Study of the $f_0(980)$ through the decay $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

arXiv:2406.17452v1 [hep-ex] 25 Jun 2024

M. Ablikim[1], M. N. Achasov[4,c], P. Adlarson[75], O. Afedulidis[3], X. C. Ai[80], R. Aliberti[35], A. Amoroso[74A,74C], Q. An[71,58,a], Y. Bai[57], O. Bakina[36], I. Balossino[29A], Y. Ban[46,h], H.-R. Bao[63], V. Batozskaya[1,44], K. Begzsuren[32], N. Berger[35], M. Berlowski[44], M. Bertani[28A], D. Bettoni[29A], F. Bianchi[74A,74C], E. Bianco[74A,74C], A. Bortone[74A,74C], I. Boyko[36], R. A. Briere[5], A. Brueggemann[68], H. Cai[76], X. Cai[1,58], A. Calcaterra[28A], G. F. Cao[1,63], N. Cao[1,63], S. A. Cetin[62A], J. F. Chang[1,58], G. R. Che[43], G. Chelkov[36,b], C. Chen[43], C. H. Chen[9], Chao Chen[55], G. Chen[1], H. S. Chen[1,63], H. Y. Chen[20], M. L. Chen[1,58,63], S. J. Chen[42], S. L. Chen[45], S. M. Chen[61], T. Chen[1,63], X. R. Chen[31,63], X. T. Chen[1,63], Y. B. Chen[1,58], Y. Q. Chen[34], Z. J. Chen[25,i], Z. Y. Chen[1,63], S. K. Choi[10A], G. Cibinetto[29A], F. Cossio[74C], J. J. Cui[50], H. L. Dai[1,58], J. P. Dai[78], A. Dbeyssi[18], R. E. de Boer[3], D. Dedovich[36], C. Q. Deng[72], Z. Y. Deng[1], A. Denig[35], I. Denysenko[36], M. Destefanis[74A,74C], F. De Mori[74A,74C], B. Ding[66,1], X. X. Ding[46,h], Y. Ding[40], Y. Ding[34], J. Dong[1,58], L. Y. Dong[1,63], M. Y. Dong[1,58,63], X. Dong[76], M. C. Du[1], S. X. Du[80], Y. Y. Duan[55], Z. H. Duan[42], P. Egorov[36,b], Y. H. Fan[45], J. Fang[1,58], J. Fang[59], S. S. Fang[1,63], W. X. Fang[1], Y. Fang[1], Y. Q. Fang[1,58], R. Farinelli[29A], L. Fava[74B,74C], F. Feldbauer[3], G. Felici[28A], C. Q. Feng[71,58], J. H. Feng[59], Y. T. Feng[71,58], M. Fritsch[3], C. D. Fu[1], J. L. Fu[63], Y. W. Fu[1,63], H. Gao[63], X. B. Gao[41], Y. N. Gao[46,h], Yang Gao[71,58], S. Garbolino[74C], I. Garzia[29A,29B], L. Ge[80], P. T. Ge[76], Z. W. Ge[42], C. Geng[59], E. M. Gersabeck[67], A. Gilman[69], K. Goetzen[13], L. Gong[40], W. X. Gong[1,58], W. Gradl[35], S. Gramigna[29A,29B], M. Greco[74A,74C], M. H. Gu[1,58], Y. T. Gu[15], C. Y. Guan[1,63], A. Q. Guo[31,63], L. B. Guo[41], M. J. Guo[50], R. P. Guo[49], Y. P. Guo[12,g], A. Guskov[36,b], J. Gutierrez[27], K. L. Han[63], T. T. Han[1], F. Hanisch[3], X. Q. Hao[19], F. A. Harris[65], K. K. He[55], K. L. He[1,63], F. H. Heinsius[3], C. H. Heinz[35], Y. K. Heng[1,58,63], C. Herold[60], T. Holtmann[3], P. C. Hong[34], G. Y. Hou[1,63], X. T. Hou[1,63], Y. R. Hou[63], Z. L. Hou[1], B. Y. Hu[59], H. M. Hu[1,63], J. F. Hu[56,j], S. L. Hu[12,g], T. Hu[1,58,63], Y. Hu[1], G. S. Huang[71,58], K. X. Huang[59], L. Q. Huang[31,63], X. T. Huang[50], Y. P. Huang[1], Y. S. Huang[59], T. Hussain[73], F. Hölzken[3], N. Hüsken[35], N. in der Wiesche[68], J. Jackson[27], S. Janchiv[32], J. H. Jeong[10A], Q. Ji[1], Q. P. Ji[19], W. Ji[1,63], X. B. Ji[1,63], X. L. Ji[1,58], Y. Y. Ji[50], X. Q. Jia[50], Z. K. Jia[71,58], D. Jiang[1,63], H. B. Jiang[76], P. C. Jiang[46,h], S. S. Jiang[39], T. J. Jiang[16], X. S. Jiang[1,58,63], Y. Jiang[63], J. B. Jiao[50], J. K. Jiao[34], Z. Jiao[23], S. Jin[42], Y. Jin[66], M. Q. Jing[1,63], X. M. Jing[63], T. Johansson[75], S. Kabana[33], N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki[64], X. L. Kang[9], X. S. Kang[40], M. Kavatsyuk[64], B. C. Ke[80], V. Khachatryan[27], A. Khoukaz[68], R. Kiuchi[1], O. B. Kolcu[62A], B. Kopf[3], M. Kuessner[3], X. Kui[1,63], N. Kumar[26], A. Kupsc[44,75], W. Kühn[37], J. J. Lane[67], P. Larin[18], L. Lavezzi[74A,74C], T. T. Lei[71,58], Z. H. Lei[71,58], M. Lellmann[35], T. Lenz[35], C. Li[43], C. Li[47], C. H. Li[39], Cheng Li[71,58], D. M. Li[80], F. Li[1,58], G. Li[1], H. B. Li[1,63], H. J. Li[19], H. N. Li[56,j], Hui Li[43], J. R. Li[61], J. S. Li[59], K. Li[1], L. J. Li[1,63], L. K. Li[1], Lei Li[48], M. H. Li[43], P. R. Li[38,k,l], Q. M. Li[1,63], Q. X. Li[50], R. Li[17,31], S. X. Li[12], T. Li[50], W. D. Li[1,63], W. G. Li[1,a], X. Li[1,63], X. H. Li[71,58], X. L. Li[50], X. Y. Li[1,8], X. Z. Li[59], Y. G. Li[46,h], Z. J. Li[59], Z. Y. Li[78], C. Liang[42], H. Liang[71,58], H. Liang[1,63], Y. F. Liang[54], Y. T. Liang[31,63], G. R. Liao[14], L. Z. Liao[50], Y. P. Liao[1,63], J. Libby[26], A. Limphirat[60], C. C. Lin[55], D. X. Lin[31,63], T. Lin[1], B. J. Liu[1], B. X. Liu[76], C. Liu[34], C. X. Liu[1], F. Liu[1], F. H. Liu[53], Feng Liu[6], G. M. Liu[56,j], H. Liu[38,k,l], H. B. Liu[15], H. H. Liu[1], H. M. Liu[1,63], Huihui Liu[21], J. B. Liu[71,58], J. Y. Liu[1,63], K. Liu[38,k,l], K. Y. Liu[40], Ke Liu[22], L. Liu[71,58], L. C. Liu[43], Lu Liu[43], M. H. Liu[12,g], P. L. Liu[1], Q. Liu[63], S. B. Liu[71,58], T. Liu[12,g], W. K. Liu[43], W. M. Liu[71,58], X. Liu[38,k,l], X. Liu[39], Y. Liu[38,k,l], Y. Liu[80], Y. B. Liu[43], Z. A. Liu[1,58,63], Z. D. Liu[9], Z. Q. Liu[50], X. C. Lou[1,58,63], F. X. Lu[59], H. J. Lu[23], J. G. Lu[1,58], X. L. Lu[1], Y. Lu[7], Y. P. Lu[1,58], Z. H. Lu[1,63], C. L. Luo[41], J. R. Luo[59], M. X. Luo[79], T. Luo[12,g], X. L. Luo[1,58], X. R. Lyu[63], Y. F. Lyu[43], F. C. Ma[40], H. Ma[78], H. L. Ma[1], J. L. Ma[1,63], L. L. Ma[50], M. M. Ma[1,63], Q. M. Ma[1], R. Q. Ma[1,63], T. Ma[71,58], X. T. Ma[1,63], X. Y. Ma[1,58], Y. Ma[46,h], Y. M. Ma[31], F. E. Maas[18], M. Maggiora[74A,74C], S. Malde[69], Y. J. Mao[46,h], Z. P. Mao[1], S. Marcello[74A,74C], Z. X. Meng[66], J. G. Messchendorp[13,64], G. Mezzadri[29A], H. Miao[1,63], T. J. Min[42], R. E. Mitchell[27], X. H. Mo[1,58,63], B. Moses[27], N. Yu. Muchnoi[4,c], J. Muskalla[35], Y. Nefedov[36], F. Nerling[18,e], L. S. Nie[20], I. B. Nikolaev[4,c], Z. Ning[1,58], S. Nisar[11,m], Q. L. Niu[38,k,l], W. D. Niu[55], Y. Niu [50], S. L. Olsen[63], Q. Ouyang[1,58,63], S. Pacetti[28B,28C], X. Pan[55], Y. Pan[57], A. Pathak[34], P. Patteri[28A], Y. P. Pei[71,58], M. Pelizaeus[3], H. P. Peng[71,58], Y. Y. Peng[38,k,l], K. Peters[13,e], J. L. Ping[41], R. G. Ping[1,63], S. Plura[35], V. Prasad[33], F. Z. Qi[1], H. Qi[71,58], H. R. Qi[61], M. Qi[42], T. Y. Qi[12,g], S. Qian[1,58], W. B. Qian[63], C. F. Qiao[63], X. K. Qiao[80], J. J. Qin[72], L. Q. Qin[14], L. Y. Qin[71,58], X. P. Qin[12,g], X. S. Qin[50], Z. H. Qin[1,58], J. F. Qiu[1], Z. H. Qu[72], C. F. Redmer[35], K. J. Ren[39], A. Rivetti[74C], M. Rolo[74C], G. Rong[1,63], Ch. Rosner[18], S. N. Ruan[43], N. Salone[44], A. Sarantsev[36,d], Y. Schelhaas[35], K. Schoenning[75], M. Scodeggio[29A], K. Y. Shan[12,g], W. Shan[24], X. Y. Shan[71,58], Z. J. Shang[38,k,l], J. F. Shangguan[16], L. G. Shao[1,63], M. Shao[71,58], C. P. Shen[12,g], H. F. Shen[1,8], W. H. Shen[63], X. Y. Shen[1,63], B. A. Shi[63], H. Shi[71,58], H. C. Shi[71,58], J. L. Shi[12,g], J. Y. Shi[1], Q. Q. Shi[55], S. Y. Shi[72], X. Shi[1,58], J. J. Song[19], T. Z. Song[59], W. M. Song[34,1], Y. J. Song[12,g], Y. X. Song[46,h,n], S. Sosio[74A,74C], S. Spataro[74A,74C], F. Stieler[35], Y. J. Su[63], G. B. Sun[76], G. X. Sun[1], H. Sun[63], H. K. Sun[1], J. F. Sun[19], K. Sun[61], L. Sun[76], S. S. Sun[1,63], T. Sun[51,f], W. Y. Sun[34], Y. Sun[9], Y. J. Sun[71,58], Y. Z. Sun[1], Z. Q. Sun[1,63], Z. T. Sun[50], C. J. Tang[54], G. Y. Tang[1], J. Tang[59], M. Tang[71,58], Y. A. Tang[76], L. Y. Tao[72], Q. T. Tao[25,i], M. Tat[69], J. X. Teng[71,58], V. Thoren[75], W. H. Tian[59], Y. Tian[31,63], Z. F. Tian[76], I. Uman[62B], Y. Wan[55], S. J. Wang [50], B. Wang[1], B. L. Wang[63], Bo Wang[71,58], D. Y. Wang[46,h], F. Wang[72], H. J. Wang[38,k,l], J. J. Wang[76], J. P. Wang [50], K. Wang[1,58], L. L. Wang[1], M. Wang[50], N. Y. Wang[63], S. Wang[12,g], S. Wang[38,k,l], T. Wang[12,g], T. J. Wang[43], W. Wang[59], W. Wang[72], W. P. Wang[35,71,o], X. Wang[46,h], X. F. Wang[38,k,l], X. J. Wang[39], X. L. Wang[12,g], X. N. Wang[1], Y. Wang[61], Y. D. Wang[45], Y. F. Wang[1,58,63], Y. L. Wang[19], Y. N. Wang[45], Y. Q. Wang[1], Yaqian Wang[17], Yi Wang[61], Z. Wang[1,58], Z. L. Wang[72], Z. Y. Wang[1,63], Ziyi Wang[63], D. H. Wei[14], F. Weidner[68], S. P. Wen[1], Y. R. Wen[39], U. Wiedner[3], G. Wilkinson[69], M. Wolke[75], L. Wollenberg[3], C. Wu[39], J. F. Wu[1,8], L. H. Wu[1], L. J. Wu[1,63], X. Wu[12,g], X. H. Wu[34], Y. Wu[71,58], Y. H. Wu[55], Y. J. Wu[31], Z. Wu[1,58], L. Xia[71,58], X. M. Xian[39], B. H. Xiang[1,63], T. Xiang[46,h], D. Xiao[38,k,l], G. Y. Xiao[42], S. Y. Xiao[1], Y. L. Xiao[12,g], Z. J. Xiao[41], C. Xie[42], X. H. Xie[46,h], Y. Xie[50], Y. G. Xie[1,58], Y. H. Xie[6], Z. P. Xie[71,58], T. Y. Xing[1,63], C. F. Xu[1,63], C. J. Xu[59], G. F. Xu[1], H. Y. Xu[66,2,p], M. Xu[71,58], Q. J. Xu[16], Q. N. Xu[30], W. Xu[1], W. L. Xu[66], X. P. Xu[55], Y. C. Xu[77], Z. P. Xu[42], Z. S. Xu[63], F. Yan[12,g],

L. Yan[12,g], W. B. Yan[71,58], W. C. Yan[80], X. Q. Yan[1], H. J. Yang[51,f], H. L. Yang[34], H. X. Yang[1], T. Yang[1], Y. Yang[12,g], Y. F. Yang[1,63], Y. F. Yang[43], Y. X. Yang[1,63], Z. W. Yang[38,k,l], Z. P. Yao[50], M. Ye[1,58], M. H. Ye[8], J. H. Yin[1], Z. Y. You[59], B. X. Yu[1,58,63], C. X. Yu[43], G. Yu[1,63], J. S. Yu[25,i], T. Yu[72], X. D. Yu[46,h], Y. C. Yu[80], C. Z. Yuan[1,63], J. Yuan[34], J. Yuan[45], L. Yuan[2], S. C. Yuan[1,63], Y. Yuan[1,63], Z. Y. Yuan[59], C. X. Yue[39], A. A. Zafar[73], F. R. Zeng[50], S. H. Zeng[72], X. Zeng[12,g], Y. Zeng[25,i], Y. J. Zeng[1,63], Y. J. Zeng[59], X. Y. Zhai[34], Y. C. Zhai[50], Y. H. Zhan[59], A. Q. Zhang[1,63], B. L. Zhang[1,63], B. X. Zhang[1], D. H. Zhang[43], G. Y. Zhang[19], H. Zhang[80], H. Zhang[71,58], H. C. Zhang[1,58,63], H. H. Zhang[34], H. H. Zhang[59], H. Q. Zhang[1,58,63], H. R. Zhang[71,58], H. Y. Zhang[1,58], J. Zhang[80], J. Zhang[59], J. J. Zhang[52], J. L. Zhang[20], J. Q. Zhang[41], J. S. Zhang[12,g], J. W. Zhang[1,58,63], J. X. Zhang[38,k,l], J. Y. Zhang[1], J. Z. Zhang[1,63], Jianyu Zhang[63], L. M. Zhang[61], Lei Zhang[42], P. Zhang[1,63], Q. Y. Zhang[34], R. Y. Zhang[38,k,l], S. H. Zhang[1,63], Shulei Zhang[25,i], X. D. Zhang[45], X. M. Zhang[1], X. Y. Zhang[50], Y. Zhang[72], Y. Zhang[1], Y. T. Zhang[80], Y. H. Zhang[1,58], Y. M. Zhang[39], Yan Zhang[71,58], Z. D. Zhang[1], Z. H. Zhang[1], Z. L. Zhang[34], Z. Y. Zhang[76], Z. Y. Zhang[43], Z. Z. Zhang[45], G. Zhao[1], J. Y. Zhao[1,63], J. Z. Zhao[1,58], L. Zhao[1], Lei Zhao[71,58], M. G. Zhao[43], N. Zhao[78], R. P. Zhao[63], S. J. Zhao[80], Y. B. Zhao[1,58], Y. X. Zhao[31,63], Z. G. Zhao[71,58], A. Zhemchugov[36,b], B. Zheng[72], B. M. Zheng[34], J. P. Zheng[1,58], W. J. Zheng[1,63], Y. H. Zheng[63], B. Zhong[41], X. Zhong[59], H. Zhou[50], J. Y. Zhou[34], L. P. Zhou[1,63], S. Zhou[6], X. Zhou[76], X. K. Zhou[6], X. R. Zhou[71,58], X. Y. Zhou[39], Y. Z. Zhou[12,g], J. Zhu[43], K. Zhu[1], K. J. Zhu[1,58,63], K. S. Zhu[12,g], L. Zhu[34], L. X. Zhu[63], S. H. Zhu[70], S. Q. Zhu[42], T. J. Zhu[12,g], W. D. Zhu[41], Y. C. Zhu[71,58], Z. A. Zhu[1,63], J. H. Zou[1], J. Zu[71,58]

(BESIII Collaboration)

[1] *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China*
[2] *Beihang University, Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China*
[3] *Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*
[4] *Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*
[5] *Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA*
[6] *Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China*
[7] *Central South University, Changsha 410083, People's Republic of China*
[8] *China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China*
[9] *China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, People's Republic of China*
[10] *Chung-Ang University, Seoul, 06974, Republic of Korea*
[11] *COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan*
[12] *Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China*
[13] *GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*
[14] *Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China*
[15] *Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China*
[16] *Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China*
[17] *Hebei University, Baoding 071002, People's Republic of China*
[18] *Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Staudinger Weg 18, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*
[19] *Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, People's Republic of China*
[20] *Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, People's Republic of China*
[21] *Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China*
[22] *Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China*
[23] *Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, People's Republic of China*
[24] *Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, People's Republic of China*
[25] *Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China*
[26] *Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India*
[27] *Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA*
[28] *INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati , (A)INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati, Italy; (B)INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy; (C)University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy*
[29] *INFN Sezione di Ferrara, (A)INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy; (B)University of Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy*
[30] *Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, People's Republic of China*
[31] *Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China*
[32] *Institute of Physics and Technology, Peace Avenue 54B, Ulaanbaatar 13330, Mongolia*
[33] *Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7D, Arica 1000000, Chile*
[34] *Jilin University, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China*
[35] *Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*
[36] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*
[37] *Justus-Liebig-Universitaet Giessen, II. Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany*
[38] *Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China*
[39] *Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, People's Republic of China*
[40] *Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China*
[41] *Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, People's Republic of China*
[42] *Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China*
[43] *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China*

[44] National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw 02-093, Poland
[45] North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, People's Republic of China
[46] Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China
[47] Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, People's Republic of China
[48] Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, People's Republic of China
[49] Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, People's Republic of China
[50] Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China
[51] Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China
[52] Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, People's Republic of China
[53] Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, People's Republic of China
[54] Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China
[55] Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China
[56] South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China
[57] Southeast University, Nanjing 211100, People's Republic of China
[58] State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Beijing 100049, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
[59] Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China
[60] Suranaree University of Technology, University Avenue 111, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand
[61] Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China
[62] Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group, (A)Istinye University, 34010, Istanbul, Turkey; (B)Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, 99138, Mersin 10, Turkey
[63] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China
[64] University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands
[65] University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA
[66] University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, People's Republic of China
[67] University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom
[68] University of Muenster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Strasse 9, 48149 Muenster, Germany
[69] University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX13RH, United Kingdom
[70] University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan 114051, People's Republic of China
[71] University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
[72] University of South China, Hengyang 421001, People's Republic of China
[73] University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan
[74] University of Turin and INFN, (A)University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy; (B)University of Eastern Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy; (C)INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy
[75] Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
[76] Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China
[77] Yantai University, Yantai 264005, People's Republic of China
[78] Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, People's Republic of China
[79] Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China
[80] Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

[a] Deceased
[b] Also at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia
[c] Also at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
[d] Also at the NRC "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, 188300, Gatchina, Russia
[e] Also at Goethe University Frankfurt, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
[f] Also at Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China
[g] Also at Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, People's Republic of China
[h] Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China
[i] Also at School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China
[j] Also at Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China
[k] Also at MOE Frontiers Science Center for Rare Isotopes, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
[l] Also at Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
[m] Also at the Department of Mathematical Sciences, IBA, Karachi 75270, Pakistan
[n] Also at Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
[o] Also at Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Staudinger Weg 18, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
[p] Also at School of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191 , China

We perform the first amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays, based on data samples of electron-positron collisions recorded with the BESIII detector at center-of-mass energies be-

tween 4.128 and 4.226 GeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 7.33 fb^{-1}. We report the observation of $D_s^+ \to f_0(980)\rho(770)^+$ with a statistical significance greater than 10σ and determine the branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta) = (2.04 \pm 0.08_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.05_{\rm syst.})\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta\pi^+) = (1.56\pm0.09_{\rm stat.} \pm0.04_{\rm syst.})\%$. Moreover, we measure the relative branching fraction between $\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\phi \to K^+K^-$ to be $\frac{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to K^+K^-)} = 0.230 \pm 0.014_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.010_{\rm syst.}$, which deviates from the world average value by more than 4σ.

The exploration of charmed-meson $D_{(s)}$ hadronic decays allows the interplay of short-distance weak-decay matrix elements and long-distance Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) interactions to be studied. Moreover, measurements of the branching fractions (BFs) of charmed mesons can provide valuable insights for understanding the amplitudes and phases induced by the strong force [1–4]. The amplitudes describing the weak decays of charmed mesons are dominated by two-body processes, i.e. $D_{(s)} \to VP$, $D_{(s)} \to PP$, $D_{(s)} \to SP$ and $D_{(s)} \to VV$ decays, where V, S, and P denote vector, scalar and pseudoscalar mesons, respectively. Significant progress has been achieved through a series of amplitude analyses on hadronic charmed meson decays [1, 5–8]. However, there have been fewer studies of $D_{(s)} \to SV$ decays [1], which means that the theoretical understanding of this process in less advanced, compared to other types of two-body decays. Among $D_{(s)} \to SV$ decays, $D_s^+ \to f_0(980)\rho^+$ is of particular importance as it mainly involves a W-external-emission channel, the BF of which can be precisely calculated in the absence of final-state interactions, such as quark exchange or resonance formation [9–12]. Final-state interactions are key ingredients in the production of light scalar mesons, i.e. $f_0(500)$, $f_0(980)$, and $a_0(980)$, which are of particular interest given the lack of consensus on whether these particles are members of the normal scalar meson nonet or four-quark states. In addition, the BESIII collaboration recently observed abnormally large BFs for the $D_s^+ \to a_0(980)^{0(+)}\pi^{+(0)}$ [6] and $D_s^+ \to a_0(980)^{0(+)}\rho^{+(0)}$ [13] decays, which could potentially be explained by final-state rescattering effects [9, 10]. Therefore, studying $D_s^+ \to f_0(980)\rho^+$ through an amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays can experimentally constrain the contribution from final-state interactions and help in understanding of the nature of the $f_0(980)$ meson.

Another interesting intermediate decay, $D_s^+ \to \omega\pi^+$ with $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, occurs solely via the W-annihilation process. A precise measurement of its BF can help improve the theoretical understanding, as current calculations suffer from large uncertainties [14–17]. The BESIII Collaboration has reported the BF of this decay to be $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \omega\pi^+) = (1.77 \pm 0.32_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.13_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$ [18]. In this Letter, we provide a more precise measurement of the BF using a larger data set through amplitude analysis, which takes the interference effect with other $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ processes into account. In addition, the $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay also contains a rich system of other possible intermediate components, such as $D_s^+ \to \eta\pi^+$, $D_s^+ \to f_0(500)\rho^+$, $D_s^+ \to f_0(1370)\rho^+$, $D_s^+ \to f_2(1270)\rho^+$, $D_s^+ \to \rho^0\rho^+$, $D_s^+ \to a_1^+\pi^0$, etc. Studying the relative contributions of these intermediate resonances can benefit the understanding of the strong interaction at low energies and the D_s^+ weak-decay mechanism.

Finally, the decay $D_s^+ \to \phi\pi$ can be studied through $\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$. As the key reference channel for D_s^+ decays, $D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+$ is typically measured through $\phi \to K^+K^-$ [1]. However, studies of ϕ decays have primarily been conducted in e^+e^- annihilation and $K-p$ scattering experiments [1, 19–23], which often encounter challenges from complex backgrounds and various interferences. The measurement of the BF of $D_s^+ \to \phi(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$, along with $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \phi(\to K^+K^-)\pi^+)$ [24], can provide a new method to determine the relative BF of $R_\phi = \mathcal{B}(\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\mathcal{B}(\phi \to K^+K^-)$ in a more controlled environment. Precise measurements of the BFs of ϕ decays are crucial not only for studying the strong interaction [25, 26] but also for investigating B decays which involve ϕ mesons [27–30].

In this Letter, we present the first amplitude analysis of the decay $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ using 7.33 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- collision data collected with the BESIII detector at center-of-mass energies between 4.128 and 4.226 GeV. At these energies, $D_s^{*\pm}D_s^{\mp}$ events provide an ideal environment for the study of D_s^+ physics. Throughout this Letter, charge-conjugated modes and exchange symmetry of two identical π^+ are implied. The resonances $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(782)$, $\rho(770)^{+/0}$, and $a_1(1260)^{+/0}$ are referred to as ϕ, ω, $\rho^{+/0}$, and $a_1^{+/0}$, respectively.

The BESIII detector [31] records symmetric e^+e^- collisions provided by the BEPCII storage ring [32] in the center-of-mass energy range from 1.85 to 4.95 GeV [33]. Large samples of Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events are produced with GEANT4-based [34] software, and are used to determine the detection efficiency and to estimate the background contributions. The beam-energy spread and initial-state radiation in the e^+e^- annihilation are modeled with the generator KKMC [35]. Inclusive MC samples of 40 times the size of the data sample are used to simulate the background contributions. The inclusive MC sample includes the production of open charm processes, the ISR production of vector charmonium(-like) states, and the continuum processes incorporated in KKMC. All particle decays are modeled with EVTGEN [36] using BFs either taken from the Particle Data Group [1], when available, or otherwise estimated with LUNDCHARM [37]. Final-state radiation from charged particles is incorporated using the PHOTOS package [38]. The signal detection efficiencies and signal shapes are obtained from sig-

nal MC samples, in which the signal $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay is simulated using the model from the amplitude analysis introduced in this Letter.

Signal events are from the $e^+e^- \to D_s^{*+}D_s^- + c.c. \to \gamma D_s^+ D_s^-$ process, where $D_s^{*+(-)}D_s^{-(+)}$ are produced without additional hadronic particles, which provides a clean environment for amplitude analysis and precise measurement of the absolute BFs of $D_s^\pm$ hadronic decays. We utilize a double-tag (DT) technique [39–41] to study the signal process. In this procedure there are two types of samples: single-tag (ST) events, which are reconstructed with a D_s^- tag; and DT events, which are reconstructed with both a D_s^- tag and signal D_s^+. In this analysis, the ST tag D_s^- candidates are reconstructed through seven modes: $D_s^- \to K_S^0K^-$, $D_s^- \to K^+K^-\pi^-$, $D_s^- \to K^+K^-\pi^-\pi^0$, $D_s^- \to K_S^0K^+\pi^-\pi^-$, $D_s^- \to \pi^-\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$, $D_s^- \to \pi^-\eta'_{\pi^+\pi^-\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}$, and $D_s^- \to K^-\pi^-\pi^+$. Here, the K_S^0, π^0, η, and η' mesons are reconstructed from $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$, and $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ decays, respectively. The selection criteria for charged and neutral particle candidates are identical to those used in Ref. [13]. For the decay mode $D_s^- \to K^+K^-\pi^-\pi^0$, we reject events with K^+K^- invariant mass above 1.05 GeV/c^2 to suppress background. The DT candidates are selected by reconstructing the signal process $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ from the remaining particles that are not used in the ST reconstruction.

The invariant masses of the ST and DT $D_s^\pm$ candidates, denoted $M_{\rm tag}$ and $M_{\rm sig}$, respectively, are required to be within the range [1.87, 2.06] GeV/c^2. We calculate the recoiling mass $M_{\rm rec} = \{[E_{\rm cm} - (|\vec{p}_{D_s^-}|^2 + m^2_{D_s^-})^{1/2}]^2 - |\vec{p}_{D_s^-}|^2\}^{1/2}$ in the e^+e^- center-of-mass system, where $E_{\rm cm}$ is the center-of-mass energy of the data sample, $\vec{p}_{D_s^-}$ is the momentum of the reconstructed D_s^- and $m_{D_s^-}$ is the known mass of the D_s^- meson [1]. The value of $M_{\rm rec}$ is required to be in the range [2.05, 2.18] GeV/c^2 for the data sample collected at 4.178 GeV to suppress the non-$D_s^{*\pm}D_s^\mp$ events. The $M_{\rm rec}$ ranges for the other data samples are the same as those in Ref. [13].

To suppress background from $D_s^+ \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^0$ decays, events are rejected if any of the two $\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations of the candidate signal decay has an invariant mass lying within the range [0.46, 0.52] GeV/c^2. The decay $D_s^+ \to \eta\pi^+$ is also considered as background because $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ lies at the boundary of the phase space and thus has little interference with other intermediate decays in the $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ process. Therefore, events are rejected if any of the two $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ combinations in the final $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ state has an invariant mass within the η mass range of [0.52, 0.58] GeV/c^2.

To reduce combinatorial background, a seven-constraint (7C) kinematic fit [42] is applied to the $e^+e^- \to D_s^{*\pm}D_s^\mp \to \gamma D_s^+D_s^-$ candidates, where D_s^- decays to one of the tag modes and D_s^+ decays to the signal mode. The constraints are: four-momentum conservation in the center-of-mass system, and imposing that the invariant mass of π^0 from the signal decay, the reconstructed D_s^- from the tag decays, and the D_s^{*+} candidate have their PDG values [1]. If there are multiple candidate combinations, the combination with the minimum χ^2 of the 7C kinematic fit is retained.

An observable, $M_{\rm rec0} = \{[E_{\rm cm} - (\vec{p}^{\,2}_{D_s^+\gamma} + m^2_{D_s^{*\pm}})^{1/2}]^2 - |\vec{p}_{D_s^+}|^2\}^{1/2}$, is required to lie within the range [1.958, 1.986] GeV/c^2. The energy of the radiative photon from the $D_s^{*\pm}$ is required to be less than 0.18 GeV. The invariant mass of the $D_s^{*\pm}$ candidate must be within [2.066, 2.135] GeV/c^2. Finally, the mass of the signal D_s^+ candidate is required to be within the range [1.930, 1.985] GeV/c^2.

In particular for amplitude analysis, to achieve a better resolution for the reconstructed momentum, an additional constraint is added, imposing that the reconstructed signal D_s^+ mass has the PDG value. The four momenta of candidate events are updated following this eight-constraint (8C) kinematic fit for the amplitude analysis.

The data sets are divided into four categories according to the center-of-mass energy range: 4.13-4.16, 4.178, 4.189-4.219, and 4.226 GeV. We fit the D_s^+ peaks in these samples with a signal shape taken from MC simulation, convolved with a Gaussian function, and a shape for the background distribution also taken from simulation. The purities are determined to be (83.8±1.1)%, (81.0±0.7)%, (80.2 ± 1.0)%, and (75.7 ± 2.2)%, with corresponding signal yields of 189 ± 17, 778 ± 35, 448 ± 26, and 137 ± 15, respectively.

A simultaneous unbinned maximum-likelihood fit is performed on the four categories of data. The probability density function (PDF) is constructed depending on the momenta of the four final-state particles, using a signal-background model: PDF($\boldsymbol{x}$) = $\xi f_S(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1-\xi) f_B(\boldsymbol{x})$, where ξ is the purity of data set, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is the location in phase space of the decay (determined by the momenta of the four final particles), f_S is the normalized signal-process distribution function, and f_B is the normalized background-distribution function. The signal model is constructed as a coherent sum of intermediate processes $M(\boldsymbol{x}) = \Sigma \rho_n e^{i\phi_n} A_n(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $\rho_n e^{i\phi_n}$ is the complex coefficient of the n-th amplitude. The component amplitude $A_n(\boldsymbol{x})$ is given by $A_n = P_n^1 P_n^2 S_n F_n^1 F_n^2 F_n^3$, where the indices 1, 2, and 3 correspond to the two subsequent intermediate resonances and the D_s^+ meson, F_n^i the Blatt-Weisskopf barrier factor [43, 44], and P_n^i the propagator of the intermediate resonance. The function S_n is the spin factor describing the L-S coupling in the amplitude and is constructed using the covariant-tensor formalism [44]. The propagators employed in this analysis are as follows: a relativistic Breit-Wigner [45] function for the $f_0(1370)$, $f_2(1270)$, $\pi(1300)$, a_1, $\rho(1450)$, ϕ, and ω resonance; a Gounaris-Sakurai [46] line shape for the ρ resonance; and a coupled Flatté [47] formula for the $f_0(980)$ resonance, whose parameters are taken from Refs. [48, 49].

The background model $B(\boldsymbol{x})$ is constructed from inclusive MC samples by using a multidimensional kernel

density estimator [50] with five independent Lorentz invariant variables ($M_{\pi^+\pi^+}$, $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$, $M_{\pi^+\pi^0}$, $M_{\pi^-\pi^0}$, and $M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$). The extracted shape shows good consistence with data side-band. As a consequence, the combined PDF can be written as

$$\epsilon R_4 \left[\xi \frac{|M(\boldsymbol{x})|^2}{\int \epsilon |M(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 R_4 \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}} + (1-\xi)\frac{B_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x})}{\int \epsilon B_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x}) R_4 \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}\right], \quad (1)$$

where ϵ is the acceptance function determined with phase-space (PHSP) MC samples generated with a uniform distribution over final particles' momentum of $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays, $B_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined as $B(\boldsymbol{x})/\epsilon$, and $R_4\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$ is an element of four-body PHSP. The normalization integral in the denominator is calculated by the MC technique described in Ref. [51].

In the amplitude analysis, the initial model is constructed from those significant components known to be present, namely $\phi\pi^+$, $\omega\pi^+$, $f_0(980)\rho^+$, and $f_0(1370)\rho^+$. Then, further components are added, one at a time, to the fit. The statistical significance of a component is calculated from the resulting change of likelihood and number of degrees of freedom. Only those components with significance larger than 5σ are retained for the optimal model. The dominant Cabibbo-favored process $D_s^+ \to f_0(1370)\rho^+$ is selected as the reference component, with its phase fixed to zero and magnitude to unity. The coefficients of the isospin-related sub-decays of the ϕ, ω, and a_1 are related by Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The final model contains eleven components, as listed in Table I. The mass projections of the fit are shown in Fig. 1. The contribution of the $n^{\rm th}$ component relative to the total BF is quantified by the fit fraction (FF) defined as $\mathrm{FF}_n = \int |\rho_n A_n(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 R_4 \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} / \int |M(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 R_4 \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$. The measured phases and FFs for the different components in the optimal fit are listed in Table I.

We determine the systematic uncertainties by taking the differences between the values of ϕ_n and FF_n found by the optimal fit and those found from fit variations. The masses and widths of intermediate states are varied by $\pm1\sigma$ [1]. The masses and coupling constants of the $f_0(980)$ are varied within the uncertainties reported in Refs. [48, 49]. The barrier radii for D_s^+ and the other intermediate states are varied by ±1 GeV^{-1}. The uncertainties from detector effects are investigated by weighting PHSP MC samples according to data-MC difference. The same method is also employed in Ref. [24]. The uncertainty related to background is estimated by varying the estimated purity within its statistical uncertainty. The total uncertainties are obtained by adding the separate contributions in quadrature, as listed in Table I.

The measurement of the $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ BF is performed using a DT technique based on seven ST modes, the same as for the amplitude analysis. It is performed separately for "non-η" and "$\eta\pi$" contributions. The "$\eta\pi$" events are defined as those with the invariant mass of any of the two $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ combinations in the final state of $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, within the η mass range of [0.52, 0.58] GeV/c^2, with all other events classified in the "non-η" category. If there are multiple tag D_s^- candidates for each tag mode, then the one with $M_{\rm rec}$ closest to the known mass of $D_s^{*\pm}$ [1] is retained. A DT candidate with average mass $(M_{\rm sig}+M_{\rm tag})/2$ closest to the known mass of D_s^+ [1] is retained for each tag mode. The ST yields ($Y_{\rm tag}$) and DT yield ($Y_{\rm sig}$) in data are determined from fits to the $M_{\rm tag}$ and $M_{\rm sig}$ distributions, respectively. The ST fit results are the same as Refs. [13, 24]. The DT fits are shown in Fig. 2. The signal shape is modeled with the shape from MC simulation convolved with a Gaussian resolution function, and the background is estimated from the inclusive MC sample.



FIG. 1. Projections on (a) $M_{\pi^+\pi^+}$, (b) $M_{\pi^-\pi^0}$, (c) $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$, (d) $M_{\pi^+\pi^0}$, (e) $M_{\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-}$, (f) $M_{\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0}$, (g) $M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$ of the amplitude analysis. The combinations of two identical π^+ are added in (c), (d), and (g), because of the exchange symmetry.

These fits result in a total ST yield of $Y_{\rm tag} = 471617 \pm 1733$. For the "non-$\eta$" part, the signal yield is $Y_{\rm sig,non\text{-}\eta} = 2489 \pm 91$ and for the "$\eta\pi$" part the signal yield is $Y_{\eta\pi^+} = 392 \pm 22$. An updated inclusive MC sample based on our amplitude analysis results is used to determine the ST efficiencies ($\epsilon^i_{\rm ST}$) and DT efficiencies ($\epsilon^i_{\rm DT}$). Substituting these results into $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non\text{-}\eta}) = Y_{\rm sig,non\text{-}\eta}/(\mathcal{B}(\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma)\times \Sigma_{i,\alpha} Y^{i,\alpha}_{\rm tag}\epsilon^{i,\alpha}_{\rm DT}/\epsilon^{i,\alpha}_{\rm ST})$ and $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+) = Y_{{\rm sig},\eta\pi^+}/(\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)\times \Sigma_{i,\alpha} Y^{i,\alpha}_{\rm tag}\epsilon^{i,\alpha}_{\rm DT}/\epsilon^{i,\alpha}_{\rm ST})$, where i

TABLE I. Phases, FFs, and BFs for various intermediate processes in $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay. The first and the second uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively. The subsequent decay is given in parentheses, with the subscript S and P indicating the spatial wave mode.

Component	Phase (rad)	FF (%)	BF (10^{-3})
$f_0(1370)\rho^+$	0.0(fixed)	$24.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 2.1$	$5.08 \pm 0.80 \pm 0.43$
$f_0(980)\rho^+$	$3.99 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.07$	$12.6 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.0$	$2.57 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.20$
$f_2(1270)\rho^+$	$1.11 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.10$	$9.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.6$	$1.94 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.12$
$(\rho^+\rho^0)_S$	$1.10 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.10$	$3.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6$	$0.71 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.12$
$(\rho(1450)^+\rho^0)_S$	$0.43 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.17$	$4.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.94 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.16$
$(\rho^+\rho(1450)^0)_P$	$4.58 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.09$	$8.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4$	$1.75 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.08$
$\phi((\rho\pi) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$	$2.90 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.18$	$24.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.4$	$5.08 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10$
$\omega((\rho\pi) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$	$3.22 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.09$	$6.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3$	$1.41 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.06$
$a_1^+(\rho^0\pi^+)_S\pi^0$	$3.78 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.12$	$12.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.0$	$2.55 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.20$
$a_1^0((\rho\pi)_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$	$4.82 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.12$	$6.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.2$	$1.29 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.24$
$\pi(1300)^0((\rho\pi)_P \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$	$2.22 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$	$11.7 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.2$	$2.39 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.45$



FIG. 2. Fits to the $M_{\rm sig}$ distributions of the DT candidates for (a) "non-η" and (b) "$\eta\pi$" contributions. The data with error bars represent data from all samples, while the red solid lines are the total fits to the data. The dashed blue lines indicate the fitted background shapes.

denotes the ith tag mode and α denotes the αth center-of-mass energy point, we obtain $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta) = (2.04 \pm 0.08)\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+) = (3.58 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$, where the uncertainties are statistical only.

The systematic uncertainties for the BF measurement are categorized in five sources: (a) uncertainty from the number of ST D_s^- mesons, estimated by considering the statistical effect related to the ST background, (b) the DT background shape, estimated by changing to alternative background shapes, (c) the $\pi^\pm$ tracking (PID) efficiency and π^0 reconstruction, estimated by studying related control samples of $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-K^+K^-$ and $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-K^+K^-\pi^0$ decays, (d) MC sample size and model, estimated by studying the change in result when varying the signal-model parameters, and (e) the knowledge of the BFs of $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ [1]. Adding all sources of uncertainties in quadrature gives a total of 2.4% systematic uncertainty for $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta)$, and 1.6% for $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+)$.

In summary, we measure the absolute BFs $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta) = (2.04 \pm 0.08_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.05_{\rm syst.})\%$ for the first time, and $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+) = (3.58 \pm 0.21_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.06_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$. Utilizing $\mathcal{B}(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ quoted from the PDG [1], the BF $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \eta\pi^+)$ is determined to be $(1.56 \pm 0.09_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.04_{\rm syst.})\%$. Moreover, we perform the first amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta$ and report the observation of $D_s^+ \to f_0(980)\rho^+$. The phases and FFs of the significant intermediate processes are summarized in Table I. The BFs for the intermediate processes are calculated as $B_n = {\rm FF}_n \times \mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0|_{\rm non-}\eta)$, which are summarized in Table I. The $D_s^+ \to f_0(1370)(\to \pi^+\pi^-)\rho^+(\to \pi^+\pi^0)$ and $D_s^+ \to \phi(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$ contributions dominate with BFs of $(5.08 \pm 0.80_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.43_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(5.08 \pm 0.32_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.10_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$, respectively. The BF of $D_s^+ \to f_0(980)(\to \pi^+\pi^-)\rho^+(\to \pi^+\pi^0)$ is found to be $(2.57 \pm 0.44_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.20_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$, which is valuable input for improving understanding of the nature of the $f_0(980)$ meson. The BF of the W-annihilation decay $D_s^+ \to \omega(\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^+$ is determined to be $(1.41 \pm 0.17_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.06_{\rm syst.}) \times 10^{-3}$. This result is a factor two more precise than previous measurements, and obtained in a manner that takes full account of interference with other intermediate processes decaying into the same final state. The significantly improved precision will benefit investigations of the underlying dynamics for non-perturbative W-annihilation amplitudes and allow for better predictions of the BFs and direct CP violation of decays involving W-annihilation [14–17]. Taking the BF of $D_s^+ \to \phi(\to K^+K^-)\pi^+$ from Ref. [24], enables the relative BF between ϕ decays into $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and K^+K^- to be calculated. The result of $R_\phi = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to K^+K^-)} = 0.230 \pm 0.014_{\rm stat.} \pm 0.010_{\rm syst.}$, significantly deviates from the PDG value $R_\phi^{\rm PDG} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(\phi(1020)\to K^+K^-)} = 0.313 \pm 0.010$ by more

than 4σ [1]. This is the first measurement of R_ϕ in hadronic decays of charmed mesons, and the lower than expected value motivates further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The BESIII Collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the IHEP computing center for their strong support. This work is supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China under Contracts Nos. 2020YFA0406400, 2020YFA0406300, 2023YFA1606000; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts Nos. 11635010, 11735014, 11835012, 11875054, 11935015, 11935016, 11935018, 11961141012, 12025502, 12035009, 12035013, 12061131003, 12192260, 12192261, 12192262, 12192263, 12192264, 12192265, 12221005, 12225509, 12235017, 12042507, 123B2077; the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program; the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP); Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Funds of the NSFC and CAS under Contract Nos. U2032104, U1832207; CAS Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences under Contracts Nos. QYZDJ-SSW-SLH003, QYZDJ-SSW-SLH040; 100 Talents Program of CAS; The Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPAC) and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement under Contract No. 894790; German Research Foundation DFG under Contracts Nos. 455635585, Collaborative Research Center CRC 1044, FOR5327, GRK 2149; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; Ministry of Development of Turkey under Contract No. DPT2006K-120470; National Research Foundation of Korea under Contract No. NRF-2022R1A2C1092335; National Science and Technology fund of Mongolia; National Science Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation of Thailand under Contract No. B16F640076; Polish National Science Centre under Contract No. 2019/35/O/ST2/02907; The Swedish Research Council; U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG02-05ER41374. We also thank Dr. Xiang-Kun Dong from helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik (HISK) for his contribution.

[1] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
[2] B. Bhattacharya and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 034016 (2009).
[3] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074021 (2010).
[4] F. S. Yu, X. X. Wang, and C. D. Lü, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074019 (2011).
[5] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 182001 (2022).
[6] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 112001 (2019).
[7] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. **02**, 126 (2019).
[8] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. **03**, 176 (2019).
[9] Y. K. Hsiao, Y. Yu, and B. C. Ke, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 895 (2020).
[10] Y. Yu, Y. K. Hsiao, and B. C. Ke, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 1093 (2021).
[11] Y. K. Hsiao, S. Q. Yang, W. J. Wei, and B. C. Ke, arXiv:2306.06091 [hep-ph].
[12] H. Zhang, Y. H. Lyu, L. J. Liu, and E. Wang, Chin. Phys. C **47**, 043101 (2023).
[13] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **104**, L071101 (2021).
[14] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 034036 (2012).
[15] Q. Qin, H. n. Li, C. D. Lü, and F. S. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 054006 (2014).
[16] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074021 (2010).
[17] H. Y. Cheng, C. W. Chiang, and A. L. Kuo, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 114010 (2016).
[18] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **99**, 091101 (2019).
[19] G. Parrour *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **63**, 357-361 (1976).
[20] M. Mattiuzzi, A. Bracco, F. Camera, B. Million, M. Pignanelli, J. J. Gaardhøje, A. Maj, T. Ramsøy, T. Tveter and Z. Źelazny, Phys. Lett. B **364**, 13-18 (1995).
[21] S. I. Dolinsky *et al.*, Phys. Rept. **202**, 99-170 (1991).
[22] R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **364**, 199-206 (1995).
[23] R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **434**, 426-436 (1998).
[24] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **104**, 012016 (2021).
[25] H. Yukawa, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jap. **17**, 48-57 (1935), Prog. The
[26] M. S. Abdallah *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Nature **614**, 244-248 (2023).
[27] Ia. Bezshyiko *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 171802 (2023).
[28] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 031801 (2005).
[29] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071801 (2003).
[30] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 261803 (2006).
[31] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A **614**, 345 (2010).
[32] C. H. Yu *et al.*, Proceedings of IPAC2016, Busan, Korea, 2016.
[33] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C **44**, 040001 (2020).
[34] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **506**, 250 (2003).
[35] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, and Z. Was, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 113009 (2001).
[36] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **462**, 152 (2001);

R. G. Ping, Chin. Phys. C **32**, 599 (2008).
[37] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi, D. H. Zhang, and Y. S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 034003 (2000); R. L. Yang, R. G. Ping and H. Chen, Chin. Phys. Lett. **31**, 061301 (2014).
[38] E. Richter-Was, Phys. Lett. B **303**, 163 (1993).
[39] J. Adler *et al.* (MARK-III Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1821 (1989).
[40] B. C. Ke, J. Koponen, H. B. Li and Y. Zheng, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **73**, 285-314 (2023).
[41] H. B. Li and X. R. Lyu, Natl. Sci. Rev. **8**, 11 nwab181 (2021).
[42] L. Yan *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C **34**, 204-209 (2010).
[43] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics. New York: Wiley; London: Chapman &
[44] B. S. Zou and D. V. Bugg, Eur. Phys. J. A **16**, 537 (2003).
[45] J. D. Jackson, Nuovo Cimento **34**, 1644 (1964).
[46] G. J. Gounaris and J. J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 244 (1968).
[47] S. M. Flatté, Phys. Lett. B **63**, 224 (1976).
[48] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **95**, 032002 (2017).
[49] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **607**, 243 (2005).
[50] K. S. Cranmer, Comput. Phys. Commun. **136**, 198 (2001).
[51] M. Artuso *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 122002 (2012).