

# Atom Optics with Cold Bosons

V.I. Yukalov<sup>1</sup> and E.P. Yukalova<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,  
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratory of Information Technologies,  
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia*

**E-mails:** *yukalov@theor.jinr.ru, yukalova@theor.jinr.ru*

*corresponding author: V.I. Yukalov*

## Abstract

Trapped bosonic atoms can be cooled down to temperatures where the atomic cloud experiences Bose-Einstein condensation. Almost all atoms in a dilute gaseous system can be Bose-condensed, which implies that this system is in a coherent state. The coherent atomic system enjoys many properties typical of coherent optical systems. It is possible to generate different condensate coherent modes similarly to the generation of optical modes. Several effects can be observed, such as interference patterns, interference current, Rabi oscillations, harmonic generation, parametric conversion, Ramsey fringes, mode locking, dynamic transition between Rabi and Josephson regimes, and atomic squeezing.

*Keywords:* Interference patterns, Rabi oscillations, Ramsey fringes, Mode locking, Critical phenomena, Atomic squeezing

# 1 Introduction

The term *Atom Optics* refers to phenomena and techniques exploiting wave properties of neutral atoms [1]. Typical experiments employ cold, slowly moving neutral atoms, experiencing effects similar to photon beams. For instance, like optical beams, the atomic beams may exhibit diffraction and interference, and can be focused with a Fresnel zone plate [2], or a concave atomic mirror [3]. Cold atoms can be used in atom interferometers [4] and, generally, in atomtronics [5].

After the realization of the Bose-Einstein condensation in traps, there has happened a boost of interest to coherent effects in atom optics, since a Bose-condensed system is a coherent system, similar to coherent light [6]. Coherent atomic states can be created in traps [6–18], as well as in optical lattices [19–23].

Even more common properties between atomic and light optics have been discovered after it has been suggested [24] that in traps it is possible to generate non-ground-state Bose-Einstein condensates. Since the Bose-Einstein condensed state is a coherent state [25] that, because of atomic interactions, is described by a nonlinear equation, the non-ground state condensates have been called *nonlinear coherent modes* [24]. The generation of these atomic modes is similar to the excitation of optical modes of an optical resonator [26]. The principal difference is the nonlinearity due to atomic interactions because of which the modes of Bose condensate are termed *nonlinear*.

In the present communication, we give an account of the properties of Bose condensates with nonlinear coherent modes, emphasizing those that are analogous to the properties of optical systems. We show that Bose-condensed systems with nonlinear coherent modes allow for the realization of many features that are so important in quantum information processing. Although the list of analogies between atom and photon optics is rather long, here we concentrate on those effects that are connected with the nonlinear coherent modes.

## 2 Non-ground-state Bose-Einstein condensate

First of all, let us explain what is a non-ground-state condensate and why it has become available for realization only after the observation of Bose condensation in traps. In the standard definition, a Bose-Einstein condensation is the effect of a macroscopic number of atoms piling down to the ground state level of a statistical system. In a uniform system, the ground-state energy level is not separated by a gap from excited states forming a continuum. Therefore there are no other separate levels except the ground-state one. There exist nonequilibrium condensates, but there cannot exist non-ground-state condensates on some other levels, since there are no such separated excited levels.

The situation is different for atoms in a trap [6], where there exists a whole spectrum of discrete energy levels. Then, in addition to the lowest ground-state level corresponding to the usual Bose condensate, as in Fig. 1, there are many other discrete levels. Hence, if atoms could assemble on some level above the lowest one, as in Fig. 2, this would exactly be a non-ground-state condensate.

It is clear that such a non-ground-state condensate cannot occur in an equilibrium system. An additional energy needs to be applied in order to accumulate atoms on a level whose energy would be higher than that of the ground state. For instance, if one wishes to transfer atoms

from a level with energy  $E_1$  to a level with a higher energy  $E_2$ , one should apply, e.g., an external field

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = V_1(\mathbf{r}) \cos(\omega t) + V_2(\mathbf{r}) \sin(\omega t) , \quad (1)$$

alternating with the frequency being in resonance with the transition frequency

$$\omega_{21} \equiv E_2 - E_1 , \quad (2)$$

the detuning from the resonance being small,

$$\left| \frac{\Delta}{\omega} \right| \ll 1 , \quad \Delta \equiv \omega - \omega_{21} . \quad (3)$$

Here and in what follows, we set the Planck constant  $\hbar$  to one.

Already at this initial stage, we can notice the similarity between the process of transferring from one energy level to another an electron in an atom and transferring from one energy level to another a group of atoms in a trap. Both these cases deal with a kind of a two-level system. The difference is that a transferred atomic electron is alone, while the condensate consists of a large group of interacting atoms, which make the modes nonlinear.

The nonlinear coherent modes are also termed topological, since the related spatial densities have different number of zeroes, as in Fig. 3.

### 3 Condensate wave function

To obtain an equation for the condensate wave function, let us start with the Heisenberg equation for the field operator

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = H[\psi] \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4)$$

with the operator Hamiltonian

$$H[\psi] = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + U(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') \Phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}' . \quad (5)$$

Here  $U(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is an external potential and  $\Phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$  is a particle interaction potential.

At very low temperature and asymptotically weak interactions all atoms are assumed to be Bose condensed. Since a Bose-condensed system is coherent, the condensate wave function is a coherent state [6, 18, 24], defined as an eigenstate of the field operator,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) | \eta \rangle = \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) | \eta \rangle . \quad (6)$$

Averaging the Heisenberg equation (4) over the coherent state yields the equation for the condensate wave function

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) = H[\eta] \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (7)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H[\eta] = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + U(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int \Phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') |\eta(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 d\mathbf{r}' . \quad (8)$$

Describing the Bose-condensed system by equation (7) corresponds to the coherent approximation, as far as all atoms are assumed to be condensed. Equation (7) was advanced by Bogolubov [27] in 1949 in his well known book "Lectures on Quantum Statistics" that has been republished numerous times (see, e.g., [28–30]). A detailed analysis of this equation, with finding periodic and vortex solutions, was given in a series of papers by Gross [31–35] (see also [36, 37]). By its mathematical structure, (7) is a nonlinear Schrödinger equation [38].

Since all atoms are assumed to be Bose condensed, it is convenient to pass to the condensate wave function

$$\eta(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sqrt{N} \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (9)$$

normalized to one,

$$\int |\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d\mathbf{r} = 1 .$$

The total external field consists of two parts, a stationary trapping potential  $U(\mathbf{r})$  and an alternating potential  $V(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,

$$U(\mathbf{r}, t) = U(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (10)$$

Respectively, the Hamiltonian (8) can be split into two terms

$$H[\eta] = \hat{H}[\varphi] + V(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (11)$$

with the first term being

$$\hat{H}[\varphi] = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + U(\mathbf{r}) + N \int \Phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') |\varphi(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 d\mathbf{r}' . \quad (12)$$

Then Eq. (7) takes the form

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left\{ \hat{H}[\varphi] + V(\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (13)$$

Coherent modes are the solutions to the eigenproblem

$$\hat{H}[\varphi_n] \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) = E_n \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) \quad (14)$$

characterized by the stationary energy levels  $E_n$ . The general solution to Eq. (13) can be represented as an expansion over the coherent modes,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n c_n(t) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) e^{-iE_n t} , \quad (15)$$

where the coefficient functions  $c_n(t)$  are slowly varying in time, as compared with the exponential function,

$$\frac{1}{E_n} \left| \frac{dc_n(t)}{dt} \right| \ll 1 . \quad (16)$$

Similar representations in optics are called slowly-varying amplitude approximation [39, 40].

The quantity

$$n_n(t) \equiv |c_n(t)|^2 \quad (17)$$

defines the fractional mode population that satisfies the normalization condition

$$\sum_n n_n(t) = 1 . \quad (18)$$

## 4 Resonant mode generation

Separate coherent modes can be excited from the ground state by means of resonant generation. The resonance implies that the frequency of the modulating field  $\omega$  is close to one of the transition frequencies

$$\omega_{mn} \equiv E_m - E_n , \quad (19)$$

so that the detuning from the resonance be small,

$$\left| \frac{\Delta_{mn}}{\omega} \right| \ll 1 , \quad \Delta_{mn} \equiv \omega - \omega_{mn} . \quad (20)$$

Atoms in a trap are rather rarified, and their interactions can be represented by the local interaction potential

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_0 \delta(\mathbf{r}) , \quad \Phi_0 \equiv 4\pi \frac{a_s}{m} , \quad (21)$$

where  $a_s$  is a scattering length. The process of the mode generation is characterized by two amplitudes, the interaction amplitude

$$\alpha_{mn} \equiv N\Phi_0 \int |\varphi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2 \{2|\varphi_n(\mathbf{r})|^2 - |\varphi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2\} d\mathbf{r} \quad (22)$$

and the modulation-field amplitude

$$\beta_{mn} \equiv \int \varphi_m^*(\mathbf{r}) [V_1(\mathbf{r}) - iV_2(\mathbf{r})] \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} . \quad (23)$$

When a higher mode, that can be numbered by 2, is generated from the ground state, numbered by 1, then substituting expansion (15) into equation (13) yields the equations for the coefficient functions

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{dc_1}{dt} &= \alpha_{12} |c_2|^2 c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{12} c_2 e^{i\Delta_{12}t} , \\ i \frac{dc_2}{dt} &= \alpha_{21} |c_1|^2 c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{12}^* c_1 e^{-i\Delta_{12}t} . \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Similarly, it is possible to modulate the trap by two fields with the frequencies, say  $\omega_A$  and  $\omega_B$ , that are close to two of the transition frequencies

$$\omega_{21} \equiv E_2 - E_1 , \quad \omega_{32} \equiv E_3 - E_2 , \quad \omega_{31} \equiv E_3 - E_1 . \quad (25)$$

Then there can exist three types of the mode generation:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A &= \omega_{21} , & \omega_B &= \omega_{32} & (\text{cascade}) , \\ \omega_A &= \omega_{31} , & \omega_B &= \omega_{32} & (\Lambda \text{ type}) , \\ \omega_A &= \omega_{31} , & \omega_B &= \omega_{21} & (V \text{ type}) , \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

depending on which levels are connected by resonance.

In the case of two applied alternating fields, with two frequencies, the amplitude functions are described by the equations

$$i \frac{dc_1}{dt} = (\alpha_{12} |c_2|^2 + \alpha_{13} |c_3|^2) c_1 + f_1 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i \frac{dc_2}{dt} &= (\alpha_{21} |c_1|^2 + \alpha_{23} |c_3|^2) c_2 + f_2 , \\
i \frac{dc_3}{dt} &= (\alpha_{31} |c_1|^2 + \alpha_{32} |c_2|^2) c_3 + f_3 ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}$$

in which the functions  $f_j$  depend of the type of the generation scheme.

Note that instead of modulating the trap, it is possible to modulate the scattering length by means of Feshbach resonance [41],

$$a_s(B) = a_s \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta B}{B - B_{res}} \right) ,
\tag{28}$$

where  $\Delta B$  is the resonance width and

$$B(t) = B_0 + b_1 \cos(\omega t) + b_2 \sin(\omega t)
\tag{29}$$

is the alternating magnetic field. Then the interaction amplitude also becomes modulated,

$$\Phi_0(t) = 4\pi \frac{a_s(B)}{m} .
\tag{30}$$

In addition to resonance conditions (20) and (26), there can exist higher-order resonances [42, 43]. For example, in the case of two modes, there can occur harmonic generation, when a single modulating field is used, as is shown in Fig. 4, and parametric conversion, when two modulated fields are employed, as is shown in Fig. 5. More generally, in the two-mode case, there can exist multiple harmonic generation, under the resonance condition

$$n\omega = \omega_{21} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) ,
\tag{31}$$

and multiple parametric conversion, under the resonance condition

$$\sum_j (\pm \omega_j) = \omega_{21} .
\tag{32}$$

## 5 Matter-wave interferometry

Bose condensed atoms correspond to coherent mater waves, because of which different effects, typical of coherent beams, exist [44].

### 5.1 Interference patterns

The density of atoms inside a trap,

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n \rho_n(\mathbf{r}, t) + \rho_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) ,
\tag{33}$$

is given by the sum of the mode densities

$$\rho_n(\mathbf{r}, t) = N |c_n(t) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r})|^2
\tag{34}$$

and the interference pattern

$$\rho_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) = N \sum_{m \neq n} c_m^*(t) c_n(t) \varphi_m^*(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\omega_{mn}t} .
\tag{35}$$

## 5.2 Interference current

Since the system with coherent modes is not equilibrium, there exists atomic current inside the trap,

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n \mathbf{j}_n(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{j}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (36)$$

consisting of the sum of the mode currents

$$\mathbf{j}_n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{N}{m} \text{Im} |c_n(t)|^2 \varphi_n^*(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) \quad (37)$$

and the interference current, also called internal Josephson current,

$$\mathbf{j}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{N}{m} \text{Im} \sum_{m \neq n} c_m^*(t) c_n(t) [ \varphi_m^*(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) ] e^{i\omega_{mn}t} . \quad (38)$$

## 5.3 Rabi oscillations

Similarly to the Rabi oscillations of two-level systems in optics [45], the coherent two-mode populations oscillate according to the law [25]

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= 1 - \frac{|\beta_{12}|^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Omega t}{2} \right) , \\ n_2 &= \frac{|\beta_{12}|^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Omega t}{2} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where the initial conditions

$$c_1(0) = 1 , \quad c_2(0) = 0 , \quad (40)$$

are assumed, and where the effective Rabi frequency is given by the expression

$$\Omega^2 = [ \Delta\omega + \alpha_{12}n_2 - \alpha_{21}n_1 ]^2 + | \beta_{12} |^2 , \quad (41)$$

with  $\Delta\omega \equiv \omega - \omega_{21}$ .

## 5.4 Ramsey fringes

Ramsey fringes [46] describe the excited mode population  $|c_2|^2$ , after the action of two consecutive  $\pi/2$  pulses of temporal length  $\tau$ , separated by a long time interval  $T \gg \tau$ , as a function of  $T$ . In our case, under the initial condition (40), the excited mode population reads as

$$n_2(2\tau + T) = \frac{|\beta_{12}|^2}{\Omega^2} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{\Omega\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} \sin \left( \frac{\Delta T}{2} \right) \right] , \quad (42)$$

with the effective detuning

$$\Delta \equiv \Delta\omega + \alpha_{12}n_2 - \alpha_{21}n_1 , \quad (43)$$

where  $\cos(\Omega\tau/2) = \sqrt{2}/2$ . Despite that the effective detuning is a function of atomic population fractions  $n_j$ , the population fraction (42) has the form typical of the Ramsey fringes in optics [46].

## 5.5 Mode locking

There is a range of parameters, when the mode populations are locked in the limited regions

$$\frac{1}{2} < n_1 \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq n_2 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \left( |\beta_{12}| + \Delta_{21} < \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{12} \right), \quad (44)$$

which is called the Rabi regime. Here, for simplicity, we set  $\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21}$ . Outside the Rabi regime, these modes become unlocked and oscillate in the whole available region between 0 and 1,

$$0 \leq n_j \leq 1 \quad \left( \beta_{12} + \Delta_{21} > \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{12} \right), \quad (45)$$

which is termed the Josephson regime. On the critical line

$$\beta_{12} + \Delta_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{12}, \quad (46)$$

where the regime changes, there occur critical fluctuations and critical phenomena, similar to those happening at phase transitions [47].

## 6 Atomic squeezing

By analogy with the squeezed light, there can exist atomic squeezing [44]. To describe this effect, it is necessary to keep in mind trapped atoms, when, because of the finiteness of the system, the gauge symmetry is not yet broken and atoms are to be characterized by field operators. For the coherent modes, we have the field operators  $a_n$  and  $a_n^\dagger$  satisfying the Bose commutation relations and the averaging conditions

$$\langle a_m^\dagger a_n \rangle = N c_m^* c_n. \quad (47)$$

In the case of two modes, one can introduce the pseudo-spin operators

$$S^+ = a_2^\dagger a_1, \quad S^- = a_1^\dagger a_2, \quad S^z = \frac{1}{2} (a_2^\dagger a_2 - a_1^\dagger a_1), \quad (48)$$

satisfying the standard spin algebra

$$[S^+, S^-] = 2S^z, \quad [S^z, S^\pm] = \pm S^\pm.$$

To obey the averaging conditions (47), the pseudo-spin operators can be represented as the sums

$$S^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^\alpha. \quad (49)$$

Generally, for two operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ , there is the Heisenberg uncertainty relation

$$\text{var}(\hat{A}) \text{var}(\hat{B}) \geq \frac{1}{4} | \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle |^2, \quad (50)$$

in which the operator variance is

$$\text{var}(\hat{A}) \equiv \langle \hat{A}^+ \hat{A} \rangle - | \langle \hat{A} \rangle |^2.$$



The squeezing factor of an operator  $\hat{A}$  with respect to  $\hat{B}$  is defined as

$$Q(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \equiv \frac{2\text{var}(\hat{A})}{|\langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle|}. \quad (51)$$

Respectively, the squeezing factor of an operator  $\hat{B}$  with respect to  $\hat{A}$  is

$$Q(\hat{B}, \hat{A}) \equiv \frac{2\text{var}(\hat{B})}{|\langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle|}. \quad (52)$$

Then the Heisenberg uncertainty relation can be written as

$$Q(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) Q(\hat{B}, \hat{A}) \geq 1. \quad (53)$$

One says that  $\hat{A}$  is squeezed with respect to  $\hat{B}$ , if  $Q(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) < 1$ . The squeezing of one operator with respect to another means that the physical observable corresponding to the first operator can be measured more precisely than the observable corresponding to the second operator. The uncertainty relation in the form (53) tells us that if  $\hat{A}$  is squeezed with respect to  $\hat{B}$ , then  $\hat{B}$  is not squeezed with respect to  $\hat{A}$ ,

In our case, we consider the operators  $S^z$  and  $S^\pm$ , with the related squeezing factor

$$Q(S^z, S^\pm) = \frac{2\text{var}(S^z)}{|\langle S^\pm \rangle|}. \quad (54)$$

It follows that

$$Q(S^z, S^\pm) = \sqrt{1 - s^2}, \quad (55)$$

where  $s$  is the atomic population difference

$$s = \frac{2}{N} \langle S^z \rangle = |c_2|^2 - |c_1|^2. \quad (56)$$

Since  $s \leq 1$ , the squeezing factor is almost always less than one, hence the operator  $S^z$  is almost always squeezed with respect to  $S^\pm$ . In physical parlance, this implies that atomic population difference practically always can be measured more precisely than the atomic current proportional to  $\langle S^\pm \rangle$ .

## 7 Conclusion

Atom optics is a branch of physics studying matter-wave properties of atoms. A system of Bose condensed atoms in a trap allows for the creation of non-ground-state condensates, when the energy levels above the ground state can become macroscopically occupied. These excited energy levels are described by the eigenfunctions of the stationary nonlinear Schrödinger operator. The corresponding atomic states are called nonlinear coherent modes. The Bose condensate with nonlinear coherent modes has many properties analogous to those of the finite-level atoms in optics, because of which there appears the whole new branch of atom optics dealing with the effects typical of light optics with finite-level atoms. Here the description of such characteristic effects is given for resonant generation of two or several modes. Matter-wave interferometry based on Bose condensates with nonlinear coherent modes is described, including such effects

as interference patterns, interference current, Rabi oscillations, Ramsey fringes, harmonic generation, parametric conversion, mode locking, dynamic transition between Rabi and Josephson regimes, and atomic squeezing. More details can be found in the review article [48].

### **Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to V.S. Bagnato for many discussions.

### **Funding**

No funds, grants, or other support was received.

### **Author contributions**

All authors equally contributed to the paper.

### **Conflict of interests**

The authors have no conflicts of interests.

### **Financial interests**

The authors declare they have no financial interests.

## References

- [1] C.S. Adams, M. Sigel, and J. Mlynek, *Phys. Rep.* **240**, 143 (1994).
- [2] R.B. Doak, R.E. Grisenti, S. Rehbein, G. Schmahl, J.P. Toennies, and C. Wöll, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4229 (1999).
- [3] J.J. Berkhout, O.J. Luiten, I.D. Setija, T.W. Hijmans, T. Mizusaki, and J.T.M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1689 (1989).
- [4] A.D. Cronin, J. Schmiedmayer, and D.E. Pritchard, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1051 (2009).
- [5] L. Amico, et al., *AVS Quantum Sci.* **3**, 039201 (2021).
- [6] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **26**, 062001 (2016).
- [7] A.S. Parkins and D.F. Walls, *Phys. Rep.* **303**, 1 (1998).
- [8] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
- [9] P.W. Courteille, V.S. Bagnato, and V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **11**, 659 (2001).
- [10] J.O. Andersen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 599 (2004).
- [11] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **1**, 435 (2004).
- [12] K. Bongs and K. Sengstock, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **67**, 907 (2004).
- [13] V.I. Yukalov and M.D. Girardeau, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **2**, 375 (2005).
- [14] A. Posazhennikova, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 1111 (2006).
- [15] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **4**, 632 (2007).
- [16] N.P. Proukakis and B. Jackson, *J. Phys. B* **41**, 203002 (2008).
- [17] V.A. Yurovsky, M. Olshanii, and D.S. Weiss, *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **55**, 61 (2008).
- [18] V.I. Yukalov, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **42**, 460 (2011).
- [19] O. Morsch and M. Oberthaler, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 179 (2006).
- [20] C. Moseley, O. Fialko, and K. Ziegler, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **17**, 561 (2008).
- [21] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **19**, 1 (2009).
- [22] K.V. Krutitsky, *Phys. Rep.* **607**, 1 (2016).
- [23] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **28**, 053001 (2018).
- [24] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **16**, 511 (2006).
- [25] V.I. Yukalov, E.P. Yukalova, and Bagnato, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 4845 (1997).

- [26] O.M. Vokhnik, P.V. Korolenko, and R.T. Kubanov, *Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys.*, **86**, 1 (2022).
- [27] N.N. Bogolubov, *Lectures on Quantum Statistics* (Ryadyanska Shkola, Kiev, 1949).
- [28] N.N. Bogolubov, *Lectures on Quantum Statistics*, vol. 1 (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967).
- [29] N.N. Bogolubov, *Lectures on Quantum Statistics*, vol. 2 (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970).
- [30] N.N. Bogolubov, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2015).
- [31] E.P. Gross, *Phys. Rev.* **106**, 161 (1957).
- [32] E.P. Gross, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **4**, 57 (1958).
- [33] E.P. Gross, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **9**, 292 (1960).
- [34] E.P. Gross, *Nuovo Cimento* **20**, 454 (1961).
- [35] E.P. Gross, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 195 (1963).
- [36] T.T. Wu, *J. Math. Phys.* **2**, 105 (1961).
- [37] L.P. Pitaevskii, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **13**, 451 (1961).
- [38] B. Malomed, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Encyclopedia of Nonlinear Science*, ed. A. Scott (Routledge, New York, 2005) p. 639.
- [39] L. Allen and J.H. Eberly, *Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms* (Wiley, New York, 1975).
- [40] L. Mandel and Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
- [41] E. Timmermans, P. Tommasini, M. Hussein, and A. Kerman, *Phys. Rep.* **315**, 199 (1999).
- [42] V.I. Yukalov, K.P. Marzlin, and E.P. Yukalova, *Laser Phys.* **14**, 565 (2004).
- [43] V.I. Yukalov, K.P. Marzlin, and E.P. Yukalova, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 023620 (2004).
- [44] V.I. Yukalov, E.P. Yukalova, and V.S. Bagnato, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 043602 (2002).
- [45] I. Rabi, *Phys. Rep.* **51**, 652 (1937).
- [46] N.F. Ramsey, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 541 (1990).
- [47] V.I. Yukalov, E.P. Yukalova, and V.S. Bagnato, *Laser Phys.* **12**, 231 (2002).
- [48] V.I. Yukalov, E.P. Yukalova, and V.S. Bagnato, *Laser Phys.* **33**, 123001 (2023).

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Bose-Einstein condensation in a trap. Macroscopic occupation of a ground-state energy level.

**Figure 2.** Non-ground-state condensate in a trap. Macroscopic occupation of a non-ground-state energy level.

**Figure 3.** Spatial dependence for the density of a ground-state condensate and of a non-ground-state mode.

**Figure 4.** Harmonic generation for a Bose condensate with nonlinear coherent modes.

**Figure 5.** Parametric conversion for a Bose condensate with nonlinear coherent modes.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5