ON SIMULTANEOUS RATIONALITY OF TWO AHMES SERIES

VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

ABSTRACT. Paul Erdős asked how rapidly a sequence of positive integers (n_k) can grow if both series $\sum_k 1/n_k$ and $\sum_k 1/(n_k - 1)$ have rational sums. In this note we show that there exists an exponentially growing sequence (n_k) with this property. Previous records had polynomial growth, even for easier variants of the problem, regarding the series $\sum_{k} 1/n_k$ and $\sum_{k} 1/(n_k - d)$ for any concrete nonzero integer d.

1. INTRODUCTION

A series of unit fractions

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}
$$
 for some positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$ (1.1)

was named an *Ahmes series* by Erdős and Straus [\[12\]](#page-5-0), but the term has since been seldom used and always in relation with rationality/irrationality problems [\[22,](#page-5-1) [14\]](#page-5-2). A folklore result is that

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} n_k^{1/2^k} = \infty
$$

is a sufficient condition guaranteeing that the sum (1.1) is an irrational number [\[12,](#page-5-0) [8\]](#page-5-3). Conversely, shifted Sylvester's sequence [\[21,](#page-5-4) A129871],

$$
n_1 = 2, \quad n_{k+1} = n_k^2 - n_k + 1 \text{ for } k \ge 1,
$$

has asymptotics $n_k \sim c_0^{2^k}$ $\frac{2^k}{0}$ for a particular constant $c_0 = 1.2640847...$ (see [\[13,](#page-5-5) p. 109], [\[23\]](#page-5-6)) and the sum of its reciprocals equals 1. By shifting Sylvester's sequence further, one then immediately obtains sequences satisfying $n_k \sim c^{2^k}$ for arbitrarily large constants c, the reciprocals of which still sum to a rational number. We conclude that the aforementioned irrationality result is sharp; this observation is borrowed from [\[8,](#page-5-3) p. 2].

Erdős came up with the following related problem, which has been posed on several occasions in the 1980s $[11, p. 64]$, $[9, p. 334]$, $[10, p. 104]$, and recently also on Thomas Bloom's website *Erd˝os problems* [\[3,](#page-4-0) Problem #265]. We choose the formulation stated at the *Symposium on Transcendental Number Theory* held in Durham in 1986 and published in its proceedings [\[10,](#page-5-9) p. 104–105]:

Once I asked: Assume that $\sum_{n_k} \frac{1}{n_k}$ and $\sum_{n_k-1} \frac{1}{n_k}$ are both rational. How fast *can* n_k *tend to infinity? I* was (and am) sure that $n_k^{1/k} \to \infty$ is possible but $n_k^{1/2^k}$ must tend to 1*. Unfortunately almost nothing is known. David Cantor observed that*

$$
\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{k}{2}} \text{ and } \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{k}{2} - 1}
$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11J72; Secondary 11D68.

Key words and phrases. irrationality condition, series of fractions, sequence growth.

2 VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

are both rational and we do not know any sequence with this property which tends to infinity faster than polynomially.

In $[9, p. 334]$ Erdős specifically mentioned the exponential growth as already being an interesting open problem:

 (\ldots) and we could never decide if n_k can increase exponentially or even faster. On a different occasion, in Erdős and Graham's 1980 book on open problems in combinatorial number theory, a weaker property is also mentioned:

If 1 *is replaced by a larger constant then higher degree polynomials can be used.* For example, if $p(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 5x$ then both $\sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{p(n)}$ $\frac{1}{p(n)}$ and $\sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{p(n)}$ $p(n)+8$ *are rational (since both* $p(n)$ *and* $p(n) + 8$ *completely split over the integers). Similar examples are known using polynomials with degrees as large as* 10 *(see* [\[15\]](#page-5-10)*).*

There is a harmless typo in the last quote that the author deciphered as: $p(n) + 8$ should be replaced with $p(n) - 12$ and the two series should sum over $n \ge 2$. Also note that studying rationality of the two series $\sum_k 1/n_k$ and $\sum_k 1/(n_k - d)$, for a fixed positive integer d, is indeed a simpler problem. Namely, it is solved by multiplying by d any sequence (n_k) that makes $\sum_k 1/n_k$ and $\sum_k 1/(n_k - 1)$ rational.

Our goal is to answer the question partially, by showing that the exponential growth of (n_k) is indeed possible, just as Erdős suspected. The result can be formulated rigorously as Theorem [1](#page-1-0) below. Super-exponential growth still remains out of reach and it seems to require more sophisticated tools.

Theorem 1. *There exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers* $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *such that*

 $n_k > 1.01^k$ for every index k, (1.2)

while both

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \tag{1.3}
$$

are rational numbers.

Our proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) is not explicitly constructive, in the sense that the sequence (n_k) will be defined implicitly, but this might be viewed as an advantage. The main idea is very simple and it shares some similarity with the proof of a different conjecture of Erdős and Straus, recently given in [\[19\]](#page-5-11). We will initially arrange $\sum_k 1/n_k$ to be the sum of several, carefully chosen, geometric series with ratio 1/2, which clearly evaluates to a rational number. Then we will describe a procedure of changing the sequence terms in a way that $\sum_{k} 1/n_k$ remains the same, but $\sum_{k} 1/(n_k - 1)$ attains all values from a non-degenerate interval. The proof will be completed by merely choosing a rational number from that interval. After the proof we will use a computer to convince ourselves additionally: we will generate the first 1000 terms of one such sequence and calculate the approximation errors. This also justifies that the proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) is a bit more concrete and more on the computational side, since otherwise the asymptotic reasoning could have been used.

Further motivation for Theorem [1](#page-1-0) comes from the fact that many obvious guesses for exponentially decaying series [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) are known to have at least one irrational sum. For instance, Chowla [\[6\]](#page-5-12) conjectured and Erdős [\[7\]](#page-5-13) proved that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^k - 1}
$$

is an irrational number for every integer $q \geqslant 2$. More generally, Borwein [\[4,](#page-4-1) [5\]](#page-5-14) solved an open problem of Erd˝os by showing that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{q^k+r}
$$

is irrational whenever $q \geq 2$ is an integer and r is a rational number different from 0 and any of $-q^k$; an alternative proof appeared in [\[1\]](#page-4-2). Various sums of reciprocals of Fibonacci or Lucas numbers are also known to be irrational [\[20\]](#page-5-15).

2. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0)

All 19 numbers from the interval $(2^6, 2^7)$ that are relatively prime to 2, 3, and 7 are listed in the increasing order as

$$
s_0 = 65
$$
, $s_1 = 67$, $s_2 = 71$, $s_3 = 73$, $s_4 = 79$, $s_5 = 83$, $s_6 = 85$,
\n $s_7 = 89$, $s_8 = 95$, $s_9 = 97$, $s_{10} = 101$, $s_{11} = 103$, $s_{12} = 107$,
\n $s_{13} = 109$, $s_{14} = 113$, $s_{15} = 115$, $s_{16} = 121$, $s_{17} = 125$, $s_{18} = 127$.

A sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ of zeroes and ones will be chosen later, so let it be arbitrary for now. The set of all numbers appearing in the desired sequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ will be of the form

$$
A_{\epsilon} := \Big(\bigcup_{\substack{i \geqslant 0, \ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant 18 \\ \text{such that } \epsilon_{19i+j} = 0}} \{9 \cdot 2^{i} s_j, 21 \cdot 2^{i} s_j\} \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\substack{i \geqslant 0, \ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant 18 \\ \text{such that } \epsilon_{19i+j} = 1}} \{7 \cdot 2^{i} s_j, 63 \cdot 2^{i} s_j\} \Big).
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{21} = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{63} = \frac{10}{63},\tag{2.1}
$$

the sum

$$
\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{10}{63} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \Big) \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{18} \frac{1}{s_j} \Big)
$$

is equal to a rational number independent of ϵ . Also,

$$
\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n-1} = y + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m x_m \tag{2.2}
$$

where we have denoted

$$
y := \sum_{j=0}^{18} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{9 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1} + \frac{1}{21 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1} \right)
$$

and

$$
x_{19i+j} := \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1} + \frac{1}{63 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1} - \frac{1}{9 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1} - \frac{1}{21 \cdot 2^{i} s_j - 1}.
$$

It remains to choose the coefficients ϵ in a way that [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0) is a rational number too. This will certainly be possible if the set

$$
\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m x_m : (\epsilon_m)_{m=0}^{\infty} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}\right\}
$$
 (2.3)

happens to be a non-degenerate interval. It is an easy exercise, which has already been known to Kakeya [\[17,](#page-5-16) [18\]](#page-5-17) (also see the survey paper [\[2\]](#page-4-3)), that

$$
x_m > 0 \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,
$$
\n(2.4a)

$$
x_0 \geqslant x_1 \geqslant x_2 \geqslant x_3 \geqslant \cdots, \tag{2.4b}
$$

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_m < \infty,\tag{2.4c}
$$

$$
\sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} x_l \geq x_m \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... \tag{2.4d}
$$

are sufficient conditions for [\(2.3\)](#page-2-1) to be a closed bounded interval, namely $[0, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_m] \subset \mathbb{R}$. In the verification of all four conditions $(2.4a)-(2.4d)$ $(2.4a)-(2.4d)$ we need the estimate

$$
\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^3} \leqslant \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n^3}
$$
\n
$$
(2.5)
$$

valid for $n \geq 2$; it is an easy consequence of

 \sim

$$
n^3\left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \in [1, 2].
$$

From (2.5) and (2.1) , denoting

$$
\Delta := \frac{1}{7^2} + \frac{1}{63^2} - \frac{1}{9^2} - \frac{1}{21^2} = \frac{8}{1323} > 0,
$$

and observing

$$
0 < \frac{2}{7^3} + \frac{2}{63^3} - \frac{1}{9^3} - \frac{1}{21^3} < \frac{1}{200}, \quad -\frac{1}{25000} < \frac{1}{7^3} + \frac{1}{63^3} - \frac{2}{9^3} - \frac{2}{21^3} < 0,
$$

we can write

$$
\frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} - \frac{1}{25000 \cdot 2^{3i}s_j^3} < x_{19i+j} < \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i}s_j^2} + \frac{1}{200 \cdot 2^{3i}s_j^3}.
$$

Finally, recalling $s_j > 2^6$, we obtain

$$
\left(1 - \frac{3}{20000}\right) \cdot \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i} s_j^2} < x_{19i+j} < \left(1 + \frac{3}{200}\right) \cdot \frac{\Delta}{2^{2i} s_j^2} \tag{2.6}
$$

for all $i \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 18$.

Condition $(2.4a)$ is immediate from the lower bound in (2.6) , while Condition $(2.4c)$ is clear from the corresponding upper bound. Next, for $0 \leq j \leq 17$ we have

$$
\frac{x_{19i+j+1}}{x_{19i+j}} < \frac{1+3/200}{1-3/20000} \Big(\max_{0 \le j \le 17} \frac{s_j}{s_{j+1}}\Big)^2 < 1,
$$

while

$$
\frac{x_{19(i+1)}}{x_{19i+18}} < \frac{1+3/200}{1-3/20000} \left(\frac{s_{18}}{2s_0}\right)^2 < 1,
$$

both thanks to [\(2.6\)](#page-3-2) again. This verifies Condition [\(2.4b\)](#page-3-4). Finally, to check Condition [\(2.4d\)](#page-3-0), we take $m = 19i + j, i \ge 0, 0 \le j \le 7$, apply (2.6) , and recall $2^6 < s_j < 2^7$:

$$
\frac{1}{x_{19i+j}}\sum_{l=19i+j+1}^{\infty} x_l > \frac{1}{x_{19i+j}}\sum_{l=19(i+1)}^{19(i+1)+18} x_l > \frac{1-3/20000}{1+3/200} \cdot \frac{19\cdot(1/4)\cdot2^{-14}}{2^{-12}} > 1.
$$

Now we finally know that [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0) attain values from a whole interval, so there really exists $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that

$$
\sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \sum_{n \in A_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}.
$$

Let $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the strictly increasing sequence that enumerates A_{ϵ} . It remains to check the claim [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) about its growth. Clearly, for any integer $m \geq 6$ only the elements

$$
9 \cdot 2^{i} s_j
$$
, $21 \cdot 2^{i} s_j$ or $7 \cdot 2^{i} s_j$, $63 \cdot 2^{i} s_j$

of A_{ϵ} corresponding to the indices $0 \leq i \leq m - 7$ and an arbitrary $0 \leq j \leq 18$ can be less than 2^m and there are at most $38(m-6)$ such terms. Consequently, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the unique integer $m \ge 6$ such that $38(m-6) < k \le 38(m-5)$, we have

$$
n_k \geq 2^m > 2^{k/38} > 1.01^k
$$

and we are done.

3. Initial terms of a possible sequence

The proof from the previous section shows that there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying (1.2) , such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} = \frac{20}{63} \sum_{j=0}^{18} \frac{1}{s_j} = 0.0655394679\dots,\tag{3.1}
$$

while

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1}
$$
 can be any number from [0.0655851987..., 0.0656041482...].

We can, for instance, target

 \sim

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k - 1} = \frac{41}{625} = 0.0656,\tag{3.2}
$$

and achieve this by a recursive greedy construction:

$$
\epsilon_m := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_m + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \epsilon_l x_l > 41/625 - y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_m + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \epsilon_l x_l \leq 41/625 - y \end{cases}
$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The empty sum for $m = 0$ is understood to be 0. We can use Mathematica [\[16\]](#page-5-18) to generate $(n_k)_{k=1}^{1000}$ as the smallest 1000 elements of the obtained set A_{ϵ} ; the sequence begins:

$$
n_1 = 455
$$
, $n_2 = 469$, $n_3 = 497$, $n_4 = 511$, $n_5 = 553$,
\n $n_6 = 581$, $n_7 = 595$, $n_8 = 623$, $n_9 = 665$, $n_{10} = 679$,....

The finite sums $\sum_{k=1}^{1000} 1/n_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{1000} 1/(n_k - 1)$ respectively differ from [\(3.1\)](#page-4-4) and [\(3.2\)](#page-4-5) by less than 10^{-9} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project HRZZ-IP-2022-10-5116 (FANAP).

REFERENCES

- [1] Tewodros Amdeberhan and Doron Zeilberger. q -Apéry irrationality proofs by q -WZ pairs. Adv. in Appl. $Math., 20(2):275-283, 1998.$ [doi:10.1006/aama.1997.0565](https://doi.org/10.1006/aama.1997.0565).
- [2] Artur Bartoszewicz, Małgorzata Filipczak, and Franciszek Prus-Wiśniowski. Topological and algebraic aspects of subsums of series. In Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis, pages 345–366. Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science. University of Lódź, Lódź, 2013.
- [3] Thomas Bloom. Erd˝os problems. <https://www.erdosproblems.com/>. Accessed: June 24, 2024.
- [4] Peter B. Borwein. On the irrationality of $\sum (1/(q^n + r))$. J. Number Theory, 37(3):253-259, 1991. [doi:10.1016/S0022-314X\(05\)80041-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-314X(05)80041-1).

6 VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

- [5] Peter B. Borwein. On the irrationality of certain series. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 112(1):141-146, 1992. [doi:10.1017/S030500410007081X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S030500410007081X).
- [6] Sarvadaman Chowla. On series of the Lambert type which assume irrational values for rational values of the argument. Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, 13:171–173, 1947.
- [7] Paul Erdős. On arithmetical properties of Lambert series. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* (N.S.), 12:63–66, 1948.
- [8] Paul Erdős. Some problems and results on the irrationality of the sum of infinite series. J. Math. Sci., 10:1–7, 1975.
- [9] Paul Erdős. E. Straus (1921–1983). Rocky Mountain J. Math., 15(2):331–341, 1985. Number theory (Winnipeg, Man., 1983). [doi:10.1216/RMJ-1985-15-2-331](https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-1985-15-2-331).
- [10] Paul Erdős. On the irrationality of certain series: problems and results. In New advances in transcendence theory (Durham, 1986), Alan Baker (Ed.), pages 102–109. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [11] Paul Erdős and Ronald L. Graham. Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory, volume 28 of Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique. Université de Genève, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [12] Paul Erdős and Ernst G. Straus. On the irrationality of certain Ahmes series. J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 27:129–133, 1964.
- [13] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. Concrete mathematics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Reading, 1989.
- [14] Jaroslav Hančl and Robert Tijdeman. On the irrationality of Cantor and Ahmes series. Publ. Math. De $brecen, 65(3-4):371-380, 2004.$ [doi:10.5486/pmd.2004.3254](https://doi.org/10.5486/pmd.2004.3254).
- [15] Godfrey H. Hardy and Edward M. Wright. An introduction to the theory of numbers. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1954. 3rd ed.
- [16] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.0.0. Champaign, IL, 2021. URL: <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica>.
- [17] Sōichi Kakeya. On the partial sums of an infinite series. $T\delta hoku Sci. Rep., 3:159-164, 1914.$
- [18] Sōichi Kakeya. On the set of partial sums of an infinite series. Proc. Tokyo Math.-Phys. Soc., 2nd ser., 7:250–251, 1914.
- [19] Vjekoslav Kovač. On the set of points represented by harmonic subseries. preprint, 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.07681>.
- [20] Tapani Matala-Aho and Marc Prévost. Quantitative irrationality for sums of reciprocals of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. $Ramanujan J$, $11(2):249-261$, 2006 . [doi:10.1007/s11139-006-6511-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-006-6511-4).
- [21] Neil J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, 2024. URL: <http://oeis.org>.
- [22] Robert Tijdeman and Pingzhi Yuan. On the rationality of Cantor and Ahmes series. Indag. Math. (N.S.), 13(3):407–418, 2002. [doi:10.1016/S0019-3577\(02\)80018-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-3577(02)80018-0).
- [23] Ilan Vardi. Computational recreations in Mathematica. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Email address: vjekovac@math.hr