## ON SIMULTANEOUS RATIONALITY OF TWO AHMES SERIES

VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

ABSTRACT. Paul Erdős asked how rapidly a sequence of positive integers  $(n_k)$  can grow if both series  $\sum_k 1/n_k$  and  $\sum_k 1/(n_k - 1)$  have rational sums. In this note we show that there exists an exponentially growing sequence  $(n_k)$  with this property. Previous records had polynomial growth, even for easier variants of the problem, regarding the series  $\sum_k 1/n_k$  and  $\sum_k 1/(n_k - d)$  for any concrete nonzero integer d.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A series of unit fractions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \quad \text{for some positive integers } n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

was named an *Ahmes series* by Erdős and Straus [12], but the term has since been seldom used and always in relation with rationality/irrationality problems [22, 14]. A folklore result is that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} n_k^{1/2^k} = \infty$$

is a sufficient condition guaranteeing that the sum (1.1) is an irrational number [12, 8]. Conversely, shifted Sylvester's sequence [21, A129871],

$$n_1 = 2$$
,  $n_{k+1} = n_k^2 - n_k + 1$  for  $k \ge 1$ ,

has asymptotics  $n_k \sim c_0^{2^k}$  for a particular constant  $c_0 = 1.2640847...$  (see [13, p. 109], [23]) and the sum of its reciprocals equals 1. By shifting Sylvester's sequence further, one then immediately obtains sequences satisfying  $n_k \sim c^{2^k}$  for arbitrarily large constants c, the reciprocals of which still sum to a rational number. We conclude that the aforementioned irrationality result is sharp; this observation is borrowed from [8, p. 2].

Erdős came up with the following related problem, which has been posed on several occasions in the 1980s [11, p. 64], [9, p. 334], [10, p. 104], and recently also on Thomas Bloom's website *Erdős problems* [3, Problem #265]. We choose the formulation stated at the *Symposium on Transcendental Number Theory* held in Durham in 1986 and published in its proceedings [10, p. 104–105]:

Once I asked: Assume that  $\sum \frac{1}{n_k}$  and  $\sum \frac{1}{n_k-1}$  are both rational. How fast can  $n_k$  tend to infinity? I was (and am) sure that  $n_k^{1/k} \to \infty$  is possible but  $n_k^{1/2^k}$  must tend to 1. Unfortunately almost nothing is known. David Cantor observed that

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{k}{2}} \text{ and } \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{k}{2} - 1}$$

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11J72; Secondary 11D68.

Key words and phrases. irrationality condition, series of fractions, sequence growth.

#### VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

are both rational and we do not know any sequence with this property which tends to infinity faster than polynomially.

In [9, p. 334] Erdős specifically mentioned the exponential growth as already being an interesting open problem:

(...) and we could never decide if  $n_k$  can increase exponentially or even faster. On a different occasion, in Erdős and Graham's 1980 book on open problems in combinatorial number theory, a weaker property is also mentioned:

If 1 is replaced by a larger constant then higher degree polynomials can be used. For example, if  $p(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 5x$  then both  $\sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{p(n)}$  and  $\sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{p(n)+8}$  are rational (since both p(n) and p(n) + 8 completely split over the integers). Similar examples are known using polynomials with degrees as large as 10 (see [15]).

There is a harmless typo in the last quote that the author deciphered as: p(n) + 8 should be replaced with p(n) - 12 and the two series should sum over  $n \ge 2$ . Also note that studying rationality of the two series  $\sum_{k} 1/n_k$  and  $\sum_{k} 1/(n_k - d)$ , for a fixed positive integer d, is indeed a simpler problem. Namely, it is solved by multiplying by d any sequence  $(n_k)$  that makes  $\sum_k 1/n_k$  and  $\sum_k 1/(n_k - 1)$  rational.

Our goal is to answer the question partially, by showing that the exponential growth of  $(n_k)$  is indeed possible, just as Erdős suspected. The result can be formulated rigorously as Theorem 1 below. Super-exponential growth still remains out of reach and it seems to require more sophisticated tools.

**Theorem 1.** There exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

 $n_k > 1.01^k$  for every index k, (1.2)

while both

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1}$$
(1.3)

are rational numbers.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is not explicitly constructive, in the sense that the sequence  $(n_k)$  will be defined implicitly, but this might be viewed as an advantage. The main idea is very simple and it shares some similarity with the proof of a different conjecture of Erdős and Straus, recently given in [19]. We will initially arrange  $\sum_k 1/n_k$  to be the sum of several, carefully chosen, geometric series with ratio 1/2, which clearly evaluates to a rational number. Then we will describe a procedure of changing the sequence terms in a way that  $\sum_k 1/n_k$  remains the same, but  $\sum_k 1/(n_k - 1)$  attains all values from a non-degenerate interval. The proof will be completed by merely choosing a rational number from that interval. After the proof we will use a computer to convince ourselves additionally: we will generate the first 1000 terms of one such sequence and calculate the approximation errors. This also justifies that the proof of Theorem 1 is a bit more concrete and more on the computational side, since otherwise the asymptotic reasoning could have been used.

Further motivation for Theorem 1 comes from the fact that many obvious guesses for exponentially decaying series (1.3) are known to have at least one irrational sum. For instance, Chowla [6] conjectured and Erdős [7] proved that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^k - 1}$$

is an irrational number for every integer  $q \ge 2$ . More generally, Borwein [4, 5] solved an open problem of Erdős by showing that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^k + r}$$

is irrational whenever  $q \ge 2$  is an integer and r is a rational number different from 0 and any of  $-q^k$ ; an alternative proof appeared in [1]. Various sums of reciprocals of Fibonacci or Lucas numbers are also known to be irrational [20].

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

All 19 numbers from the interval  $(2^6, 2^7)$  that are relatively prime to 2, 3, and 7 are listed in the increasing order as

$$s_0 = 65, \ s_1 = 67, \ s_2 = 71, \ s_3 = 73, \ s_4 = 79, \ s_5 = 83, \ s_6 = 85,$$
  
 $s_7 = 89, \ s_8 = 95, \ s_9 = 97, \ s_{10} = 101, \ s_{11} = 103, \ s_{12} = 107,$   
 $s_{13} = 109, \ s_{14} = 113, \ s_{15} = 115, \ s_{16} = 121, \ s_{17} = 125, \ s_{18} = 127.$ 

A sequence  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$  of zeroes and ones will be chosen later, so let it be arbitrary for now. The set of all numbers appearing in the desired sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  will be of the form

$$A_{\epsilon} := \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i \ge 0, \ 0 \le j \le 18\\ \text{such that } \epsilon_{19i+j} = 0}} \{9 \cdot 2^i s_j, 21 \cdot 2^i s_j\}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i \ge 0, \ 0 \le j \le 18\\ \text{such that } \epsilon_{19i+j} = 1}} \{7 \cdot 2^i s_j, 63 \cdot 2^i s_j\}\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{21} = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{63} = \frac{10}{63},$$
(2.1)

$$\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{10}{63} \Big( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}} \Big) \Big( \sum_{j=0}^{18} \frac{1}{s_{j}} \Big)$$

is equal to a rational number independent of  $\epsilon$ . Also,

$$\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n-1} = y + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m x_m \tag{2.2}$$

where we have denoted

$$y := \sum_{j=0}^{18} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{9 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1} + \frac{1}{21 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1} \right)$$

and

$$x_{19i+j} := \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1} + \frac{1}{63 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1} - \frac{1}{9 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1} - \frac{1}{21 \cdot 2^i s_j - 1}$$

It remains to choose the coefficients  $\epsilon$  in a way that (2.2) is a rational number too. This will certainly be possible if the set

$$\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m x_m : (\epsilon_m)_{m=0}^{\infty} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}\right\}$$
(2.3)

happens to be a non-degenerate interval. It is an easy exercise, which has already been known to Kakeya [17, 18] (also see the survey paper [2]), that

$$x_m > 0 \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$
 (2.4a)

$$x_0 \geqslant x_1 \geqslant x_2 \geqslant x_3 \geqslant \cdots,$$
 (2.4b)

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_m < \infty, \tag{2.4c}$$

$$\sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} x_l \ge x_m \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (2.4d)

are sufficient conditions for (2.3) to be a closed bounded interval, namely  $[0, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_m] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In the verification of all four conditions (2.4a)–(2.4d) we need the estimate

$$\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^3} \leqslant \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n^3}$$
(2.5)

valid for  $n \ge 2$ ; it is an easy consequence of

$$n^{3}\left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \in [1,2].$$

From (2.5) and (2.1), denoting

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{7^2} + \frac{1}{63^2} - \frac{1}{9^2} - \frac{1}{21^2} = \frac{8}{1323} > 0,$$

and observing

$$0 < \frac{2}{7^3} + \frac{2}{63^3} - \frac{1}{9^3} - \frac{1}{21^3} < \frac{1}{200}, \quad -\frac{1}{25000} < \frac{1}{7^3} + \frac{1}{63^3} - \frac{2}{9^3} - \frac{2}{21^3} < 0,$$

we can write

$$\frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} - \frac{1}{25000 \cdot 2^{3i}s_j^3} < x_{19i+j} < \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} + \frac{1}{200 \cdot 2^{3i}s_j^3}$$

Finally, recalling  $s_j > 2^6$ , we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{3}{20000}\right) \cdot \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} < x_{19i+j} < \left(1 + \frac{3}{200}\right) \cdot \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $i \ge 0$  and  $0 \le j \le 18$ .

Condition (2.4a) is immediate from the lower bound in (2.6), while Condition (2.4c) is clear from the corresponding upper bound. Next, for  $0 \leq j \leq 17$  we have

$$\frac{x_{19i+j+1}}{x_{19i+j}} < \frac{1+3/200}{1-3/20000} \Big(\max_{0 \le j \le 17} \frac{s_j}{s_{j+1}}\Big)^2 < 1,$$

while

$$\frac{x_{19(i+1)}}{x_{19i+18}} < \frac{1+3/200}{1-3/20000} \left(\frac{s_{18}}{2s_0}\right)^2 < 1,$$

both thanks to (2.6) again. This verifies Condition (2.4b). Finally, to check Condition (2.4d), we take m = 19i + j,  $i \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le j \le 7$ , apply (2.6), and recall  $2^6 < s_j < 2^7$ :

$$\frac{1}{x_{19i+j}} \sum_{l=19i+j+1}^{\infty} x_l > \frac{1}{x_{19i+j}} \sum_{l=19(i+1)}^{19(i+1)+18} x_l > \frac{1-3/20000}{1+3/200} \cdot \frac{19 \cdot (1/4) \cdot 2^{-14}}{2^{-12}} > 1.$$

Now we finally know that (2.2) attain values from a whole interval, so there really exists  $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be the strictly increasing sequence that enumerates  $A_{\epsilon}$ . It remains to check the claim (1.2) about its growth. Clearly, for any integer  $m \ge 6$  only the elements

$$9 \cdot 2^{i} s_{j}, \ 21 \cdot 2^{i} s_{j}$$
 or  $7 \cdot 2^{i} s_{j}, \ 63 \cdot 2^{i} s_{j}$ 

of  $A_{\epsilon}$  corresponding to the indices  $0 \leq i \leq m-7$  and an arbitrary  $0 \leq j \leq 18$  can be less than  $2^m$  and there are at most 38(m-6) such terms. Consequently, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and the unique integer  $m \geq 6$  such that  $38(m-6) < k \leq 38(m-5)$ , we have

$$n_k \ge 2^m > 2^{k/38} > 1.01^k$$

and we are done.

### 3. Initial terms of a possible sequence

The proof from the previous section shows that there exists a sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying (1.2), such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} = \frac{20}{63} \sum_{j=0}^{18} \frac{1}{s_j} = 0.0655394679\dots,$$
(3.1)

while

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \text{ can be any number from } [0.0655851987..., 0.0656041482...]$$

We can, for instance, target

 $\sim$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1} = \frac{41}{625} = 0.0656, \tag{3.2}$$

and achieve this by a recursive greedy construction:

$$\epsilon_m := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_m + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \epsilon_l x_l > 41/625 - y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_m + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \epsilon_l x_l \leqslant 41/625 - y \end{cases}$$

for m = 0, 1, 2, ... The empty sum for m = 0 is understood to be 0. We can use Mathematica [16] to generate  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{1000}$  as the smallest 1000 elements of the obtained set  $A_{\epsilon}$ ; the sequence begins:

$$n_1 = 455, n_2 = 469, n_3 = 497, n_4 = 511, n_5 = 553,$$
  
 $n_6 = 581, n_7 = 595, n_8 = 623, n_9 = 665, n_{10} = 679, \dots$ 

The finite sums  $\sum_{k=1}^{1000} 1/n_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{1000} 1/(n_k - 1)$  respectively differ from (3.1) and (3.2) by less than  $10^{-9}$ .

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project HRZZ-IP-2022-10-5116 (FANAP).

## References

- Tewodros Amdeberhan and Doron Zeilberger. q-Apéry irrationality proofs by q-WZ pairs. Adv. in Appl. Math., 20(2):275–283, 1998. doi:10.1006/aama.1997.0565.
- [2] Artur Bartoszewicz, Małgorzata Filipczak, and Franciszek Prus-Wiśniowski. Topological and algebraic aspects of subsums of series. In *Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis*, pages 345–366. Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science. University of Łódź, Łódź, 2013.
- [3] Thomas Bloom. Erdős problems. https://www.erdosproblems.com/. Accessed: June 24, 2024.
- [4] Peter B. Borwein. On the irrationality of  $\sum (1/(q^n + r))$ . J. Number Theory, 37(3):253-259, 1991. doi:10.1016/S0022-314X(05)80041-1.

#### VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

- Peter B. Borwein. On the irrationality of certain series. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 112(1):141–146, 1992. doi:10.1017/S030500410007081X.
- [6] Sarvadaman Chowla. On series of the Lambert type which assume irrational values for rational values of the argument. Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, 13:171–173, 1947.
- [7] Paul Erdős. On arithmetical properties of Lambert series. J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 12:63–66, 1948.
- [8] Paul Erdős. Some problems and results on the irrationality of the sum of infinite series. J. Math. Sci., 10:1–7, 1975.
- [9] Paul Erdős. E. Straus (1921–1983). Rocky Mountain J. Math., 15(2):331–341, 1985. Number theory (Winnipeg, Man., 1983). doi:10.1216/RMJ-1985-15-2-331.
- [10] Paul Erdős. On the irrationality of certain series: problems and results. In New advances in transcendence theory (Durham, 1986), Alan Baker (Ed.), pages 102–109. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [11] Paul Erdős and Ronald L. Graham. Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory, volume 28 of Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique. Université de Genève, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [12] Paul Erdős and Ernst G. Straus. On the irrationality of certain Ahmes series. J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 27:129–133, 1964.
- [13] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. Concrete mathematics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Reading, 1989.
- [14] Jaroslav Hančl and Robert Tijdeman. On the irrationality of Cantor and Ahmes series. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 65(3-4):371-380, 2004. doi:10.5486/pmd.2004.3254.
- [15] Godfrey H. Hardy and Edward M. Wright. An introduction to the theory of numbers. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1954. 3rd ed.
- [16] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.0.0. Champaign, IL, 2021. URL: https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica.
- [17] Sōichi Kakeya. On the partial sums of an infinite series. Tôhoku Sci. Rep., 3:159–164, 1914.
- [18] Sōichi Kakeya. On the set of partial sums of an infinite series. Proc. Tokyo Math.-Phys. Soc., 2nd ser., 7:250–251, 1914.
- [19] Vjekoslav Kovač. On the set of points represented by harmonic subseries. preprint, 2024. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.07681.
- [20] Tapani Matala-Aho and Marc Prévost. Quantitative irrationality for sums of reciprocals of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. Ramanujan J., 11(2):249–261, 2006. doi:10.1007/s11139-006-6511-4.
- [21] Neil J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, 2024. URL: http://oeis.org.
- [22] Robert Tijdeman and Pingzhi Yuan. On the rationality of Cantor and Ahmes series. Indag. Math. (N.S.), 13(3):407–418, 2002. doi:10.1016/S0019-3577(02)80018-0.
- [23] Ilan Vardi. Computational recreations in Mathematica. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA

Email address: vjekovac@math.hr