

---

# A Multidimensional Rado Theorem

Aaron Robertson

June 26, 2024

**Abstract:** We extend Deuber's theorem on  $(m, p, c)$ -sets to hold over the multidimensional positive integer lattices. This leads to a multidimensional Rado theorem where we are guaranteed monochromatic multidimensional points in all finite colorings of  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  set of coordinates satisfies the  $i^{\text{th}}$  given linear Rado system.

**Key words and phrases:** Ramsey theory, Rado's Theorem

## 1 Introduction

Rado's Theorem [8] completely characterizes which linear systems have the property that any finite coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  admits a monochromatic solution to the linear system. In some sense, this makes such a linear system "unbreakable" via partitioning. This characterization of linear systems is given in the following definition.

**Definition 1** (Columns Condition). Let  $A$  be an  $\ell \times k$  matrix with column vectors  $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k$ . We say that  $A$  satisfies the *columns condition* if, after renumbering if necessary, there exist indices  $i_0 = 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m = k$  such that, for

$$\mathbf{s}_j = \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \mathbf{c}_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

the following hold:

1.  $\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$ ;
2. for  $2 \leq j \leq m$  we can write  $\mathbf{s}_j$  as a linear combination over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{i_{j-1}}$ .

With this definition, we can state Rado's Theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Rado’s Theorem [8]). *Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  be a system of equations, where  $A$  is a matrix with integer coefficients. Any  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  admits a monochromatic solution to the system if and only if  $A$  satisfies the columns condition.*

A linear system  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  for which  $A$  satisfies the columns condition will be referred to as being a *Rado system*. For example,  $A = [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1 \ -1]$ , which corresponds to the single-equation system  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$ , is a Rado system and is often referred to as the generalized Schur equation (Schur’s Theorem, which predates Rado’s result, deals with the case  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$ ).

Recently, Balaji, Lott, and Rice [1] extended the generalized Schur’s Theorem (see [11], [2], [10]) to hold over multidimensional positive integer lattices by considering solutions to  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$  with  $x_i \in [1, n]^d$  instead of  $[1, n]$ , where  $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Can this be extended to different linear systems? Moreover, could we possibly have different sets of coordinates satisfy different linear systems and still guarantee monotonicity?

We do know that van der Waerden’s Theorem [13], which states that any finite coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  admits arbitrarily long monochromatic arithmetic progressions, has a multidimensional analog (in [9], Rado gives credit to Grünwald, the last name of Gallai at the time; see also [12]) along with a multidimensional polynomial analog [3]. Key to the proof of the multidimensional van der Waerden Theorem is the translation-invariant property of arithmetic progressions. In this sense, this multidimensional analog is more geometric and less about the linear system.

Our goal here is about generalizing linear systems to multidimensional space. As a motivating question, consider the following.

**Question.** Does there exist a minimum integer  $n$  such that for every 2-coloring of  $[1, n] \times [1, n]$  there exist  $a, b, x, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $(a, x)$ ,  $(b, x + d)$ , and  $(a + b, x + 2d)$  all the same color?

Notice that we are asking for the first coordinates to form a Schur triple and for the second coordinates to form a 3-term arithmetic progression. In one dimension, by considering the system of equations  $\{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0, x_5 - x_4 - d = 0, x_6 - x_5 - d = 0\}$  (with  $d$  a variable), Rado’s Theorem informs us that we can guarantee a monochromatic Schur triple and monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression of the same color under any finite coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . However, there does not seem to be a bijection  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  that preserves the linear system’s solutions with well-defined colorings; such a bijection would guarantee an answer to our question in 2-dimensions via an appeal to Rado’s Theorem.

In general, we investigate here which linear systems have the Rado property under partitioning, not of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , but of  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ . To discuss the multidimensional situation, we will use the following notation and language to distinguish from the classical (1-dimensional) case.

**Notation.** For  $X = [x_{ij}]$  a  $d \times k$  matrix, we refer to  $\mathbf{p}_j = (x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \dots, x_{dj})^\top \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  as *points* and to  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ik}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$  as the  *$i^{\text{th}}$  coordinates vector*. To generalize a linear system to address the motivating question above, we seek to find, for given matrices  $A_i$ , a set of  $k$  monochromatic points  $\mathbf{p}_j$  such that

$$A_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}. \tag{1.1}$$

In other words, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $X$  satisfies the linear system  $A_i \mathbf{v} = 0$ . We will refer to (1.1) as a  *$d$ -dimensional linear vector system* or simply a *linear vector system* if the dimensionality is clear. In the situation where

$A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_d = A$ , the equations in (1.1) can be written as  $AX^T = \mathbf{0}$ , which we will refer to as a *diagonal linear vector system*.

**Example.** For our motivating question, use  $d = 2$  and  $k = 4$  along with the systems' matrices  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  to see that we seek monochromatic 2-dimensional points  $\mathbf{p}_j = [a_j b_j]^T$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , such that  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3$  (the first coordinates vector) and  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  (the second coordinates vector) are in arithmetic progression. Note that  $a_4$  is a dummy variable to make the lengths of the coordinates vectors equal and that the common difference ( $b_4$ ) of the arithmetic progression is not needed to answer our motivating question, but is necessary for the system.

We start our investigation with diagonal linear vector systems so that the same system is to be satisfied by each  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinates vector. Clearly, if we can guarantee a monochromatic solution in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , when considering points in  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  we need only consider the points with all coordinates equal since they are in bijection with  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  and we are (trivially) done. But can we guarantee solutions if we disallow such solutions? In the case of the generalized Schur's Theorem, as stated above the answer is yes [1].

Regarding monochromatic solutions to the generalized Schur equation, we are moving from solutions in  $[1, n]$  to solutions in  $[1, n]^d$ , and we see that if we restrict our  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^d$  to just the points on the diagonal,  $\{(i, i, \dots, i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , we trivially have monochromatic solutions to  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{p}_k$  with the  $\mathbf{p}_j \in [1, n]^d$  via (the obvious) bijection to  $[1, n]$  coupled with Rado's Theorem.. Such a solution is an example of what we will call a degenerate solution (defined later; see Definition 5). In [1], via use of the Vandermonde matrix properties and Ramsey's Theorem, it is proved that non-degenerate solutions exist in the following sense.

**Theorem 3.** ([1]) *Let  $d, k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Every  $r$ -coloring of the points in  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  admits a monochromatic solution to  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{p}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_j \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , with  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_d$  linearly independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) provided  $d \leq k - 1$ .*

As motivation for the main result in this paper, in the next section we extend Balaji, Lott, and Rice's [1] proof method, which relies on the Vandermonde matrix, to show similar results for other equations. In the third section, we give conditions for the existence of monochromatic solutions not directly implied by Theorem 2 to systems of linear vector equations under  $r$ -colorings of  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ . We end by answering our motivating question.

## 2 More Motivation

In this section we extend Theorem 3 to a larger family of equations via the following observation, which follows easily from the proof of Theorem 3 as found in [1]

**Observation 4.** *Let  $d, k, \ell, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $d \geq 2$ . Every  $r$ -coloring of the points in  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  admits a monochromatic solution to the linear vector equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{q}_i$$

with  $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  and  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_d$  linearly independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) provided  $d \leq k-1$  and  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_d$  linearly independent provided  $d \leq \ell-1$ . Moreover, for such a solution we can have  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}\} \cap \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{\ell-1}\} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = R(k + \ell; r)$  be the Ramsey number so that every  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  admits a  $K_{k+\ell}$  subgraph with all edges the same color. Let  $\chi$  be an arbitrary  $r$ -coloring  $[1, n]^d$ .

Consider the complete graph on vertex set  $\{(i, i^2, \dots, i^d) : i \in [1, n]\}$ . Color the edge connecting vertex  $(i, i^2, \dots, i^d)$  and  $(j, j^2, \dots, j^d)$ , with  $j > i$ , by  $\chi((j-i, j^2-i^2, \dots, j^d-i^d))$ . By Ramsey's Theorem we have a monochromatic subgraph on vertex set  $\{(i_j, i_j^2, \dots, i_j^d) : 1 \leq j \leq k + \ell\}$ . Assume that  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k+\ell}$  so that, under  $\chi$ , for every pair  $s < t$ , the points  $(i_t - i_s, i_t^2 - i_s^2, \dots, i_t^d - i_s^d)$  are all identically colored. Label these points  $P(s, t)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{p}_1 = P(1, 2), \mathbf{p}_2 = P(2, 3), \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k-1} = P(k-1, k), \mathbf{p}_k = P(k, k + \ell)$  and  $\mathbf{q}_1 = P(k+1, k+2), \mathbf{q}_2 = P(k+2, k+3), \dots, \mathbf{q}_{\ell-1} = P(k+\ell-1, k+\ell), \mathbf{q}_\ell = P(1, k+1)$ . It is easy to check that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \mathbf{q}_i$  and the argument using the Vandermonde matrix as found in [1] proves the linear independence claim.

To see that  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}\} \cap \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{\ell-1}\} = \emptyset$ , assume otherwise and consider  $P(s, s+1) = P(k+t, k+t+1)$  for some  $s \in [1, k-1]$  and  $t \in [1, \ell-1]$ . Note that  $s+1 < k+t$ . Since the first coordinates are equal (by assumption), we have  $i_{s+1} - i_s = i_{k+t+1} - i_{k+t}$ . We now look at the second coordinates. First, we have  $i_{k+t+1}^2 - i_{k+t}^2 = (i_{k+t+1} - i_{k+t})(i_{k+t+1} + i_{k+t})$ . Using the equality of the first coordinates, this means that  $i_{k+t+1}^2 - i_{k+t}^2 = (i_{s+1} - i_s)(i_{k+t+1} + i_{k+t}) > (i_{s+1} - i_s)(i_{s+1} + i_s) = i_{s+1}^2 - i_s^2$ , so that the second coordinates do not agree. Hence,  $P(s, s+1) \neq P(k+t, k+t+1)$ .  $\square$

We now see that there are linear equations other than the generalized Schur equation for which we can guarantee monochromatic solutions in multidimensional spaces not implied by the classical case. This suggests the question: Can we get a similar result for any Rado system?

### 3 Generic Linear Homogeneous Vector Systems

When considering solutions to a generic linear homogeneous vector equation  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$  we lose Ramsey's Theorem and the Vandermonde matrix as a tool to prove linear independence. However, it is not clear that linear independence is the correct property to use in general. What we do seek is to show that there are monochromatic solutions in  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  that cannot be obtained by direct application of Rado's Theorem (and a bijection with the diagonal of  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ ).

**Definition 5** (Degenerate). For  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ , let  $\mathbf{v} \circ \mathbf{w} = (v_1 w_1, v_2 w_2, \dots, v_d w_d)$  denote the Hadamard product (also known as, coincidentally, the Schur product). We say a finite set of points  $P \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  is *degenerate* if there exists  $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  such that  $P \subseteq \{\mathbf{v} \circ (i, i, \dots, i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Otherwise, we say that  $P$  is *non-degenerate*.

Note that degenerate solutions are solutions that can be obtained by an obvious bijection to  $[1, n]$  and direct application of Rado's Theorem, while non-degenerate solutions do not appear to be. Hence, we strive to prove that monochromatic non-degenerate solutions exist in colorings of multidimensional spaces.

We start by proving a multidimensional Deuber theorem. Toward this end, we will use the following definition in order to state Deuber's Theorem [5].

**Definition 6** ( $(m, p, c)$ -set). Let  $m, p, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . A set  $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  is called an  $(m, p, c)$ -set if there exist generators  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \left\{ cg_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^m \lambda_j g_j : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-p, p] \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m \right\},$$

where we take the empty sum to equal 0.

Note that we have the integers  $\lambda_j$  taking on negative values but that the set  $M$  must be a set of positive integers.

**Theorem 7** (Deuber's Theorem). *Let  $m, p, c, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be fixed. Then there exist  $M, P, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so that every  $r$ -coloring of any  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set admits a monochromatic  $(m, p, c)$ -set.*

The applicability of Deuber's Theorem comes from the fact that for any  $\ell \times m$  matrix  $A$  that satisfies the columns conditions, there exist  $p, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that every  $(m, p, c)$ -set contains a solution to  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence, Deuber's Theorem implies one direction of Rado's Theorem since  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  contains every  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set.

**Notation.** When referring to the quantities in Theorem 7 we will say that  $(m, p, c)$  is *realized* by  $M, P, c^\mu$  and write  $(M, P, c^\mu) \models_r (m, p, c)$ , where we may omit  $r$  if the number of colors in context is clear.

The following easy lemma will prove useful.

**Lemma 8.** *The following hold:*

1. *Let  $r < s$  be positive integers. If  $(M, P, c^\mu) \models_s (m, p, c)$ , then  $(M, P, c^\mu) \models_r (m, p, c)$ .*
2. *Let  $M' \geq M$  and  $P' \geq P$ . If  $(M, P, c^\mu) \models_r (m, p, c)$ , then  $(M', P', c^\mu) \models_r (m, p, c)$ .*
3. *Let  $t > \mu$ . If  $(M, P, c^\mu) \models_r (m, p, c)$ , then  $(M, c^{t-\mu}P, c^t) \models_r (m, p, c)$ .*

*Proof.* For (1), note that an  $r$ -coloring is an  $s$ -coloring where some colors are not used. For (2), just take a subset of the generators and a subsets of the  $\lambda$  values. We prove (3) by showing that we always have an  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set contained in any  $(M, c^{t-\mu}P, c^t)$ -set. Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_M$  be generators of an  $(M, c^{t-\mu}P, c^t)$ -set. Set  $h_i = c^{t-\mu}g_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq M$  and consider them as generators of an  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set. It is easy to see that this  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set is a subset of the  $(M, c^{t-\mu}P, c^t)$ -set. Since every  $r$ -coloring of any  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set admits a monochromatic  $(m, p, c)$ -set, we have a monochromatic  $(m, p, c)$ -set inside any  $r$ -coloring of an  $(M, c^{t-\mu}P, c^t)$ -set.  $\square$

### 3.1 A Multidimensional Deuber Theorem

There is already a multidimensional analog of Deuber's Theorem due to Bergelson, Johnson, and Moreira [4]. In their result, one of their goals is to replace the “ $p$ ” in  $(m, p, c)$ -sets with a family of multidimensional polynomial functions and “ $c$ ” by an additive homomorphism and letting the generators be vectors. While being a strong multidimensional polynomial generalization of Deuber's Theorem, it does not correlate with non-degenerate solutions of a linear vector system in any way that they present in

[4] or that this author could determine. They do present a generalization applying to systems of equations, which generalizes coloring  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  to coloring any countable commutative semigroup with identity 0 (and also with a restriction on how the columns condition is satisfied). Hence, their generalization holds over  $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ , but not  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ , which is a small, but quite significant difference. Another difference is with their use of idempotent ultrafilters in the Stone-Ćech compactification of the positive integers, as the existence of such idempotents assumes that every filter on  $\mathbb{R}$  can be extended to an ultrafilter [6], a recent result of Di Nasso and Tachtsis that is a strictly weaker assumption than the Axiom of Choice (which until their result was needed to prove the existence of idempotent ultrafilters).

We start by defining multidimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -sets.

**Definition 9.** Let  $d, m, p, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_d$  each be an  $(m, p, c)$ -set. We call  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_d$  a  $d$ -dimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -set.

**Remark 10.** There are less than  $(2p + 1)^{md}$  elements in a  $d$ -dimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -set.

**Theorem 11** (A Multidimensional Deuber Theorem). *Let  $d, m, p, c, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . There exist  $M, P, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that every  $r$ -coloring of any  $d$ -dimensional  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set admits a monochromatic  $d$ -dimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -set.*

*Proof.* Using the result and notation of Theorem 7, we start by giving notation for the existence of  $M, P$ , and  $\mu$ . We let  $\mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r)$  represent the statement

$$\mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r) : \quad \text{there exists } M, P, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ so that every } r\text{-coloring of a } d\text{-dimensional } (M, P, c^\mu)\text{-set admits a monochromatic } d\text{-dimensional } (m, p, c)\text{-set.}$$

We will prove that  $\mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r)$  is true for all  $d, m, p, c, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  by induction on  $d$ . With a slight abuse of notation, we will use

$$(M_d(r), P_d(r), c^{\mu_d(r)}) \models \mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r)$$

for the quantities that realize  $\mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r)$ .

The base case for our induction,  $d = 1$ , is Theorem 7 so we assume that  $\mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r)$  is true for all  $m, p, c, r$  and will show that  $\mathcal{D}_{d+1}(m, p, c; r)$  is true for all  $m, p, c, r$ .

Let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of an arbitrary  $(d + 1)$ -dimensional  $(M', P', c^t; r)$ -set, say  $S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_{d+1}$ , where  $M', P'$ , and  $t$  are given below.

Let

$$r' = r^{(2P_d(r)+1)^{dM_d(r)}}$$

so that

$$(M_1(r'), P_1(r'), c^{\mu_1(r')}) \models \mathcal{D}_1(m, p, c; r').$$

From Lemma 8, with  $M' = \max(M_1(r'), M_d(r))$  and  $P' = \max(P_1(r'), P_d(r))$ , we see that  $(M', P', c^{\mu_1(r')}) \models \mathcal{D}_1(m, p, c; r')$ .

Let  $t = \max(\mu_1(r'), \mu_d(r))$ ,  $\mu = \min(\mu_1(r'), \mu_d(r))$ , and  $P'' = c^{t-\mu}P'$ . By Lemma 8 again, we see that

$$(M', P'', c^t) \models \mathcal{D}_1(m, p, c; r'),$$

and by applying Lemma 8 to each dimension of a  $d$ -dimensional  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set, we obtain

$$(M', P'', c^t) \models \mathcal{D}_d(m, p, c; r). \quad (3.1)$$

We claim that

$$(M', P'', c^t) \models \mathcal{D}_{d+1}(m, p, c; r).$$

Define an  $r'$ -coloring  $\gamma$  of  $S_1$  as follows. For  $s \in S_1$ , let  $\gamma(s)$  be the  $r$ -coloring of the set

$$\{\chi(s, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{d+1}) : x_i \in S_i \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d+1\}$$

(with any fixed ordering of elements). From Remark 10, we see that this is well-defined (where we may not need all  $r'$  colors).

Since  $\mathcal{D}_1(m, p, c; r')$  holds, there exists a  $\gamma$ -monochromatic  $(m, p, c)$ -set  $T_1$  so that the sets

$$\{\chi((t, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{d+1}) : x_i \in S_i \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d+1\}$$

are identically  $r$ -colored for any  $t \in T_1$ ; that is  $\chi((s, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{d+1})) = \chi((t, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{d+1}))$  for any  $s, t \in T_1$  and all  $x_i \in S_i$ . Let this  $r$ -coloring be  $\tau$ . Now consider  $S_2 \times S_3 \times \dots \times S_{d+1}$  under  $\tau$ . By the inductive hypothesis and Statement (3.1), there exists a  $\tau$ -monochromatic  $d$ -dimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -set  $T_2 \times T_3 \times \dots \times T_{d+1}$ . Hence, under  $\chi$ , our original arbitrary  $r$ -coloring, we see that  $T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_{d+1}$  is a monochromatic  $(d+1)$ -dimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -set, completing the inductive step and the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2 A Multidimensional Rado Theorem

We now are in a situation where we can apply the following result due to Frankl, Graham, and Rödl [7] on the number of monochromatic solutions to Rado systems. Their method is to choose  $n$  much larger than  $M$  so that there are many  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -sets in  $[1, n]$ . By considering generators  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_M$  with  $g_i \equiv (2P+1)^i \pmod{(2P+1)^M}$ , they prove the following.

**Theorem 12** ([7]). *Let  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  be a linear system with  $A$  an  $\ell \times k$  matrix of rank  $\ell$  satisfying the columns condition. For any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a constant  $c = c(A, r) > 0$  such that any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$  contains at least  $cn^{k-\ell}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic solutions.*

Applying Theorem 12 to each coordinate of a  $d$ -dimensional  $(M, P, c^\mu)$ -set, we can now state the following result.

**Theorem 13.** *Consider the linear vector system  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  with  $A$  an  $\ell \times k$  scalar matrix of rank  $\ell$  satisfying the columns condition. Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . There exists a constant  $c = c(A, d, r) > 0$  such that any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^d$  admits at least  $cn^{d(k-\ell)}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic solutions to  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .*

**Remark 14.** For a linear system  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  with  $A$  an  $\ell \times k$  matrix of full rank satisfying the columns condition there are  $\Omega(n^{k-\ell})$  solutions to the system in  $[1, n]$ . Since there are  $n^d$  points in  $[1, n]^d$ , recalling Definition 5 we see that there are  $O(n^d \cdot n^{k-\ell}) = O(n^{k-\ell+d})$  degenerate monochromatic solutions in any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^d$ .

Our main result is next and shows that in most situations we can guarantee monochromatic solutions that are not directly implied by Rado's Theorem.

**Theorem 15** (A Multidimensional Rado Theorem). *Let  $r, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Consider the linear vector system  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $A$  is an  $\ell \times k$  matrix of rank  $\ell \leq k - 2$  that satisfies the columns condition, then every  $r$ -coloring of  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  admits a monochromatic non-degenerate solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be sufficiently large. From Remark 14 we see that for some  $c_1 > 0$  there are at most  $c_1 n^{k-\ell+d}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic degenerate solutions in any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^d$ . From Theorem 13 we have a total of at least  $c_2 n^{d(k-\ell)}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic solutions. Hence, we have at least  $c_3 n^{(d-1)(k-\ell)-d}(1+o(1))$  non-degenerate monochromatic solutions. By ensuring that the exponent is strictly greater than 0, we see that for  $d > 2$ , it suffices to have  $k - \ell \geq 2$ ; however, for  $d = 2$  the counting argument requires  $k - \ell \geq 3$  to have non-degenerate monochromatic solutions. Hence, to complete the proof, we will show via a different argument that for  $d = 2$  and  $k - \ell = 2$  we can also guarantee non-degenerate monochromatic solutions.

For a  $(k - 2) \times k$  linear vector system in two dimensions, we will enumerate degenerate solutions. From Theorem 13, we know there are  $c_1 n^4(1+o(1))$  monochromatic solutions in any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^2$  for some  $c_1 > 0$ . We will complete the argument by showing that there are  $o(n^4)$  (not necessarily monochromatic) degenerate solutions.

In a  $(k - 2) \times k$  linear system (in one dimension) of full rank, we have 2 free variables, from which the values of all other variables are determined by the system. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the free variables (for the one dimension situation) and note that when extended to more dimensions, all coordinates of the variable point corresponding to the free variable are free. Hence, we can consider  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2)$  to be the free variables.

For a degenerate solution in two dimensions we have  $(x_1, x_2) = \frac{p}{q}(y_1, y_2)$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where we may assume that  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Let  $q$  be fixed. Then both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  must be divisible by  $q$ . Hence, there are at most  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^2$  choices for  $(y_1, y_2)$ . Now, we require  $p \leq nq$  to ensure that  $x_1, x_2 \leq n$ . Thus, for each  $q$ , we have at most  $\frac{n^3}{q}$  possibilities for  $(x_1, x_2) = \frac{p}{q}(y_1, y_2)$ . Summing over all possible values of  $q$  gives at most

$$\sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n^3}{q} = n^3 \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{q} < 2n^3 \log n$$

possibilities for  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2)$ . Since the values of the other variables are determined by the free variables' values, we find that, since  $2n^3 \log n = o(n^4)$ , there must be a non-degenerate monochromatic solution for  $d = 2$  and  $k - \ell = 2$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 More Flexible Multidimensional Deuber and Rado Theorems

Recall that for  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ , we defined  $\mathbf{v} \circ \mathbf{w} = (v_1 w_1, v_2 w_2, \dots, v_d w_d)$  and consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$



In this vector equation we seek points  $(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $(y_1, y_2)$ , and  $(z_1, z_2)$  of the same color such that  $x_1, y_1, z_1$  is a Schur triple ( $x_1 + y_1 = z_1$ ) while  $x_2, z_2, y_2$  is a 3-term arithmetic progression. Can we guarantee that this occurs?

In this subsection we show that multidimensional  $(m, p, c)$ -sets offer more flexibility than what is stated in Theorem 15. In particular, we will show that the coordinates can be required to satisfy separate systems. We need a definition with some notation to be more explicit in this situation.

**Definition 16** ( $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c})$ -set). Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ . We say that  $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d$  is an  $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c})$ -set if  $S_j$  is an  $(m_j, p_j, c_j)$ -set for every  $j \in [1, d]$ .

Following the proof of Theorem 11, the following generalization can be shown.

**Theorem 17.** Let  $d, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$ . There exists  $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  such that every  $r$ -coloring of any  $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C})$ -set admits a monochromatic  $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c})$ -set.

*Proof.* Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 11, we offer a terse proof as it is essentially the same proof. We let  $\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c}; r)$  represent the statement

$$\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c}; r) : \quad \text{there exists } \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^d \text{ so that every } r\text{-coloring of a} \\ (d\text{-dimensional}) (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C})\text{-set admits a monochromatic} \\ (d\text{-dimensional}) (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c})\text{-set.}$$

Using induction on  $d$ , with  $d = 1$  being Deuber's Theorem, we will use

$$(\mathbf{M}(d; r), \mathbf{P}(d; r), \mathbf{C}(d; r)) \models \mathcal{D}_d(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c}; r)$$

Let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of an arbitrary  $(d + 1)$ -dimensional  $(\mathbf{M}', \mathbf{P}', \mathbf{C}'; r)$ -set, say  $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_{d+1}$ , where  $\mathbf{M}'$ ,  $\mathbf{P}'$ , and  $\mathbf{C}'$  are given below.

Since there are at most  $e = \prod_{i=1}^d (2P_i(r) + 1)^{M_i(r)}$  elements in an  $(\mathbf{M}(d; r), \mathbf{P}(d; r), \mathbf{C}(d; r))$ -set, let  $r' = r^e$  so that  $(M(1; r'), P(1; r'), C(1; r')) \models \mathcal{D}_1(m_1, p_1, c_1; r')$ . By Lemma 8, since  $r' > r$ , we have  $(M(1; r'), P(1; r'), C(1; r')) \models \mathcal{D}_1(m_1, p_1, c_1; r)$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}' = M(1; r') \times \mathbf{M}(d; r)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}' = P(1; r') \times \mathbf{P}(d; r)$ , and  $\mathbf{C}' = C(1; r') \times \mathbf{C}(d; r)$ .

Using the  $r'$ -coloring  $\gamma$  from the proof of Theorem 11 and the argument therein completes the inductive step and proof.  $\square$

We can consider Theorem 17 to be an off-diagonal multidimensional Deuber's Theorem. This opens up monochromatic sets of points in  $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^d$  with interesting mixed Rado properties: By applying Theorems 12 and 17 we get the following result.

**Theorem 18** (An Off-Diagonal Multidimensional Rado Theorem). Let  $d, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , let  $A_j$  be an  $\ell_j \times k$  matrix of rank  $\ell_j$  satisfying the columns condition. There exists a constant  $c = c(A_1, \dots, A_d, d, r) > 0$  such that any  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]^d$  admits at least  $cn^{\sum_{i=1}^d (k - \ell_i)} (1 + o(1))$  monochromatic sets of  $k$  points  $\mathbf{p}_i$  such that row  $\mathbf{r}_j$  of the  $d \times k$  matrix  $B = [\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \dots \mathbf{p}_k]$  satisfies  $A_j \mathbf{r}_j^\top = \mathbf{0}$  for each  $j \in [1, d]$ ; that is, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coordinates vector of  $B$  satisfies the  $j^{\text{th}}$  system.

**Remark 19.** Systems with different numbers of variables can all be made to have  $k$  variables, for some  $k$ , by introducing dummy variables.

Note that degenerate solutions no longer make sense if the systems are not equivalent, but we can now consider coloring the points in multidimensional space and guaranteeing the existence of many interesting monochromatic configurations, like in the next example.

**Example.** For any  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  there exist  $a, d, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that the points

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ a+d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ y_3 \\ a+2d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1+x_2+x_3 \\ y_3+y_2-y_1 \\ a+3d \end{pmatrix}.$$

are monochromatic. Note that the first coordinates vector satisfies the generalized Schur equation, the second coordinates vector satisfies  $u - v = w - x$ , and the third coordinates vector are a 4-term arithmetic progression.

By applying Theorem 18 we can now answer, in particular, our motivating question at the beginning of this article about Schur triples and 3-term arithmetic progressions in the affirmative. In fact, a simple backtracking computer calculation (or tedious pencil and paper work) provides us with the following result.

**Theorem 20.** *The minimum integer  $n$  such that for every 2-coloring of  $[1, n] \times [1, n]$  there exist  $a, b, x, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $(a, x)$ ,  $(b, x+d)$ , and  $(a+b, x+2d)$  all the same color, is  $n = 9$ .*

**Acknowledgement.** The author thanks Tom Brown, Bruce Landman, and Neil Hindman for helpful comments in earlier drafts.

## References

- [1] V. Balaji, A. Lott, and A. Rice, Schur's theorem in integer lattices, *Integers* **22** (2022), #A62, 8pp. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [2] A. Beutelspacher and W. Brestovansky, Generalized Schur Numbers, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **969** (1982), 30-38. [2](#)
- [3] V. Bergelson, and A. Leibman, Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems, *Journal of AMS* **9** (1996), 725-753. [2](#)
- [4] V. Bergelson, J. H. Johnson Jr., and J. Moreira, New polynomial and multidimensional extensions of classical partition results, *J. Combin. Theory, Series A* **147** (2017), 119-154. [5](#), [6](#)
- [5] W. Deuber, Partitionen und lineare gleichungssysteme, *Math. Zeitschrift* **133** (1973), 109-123. [4](#)
- [6] M. Di Nasso and E. Tachtsis, Idempotent ultrafilters without Zorn's Lemma, *Proc. A.M.S* **146** (2018), 397-411. [6](#)
- [7] P. Frankl, R. Graham, and V. Rödl, Quantitative theorems for regular systems of equations, *J. Combin. Theory, Series A* **47** (1988), 246-261. [7](#)
- [8] R. Rado, Studien zur kombinatorik, *Math. Z.* **36** (1933), 424-480. [1](#), [2](#)
- [9] R. Rado, Note on combinatorial analysis, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **48** (1943), 122-160. [2](#)
- [10] A. Robertson and D. Schaal, Off-diagonal generalized Schur numbers, *Adv. Applied Math.* **26** (2001), 252-257. [2](#)

## A MULTIDIMENSIONAL RADO THEOREM

- [11] I. Schur, Über die kongruenz  $x^m + y^m = z^m \pmod{p}$ , *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung* **25** (1916), 114-117. [2](#)
- [12] E. Witt, Ein kombinatorischer satz der elementargeometrie, *Math. Nach.* **6** (1952), 261-262. [2](#)
- [13] B. L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen vermutung, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* **15** (1927), 212-216. [2](#)

### AUTHOR

Aaron Robertson  
Department of Mathematics  
Colgate University  
Hamilton, New York  
arobertson@colgate.edu  
<http://math.colgate.edu/~aaron>