

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS BY THEIR CHARACTER CODEGREES

HUNG P. TONG-VIET

ABSTRACT. For a finite group  $G$  and an irreducible complex character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , the codegree of  $\chi$  is defined by  $\text{cod}(\chi) = |G : \ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ , where  $\ker(\chi)$  is the kernel of  $\chi$ . In this paper, we show that if  $H$  is a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type or a projective special linear group and  $G$  is any finite group such that the character codegree sets of  $G$  and  $H$  coincide, then  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Simple exceptional groups of Lie type	5
4. Projective special linear groups	12
5. Symplectic groups	15
References	17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $\chi$  be an irreducible complex character of  $G$ . Let  $\ker(\chi)$  denote the kernel of  $\chi$ , that is, the set of all elements  $g \in G$  such that  $\chi(g) = \chi(1)$ . The positive integer  $\chi(1)$  is called a character degree or a degree of  $\chi$ . This is the degree of the representation of  $G$  that affords the character  $\chi$ . In [9], Chillag and Herzog call the number  $|G|/\chi(1)$  a ‘character degree quotient’, and obtain several interesting results concerning the structure of the finite groups  $G$  by putting certain arithmetic restriction on these character degree quotient. For example, they show that if  $|G|/\chi(1)$  is a prime power for some irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , then  $G$  is not a finite nonabelian simple group (see [9, Theorem 1]). In a subsequent paper [10], Chillag, Mann and Manz called the quotient  $|G|/\chi(1)$  a ‘codegree of  $\chi$ ’. Among other results, they show that if  $G$  has an irreducible character  $\chi$  which satisfies the same condition as above for a prime  $p$ , then  $\chi$  is monomial and  $F^*(G) = O_p(G) \neq 1$ , where  $F^*(G)$  is the generalized Fitting subgroup of  $G$  and  $O_p(G)$  is the largest normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . This result was later reproved by Riese and Schmid in [35].

Qian, Wang and Wei [34] modified the definition of codegrees of characters by defining it to be  $|G : \ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ . Clearly, if  $\chi$  is faithful, then the two definitions coincide. However, the new definition of codegrees which we will use in this paper is more compatible with taking quotients in the sense that the codegree of a quotient of a finite group  $G$  is also the codegree of  $G$ . Now let  $\text{cd}(G)$  and  $\text{cod}(G)$  be the set of all degrees and codegrees of  $G$ , respectively. It is well known that these two sets have strong influence on the group structure. In the late 1990s, B. Huppert [23] conjectured that every finite nonabelian simple group is essentially determined by the set of its character degrees, that is, if  $H$  is a finite nonabelian simple group and  $G$  is a finite group such that  $\text{cd}(G) = \text{cd}(H)$ , then

---

*Date:* June 27, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15; Secondary 20D05.

*Key words and phrases.* codegrees; simple groups.

$G \cong H \times A$  for some abelian group  $A$ . This conjecture has been verified for all sporadic simple groups, the alternating groups of degree at least 5 and low degree finite nonabelian simple groups of Lie type (see [6, 20, 33] and the references therein). In [44], we are able to verify Huppert's conjecture for the remaining simple exceptional groups of Lie type. Motivated by Huppert's Conjecture, Qian [27, Problem 20.79] proposed the following:

**Conjecture.** (Codegrees Conjecture). *Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian simple group and let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

This conjecture has been verified for all 26 sporadic simple groups, the alternating groups,  ${}^2\text{B}_2(q^2)$ ,  ${}^2\text{G}_2(q^2)$ ,  ${}^2\text{F}_4(q^2)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_3(q)$ ,  $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ ,  $\text{PSp}_4(q)$  ( $q$  even) and other small finite nonabelian simple groups in [1, 2, 11, 12, 14, 15, 30, 45, 47]. In a recent paper, Hung and Moretó [21] show that the set of character codegrees together with their multiplicity determines the finite nonabelian simple groups up to isomorphism. This is analogous to a result stating that finite nonabelian quasisimple groups are uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebra or equivalently their character degrees together with their multiplicity obtained in [5, 41, 42, 43]. The authors also show that if  $S$  and  $H$  are finite nonabelian simple groups such that  $\text{cod}(S) \subseteq \text{cod}(H)$ , then  $S \cong H$ . This important result allows us to complete the verification of the Codegrees conjecture for all finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type and  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$  for  $n \geq 4$  and  $q$  a prime power.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian simple exceptional group of Lie type or  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$  with  $n \geq 4$  and  $q$  a prime power. Let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

We should mention that this is the first time that a conjecture in this flavor has been confirmed for a family of finite simple groups of Lie type with unbounded Lie rank over an arbitrary finite field.

We now describe our approach to the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $H$  be one of the finite simple groups in the statement of the theorem defined over a finite field of size  $q = p^f$  for some prime  $p$ . So  $H$  is a finite nonabelian simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the Codegrees conjecture with minimal order. By [21, Theorem 8.3],  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  and  $G/N \cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group of order  $r^m$  for some prime  $r$  and  $G$  is perfect. Hence, either  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ .

Since  $G$  is perfect, the former case implies that  $G$  is a finite quasisimple group with  $G/Z(G) \cong H$  and  $|Z(G)| = r$ . This case can be eliminated by finding certain small degree faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$  such that  $\chi(1)/r$  is not a degree of  $H$  (see Lemma 2.2). In the latter case,  $N$  is a faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module and  $r^{2m}$  divides  $|H|$  (see Lemma 2.3). At this point, we can divide the proof into two cases according to whether  $r$  is the defining characteristic  $p$  or not. For the cross characteristic cases, we can use the well-known Landazuri-Seitz-Zaleskii's bounds (see [26, Table 5.3.A]) to obtain a lower bound for  $m$  and check that  $r^{2m}$  is too large comparing to the order of the Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $H$  and we arrive at a contradiction. For the defining characteristic, we first get the lower bound  $m \geq Df$ , where  $D$  is the dimension of the natural module or the minimal module for  $H$  (see [17]). For finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type, this bound is large enough to guarantee that  $r^{2m} \nmid |H|$  and we are done. However, for  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$ , this is not the case. To obtain a contradiction, we use some results on the representation theory of finite groups of Lie type in defining characteristic to reduce it to the case where  $N$  is a natural module for  $\text{SL}_n(q)$  and then using the fact that the second cohomology  $H^2(\text{SL}_n(q), N)$  is trivial to deduce that  $G \cong N : \text{SL}_n(q)$  is a split extension and produce a faithful irreducible character of  $G$  whose degree is not divisible by  $p$ , which leads to a contradiction.

Our approach can be summarized as follows.

**Step 1.** For each quasisimple group  $K$  with  $K/Z(K) \cong H$  and  $r = |Z(K)|$  being a prime, show that  $\text{cod}(K) \neq \text{cod}(H)$ . To do this, it suffices to find a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $K$  such that  $\chi(1)/r$  is not a character degree of  $H$ . (See Lemma 2.2.)

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ . Use the Landazuri-Seitz-Zaleskii's bounds and their improvement (see [28, 36]) to get a lower bound  $m \geq e(H)$ , where  $e(H)$  is given in [26, Table 5.3.A], and verify that  $2m \geq 2e(H) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , which violates the claim that  $r^{2m}$  divides  $|H|$  (see Lemma 2.3). For those simple groups  $H$  with a small  $e(H)$ , we can use MAGMA [8] or GAP [13] to obtain a contradiction.

**Step 3.** Assume  $r = p$ . Let  $D$  be the dimension of the natural module for  $H$  if  $H$  is classical or the dimension of a minimal module if  $H$  is of exceptional type. Let  $L$  be the universal cover of  $H$  and let  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . We first obtain the bound  $m \geq Df$  (see [17]). For  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$ , we make use of the low-degree representations of  $L$  in defining characteristic in [18, 32] together with the Steinberg's twisted tensor product theorem, to reduce to the case when every  $kL$ -irreducible constituent of  $N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$  is quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $L$ .

**Step 4.** We can then use the action of  $L$  on  $N$  as well as the results on the second cohomology group of  $H$  on its natural module to obtain some information on the sizes of the nontrivial orbits of  $G$  on the set of irreducible characters of  $N$  which will lead to a contradiction.

Our approach could be used to verify the Codegree conjecture for other small degree classical groups. However, we might need a different method to verify the last step for large degree cases.

**Organization.** We collect some useful results for the proofs of the main theorem in Section 2. We will verify the Codegrees conjecture for the finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type in Section 3 and  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$  in Section 4. In the last section, we verify the first three steps for the symplectic groups  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ .

**Notation.** Our notation is more or less standard. We follow [26] for the notation of simple groups and [24, 25] for representation and character theories of finite groups. For a finite group  $G$ ,  $M(G)$  denotes the Schur multiplier of  $G$ ,  $F(G)$  is the Fitting subgroup of  $G$ , that is, the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $E(G)$  is the layer of  $G$ , that is, a subgroup of  $G$  generated by all components of  $G$  and  $F^*(G) = F(G)E(G)$  is the generalized Fitting subgroup of  $G$ . A component  $L$  of  $G$  is a subnormal quasisimple subgroup of  $G$ . A finite group  $L$  is quasisimple if  $L$  is perfect and  $L/Z(L)$  is a finite nonabelian simple group. We denote by  $\text{Irr}(G)$  the set of all irreducible characters of  $G$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we denote by  $\text{cod}(\chi)$ , the codegree of  $\chi$ , that is,  $\text{cod}(\chi) = |G : \ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ . If  $N$  is a normal subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ , we write  $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$  for the set of all irreducible characters of  $G$  lying above  $\theta$ . For a positive integer  $n$ , we write  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  for a cyclic group of order  $n$ . For a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ , the  $p$ -part of  $n$ , denoted by  $n_p$  is the largest power of  $p$  dividing  $n$  and  $n_{p'} = n/n_p$  is the  $p'$ -part of  $n$ . As usual,  $Z(G)$  is the center of  $G$ ,  $C_G(A)$  is the centralizer of  $A$  in  $G$ , where  $A$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we prove several lemmas that are needed for the proofs of the main theorem. We first deduce some properties of a minimal counterexample to the Codegrees conjecture.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian simple group and let  $G$  be a finite group with  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Suppose that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G/N \cong H$ . Then  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group, for some prime  $r$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $N \cong S^k$  for some finite simple group  $S$  and integer  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that  $S$  is nonabelian. It is well-known that  $S$  has a nontrivial irreducible character  $\theta$  that extends

to  $\text{Aut}(S)$  and thus the irreducible character  $\theta^k \in \text{Irr}(N)$  extends to  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  (see [41]). Now  $\chi$  is faithful by the uniqueness of  $N$ . Hence  $|G|/\chi(1) = |H|/\varphi(1)$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . It follows that  $\varphi(1) = \theta(1)^k/|S|^k$ . However, since  $S$  is a finite nonabelian simple group,  $\theta(1) < |S|$  and so  $\varphi(1) = (\theta(1)/|S|)^k < 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $S$  is abelian and hence  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . The fact that  $G$  is perfect follows from [21, Theorem 2.3].  $\square$

Assume the hypothesis and conclusion of Lemma 2.1. Since  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and is elementary abelian,  $N \leq C_G(N) \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $G/N \cong H$  is a finite nonabelian simple group, either  $C_G(N) = G$  and thus  $G$  is a quasisimple group with center  $N$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . To handle the former case, we can use the following observation.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian simple group and let  $G$  be a finite quasisimple group with  $N = Z(G)$  a cyclic group of prime order  $r$  such that  $G/N \cong H$ . Assume that  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Then for any faithful irreducible character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\chi(1)/r \in \text{cd}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  be a faithful irreducible character of  $G$ . Since  $\text{cod}(\chi) = |G|/\chi(1) \in \text{cd}(H)$ ,  $|G|/\chi(1) = |H|/\varphi(1)$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . Hence  $\varphi(1) = \chi(1)|H|/|G| = \chi(1)/r \in \text{cd}(H)$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

Therefore, to show that  $G$  cannot be a quasisimple group, for each prime divisor  $r$  of  $|M(H)|$ , where  $M(H)$  is the Schur multiplier of  $H$ , and every cyclic central extension  $L$  of  $H$  with  $|Z(L)| = r$ , we need to find a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $L$  such that  $\chi(1)/r \notin \text{cd}(H)$ .

The next lemma is key to our approach to verify the Codegrees conjecture.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian simple group and let  $G$  be a finite group with  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Suppose that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$ , where  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group of order  $r^m$  for some prime  $r$ ,  $G/N \cong H$  and  $C_G(N) = N$ . Then  $N$  is a nontrivial faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module and the following hold.*

- (i)  $r^{2m}$  divides  $|H|$ .
- (ii) If  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is faithful, then  $r^m \mid \chi(1)$  and  $\chi(1)/r^m \in \text{cd}(H)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a minimal normal elementary abelian  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $C_G(N) = N$ ,  $H \cong G/N = G/C_G(N)$  is isomorphic to an irreducible subgroup of  $\text{GL}(N) \cong \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_r)$ . Therefore,  $N$  is a nontrivial faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module.

We now show that  $r^{2m} \mid |H|$ . We know that  $G$  acts on  $\text{Irr}(N) \cong N$  by conjugation and let  $\{\mathcal{O}_i\}_{i=0}^t$  be  $G$ -orbits of this action with  $\mathcal{O}_0 = \{1_N\}$ . Since  $G$  does not centralize any nontrivial element of  $N$ ,  $G$  does not stabilize any nontrivial irreducible character of  $N$  and thus  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is the only  $G$ -orbit on  $\text{Irr}(N)$  that has size 1. For any  $j \geq 1$ , fix  $\theta_j \in \mathcal{O}_j$  and let  $T_j = I_G(\theta_j)$ . Then  $|\mathcal{O}_j| = |G : T_j|$ . If  $r \mid |\mathcal{O}_j|$  for all  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , then  $r \mid |N| - 1 = r^m - 1$ , which is impossible. Therefore, there exists an index  $j_0$  with  $1 \leq j_0 \leq t$  such that  $r \nmid |\mathcal{O}_{j_0}|$ . Let  $\theta = \theta_{j_0}$  and  $T = T_{j_0}$ .

Write  $\theta^T = \sum_{i=1}^a e_i \phi_i$ , where  $\text{Irr}(T|\theta) = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_a\}$  and  $e_i = \phi_i(1)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . By Clifford's correspondence theorem,  $\theta^G = \sum_{i=1}^a e_i \chi_i$ , where  $\chi_i := \phi_i^G \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq a$ ,  $\chi_k$  is faithful and thus  $\text{cod}(\chi_k) = |G|/\chi_k(1) = |H|/\varphi_k(1)$  for some  $\varphi_k \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . It follows that  $\chi_k(1) = r^m \varphi_k(1)$ , whence  $|G : T|\phi_k(1) = r^m \varphi_k(1)$ . Since  $r$  does not divide  $|\mathcal{O}_{j_0}| = |G : T|$ , we deduce that  $r^m \mid \phi_k(1)$  and hence  $\phi_k(1) = r^m c_k$  for some positive integer  $c_k$ . We now have

$$|T : N| = \theta^T(1) = \sum_{i=1}^a e_i \phi_i(1) = \sum_{i=1}^a \phi_i(1)^2 = r^{2m} \sum_{i=1}^a c_i^2.$$

Therefore,  $r^{2m}$  divides  $|H|$  as wanted.

Finally, let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  be a faithful character of  $G$ . Then  $\text{cod}(\chi) = |G|/\chi(1) = |H|/\varphi(1)$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . It follows that  $\chi(1) = |N|\varphi(1) = r^m \varphi(1)$  and (ii) follows. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. A prime divisor  $r$  of  $q^n - 1$  is called a primitive prime divisor of  $q^n - 1$  if  $r$  divides  $q^n - 1$  but  $r$  does not divide  $q^i - 1$  for any integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < n$ . By Zsigmondy's theorem [48], such a prime  $r$  exists unless  $(n, q) = (6, 2)$  or  $n = 2$  and  $q$  is a Mersenne prime. For a prime  $r \neq p$ , we write  $d_q(r)$  for the order of  $q$  modulo  $r$ . In this case,  $r$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^j - 1$  for  $j = d_q(r)$  and hence  $j \mid (r - 1)$ ; in particular,  $r \geq j + 1$ .

We also need the following number theoretic results that will be used to compute the orders of the Sylow subgroups of finite simple groups of Lie type.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $q$  be a prime power, let  $d$  be a positive integer and let  $r$  be a prime divisor of  $q - \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon = \pm$ .*

(i) *If  $r = 2$ , then*

$$(q^d - \epsilon)_r = \begin{cases} (q - \epsilon)_r & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ (q^2 - 1)_r (d/2)_r & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \epsilon = + \\ 2 & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \epsilon = -. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If  $r$  is odd, then*

$$(q^d - \epsilon)_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \epsilon = - \\ (q - \epsilon)_r (d)_r & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(q^d + \epsilon)_r = \begin{cases} (q - \epsilon)_r (d)_r & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \epsilon = - \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is Lemma 2.1 in [7]. □

The orders of the Sylow  $r$ -subgroups of finite simple classical groups in cross characteristic can be found in [46] and [37].

If  $r \geq 2$  and  $x \geq 1$  are integers, we will use the inequality  $x < r^x$  or equivalently  $\log_r(x) < x$  regularly. If  $1 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k$  are integers with  $k \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (q^{a_i} - 1) < q^{a_1 + \dots + a_k}.$$

Moreover, if  $a \geq 1$  is an integer, then  $q^a \pm 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}q^a$ . More generally, if  $2 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_k$  are integers and  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{(q^{a_1} + \epsilon_1) \dots (q^{a_k} + \epsilon_k)}{q^{a_1 + \dots + a_k}} < 2.$$

For a proof, see Lemma 2.1 in [39].

### 3. SIMPLE EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

Let  $q = p^f$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $f \geq 1$  is an integer. We will use the convention  $E_6^+(q)$  for  $E_6(q)$  and  $E_6^-(q)$  for  ${}^2E_6(q)$ . In this section, we will verify the Codegree conjecture for the following simple exceptional groups of Lie type:

$$G_2(q), F_4(q), E_6^c(q), E_7(q), E_8(q), {}^3D_4(q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2F_4(q), {}^2G_2(q) \quad (3.1)$$

We will exclude the groups  ${}^2B_2(2)$ ,  $G_2(2)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(3)$  and  ${}^2F_4(2)$  from our list above as they are not simple.

The order formulas for simple groups in (3.1) can be found in [26, Table 5.1.B] and the Schur multipliers of these groups can be found in [26, Theorem 5.1.4]. The simple groups with exceptional Schur multipliers are listed in [26, Table 5.1.D]. The lower bounds for the degrees of nontrivial absolutely irreducible projective representations of finite simple groups of Lie type in cross characteristic can be found in [26, Table 5.3.A] and finally the minimal modules for these simple groups can be found in [26, Table 5.4.C]. For certain groups with nontrivial Schur multiplier, we also need some information about the character degrees and their multiplicity available in [32]. Below is our main theorem in this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be one of the simple groups in (3.1) and let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

We will prove Theorem 3.1 for simple groups  $H$  with trivial Schur multiplier first.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong E_8(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By [21, Theorem 8.3], we know that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  and  $G/N \cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group of order  $r^m$  for some prime  $r$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . As the Schur multiplier of  $E_8(q)$  is trivial (see [26, Theorem 5.1.4]), the former case cannot occur. Hence  $C_G(N) = N$  and by Lemma 2.3, we have  $N$  is a faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module and  $r^{2m} \mid |H|$ . It follows that  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9],  $m \geq q^{27}(q^2 - 1)$ . From [26, Table 5.1.B],

$$|H| = q^{120}(q^2 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^{12} - 1)(q^{14} - 1)(q^{18} - 1)(q^{20} - 1)(q^{24} - 1)(q^{30} - 1).$$

Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $1 \leq j \leq 30$  and  $j$  divides 14, 18, 20, 24 or 30.

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $2 \mid (q - 1)$ . So  $j = 1$ . Now  $|H|_2 = 2^6(q^2 - 1)_2^8$  by Lemma 2.4 and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 6 + 8 \log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) < 6 + 8(q^2 - 1)_2$ . Since  $(q^2 - 1)_2 \leq q^2 - 1$ ,  $\log_2(|H|_2) < 6 + 8(q^2 - 1) < 8q^2$ . However, we have that  $2m \geq 2q^{27}(q^2 - 1) > 8q^2 > \log_r(|H|_r)$  for any  $q \geq 3$ , which is a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd.

Assume  $j = 1$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q - 1)_r^8(12 \cdot 14 \cdot 18 \cdot 20 \cdot 24 \cdot 30)_r$  by Lemma 2.4. We see that  $|H|_r \leq r^5(q - 1)_r^8$ . Now  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 5 + 8 \log_r((q - 1)_r) \leq 5 + 8(q - 1) < 8q$ . Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^{27}(q^2 - 1) > 8q > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction. Assume  $2 \leq j \leq 24$ . Similarly, we have  $|H|_r \leq r^5(q^j - 1)_r^8$  and hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 5 + 8 \log_r((q^j - 1)_r) < 8 + 8(q^j - 1) = 8q^j \leq 8q^{24}$ . Clearly,  $2m \geq 2q^{27}(q^2 - 1) > 8q^{24} > \log_r(|H|_r)$  for any  $q \geq 2$ . Finally, assume  $j = 30$ . Then  $\log_r(|H|_r) = \log_r((q^{30} - 1)_r) = \log_r((q^{15} + 1)_r) \leq q^{15} + 1 \leq q^{16}$ . Now  $2m \geq 2q^{27}(q^2 - 1) > q^{16} \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Thus we have shown that if  $r \neq p$ , then  $r^{2m} \nmid |H|$ .

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible representations of  $E_8(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is 248. By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{248f}$ , whence  $2m \geq 496f$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{120f}$  and thus since  $2m \geq 496f > 120f$ ,  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|$ , which is a contradiction.

This final contradiction shows that  $G \cong H$  as wanted. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong F_4(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By [21, Theorem 8.3], we know that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G/N \cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $r^m$ , for some prime  $r$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . We have that

$$|H| = q^{24}(q^2 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^{12} - 1).$$

**Step 1.** If  $q > 2$ , then the Schur multiplier of  $F_4(q)$  is trivial (see [26, Theorem 5.1.4]). For  $q = 2$ , the universal cover of  $F_4(2)$  is  $2.F_4(2)$  and so  $G \cong 2.F_4(2)$ . By GAP [13],  $2.F_2(2)$  has a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  of degree 52 and the smallest nontrivial character degree of  $H$  is 833. Thus  $52/2 = 26 \notin \text{cod}(H)$ , contradicting Lemma 2.2. Hence  $C_G(N) = N$  and by Lemma 2.3,  $r^{2m} \mid |H|$  and so  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume that  $r \neq p$ . For  $q = 2$ , we have  $m \geq 52$  by [19]. Since  $|F_2(2)| = 2^{24} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ ,  $r^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$ . So we assume that  $q > 2$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9], we have  $m \geq q^6(q^2 - 1)$  if  $q$  is odd and  $m \geq q^7(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)/2$  if  $q > 2$  is even. Note that  $q^7(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)/2 > q^6(q^2 - 1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $j$  divides 8 or 12.

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $2 \mid (q - 1)$ , so  $j = 1$ . Now  $|H|_2 = 2^3(q^2 - 1)_2^4$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 3 + 4\log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) < 4 + 4(q^2 - 1) = 4q^2$ . Now  $2m \geq 2q^6(q^2 - 1) > 4q^2 \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$ , a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd.

Case  $j = 1$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q - 1)_r^4(6 \cdot 12)_r \leq r^2(q - 1)_r^4$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2 + 4\log_r((q - 1)_r) \leq 2 + 4(q - 1).$$

Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^6(q^2 - 1) > 2 + 4(q - 1) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

Case  $j = 2$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q^2 - 1)_r^4(6 \cdot 12)_r \leq r^2(q^2 - 1)_r^4$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2 + 4\log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 2 + 4(q^2 - 1).$$

Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^6(q^2 - 1) > 2 + 4(q^2 - 1) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

For the remaining cases, since  $j \geq 3$ ,  $r \geq 5$ ,  $r$  divides neither 8 nor 12. If  $j \leq 6$ , then  $|H|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^3$ ; therefore,  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 3\log_r(q^j - 1) \leq 3(q^6 - 1) < 3q^6$  and hence  $2m \geq 2q^6(q^2 - 1) > 3q^6 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Finally, if  $7 \leq j \leq 12$ , then  $j = 8$  or  $12$  and hence

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq \log_r((q^j - 1)_r) \leq \log_r((q^{j/2} + 1)_r) \leq q^{j/2} + 1 \leq q^6 + 1.$$

Now  $2m \geq q^6(q^2 - 1) > q^6 + 1 > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , which is a contradiction.

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible representations of  $F_4(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is  $26 - \delta_{p,3} \geq 25$ . By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{25f}$ , whence  $m \geq 25f$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{24f}$  and thus  $2m \geq 50f > 24f = \log_p(|H|_p)$ . This final contradiction completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

We now consider the simple groups  $H \cong G_2(q)$ . Since  $G_2(2) \cong U_3(3).2$ , we assume that  $q > 2$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong G_2(q)$ , where  $q = p^f > 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By [21, Theorem 8.3], we know that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G/N \cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . Let  $|N| = r^m$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . We have that

$$|H| = q^6(q^2 - 1)(q^6 - 1).$$

**Step 1.** By [26, Theorem 5.1.4],  $M(G_2(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $M(G_2(4)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $M(G_2(q)) = 1$  for  $q \geq 5$ . By GAP [13],  $3.G_2(3)$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 27 and  $27/3 = 9$  is not a degree of  $H \cong G_2(3)$ . Similarly,  $2.G_2(4)$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 12 but  $12/2 = 6$  is not a character degree of  $G_2(4)$ . Hence  $C_G(N) = N$  and by Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume that  $r \neq p$ .

Assume  $q = 3$ . Then  $m \geq 14$  by [26, Table 5.3.A]. Since  $|G_2(3)| = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ ,  $r^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$ . Next, assume  $q = 4$ . Then  $m \geq 12$  and since  $|G_2(4)| = 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ ,  $r^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$ . Thus we assume that  $q \geq 5$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9], we have  $m \geq q(q^2 - 1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ .

(2a) Assume that  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $2 \mid (q - 1)$ , so  $j = 1$ . Now  $|H|_2 = (q^2 - 1)_2^2$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 2\log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) \leq 2(q^2 - 1)$ . We have  $2m \geq 2q(q^2 - 1) > 2(q^2 - 1) \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$  for any  $q \geq 5$ , a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd.

Case  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^2(2 \cdot 6)_r \leq r(q^2 - 1)_r^2$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 1 + 2\log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 1 + 2(q^2 - 1).$$

Clearly  $2m \geq 2q(q^2 - 1) > 1 + 2(q^2 - 1) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

Assume next that  $j = 3$ . Then  $r \geq 5$  and  $|H|_r = (q^3 - 1)_r = (q^2 + q + 1)_r$ , hence

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq \log_r((q^2 + q + 1)_r) \leq q^2 + q + 1.$$

Clearly  $2m \geq 2q(q^2 - 1) > q^2 + q + 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . The case  $j = 6$  is similar.

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible projective representations of  $G_2(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is  $7 - \delta_{p,2} \geq 6$ . By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{6f}$ , whence  $2m \geq 12f$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{6f}$  and thus since  $2m \geq 12f > 6f$ ,  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|$ . This final contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

We now consider the simple groups  $H \cong {}^3D_4(q)$ . Note that

$$|{}^3D_4(q)| = q^{12}(q^2 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^8 + q^4 + 1) \text{ and}$$

$$q^{12} - 1 = (q^4 - 1)(q^8 + q^4 + 1) \text{ and } q^8 + q^4 + 1 = (q^4 - q^2 + 1)(q^2 - q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1).$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong {}^2D_4(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . Let  $|N| = r^m$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ .

**Step 1.** By [26, Theorem 5.1.4],  $M({}^3D_4(q)) = 1$ . Hence  $C_G(N) = N$  and so by Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9],  $m \geq q^3(q^2 - 1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .

(2a) Assume that  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $j = 1$ . Now since  $q^8 + q^4 + 1$  is always odd, we have  $|H|_2 = (q^2 - 1)_2^2$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 2\log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) \leq 2(q^2 - 1)$ . Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^3(q^2 - 1) > 2(q^2 - 1) \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$  for any  $q \geq 3$ , a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd.

Case  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^2(6 \cdot 12)_r \leq r^2(q^2 - 1)_r^2$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2 + 2\log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 2 + 2(q^2 - 1).$$

Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^3(q^2 - 1) > 2 + 2(q^2 - 1) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume next that  $j = 3, 6$ . Then  $r \geq 5$  and so  $|H|_r = (q^3 \pm 1)_r^2 = (q^2 \pm q + 1)_r^2$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) = 2\log_r((q^2 \pm q + 1)_r) \leq 2(q^2 \pm q + 1) \leq 2(q^2 + q + 1).$$

Now  $2m \geq 2q^3(q^2 - 1) > 2(q^2 + q + 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $j = 12$ . Then  $r \geq 13$  and  $|H|_r = (q^8 + q^4 + 1)_r = (q^4 - q^2 + 1)_r$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^4 - q^2 + 1$ . Clearly  $2m \geq 2q^3(q^2 - 1) > q^4 - q^2 + 1 > \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 3.** Assume  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible representations of  ${}^3D_4(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is 8. By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{8f}$ , whence  $2m \geq 16f \geq$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{12f}$  and thus since  $2m \geq 16f > 12f$ , whence  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|_p$ . This final contradiction shows that  $G \cong H$  as wanted. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

For the remaining cases  $E_6(q)$ ,  ${}^2E_6(q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) and  $E_7(q)$ , their Schur multipliers are of order  $\gcd(3, q - 1)$ ,  $\gcd(3, q + 1)$  and  $\gcd(2, q - 1)$ , respectively. The Schur multiplier of  ${}^2E_6(q)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ . To handle the cases when the Schur multiplier of  $H$  is nontrivial, we will need the data available on F. Lübeck's website [32]. For each positive integer  $k$ , denote by  $\Phi_m = \Phi_m(q)$  the cyclotomic polynomial of degree  $m$  evaluated at  $q$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $H$  be one of the simple groups  $E_6(q)$ ,  ${}^2E_6(q)$  and  $E_7(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$  for some prime  $p$ . If  $G$  is a finite quasisimple group such that  $|Z(G)| = r$  is a prime and  $G/Z(G) \cong H$ , then  $\text{cod}(G) \neq \text{cod}(H)$ .*



*Proof.* We first consider the case when  $H$  has an exceptional Schur multiplier. So, let  $H = {}^2E_6(2)$ . Now  $M(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ . We only need to consider the cases  $G \cong 3 \cdot {}^2E_6(2)$  and  $G \cong 2 \cdot {}^2E_6(2)$ . In the first case,  $3 \cdot {}^2E_6(2)$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 46683 and  $46683/3 = 15561$  is not a degree of  $H$ . The smallest nontrivial degree of  ${}^2E_6(2)$  is 1938. Similarly,  $2 \cdot {}^2E_6(2)$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 2432 and  $2432/2 = 1216$  is not a degree of  $H$  as well. Thus by Lemma 2.2,  $\text{cod}(G) \neq \text{cod}(H)$ .

Now assume that  $H$  is one of the simple groups in the lemma with  $q > 2$  if  $H \cong {}^2E_6(q)$ . Assume also that  $H$  has a nontrivial Schur cover. Thus  $|M(H)|$  is a prime which is 3 in the first two cases and 2 in the last case. Let  $L$  be the full covering group of  $H$  and let  $L^*$  be the dual of  $L$ , so  $L$  is a simply connected group and  $L^*$  is the adjoint group. We can also assume that  $H = [L^*, L^*]$  and  $L/Z(L) \cong H$ . Note that  $Z(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$  and  $|L^* : H| = r$ .

(a) Assume that  $H \cong E_7(q)$ . In this case,  $d = \gcd(2, q-1) > 1$ , so  $q \geq 3$  is odd. Note that  $L = E_7(q)_{sc}$  and  $L^* = E_7(q)_{ad}$ . By using [32],  $L$  has a degree

$$D = \Phi_1^4 \Phi_3^2 \Phi_4 \Phi_5 \Phi_6 \Phi_7 \Phi_8 \Phi_9 \Phi_{12} \Phi_{18}$$

with multiplicity  $(q-1)/2$ . Now  $D/2$  is not a degree of  $L$ , so it is not a degree of  $H$ . Note that  $\text{cd}(H) \subseteq \text{cd}(L)$ . We are done if we can show that  $L$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree  $D$ . Assume by contradiction that every irreducible character of  $L$  of degree  $D$  is unfaithful, so they are characters of  $H$ . Now  $L^*$  also have irreducible characters of degree  $D$  with multiplicity  $(q-1)/2$  if  $3 \nmid (q-1)$  and  $(q-3)/2$  if  $3 \mid (q-1)$ . Furthermore,  $L^*$  has no irreducible character of degree  $2D$ . Therefore, every irreducible character of  $H$  of degree  $D$  extends to  $L^*$  but then  $L^*$  would have at least  $2(q-1)/2 = q-1$  irreducible character of degrees  $D$ , which is not the case. Hence  $L$  must have some faithful irreducible character of degree  $D$  as required.

The remaining two cases can be argued similarly using the degree  $D$  of  $L$  with the given multiplicity.

(b) Assume that  $H \cong E_6(q)$ . Then  $3 \mid (q-1)$  and so  $q \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{6}$ . By [32],  $L$  has a degree

$$D = \Phi_3^2 \Phi_6 \Phi_9 \Phi_{12}$$

with multiplicity  $q-2$  and  $L$  has no character of degree  $D/3$ . Also the multiplicity of  $D$  in  $L^*$  is  $q-4$  has  $L^*$  has no character of degree  $3D$ .

(c) Assume that  $H \cong {}^2E_6(q)$  with  $q > 2$ . Then  $3 \mid (q+1)$  and so  $q \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{6}$ . By [32],  $L$  has a degree

$$D = \Phi_3 \Phi_6^2 \Phi_{12} \Phi_{18}$$

with multiplicity  $q$  and  $L$  has no character of degree  $D/3$ . Also the multiplicity of  $D$  in  $L^*$  is  $q-2$  has  $L^*$  has no character of degree  $3D$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong E_7(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . Let  $|N| = r^m$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $G$  is not a quasisimple group. Thus  $C_G(N) = N$  and so by Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Let  $d = \gcd(2, q-1)$ . By [26, Table 5.1.B], we have

$$|H| = \frac{1}{d} q^{63} (q^2 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^{10} - 1)(q^{12} - 1)(q^{14} - 1)(q^{18} - 1).$$

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9],  $m \geq q^{15}(q^2 - 1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then

$$j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 18\}.$$

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd,  $d = 2$  and  $j = 1$ . We have  $|H|_2 = 2^2(q^2 - 1)_2^7$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 2 + 7 \log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) \leq 7q^2$ . We have  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > 7q^2 \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$  for any  $q \geq 3$ , a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd.

Case  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^7 (6 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \cdot 14 \cdot 18)_r \leq r^4 (q^2 - 1)_r^7$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 4 + 7 \log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 4 + 7(q^2 - 1) < 7q^2.$$

Now  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > 7q^2 > \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

Assume that  $j = 3, 6$ . Then  $r \geq 5$  and so  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^3 = (q^2 \pm q + 1)_r^3$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 3 \log_r((q^2 \pm q + 1)_r) \leq 3(q^2 \pm q + 1) \leq 3(q^2 + q + 1) < 3q^3.$$

Now  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > 3q^3 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $j = 4$ . Then  $r \geq 5$  and  $|H|_r = (q^4 - 1)_r^2 = (q^2 + 1)_r^2$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2(q^2 + 1) < 4q^2$ . Hence  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > 4q^2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $j = 5, 7, 9$ . Then  $r \geq 7$  and  $|H|_r \leq r(q^j - 1)_r$ . So  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 1 + \log_r(q^j - 1) \leq 1 + (q^j - 1) = q^j \leq q^9$ . We have  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > q^9 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

For the remaining values of  $j$ ,  $8 \leq j \leq 18$  is even and so  $r \geq 7$  and  $|H|_r \leq r(q^j - 1)_r \leq r(q^9 + 1)$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 1 + (q^9 + 1) = q^9 + 2 < 2q^9$ . Hence  $2m \geq 2q^{15}(q^2 - 1) > 2q^9 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible projective representations of  $E_7(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is 56. By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{56f}$ , whence  $2m \geq 112f$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{63f}$  and thus since  $2m \geq 112f > 63f$ , whence  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|$ . This final contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  $H \cong E_6^\epsilon(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . Let  $|N| = r^m$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $G$  is not a quasisimple group. Thus  $C_G(N) = N$  and so by Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Let  $d = \gcd(3, q - \epsilon)$ . By [26, Table 5.1.B], we have

$$|H| = \frac{1}{d} q^{36} (q^2 - 1)(q^5 - \epsilon)(q^6 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^9 - \epsilon)(q^{12} - 1).$$

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ . By [26, Theorem 5.3.9],  $m \geq q^9(q^2 - 1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then

$$j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 10, 18\}.$$

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd, and  $j = 1$ . We have  $|H|_2 \leq 2^4(q^2 - 1)_2^6$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) = 4 + 6 \log_2((q^2 - 1)_2) \leq 6q^2$ . We have  $2m \geq 2q^9(q^2 - 1) > 6q^2 \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$  for any  $q \geq 3$ , a contradiction.

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd. Case  $1 \leq j \leq 6$ . Then  $|H|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^6 (6 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \cdot 18)_r \leq r^4 (q^j - 1)_r^6$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 4 + 6 \log_r((q^j - 1)_r) \leq 4 + 6(q^j - 1) \leq 6q^6$ . Now  $2m \geq 2q^9(q^2 - 1) > 6q^6 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction. Assume that  $j = 9$ . Then  $r \geq 11$  and  $\epsilon = +$ . So  $|H|_r = (q^9 - 1)_r$  and hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^9 - 1 \leq q^9$ . Now  $2m \geq 2q^9(q^2 - 1) > q^9 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . For the remaining values of  $j$ ,  $8 \leq j \leq 18$  and  $j$  is even. So  $r \geq 11$  and  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r = (q^{j/2} + 1)_r$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^{j/2} + 1 \leq q^9 + 1 \leq 2q^9$ . Hence  $2m \geq 2q^9(q^2 - 1) > 2q^9 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible projective representations of  $E_6^\epsilon(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is 27. By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq p^{36f}$ , whence  $2m \geq 54f$ . Now  $|H|_p = p^{36f}$  and thus since  $2m \geq 54f > 36f$ , whence  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|$ . This final contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

The remaining simple exceptional groups  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$  with  $q = 2^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  ${}^2G_2(q)$  with  $q = 3^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  have been verified elsewhere. For completeness, we include the proofs here as well.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Theorem 3.1 holds for  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$  and  ${}^2G_2(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be one of the simple groups in the proposition. Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the proposition with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is perfect and  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . Let  $|N| = r^m$ . Then either  $G$  is quasisimple with  $N = Z(G)$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ . By [26, Theorem 5.1.4], the Schur multiplier of  $H$  is trivial except for  ${}^2\text{B}_2(8)$ , where its Schur multiplier is a Klein four-group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . By GAP [13], the quasisimple group  $2.{}^2\text{B}_2(8)$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 40 but  $40/2 = 20$  is not a degree of  ${}^2\text{B}_2(8)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $G$  cannot be a  $2.{}^2\text{B}_2(8)$ . Thus  $C_G(N) = N$  and so by Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(i) Assume  $H \cong {}^2\text{B}_2(q)$  with  $q = 2^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . We have

$$|{}^2\text{B}_2(q)| = q^2(q-1)(q^2+1) = q^2(q-1)(q-2^{n+1}+1)(q+2^{n+1}+1).$$

Note that the last three factors are pairwise coprime.

Assume that  $r = 2$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the minimal module for  $H$  is of dimension 4 and so by [17, Lemma 4.2], we have  $|N| \geq q^4$  or  $m \geq 4(2n+1)$ . Hence  $2m \geq 8(2n+1) > 2(2n+1) = \log_2(|H|_2)$ .

Now assume that  $r \geq 3$  is odd. Assume that  $n = 1$ . Then  $H = \text{B}_2(8)$  and so by [26, Table 5.3.A],  $m \geq 8$ . Now  $|H| = 2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$  and thus  $r^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$  for any odd prime  $r$ . Thus we can assume  $n \geq 2$  and so  $m \geq 2^n(q-1)$  by [26, Table 5.3.A]. For each odd prime  $r$  dividing  $|H|$ ,  $r$  divides exactly one of the last three factors in the order formula for  $H$  above. Hence  $|H|_r \leq q + 2^{n+1} + 1$ . However, we can check that  $2m \geq 2^{n+1}(q-1) > q + 2^{n+1} + 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(ii) Assume  $H \cong {}^2\text{G}_2(q)$  with  $q = 3^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Note that

$$|{}^2\text{G}_2(q)| = q^3(q-1)(q^3+1) = q^3(q-1)(q+1)(q^2-q+1).$$

Assume that  $r = 3$ . As in the previous case, note that the minimal module for  $H$  is of dimension 7, so  $m \geq 7(2n+1)$ . Hence  $2m \geq 14(2n+1) > 3(2n+1) = \log_3(|H|_3)$ .

Assume that  $r \neq 3$ . By [26, Table 5.3.A],  $m \geq q(q-1)$ . Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $|H|_2 = (q^2-1)_2 = 8$  since  $q^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . Since  $q \geq 27$ , it is clear that  $2m \geq 2q(q-1) > 3$  and thus  $2^{2m}$  does not divide  $|H|$ . Now assume  $r > 2$ . Then  $r \geq 5$  and thus  $r$  divides exactly one of the factors  $q-1$ ,  $q+1$  and  $q^2-q+1$ . Hence  $|H|_r \leq q^2-q+1$ . Now  $2q(q-1) - (q^2-q+1) = q^2-q-1 = q(q-1)-1 > 0$  since  $q \geq 27$ . Therefore,  $2m \geq 2q(q-1) > \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(iii) Assume  $H \cong {}^2\text{F}_2(q)$  with  $q = 2^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . So  $q \geq 8$ . We have

$$|{}^2\text{F}_4(q)| = q^{12}(q-1)(q^3+1)(q^4-1)(q^6+1).$$

Assume that  $r = 2$ . As in the previous case, note that the minimal module for  $H$  is of dimension 26, so  $m \geq 26(2n+1)$ . Hence  $2m \geq 52(2n+1) > 12(2n+1) = \log_2(|H|_2)$ , a contradiction.

Assume that  $r \geq 3$ . By [26, Table 5.3.A],  $m \geq 2^n q^4(q-1)$ . Let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $j \in \{1, 2, 4, 6, 12\}$ .

Case  $j = 1, 2, 4$ . Then  $|H|_r \leq (q^j-1)_r^2(3 \cdot 6)_r \leq r^2(q^j-1)_r^2$  and so

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2 + 2 \log_r((q^j-1)_r) \leq 2 + 2(q^2+1) \leq 4q^2.$$

Now  $2m \geq 2^{n+1}q^4(q-1) > 4q^2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume  $j = 6$ . Then  $r \geq 7$  and  $|H|_r \leq (q^3+1)_r = (q^2-q+1)_r$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^2-q+1 \leq 2q^2$ . Now  $2m \geq 2^{n+1}q^4(q-1) > 2q^2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume  $j = 12$ . Then  $r \geq 13$  and  $|H|_r \leq (q^6+1)_r = (q^4-q^2+1)_r$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^4-q^2+1 \leq 2q^4$ . Now  $2m \geq 2^{n+1}q^4(q-1) > 2q^4 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The theorem follows from Propositions 3.2 - 3.9.  $\square$

## 4. PROJECTIVE SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS

In this section, we assume that  $H \cong \text{PSL}_n(q)$  with  $n \geq 4$  and  $q = p^f$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $f \geq 1$ . Moreover, we assume that  $H$  is not isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_4(2)$  as  $\text{PSL}_4(2) \cong \text{A}_8$ . By [26, Theorem 5.1.4], the Schur multiplier  $M(H)$  is cyclic of order  $d = \gcd(n, q - 1)$ . Then  $L = \text{SL}_n(q)$  is the universal cover of  $H$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $H = \text{PSL}_n(q)$ , where  $n \geq 4, f \geq 1$  and  $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\text{cod}(G) = \text{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group of order  $r^m$  and  $G$  is perfect. Hence either  $G$  is a quasisimple group with center  $N$  of order  $r$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ .

**Step 1.** Assume that  $G$  is quasisimple with center  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$ . Recall that the center of  $\text{SL}_n(q)$  is cyclic of order  $d = \gcd(n, q - 1)$  and that  $Z(\text{SL}_n(q))$  has a unique subgroup of order  $e$  for each divisor  $e \geq 1$  of  $d$ . Since  $G$  is a central quotient of  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ , we deduce that  $r \mid d$  and  $Z(\text{SL}_n(q))$  has a unique subgroup  $A$  of order  $d/r$  and that  $\text{SL}_n(q)/A \cong G$ .

If  $q = 2$ , then  $d = \gcd(n, q - 1) = 1$ . Therefore, we assume that  $q > 2$  and that  $d > 1$ . We know that  $\text{SL}_n(q)$  has  $q - 1$  Weil characters  $\tau_{n,q}^i$  (see [40]) with  $0 \leq i \leq q - 2$  of degree  $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$  if  $1 \leq i \leq q - 2$  and  $(q^n - q)/(q - 1)$  if  $i = 0$ . Using the formula for  $\tau_{n,q}^i$  (see [38]), we see that the kernel of  $\chi = \tau_{n,q}^r$  is a subgroup of  $Z(\text{SL}_n(q))$  of order  $d/r$ , and thus  $\chi$  is a faithful irreducible character of  $\text{SL}_n(q)/\ker(\chi) \cong G$ .

Since  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is faithful,  $\text{cod}(\chi) = |G|/\chi(1) = |H|/\phi(1)$  for some  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . It follows that  $\chi(1) = r\phi(1)$ . Note that  $\phi$  is nontrivial as  $\chi(1) = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) > r$ . Assume first that  $H \cong \text{PSL}_4(3)$ . Then  $G \cong \text{SL}_4(3) \cong 2 \cdot \text{PSL}_4(3)$  and by using GAP [13],  $G$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 40 but  $40/2 = 20$  is not a degree of  $H$ . So, assume  $(n, q) \neq (4, 3)$ . By [39, Theorem 1.1], we have  $\phi(1) \geq (q^n - q)/(q - 1)$  and hence  $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1) = r\phi(1) \geq r(q^n - q)/(q - 1)$  which implies that  $(q^n - 1) \geq r(q^n - q)$  or equivalently  $(r - 1)(q^n - q) \leq q - 1$ , which is impossible as  $q \geq 3, r \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ .

We now have that  $C_G(N) = N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group and that  $G/N \cong H$  acts irreducibly on  $N$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $N$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module and  $r^{2m} \mid |H|$ , hence  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume that  $r \neq p$ . Since  $H$  has an irreducible representation of dimension  $m$  in cross characteristic  $r$ , by [18, Theorem 1.1], we have  $m \geq (q^n - q)/(q - 1) - \kappa_n$  if  $H$  is not isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_6(2)$  nor  $\text{PSL}_6(3)$ ; for the exception, we have  $m \geq 62 - \kappa_6$  and  $m \geq 363 - \kappa_6$ , respectively, where  $\kappa_n = 1$  if  $r$  divides  $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$  and 0 otherwise.

Assume first that  $H \cong \text{PSL}_6(2)$ . We have  $r > 2$  and  $|H| = 2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31$  and clearly  $2m > \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Similarly, for  $H \cong \text{PSL}_6(3)$ ,  $r \neq 3$  and  $|H| = 2^{11} \cdot 3^{15} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2$  and  $2m > \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Thus we can assume that

$$m \geq (q^n - q)/(q - 1) - 1 = (q^n - 2q + 1)/(q - 1) \geq q^{n-1} - 1.$$

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $2 \mid (q - 1)$ . Assume  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By [37, Table 2] or Lemma 2.4, we get  $|H|_2 \leq (q - 1)_2^{n-1} (n!)_2 \leq 2^n (q - 1)^n$ . Hence  $\log_2(|H|_2) \leq n + n \log_2(q - 1) \leq n + n(q - 1) = nq$ . Since  $n \geq 4$ , we have  $q^{n-2} > 2n$  for any  $q \geq 3$ . Hence  $2m \geq 2(q^{n-1} - 1) \geq 2(q - 1)q^{n-2} > 4n(q - 1) > nq \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$ , a contradiction.

Assume  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n = 2m + 1$ . Then

$$|H|_2 \leq |\text{GL}_n(q)|_2 / (q - 1)_2 \leq (m!)_2 4^m (q + 1)_2^m < 2^{3m} (2q)^m = 2^{4m} q^m.$$

Hence  $\log_2(|H|_2) \leq 4m + m \log_2(q) \leq 2n + nq \leq n(q + 2)$ . As in the previous case,  $2m > 4n(q - 1) > n(q + 2) \geq \log_2(|H|_2)$ . The remaining case is similar.

(2b) Assume that  $r > 2$ . Note that  $r \mid \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i - 1)$ . Let  $d_q(r)$  be the order of  $q$  modulo  $r$  and let  $j = d_q(r)$ . Then  $j$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $r \mid q^j - 1$ . We have that  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^k (k!)_r$ , where  $k = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ . Since  $r^{2m} \mid |H|$ , we deduce that

$r^{2m} \leq |H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r^k (k!)_r$ . Since  $m \geq (q^n - q)/(q - 1) - \kappa_n$ , we have

$$2(q^{n-1} - 1) < 2[(q^n - q)/(q - 1) - \kappa_n] \leq 2m.$$

(i) Assume that  $j = n$ . Then  $n \mid r - 1$  and so  $r \geq n + 1$ . Now  $|H|_r = (q^n - 1)_r$ . Clearly,  $r \nmid (q - 1)$  so  $r \mid (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ . It follows that  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq |H|_r \leq (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ . However, we can see that  $2(q^n - 2q + 1)/(q - 1) > (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$  if and only if  $q^n > 4q - 3$ , which is true since  $q \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore,  $2m \geq (q^n - 2q + 1)/(q - 1) > (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(ii) Assume that  $n/2 < j \leq n - 1$ . Then  $k = \lfloor n/j \rfloor = 1$  and  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r$ . With the same argument as above, we get  $2m \geq 2(q^{n-1} - 1) > q^{n-1} - 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

(iii) Assume  $1 \leq j \leq n/2$ . We have

$$\log_r(|H|_r) \leq k \log_r(q^j - 1)_r + \log_r((k!)_r) \leq n/j(q^j - 1) + n/j = nq^j/j \leq nq^j \leq nq^{n/2}.$$

Since  $2m \geq 2(q^{n-1} - 1) > q^{n-1}$ , it suffices to show that  $q^{n-1} > nq^{n/2}$  or equivalently  $q^{n-2} > n^2$  for all integers  $n \geq 4$  and  $q \geq 2$ . If  $q \geq 5$ , then  $q^{n-2} \geq 5^{n-2} > n^2$  by induction on  $n \geq 4$ . So, assume  $2 \leq q \leq 4$ . We have  $q^{n-2} > n^2$  except for the following cases:  $q = 2$  and  $5 \leq n \leq 8$ ;  $q = 3$  and  $n = 4$  or  $q = 4$  and  $n = 4$ . For these cases, direct calculation shows that  $2m > \log_r(|H|_r)$  for every prime divisor  $r \neq p$  of  $|H|$ .

**Step 3.** Assume that  $r = p$ . Recall that  $H \cong \text{PSL}_n(q)$ ,  $L \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$  and  $L/Z(L) \cong H$ . Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $E = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a Galois extension of  $F$  of degree  $f$ , where  $q = p^f$ . Let  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  be such that  $F \subseteq E \subseteq k$ . For a field extension  $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ , if  $U$  is an  $F_1 L$ -module, then we let  $U^{F_2} = U \otimes_{F_1} F_2$ . Then  $U^{F_2}$  is an  $F_2 L$ -module and  $\dim_{F_2}(U^{F_2}) = \dim_{F_1}(U)$ .

By [26, Proposition 5.4.4],  $E$  is a splitting field for  $L$  and thus by [24, Theorem VII.2.3] every irreducible  $EL$ -module  $U$  is absolutely irreducible and the  $kL$ -module  $U^k$  is absolutely irreducible as well. Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_y$  be all irreducible  $EL$ -modules (up to isomorphism). Then  $V_i^k$  ( $1 \leq i \leq y$ ) are all irreducible  $kL$ -modules (up to isomorphism). Conversely, let  $W$  be an irreducible  $kL$ -module. Then there exists an irreducible  $EL$ -module  $W_0$  which is isomorphic to one of the  $V_i$ 's, ( $1 \leq i \leq y$ ), such that  $W$  is isomorphic to the  $kL$ -module  $W_0^k$ . Now  $N$  is a faithful irreducible  $FH$ -module and hence it is an irreducible  $FL$ -module, where the center of  $L$  acts trivially on  $N$ . By [24, Theorem VII.1.18(c)],  $N^E$  is a completely reducible  $EL$ -module and

$$N^E \cong W_1 \oplus W_2 \cdots \oplus W_t$$

where  $W_i$ 's are irreducible  $EL$ -modules and all  $W_i$ 's are conjugate under the automorphisms of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  and every isomorphism type appears among the  $W_i$  with multiplicity one (see [25, Theorem 9.21]) and that  $t$  divides  $|E : F| = f$ .

Note that  $L$  is simply connected of Lie type over  $E = \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ . By [26, Table 5.4.C], the smallest dimension of nontrivial irreducible representations of  $L$  over  $k$  is  $n$ . Following [17], we call the Frobenius twists of restricted modules of groups of Lie type 'restricted'. We now follow the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [17]. By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $|N| = p^m \geq q^n$  (we can also get this bound using the existence of primitive prime divisor of  $p^{nf} - 1$  in  $H$ , which always exists by Zsigmondy's theorem except for the case  $(n, q) = (6, 2)$ .) It follows that  $m \geq nf$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have  $2m \leq \log_p(|H|_p) = fn(n - 1)/2$ . Hence  $2nf \leq fn(n - 1)/2$ , which implies that  $n \geq 5$ .

Let  $W$  be an irreducible constituent of  $N^E$ . Then  $W$  is an absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_{p^f} L$ -module. Let  $p^e$  be the smallest power of  $p$  such that  $W$  is an absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} L$ -module, which is realized over no proper subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ . By [26, Proposition 5.4.6(i)], there exists an irreducible  $kL$ -module  $M$  such that

$$W^k \cong M \otimes M^{(e)} \otimes \cdots \otimes M^{(f-e)}.$$

In particular,  $\dim_k(W) = \dim_k(M)^{f/e}$ . Note that  $\dim_k(M) \geq n$ . Hence

$$|N| = p^m \geq |W| \geq p^{e \dim_k(M)^{f/e}}.$$

Assume that  $s := f/e \geq 3$ . Then  $m \geq en^s$ . We now claim that  $en^s \geq n^2f$ . This is equivalent to  $n^{s-2} \geq s$ , where  $n \geq 5$  and  $s \geq 3$ . This can be proved easily by induction on  $s \geq 3$ . We now obtain that  $2fn^2 \leq 2m \leq fn(n-1)/2$ , which is equivalent to  $4n \leq n-1$ , which is impossible.

Assume  $s = 2 = f/e$ . Assume  $M$  is not restricted. Then  $\dim_k(M) \geq n^2$  and hence  $m \geq 2n^2f$ . In this case,  $2m \geq 4n^2f > fn(n-1)/2$ . We now assume that  $M$  is restricted. We now have  $W^k \cong M \otimes M^{(f/2)}$  and the smallest field over which  $W$  can be realized is  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f/2}}$ . Again, we get  $m \geq en^2 = n^2f/2$ , whence  $2m \geq n^2f > n(n-1)f/2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

Assume  $e = f$ . If  $M$  is a tensor product of at least two nontrivial restricted irreducible  $kL$ -modules, then  $m \geq n^2f$  and we get a contradiction as in the previous cases. We now assume that  $M$  is a restricted irreducible  $kL$ -module. Then  $|W| = p^{f \dim_k(M)}$  and hence  $m \geq f \dim_k(M)$ . Assume first that  $\dim_k(M) > n$ . By using [31] or [26, Table 5.4.A], we see that  $\dim_k(M) \geq n(n-1)/2$  and thus  $2m \geq fn(n-1) > fn(n-1)/2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

We are left with the case when  $W^k \cong M$  is a restricted  $kL$ -module with  $\dim_k(W) = n$  and hence  $M$  is quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $L$ . It follows that  $|W| = q^n$  and hence  $\dim_E(N^E) = t \dim_E(W) = tn$  as  $\dim_E(W_i) = \dim_E(W)$  for all  $i$ . Since  $m = \dim_F(N) = \dim_E(N^E)$ , we get  $m = tn \leq fn$ , as  $t$  divides  $|E : F| = f$ . However, we already know that  $m \geq nf$ , whence  $t = f$  and  $m = nf$ . Thus  $|N| = p^m = p^{nf} = q^n$ .

**Step 4.** We have that  $m = nf$  and  $|N| = q^n$ . Recall that if  $X$  is a finite group and  $A$  is an  $X$ -module, let  $H^2(X, A)$  be the second cohomology group of  $X$  with coefficients in  $A$ . It is well-known that  $H^2(X, A)$  is nonzero if and only if there is a nonsplit extension of  $A$  by  $X$ . From [3, Table I], we know that since  $n \geq 5$ ,  $H^2(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), V)$  is trivial, where  $V$  is the natural module for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ , except for the case  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_5(2)$ .

Assume that  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_5(2)$  and  $N$  is not quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $H$  so that  $G$  is isomorphic to the nonsplit extension  $2^5 \cdot \mathrm{GL}_5(2)$  which is called the Dempwolff group and appears as a maximal subgroup of the Thompson sporadic simple group  $\mathrm{Th}$ . By GAP [13], we can check that this group has a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  of degree  $248 = 2^3 \cdot 31$ . Clearly  $\chi(1)/2^5$  is not a character degree of  $H$  and thus this case cannot occur. By using GAP [13], we can also assume that  $H \notin \{\mathrm{PSL}_5(2), \mathrm{PSL}_6(2)\}$ .

If  $f = 1$ , then  $N$  is quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(p)$  and hence  $\mathrm{SL}_n(p)$  has exactly one nontrivial orbit on  $N$  and since  $N \cong \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}_n(p)$  has exactly one nontrivial orbit on  $\mathrm{Irr}(N)$  of size  $p^n - 1$ . Since  $H$  is perfect and embeds into  $\mathrm{GL}_n(p)$ , we see that  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(p)$ . Since  $\mathrm{SL}_n(p)$  is quasisimple as  $n \geq 5$ , and contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSL}_n(p)$ , it forces  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(p)$ . In particular,  $\gcd(n, p-1) = 1$ .

Therefore,  $G = N : H_0$  with  $H_0 \cong H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ . Furthermore, since  $N \cong \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ ,  $G$  has exactly one nontrivial orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $\mathrm{Irr}(N)$  of size  $p^n - 1$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $T = I_G(\theta) \cong N : T_0$ , where  $T_0$  is the stabilizer of a vector in the natural module  $N$ . By [26, Proposition 4.1.17], we deduce that  $T_0 \cong C : A$ , where  $C$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^{n-1}$  and  $T_0 \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(p)$ . Since  $\theta$  is  $T$ -invariant and  $T$  splits over  $N$ , by [22, Lemma 22.2],  $\theta$  extends to  $\theta_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(T)$  and so since  $T_0/C \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(p)$ ,  $T_0$  has an irreducible character of degree  $(p^{n-1} - p)/(p-1)$  (the degree of a unipotent character of  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(p)$ ) and hence by Gallagher's Theorem [25, Corollary 6.17],  $T$  has an irreducible character  $\phi$  lying over  $\theta$  of degree  $(p^{n-1} - p)/(p-1)$ . It follows that  $\chi = \phi^G \in \mathrm{Irr}(G|\theta)$  is faithful and hence by Lemma 2.3,  $|N| = p^n$  divides  $\chi(1) = |G : T|\phi(1) = (p^n - 1)(p^{n-1} - p)/(p-1)$ , which is impossible as  $n \geq 5$ . (We can also take  $\theta_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_G(\theta))$  that is an extension of  $\theta$  to  $I_G(\theta)$  and thus  $\theta_0^G \in \mathrm{Irr}(G|\theta)$  with degree  $p^n - 1$  and get a contradiction as well.)

Now assume that  $f > 1$ . Note that  $(nf, p) \neq (6, 2)$  since  $n \geq 5$  and  $f \geq 2$ . Thus a primitive prime divisor  $p^{nf} - 1$  exists and divides  $|H|$ . Since  $H \cong \mathrm{PSL}_n(p^f)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_m(p) = \mathrm{SL}_{nf}(p)$ , by [4, Section 3] or [16],  $H$  must be isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{C}_3$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_m(p)$  and thus  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_k(p^e)$  with  $ke = m = nf$ . It follows that  $k = n$  and  $e = f$  is the

only possibility and thus  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$  which also forces  $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ . Furthermore,  $H$  acts transitively on the nonzero vectors of  $N$  as a natural module for  $\mathrm{SL}_m(p)$ . (See [29, Appendix 1]). From the construction of  $\mathcal{C}_3$ -subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_m(p)$ ,  $N$  can be considered an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space of dimension  $n$  and it is in fact the natural module for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ . (We start with the natural module  $N$  for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$  of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$  and then consider  $N$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module of dimension  $m = nf$ .) We can then apply the same argument as in the case  $f = 1$  to obtain a contradiction. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1 now follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

## 5. SYMPLECTIC GROUPS

Let  $L = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ , where  $n \geq 2$  and  $q = p^f$ . If  $n = 2$ , we assume  $q > 2$ . Then  $H = L/Z(L) \cong \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  with  $d = |Z(L)| = \gcd(2, q-1)$ . Let  $D$  be the dimension of the natural module for  $L$ , so  $D = 2n$ , and it is also the smallest dimension of a nontrivial absolutely irreducible  $kL$ -module, where  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $E = \mathbb{F}_q$ . We have

$$|\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)| = \frac{1}{d} q^{n^2} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i} - 1).$$

Moreover, for  $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$ , we have  $|M(H)| = d$  while  $M(\mathrm{PSp}_6(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  (see [26, Theorem 5.1.4]). In this section, we will verify the first three steps for the finite simple symplectic groups and as a consequence, confirming the Codegrees conjecture for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $H = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ , where  $n \geq 2$  and  $(n, q) \neq (2, 2)$ . Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the Codegrees conjecture with minimal order. Let  $L = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ . Then  $n \geq 4$  and the following hold.*

- (i)  $G$  is perfect and has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  which is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.
- (ii) All the irreducible  $kL$ -submodules of  $N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$  is quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $L$ .
- (iii)  $|N| = q^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample to the theorem with minimal order. Then  $\mathrm{cod}(G) = \mathrm{cod}(H)$  but  $G \not\cong H$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group of order  $r^m$  and  $G$  is perfect. Hence either  $G$  is a quasisimple group with center  $N$  of order  $r$  or  $C_G(N) = N$ .

**Step 1.** Assume that  $G$  is quasisimple with center  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$ . Assume first that  $H = \mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$ . Then  $G \cong 2.\mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$  and by using GAP [13],  $G$  has a faithful irreducible character of degree 8 but  $8/2 = 4$  is not a character degree of  $H$ , so  $G$  cannot be  $2.\mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$  by Lemma 2.2.

Now assume that  $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$ . Then  $G \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ ,  $r = 2$  and  $q$  is odd. By [40, Lemma 2.6],  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  always has a faithful irreducible character of degree  $(q^n - \alpha)/2$ , where  $4 \mid (q^n - \alpha)$  and  $\alpha \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Now  $(q^n - \alpha)/4$  is not a degree of  $H$  since the minimal nontrivial degree of  $H$  is at least  $(q^n - 1)/2$  by [26, Table 5.3.A].

We now have that  $C_G(N) = N$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group and that  $G/N \cong H$  acts irreducibly on  $N$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $N$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_r H$ -module and  $r^{2m}$  divides  $|H|$ . Hence  $2m \leq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 2.** Assume  $r \neq p$ .

Assume that  $H \cong \mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$ . Then  $m \geq 7$  by [26, Table 5.3.A]. We have  $|\mathrm{PSp}_6(2)| = 2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . We can see that  $r^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$  for any odd prime  $r$ . Therefore, we might assume that  $H \not\cong \mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$ . By [26, Table 5.3.A], we have  $m \geq (q^n - 1)/2$  if  $q$  is odd and  $m \geq q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} - 1)(q - 1)/2$  if  $n$  is even. In both cases, we have  $2m \geq q^n - 1$ .

(2a) Assume  $r = 2$ . So  $q$  is odd and  $|H|_2 = (q^2 - 1)_2^n (n!)_2 / 2 < (q^2 - 1)_2^n 2^{n-1}$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) < n - 1 + n(q^2 - 1) = nq^2 - 1$ . If  $n \geq 3$ , then  $2m \geq q^n - 1 \geq nq^2 - 1 > \log_2(|H|_2)$

for any  $q \geq 3$  as  $q^{n-2} \geq 3^{n-2} \geq n$ . Assume  $n = 2$ . Then  $|H|_2 \leq (q^2 - 1)_2^2$  and so  $\log_2(|H|_2) < 2 \log_2(q^2 - 1)$ . Thus we need to show that  $2m \geq q^2 - 1 > 2 \log_2(q^2 - 1)$  which is always true since  $q^2 - 1 \geq 8$ .

(2b) Assume  $r \geq 3$  is odd. Let  $j := d_q(r)$  be the order of  $q$  modulo  $r$ . Then  $j$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $r \mid q^j - 1$  and  $r \geq j + 1$ .

(i) Assume  $j$  is odd. By [37, Table 1],  $|H|_r = |\mathrm{GL}_n(q)|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k r^{k/(r-1)}$  and hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq k/(r-1) + k \log_r(q^j - 1)$ .

Assume  $j = n$  is odd. Then  $r \geq n + 1$  and so  $|H|_r = (q^n - 1)_r$ . Since  $r \nmid (q - 1)$ , we have  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ . If  $q > 2$ , then  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . If  $q = 2$ , then  $2m \geq 2^{n-1}(2^{n-1} - 1) > 2^n - 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $n - 1 \geq j > n/2$ . Then  $k = \lfloor n/j \rfloor = 1$  and thus  $|H|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r$ . Hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq q^j - 1 \leq q^{n-1} - 1$ . Now  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > q^{n-1} - 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $1 \leq j \leq n/2$ . Then  $|H|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k (k!)_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k r^{k/(r-1)}$  and thus  $\log_r(|H|_r) < k(q^j - 1) + k = kq^j \leq nq^{n/2}$ .

Assume that  $q \geq 3$ . Then  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > q^n/2$ . Observe that  $q^n/2 \geq nq^{n/2}$  is equivalent to  $q^n \geq 4n^2$  which is always true for any  $q \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore,  $2m > q^n/2 \geq nq^{n/2} \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume  $n = 2$ . Then  $j = 1$  and  $\log_r(|H|_r) = 2 \log_r((q - 1)_r) \leq 2(q - 1)$  and so  $2m \geq q^2 - 1 > 2(q - 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Similarly, if  $n = 3$ , then  $j = 1$  and  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 1 + 3 \log_r((q - 1)_r) \leq 1 + 3(q - 1) < 3q$  and so  $2m \geq q^3 - 1 > 3q \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

Assume  $q = 2$ . Then  $n \geq 4$  and  $2m \geq 2^{n-1}(2^{n-1} - 1)/2 = 2^{n-2}(2^{n-1} - 1)$ . Now  $2^{n-2}(2^{n-1} - 1) > n2^{n/2}$  if and only if  $2^{(n-4)/2}(2^{n-1} - 1) > n$ . Since  $n \geq 4$ , we can check that  $2^{n-1} > n$ . Therefore,  $2m \geq 2^{n-2}(2^{n-1} - 1) > n2^{n/2} \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ , a contradiction.

(ii) Assume  $j$  is even. So  $(q^j - 1)_r = (q^{j_0} + 1)_r$ . By [37, Table 1],  $|H|_r = |\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(q)|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k (k!)_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k r^k$ , where  $k = \lfloor 2n/j \rfloor$  and hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq k + k \log_r(q^j - 1)_r$ .

(ii) (1) Assume  $j = 2n$ . Then  $r \mid (q^n + 1)$  and  $r \geq 2n + 1$  so  $|H|_r = (q^{2n} - 1)_r = (q^n + 1)_r$ . Assume  $q$  is odd. Then  $(q^n + 1)_r = ((q^n + 1)/2)_r$  since  $r$  is odd. Thus  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > (q^n + 1)/2 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume  $q$  is even. If  $q = 2$ , then  $2m \geq 2^{n-1}(2^{n-1} - 1)/2 = 2^{n-2}(2^{n-1} - 1) > (2^n + 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$  since  $n \geq 4$ . Assume  $q \geq 4$  is even. Then  $2m \geq q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} - 1)(q - 1)/2 > q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} - 1) > q^n + 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(ii) (2) Assume  $2n > j > n$ . Then  $k = 1$ . We have  $|H|_r = (q^j - 1)_r = (q^{j_0} + 1)_r$  and so  $\log_r(|H|_r) = \log_r(q^{j_0} + 1) \leq q^{n-1} + 1$ . Now  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > q^{n-1} - 1 \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

(ii) (3) Assume  $n \geq j \geq 2$ . Then  $k \leq n$  and  $|H|_r \leq (q^j - 1)_r^k (k!)_r \leq (q^{j_0} + 1)_r^k r^k$  and hence  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq k + k(q^{j_0} + 1) \leq k(q^{n/2} + 2) \leq n(q^{n/2} + 2) \leq 2nq^{n/2}$  as  $n \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 2$ .

Assume first that  $q$  is even. So  $2m \geq q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} - 1) > q^{2n-2}/2$ . Now observe that  $q^n \geq 4n$  for any  $n \geq 4$  and  $q \geq 2$ . Hence  $q^{2n-2}/2 = q^n q^{n-2}/2 \geq q^n/2 \geq 2nq^{n-2} \geq 2nq^{n/2}$  and thus  $2m > \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume that  $n = 2$  or  $3$ . Then  $q \geq 4$  and clearly  $q^n \geq 4^n \geq 4n$  and again  $2m > \log_r(|H|_r)$  as above.

Assume  $q$  is odd. Assume first that  $n = 2$ . Then  $j = 2$  and  $2m \geq q^2 - 1$ . Now  $\log_r(|H|_r) = 2 \log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 2(q + 1)$ . However, we see that  $2m \geq q^2 - 1 > 2(q + 1) \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ . Assume next that  $n = 3$ . Again,  $j = 2$ ,  $2m \geq q^3 - 1$  and  $\log_r(|H|_r) = 1 + 3 \log_r((q^2 - 1)_r) \leq 1 + 3(q + 1) < q^3 \leq 2m$ . Assume that  $n = 4$ . Then  $j = 2$  or  $4$  and  $2m \geq q^4 - 1$ . If  $j = 2$ , then  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 1 + 4(q + 1) < q^4 - 1 \leq 2m$ . If  $j = 4$ , then  $\log_r(|H|_r) \leq 2(q^2 + 1) < q^4 - 1 \leq 2m$ . Assume  $n \geq 5$ . By induction on  $n \geq 5$ ,  $q^n > 4n^2$  for  $q \geq 3$  and thus  $q^{n/2} > 2n$ . Finally  $q^n - 1 > 2nq^{n/2}$  is equivalent to  $q^{n/2}(q^{n/2} - 2n) > 1$  which is true by the previous claim. Thus  $2m \geq q^n - 1 > 2nq^{n/2} \geq \log_r(|H|_r)$ .

**Step 3.** Assume  $r = p$ . In this case, we can use the same argument as in Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $L \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  with center  $Z(L)$  of order  $\mathrm{gcd}(2, q - 1)$  and  $L/Z(L) \cong H$ . Again, let  $E = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $W$  be an irreducible constituent of  $N^E$  and let  $p^e$  be the smallest power of  $p$  such that  $W$  is an absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}L$ -module, which is realized over no proper subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ . Let  $N^k = N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} k$ . By [17, Lemma 4.2],  $m \geq 2nf$ ,



where  $D = 2n$  is the smallest dimension of irreducible representation of  $L = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  over  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . As  $2m \geq \log_p(|H|_p)$ , we get  $2m \leq n^2 f$  and thus  $n^2 f \geq 4nf$  so  $n \geq 4$ .

Therefore  $D = 2n \geq 8$  and we can apply [17, Lemma 4.2] directly and hence cases (i), (ii) or (v) holds. If (v) holds, then  $m \geq 2fD^2 \geq 8n^2 f$ . If (ii) holds, then  $f$  is even and  $m \geq (f/2)(2n)^2 = 2n^2 f$ . Finally, if (i) holds and  $N^k$  is a tensor product of at least two restricted irreducible  $kL$ -modules, then  $m \geq 4n^2 f$ . For these cases, we see that  $m \geq 2fn^2$  and so  $2m \geq 4n^2 f > n^2 f$  and thus  $p^{2m}$  cannot divide  $|H|$ . Therefore, we are left with the case when  $N^k$  is a restricted  $kL$ -module and  $f = e$ . Assume  $n \geq 12$ . By [31], the dimension of a restricted  $kL$ -module is either  $2n$  or at least  $2n^2 - n - 2$ . If the latter case holds, then  $2m \geq 2(2n^2 - n - 2)f \geq 2n^2 f > n^2 f$  and thus  $p^{2m} \nmid |H|$ . Therefore,  $m = 2nf$  and  $N^k$  is quasi-equivalent to the natural module for  $L$ . Hence  $m = 2nf$  and  $|N| = q^{2n}$ .

We now consider the case  $4 \leq n \leq 11$ . For  $4 \leq n \leq 6$  and  $q$  even,  $L$  has a spin module of dimension  $2^n$  (see [26, Table 5.4.A]). So, if  $n \geq 7$  or  $q$  is odd, then either  $m = 2nf$  or  $m \geq (2n^2 - n - 2)f$  and as above, the former case holds.

Assume  $q$  is even and  $4 \leq n \leq 6$ . If  $m \geq 2^n f$ , then  $2m \geq 2^{n+1} f$  and we can check that  $2^{n+1} > n^2$  for these values of  $n$  and hence  $2m > n^2 f$ . Thus  $m = 2nf$  as wanted.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ahanjideh, Nondivisibility among irreducible character co-degrees, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), no. 1, 68–74.
- [2] A. Bahri, Z. Akhlaghi and B. Khosravi, An analogue of Huppert’s conjecture for character codegrees, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **104** (2021), no. 2, 278–286.
- [3] G. W. Bell, On the cohomology of the finite special linear groups. I, II, *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), no. 1, 216–238, 239–259.
- [4] A. Bereczky, Maximal overgroups of Singer elements in classical groups, *J. Algebra* **234** (2000), no. 1, 187–206.
- [5] C. Bessenrodt, H. N. Nguyen, J. B. Olsson, H. P. Tong-Viet, Complex group algebras of the double covers of the symmetric and alternating groups, *Algebra Number Theory* **9** (2015), no. 3, 601–628.
- [6] C. Bessenrodt, H. P. Tong-Viet and J. P. Zhang, Huppert’s conjecture for alternating groups, *J. Algebra* **470** (2017), 353–378.
- [7] B. Baumeister, T.C. Burness, R.M. Guralnick, H.P. Tong-Viet, On the maximal overgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, *Adv. Math.* **444** (2024), Paper No. 109632.
- [8] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language*, *J. Symb. Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [9] D. Chillag and M. Herzog, On character degrees quotients, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **55** (1990), no. 1, 25–29.
- [10] D. Chillag, A. Mann and O. Manz, The co-degrees of irreducible characters, *Israel J. Math.* **73** (1991), no. 2, 207–223.
- [11] M. Dolorfino, L. Martin, Z. Slonim, Y. Sun, Y. Yang, On the characterisation of alternating groups by codegrees, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972723001429>
- [12] M. Dolorfino, L. Martin, Z. Slonim, Y. Sun, Y. Yang, On the characterisation of sporadic simple groups by codegrees, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **109** (2024), no. 1, 57–66.
- [13] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.1; 2019. (<https://www.gap-system.org>)
- [14] M. Gintz, M. Kortje, M. Laurence, Y. Liu, Z. Wang and Y. Yang, On the characterization of some non-abelian simple groups with few codegrees, *Comm. Algebra* **50** (2022), no. 9, 3932–3939.
- [15] H. Guan, X. Zhang and Y. Yang, Recognising Ree groups  ${}^2G_2(q)$  using the codegree set, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **108** (2023), no. 1, 125–132.
- [16] R.M. Guralnick, T. Penttila, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, *Linear groups with orders having certain large prime divisors*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 167–214.
- [17] R. M. Guralnick and P. H. Tiep, The non-coprime  $k(GV)$  problem, *J. Algebra* **293** (2005), no. 1, 185–242.
- [18] R. M. Guralnick and P. H. Tiep, Low-dimensional representations of special linear groups in cross characteristics, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **78** (1999), no. 1, 116–138.
- [19] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Corrigenda: “Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups” [*LMS J. Comput. Math.* **4** (2001), 22–63; MR1835851 (2002b:20015)], *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **5** (2002), 95–126.

- [20] N. N. Hung, P. R. Majozi, H. P. Tong-Viet and T. P. Wakefield, Extending Huppert's conjecture from non-Abelian simple groups to quasi-simple groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **59** (2015), no. 4, 901–924.
- [21] N. N. Hung and A. Moretó, The codegree isomorphism problem for finite simple groups, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.00446>
- [22] B. Huppert, *Character theory of finite groups*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 25, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [23] B. Huppert, Some simple groups which are determined by the set of their character degrees. I, *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), no. 4, 828–842.
- [24] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups. II*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 242, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [25] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, corrected reprint of the 1976 original. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [26] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [27] E. I. Khukhro and V.D. Mazurov, *The Kourovka notebook: Unsolved problems in group theory*, 20th ed. (2023), <https://kourovka-notebook.org/>.
- [28] V. Landazuri and G. M. Seitz, On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 418–443.
- [29] M. W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **54** (1987), no. 3, 477–516.
- [30] Y. Liu and Y. Yang, Huppert's analogue conjecture for  $\text{PSL}(3, q)$  and  $\text{PSU}(3, q)$ , *Results Math.* **78** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 7, 16 pp.
- [31] F. Lübeck, Small degree representations of finite Chevalley groups in defining characteristic, *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **4** (2001), 135–169.
- [32] F. Lübeck, Character Degrees and their Multiplicities for some Groups of Lie Type of Rank  $< 9$ , <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Frank.Luebeck/chev/DegMult/index.html?LANG=en>
- [33] H. N. Nguyen and H. P. Tong-Viet, Recognition of finite quasi-simple groups by the degrees of their irreducible representations, in *Groups St Andrews 2013*, 439–456, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 422, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [34] G. H. Qian, Y. M. Wang and H. Wei, Co-degrees of irreducible characters in finite groups, *J. Algebra* **312** (2007), no. 2, 946–955.
- [35] U. Riese and P. P. Schmid, Characters induced from Sylow subgroups, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), no. 2, 682–694.
- [36] G. M. Seitz and A. E. Zalesskii, On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups. II, *J. Algebra* **158** (1993), no. 1, 233–243.
- [37] M. J. Stather, Constructive Sylow theorems for the classical groups, *J. Algebra* **316** (2007), no. 2, 536–559.
- [38] P. H. Tiep, Weil representations of finite general linear groups and finite special linear groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **279** (2015), no. 1-2, 481–498.
- [39] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, Minimal characters of the finite classical groups, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), no. 6, 2093–2167.
- [40] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, Some characterizations of the Weil representations of the symplectic and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **192** (1997), no. 1, 130–165.
- [41] H. P. Tong-Viet, Simple classical groups of Lie type are determined by their character degrees, *J. Algebra* **357** (2012), 61–68.
- [42] H. P. Tong-Viet, Alternating and sporadic simple groups are determined by their character degrees, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **15** (2012), no. 2, 379–389.
- [43] H. P. Tong-Viet, Simple exceptional groups of Lie type are determined by their character degrees, *Monatsh. Math.* **166** (2012), no. 3-4, 559–577.
- [44] H. P. Tong-Viet, Huppert's conjecture for finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.07422>.
- [45] H. Wang, X. Zhang, S. Zhang and M. Chen, On the characterization of some non-abelian simple groups using codegree set, *Comm. Algebra* **52** (2024), no. 3, 1336–1348.
- [46] A. J. Weir, Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of the classical groups over finite fields with characteristic prime to  $p$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 529–533.
- [47] Y. Yang, A characterization of the simple Ree groups  ${}^2F_4(q^2)$  by their character codegrees, *J. Group Theory* **27** (2024), no. 1, 141–155.
- [48] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* **3** (1892), 265–284.

H.P. TONG-VIET, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY, BINGHAMTON, NY 13902-6000, USA

*Email address:* [htongvie@binghamton.edu](mailto:htongvie@binghamton.edu)