of distributions. We show that for a distribution on 3 dimensional manifolds there exists a tangent vector field with the same field of first integrals. We also show a similar result for integrable distributions in any dimension.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a projective manifold. If  $X$  is a rational vector field on  $M$ , a rational first integral of  $X$  is a rational function in  $M$  that is constant along the integral curves of  $X$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  the field of rational first integrals of  $X$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a singular holomorphic distribution on  $M$ , we say that  $h$  is a rational first integral of  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $h \in \mathbb{C}(X)$  for all rational vector field  $X$  tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$  the field of rational first integrals of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In the case of distributions on affine manifolds, the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}]$  of polynomial first integrals has been studied by several authors, see for example [2], [4], [5]. For instance, Nowicki shows in [5] the existence of a vector field  $X$  such that  $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}]$ . P. Bonnet refines Nowicki's result and shows in [2] that  $X$  can be found being tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$ . A natural question – also posed by Bonnet in his paper – is to find an analogous result for rational first integrals: given a singular holomorphic distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $M$ , is it possible to find a rational vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$ ? The question is quite addressable when the distribution is integrable, as we show as a first result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a projective manifold and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an integrable singular holomorphic distribution on  $M$ . Then there is a rational vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$ .*

As the main result of the paper, we give a positive answer to the question in dimension 3.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a projective manifold of dimension 3 and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a codimension one holomorphic distribution on  $M$ . Then there is a rational vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$ .*

It is worth mentioning that in [3] it is proven a similar result in the case of distributions with separated variables.

In view of Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 2 will be restricted to the case where  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable. This happens, for example, when  $\mathcal{D}$  is a contact structure. In this case, the leaves of  $X$  are holomorphic Legendrian curves of  $\mathcal{D}$ . For this reason, given a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  in dimension three, the vector fields that are tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$

will be called Legendrian vector fields. Analogously, the one-dimensional singular holomorphic foliations that are tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$  will be called Legendrian foliations.

The paper is organized as follows: Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2. The remaining sections are devoted to the proof of Theorem 2, for which we assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $p = \dim \mathcal{D} \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the singular holomorphic foliation defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Take a generic point  $x \in M$  and let  $L$  be the leaf of  $\mathcal{G}$  passing through  $x$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_x$  the sheaf of sections of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  vanishing at  $x$ , thus  $T_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_x$  is the sheaf of vector fields tangent to  $\mathcal{G}$  and vanishing at  $x$ . Taking local coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  around  $x = 0$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined by  $dz_{p+1} = \dots = dz_n = 0$  we see that  $\text{Hom}(T_x L, T_x L) \simeq M_p(\mathbb{C})$ . Identifying this space with the skyscraper sheaf which has this vector space as its stalk at  $x$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_x^2 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_x \rightarrow M_p(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the image of the last map is obtained by taking the linear part of the local vector fields at the singular point  $x$ . Take now  $\mathcal{L}$  an ample line bundle and  $k > 0$  so big that  $H^1(M, T_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_x^2 \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) = 0$ . Therefore the associated map

$$H^0(M, T_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) \rightarrow M_p(\mathbb{C})$$

is surjective. Then we can choose a vector field  $X$  tangent to  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $X|_L$  has an isolated singularity at  $x$  and a very generic linear part

$$DX|_L(p) = (\mu_1 z_1, \dots, \mu_d z_d, 0, \dots, 0).$$

In particular  $X|_L$  is locally linearizable (see [1, p. 183]) and has no non-constant meromorphic first integral. By taking  $L_t$  a leaf of  $\mathcal{G}$  close to  $L$  we have the same for  $X|_{L_t}$ . So if  $h \in \mathbb{C}(X)$  then  $h|_{L_t}$  must be constant and so  $h \in \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$ .

## 3. LIFTING ONE-DIMENSIONAL FOLIATIONS

The Legendrian foliation announced in Theorem 2 will be constructed as a lifting of a suitable foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , in the sense of the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be projective manifolds of dimension  $m$  and  $n$  respectively and let  $\Pi : M \dashrightarrow N$  be a dominant rational map. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a singular holomorphic distribution of dimension  $n$  on  $M$ , generically transverse to the fibers of  $\Pi$ . Then, given a one-dimensional singular holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $N$ , there is a unique Legendrian holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  on  $M$  such that  $d\Pi(T_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}}) = T_{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in M$  be a generic point and let  $q = \pi(p) \in N$ . Then

$$\dim d\Pi(p)^{-1}(T_{\mathcal{F}}(q)) = m - n + 1$$

and so, by transversality,  $\mathcal{D}(p) \cap d\Pi(p)^{-1}(T_{\mathcal{F}}(q))$  will be a one dimensional subspace of  $T_p M$ , defining our desired foliation.  $\square$

From now on, we focus in the case  $m = 3$ ,  $N = \mathbb{P}^2$ . At a generic point  $\mathfrak{m} \in M$  we can find local holomorphic coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ , such that  $\Pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$ , where  $(x, y)$  are affine coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generic, we can assume that

$\mathcal{D}$  is transverse to  $\Pi^{-1}(0)$  at the origin, whence  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined near the origin by a holomorphic 1-form

$$\Omega = dz - P(x, y, z)dx - Q(x, y, z)dy.$$

Up to a change of coordinates of the form  $z \mapsto z + ax + by$ , we have that  $P(0) = Q(0)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable, we can suppose that  $\Omega \wedge d\Omega \neq 0$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ , which means that  $Q_x(0) - P_y(0) \neq 0$ . In this case, a further change of coordinates of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (\nu x, \nu y)$  allows us to assume that  $Q_x(0) - P_y(0) = 1$ .

A singular holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is defined by a polynomial vector field

$$X = A(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Thus, the lifting  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  is defined in the local coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  by the holomorphic vector field

$$X^{\mathcal{D}} = A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (AP + BQ)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

In the next section we will choose the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  that will be lifted in order to prove our main result.

#### 4. SELECTION OF THE FOLIATION $\mathcal{F}$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the holomorphic foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined in affine coordinates by the logarithmic 1-form*

$$\omega = -\lambda i \frac{d(y - ix)}{y - ix} + \lambda i \frac{d(y + ix)}{y + ix} + (1 + \lambda i) \frac{d(y - 3ix)}{y - 3ix} + (1 - \lambda i) \frac{d(y + 3ix)}{y + 3ix},$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\log 2}{\pi}$ . Then  $\omega$  defines a global center in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and there exists a constant  $\nu > 1$  such that, given  $r > 0$ , the points  $(r, 0)$  and  $(\frac{r}{\nu}, 0)$  are contained in the same leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and they are connected by a smooth curve in  $L$  of euclidean length smaller than  $\nu r$ .

*Proof.* It can be seen that

$$\omega = 2\lambda \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{d(9x^2 + y^2)}{9x^2 + y^2} - 6\lambda \frac{xdy - ydx}{9x^2 + y^2},$$

so  $\omega$  defines a real foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a unique singularity at the origin. It turns out that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a global center, that is, its leaves are closed simple curves around the origin. In order to verify this assertion, notice that  $\omega$  is invariant by the real homotheties  $(x, y) \mapsto (kx, ky)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , so we only need to show that the singularity at the origin is of center type. Let  $\pi: \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be the blow up at the origin. A complex line  $L$  through  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  is strictly transformed by  $\pi$  in a line intersecting the exceptional divisor at a point  $p_L$ . If  $L = \mathbb{C}(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we write  $p_L = [a : b]$ ; so we can identify the exceptional divisor with the complex projective line  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . The strict transform  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\pi$  leaves the exceptional divisor invariant and has 4 singularities, all contained in the exceptional divisor, at the points  $[1 : \pm i]$ ,  $[1 : \pm 3i]$ . Then the closed curve  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 = \{[x : y] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  avoids the singularities and defines a holonomy map  $h \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . We will show that  $h$  is periodic of period 2, so  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  will be a center. In order to compute  $h$  we can deform  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$  into the circle  $C = \{[1 : t] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 : |t - 3i| = 3\}$ . In the  $t$ -plane  $\{[1 : t] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , the singularities  $[1 : i]$  and  $[1 : 3i]$  are in the interior of  $C$ , while  $[1 : -i]$  and  $[1 : -3i]$  are in the exterior. In the coordinates  $(t = \frac{y}{x}, x)$

of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}$ , the circle  $C$  can be positively parametrized in the form  $(t(s), 0)$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t(0) = t(1) = (0, 0)$ , and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad 2\frac{dx}{x} = \lambda i \frac{d(t-i)}{t-i} - \lambda i \frac{d(t+i)}{t+i} - (1+\lambda i) \frac{d(t-3i)}{t-3i} - (1-\lambda i) \frac{d(t+3i)}{t+3i}.$$

Given a point  $(0, x_0)$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  small, the curve  $C$  can be lifted to a leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , with starting point  $(0, x_0)$ : this produces a curve of the form  $(t(s), x(s))$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ , contained in a leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and such that  $x(0) = x_0$ . Then  $(t(s), x(s))$  satisfies the equation (1), whence

$$(2) \quad \int_0^1 2\frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} ds = \lambda i \int_C \frac{d(t-i)}{t-i} - (1+\lambda i) \int_C \frac{d(t-3i)}{t-3i} = -2\pi i.$$

Therefore  $x(1) = -x_0$ , which means that  $h(z) = -z$  and so  $h$  is periodic of order two.

Given  $r > 0$ , let  $\alpha_r$  be the orbit of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  through  $(r, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The orbit  $\alpha_1$  can be parametrized in the form  $\alpha_1(\theta) = \rho(\theta)(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , for some  $\rho: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is invariant by the homotheties  $(x, y) \mapsto (kx, ky)$ , we can parametrize  $\alpha_r(\theta) = r\rho(\theta)(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . In particular  $\ell(\alpha_r) = r\ell(\alpha_1)$ .

Now, given  $r > 0$ , we will show that the points  $(r, 0)$  and  $(\frac{r}{2}, 0)$  belong to the same leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Consider the circle  $\tau(s) = i - ie^{is}$ ,  $s \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Denote by  $\eta$  the right hand of equation (1). Then, if we set  $f(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau|_{[0,s]}} \eta$ , we see that  $(t, x) = (\tau(s), re^{f(s)})$  satisfies equation (1). This means that the curve  $(t, x) = (\tau(s), re^{f(s)})$  is contained in a leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , which in turn means that the curve  $\gamma_r(s) = (re^{f(s)}, \tau(s)re^{f(s)})$ ,  $s \in [0, 2\pi]$ , is contained in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $f(2\pi) = -\pi\lambda = -\log 2$ , and so  $\gamma_r(2\pi) = (\frac{r}{2}, 0)$ . Thus  $\gamma_r$  connects  $f(0) = (r, 0)$  with  $f(2\pi) = (\frac{r}{2}, 0)$  in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, if we set  $\gamma_1(s) = (e^{f(s)}, \tau(s)e^{f(s)})$  it is clear that  $\ell(\gamma_r) = r\ell(\gamma_1)$ . Finally, we fix  $\nu > 1$  such that  $\nu \geq \max\{\ell(\gamma_1), \ell(\alpha_1)\}$ , so we have the inequalities  $\ell(\alpha_r), \ell(\gamma_r) < \nu r$  for all  $r > 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Furthermore, we can assume  $\nu$  so big that  $|\rho(\theta)|, |\rho'(\theta)| < \nu$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a projective 3-manifold and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a singular holomorphic distribution of dimension two. By Theorem 1, we can assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable. Consider  $P: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  a generic linear projection and  $\Pi = P|_M: M \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  its restriction to  $M$ , which is dominant and has generic fibers transverse to  $\mathcal{D}$ . As explained in Section 3, we can find local holomorphic coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  with  $\Pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$ , where  $(x, y)$  are affine coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined by a holomorphic 1-form  $\Omega = dz - Pdx - Qdy$ , where  $P(0) = Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q_x(0) - P_y(0) = 1$ .

Now, take the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of Section 4 given by the 1-form  $\omega$  of Proposition 4.1 and let  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  its lifting to  $M$ . It is known that this foliation is generated by a rational vector field on  $M$ . Thus, we must prove that  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  has no rational first integral. Furthermore, we will prove that  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  has no meromorphic first integral near the origin. To do so, take  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that the polydisc

$$\Delta_0 = \{|x| < \delta_0, |y| < \delta_0, |z| < \delta_0\}$$

is contained in the domain of our local coordinates an suppose by contradiction that there is a meromorphic first integral  $H$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  on  $\Delta_0$ .

The proof is based on the following proposition. If  $U$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , we say that  $S$  is an analytic surface in  $U$  to mean that  $S$  is the zero set of a holomorphic function on  $U$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *There exists  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that, if  $S$  is an analytic surface in  $\Delta = \{|x| < \delta, |y| < \delta, |z| < \delta\}$  that is  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$ -invariant and pass through a point of the form  $(r, 0, w)$  with  $0 < r < \delta$  and  $|w| < \delta$ , then  $S$  contains  $\{x = r, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ .*

Let us use this proposition to finish the proof of Theorem 2. Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\Delta$  be as given by Proposition 5.1. By reducing  $\delta > 0$  if necessary, we can assume that  $|P|, |Q| < \frac{1}{4\nu}$  on  $\Delta$ . Take a point  $\zeta_0 = (r, 0, z_0)$  with  $0 < r < \frac{\delta}{2\nu}$  and  $r + |z_0| < \delta$ . The existence of the meromorphic first integral  $H$  allows us to find a  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$ -invariant analytic surface  $S$  in  $\Delta$  passing through  $\zeta_0$  and so, by Proposition 5.1,  $S$  contains  $\{x = r, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ . Recall that the points  $(r, 0)$  and  $(r/2, 0)$  are contained in the same leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and there exists a curve  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow L$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (r, 0)$ ,  $\gamma(1) = (r/2, 0)$  and  $\ell(\gamma) < \nu r$ . Notice that, since  $r < \frac{\delta}{2\nu}$  and  $\nu > 1$ , the curve  $\gamma$  is contained in the bi-disc  $\{|x| < \delta, |y| < \delta\}$ . We can lift  $\gamma$  to a curve  $\tilde{\gamma}$  tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$  and starting at the point  $\zeta_0$ ; precisely, if  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ , the curve  $\tilde{\gamma}$  has the form  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ ,  $t \geq 0$  small enough, where  $z(t)$  satisfies

$$z' = P(x, y, z)x' + Q(x, y, z)y', \quad z(0) = z_0.$$

It is clear that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is contained in the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  through  $\zeta_0$ . Let us prove that  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  is defined and belongs to  $\Delta$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Otherwise we can find  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $z(t)$  is defined and is contained in  $\Delta$  for all  $t \in [0, \sigma]$ , and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$  is as close to  $\partial\Delta$  as desired. Thus, since  $\gamma([0, 1])$  is contained in  $\{|x| < \delta, |y| < \delta\}$ , we can assume that  $\delta - r/2 < |z(\sigma)| < \delta$ . Then  $|z'(t)| = |Px' + Qy'| \leq \frac{1}{2\nu}|\gamma'|$  for all  $t \in [0, \sigma]$ , so  $|z(\sigma) - z(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\nu}\ell(\gamma) < r/2$  and therefore  $|z(\sigma)| < r/2 + |z_0| < \delta - r/2$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  is defined and belongs to  $\Delta$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and we conclude that the point  $(r/2, 0, z_1) = \tilde{\gamma}(1) \in \Delta$  is contained in the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$  through  $\zeta_0$ . In particular,  $(r/2, 0, z_1) \in S$  and, by Proposition 5.1,  $S$  contains  $\{x = r/2, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ . Proceeding as above, with 1 instead of  $\sigma$ , we obtain the inequality  $|z_1| < r/2 + |z_0|$ , whence  $r/2 + |z_1| < \delta$ . Therefore we can repeat the argument for  $(r/2, 0, z_1)$  instead of  $(r, 0, z_0)$  to prove that  $S$  contains  $\{x = r/4, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ . Iterating this process we conclude that  $S$  contains  $\{x = r/2^j, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore  $S$  contains the hyperplane  $\{y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ . Then  $\{y = 0\} \cap \Delta$  is  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}$ -invariant. Therefore, the line  $\{y = 0\}$  in the plane  $(x, y)$  is invariant by the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.1

Fix  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ . From the properties of  $\omega$  at the origin we can take  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that the closure of

$$\Delta' := \{|x| < 5\nu\delta, |y| < 5\nu\delta, |z| < 5\nu\delta\}$$

is contained in  $\Delta_0$ , and such that  $|P| < \epsilon$ ,  $|Q| < \epsilon$  and  $|1 - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}| < \epsilon$  on  $\overline{\Delta'}$ . Fix  $M > 0$  such that  $|\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}| \leq M$  and  $|\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}| \leq M$  on  $\Delta'$ . Notice that, by reducing  $\epsilon > 0$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\epsilon M$  is as small as desired — we use this fact later.

Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ , be a piecewise smooth curve contained in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We say that  $\tilde{\gamma}: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  is a lifting of  $\gamma$  if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  express in the form  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$  for some  $z: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  piecewise smooth, such that

$$z' = P(\tilde{\gamma})x' + Q(\tilde{\gamma})y'.$$

In this case  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is contained in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . If  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is contained in  $\Delta'$ , it follows from the transversality of  $\mathcal{D}$  to the fibers of  $\Pi: (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y)$  that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is the unique lifting of  $\gamma$  with starting point  $\tilde{\gamma}(a)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Consider  $(r, 0, w)$  with  $0 < r < \delta$  and  $|w| < \delta$ . Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be a piecewise smooth curve starting at  $(r, 0)$  and contained in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\ell(\gamma) < 4\nu r$ . Then  $\gamma$  can be lifted to a curve  $\tilde{\gamma}$  starting at  $(r, 0, w)$  and contained in  $\Delta'$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\gamma$  is smooth; the general case is easily obtained from this case. Since  $\nu > 1$ , we see that  $\gamma$  is contained in the bi-disc  $\{|x| < 5\nu\delta, |y| < 5\nu\delta\}$ . If  $\gamma$  is parametrized as  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , its lifting  $\tilde{\gamma}$  starting at  $(r, 0, w)$ , if it exists, has the form  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ , where  $z(t)$  satisfies

$$(3) \quad z' = P(x, y, z)x' + Q(x, y, z)y', \quad z(0) = w.$$

By the transversality of  $\mathcal{D}$  to the fibers of  $\Pi$ , the lifting  $\tilde{\gamma}$  do exists at least on a small interval  $[0, \varepsilon]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us prove that  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  is defined and belongs to  $\Delta'$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Otherwise there exists  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  is defined and is contained in  $\Delta'$  for all  $t \in [0, \sigma]$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$  is as close to  $\partial\Delta'$  as desired. Thus, since  $\gamma([0, 1])$  is contained in  $\{|x| < 5\nu\delta, |y| < 5\nu\delta\}$ , we can assume that  $(4\nu + 1)\delta < |z(\sigma)| < 5\nu\delta$ . Then  $|z'(t)| = |Px' + Qy'| \leq 2\varepsilon|\gamma'|$  for all  $t \in [0, \sigma]$ , so  $|z(\sigma)| \leq |z(0)| + 2\varepsilon\ell(\gamma) < \delta + 8\varepsilon\nu\delta < (4\nu + 1)\delta$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Given  $r > 0$ , let  $\alpha_r: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the orbit of the center  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$  through  $(r, 0)$ , as defined in Section 4. Given  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\alpha_{r,w}$  be — if it is defined — the lifting of  $\alpha_r$  starting at  $(r, 0, w)$ . Thus, if  $\alpha_r(\theta) = (x_r(\theta), y_r(\theta))$ , we have  $\alpha_{r,w}(\theta) = (x_r(\theta), y_r(\theta), \zeta_{r,w}(\theta))$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , where  $\zeta_{r,w}(0) = w$  and

$$(4) \quad \zeta'_{r,w} = P(\alpha_{r,w})x'_r + Q(\alpha_{r,w})y'_r.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *There exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that, given  $(r, 0, w)$  with  $0 < r < \delta$  and  $|w| < \delta$ , then  $\alpha_{r,w}$  is defined, is contained in  $\Delta'$  and*

$$\frac{1}{c}r^2 \leq |\zeta_{r,w}(2\pi) - \zeta_{r,w}(0)| \leq cr^2.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $(r, 0, w)$  with  $0 < r < \delta$  and  $|w| < \delta$ . From Section 4 we have that  $\ell(\alpha_r) < \nu r$ . Thus, by Lemma 6.1 we see that  $\alpha: = \alpha_{r,w}$  is defined and contained in  $\Delta'$ . By simplicity, we write  $\zeta = \zeta_{r,w}$ . Let  $\eta$  be the euclidean segment joining  $\alpha(2\pi) = (r, 0, \zeta(2\pi))$  to  $\alpha(0) = (r, 0, w)$ . Then  $\alpha * \eta$  is a closed curve and we have

$$\zeta(2\pi) - \zeta(0) = \int_{\alpha} Pdx + Qdy = \int_{\alpha * \eta} Pdx + Qdy.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the compact cone with vertex  $O = (0, 0, w)$  and guiding curve  $\alpha * \eta$ , that is,  $\mathcal{C}$  is generated by the segments  $[O, p]$  as  $p$  runs along  $\alpha * \eta$ . Then, it follows from Stokes' Theorem that

$$(5) \quad \zeta(2\pi) - \zeta(0) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} (Q_x - P_y) dx dy + \int_{\mathcal{C}} P_z dz dx + \int_{\mathcal{C}} Q_z dz dy.$$

We can express  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\alpha \cup \mathcal{C}_\eta$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$  are the compact cones with vertex  $O = (0, 0, w)$  and guiding curves  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ , respectively. Recall — from Section 4 — that  $\alpha_r(\theta) = (r\rho(\theta) \cos \theta, r\rho(\theta) \sin \theta)$ . Then  $\alpha(\theta) = (r\rho(\theta) \cos \theta, r\rho(\theta) \sin \theta, \zeta(\theta))$  and (4) becomes

$$(6) \quad \zeta' = rP(\alpha)(\rho \cos \theta)' + rQ(\alpha)(\rho \sin \theta)'$$

Now,  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  can be parametrized as

$$(x, y, z) = (tr\rho \cos \theta, tr\rho \sin \theta, (1-t)w + t\zeta(\theta)); \quad (t, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 2\pi].$$

Thus, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} dx dy &= r^2 \rho^2 t dt d\theta; \\ dz dx &= [(\zeta - w)(r\rho \cos \theta)' - \zeta' r \rho \cos \theta] t dt d\theta; \\ dz dy &= [(\zeta - w)(r\rho \sin \theta)' - \zeta' r \rho \sin \theta] t dt d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$  is the triangle of vertices  $(0, 0, w)$ ,  $(r, 0, w)$  and  $(r, 0, \zeta(2\pi))$ , hence  $dx dy|_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} = dz dy|_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} = 0$  and so  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} (Q_x - P_y) dx dy = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} (Q_x - P_y) dx dy$  and  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} Q_z dz dy = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} Q_z dz dy$ . Then, on the one hand,

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}} (Q_x - P_y) dx dy = \left( \int_{[0,1] \times [0,2\pi]} (Q_x - P_y) \rho^2 t dt d\theta \right) r^2$$

and, since  $|(Q_x - P_y) - 1| < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\Delta'$ , we find positive constants  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  independent of  $\epsilon$  such that

$$(8) \quad c_0 r^2 \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} (Q_x - P_y) dx dy \right| \leq c_1 r^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} Q_z dz dy = \int_{[0,1] \times [0,2\pi]} Q_z [(\zeta - w)(r\rho \sin \theta)' - \zeta' r \rho \sin \theta] t dt d\theta.$$

Since  $|\rho|, |\rho'| < \nu$  — see Remark 4.2, we have  $|(\rho \sin \theta)'|, |(\rho \cos \theta)'| \leq 2\nu$  and it follows from (6) that  $|\zeta'(\theta)| \leq 4\epsilon\nu r$  and  $|\zeta(\theta) - w| \leq 8\pi\epsilon\nu r$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Therefore

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} Q_z dz dy \right| &\leq \int_{[0,1] \times [0,2\pi]} |Q_z| (16\pi + 4)\epsilon\nu^2 r^2 t dt d\theta \\ &\leq (16\pi + 4)\epsilon M \nu^2 \left( \int_{[0,1] \times [0,2\pi]} t dt d\theta \right) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as above we also obtain the bound

$$(10) \quad \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} P_z dz dx \right| \leq (16\pi + 4)\epsilon M \nu^2 \left( \int_{[0,1] \times [0,2\pi]} t dt d\theta \right) r^2.$$

Thus, in order to estimate  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} P_z dz dx = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} P_z dz dx + \int_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} P_z dz dx$ , it remains to deal with the integral  $\int_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} P_z dz dx$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$  is parametrized as

$$(x, y, z) = (sr, 0, w + t[\zeta(2\pi) - w]),$$

where  $(s, t)$  runs on the triangle  $T = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\}$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} P_z dz dx \right| = \left| \int_T P_z [\zeta(2\pi) - w] r dt ds \right| \leq \int_T |P_z| (8\pi\epsilon\nu r) r ds dt,$$

so that

$$(11) \quad \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_\eta} P_z dz dx \right| \leq 4\pi\epsilon M\nu r^2.$$

Finally, using in (5) the bounds (8) — (11), if  $\epsilon M$  is small enough, we find a constant  $c > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{c}r^2 \leq |\zeta(2\pi) - \zeta(0)| \leq cr^2$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 5.1.** Take a point  $(r, 0, w)$  with  $0 < r < \delta$  and  $|w| < \delta$ . By the properties of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the point  $(r, 0)$  can be connected with  $(\frac{r}{2}, 0)$  by a curve  $\beta_1$  of length  $\ell(\beta_1) < \nu r$ , which is contained in the leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  through  $(r, 0)$ . Again, the point  $(\frac{r}{2}, 0)$  is connected with  $(\frac{r}{4}, 0)$  by a curve  $\beta_2$  of length  $\ell(\beta_2) < \nu(\frac{r}{2})$  contained in  $L$ . And so on. Thus, given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the curve  $\beta_1 * \dots * \beta_n$  connects  $(r, 0)$  with  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0)$  in  $L$ . Let  $\alpha_n := \alpha_{\frac{r}{2^n}}$  be the orbit of the center  $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}$  passing through  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0)$  — see section 4. Then the loop

$$\gamma_n := \beta_1 * \dots * \beta_n * \alpha_n * \beta_n^{-1} * \dots * \beta_1^{-1}$$

is based at  $(r, 0)$  and contained in  $L$ . Since

$$\ell(\gamma_n) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \ell(\beta_j) + \ell(\alpha_n) < 2\nu \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r}{2^{j-1}} + \nu \frac{r}{2^n} < 4\nu r,$$

by Lemma 6.1 we have that  $\gamma_n$  can be lifted to a curve  $\tilde{\gamma}_n$  starting at  $(r, 0, w)$ , which is contained in the leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}^\mathcal{D}|_\Delta$  through  $(r, 0, w)$ . If  $(r, 0, w_n)$  is the ending point of  $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ , we will prove that  $w_n \neq w$  and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = w$ . If we do so, Proposition 5.1 will be proved: If  $S$  is a  $\mathcal{F}^\mathcal{D}$ -invariant analytic surface in  $\Delta$  passing through  $(r, 0, w)$ , then  $S$  contains  $L$  and so  $(r, 0, w_n) \in S$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $S$  contains the line  $\{x = r, y = 0\} \cap \Delta$ . Let us prove that  $w_n \neq w$ . Let  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, u)$  be the ending point of the lifting of  $\beta_1 * \dots * \beta_n$  starting at  $(r, 0, w)$  and let  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, v)$  be the ending point of the lifting of  $\alpha_n$  starting at  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, u)$ . Then  $(r, 0, w_n)$  is the ending point of the lifting of  $\beta_n^{-1} * \dots * \beta_1^{-1}$  starting at  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, v)$  or, equivalently,  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, v)$  is the ending point of the lifting of  $\beta_1 * \dots * \beta_n$  starting at  $(r, 0, w_n)$ . Thus, if  $w_n$  were equal to  $w$ , by the unicity of the lifting,  $v$  would be equal to  $u$ , which is impossible because, by Lemma 6.2, we have  $|v - u| > \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{r}{2^n}\right)^2$ . Let  $\beta(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , be a parametrization of  $\beta_n^{-1} * \dots * \beta_1^{-1}$ . Let  $\beta_u(t) = (x(t), y(t), z_u(t))$  be the lifting of  $\beta$  starting at  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, u)$  and let  $\beta_v(t) = (x(t), y(t), z_v(t))$  be the lifting of  $\beta$  starting at  $(\frac{r}{2^n}, 0, v)$ . Thus  $z'_u = P(\beta_u)x' + Q(\beta_u)y'$  and  $z'_v = P(\beta_v)x' + Q(\beta_v)y'$  and, if we set  $f(t) = z_v(t) - z_u(t)$ ,

$$f' = [P(\beta_v) - P(\beta_u)]x' + [Q(\beta_v) - Q(\beta_u)]y'.$$

Then, since  $|P(\beta_v) - P(\beta_u)|$  and  $|Q(\beta_v) - Q(\beta_u)|$  are bounded by  $M|z_v - z_u|$ , we have that  $|f'| \leq 2M|\beta'| |f|$ . Therefore  $|f(t)| \leq |f(0)|e^{\int_0^t 2M|\beta'(s)| ds}$  and, since  $\ell(\beta) < 2\nu r$ , we see that  $|f(t)| \leq e^{4M\nu r} |f(0)|$ . In particular, for  $t = 1$ , we obtain that  $|w_n - w| \leq e^{4M\nu r} |v - u|$  and therefore, by Lemma 6.2, we conclude that  $|w_n - w| \leq ce^{4M\nu r} \left(\frac{r}{2^n}\right)^2$ , which shows that  $\lim w_n = w$ .  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [1] V.I. ARNOLD *Chapitres Supplémentaires de la Théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires*. Éditions Librairie du Globe, 1996.
- [2] P. BONNET *Families of  $k$ -derivations on  $k$ -algebras*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 199 (2005), no.1-3, 11–26.
- [3] M. FALLA LUZA, R. ROSAS *Distributions, first integrals and Legendrian foliations*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 53 (2022), no.4, 1157–1229.
- [4] M. NAGATA, A. NOWICKI *Rings of constants for  $k$ -derivations in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$* . J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 28(1988), no.1, 111–118.
- [5] A. NOWICKI *Rings and fields of constants for derivations in characteristic zero*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 96 (1) (1994) 47–55.