

# Legendrian Structures in Derived Geometry

Kadri İlker Berktav \*

## Abstract

This is the third installment in a series of papers [1, 2] on the subject of derived contact structures. In this paper, we formally introduce Legendrians in the derived context and give a natural example. We then present affine models and prove a Legendrian-Darboux-type theorem for the Legendrians in contact derived schemes.

## Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Recollection: Lagrangians in the derived context</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Derived symplectic structures . . . . .	3
2.2 Lagrangians in symplectic derived stacks . . . . .	4
2.3 A Lagrangian-Darboux theorem for symplectic derived schemes . . . . .	5
<b>3 Legendrian structures in the derived context</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Derived contact structures . . . . .	8
3.2 Recall: Legendrians in contact manifolds . . . . .	9
3.3 Legendrians in contact derived stacks . . . . .	10
3.4 Example: The zero section morphism of 1-jet stacks . . . . .	12
<b>4 Local models for Legendrians in contact derived schemes</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 Legendrian-Darboux forms . . . . .	13
4.2 A Legendrian-Darboux theorem for contact derived schemes . . . . .	16
<b>A Some derived algebraic geometry</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>23</b>

## 1 Introduction

Derived algebraic geometry offers generalized versions of certain familiar geometric structures and studies their properties. In this regard, for instance, the derived versions of Symplectic and Poisson geometries have already been described and studied in [3, 4]. Furthermore, [5, 6, 7] present several applications and local constructions.

In a series of papers [1, 2], we have provided some results on certain shifted geometric structures in the spirit of [5, 7]. For instance, [1] defines *shifted contact structures* on derived stacks and studies their local theory. In short, the key results of [1] consist of a *Darboux-type theorem* and the notion of *symplectification* for contact derived schemes. Moreover, [2] extends the results of [1] from derived schemes to derived Artin stacks and presents some further examples.

This paper focuses on morphisms of derived stacks carrying particular structures. In derived symplectic geometry, for example, [3] defines the notions of *isotropic and Lagrangian structures* on a given morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \omega_X)$  of derived stacks with shifted symplectic target. Regarding local models for these structures, Joyce and Safronov [6, Theorem 3.7] provide the *derived version of the classical Lagrangian neighborhood theorem*, which inspires our current work.

---

\*Bilkent University, Department of Mathematics, Ankara, Turkey; e-mail: kadri.berktav@bilkent.edu.tr. The author acknowledges that part of this research was carried out at the Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich, under TUBITAK-2219 Grant Programme.

In this paper, the results and methods of [6] will be central. To be more specific, the “*derived Lagrangian neighborhood theorem*” [6, Theorem 3.7] states that, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ , given a Lagrangian  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  in a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived scheme  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ , points  $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  with  $f(y) = x$ , and a Darboux local model  $\iota : \text{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  near  $x$  with “coordinates”  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} \in A$  such that  $\iota^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}) \sim (\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $\omega^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}x_j^{-i} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}$ , there exist a standard form cdga  $B$ , “coordinates”  $\tilde{x}_j^{-i}, u_j^{-i}, v_j^{k-1+i} \in B$ , a cdga morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  with  $\tilde{x}_j^{-i} = \beta(x_j^{-i})$ , and a Zariski open inclusion  $j : \text{Spec}B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}B & \overset{j}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \text{Spec}\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{X} \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

homotopy commutes, and the pullback  $j^*(h_{\mathbf{Y}})$  of the “Lagrangian structure”  $h_{\mathbf{Y}}$  on  $f$  to  $\text{Spec}\beta$  satisfies  $j^*(h_{\mathbf{Y}}) \simeq (h^0, 0, 0, \dots)$  with the element of form<sup>1</sup>  $h^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}u_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i}$ . In the same spirit, this paper essentially aims to provide the *derived contact analogue* of this neighborhood theorem. To this end, of course, one requires analogous structures in derived contact geometry.

In this sequel, we formally introduce and study *Legendrian structures* in the context of derived contact geometry. Let us overview our results.

**Definition 1.1.** (Informal) We define a *Legendrian structure* on a morphism  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  of derived Artin stacks, with a derived contact target, to be an *isotropic structure* satisfying a certain *non-degeneracy* condition. We then call  $\mathbf{Y}$  (or  $f$ ) a *Legendrian* in  $\mathbf{X}$ . (See Definitions 3.12 & 3.14.)

**Theorem 1.2.** Any Legendrian structure on the morphism  $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \star_n$ , where  $\star_n$  denotes the point with its canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure, induces an  $(n-1)$ -shifted (pre-)contact structure on the derived scheme  $\mathbf{Y}$  (cf. Proposition 3.18.)

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $J^1[n]\mathbf{X}$  be the  $n$ -shifted 1-jet stack of  $\mathbf{X}$  with the canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure. Then the zero section  $j : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow J^1[n]\mathbf{X}$  carries a natural Legendrian structure. (cf. Theorem 3.20.)

**Theorem 1.4.** (A Legendrian-Darboux-type theorem, cf. §4.2) Legendrians in  $n$ -shifted contact derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes, with  $n < 0$ , are locally modeled on standard Legendrian-Darboux forms.

Let us describe the content of this paper in more detail and provide an outline. In Section 2, we review Lagrangian structures in derived symplectic geometry and mention some key results. In Section 3, we formally define the notion of a *Legendrian structure* on a morphism of derived stacks, with a shifted contact target, and present some outcomes (i.e. the proofs of Theorems 1.2 & 1.3 above). Finally, Section 4 provides a prototype construction for the local models of such structures (cf. Construction 4.4) and proves a Legendrian-Darboux-type theorem (cf. Theorem 4.6).

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Alberto Cattaneo and Ödül Tetik for helpful conversations. I am grateful to the Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich, where an early draft of this paper was prepared. The author acknowledges support of the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under 2219-International Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (2021-1).

Most parts of this paper were revisited and improved at the time when I joined Bilkent University Department of Mathematics in Fall 2023. Since then, I have benefited a lot from the discussions with Fırat Arıkan and Özgür Kişisel (both from Dept. of Math, Middle East Technical University). I am grateful to them for our research meetings and helpful suggestions.

**Conventions.** Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{K}$  will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. All cdgas will be graded in nonpositive degrees and over  $\mathbb{K}$ . All classical  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes are assumed *locally of finite type*, and all derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes/stacks  $\mathbf{X}$  are assumed to be *locally finitely presented*, by which we mean that there exists a cover of  $\mathbf{X}$  by affine opens  $\text{Spec}A$  with  $A$  a finitely generated graded algebra.

<sup>1</sup>It should be noted that some coordinate-dependent expressions hold true only for particular values of  $k$ , and the other cases require some modifications [6, Examples 3.3, 3.5, 3.6].

## 2 Recollection: Lagrangians in the derived context

### 2.1 Derived symplectic structures

Pantev et al. [3] define the *simplicial sets of  $p$ -forms of degree  $k$  and closed  $p$ -forms of degree  $k$*  on derived stacks. Denote these simplicial sets by  $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{X}, k)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(\mathbf{X}, k)$ , respectively. These definitions are in fact given first for affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes. Later, both concepts are defined for general derived stacks  $\mathbf{X}$  in terms of mapping stacks  $\mathcal{A}^p(\bullet, k)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(\bullet, k)$ , respectively. For a summary of some key ideas from derived algebraic geometry, see Appendix A.

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}A$  with  $A$  a standard form cdga<sup>2</sup>, then take  $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_A = \Lambda^p \Omega_A^1$ . Therefore, elements of  $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{X}, k)$  form a simplicial set such that  $k$ -cohomology classes of the complex  $(\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1, d)$  correspond to the connected components of this simplicial set. Likewise, the connected components of  $\mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(\mathbf{X}, k)$  are identified with the  $k$ -cohomology classes of the complex  $\prod_{i \geq 0} (\Lambda^{p+i} \Omega_A^1[-i], d_{tot})$ . Then we have the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}A$  be an affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme for  $A$  a minimal standard form cdga. A  *$k$ -shifted  $p$ -form on  $\mathbf{X}$*  for  $p \geq 0$  and  $k \leq 0$  is an element  $\omega^0 \in (\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^k$  with  $d\omega^0 = 0$ .

Note that an element  $\omega^0$  defines a cohomology class  $[\omega^0] \in H^k(\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1, d)$ , where two  $p$ -forms  $\omega_1^0, \omega_2^0$  of degrees  $k$  are *equivalent*, written  $\omega_1^0 \sim \omega_2^0$ , if  $\exists \alpha_{1,2} \in (\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^{k-1}$  s.t.  $\omega_1^0 - \omega_2^0 = d\alpha_{1,2}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}A$  be an affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme with  $A$  a minimal standard form cdga. A *closed  $k$ -shifted  $p$ -form on  $\mathbf{X}$*  for  $p \geq 0$  and  $k \leq 0$  is a sequence  $\omega = (\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)$  with  $\omega^i \in (\Lambda^{p+i} \Omega_A^1)^{k-i}$  such that  $d_{tot}\omega = 0$ , which splits according to weights as  $d\omega^0 = 0$  in  $(\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^{k+1}$ , and  $d_{dR}\omega^i + d\omega^{i+1} = 0$  in  $(\Lambda^{p+i+1} \Omega_A^1)^{k-i}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ .

We say two closed  $p$ -forms  $\omega = (\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots), \sigma = (\sigma^0, \sigma^1, \dots)$  of degrees  $k$  are *equivalent*, written  $\omega \sim \sigma$ , if there exists a sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots)$  with  $\alpha^i \in (\Lambda^{p+i} \Omega_A^1)^{k-i-1}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , satisfying

$$\omega^0 - \sigma^0 = d\alpha^0 \text{ and } \omega^{i+1} + \sigma^{i+1} = d_{dR}\alpha^i + d\alpha^{i+1}.$$

Note that a closed  $k$ -shifted  $p$ -form consists of an actual  $k$ -shifted  $p$ -form  $\omega^0$  and the data  $(\omega^i)_{i>0}$  of  $\omega^0$  being coherently  $d_{dR}$ -closed. It then follows that there also exists a natural projection morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(\mathbf{X}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{X}, k)$ ,  $\omega = (\omega^i)_{i \geq 0} \mapsto \omega^0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A closed  $k$ -shifted 2-form  $\omega = (\omega^i)_{i \geq 0}$  on  $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}A$  for a (minimal) standard form cdga  $A$  is called a  *$k$ -shifted symplectic structure* if the induced map

$$\omega^\bullet : \mathbb{T}_A \rightarrow \Omega_A^1[k], Y \mapsto \iota_Y \omega^0,$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, where  $\mathbb{T}_A = (\mathbb{L}_A)^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_A^1, A)$ <sup>3</sup> is the *tangent complex* of  $A$ .

**Shifted symplectic Darboux models for derived schemes.** One of the main theorems in [5] provides the shifted version of the classical Darboux theorem in symplectic geometry. The statement is as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** ([5, Theorem 5.18]) *Given a derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $X$  with a  $k$ -shifted symplectic form  $\omega'$  for  $k < 0$  and  $x \in X$ , there is a local model  $(A, f : \mathrm{Spec}A \hookrightarrow X, \omega)$  and  $p \in \mathrm{spec}(H^0(A))$  such that  $f$  is an open inclusion with  $f(p) = x$ ,  $A$  is a standard form that is minimal at  $p$ , and  $\omega$  is a  $k$ -shifted symplectic form on  $\mathrm{Spec}A$  such that  $A, \omega$  are in Darboux form, and  $f^*(\omega') \sim \omega$  in the space of  $k$ -shifted closed 2-forms.*

In fact, it has been proven in [5, Theorem 5.18] that such  $\omega$  can be constructed explicitly depending on the integer  $k < 0$ . Indeed, there are three cases in total: (1)  $k$  is odd; (2)  $k/2$  is even; and (3)  $k/2$  is odd. For instance, when  $k$  is odd, one can find a minimal standard form cdga  $A$ , with ‘‘coordinates’’  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} \in A$ , and a Zariski open inclusion  $f : \mathrm{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  so that  $f^*(\omega') \sim \omega = (\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $\omega^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}x_j^{-i} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}$ . We will not give any further detail on the aforementioned cases in this paper. Instead, we refer to the relevant sections of the prequel [1], or the main references [5, Examples 5.8, 5.9, and 5.10].

<sup>2</sup>It should be noted that the results that are cited or to be proven in this section are all about the *local structure* of derived schemes. Thus, it is enough to consider the (refined) affine case.

<sup>3</sup>Thanks to the identification  $\mathbb{L}_A \simeq (\Omega_A^1, d)$  for  $A$  a (minimal) standard form cdga.

## 2.2 Lagrangians in symplectic derived stacks

In classical symplectic geometry, there are some natural subobjects of symplectic manifolds, called *Lagrangians*<sup>4</sup>. There is an interesting result concerning the standard model for tubular neighborhoods of Lagrangian submanifolds: *Weinstein's Lagrangian neighborhood theorem* states [8] that given a Lagrangian submanifold  $L \subset (M, \omega)$ , a neighborhood of the zero section of its cotangent bundle  $T^*L$  provides a universal model for the neighborhood of  $L$  itself. That is, we have:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Every Lagrangian  $L \subset (M, \omega)$  has a tubular neighborhood symplectomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section of  $T^*L$ .*

Joyce and Safronov [6] provide an analogous result in the context of derived symplectic geometry. It should be noted that PTVV's paper [3] introduces the notions of *isotropic* and *Lagrangian structures* on a given morphism  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  in *dStk* to study, for instance, derived symplectic structures on fiber products of derived stacks and that on mapping stacks [3, Theorems 2.9 & 2.5]. Now, let us discuss the notions of interest in detail. We follow [3, 6].

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}^p(-, n)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(-, n)$  the *derived stacks of  $p$ -forms of degree  $n$*  and *closed  $p$ -forms of degree  $n$*  as introduced in PTVV's work [3]. Then by construction, we have equivalences

$$\mathcal{A}^p(n) \simeq \Omega \mathcal{A}^p(n+1) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(n) \simeq \Omega \mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(n+1). \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  be a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack and  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  a morphism of derived Artin stacks. By an *isotropic structure* on  $f$  (relative to  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}}$ ), we mean a homotopy  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  from 0 to  $f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  in the simplicial set  $\mathcal{A}^{2,cl}(\mathcal{L}, k)$ ; i.e., a nullhomotopy of  $f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ . Denote the space of such structures by

$$Isot(f, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}) := Path_{\mathcal{A}^{2,cl}(\mathcal{L}, k)}(0, f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})).$$

**Observation 2.7.** Let  $h_{\mathcal{L}} \in Isot(f, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ , then using the natural projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{A}^{p,cl}(\mathbf{X}, k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{X}, k), \quad \omega_{\mathbf{X}} = (\omega^i)_{i \geq 0} \longmapsto \omega^0,$$

we can obtain a homotopy  $h_{\mathcal{L}}^0$  from 0 to  $f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0)$  in  $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{L}, k)$ , which induces the following 2-commutative diagram (via  $h_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ ), along with the induced morphism  $\chi_{h_{\mathcal{L}}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}}[k-1]$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ \mathbb{L}_f^* \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} h_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \cdot \Downarrow \\ f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0) \cdot \end{array} & \downarrow \\ f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})[k] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}_f[k]} \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}}[k], \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \chi_{h_{\mathcal{L}}}[1] \\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}}[1] & & \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $\mathbb{L}_f[k]$  is the shifted version of the morphism in the triangle  $f^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}}$  for  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ . The morphism  $f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0) \cdot$  is the pullback of the induced map  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0 \cdot : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$ . The vertical maps on the left hand side of the diagram are just the duals of ones in the canonical distinguished triangle above. The map  $\chi_{h_{\mathcal{L}}}$  can be described as follows:

**Observation 2.8.** In brief, the homotopy  $h_{\mathcal{L}}^0$  between 0 and  $f^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0) \cdot : f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \wedge f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k]$  induces a homotopy  $h'$  from 0 to the map  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k]$  obtained by the composition<sup>5</sup>

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \wedge \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \wedge f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k]. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand,  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$  comes with a canonical homotopy<sup>6</sup> to 0, and hence we obtain another induced homotopy  $h''$  between 0 and the composition  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k]$ .

Combining the homotopies  $h'$ ,  $h''$ , we get a loop at 0 in  $Map_{QCoh(\mathbf{X})}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k])$ , which defines an element in

$$\pi_1(Map_{QCoh(\mathbf{X})}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k])) \simeq \pi_0(Map_{QCoh(\mathbf{X})}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[k-1])).$$

Using adjunction, we get a morphism  $\chi_{h_{\mathcal{L}}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}}[k-1]$  of perfect complexes. For more details, see [3, §2.2].

<sup>4</sup>A *Lagrangian*  $\iota : L \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)$  is a submanifold of dimension  $\frac{1}{2} \dim M$  such that  $\iota^* \omega \equiv 0$ .

<sup>5</sup>The first two maps come from the exact triangle  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$ .

<sup>6</sup>Thanks to the exact triangle  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$

These observations lead to the definition of a *Lagrangian structure* on the morphism  $f$  (with respect to  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}}$ ), which will be an isotropic structure satisfying some non-degeneracy condition. More precisely, we have:

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  be a morphism of derived Artin stacks with  $k$ -shifted symplectic target. An isotropic structure  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $f$  is called *Lagrangian* if the induced morphism  $\chi_{h_{\mathcal{L}}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}}[k-1]$  in (2.2) is a quasi-isomorphism (the *non-degeneracy condition*)<sup>7</sup>. In that case, we say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is *Lagrangian* in  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ .

**Local description of isotropic structures.** In the presence of “nice” local models representing a given morphism  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ , one can express the isotropy condition on  $f$  in terms of certain local equations. For the meaning of “nice” local models, see Appendix A.

Let  $\mathbf{X} \simeq \text{Spec}A$  and  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \text{Spec}B$  be affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes, with  $A, B$  some (standard form)<sup>8</sup> cdgas, and  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  be a morphism in  $\text{dAff}_{\mathbb{K}}$  with  $k$ -shifted symplectic target such that  $f$  is induced by a morphism of cdgas  $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ <sup>9</sup>. Then  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}}$  lifts to a sequence  $\omega_A = (\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots) \in (\prod_{i \geq 0} \Lambda^{2+i} \Omega_A^1[-i], d_{\text{tot}})$  such that  $d_{\text{tot}} \omega_A = 0$ .

Let  $h : 0 \rightsquigarrow f^* \omega_A$  be an isotropic structure on  $f$ , then  $[f^* \omega_A] = [0]$  in  $H^k(\prod_{i \geq 0} \Lambda^{2+i} \Omega_B^1[-i], d_{\text{tot}})$ , and hence, by definition, there is a sequence  $(h^0, h^1, \dots)$  with  $h^i \in (\Lambda^{2+i} \Omega_B^1)^{k-1-i}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , satisfying

$$dh^0 = \tilde{f}_*(\omega^0), \quad d_{dR} h^i + dh^{i+1} = \tilde{f}_*(\omega^{i+1}), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\tilde{f}_* : \Omega_A^1 \rightarrow \Omega_B^1$  is the morphism induced by  $\tilde{f}$ . Thus, the isotropic structure  $h$  can equivalently be viewed as the sequence  $(h^0, h^1, \dots)$  above.

Recall that since our local model is nice enough (given by suitable standard form cdgas), we may take  $\omega^0$  to be exact and  $\omega^i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ , and write  $\omega_A = (\omega^0, 0, \dots)$ . In this case, the defining equations above reduce to

$$dh^0 = \tilde{f}_*(\omega^0), \quad d_{dR} h^i + dh^{i+1} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.5)$$

**Remark 2.10.** As in the case of shifted symplectic structures with nice local models, one can also simplify the form of the isotropic structure  $(h^0, h^1, h^2, \dots)$  by using certain vanishing results from cyclic homology theory. In that respect, [6, Proposition 4.1] shows that, up to equivalence,  $h^0$  can be taken to be exact and  $h^i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Therefore, for an isotropic structure of the form  $(h^0, 0, 0, \dots)$ , the defining equations even reduce to

$$dh^0 = \tilde{f}_*(\omega^0), \quad d_{dR} h^0 = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

**Observation 2.11.** When  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}) = (*, 0)$ , i.e.  $\omega^0 = 0$  as well, we obtain  $h := (h^0, 0, 0, \dots)$ , with  $dh^0 = 0$ ,  $d_{dR} h^0 = 0$ , and hence an element in  $\mathcal{A}^{2,cl}(\text{Spec}B, k-1)$ . That is, we have a  $(k-1)$ -shifted pre-symplectic structure  $h$  on  $\text{Spec}B$ . In addition, if the isotropic structure is non-degenerate, i.e.  $\chi_h : \mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B[k-1]$  is a quasi-isomorphism, then the map  $\chi_h$  reduces to the non-degenerate contraction map  $\chi_{h^0} : \mathbb{T}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B[k-1]$ . Thus,  $h$  defines a  $(k-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $\text{Spec}B$ . In general, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}) = (*, 0)$  with a trivial  $k$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}} = 0$ . Lagrangians  $Y$  in  $(*, 0)$  are equivalent to  $(k-1)$ -shifted symplectic derived schemes  $(Y, \omega_Y)$ , where  $\omega_Y$  is defined by the non-degenerate  $k$ -shifted isotropic structure on  $Y \rightarrow (*, 0)$ .

### 2.3 A Lagrangian-Darboux theorem for symplectic derived schemes

In this section, we outline local models for Lagrangians in derived symplectic geometry and state a Lagrangian neighborhood theorem. In brief, Joyce and Safronov [6, Examples 3.3 & 3.5] provide explicit local models, called *Lagrangian Darboux forms*, for the cases (1)  $k < 0$  with  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and (2)  $k < 0$  with  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . They also showed in [6, Theorem 3.7] that any such structure can be locally modeled on one of Lagrangian Darboux forms. In this regard, we overview local models in the following prototype construction/example.

<sup>7</sup>Equivalently, we can require the sequence  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow f^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{L}}[k]$  to be a homotopy fiber sequence.

<sup>8</sup>Thus, we can identify their cotangent complexes with the corresponding modules of Kähler differentials.

<sup>9</sup>That is,  $f \simeq \text{Spec} \tilde{f}$



**Construction 2.13.** Consider the case  $k < 0$  with  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Assume  $A, \omega$  are in  $k$ -shifted symplectic Darboux form in the sense of Theorem 2.4. In brief, we assume that  $A$  is a standard form cdga which is free algebra over a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A(0)$  generated by variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} \in A$  so that  $\omega := (\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)$ , with  $\omega^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR} x_j^{-i} d_{dR} y_j^{k+i}$ , is a  $k$ -shifted symplectic form. We will not give all the details on each case of  $k$  in this paper. Instead, we refer to [5, Examples 5.8, 5.9, and 5.10].

Let  $\ell = -\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$ <sup>10</sup>. Write  $A_+^{-i}$  for the sub-cdga of  $A$  generated by  $x_j^{-i}$ 's only, for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m_i$ . Then we consider  $A$  as a freely generated algebra on generators  $x_1^{-i}, \dots, x_{m_i}^{-i}$  in  $A_+^{-i} \subset A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$  and  $y_1^{k+i}, \dots, y_{m_i}^{k+i}$  in  $A^{k+i}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, \ell$ .

Choose an element  $H \in A^{k+1}$ , called the *Hamiltonian*, satisfying the *classical master equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j^{-i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_j^{k+i}} = 0 \text{ in } A^{k+2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then we define the *internal differential* on  $A$  by  $d = 0$  on  $A(0)$ , and by

$$dx_j^{-i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_j^{k+i}} \text{ and } dy_j^{k+i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j^{-i}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, we can choose an element  $\phi \in (\Omega_A^1)^k$  satisfying  $dH = 0$ ,  $d_{dR}H + d\phi = 0$ , and  $d_{dR}\phi = k\omega^0$ . Explicitly, we have

$$\phi := \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} [-ix_j^{-i} d_{dR} y_j^{k+i} + (k+i)y_j^{k+i} d_{dR} x_j^{-i}]. \quad (2.9)$$

Notice that due to the degree reasons,  $H$  must be at most linear in  $y_j^{k+i}$ . Then decomposing  $H$  we write

$$H = H_+ + \sum_{i,j} H_j^{(-i+1)} y_j^{k+i}, \text{ with } H_+ \in A_+^{k+1}, H_j^{(-i+1)} \in A_+^{-i+1}. \quad (2.10)$$

Likewise, we can define  $\phi_+ := -\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (-1)^{(-i+1)(k+1)} y_j^{k+i} d_{dR} x_j^{-i}$ . Then the classical master equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (-1)^{-i+1} H_j^{(-i+1)} \frac{\partial H_+}{\partial x_j^{-i}} &= 0 \text{ in } A_+^{k+2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{-i'+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (-1)^{-i+1} H_j^{(-i+1)} \frac{\partial H_j^{(-i'+1)}}{\partial x_j^{-i}} &= 0 \text{ in } A_+^{-i'+2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for  $i' = 1, \dots, \ell$ ;  $j' = 1, \dots, m_{i'}$ . The action of  $d$  can be equivalently written as

$$dx_j^{-i} = (-1)^{-i+1} H_j^{(-i+1)} \text{ and } dy_j^{k+i} = \frac{\partial H_+}{\partial x_j^{-i}} + \sum_{i'=-i+1}^{\ell} \sum_{j'=1}^{m_{i'}} y_j^{k+i'} \frac{\partial H_j^{(-i'+1)}}{\partial x_j^{-i}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then some (very long) calculations show that  $d_+H = 0$ ,  $d_{dR}H_+ + d\phi_+ = 0$ , and  $d_{dR}\phi_+ = -\omega^0$ . For details, we refer to [6, Remark 2.15 & Example 3.3].

Let  $s := -\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  so that

$$s = \begin{cases} \ell, & k \text{ even,} \\ \ell + 1, & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then [6, Example 3.3] chooses a smooth algebra  $B^0 := B(0)$  of dimension  $m_0 + n_0$  and a morphism  $\beta^0 : A^0 \rightarrow B^0$ . Localizing  $B^0$  if necessary, assume that there exist elements  $u_1^0, \dots, u_{n_0}^0$  in  $B^0$  such that  $\Omega_{B^0}^1 = \text{span}_{B^0} \{d_{dR} \tilde{x}_1^0, \dots, d_{dR} \tilde{x}_{m_0}^0, d_{dR} u_1^0, \dots, d_{dR} u_{n_0}^0\}$  with  $\tilde{x}_j^0 = \beta^0(x_j^0)$ . Then we define the cdga  $B$  to be the free graded algebra over  $B(0)$  generated by the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_1^{-i}, \tilde{x}_2^{-i}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{m_i}^{-i} &\text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \\ u_1^{-i}, u_2^{-i}, \dots, u_{n_i}^{-i} &\text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s, \\ v_1^{k-1+i}, v_2^{k-1+i}, \dots, v_{n_i}^{k-1+i} &\text{ in degree } (k-1+i), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, s \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

<sup>10</sup> $\ell = -k/2$  if  $k$  is even; otherwise  $\ell = -(k+1)/2$ . Here,  $\lfloor - \rfloor$  denotes the *floor function*.

Define a morphism  $\beta_+ : A_+ \rightarrow B$  of cdgas by  $\beta_+|_{A^0} = \beta^0$  and  $\tilde{x}_j^{-i} = \beta_+(x_j^{-i})$  for all  $i, j$ .

Now choose a superpotential  $G \in B^k$  satisfying a *relative version* of the classical master equation, which determines the differential  $d$  on  $B$ . In fact, extending  $\beta_+$  to a morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  via  $\beta|_{A_+} = \beta_+$  and  $\beta(y_j^{k+i}) = \pm \partial G / \partial \tilde{x}_j^{-i}$  would give the relative CME in a compact form

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_j^{-i}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial v_j^{k+i}} + \beta(G) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Then the differential  $d$  on  $B$  is given by

$$d|_{B^0} = 0, \quad d\tilde{x}_j^{-i} = \pm \beta_+(H_j^{-i+1}), \quad \text{and} \quad du_j^{-i} = \pm \partial G / \partial v_j^{k-1+i}, \quad dv_j^{k-1+i} = \pm \partial G / \partial u_j^{-i}. \quad (2.15)$$

Finally, we define an element in  $(\Lambda^2 \Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$

$$h^0 := \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} d_{dR} u_j^{-i} d_{dR} v_j^{k-1+i}. \quad (2.16)$$

Joyce and Safronov [6, Example 3.3] show that  $h := (h^0, 0, 0, \dots)$  is a non-degenerate isotropic structure on  $\text{Spec} \beta : \text{Spec} B \rightarrow (\text{Spec} A, \omega_{can})$ , with the equations  $dh^0 = \beta_*(\omega^0)$ ,  $d_{dR} h^0 = 0$ , where  $\omega_{can} = (\omega^0, 0, \dots)$  is the standard  $k$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $\text{Spec} A$  as in Theorem 2.4.

Moreover, there exists an element  $\psi \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  (viewed as the relative version of  $\phi$  above) satisfying the equations

$$dG = -\beta(H + H_+), \quad d_{dR} G + d\psi = -\beta_*(\phi + \phi_+), \quad \text{and} \quad d_{dR} \psi = (k-1)h^0. \quad (2.17)$$

In fact, by [6], we can write  $\psi$  explicitly as

$$\psi := \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} [-iu_j^{-i} d_{dR} v_j^{k-1+i} + (k-1+i)v_j^{k-1+i} d_{dR} u_j^{-i}]. \quad (2.18)$$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\beta \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ ,  $A, \omega_{can}, B$ , and  $h = (h^0, 0, \dots)$  be as above. Then we say that  $(A, \omega_{can}, B, \beta, h)$  is of **Lagrangian Darboux form**.

It should be noted that the construction above holds true only for particular values of  $k$ . The complete treatment requires some modifications, but follows the same logic. For details, see [6, Examples 3.3, 3.5, 3.6].

**A Lagrangian neighborhood theorem.** Before stating a Lagrangian Darboux-type theorem, we wish to present a simplification result for an isotropic structure  $h = (h^1, h^2, \dots)$  on  $\text{Spec} \beta : \text{Spec} B \rightarrow (\text{Spec} A, \omega_{can})$  which was briefly mentioned in Remark 2.10. The following result is central to prove the shifted version of the Lagrangian-Darboux theorem in the classical setup.

**Lemma 2.15.** [6, Proposition 4.1.] Let  $A, \omega_{can}, B, \beta$  be as above, and  $h = (h^0, h^1, \dots)$  an isotropic structure for  $\text{Spec} \beta$  satisfying  $dh^0 = \beta_*(\omega^0)$ ,  $d_{dR} h^i + dh^{i+1} = 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Then there exist elements  $G' \in B^k$  and  $\psi \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  satisfying the equations

$$dG' = -\beta(H + H_+) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{dR} G' + d\psi = -\beta_*(\phi + \phi_+)$$

such that the isotropic structure  $h = (h^0, h^1, \dots)$  is homotopic to  $\frac{1}{k-1}(d_{dR} \psi, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

Now, we state the “derived” Lagrangian-Darboux theorem:

**Theorem 2.16.** [6, Theorem 3.7.] Lagrangians  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  in  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived schemes, with  $k < 0$ , are locally modeled on standard Lagrangian-Darboux forms as in Definition 2.14 and Construction 2.13, with the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec} B & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{L} \\ \text{Spec} \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec} A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{X} \end{array} \quad (2.19)$$

and the pullback  $j^*(h_{\mathcal{L}})$  of the Lagrangian structure  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $f$  to  $\text{Spec} \beta$  so that  $j^*(h_{\mathcal{L}}) \simeq (h^0, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

### 3 Legendrian structures in the derived context

#### 3.1 Derived contact structures

In classical contact geometry, by a *contact structure* on a smooth manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ , we mean a smooth field of tangent hyperplanes  $\xi \subset TM$  (of rank  $2n$ ) with the property that for any smooth locally defining 1-form  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $\xi = \ker(\alpha)$ , the 2-form  $d_{dR}\alpha|_{\xi}$  is non-degenerate. Recall that contact manifolds are viewed as the odd-dimensional analogues of symplectic manifolds. In that respect, both structures have several common features; some of which are: a Darboux theorem, no local invariants, and having interesting subobjects. For more details, we refer to [9].

In derived geometry, on the other hand, [1] introduces an *n-shifted contact structure* on a derived Artin stack  $\mathbf{X}$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as a structure that consist of a morphism of  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$  of perfect complexes, a line bundle  $L$ , and a locally defined  $n$ -shifted 1-form  $\alpha : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$  satisfying a non-degeneracy condition. Moreover, [1, 2] present derived versions of some of the classical results in contact geometry.

Let us start with some terminology and then overview several results from [1, 2].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a locally finitely presented derived (Artin) stack. An *n-shifted contact structure* on  $\mathbf{X}$  consists of

- a perfect complex  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ , with a monomorphism  $\kappa : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$  of perfect complexes;
- a line bundle  $L$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  such that  $\text{Cone}(\kappa)$  is weak equivalent to  $L[n]$ ; and
- the non-degeneracy condition: Locally on  $\mathbf{X}$ , where  $L$  is trivial, the induced 1-form  $\alpha : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$  is such that  $\mathcal{K}$  is weak equivalent to the cocone of  $\underline{\alpha}^{11}$ , and the 2-form  $d_{dR}\alpha$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathcal{K}$ . (In that case, the triangle  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow L[k]$  splits locally.)

Denote such a structure on  $\mathbf{X}$  simply by  $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$  and call such local form a *n-contact form*. Moreover, any such data satisfying all conditions above except the non-degeneracy is then called *n-shifted pre-contact structure*.

From Proposition A.9, on a refined affine neighborhood, say  $\text{Spec}A$  with  $A$  a minimal standard form cdga, the perfect complexes  $\mathbb{T}_A, \mathbb{L}_A$ , when restricted to  $\text{spec}H^0(A)$ , are both free finite complexes of  $H^0(A)$ -modules. In that case, Definition 3.1 will reduce to the following local descriptions, where  $\mathcal{K}$  is now just equivalent to the usual  $\ker \alpha$  in  $\text{Mod}_A$ ; and  $L$  in the splitting corresponds to the line bundle generated by the Reeb vector field of the classical case. More precisely, from [1, §3.2], when restricted to the (nice) local models, we equivalently have:

**Definition 3.2.** (*Shifted contact structures with nice local models*) We say that a *k-shifted (affine) contact structure* on  $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}A$  is a submodule  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_A$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \simeq \ker \alpha$  for a  $k$ -shifted 1-form  $\alpha$  with the property that the  $k$ -shifted 2-form  $d_{dR}\alpha$  is non-degenerate on  $\ker \alpha$  and the complex  $\text{Cone}(i : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}_A) \simeq \text{coker}(i)$  is the quotient complex and of the form  $L[k]$ , with  $L$  a line bundle.

In that case, one has the natural splitting  $\mathbb{T}_A|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} = \ker \alpha|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} \oplus L[k]|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)}$ . Adopting the classical terminology, we sometimes call the sub-module  $\ker \alpha$  a *k-shifted contact structure*, and the 1-form  $\alpha$  a (*defining*) *k-contact form*.

Moreover, [2] provides several examples of contact derived stacks. In brief, we have:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a derived Artin  $\mathbb{K}$ -stack locally of finite presentation. Denote by  $\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m$  the affine additive and multiplicative group schemes, respectively.

1. Let  $\mathbb{T}^*[n]\mathbf{X}$  be the  $n$ -shifted cotangent stack. Then the space  $\mathbb{J}^1[n]\mathbf{X} := \mathbb{T}^*[n]\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{G}_a^{12}$ , called the  $n$ -shifted 1-jet stack of  $\mathbf{X}$ , carries an  $n$ -shifted contact structure.
2. Let  $\pi_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbb{T}^*\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be the natural projection. Given a prequantum 0-shifted Lagrangian fibration structure on  $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}$ , there is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle on  $\mathbb{T}^*\mathbf{X}$  carrying a 0-shifted contact structure.

<sup>11</sup>In brief, the *image* of a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in a  $\infty$ -category is a universal sub-object  $\text{Im}f$  with the epi-mono factorization  $X \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} \text{Im}f \xrightarrow{\text{mono}} Y$ . Here we denote the factor  $X \rightarrow \text{Im}f$  by  $\underline{f}$ .

<sup>12</sup>By abuse of notation,  $\mathbb{G}_a$  stands for the  $n$ -shifted affine line corepresented by  $\mathbb{K}[z][-n]$ .



3. Let  $\pi_{c_1(\mathcal{G})} : T^*_{c_1(\mathcal{G})}\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be the  $c_1(\mathcal{G})$ -twisted cotangent stack of  $\mathbf{X}$ , where  $c_1(\mathcal{G}) \in \mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{X}, 1)$  denotes the characteristic class of a 0-gerbe  $\mathcal{G}$  - a line bundle - on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Given a prequantum 0-shifted Lagrangian fibration structure on  $\pi_{c_1(\mathcal{G})}$ , there is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle on  $T^*_{c_1(\mathcal{G})}\mathbf{X}$  that carries a 0-shifted contact structure.
4. Assume that  $G$  is a simple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $C$  be a smooth and proper curve/ $\mathbb{K}$ . Consider the derived moduli stacks  $LocSys_G(C)$ ,  $Bun_G(C)$  of flat  $G$ -connections on  $C$ , principal  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ . Then there is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle on  $LocSys_G(C)$  with a 0-shifted contact structure.

**Local theory for shifted contact structures.** It has been shown in [1] that every  $k$ -shifted contact derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  is locally equivalent to  $(\text{Spec}A, \alpha_0)$  for  $A$  a minimal standard form cdga and  $\alpha_0$  in Darboux form. In addition, [2] extends this result to the case of derived Artin stacks. More precisely, we have the following result for derived schemes.

**Theorem 3.4.** [1, Thm. 3.12.] Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a  $k$ -shifted contact derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme for  $k < 0$ , and  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Then there is a local contact model  $(A, \alpha_0)$  and  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A)$  such that  $i : \text{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an open inclusion with  $i(p) = x$ ,  $A$  is a standard form that is minimal at  $p$ , and  $\alpha_0$  is a  $k$ -shifted contact form on  $\text{Spec}A$  such that  $A, \alpha_0$  are in contact Darboux form.

For instance, when  $k$  is odd (cf. [1, Example 3.9]), one can find a minimal standard form cdga  $A$ , with “coordinates”  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}, z \in A$ , and a Zariski open inclusion  $f : \text{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  so that

$$\alpha_0 = -d_{dR}z + \sum_{i,j} y_j^{k+i} d_{dR}x_j^{-i}.$$

## 3.2 Recall: Legendrians in contact manifolds

In this section, we review basic notions and fundamental results from contact geometry. For more details, we refer to standard sources like [8, 9].

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(M, \xi)$  be a contact manifold of dimension  $2n + 1$ . A submanifold  $L \subset M$  is called an *isotropic* submanifold if  $T_p L \subset \xi_p$  for all  $p \in L$ .

Equivalently, we can formulate the isotropy condition for  $L$  using locally defining contact forms as follows.

**Definition 3.6.** (Isotropic submanifolds - 2nd definition) Let  $\alpha$  be a local contact form for  $\xi$ , we say that a submanifold  $i : L \hookrightarrow (M, \xi)$  is *isotropic* if  $i^*\alpha \equiv 0$ .

**Observation 3.7.** Let  $i : L \hookrightarrow (M, \xi)$  be an isotropic submanifold and  $\alpha$  be a contact form (at least locally) defining  $\xi$ , then the condition for  $L$  to be isotropic implies that  $i^*(d_{dR}\alpha) = 0$  as well. It means that  $T_p L \subset \xi_p$  is an isotropic subspace of the  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space  $(\xi_p, d_{dR}\alpha|_{\xi_p})$ . From linear algebra, we have  $\dim T_p L \leq (\dim \xi_p)/2 = n$ . This then leads to the following natural subobjects for which  $\dim T_p L$  attains its maximum.

**Definition 3.8.** An isotropic submanifold  $L \subset (M^{2n+1}, \xi)$  of maximal possible dimension  $n$  is called a *Legendrian* submanifold.

In brief, Legendrians  $L \subset (M, \xi)$  are the largest possible submanifolds whose tangent bundle is completely contained in the subbundle  $\xi$  of  $TM$ . In other words, they are the *integral submanifolds* for  $\xi$  of maximal dimension  $(\dim \xi_p)/2 = n$ .

**Example 3.9.** (Legendrians in 1-jet bundles) Given a manifold  $L$ , define the *space  $J^1(L)$  of 1-jets of germs of smooth functions*  $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows: Denote by  $\epsilon_p$  the space of germs at  $p \in L$ , with the equivalence relation  $f \sim g \iff f(p) = g(p)$  and  $d_{dR}f_p = d_{dR}g_p$ . We write  $j_p^1 f$  for the equivalence class of a germ  $f \in \epsilon_p$ . Then we set  $J^1(L) = \bigcup_p \epsilon_p / \sim$ .

Now, using the mapping  $j_p^1 f \mapsto (f(p), d_{dR}f_p)$ , we get an identification  $J^1(L) \simeq \mathbb{R} \times T^*L$ . It follows that there exists a natural contact structure  $\xi_{jet}$  on  $J^1(L)$  given by  $\xi_{jet} := \ker(d_{dR}z - \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Liouville form on  $T^*L$ , which is locally of the form  $\lambda = \sum_i p^i d_{dR}q^i$ .

With this example in hand, we have the following important observation, which leads to a universal neighborhood model for any Legendrian submanifold.

**Observation 3.10.** Given any manifold  $L$ , every smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(L)$  gives a *Legendrian embedding* of  $L$  into  $(J^1(L), \xi_{jet})$  via the map  $p \mapsto (f(p), d_{dR}f_p)$  (and the identification  $J^1(L) \simeq \mathbb{R} \times T^*L$ ). Note in particular that the zero function  $f \equiv 0$  corresponds to the zero section  $j^1 0 \simeq L \subset T^*L \subset T^*L \times \mathbb{R} \simeq J^1(L)$  of the 1-jet bundle  $J^1(L)$ .

Now, the following theorem ensures that for Legendrian submanifolds  $L \subset (M, \xi)$ , a neighborhood of the zero section of its 1-jet bundle  $J^1(L)$  provides a model for (a neighborhood of)  $L$ . Therefore, it follows that, as in the case of Lagrangian submanifolds, there are no local invariants for Legendrian submanifolds as well.

**Theorem 3.11.** (*Weinstein's Legendrian neighborhood theorem*) *Every Legendrian submanifold  $L \subset (M, \xi)$  has a neighborhood contactomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section of its 1-jet bundle  $J^1(L)$ .*

In the upcoming sections, we will discuss derived analogues of the concepts and results presented above.

### 3.3 Legendrians in contact derived stacks

In this section, we begin by formulating the concept of an *isotropic structure* on a morphism in the context of derived contact geometry. We then introduce *Legendrian structures*.

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be a morphism of derived Artin stacks with  $\mathbf{X}$  carrying an  $n$ -shifted contact structure  $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$ . An *isotropic structure* on  $f$  consists of a morphism  $\rho : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K})$  of perfect complexes factorizing the canonical map<sup>13</sup>  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$ . That is, we have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & f^*(\mathcal{K}) \\ & \searrow \lrcorner & \downarrow f^*\kappa \\ & & f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

In that case, for a  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$ , the diagram implies that the pushforward of any “horizontal” tangent vector in  $f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$  under the map  $f^*(\alpha) : f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n]$  will lie inside  $\mathcal{K} \simeq \text{Cocone}(\alpha)$ . Hence, there is a path  $\Lambda_\alpha$  between 0 and  $f^*(\alpha)$ , implying  $0 \sim f^*(\alpha)$ .

Equivalently, we then denote an *isotropic structure* on  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  by  $(\rho, \Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the map

$$\mathcal{A}_{loc}^1(\mathbf{X}, n) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^1(\mathbf{X}, n)} \text{Path}_{\mathcal{A}_{loc}^1(\mathbf{Y}, n)}(0, f^*(\alpha')), \quad \alpha \mapsto \Lambda_\alpha.$$

**Observation 3.13.** Let  $(\rho, \Lambda)$  be an isotropic structure on  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  with an  $n$ -shifted contact target  $(\mathbf{X}; \mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$ . Choose a locally defining  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$  and a path  $\Lambda_\alpha$  from 0 to  $f^*(\alpha)$ . By definition, we require the morphism  $\rho : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K})$  to make Diagram 3.1 commute. Then locally on  $\mathbf{X}$ , the path  $\Lambda_\alpha$  gives a homotopy between 0 and the composition

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \xrightarrow{f^*(\alpha)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n]. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, using the identity  $d_{dR} \circ f^* \simeq f^* \circ d_{dR}$  and the path  $\Lambda_\alpha$ , we obtain a path  $d_{dR}\Lambda_\alpha$  between 0 and  $f^*(d_{dR}\alpha) : f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \wedge f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n]$ . Then using the triangle  $\mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$ , this path (locally) induces a homotopy from 0 to the map  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \wedge \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n]$  defined as the composition

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \wedge \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \wedge f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n].$$

Now, from Observation 2.8 (with  $d_{dR}\alpha$  in place of  $\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^0$ )<sup>14</sup>, we locally get the induced morphism of perfect complexes on  $\mathbf{Y}$  (depending on  $\Lambda_\alpha$ )

$$\chi_{\Lambda_\alpha} : \mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1]. \quad (3.3)$$

This observation leads to the formulation of a *non-degeneracy condition* for (contact) isotropic structures given in Definition 3.12 and to the notion of a *Legendrian structure*. In that respect, we introduce the following definition.

<sup>13</sup>From the fiber sequence  $\mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$ , with  $\mathbb{T}_f$  the relative/vertical tangent complex of  $f$ .

<sup>14</sup>Keep in mind that  $d_{dR}\alpha : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[n]$  is a quasi-isomorphism on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be a morphism of derived Artin stacks with an  $n$ -shifted contact target  $(\mathbf{X}; \mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$ . A **Legendrian structure** on  $f$  consists of

- an isotropic structure  $\rho : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K})$  on  $f$ ; and
- a fiber sequence<sup>15</sup>  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{\rho} f^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n]$  (the *non-degeneracy condition* for  $\rho$ ).

We then say  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a *Legendrian* in  $(\mathbf{X}; \mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$ .

**Remark 3.15.** (An alternative way of stating the non-degeneracy) Let  $f$  be as in Definition 3.14 and  $(\rho, \Lambda)$  an isotropic structure on  $f$ . Note that, by definition, the map  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$  factorizes as  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$  up to homotopy. Thus, there is a homotopy fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{T}_f & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}) \\
 \rho \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 f^*(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{f^*\kappa} & f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}),
 \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

which gives another fiber sequence  $\mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$  as the outer rectangle also homotopy commutes. Thus, we get a canonical map  $f^*(\mathcal{K})[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f$ , which leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.16.** (*The non-degeneracy condition - 2nd definition*) For non-degeneracy of an isotropic structure of  $(\rho, \Lambda)$  on  $f$ , we require that for each  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$ , the induced morphism of perfect complexes  $\chi_{\Lambda_\alpha} : \mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1]$  is a quasi-isomorphism on  $f^*(\mathcal{K})[-1]$ .

**Observation 3.17.** Denote by  $\star_n$  the point  $\mathbf{X} := \text{Spec}\mathbb{K}$  equipped with its canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure, where the  $n$ -contact data  $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$  for  $\star_n$  is defined by the  $n$ -shifted 1-form  $\alpha \equiv 0$ . More precisely, the data consists of

- the perfect complex  $\mathcal{K} := \text{Cocone}(\alpha) \simeq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = 0$ ; and
- the fiber sequence  $\text{Cocone}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}[n]$ , where  $\kappa$  is chosen to be the natural map and  $\text{Cone}(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}[n] \simeq \mathbb{K}[n]$ , such that the non-degeneracy of  $d_{dR}\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  trivially holds.

Now, we can prove the following result.

**Proposition 3.18.** Let  $\star_n, \alpha \equiv 0$  be as above. Any Legendrian structure  $(\rho, \Lambda_\alpha)$  on  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \star_n$  induces a natural  $(n-1)$ -shifted pre-contact structure on  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

If, in addition,  $\text{Cocone}(\Lambda_\alpha) \simeq 0$ , then the induced pre-contact data of  $\mathbf{Y}$  can be promoted to an  $(n-1)$ -shifted contact structure on  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\star_n$  is equipped with the canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure as above, any isotropic structure on  $f$  is of the form  $(\rho = 0, \Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda_\alpha : 0 \rightsquigarrow f^*\alpha$ .

In our case,  $\alpha \equiv 0$  implies that  $\Lambda_\alpha$  is a loop at 0 in the space  $\mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{Y}, n)$ . Therefore, it defines an element in  $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{Y}, n)) \simeq \pi_0(\mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{Y}, n-1))$ . Denote the corresponding 1-form of degree  $(n-1)$  also by  $\Lambda_\alpha : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1]$ . Likewise,  $d_{dR}\Lambda_\alpha$  is a loop at 0 due to  $f^*(d_{dR}\alpha) \sim 0$ . Thus, it gives an element in  $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbf{Y}, n-1))$  as well.

As the operator  $d_{dR}$  commutes with the identifications  $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{Y}, n-1)) \simeq \pi_1(\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{Y}, n))$ , the induced map  $\chi_{\Lambda_\alpha}$  is nothing else than the contraction map  $d_{dR}\Lambda_\alpha \cdot : \mathbb{T}_f \simeq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1]$ , which is non-degenerate on  $f^*(\mathcal{K})[-1]$  due to the Legendrian structure on  $f$ .

Now, we define the data of  $(n-1)$ -shifted pre-contact structure on  $\mathbf{Y}$  using the following natural fiber sequence

$$\text{Cocone}(\Lambda_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1], \tag{3.5}$$

where the first map is declared to be  $\kappa_{\mathbf{Y}}$ , with  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{Y}} := \text{Cocone}(\Lambda_\alpha)$  such that we get the identification  $\text{Cone}(\kappa_{\mathbf{Y}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1] =: \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n-1]$ . This proves the first statement.

<sup>15</sup>Recall from [10], for a generic map  $\phi : U \rightarrow V$  of perfect complexes with a degree  $n$  (skew-symmetric) pairing on  $V$ , we have the induced null-homotopic sequence  $U \rightarrow V \rightarrow U^\vee[n]$ . In our case with  $\phi = \rho$ ,  $V := f^*(\mathcal{K})$  comes with a pairing  $d_{dR}\alpha$  induced by an  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$ .

To promote the pre-contact data above to an  $(n-1)$ -shifted contact structure on  $Y$ , we require  $d_{dR}A_\alpha$  to be non-degenerate on  $\kappa_Y = \text{Cocone}(A_\alpha)$ . But, by construction,  $d_{dR}A_\alpha$  is non-degenerate on  $f^*(\mathcal{K})[-1] = 0$ . Therefore, if we further assume the equivalence  $\text{Cocone}(A_\alpha) \simeq 0$ , then we can obtain the desired contact data, which proves the second statement.  $\square$

### 3.4 Example: The zero section morphism of 1-jet stacks

In this section, we show that the zero section morphism of a 1-jet stack carries a natural Legendrian structure. Let  $T^*[n]X$  be the  $n$ -shifted cotangent stack of the derived Artin stack  $X$  (locally of finite presentation). Recall from [2] that the  $n$ -shifted 1-jet stack of  $X$  is described by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^1[n]X := T^*[n]X \times \mathbb{A}^1[n] & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathbb{A}^1[n] \\ pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T^*[n]X & \longrightarrow & *, \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathbb{A}^1[n]$  is the  $n$ -shifted affine line corepresented by  $\mathbb{K}[z][-n]$ , with the natural projection map as the composition  $J^1[n]X \rightarrow T^*[n]X \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, from Diagram 3.6, we have the identifications

$$\mathbb{L}_{J^1[n]X} \simeq pr_1^* \mathbb{L}_{T^*[n]X} \oplus pr_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X} \simeq pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \oplus pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]}. \quad (3.7)$$

Equivalently, we have the exact triangle

$$pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X} \rightarrow pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]}. \quad (3.8)$$

Notice that from the triangle (3.8), we have a natural monomorphism  $pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X}$  with the cofiber  $pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]}$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]}$  is an invertible quasi-coherent sheaf, we get  $pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]} \simeq L[n]$ , where  $L$  is a line bundle.

Recall that using the observations above, we can equip  $J^1[n]X$  with a canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure. More precisely, we have:

**Lemma 3.19.** [2, Thm. 4.2] *The canonical  $n$ -shifted contact data for  $J^1[n]X$  consists of*

- the perfect complex  $\mathcal{K} := pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}$  and the monomorphism  $\kappa : pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X}$  in (3.8);
- the cofiber  $pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]}$  in (3.8) as the cone of  $\kappa$ , where we have an equivalence  $pr_2^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1[n]} \simeq L[n]$  with  $L$  a line bundle; and
- the equivalence  $\mathcal{K} \simeq \text{Cocone}(\alpha)$  by [2, Lemma 4.1], with the global  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$  given as

$$\alpha := -d_{dR}z + \lambda \in \Gamma(J^1[n]X, \mathbb{L}_{J^1[n]X}[n]), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Liouville 1-form on  $T^*[n]X$ , and we simply write  $z, \lambda$  instead of  $pr_2^* z, pr_1^* \lambda$ , respectively. For the precise definition of  $\lambda$ , see [10].

Now, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $J^1[n]X$  be the  $n$ -shifted 1-jet stack of  $X$  with the canonical  $n$ -shifted contact structure as in Lemma 3.19. Then the zero section morphism  $j : X \rightarrow J^1[n]X$  has a natural Legendrian structure.*

*Proof.* Using the natural  $n$ -shifted contact data on  $J^1[n]X$  in Lemma 3.19, we observe:

- There is an isotropic structure  $\rho$  on  $j$ : It should be noted that the map  $j$  is the composition

$$j = \iota \circ \iota_X : X \xrightarrow{\iota_X} T^*[n]X \xrightarrow{\iota} J^1[n]X, \quad (3.10)$$

where both  $\iota_X, \iota$  are the corresponding zero section morphisms. Now, consider the pullback map  $j^* \kappa : j^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow j^*(\mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X})$  such that we have

$$j^*(\mathcal{K}) = j^*(pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}) \simeq (pr_1 \circ j)^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \simeq (pr_1 \circ \iota \circ \iota_X)^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \simeq \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X},$$

as  $pr_1 \circ \iota \sim id$  and  $\mathcal{K} := pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}$ . Thus, we have a homotopy between  $j^* \kappa$  and the map  $\iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow j^* \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X}$ , written  $j^* \kappa \sim (\iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow j^* \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X})$ .

Choose  $\rho$  to be natural map  $\mathbb{T}_X \rightarrow \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}$  which naturally commutes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & j^*(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \sim j^* \kappa \\ & & j^*(\mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X}) \end{array} \quad (3.11)$$

Observe also that using the global  $n$ -contact form  $\alpha$  given in Lemma 3.19, we get

$$j^* \alpha \sim (\iota \circ \iota_X)^* \alpha \sim \iota_X^* (\iota^* \alpha) \sim 0.$$

This is because  $\iota^* \alpha$  is an 1-form of degree  $n$  on  $T^*[n]X$  and the zero section morphism  $\iota_X$  corresponds to the data of the identity map  $id_X$  together with the zero section of  $\mathbb{L}_X[n]$ . Tautologically, this means that the pull-back  $\iota^* \alpha$  is nullhomotopic.

- *The isotropic structure is non-degenerate:* It suffices to show that the induced null-homotopy sequence  $\mathbb{T}_X \rightarrow j^*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X[n]$  is a fiber sequence.

We already showed that there is an identification  $j^*(\mathcal{K}) = j^*(pr_1^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}) \simeq \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}$ , with the natural map  $\iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow j^* \mathbb{T}_{J^1[n]X}$ . Note also that from [10, Theorem 2.2], the isotropic structure on  $\iota_X$  is non-degenerate, and hence we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{T}_X \rightarrow \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X[n],$$

which gives the desired result due to the identification  $j^*(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \iota_X^* \mathbb{T}_{T^*[n]X}$ . □

## 4 Local models for Legendrians in contact derived schemes

In this section, we present affine models for Legendrians in  $n$ -shifted contact derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes and state a Legendrian neighborhood theorem.

### 4.1 Legendrian-Darboux forms

Recall that given a locally finitely presented derived Artin stack  $\mathbf{X}$  with a  $n$ -shifted contact data  $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$ , the main definitions with nice local models reduce to the case, where  $\mathcal{K}$  is locally just the usual  $\ker \alpha$  in  $Mod_A$  with the map  $\ker \alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}_A$  of complexes of  $H^0(A)$ -modules for  $A$  a standard form cdga; and  $L$  corresponds to the line bundle generated by the Reeb vector field of the classical case. That is, we have:

**Definition 4.1.** (*Affine case with standard forms*) An  $n$ -shifted contact structure on  $X = \text{Spec} A$ , with  $A$  a standard form cdga, is a submodule  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_A$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \simeq \ker \alpha$  for a  $n$ -shifted 1-form  $\alpha$  with the property that the 2-form  $d_{dR} \alpha$  is non-degenerate on  $\ker \alpha$ , and  $\text{coker}(\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}_A) \simeq \mathbb{T}_A / \mathcal{K} \simeq L[n]$  for a line bundle  $L$  over  $\text{Spec} A$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{X} \simeq \text{Spec} A$ ,  $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec} B$ , with  $A, B$  standard form cdgas. Note that, in this case, we have the identifications  $\mathbb{L}_X \simeq \mathbb{L}_A \simeq \Omega_A^1$  and  $\mathbb{L}_Y \simeq \mathbb{L}_B \simeq \Omega_B^1$ . Let  $(\rho; \Lambda_B)$  be an isotropic structure on  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}; \mathcal{K} \simeq \ker \alpha_A, L)$ , where  $f \simeq \text{Spec} \tilde{f}$  with the morphism  $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$  of standard form cdgas;  $\alpha_A : \mathbb{T}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A[n]$  is an  $n$ -contact form on  $\text{Spec} A$ , and the morphism  $\rho$  is of the form

$$\rho : \mathbb{T}_B \hookrightarrow f^*(\ker \alpha_A) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \ker \alpha_A.$$

**Observation 4.2.** We list some key observations that are central to get the Diagram 4.3 below.

1. By Proposition A.13, we have the equivalence  $f^*(\ker \alpha_A) \simeq \ker(f^* \alpha_A)$ , and hence we obtain the induced map  $\mathbb{T}_B \hookrightarrow \ker(f^* \alpha_A)$  again denoted by  $\rho$ , with its dual map  $\ker^\vee(f^* \alpha_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B$ .
2. Due to the functoriality of  $f^*$ , we have

$$(f^* \ker \alpha_A)^\vee \simeq (f^* d_{dR})(f^* \ker \alpha_A) \simeq f^*(d_{dR} \alpha(\ker \alpha_A)) \simeq f^*(\ker^\vee \alpha_A).$$



3. From the fiber sequence  $\mathbb{T}_B \rightarrow f^*(\mathbb{T}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$ , there is a natural map  $f^*(\ker \alpha_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$  as the first map in the sequence factors through  $f^*(\ker \alpha_A)$  via the isotropic structure  $\rho$ .
4. Denote the induced map  $\Omega_A^1 \rightarrow \Omega_B^1$  by  $\tilde{f}_*$ , then  $f^*(\alpha_A) = \tilde{f}_*(\alpha_A)$  with  $d_{dR} \circ \tilde{f}_* = \tilde{f}_* \circ d_{dR}$ . By definition, the homotopy  $\Lambda_B$  is a morphism

$$\Lambda_B : \mathbb{T}_B \rightarrow B[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[s],$$

where  $\mathbb{K}[s]$  is the cdga over  $\mathbb{K}$  concentrated in  $\text{deg } 0$ , with  $ds = 0$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{T}_B & & \\ & \swarrow 0 & \downarrow \Lambda_B & \searrow f^*(\alpha_A) & \\ B[n] & \xleftarrow{\text{res}_{s=0}} & B[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[s] & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{s=1}} & B[n] \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that for the composition  $f^*(\alpha_A) \simeq \text{res}_{s=1} \circ \Lambda_B$ , there is an exact triangle

$$\text{Cocone}(\text{res}_{s=1}) \rightarrow \text{Cocone}(\text{res}_{s=1} \circ \Lambda_B) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Cocone}(\Lambda_B), \quad (4.2)$$

which will give the map  $\ker \Lambda_B \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$  in Diagram 4.3. More precisely, from (4.2), we have a natural morphism  $\ker \Lambda_B \rightarrow \ker f^*\alpha_A[1]$ , where we can use w.l.o.g. the strict kernels instead of cocones due to the choice of our affine model (cf. [1, §3.2]). Then combining the equivalence  $\ker f^*\alpha_A \simeq \ker f^*\alpha_A[1]$  with Proposition A.13 and the map  $f^*(\ker \alpha_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$  above, we get the desired map  $\ker \Lambda_B \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$  via composition.

**Remark 4.3.** Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, f, \alpha_A, (\rho; \Lambda_B)$  be as above. As in the case of Lagrangian structures, we can locally express the isotropy condition on  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  using certain local equations. The commutativity of Diagram 4.1 says that  $\Lambda_B|_{s=0} = 0$ ,  $\Lambda_B|_{s=1} = f^*(\alpha_A)$ , and for fixed  $s$ ,  $\Lambda_B \in \Omega_B^1$ . Hence, from Remark 2.10, the induced homotopy between 0 and  $\omega := (d_{dR}\alpha_A, 0, 0, \dots)$  can be written as a sequence  $(d_{dR}\Lambda_B, 0, 0, \dots)$ , with  $\Lambda_B \in (\Omega_B^1)^{n-1}$ , satisfying  $d(d_{dR}\Lambda_B) = \tilde{f}_*(d_{dR}\alpha_A)$ .

In total, using Observation 4.2, we get the following diagram of commutative rectangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbb{T}_B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & & \\ & \nearrow \cong & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ \mathbb{T}_B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{T}_A & \xrightarrow{f^*d_{dR}\alpha_A} & f^*\mathbb{L}_A[n] & \xrightarrow{\pi^\vee[n]} & \mathbb{L}_B[n] \\ \downarrow \rho & \nearrow & \downarrow f^*d_{dR}\alpha_A & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow \rho^\vee[n] & \downarrow \cong \\ f^*\ker \alpha_A & \xrightarrow{f^*d_{dR}\alpha_A} & f^*(\ker \alpha_A)^\vee[n] & \xrightarrow{\pi^\vee[n]} & (\ker f^*\alpha_A)^\vee[n] & \xrightarrow{\rho^\vee[n]} & \mathbb{L}_B[n] \\ \downarrow \sim \sigma & \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \sim \sigma^\vee[n] & \nearrow & \downarrow \cong \\ \ker \Lambda_B & \xrightarrow{\chi[1]} & \mathbb{T}_f[1] & \xrightarrow{\chi[1]} & (\ker \Lambda_B)^\vee[n] & \xrightarrow{(\rho^\vee \circ \sigma^\vee)[n]} & \mathbb{L}_B[n] \\ & \searrow \chi_{\Lambda_B} = \chi|_{\ker \Lambda_B} & & & \uparrow \sim \sigma^\vee[n] & \nearrow & \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \cong \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

**Explicit affine models.** We now provide explicit local models, called *Legendrian-Darboux forms*, for the cases (1)  $k < 0$  with  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and (2)  $k < 0$  with  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then we give the proof of Theorem 1.4, which essentially says that *any such structure can be locally modeled on one of the Legendrian Darboux forms*.

We should also note that we will provide the proof *only* for the case (1) above, and give a brief sketch for the other case. In this regard, we have the following prototype construction/example.

**Construction 4.4.** Consider the case  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$  with  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Assume  $A, \alpha_0$  are in  $k$ -shifted contact Darboux form in the sense of Theorem 3.4.

**Step-1: Setting the contact target as**  $(\text{Spec}A, \alpha_0)$ . Fixing  $\ell = -\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$ <sup>16</sup>, we start with a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A^0 := A(0)$  of dimension  $m_0 + 1$ . We assume that there are degree 0 variables  $x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_{m_0}^0, X_1^0$  in  $A(0)$  such that  $d_{dR}x_1^0, \dots, d_{dR}x_{m_0}^0, d_{dR}X_1^0$  form a basis for  $\Omega_{A(0)}^1$  over  $A(0)$ .

Next, choosing non-negative integers  $m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ , we define a set of variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} \in A$  so that  $A$  is a standard form cdga freely generated over  $A(0)$  by these variables. It follows that  $\Omega_A^1$  is the free  $A$ -module of finite rank with basis  $\{d_{dR}x_j^{-i}, d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}, d_{dR}X_1^0 : i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i\}$ .

Choosing  $z, H, d$  appropriately<sup>17</sup>, we let  $\alpha_0 \in (\Omega_A^1)^k$  such that  $d_{dR}\alpha_0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}x_j^{-i} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}$  satisfying  $dH = 0$ ,  $d_{dR}H + d\phi = 0$ , and  $d_{dR}\phi = kd_{dR}\alpha_0$ ; and

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \alpha_0|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} &= \langle \partial/\partial x_1^{-i}, \dots, \partial/\partial x_{m_i}^{-i}, \partial/\partial y_1^{k+i}, \dots, \partial/\partial y_{m_i}^{k+i} : i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell \rangle_{A(0)}, \\ \text{Rest}|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} &= \langle \partial/\partial X_1^0 \rangle_{A(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4 shows that we can take  $\alpha_0 = -d_{dR}z + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} y_j^{k+i} d_{dR}x_j^{-i}$ , which is a  $k$ -shifted contact form with the contact coordinates  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}, z$ , such that one has a natural splitting

$$\mathbb{T}_A|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} = \ker \alpha_0|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} \oplus \text{Rest}|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)}. \quad (4.4)$$

With the above setup in hand, we now give an *affine model for Legendrian structures* on morphisms  $f$  into  $\text{Spec}A$ . To this end, we construct another standard form cdga  $B$  with a morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  inducing  $f$  and satisfying the desired properties.

**Step-2: Setting the source  $\text{Spec}B$  and the map.** Let  $B^0 := B(0)$  be a smooth algebra of dimension  $(m_0 + 1) + (n_0 + 1)$  and  $\beta^0 : A^0 \rightarrow B^0$  a smooth morphism. Localizing  $B^0$  if necessary, assume that there exist  $u_1^0, \dots, u_{n_0}^0, U_1^0$  in  $B^0$  such that  $\{d_{dR}\tilde{x}_1^0, \dots, \tilde{x}_{m_0}^0, d_{dR}\tilde{X}_1^0, d_{dR}u_1^0, \dots, d_{dR}u_{n_0}^0, d_{dR}U_1^0\}$  is a basis over  $B^0$  for  $\Omega_{B^0}^1$ , with  $\tilde{x}_j^0 = \beta^0(x_j^0)$  and  $\tilde{X}_1^0 = \beta^0(X_1^0)$ . Then, writing  $s := -\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ <sup>18</sup>, we define the cdga  $B$  to be the free graded algebra over  $B(0)$  generated by the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_1^{-i}, \tilde{x}_2^{-i}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{m_i}^{-i} &\text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \\ u_1^{-i}, u_2^{-i}, \dots, u_{n_i}^{-i} &\text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, s, \\ v_1^{k-1+i}, v_2^{k-1+i}, \dots, v_{n_i}^{k-1+i} &\text{ in degree } (k-1+i) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Define a morphism  $\beta_+ : A_+ \rightarrow B$  of cdgas by  $\beta_+|_{A^0} = \beta^0$  and  $\tilde{x}_j^{-i} = \beta_+(x_j^{-i})$ .

Now choose a superpotential  $G \in B^k$  satisfying the *relative version* of classical master equation (2.14), which determines the differential  $d$  on  $B$  as in Equation (2.15). Also, we extend  $\beta_+$  to a morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  via  $\beta|_{A_+} = \beta_+$  and  $\beta(y_j^{k+i}) = \pm \partial G / \partial \tilde{x}_j^{-i}$ . Then we get the map  $\text{Spec}\beta : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow (\text{Spec}A, \alpha_0)$ .

Using Construction (2.13), we then define  $\Lambda \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  such that the element  $d_{dR}\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} d_{dR}u_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i}$  satisfies the equations

$$dG = -\beta(H + H_+), \quad d_{dR}G + d\psi = -\beta_*(\phi + \phi_+), \quad \text{and } d_{dR}\psi = (k-1)d_{dR}\Lambda, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $d_{dR}\Lambda, d_{dR}\alpha_0$  play the roles of  $h^0, \omega^0$  in Construction (2.13), respectively; and  $H_+, \phi_+, \psi$  are as in Construction (2.13); and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \Lambda|_{\text{spec}H^0(B)} &= \langle \partial/\partial u_1^{-i}, \dots, \partial/\partial u_{n_i}^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_1^{k-1+i}, \dots, \partial/\partial v_{n_i}^{k-1+i} : i = 0, 1, \dots, s \rangle_{B(0)}, \\ \text{Rest}|_{\text{spec}H^0(B)} &= \langle \partial/\partial U_1^0, \partial/\partial \tilde{X}_1^0, \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_1^{-i}, \dots, \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_{m_i}^{-i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell \rangle_{B(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Step-3: Isotropic structure.** From Remark 4.3,  $d_{dR}\Lambda$  naturally satisfies the defining equations  $d(d_{dR}\Lambda) = \beta_*(d_{dR}\alpha_0)$ ,  $d_{dR}(d_{dR}\Lambda) = 0$ , and hence  $(d_{dR}\Lambda, 0, \dots)$ , with  $\Lambda \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$ , defines an *isotropic structure* from 0 to  $f^*(d_{dR}\alpha_0)$ . Moreover, letting  $\tilde{z} \in B^{k-1}$  such that  $d\tilde{z} = G \in B^k$ , we can explicitly write  $\Lambda \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  as

$$(k-1)\Lambda = -d_{dR}\tilde{z} + \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} [-iu_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} + (k-1+i)v_j^{k+i} d_{dR}u_j^{-i}]. \quad (4.7)$$

<sup>16</sup> $\ell = -k/2$  if  $k$  is even; otherwise  $\ell = -(k+1)/2$ . Here,  $\lfloor - \rfloor$  denotes the *floor function*.

<sup>17</sup>Choose an element  $z \in A^k$  such that  $dz = H$ , and  $H$  is the Hamiltonian satisfying the ‘‘classical master equation’’ that describes the internal differential  $d$  on  $A$ . For details, see [1, Example 3.9].

<sup>18</sup> $s = \ell$  if  $k$  is even; otherwise  $s = \ell + 1$ .

In this case, using the defining equations for the elements  $G, \psi$ , and Eqn. (2.18), we compute<sup>19</sup>

$$(k-1)d\Lambda = d(-d_{dR}\tilde{z} + \psi) = -\beta_*(\phi + \phi_+), \quad (4.8)$$

which also implies  $d(d_{dR}\Lambda) = \beta_*(d_{dR}\alpha_0)$  because  $d_{dR}\phi = kd_{dR}\alpha_0$  and  $d_{dR}\phi_+ = -d_{dR}\alpha_0$ .

**Step-4: Non-degeneracy.** From definitions,  $d_{dR}\Lambda$  makes Diagram (4.3) commute (we simply use  $\Lambda$  instead of  $\Lambda_B$ ), and hence it remains to show that  $d_{dR}\Lambda$  is non-degenerate. To this end, we need to show that the morphism  $\chi|_{\ker \Lambda} : \mathbb{T}_f|_{\ker \Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B|_{(\ker \Lambda)^\vee}[k-1]$  at the bottom face of the diagram (4.3) is a quasi-isomorphism, where  $f := \text{Spec} \beta : \text{Spec} B \rightarrow (\text{Spec} A, \alpha_0)$  carrying the isotropic structure  $(d_{dR}\Lambda, 0, \dots)$  as above. In fact, it suffices to apply  $-\otimes_B H^0(B)$  to Diagram (4.3) and show that the corresponding map  $\chi|_{\ker \Lambda} \otimes_B H^0(B)$  is an isomorphism of complexes of  $H^0(B)$ -modules.

Note that we are working with sufficiently nice cdgas; and hence, in what follows, we use  $\Omega^1$  as a model for  $\mathbb{L}_\cdot$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}_B \xrightarrow{\pi} f^*\mathbb{T}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_f[1]$  is an exact sequence with the cofiber  $\mathbb{T}_f[1]$ , we can use the cone of the morphism  $\pi = (\Omega_B^1)^\vee : (\Omega_B^1)^\vee \rightarrow (\Omega_A^1)^\vee$ , induced by the map  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$ , as a model for  $\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B)$ . Recall that for such cdgas,  $\text{Cone}((\Omega_B^1)^\vee)$  can be given as

$$\text{Cone}((\Omega_B^1)^\vee) = \left( ((\Omega_B^1)^\vee \otimes_B H^0(B)) \oplus ((\Omega_A^1)^\vee[-1] \otimes_A H^0(B)), \begin{pmatrix} d_B & 0 \\ (\Omega_B^1)^\vee & d_A \end{pmatrix} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Then we can write  $\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B)$  and  $\Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B)$  as direct sums of  $H^0(B)$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B) &= \langle \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_j^\mu, \partial/\partial \tilde{X}_j^\mu \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial U_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_j^{-i'} \rangle \\ &\quad \oplus \langle \partial/\partial x_j^{\mu-1}, \partial/\partial X_j^{\mu-1} \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial y_j^{\mu-1} \rangle, \\ \Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B) &= \langle d_{dR}\tilde{x}_j^{k-i+1}, d_{dR}\tilde{X}_j^{k-i+1} \rangle \oplus \langle d_{dR}u_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR}U_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Following [6, Example 3.3], the map  $\chi : \mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B) \rightarrow \Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B)$  is then given degree-wise by

$$\chi^{-*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \star & \beta_* \circ d_{dR}\alpha_0 \cdot \\ 0 & d_{dR}\Lambda \cdot & \star & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\star$ 's are some arbitrary morphisms;  $\beta_* \circ d_{dR}\alpha_0$  maps  $\partial/\partial y_j^\mu \mapsto d_{dR}x_j^{k-\mu} \mapsto d_{dR}\tilde{x}_j^{k-\mu}$ ; and  $d_{dR}\Lambda \cdot$  maps  $\langle \partial/\partial u_j^\mu, \partial/\partial v_j^\mu \rangle \mapsto \langle d_{dR}u_j^{k-1-\mu}, d_{dR}v_j^{k-1-\mu} \rangle$ , all up to sign. Clearly, both such maps in  $\chi^\mu$ , for each  $\mu$ , are isomorphism of  $H^0(B)$ -modules. Thus,  $\chi$  maps  $\ker \Lambda|_{\text{Spec} H^0(B)}$  isomorphically onto its image, where we consider  $\ker \Lambda|_{\text{Spec} H^0(B)}$  as a subcomplex of  $\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B)$  of the form  $\{0\} \oplus \langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_j^{-i'} \rangle \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$ .

As a result,  $\chi|_{\ker \Lambda}$  is a quasi-isomorphism as desired. It follows that the isotropic structure  $\Lambda$  on  $\text{Spec} \beta$  is non-degenerate; and hence,  $\text{Spec} B$  is Legendrian in  $(\text{Spec} A, \alpha_0)$ . This completes the prototype construction of an affine model for Legendrian structures and leads to the following definition.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $(\text{Spec} B, \Lambda)$  be Legendrian in  $(\text{Spec} A, \alpha_0)$  as in Construction 4.4. We then say that the data  $(A, \alpha_0, B, \beta, \Lambda)$  is in **Legendrian-Darboux form**.

## 4.2 A Legendrian-Darboux theorem for contact derived schemes

In brief, we would like to show that Legendrians  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  in  $n$ -shifted contact derived schemes, with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ , are locally modeled on standard Legendrian-Darboux forms  $(A, \alpha_0, B, \beta, \Lambda^0)$  as in Construction 4.4. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $(\mathbf{X}; \mathcal{K}, \kappa, L)$  be a (locally finitely presented)  $k$ -shifted contact derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme, with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ , and  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be a morphism of derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes carrying a Legendrian structure  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}$ . Given  $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  with  $f(y) = x$ , let  $(A, i : \text{Spec} A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X})$ , with  $p \in \text{spec} H^0(A)$ , be a standard form affine neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $i(p) = x$  (as in Theorem A.8).*

<sup>19</sup>Note that if the target was a point  $\star_k$  equipped with its canonical  $k$ -shifted contact structure (see Observation 3.17), then the equations for  $G, \psi$  would reduce to  $dG = 0, d_{dR}G + d\psi = 0$ , and  $d_{dR}\psi = (k-1)d_{dR}\Lambda$ ; thus we would get  $d\Lambda = 0$ ; and further computations would in fact show that  $\Lambda$  becomes a  $(k-1)$ -contact Darboux form.

Then there exist (1) a standard form cdga  $B$ , a point  $q \in \text{Spec}B$ , (2) a morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  of cdgas with  $\text{Spec}\beta : q \mapsto p$ , such that  $\beta_+ := \beta|_{A_+} : A_+ \rightarrow B$  is a submersion minimal at  $q$ , (3) a Zariski open inclusion  $j : \text{Spec}B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , with  $j(q) = y$ , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}B & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \text{Spec}\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{X} \end{array} \quad (4.11)$$

commutes up to homotopy, and (4) the pullback of the Legendrian structure to  $\text{Spec}\beta$  satisfying  $j^*(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}) \simeq \Lambda^0$  such that  $(\text{Spec}B, \Lambda^0)$  is Legendrian in  $(\text{Spec}A, \alpha_0)$ , where  $(A, \alpha_0, B, \beta, \Lambda^0)$  is in Legendrian-Darboux form in the sense of Definition 4.5.

*Disclaimer.* In what follows, we will consider the case of “ $k < 0$  with  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ” only, for which Construction 4.4 gives the desired explicit model. The other cases can be dealt with by adopting almost the same modifications as in [6, Example 3.5; Sections 4.6 & 4.7]. The main body of the proof will remain the same (based on [6]); and the modifications are relatively straightforward, but sometimes cumbersome. We will leave details to the reader.

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  with  $f(y) = x$ . For  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , apply Theorem 3.4 to find an affine contact neighborhood  $(A, \alpha_0)$  of  $x$ , with  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A)$ , where  $i : \text{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an open inclusion mapping  $i : p \mapsto x$ ;  $A$  is a standard form that is minimal at  $p$ ; and  $\alpha_0$  is a standard  $k$ -shifted contact form on  $\text{Spec}A$  such that  $A, \alpha_0$  are in Darboux form.

Let  $\ell = -\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$ , then Theorem 3.4 implies that we can choose a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A(0)$  of dimension  $m_0 + 1$  with generators  $x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_{m_0}^0, X_1^0$  of degree 0, and a set of variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}$  in  $A$  such that  $\Omega_A^1$  is the free  $A$ -module of finite rank with basis  $\{d_{dR}x_j^{-i}, d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}, d_{dR}X_1^0 : i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i\}$ , and that we have  $d_{dR}\alpha_0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}x_j^{-i} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i}$ , where the  $k$ -contact form is equal to  $\alpha_0 = -d_{dR}z + \phi$ , satisfying  $dH = 0$ ,  $d_{dR}H + d\phi = 0$ , and  $d_{dR}\phi = kd_{dR}\alpha_0$  for some  $H, \phi, z, d$  as before. Moreover, from Theorem 3.4, we may assume w.l.o.g. that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \alpha_0|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} &= \langle \partial/\partial x_1^{-i}, \dots, \partial/\partial x_{m_i}^{-i}, \partial/\partial y_1^{k+i}, \dots, \partial/\partial y_{m_i}^{k+i} : i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell \rangle_{A(0)}, \\ \text{Rest}|_{\text{spec}H^0(A)} &= \langle \partial/\partial X_1^0 \rangle_{A(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

**(1) Constructing a local representative for  $f$ .** Now, let us start with the pullback diagram as in [6]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}(\iota)} & \text{Spec}A_+ \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow i & & \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{X} & & \end{array} \quad (4.12)$$

along with the map  $\text{Spec}(\iota) : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \text{Spec}A_+$  induced by  $\iota : A_+ \hookrightarrow A$ , where  $A_+$  (and other relevant objects like  $H_+, \beta_+, \phi_+$ ) is/are as in Construction 2.13.

Applying Theorem A.12 to the map  $\text{Spec}(\iota) \circ \pi_2 : \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \text{Spec}A_+$ , with the map  $\text{id}_{\text{Spec}A_+}$  and the points  $z \in \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A, p \in \text{Spec}A_+$ , we get a standard form cdga  $B$ , a point  $q \in \text{Spec}B$ , a submersion  $\beta_+ : A_+ \rightarrow B$  minimal at  $q$  with  $\text{Spec}\beta_+(q) = p$ , and a Zariski open inclusion  $j' : \text{Spec}B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A$ , with  $j'(q) = y$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spec}B & \xrightarrow{j'} & \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A & & \\ \text{Spec}\beta_+ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_2 \circ j'} & \downarrow \text{Spec}(\iota) \circ \pi_2 & \searrow^{\pi_2} & \text{Spec}A \\ \text{Spec}A_+ & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{Spec}A_+ & \swarrow^{\text{Spec}(\iota)} & \end{array} \quad (4.13)$$

The morphism  $\pi_2 \circ j' : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow \text{Spec}A$  is induced by a map  $\beta^\infty : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{cdga}_{\mathbb{K}}^\infty$ , with  $\beta^\infty \circ \iota \simeq \beta_+$ ; i.e.,  $\pi_2 \circ j' \simeq \text{Spec}\beta^\infty$ . From [6, §4.2], we conclude that since  $A$  is free over  $A_+$ , and

hence cofibrant over  $A_+$ , up to equivalence,  $\beta^\infty$  descends to a morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  in  $cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$  such that  $\beta \circ \iota = \beta_+$ ; and hence  $\pi_2 \circ j' \simeq \text{Spec}\beta$ . That is, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Spec}B & \xrightarrow{j'} & \mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \text{Spec}A & & \\
\downarrow \text{Spec}(\beta_+) & & \downarrow \text{Spec}\beta & \swarrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \pi_2 \\
& & \mathbf{Y} & \swarrow \text{Spec}(\iota) \circ \pi_2 & \\
& & \downarrow f & & \\
\text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{i} & \text{Spec}A, \\
\downarrow \text{Spec}(\iota) & & \downarrow \text{Spec}(\iota) & & \\
\text{Spec}A_+ & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Spec}A_+ & & 
\end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

where  $j$  is a Zariski open inclusion (étale, resp.) if  $i$  is a Zariski open inclusion (étale, resp.). We are interested in the following part of the diagram, which provides the desired local representative,  $\text{Spec}\beta$ , for the morphism  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec}B & \xrightarrow{j = \pi_1 \circ j'} & \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{Spec}\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
\text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{X}.
\end{array} \tag{4.15}$$

**(2) Isotropic structures  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $d_{dR}\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}$ .** Since locally  $f^*\alpha_0 \sim 0$  via  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}$ , there is a corresponding element  $\Lambda^0 \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  with  $d(\Lambda^0) = \beta_*(\alpha_0)$ . Letting  $\omega_0 := (d_{dR}\alpha_0, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{A}^{(2,cl)}(\text{Spec}A, k)$ , from Remark 4.3,  $d_{dR}\Lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}$  lifts to an isotropic structure  $d_{dR}\Lambda$  on  $\text{Spec}\beta : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow (\text{Spec}A, \omega_0)$ , where  $d_{dR}\Lambda = (d_{dR}\Lambda^0, 0, \dots)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.15 to the isotropic structure  $d_{dR}\Lambda$ , find  $G' \in B^k$  and  $\psi \in (\Omega_B^1)^{k-1}$  satisfying  $dG' = -\beta(H + H_+)$ ,  $d_{dR}G' + d\psi = -\beta_*(\phi + \phi_+)$  such that the isotropic structure  $d_{dR}\Lambda$  is homotopic to  $\frac{1}{k-1}(d_{dR}\psi, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Hence, we can write  $(k-1)\Lambda^0 = \psi + d_{dR}\theta$  for  $\theta \in B^{k-1}$ . For the rest of the proof, we will use this simplification.

**(3) Choosing coordinates when  $k$  is even.** In addition to the initial assumption  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we now assume that  $k$  is even so that  $\ell = -\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$  and  $s := -\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ . Note that we obtained a submersion  $\beta_+ : A_+ \rightarrow B$  minimal at  $q$ , a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A(0)$  of dimension  $m_0 + 1$  with degree 0 generators  $x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_{m_0}^0, X_1^0$ , and a set of variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}$  in  $A$  such that  $A_+$  is freely generated over  $A(0)$  by the variables  $x_j^{-i}$ , only. Then we have the following observations using [6]:

- Since  $\beta_+^0 : A^0 \rightarrow B^0$  is smooth, localizing  $B^0$  if necessary, there exist  $u_1^0, \dots, u_{n_0}^0, U_1^0$  in  $B^0$  such that  $d_{dR}\tilde{x}_1^0, \dots, d_{dR}\tilde{x}_{m_0}^0, d_{dR}\tilde{X}_1^0, d_{dR}u_1^0, \dots, d_{dR}u_{n_0}^0, d_{dR}U_1^0$  form a  $B^0$ -basis for  $\Omega_{B^0}^1$  with  $\tilde{x}_j^0 = \beta_+^0(x_j^0)$  and  $\tilde{X}_1^0 = \beta_+^0(X_1^0)$ .
- Since  $\beta_+ : A_+ \rightarrow B$  is a submersion of standard form cdgas (and  $A_+$  is freely generated over  $A^0$  by the variables  $x_j^{-i}$ , only),  $B$  is a free graded algebra over  $B(0)$  generated by the variables  $\{\tilde{x}^{-i}_j = \beta_+(x_j^{-i})\}$  and some other set of generators in degrees  $-1, -2, \dots, (k-1)$  as  $\Omega_B^1$  has Tor-amplitude in  $[k-1, 0]$ .

Therefore, following [6], we can consider the cdga  $B$  to be the free graded algebra over  $B(0)$  generated by the variables

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{x}_1^{-i}, \tilde{x}_2^{-i}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{m_i}^{-i} \text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \\
& u_1^{-i}, u_2^{-i}, \dots, u_{n_i}^{-i} \text{ in degree } (-i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s, \\
& v_1^{k-1+i}, v_2^{k-1+i}, \dots, v_{n'_i}^{k-1+i} \text{ in degree } (k-1+i), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, s,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where  $\tilde{x}_j^{-i} = \beta_+(x_j^{-i})$ . The differential on  $B$  is then given as in (2.15).



Recall that we can write  $\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B)$  and  $\Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B)$  as direct sums of  $H^0(B)$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B) &= \langle \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_j^\mu, \partial/\partial \tilde{X}_j^\mu \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial U_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_{j'}^{-i'} \rangle \\ &\quad \oplus \langle \partial/\partial x_j^{\mu-1}, \partial/\partial X_j^{\mu-1} \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial y_j^{\mu-1} \rangle, \\ \Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B) &= \langle d_{dR} \tilde{x}_j^{k-i+1}, d_{dR} \tilde{X}_j^{k-i+1} \rangle \oplus \langle d_{dR} u_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR} U_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR} v_j^{k-1+i} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by construction, we get  $(k-1)\Lambda^0 = \psi + d_{dR}\theta$  for  $\theta \in B^{k-1}$ . In most general terms, we can write  $\Lambda^0 = \sum_{i,j} a_j^{k-1+i} d_{dR} \tilde{x}_j^{-i} + b_j^{k-1+i} d_{dR} u_j^{-i} + c_j^{-i} d_{dR} v_j^{k-1+i}$  with some coefficients  $a_\nu^\mu, b_\nu^{\mu'}, c_\nu^{\mu''} \in B$ . From [6, §4.5], keeping  $\beta_+, B, G, \tilde{x}_j^{-i}, u_j^{-i}, v_j^{k-1+i}$  fixed, we can find homotopic replacements for  $\beta, d_{dR}\Lambda^0, \psi$  such that we can take  $a_j^{k-1+i}$ 's to be zero. Thus, localizing  $B$  if necessary, we can in fact choose our variables in (4.16) so that we have a decomposition

$$\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B) = \ker \Lambda^0[-1] \oplus Rest, \quad (4.17)$$

where each summand is a free  $H^0(B)$ -module of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \Lambda^0|_{\text{spec}H^0(B)} &= \langle \partial/\partial u_1^{-i}, \dots, \partial/\partial u_{n_i}^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_1^{k-1+i}, \dots, \partial/\partial v_{n_i'}^{k-1+i} : i = 0, 1, \dots, s \rangle, \\ Rest|_{\text{spec}H^0(B)} &= \langle \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_j^\mu, \partial/\partial \tilde{X}_j^\mu \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial U_1^0 \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial x_j^{\mu-1}, \partial/\partial X_j^{\mu-1} \rangle \oplus \langle \partial/\partial y_j^{\mu-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**(4) Local form for  $\Lambda^0$ .** As in Construction 4.4, it suffices to work with  $\chi \otimes_B H^0(B)$  instead of  $\chi$ . Following [6, §4.3], the morphism  $\chi \otimes_B H^0(B) : \mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B) \rightarrow \Omega_B^1[k-1] \otimes_B H^0(B)$  is given degree-wise by

$$\chi^{-*} \otimes_B H^0(B) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot & d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot & \star & \beta_* \circ d_{dR}\alpha_0 \cdot \\ d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot & d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot & \star & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\star$ 's denote some arbitrary morphisms,  $\beta_* \circ d_{dR}\alpha_0 \cdot : \partial/\partial y_j^\mu \mapsto d_{dR}x_j^{k-\mu} \mapsto d_{dR}\tilde{x}_j^{k-\mu}$ , and  $d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot$  maps  $\langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_j^{-i} \rangle \mapsto \langle d_{dR}u_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} \rangle$ , all up to sign. We then consider  $\ker \Lambda^0|_{\text{spec}H^0(B)}$  as a subcomplex of  $\mathbb{T}_f \otimes_B H^0(B)$  of the form  $\{0\} \oplus \langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_j^{-i} \rangle \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$ . Then  $\chi^{-*}|_{\ker \Lambda^0} \otimes_B H^0(B) = (d_{dR}\Lambda^0 \cdot)$  over  $\text{spec}H^0(B)$ .

By the non-degeneracy condition,  $\chi|_{\ker \Lambda^0}$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus, over  $\text{spec}H^0(B)$ , the map  $\chi^{-*}|_{\ker \Lambda^0} \otimes_B H^0(B) : \langle \partial/\partial u_j^{-i}, \partial/\partial v_j^{-i} \rangle \mapsto \langle d_{dR}u_j^{k-1+i}, d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} \rangle$  is an isomorphism for each degree<sup>20</sup>. That is,  $\chi$  maps  $\ker \Lambda^0$  isomorphically onto its image. Thus,  $n_j = n_j'$  in (4.16) due to the non-degeneracy condition, and we get the form  $d_{dR}\Lambda^0 = \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} d_{dR}u_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i}$  such that  $(k-1)d_{dR}\Lambda^0 = d_{dR}\psi$ .

Now, it follows from [6] that we can write  $\psi$  explicitly as<sup>21</sup>

$$\psi := \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} [-iu_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} + (k-1+i)v_j^{k+i} d_{dR}u_j^{-i}]. \quad (4.19)$$

Since  $(k-1)d_{dR}\Lambda^0 = d_{dR}\psi$ , we may take  $(k-1)\Lambda^0 = d_{dR}\theta + \psi$  for any  $\theta \in B^{k-1}$ . Localizing  $B$  if necessary, we choose  $\tilde{z} \in B^{k-1}$  such that  $d\tilde{z} = G' \in B^k$ . Replacing  $\theta$  by  $-\tilde{z}$ , we finally get

$$(k-1)\Lambda^0 = -d_{dR}\tilde{z} + \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} [-iu_j^{-i} d_{dR}v_j^{k-1+i} + (k-1+i)v_j^{k+i} d_{dR}u_j^{-i}], \quad (4.20)$$

satisfying  $d(d_{dR}\Lambda^0) = \beta_*(d_{dR}\alpha_0)$  as in Construction 4.4, which (almost) completes the proof.

**(5) Other cases of  $k$ .** As mentioned before, the other cases of  $k$  can be studied using similar arguments with certain modifications. The first part of the proof above will remain the same. When it comes to choosing coordinates and writing down  $\psi$  explicitly, one needs to use different sets of variables. For details, we refer to [6, Example 3.5; Sections 4.5, 4.6 & 4.7]. It is then straightforward to adopt these modifications to our case, but sometimes cumbersome. Keeping fingers crossed, we left details to the reader.  $\square$

<sup>20</sup>It means  $(\chi^{-*}|_{\ker \Lambda^0})|_q$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces for  $q \in \text{Spec}H^0(B)$  and for each  $i$ .

<sup>21</sup>As before, we may use a homotopic replacement, if needed.

## A Some derived algebraic geometry

In this section, we review some key concepts from derived algebraic geometry (DAG) and mention some useful results. For details, we refer to [11, 12, 13].

In this paper, we essentially use the functorial approach to define (higher) spaces of interest. It is very well known that using Yoneda's embedding, spaces can be thought of as *certain functors* in addition to the standard ringed-space formulation. In brief, we have the following diagram [14]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 CAlg_{\mathbb{K}} & \xrightarrow{\text{schemes}} & Sets \\
 & \searrow^{\text{stacks}} & \downarrow \\
 & & Grpds \\
 & \searrow^{\text{higher stacks}} & \downarrow \\
 cdga_{\mathbb{K}} & \xrightarrow{\text{derived stacks}} & Ssets.
 \end{array} \tag{A.1}$$

Here  $CAlg_{\mathbb{K}}$  denotes the category of commutative  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras, and  $cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$  is the category<sup>22</sup> of commutative differential graded  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras in non-positive degrees. Denote by  $St_{\mathbb{K}}$  the  $\infty$ -category of (higher)  $\mathbb{K}$ -stacks, where objects in  $St_{\mathbb{K}}$  can be "defined" via Diagram A.1 above as certain homotopy sheaves.

Recall that, in classical algebraic geometry, we have the "spectrum functor"

$$\text{spec} : (CAlg_{\mathbb{K}})^{op} \rightarrow St_{\mathbb{K}}.$$

We then call an object  $X$  of  $St_{\mathbb{K}}$  an **affine  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme** if  $X \simeq \text{spec}A$  for some  $A \in CAlg_{\mathbb{K}}$ ; and a  **$\mathbb{K}$ -scheme** if it has an open cover by affine  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes. In DAG, there also exists an appropriate concept of a *spectrum functor*<sup>23</sup>

$$\text{Spec} : cdga_{\mathbb{K}}^{op} \rightarrow dSt_{\mathbb{K}},$$

which leads to the following definitions.

**Definition A.1.** Denote by  $dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  the  $\infty$ -category of **derived stacks**, where an object  $\mathbf{X}$  of  $dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  is given as a certain  $\infty$ -functor<sup>24</sup>  $\mathbf{X} : cdga_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow Ssets$  as in Diagram A.1. More precisely, objects in  $dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  are *simplicial presheaves on the site  $(dAff)^{op} \simeq cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$  satisfying a descent condition*. For more details, we refer to [12].

**Definition A.2.** An object  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  is called an **affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme** if  $\mathbf{X} \simeq \text{Spec}A$  for some  $cdga A \in cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$ . An object  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  is then called a **derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme** if it can be covered by Zariski open affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes  $Y \subset X$ . Denote by  $dSch_{\mathbb{K}} \subset dSt_{\mathbb{K}}$  the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes, and we simply write  $dAff_{\mathbb{K}} \subset dSch_{\mathbb{K}}$  for the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of affine derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes.

**Nice local models for derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes.** Let us first recall some basic concepts.

**Definition A.3.**  $A \in cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$  is of **standard form** if  $A^0$  is a smooth finitely generated  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra; the module  $\Omega_{A^0}^1$  of Kähler differentials is free  $A^0$ -module of finite rank; and the graded algebra  $A$  is freely generated over  $A^0$  by finitely many generators, all in negative degrees.

In fact, there is a systematic way of constructing such cdgas starting from a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A^0 := A(0)$  via the use of a sequence of localizations. More precisely, for any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can inductively construct a sequence of cdgas

$$A(0) \rightarrow A(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A(i) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A(n) =: A, \tag{A.2}$$

where  $A^0 := A(0)$ , and  $A(i)$  is obtained from  $A(i-1)$  by adjoining generators in degree  $-i$ , given by  $M^{-i}$ , for all  $i$ . Here, each  $M^{-i}$  is a free finite rank module (of degree  $-i$  generators) over  $A(i-1)$ . Therefore, the underlying commutative graded algebra of  $A = A(n)$  is freely generated over  $A(0)$  by finitely many generators, all in negative degrees  $-1, -2, \dots, -n$ . For more details, we refer to [5, Example 2.8].

<sup>22</sup>We actually mean its derived category.

<sup>23</sup>In brief, it is the right adjoint to the global algebra of functions functor  $\Gamma : dSt_{\mathbb{K}} \rightleftarrows cdga_{\mathbb{K}}^{op} : \text{Spec}$ .

<sup>24</sup>Using Yoneda's lemma, for a derived stack  $\mathbf{X}$ , we have  $\mathbf{X} : A \mapsto \mathbf{X}(A) \simeq \text{Map}_{dSt_{\mathbb{K}}}(\text{Spec}A, \mathbf{X})$ , and hence any  $A$ -point  $p \in \mathbf{X}(A)$  can be seen as a morphism  $p : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  of derived stacks.

**Definition A.4.** A standard form cdga  $A$  is said to be *minimal* at  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A)$  if  $A = A(n)$  is defined by using the minimal possible numbers of graded generators in each degree  $\leq 0$  compared to all other cdgas locally equivalent to  $A$  near  $p$ .

**Definition A.5.** Let  $A$  be a standard form cdga.  $A' \in \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{K}}$  is called a *localization* of  $A$  if  $A'$  is obtained from  $A$  by inverting an element  $f \in A^0$ , by which we mean  $A' = A \otimes_{A^0} A^0[f^{-1}]$ .

$A'$  is then of standard form with  $A'^0 \simeq A^0[f]$ . If  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A)$  with  $f(p) \neq 0$ , we say  $A'$  is a *localization of  $A$  at  $p$* .

With these definitions in hand, one has the following observations:

**Observation A.6.** Let  $A$  be a standard form cdga. If  $A'$  is a *localization* of  $A$ , then  $\text{Spec}A' \subset \text{Spec}A$  is a Zariski open subset. Likewise, if  $A'$  is a *localization of  $A$  at  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A) \simeq \tau(\text{Spec}A)$* , then  $\text{Spec}A' \subset \text{Spec}A$  is a Zariski open neighborhood of  $p$ .

**Observation A.7.** Let  $A$  be a *standard form cdga*, then there exist generators  $x_1^{-i}, x_2^{-i}, \dots, x_{m_i}^{-i}$  in  $A^{-i}$  (after localization, if necessary) with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that

$$A = A(0)[x_j^{-i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where the subscript  $j$  in  $x_j^{-i}$  labels the generators, and the superscript  $i$  indicates the degree of the corresponding element. So, we can consider  $A$  as a *graded polynomial algebra over  $A(0)$  on finitely many generators, all in negative degrees*.

The following theorem outlines the central results from [5, Theorem 4.1 & 4.2] concerning the construction of useful local algebraic models for derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes. The upshot is that given a derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  (locally of finite presentation) and a point  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , one can always find a "refined" affine neighborhood  $\text{Spec}A$  of  $x$ , which is very useful for explicit presentations; and any two refined neighborhoods of  $x$  can be comparable on the overlap. In short, we have:

**Theorem A.8.** *Every derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  is Zariski locally modelled on  $\text{Spec}A$  for a minimal standard form cdga  $A$ . Moreover, if there are two such models  $\text{Spec}A$  and  $\text{Spec}B$ , then there exists another minimal standard form cdga  $C$  with a morphism  $\text{Spec}C \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ , which relates  $\text{Spec}A$  to  $\text{Spec}B$  in certain way.*

**Nice local models for cotangent complexes of derived schemes.** Given  $A \in \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $d$  on  $A$  induces a differential on  $\Omega_A^1$ , denoted again by  $d$ . This makes  $\Omega_A^1$  into a dg-module  $(\Omega_A^1, d)$  with the property that  $\delta \circ d = d \circ \delta$ , where  $\delta : A \rightarrow \Omega_A^1$  is the universal derivation of degree zero. Write the decomposition of  $\Omega_A^1$  into graded pieces  $\Omega_A^1 = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^0 (\Omega_A^1)^k$  with the differential  $d : (\Omega_A^1)^k \rightarrow (\Omega_A^1)^{k+1}$ . Then we define the *de Rham algebra of  $A$*  as a double complex

$$DR(A) = \text{Sym}_A(\Omega_A^1[1]) \simeq \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^0 (\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^k[p], \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the gradings  $p, k$  are called the *weight* and the *degree*, respectively. Also, there are two derivations (differentials) on  $DR(A)$ , namely the *internal differential  $d$* :  $(\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^k[p] \rightarrow (\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^{k+1}[p]$  and the *de Rham differential  $d_{dR}$* :  $(\Lambda^p \Omega_A^1)^k[p] \rightarrow (\Lambda^{p+1} \Omega_A^1)^k[p+1]$  such that  $d_{\text{tot}} = d + d_{dR}$  and  $d^2 = d_{dR}^2 = 0$ , and  $d \circ d_{dR} = -d_{dR} \circ d$ .

Note that even if both  $\mathbb{L}_A$  and  $\Omega_A^1$  are closely related, the identification of  $\mathbb{L}_A$  with  $\Omega_A^1$  is not true for an arbitrary  $A \in \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{K}}$  [5]. But, when  $A = A(n)$  is a standard form cdga, we have the following description for the restriction of the cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_A$  to  $\text{spec}H^0(A)$ . In this paper, we only give a brief version. More details and the proof can be found in [5, Prop. 2.12].

**Proposition A.9.** *If  $A = A(n)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a standard form cdga constructed inductively as in (A.2), then the restriction of  $\mathbb{L}_A$  to  $\text{spec}H^0(A)$  is represented by a finite complex of  $H^0(A)$ -modules.*

**Nice local models for morphisms of derived schemes.** Recall that given a derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  (locally of finite presentation) and a point  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , one can always find a “refined” affine neighborhood  $\text{Spec}A$  of  $x$  that allows us to make more concrete computations over this neighborhood (cf. Theorem A.8). Such models are central to construct Darboux-type local forms. Similarly, there are nice local representatives for morphisms  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  in  $dSch_{\mathbb{K}}$ .

**Definition A.10.** A morphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  of standard form cdgas is called a *submersion* if the induced morphism  $\beta_* : \Omega_A^1 \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_B^1$  is injective in each degree.

**Remark A.11.** Submersions are in fact suitable class of morphisms in  $cdga_{\mathbb{K}}$  for doing explicit computations concerning relative cotangent complexes. In this regard, if  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  is a submersion of standard form cdgas, then the module of relative Kähler differentials  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  is a model for the relative cotangent complex, and hence we take  $\mathbb{L}_{B/A} = \Omega_{B/A}^1$ .

**Theorem A.12.** [6, Theorem 3.2] Let  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  in  $dSch_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  with  $f(y) = x$ . Let  $(A, i : \text{Spec}A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X})$ ,  $p \in \text{spec}H^0(A)$  be a standard form affine neighborhood of  $x$  with  $i(p) = x$  (as in Theorem A.8). Then there exist a standard form cdga  $B$ , a point  $q \in \text{Spec}B$ , a submersion  $\beta : A \rightarrow B$  minimal at  $q$  with  $(\text{Spec}\beta)(q) = p$ , and a Zariski open inclusion  $j : \text{Spec}B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , with  $j(q) = y$ , such that the following diagram (homotopy) commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}B & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \text{Spec}\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{X} \end{array} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In brief, any morphism  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  in  $dSch_{\mathbb{K}}$  can be locally modeled on  $\text{Spec}\beta$ , with  $\beta$  a morphism of standard forms cdgas, which can be considered as a “map between local charts”. I.e.,  $\text{Spec}\beta$  plays the role of a *local representative* for  $f$  (with suitable affine neighborhoods both on the source and the target).

Let us now present some other useful result:

**Proposition A.13.** Let  $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be a morphism of derived Artin stacks locally of finite presentation and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{X}, n)$ . Then there are equivalence[s]

$$\text{Cocone}(f^*\alpha) \simeq f^*(\text{Cocone}(\alpha)) \text{ and } \text{Cone}(f^*\alpha) \simeq f^*(\text{Cone}(\alpha)). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

*Proof.* Firstly, there are two homotopy fiber sequences

$$\text{Cocone}(f^*\alpha) \rightarrow f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{f^*\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n] \text{ and } f^*\text{Cocone}(\alpha) \rightarrow f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{f^*\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n], \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the latter follows from the pullback of  $\text{Cocone}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}[n]$ . Then we get the equivalence of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Cocone}(f^*\alpha) & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n] \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id \\ f^*\text{Cocone}(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n], \end{array} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which gives the desired identification.

Likewise, using the two homotopy cofiber sequences

$$f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{f^*\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n] \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f^*\alpha) \text{ and } f^*\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{f^*\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}[n] \rightarrow f^*\text{Cone}(\alpha), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

the result follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. İlker Berktav, “Shifted contact structures and their local theory,” *arXiv:2209.09686*, Sept. 2022. To appear in the *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math.*
- [2] K. I. Berktav, “On shifted contact derived artin stacks,” Nov. 2024.
- [3] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, and G. Vezzosi, “Shifted symplectic structures,” *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, vol. 117, pp. 271–328, 2013.
- [4] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, and G. Vezzosi, “Shifted Poisson structures and deformation quantization,” *Journal of Topology*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 483–584, 2017.
- [5] C. Brav, V. Bussi, and D. Joyce, “A Darboux theorem for derived schemes with shifted symplectic structure,” *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 399–443, 2019.
- [6] D. Joyce and P. Safronov, “A lagrangian neighbourhood theorem for shifted symplectic derived schemes,” *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* 28 (2019), pp. 831–908, June 2015.
- [7] O. Ben-Bassat, C. Brav, V. Bussi, and D. Joyce, “A ‘Darboux theorem’ for shifted symplectic structures on derived Artin stacks, with applications,” *Geometry & Topology*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1287–1359, 2015.
- [8] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, third ed., 2017.
- [9] H. Geiges, *An introduction to contact topology*, vol. 109. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [10] D. Calaque, “Shifted cotangent stacks are shifted symplectic,” *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:1612.08101, Dec. 2016.
- [11] B. Toën, “Derived algebraic geometry,” *EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 153–240, 2014.
- [12] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, “Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications,” *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 193, no. 902, pp. x+224, 2008.
- [13] J. Lurie, *Derived algebraic geometry*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2004.
- [14] G. Vezzosi, “a derived stack?,” *Notices of the AMS*, vol. 58, no. 7, 2011.