

The generalized Turán number of long cycles in graphs and bipartite graphs ^{*}

Changchang Dong^a, Mei Lu^a, Jixiang Meng^b, Bo Ning^{c†}

a. Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

b. College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, China

c. College of Computer Science, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Abstract

Given a graph T and a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , the maximum number of copies of T in an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices is called the generalized Turán number, denoted by $ex(n, T, \mathcal{F})$. When $T = K_2$, it reduces to the classical Turán number $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$. Let $ex_{bip}(b, n, T, \mathcal{F})$ be the maximum number of copies of T in an \mathcal{F} -free bipartite graph with two parts of sizes b and n , respectively. Let P_k be the path on k vertices, $\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}$ be the family of all cycles with length at least k and M_k be a matching with k edges. In this article, we determine $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2n-2k})$ exactly in a connected bipartite graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, for $b \geq n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which generalizes a theorem of Moon and Moser, a theorem of Jackson and gives an affirmative evidence supporting a conjecture of Adamus and Adamus. As corollaries of our main result, we determine $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, P_{2n-2k})$ and $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, M_{n-k})$ exactly in a connected bipartite graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, which generalizes a theorem of Wang. Moreover, we determine $ex(n, K_{s,t}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$ and $ex(n, K_{s,t}, P_k)$ respectively in a connected graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, which generalizes a theorem of Lu, Yuan and Zhang.

Keywords the generalized Turán number, long cycle and path, bipartite graphs

AMS subject classification 2010 05C35, 05C38.

^{*}This work was supported by the the Natural Science Foundation of Xinjiang (No. 2020D04046) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12261016, No. 11901498, No. 12261085).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: bo.ning@nankai.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Throughout this article we only consider finite simple graphs. For an integer n , we define $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a graph G , we denote by $e(G)$ the edge number of G and by $\delta(G)$ the minimum degree of G . Let $d_G(v)$ and $N_G(v)$ denote, respectively, the *degree* and *neighbourhood* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$. For a subgraph H of G , we denote $d_H(v) = |N_G(v) \cap V(H)|$ and use $G - H$ to denote the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices of H and the edges incident with at least one vertex in H . The *length* of a cycle or a path is the number of its edges. We use $P_k, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k}$ and M_k to denote the path on k vertices, the family of all cycles with length at least k and a matching with k edges, respectively. For positive integers s and t , we use K_s to denote the *complete graph* on s vertices and use $K_{s,t}$ to denote the *complete bipartite graph* with two parts of size s and t , respectively. A graph G is called *Hamiltonian* if it contains a Hamilton cycle, i.e., a cycle that includes every vertex of G . A bipartite graph with the bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ is called *balanced* if $|X| = |Y|$.

Let T be a graph and \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. We use $N(T, G)$ to denote the number of (not necessarily induced) copies of T in G and say that G is \mathcal{F} -free if there is no copy of any member of \mathcal{F} in G . The *generalized Turán number*, denoted by $ex(n, T, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of copies of T in an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. When $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, we write $ex(n, T, F)$ instead of $ex(n, T, \{F\})$. When $T = K_2$, it reduces to the classical *Turán number* $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$. Let $ex_{bip}(b, n, T, \mathcal{F})$ be the maximum number of copies of T in an \mathcal{F} -free bipartite graph with two parts of sizes b and n , respectively. The generalized Turán number has received a lot of attention recently, see [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 19].

Erdős and Gallai [3] first studied the maximum number of edges in P_k -free graphs and $\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}$ -free graphs on n vertices and characterized the extremal graphs for some values of n . Kopylov [13] extended Erdős and Gallai's results to 2-connected graphs by determining $ex(n, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$ exactly in 2-connected graphs and determining $ex(n, P_k)$ exactly in connected graphs. Luo [16] determined $ex(n, K_s, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$ exactly in 2-connected graphs and determined $ex(n, K_s, P_k)$ exactly in connected graphs, which generalized Kopylov's results to K_s . In [20], Ning and Peng presented an extension of Luo's results by imposing minimum degree as a new parameter, which was in the spirit of Kopylov's remark. Another extension of Kopylov's results to $K_{s,t}$ was proposed by Lu, Yuan and Zhang [17] in 2021s, who determined $ex(n, K_{s,t}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$ exactly in 2-connected graphs and determining $ex(n, K_{s,t}, P_k)$ exactly in connected graphs. Inspired by Ning-Peng's results and Lu-Yuan-Zhang's results, we present a generalization of Lu-Yuan-Zhang's results by imposing minimum degree as a new parameter in this article, and the results will be shown in Section 2.

In extremal graph theory, a natural problem on Hamilton cycles is: How many edges can guarantee the existence of a Hamilton cycle in a graph on n vertices. Ore [21] showed that the condition $e(G) \geq \binom{n-1}{2} + 2$ is the answer.

Theorem 1.1 (Ore [21]) *Let G be a graph on n vertices. If*

$$e(G) > \binom{n-1}{2} + 1$$

then G contains a Hamilton cycle.

In 1962s, Erdős [4] given an extension of Ore's theorem by adding a bound on the minimum degree as a new parameter.

Theorem 1.2 (Erdős [4]) *Let G be a graph on n vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq r$, where $1 \leq r \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and*

$$e(G) > \max \left\{ \binom{n-r}{2} + r^2, \binom{n - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor^2 \right\}$$

then G contains a Hamilton cycle.

Motivated by Erdős' work, Moon and Moser [18] presented corresponding result for balanced bipartite graphs.

Theorem 1.3 (Moon and Moser [18]) *Let G be a balanced bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices, with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r$, where $1 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{2}$. If*

$$e(G) > n(n-r) + r^2,$$

then G contains a Hamilton cycle.

In 2009s, Adamus and Adamus [2] wanted to generalize the above criteria to long cycles by asking how many edges are needed in a balanced bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices, to ensure the existence of a cycle of length exactly $2n - 2k$? In particular, they settled the case of $k = 1$.

Conjecture 1.1 (Adamus and Adamus [2]) *Let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$ and minimal degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If*

$$e(G) > n(n - k - r) + r(k + r)$$

then G contains a cycle of length $2n - 2k$.

Jackson [12] determined the minimum number of edges in a bipartite graph without the parameter minimum degree to ensure the existence of a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k$.

Theorem 1.4 (Jackson [12]) *Let t be an integer and $G = (X, Y; E)$ be a bipartite graph. Suppose $|X| = n, |Y| = b$, where $b \geq n \geq n - k \geq 2$. If*

$$e(G) > \begin{cases} (b-1)(n-k-1) + n, & n \leq 2(n-k) - 2 \\ (b-n+2k+3)(n-k-1), & n \geq 2(n-k) - 2 \end{cases}$$

then G contains a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k$.

From Theorem 1.4, we have $ex_{bip}(b, n, \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2n-2k}) = (n-k-1)b + k + 1$ if $b \geq n \geq n-k \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Li and the forth author [14] improved Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 (Li and Ning [14]) *$ex_{bip}(b, n, \mathcal{C}_{2n-2k}) = (n-k-1)b + k + 1$ if $b \geq n \geq n-k \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$.*

Therefore if Conjecture 1.1 is true, then it can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 by imposing minimum degree as a new parameter.

In 2021s, Wang determined the exact value of the generalized Turán number of matchings. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 (Wang [23]) *For any $s, t \geq 1$ and $n \geq n - k - 1$, we have*

$$ex_{bip}(n, n, K_{s,t}, M_{n-k}) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-k-1}{s} \binom{n}{t} + \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{n}{s}, & s \neq t, \\ \binom{n-k-1}{s} \binom{n}{s}, & s = t \end{cases}$$

To our knowledge, there are no further references on Conjecture 1.1. In this article, we give a first step towards confirming Conjecture 1.1, which is an affirmative evidence supporting this conjecture. In particular, we determine $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2n-2k})$ exactly in a connected bipartite graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, for $b \geq n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which generalizes Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 for $b \geq n \geq n-k \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. As corollaries of our main result, we determine $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, P_{2n-2k})$ and $ex_{bip}(b, n, K_{s,t}, M_{n-k})$ exactly in a connected bipartite graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, which generalizes Theorem 1.6. Moreover, we determine $ex(n, K_{s,t}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$ in a 2-connected graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, which generalizes a theorem of Lu, Yuan and Zhang. Our results as shown in Section 2, and the proofs as demonstrate in Section 3. The last section is devoted to some concluding remarks.

2 Main Results

For $n \geq 2k + 2r$, $1 \leq a \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, a) = \binom{b}{s} \binom{n-k-a}{t} + \binom{a}{s} \binom{n}{t} - \binom{a}{s} \binom{n-k-a}{t},$$

It can be checked that $f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, a)$ is a convex function.




Figure 1. $F_{b,n,n-k,a}$.

Let $F_{b,n,n-k,a}$ be a bipartite graph, with colour classes X and Y , $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$, where $X = A \cup B$, $Y = C \cup D$, $|A| = k + a$, $|B| = n - k - a$, $|C| = a$, and $|D| = b - a$. Moreover, assume that $N_{F_{b,n,n-k,a}}(x) = C$ for all $x \in A$, and $N_{F_{b,n,n-k,a}}(x) = Y$ for all $x \in B$. See Figure 1. Note that if $n \geq 2k + 2a$, then $\delta(G_1) = a \geq 1$ and

$$N(K_{s,t}, F_{b,n,n-k,a}) = \begin{cases} f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, a), & s = t, \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, a) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, a), & s \neq t, \end{cases}$$

but $F_{b,n,n-k,a}$ does not contain a cycle of length $2n - 2k$ or more.

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) . Suppose $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$, $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ and $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

then G contains a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k$.

This theorem is sharp with the extremal graphs $F_{b,n,n-k,r}$ and $F_{b,n,n-k,h}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) . Suppose $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$, $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

then G contains a path on $2n - 2k$ vertices.

The following result is a direct corollary.

Corollary 2.1 Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) . Suppose $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$, $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

then G contains a matching with $n - k$ edges.

Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.1 are sharp with the extremal graphs $F_{b,n,n-k-1,r}$ and $F_{b,n,n-k-1,h}$.

For $n \geq k \geq 4$ and $1 \leq r < \frac{k}{2}$, let

$$g_{s,t}(n, k, a) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+a} \binom{a}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{k-a}{2s} \binom{2s}{s}, & s = t; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+a} \left(\binom{a}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{t-1} + \binom{a}{t} \binom{n-t-i}{s-1} \right) + \\ \binom{k-a}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}, & s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that $g_{s,t}(n, k, a)$ is a convex function.

For $n \geq k \geq 4$ and $k/2 > a \geq 1$, define the n -vertex graph $H_{n,k,a}$ as follows. The vertex set of $H_{n,k,a}$ is partitioned into three sets A, B, C such that $|A| = a$, $|B| = n - k + a$ and $|C| = k - 2a$ and the edge set of $H_{n,k,a}$ consists of all edges between A and B together with all edges in $A \cup C$ (see Figure 2). Note that when $a \geq 2$, $H_{n,k,a}$ is 2-connected, has no cycle of length k or more.




Figure 2. $H_{n,k,a}$.

For $B \subset V(H_{n,k,a})$, let $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-k+a}\}$. Note that for $i \in [n-k+a]$, the number of copies of $K_{s,t}$ containing b_i and not containing b_1, \dots, b_{i-1} is $\binom{a}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{s-1}$ when $s = t$ and $\binom{a}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{t-1} + \binom{a}{t} \binom{n-t-i}{s-1}$ when $s \neq t$. Hence, the number of copies of $K_{s,t}$ in $H_{n,k,a}$ is $g_{s,t}(n, k, a)$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let G be a 2-connected graph on n vertices, with $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 2$, where $n \geq k \geq 5$ and $h = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$. If*

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \max \{g_{s,t}(n, k, r), g_{s,t}(n, k, h)\},$$

then G contains a cycle of length at least k .

We have sharpness examples $H_{n,k,r}$ and $H_{n,k,h}$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, with $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq k \geq 4$ and $h = \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor$. If*

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \max \{g_{s,t}(n, k-1, r), g_{s,t}(n, k-1, h)\},$$

then G contains a path on k vertices.

This theorem is sharp with the extremal graphs $H_{n,k-1,r}$ and $H_{n,k-1,h}$.

3 Proofs of Main Results

Pósa proved the following result in [22], which is used to ensure a cycle of length at least c , for any integer $c \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.1 (Pósa [22]) *Let G be a 2-connected n -vertex graph and P be a path on m vertices with endpoints x and y . Then G contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{m, d_P(x) + d_P(y)\}$.*

To prove our main results about bipartite graphs, we study bipartite analogue of Pósa's lemma. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 (Jeckson [11]) *Let u and v be distinct vertices of a 2-connected graph G . Let P be a uv -path in G and put $T = \{w \in N_{G-P}(\{u, v\}) \mid N(w) \subseteq V(P)\}$. Then there exist internally disjoint uv -paths P_1 and P_2 such that*

- (a) *for $i = 1$ and 2 , the common vertices of P_i and P occur in the same order in both paths, and*
- (b) $N_P(T \cup \{u, v\}) \subseteq V(P_1) \cup V(P_2)$.

Now we show the bipartite analogue of Pósa's lemma, which proof is very similar to Jeckson's proof [12].

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a 2-connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) , and P be a uv -path in G .*

- (i) *If $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$ then G contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{|V(P)|, 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1)\}$.*
- (ii) *If $u, v \in X$ then G contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{|V(P)| - 1, 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 2)\}$.*

Proof. (i). Let $P = x_1y_1x_2 \cdots x_ny_n$, where $x_1 = u$ and $y_n = v$. Let $S = N_P(x_1)$, $T = N_P(y_n)$, and $T^+ = \{y_i \mid x_i \in T\}$. If $S \cap T^+ \neq \emptyset$, then G contains a cycle of length $|V(P)|$. Hence we may assume that $S \cap T^+ = \emptyset$. Suppose there exists $y_i \in S$ and $x_j \in T$ such that $j \leq i$. Choosing i and j such that $i - j$ is as small a nonnegative integer as possible, it follows that $x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i \notin T$ and $y_j, \dots, y_{i-1} \notin S$. Then $C_1 = x_1y_1x_2 \cdots x_jy_nx_n \cdots y_ix_1$ is a cycle of G such that $S \cup (T^+ \setminus \{y_j\}) \subseteq V(C_1) \cap Y$. Thus

$$|V(C_1)| \geq 2(|S| + |T^+| - 1) = 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1).$$

Therefore assume that

$$\text{if } y_i \in S \text{ and } x_j \in T, \text{ then } i < j. \tag{1}$$

Let P_1 and P_2 be internally disjoint uv -paths which satisfy properties (a) and (b) of Lemma 3.2, and choose $x_j \in T$. It follows from (b) of Lemma 3.2 that $x_j \in P_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let z_j be the successor of x_j along P_i and put $T^* = \{z_j \mid x_j \in T\}$. Since P_1 and P_2 are internally disjoint, $|T^*| \geq |T| - 1$. Moreover, using (a) of Lemma 3.2 and (1), it follows that $S \cap T^* = \emptyset$. Putting $C_2 = P_1 \cup P_2$, we have $S \cup T^* \subseteq V(C_2) \cap Y$ and hence

$$|V(C_2)| \geq 2(|S| + |T^*|) \geq 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1).$$

(ii). Putting $P = x_1y_1x_2 \cdots y_{n-1}x_n$, $S = N_P(x_1)$, $T = N_P(x_n)$, and $T^+ = \{y_i \mid y_{i-1} \in T\}$, the proof proceeds on similar lines to that of (i). ■

Note that if P is a maximal path in G with two end-vertices u and v , then $d_G(u) = d_P(u)$ and $d_G(v) = d_P(v)$. So, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 (Jeckson [12]). *Let G be a 2-connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) , and P be a maximal path in G . Let the end vertices of P be u and v .*

(i) *If $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$ then G contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{|V(P)|, 2(d(u) + d(v) - 1)\}$.*

(ii) *If $u, v \in X$ then G contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{|V(P)| - 1, 2(d(u) + d(v) - 2)\}$.*

To prove our results, we also need a definition from Kopylov.

Definition 3.1 (Kopylov [13]). *Let G be a graph and α be a natural number. Delete all vertices of degree at most α from G ; for the resulting graph G_0 , again delete all vertices of degree at most α from it. We keep running this process until the minimum degree of the resulting graph is at least $\alpha + 1$. The resulting graph, denoted by $H(G, \alpha)$, is called the $(\alpha + 1)$ -core of G .*

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. Let X, Y be the two partition sets of G with $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$. Suppose for contradiction that G contains no cycle of length $2n - 2k$ or more and

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n - k, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n - k, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n - k, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n - k, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n - k, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n - k, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Let G_0 be the bipartite graph obtained from G by adding a dominating vertex x to X such that x is adjacent to each vertex of Y and adding a dominating vertex y to Y such that y is adjacent to each vertex of X and adding an edge xy . Then G_0 is 2-connected with bipartition (X', Y') , has $n + 1 + b + 1$ vertices and contains no cycle of length $2n - 2k + 2$ or more, where $X' = X \cup \{x\}$ and $Y' = Y \cup \{y\}$. Let G' be the $(2n - 2k + 2)$ -closure of G_0 , i.e., add edges to G_0 until any additional edge creates a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 2$. Thus $\delta(G') \geq r + 1$ and for any nonadjacent vertices $u \in X'$ and $v \in Y'$ of G' , there exists a path on at least $2n - 2k + 2$ vertices between u and v . Let $G^* = G' - \{x, y\}$. Therefore $N(K_{s,t}, G^*) \geq N(K_{s,t}, G_0 - \{x, y\}) \geq N(K_{s,t}, G)$. We have that

Claim 1. $H(G', h + 1)$ is not empty.

Proof. Suppose $H(G', h + 1)$ is empty. Note that x is adjacent to each vertex of Y' of G' and y is adjacent to each vertex of X' of G' . For convenience, we divide the proof into the following two cases.

Case 1. $s = t$. In the process of getting $H(G', h + 1)$, for the first $n - h$ vertices that are not x in X' of G' , once the i -th vertex which has at most h neighbors that are not y is deleted, we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{n-i}{s-1}$ copies of $K_{s,s}$, where $i \in [n - h]$; for the first $b - h$ vertices that are not y in Y' of G' , once the j -th vertex which has at most h neighbors that are not x is deleted, we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{b-j}{s-1}$ copies of $K_{s,s}$, where $j \in [b - h]$; for all the last $2h$ vertices that are not x, y in G' , we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{s}$ copies of $K_{s,s}$. Then we count the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,s}, G^*) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-h} \binom{h}{s} \binom{n-i}{s-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{b-h} \binom{h}{s} \binom{b-j}{s-1} + \binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{s} \\
&= \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{s} \\
&= \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{n}{s} \\
&\leq \binom{n-k-h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{n}{s} \\
&= f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, h)
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 2. $s \neq t$. In the process of getting $H(G', h + 1)$, for the first $n - h$ vertices that are not x in X' of G' , once the i -th vertex which has at most h neighbors that are not y is deleted, we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{n-i}{t-1} + \binom{h}{t} \binom{n-i}{s-1}$ copies of $K_{s,t}$, where $i \in [n - h]$; for the first $b - h$ vertices that are not y in Y' of G' , once the j -th vertex which has at most h neighbors that are not x is deleted, we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{b-j}{t-1} + \binom{h}{t} \binom{b-j}{s-1}$ copies of $K_{s,t}$, where $j \in [b - h]$; for all the last $2h$ vertices that are not x, y of G' , we delete at most $\binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{t} + \binom{h}{t} \binom{h}{s}$ copies of $K_{s,t}$. Then the number of copies of $K_{s,t}$ can be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,t}, G^*) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-h} \left(\binom{h}{s} \binom{n-i}{t-1} + \binom{h}{t} \binom{n-i}{s-1} \right) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^{b-h} \left(\binom{h}{s} \binom{b-j}{t-1} + \binom{h}{t} \binom{b-j}{s-1} \right) + 2 \binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{t} \\
&= \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{n}{t} - \binom{h}{t} \right) + \binom{h}{t} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{t} + \\
&\quad \binom{h}{t} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{t} - \binom{h}{t} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{h}{t} \\
&= \binom{h}{t} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{n}{t} + \\
&\quad \binom{h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{t} - \binom{h}{t} \right) + \binom{h}{t} \binom{n}{s} \\
&\leq \binom{n-k-h}{t} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{h}{s} \right) + \binom{h}{s} \binom{n}{t} + \\
&\quad \binom{n-k-h}{s} \left(\binom{b}{t} - \binom{h}{t} \right) + \binom{h}{t} \binom{n}{s} \\
&= f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, h)
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2). ■

Claim 2. $H(G', h+1)$ is a complete bipartite graph.

Proof. If there exist two nonadjacent vertices u and v in $H(G', h+1)$ and $u \in X', v \in Y'$, then there is a path on at least $2n - 2k + 2$ vertices between u and v . Among all these nonadjacent pairs of vertices in $H(G', h+1)$, we choose $u, v \in H(G', h+1)$ with $u \in X', v \in Y'$ such that the path between them is the longest. If all neighbors of u in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P , then by (i) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(h + 2 + h + 2 - 1)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. If there exists a neighbor x of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and there exists a neighbor y of v in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $xy \in E(H(G', h+1))$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Hence either there exists a neighbor of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P , or there exists a neighbor of v in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of u in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P . W.l.o.g., suppose that there exists a neighbor x of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all

neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P . If there exists a neighbor x' of x in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $x'v \in E(H(G', h+1))$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Thus all neighbors of x in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and there is a path on at least $2n - 2k + 3$ vertices between x and v , and x, v in same part. By (ii) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 3 - 1, 2(d_P(x) + d_P(v) - 2)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(h + 2 + h + 2 - 2)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. ■

Claim 3. Let $l + 1 = \min\{|V(H(G', h+1)) \cap X'|, |V(H(G', h+1)) \cap Y'|\}$. Then $r \leq n - k - l \leq h$.

Proof. By the definition of $H(G', h+1)$, $l+1 \geq h+2$. Thus $n-k-l \leq h$. Next we show that $l \leq n-k-r$. Suppose $l+1 \geq n-k-r+2$. If $u \in V(G' - H(G', h+1))$, then u is not adjacent to at least one vertex in $H(G', h+1)$ such that they are in different parts. Otherwise, $u \in H(G', h+1)$. We pick $u \in V(G' - H(G', h+1))$ and $v \in V(H(G', h+1))$ satisfying the following three conditions: (a) u, v in different parts, (b) u and v are not adjacent, and (c) a longest path in G' from u to v contains the largest number of edges among such nonadjacent pairs. Let P be a longest path in G' from u to v . Clearly, $|V(P)| \geq 2n - 2k + 2$ as G' is edge maximal. If all neighbors of u in $G' - H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P , then by (i) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(r + 1 + n - k - r + 2 - 1)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. If there exists a neighbor x of u in $G' - H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and there exists a neighbor y of v in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $xy \in E(G')$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Hence either there exists a neighbor x of u in $G' - H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P , or there exists a neighbor y of v in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of u in $G' - H(G', h+1)$ lie in P . W.l.o.g., suppose that there exists a neighbor x of u in $G' - H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P . If there exists a neighbor x' of x in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $x'v \in E(H(G', h+1))$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Thus all neighbors of x in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and there is a path on at least $2n - 2k + 3$ vertices between x and v , and x, v in same part. By (ii) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 3 - 1, 2(d_P(x) + d_P(v) - 2)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(r + 1 + n - k - r + 2 - 2)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. Thus $l + 1 \leq n + 1 - k - r$. Therefore $r \leq n - k - l \leq h$. ■

Claim 4. $H(G', h+1) \neq H(G', n+1-k-l)$.

Proof. Suppose $H(G', h+1) = H(G', n+1-k-l)$. Note that x, y must be contained in $H(G', h+1)$ as x is adjacent to each vertex of Y' of G' and y is adjacent to each vertex of X' of G' . We divide the proof into the following two cases:

Case 1. $l + 1 = |V(H(G', h+1)) \cap X'|$.

Subcase 1.1. $s = t$. Firstly, in $H(G', h+1) = H(G', n+1-k-l)$, the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ that do not include x, y is at most $\binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{s}$. Secondly, in $G' - H(G', n+1-k-l)$, every vertex had at most $n - k - l$ neighbors that were not y at the time of its deletion. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,s}, G^*) &\leq \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{s} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \binom{n-k-l}{s} \binom{n-i}{s-1} \\
&= \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{s} \\
&= f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) \\
&\leq \max\{f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Subcase 1.2. $s \neq t$. Firstly, in $H(G', h+1) = H(G', n+1-k-l)$, the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ that do not include x, y is at most $\binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{t} + \binom{l}{t} \binom{b}{s}$. Secondly, in $G' - H(G', n+1-k-l)$, every vertex had at most $n - k - l$ neighbors that were not y at the time of its deletion. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,s}, G^*) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \binom{n-k-l}{s} \binom{n-i}{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \binom{n-k-l}{t} \binom{n-i}{s-1} + \\
&\quad \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{t} + \binom{l}{t} \binom{b}{s} \\
&= \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{n}{t} - \binom{l}{t} \right) + \binom{l}{t} \binom{b}{s} + \\
&\quad \binom{n-k-l}{t} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{t} \\
&= f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) \\
&\leq \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, h) + \\
&\quad f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 2. $l+1 = |V(H(G', h+1)) \cap Y'|$.

Subcase 2.1. $s = t$. Firstly, in $H(G', h+1) = H(G', n+1-k-l)$, the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ that do not include x, y is at most $\binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{s}$. Secondly, in $G' - H(G', n+1-k-l)$,

every vertex had at most $n - k - l$ neighbors that were not x at the time of its deletion. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,s}, G^*) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{b-l} \binom{n-k-l}{s} \binom{b-i}{s-1} + \binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{s} \\
&= \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{s} \\
&\leq \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{s} \\
&= f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) \\
&\leq \max\{f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Subcase 2.2. $s \neq t$. Firstly, in $H(G', h+1) = H(G', n+1-k-l)$, the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ that do not include x, y is at most $\binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{t} + \binom{l}{t} \binom{n}{s}$. Secondly, in $G' - H(G', n+1-k-l)$, every vertex had at most $n - k - l$ neighbors that were not x at the time of its deletion. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(K_{s,s}, G^*) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{b-l} \binom{n-k-l}{s} \binom{b-i}{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{b-l} \binom{n-k-l}{t} \binom{b-i}{s-1} + \\
&\quad \binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{t} + \binom{l}{t} \binom{n}{s} \\
&= \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{b}{t} - \binom{l}{t} \right) + \binom{l}{t} \binom{n}{s} + \\
&\quad \binom{n-k-l}{t} \left(\binom{b}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{n}{t} \\
&\leq \binom{n-k-l}{s} \left(\binom{n}{t} - \binom{l}{t} \right) + \binom{l}{t} \binom{b}{s} + \\
&\quad \binom{n-k-l}{t} \left(\binom{n}{s} - \binom{l}{s} \right) + \binom{l}{s} \binom{b}{t} \\
&= f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, n-k-l) \\
&\leq \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, h) + \\
&\quad f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2). ■

Claim 5. G' contains a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 2$.

Proof. Note that $H(G', h+1) \subseteq H(G', n+1-k-l)$. By Claim 4, $H(G', h+1)$ is a proper subgraph of $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ and there must be a vertex u in $H(G', h+1)$ and a vertex v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ that are nonadjacent and u, v in different parts. Among all such pairs of vertices, we choose $u \in V(H(G', h+1))$ and $v \in V(H(G', n+1-k-l))$ with u, v in different parts such that there is a longest path P between them. Then P contains at least $2n - 2k + 2$ vertices.

If all neighbors of u in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ lie in P , then by (i) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(d_P(u) + d_P(v) - 1)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(l+1+n+1-k-l+1-1)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. If there exists a neighbor x of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and there exists a neighbor y of v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $xy \in E(H(G', h+1))$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Hence either there exists a neighbor x of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ lie in P , or there exists a neighbor y of v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of u in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P . W.l.o.g., suppose that there exists a neighbor x of u in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P and all neighbors of v in $H(G', n+1-k-l)$ lie in P . If there exists a neighbor x' of x in $H(G', h+1)$ not lie in P , then by the maximality of P , $x'v \in E(H(G', h+1))$. Then G' has a cycle of length at least $2n - 2k + 4$, a contradiction. Thus all neighbors of x in $H(G', h+1)$ lie in P and there is a path on at least $2n - 2k + 3$ vertices between x and v , and x, v in same part. By (ii) of Lemma 3.3, G' has a cycle of length at least $\min\{2n - 2k + 3 - 1, 2(d_P(x) + d_P(v) - 2)\} = \min\{2n - 2k + 2, 2(l+1+n+1-k-l+1-2)\} = 2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction. ■

Claim 5 contradicts our assumption. Hence the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Let $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k-1}{2} \rfloor$. Let X, Y be the two partition sets of G with $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$. Suppose for contradiction that G contains no path on $2n - 2k$ vertices and

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Then G contains no cycle of length $2n - 2k$ or more. If G contains a cycle C of length $2n - 2k - 2$, then $|V(C) \cup X| = n - k - 1$ and $|V(C) \cup Y| = n - k - 1$. Since G is connected, we can get a path on $2n - 2k$ vertices, a contradiction. Thus G contains no cycle of length $2n - 2k - 2$ or more. Then by Theorem 2.1,

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) \leq \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k-1, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k-1, h)\}, & s \neq t, \end{cases}$$

a contradiction to (3).

Next we give very short proof of Theorem 2.3. Our proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem in [17]. We give only a sketch and omit the details. Similar to Theorem 2.3, we have Theorem 2.4 and skip the details of the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.3: Let $n \geq k \geq 5$ and $h = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$. Let G be an edge-maximal counter-example, i.e., adding any additional edge to G creates a cycle of length at least k and

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \max\{g_{s,t}(n, k, r), g_{s,t}(n, k, h)\}. \quad (4)$$

Thus for each pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v of G , there exists a path on at least k vertices between u and v . We have that

Claim 1 ([17]). $H(G, h)$ is not empty.

Claim 2 ([17]). $H(G, h)$ is a clique.

The main differences come from Claims 3 and 4, whose proofs need the minimum degree condition and a new function.

Claim 3. Let $\ell = |V(H(G, h))|$. Then $r \leq k - \ell \leq h$.

Proof. Since each vertex of $H(G, h)$ has degree at least $h + 1$, we have $\ell \geq h + 2$. Thus $k - \ell \leq h$. Next we show that $\ell \leq k - r$, where $\delta(G) \geq r$. Suppose $\ell \geq k - r + 1$. If $x \in V(G) \setminus V(H(G, h))$, then x is not adjacent to at least one vertex in $H(G, h)$. Otherwise, $x \in H(G, h)$. We pick $x \in V(G) \setminus V(H(G, h))$ and $y \in V(H(G, h))$ satisfying the following two conditions: (a) x and y are not adjacent, and (b) a longest path in G from x to y contains the largest number of edges among such nonadjacent pairs. Let P be a longest path in G from x to y . Then by the maximality of P , all neighbors of x in $H(G, h)$ lie in P : if x has a neighbor $x' \in H(G, h) - P$, then either $x'y \in E(G)$ and $x'P$ is a cycle of length at least k , or $x'y \notin E(G)$ and so $x'P$ is a longer path. Similarly, all neighbors of y in $H(G, h)$ lie in P . Then by Lemma 3.1, G has a cycle of length at least $\min\{k, d_P(x) + d_P(y)\} = \min\{k, r + k - r\} = k$, a contradiction. Thus $\ell \leq k - r$. Therefore $r \leq k - \ell \leq h$. ■

Claim 4. $H(G, h) \neq H(G, k - \ell)$.

Proof. Suppose $H(G, h) = H(G, k - \ell)$. We divide the proof into the following two cases:

Case 1. $s = t$. Firstly, the number of copies of $K_{s,s}$ contained in $H(G, h) = H(G, k - \ell)$ is at most $\frac{1}{2} \binom{\ell}{2s} \binom{2s}{s}$. Secondly, in $G - H(G, k - \ell)$, every vertex had at most $k - \ell$

neighbors at the time of its deletion. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} N(K_{s,s}, G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-\ell} \binom{k-\ell}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{\ell}{2s} \binom{2s}{s} \\ &= g_{s,s}(n, k, k-\ell) \\ &\leq \max \{g_{s,s}(n, k, r), g_{s,s}(n, k, h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (4). Thus $H(G, h) \neq H(G, k-\ell)$.

Case 2. $s \neq t$. Similarly, we count the number of copies of $K_{s,t}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N(K_{s,t}, G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-\ell} \left(\binom{k-\ell}{s} \binom{n-s-i}{t-1} + \binom{k-\ell}{t} \binom{n-t-i}{s-1} \right) + \\ &\quad \binom{\ell}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s} \\ &= g_{s,t}(n, k, k-\ell) \\ &\leq \max \{g_{s,t}(n, k, r), g_{s,t}(n, k, h)\} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (1). Hence $H(G, h) \neq H(G, k-\ell)$. ■

Claim 5 ([17]). G contains a cycle of length at least k .

Claim 5 contradicts our assumption. The proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete.

4 Future Work

It is natural to raise the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1 *Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) . Suppose $|X| = n \leq |Y| = b$, $h = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ and $\delta(G) \geq r \geq 1$, where $n \geq 2k + 2r$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

$$N(K_{s,t}, G) > \begin{cases} \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r), f_{s,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}, & s = t, \\ \max\{f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, r) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, r), \\ f_{s,t}(b, n, n-k, h) + f_{t,s}(b, n, n-k, h)\}, & s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

then G contains a cycle of length $2n - 2k$.

Recently, Li and Ning [15], and independently, Füredi, Kostochka and Luo [10] proved a stability version of Theorem 1.3. It would be interesting, though, to prove a stability version of Theorem 2.1 or Conjecture 4.1, if it is true.

References

- [1] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman, Many T copies in H -free graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 121 (2016), 146-172.
- [2] J. Adamus and L. Adamus, Ore and Erdős type conditions for long cycles in balanced bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 11:2 (2009), 57-70.
- [3] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 10(3-4) (1959), 337-356.
- [4] P. Erdős, Remarks on a paper of Pósa, *Magy. Tud. Akad. Mat. Kut. Intéz. Köz* 7 (1962), 227-229.
- [5] D. Gerbner and E. Győri, A. Methuku and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán numbers for even cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 145 (2020), 169-213.
- [6] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer, Generalized Turán problems for disjoint copies of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 342(11) (2019), 3130-3141.
- [7] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer, Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F -free graphs, *European J. Combin.* 82 (2019), 103001.
- [8] L. Gishboliner and A. Shapira, A generalized Turán problem and its applications, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2020(11) (2020), 3417-3452.
- [9] E. Győri and H. Li, The maximum number of triangles in C_{2k+1} -free graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 102 (2012), 1061-1066.
- [10] Z. Füredi, A. Kostochka and R. Luo, A stability version for a theorem of Erdős on nonhamiltonian graphs, *Discrete Math.* 340 (2017), 2688-2690.
- [11] B. Jackson, Cycles in bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 30 (1981), 332-342.
- [12] B. Jackson, Long cycles in bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 38 (1985), 118-131.
- [13] G. N. Kopylov, Maximal paths and cycles in a graph, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 234 19-21. English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 18 (1977), 593-596.
- [14] B. Li and B. Ning, Exact bipartite Turán numbers of large even cycles, *J. Graph Theory* 97 (2021), 642-656.
- [15] B. Li and B. Ning: Spectral analogues of Erdős' and Moon-Moser's theorems on Hamilton cycles, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 64 (2016), 2252-2269.

- [16] R. Luo, The maximum number of cliques in graphs without long cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 128 (2017), 219-226.
- [17] C.H. Lu, L.T. Yuan and P. Zhang, The Maximum Number of Copies of $K_{r,s}$ in Graphs Without Long Cycles or Paths, *Electron. J. Combin.* 28(4) (2021).
- [18] J. Moon and L. Moser, On Hamiltonian bipartite graphs, *Israel J. Math.* 1 (3) (1963) 163-165.
- [19] J. Ma and Y. Qiu, Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers, *European J. Combin.* 84 (2020) 103026.
- [20] B. Ning and X. Peng, Extensions of the Erdős-Gallai theorem and Luo's theorem, *Comb. Probab. Comput.* 29 (2020), 128-136.
- [21] O. Ore, Arc coverings of graphs, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 55 (1961), 315-321.
- [22] L. Pósa, A theorem concerning Hamilton lines, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl* 7 (1962), 225-226.
- [23] J. Wang, The shifting method and generalized Turán number of matchings, *European J. Combin.* 85 (2020), 103057.