

LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR OVER SEMIGLOBAL FIELDS

PHILIPPE GILLE AND RAMAN PARIMALA

ABSTRACT. We compare different local-global principles for torsors under a reductive group G defined over a semiglobal field F . In particular if the F -group G is a retract rational F -variety, we prove that the local global principle holds for the completions with respect to divisorial valuations of F .

Keywords: Local-global principle, curves over local fields, torsors, reductive groups.

MSC 2000: 11G99, 14G99, 14G05, 11E72, 11E12, 20G35

1. INTRODUCTION

The theme is the arithmetic theory of torsors over a semiglobal field. It had been initiated by Hartmann, Harbater and Krashen [H-H-K] and developed further [C-T-O-H-H-K-P-S2, C-T-P-S, H-H-K2, P-S, R-S].

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k . Let $p \geq 1$ be the characteristic exponent of k . Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve over K . Let $F = K(X)$ be the function field of X and let t be a uniformizing parameter of T . Let \mathfrak{X} be a normal model of F , i.e. a normal connected projective T -curve with function field F . We denote by Y the closed fiber of \mathfrak{X} and fix a separable closure F_s of F .

Given an affine algebraic F -group G , we can study the local-global principle for G -torsors with respect to the infinite set of overfields F_P that arise from completions at the points P of Y . This gives rise to the Tate-Shafarevich set

$$\text{III}_{\mathfrak{X}}(F, G) = \ker \left(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in Y} H^1(F_P, G) \right);$$

it is denoted simply by $\text{III}_X(F, G)$ in [H-H-K2]. Using Lipman's resolution of singularities permits to consider the inductive limit

$$\text{III}_{\text{patch}}(F, G) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{X}} \text{III}_{\mathfrak{X}}(F, G)$$

Date: June 26, 2024.

The author was supported by the project "Group schemes, root systems, and related representations" funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU through Romania's National Recovery and Resilience Plan (PNRR) call no. PNRR-III-C9-2023- I8, Project CF159/31.07.2023, and coordinated by the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitalization (MCID) of Romania.

taken on the models (or equivalently on the regular models). On the other hand, we consider the completions associated to the set $\Omega_{F,div}$ of divisorial valuations on F arising of various regular projective models over T of the curve X . It gives rise to the

$$\mathbb{H}_{div}(F, G) = \ker\left(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_{F,div}} H^1(F_v, G)\right);$$

In view of [H-H-K2, prop. 8.2], we have the following inclusion

$$\mathbb{H}_{patch}(F, G) \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{div}(F, G).$$

The main result of the paper is to show (under some condition on p with respect to G), that the two Tate-Shafarevich sets coincide (Thm. 3.4). If furthermore G is a F -rational variety (or even retract rational F -variety), we have that $\mathbb{H}_{patch}(F, G) = 1$ according to Harbater-Krashen-Hartmann [H-H-K2, Thm. 9.1] (see [K, Thm. 2.2.4] for the extension to the retract rational case) so that $\mathbb{H}_{div}(F, G) = 1$ in this case. It means that if G is a retract rational F -variety that the local-global principle holds for G -torsors with respect to divisorial valuations on F .

This was known for certain classical groups [R-S, P-S]. As in [G-P], the proof uses the technique of loop torsors which requires to deal with group schemes defined over T . To do so, for a given Chevalley group G_0 over \mathbb{Z} , we explain in the first section why the $G_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(G_0)$ -torsors permit to classify couples (E, G) where G is a form of G_0 and E a G -torsor.

Acknowledgements.

2. PAIRS OF A GROUP SCHEME TOGETHER WITH A TORSOR

The following is a complement to the ‘‘sorites’’ of [G1]. We work in the setting of fppf sheaves on a base scheme S . We consider the groupoid \mathfrak{P} whose objects are pairs (E, G) where G is a fppf S -sheaf in groups and E a G -torsor; the morphisms between two pairs (E_1, G_1) and (E_2, G_2) are pairs of isomorphisms $f = (f', f'')$ where $f'' : G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$ is an S -isomorphism of S -sheaves in groups and $f' : E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2$ an S -isomorphism of sheaves such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times_S G_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \\ \downarrow f' \times f'' & & \downarrow f' \\ E_2 \times_S G_2 & \longrightarrow & E_2. \end{array}$$

Note that it induces an isomorphism $f_* : {}^{E_1}G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{E_2}G_2$ between the associated twisted group sheaves.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be an S -sheaf in groups and let E be a G -torsor over S . We denote by ${}^E G$ the twisted S -sheaf of G by E by inner automorphisms.*

(1) *We have a natural exact sequence of fppf S -sheaves in groups*

$$1 \rightarrow {}^E G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(E, G) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(G) \rightarrow 1$$

which is locally split for the flat topology.

(2) *If $E = G$ the sequence above splits and the S -functor $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(G, G)$ is isomorphic to $G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G)$.*

Proof. We prove the two assertions in the same time. The projection $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(E, G) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(G)$ is a homomorphism of S -sheaves in groups. On the other hand we have a monomorphism ${}^E G = \text{Aut}_G(E) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(E, G)$, $f'' \mapsto (f'', id)$. The fact that the sequence is exact at the middle term is the fact [Gd, cor. 2.3.8].

We consider now the case $E = G$. In this case the sequence above splits by $f'' \mapsto (f'', f'')$ hence an isomorphism $G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Aut}}(G, G)$. Coming back to the general case it implies that the projection $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(E, G) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(G)$ is an epimorphism of S -sheaves. \square

Given a fppf S -sheaf in groups G , we can apply [G1, lemme 2.6.3] to express the cohomology

$$(2.1) \quad H^1(S, G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigsqcup_{[G'] \in H^1(S, \underline{\text{Aut}}(G))} H^1(S, G') / \underline{\text{Aut}}(G')(S).$$

by using the fact that $H^1(S, \underline{\text{Aut}}(G))$ classifies the S -forms of G . The action on $\underline{\text{Aut}}(G')(S)$ on $H^1(S, G')$ is the natural one so that the map $H^1(S, G') \rightarrow H^1(S, G') / \underline{\text{Aut}}(G')(S)$ has trivial kernel so that the composite $H^1(S, G') \rightarrow H^1(S, G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G))$ has trivial kernel. This map is nothing but the composition

$$H^1(S, G') \rightarrow H^1(S, G' \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G')) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Torsion bijection}} H^1(S, G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G))$$

where the torsion bijection is with respect to the $\underline{\text{Aut}}(G)$ -torsor $\underline{\text{Isom}}(G, G')$. Summarizing the decomposition (2.1) shows that $H^1(S, G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G))$ encodes the classes of torsors for all twisted forms of G . The map $\text{int} : G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(G)$ extends to

$$u : G \rtimes \underline{\text{Aut}}(G) \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(G) \rtimes^c \underline{\text{Aut}}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(G),$$

$$(g, f) \quad \mapsto \quad (\text{int}(g), f)$$

$$(f_1, f_2) \quad \mapsto \quad (f_1 f_2, f_2)$$

where c stands for the conjugacy action. In terms of objects classified by the respective torsors, u_* applies a pair (E', G') to $({}^{E'}G', G')$

3. PATCHING TECHNIQUES

We recall the setting. Let T be a complete (excellent) discrete valuation ring with fraction field K , residue field k and uniformizing parameter t . Let F be a one-variable function field over K and let \mathfrak{X} be a normal model of F , i.e. a normal connected projective T -curve with function field F . We denote by Y the closed fiber of \mathfrak{X} and fix a separable closure F_s of F .

For each point $P \in Y$, let R_P be the local ring of \mathfrak{X} at P ; its completion \widehat{R}_P is a domain with fraction field denoted by F_P .

For each subset U of Y that is contained in an irreducible component of Y and does not meet the other components, we define $R_U = \bigcap_{P \in U} R_P \subset F$. We denote by

\widehat{R}_U the t -adic completion of R_U . The rings R_U and \widehat{R}_U are excellent normal domains and we denote by F_U the fraction field of \widehat{R}_U [H-H-K3, Remark 3.2.(b)].

Each height one prime \mathfrak{p} in \widehat{R}_P that contains t defines a branch of Y at P lying on some irreducible component of Y . The t -adic completion $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the local ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \widehat{R}_P at \mathfrak{p} is a complete DVR whose fraction field is denoted by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The field $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains also F_U if U is an irreducible open subset of Y such that $P \in \overline{U} \setminus U$. We have then a diagram of fields

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_{\mathfrak{p}} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \nwarrow & \\ F_P & & F_U. \end{array}$$

Setting 3.1. Let \mathcal{P} be a non-empty finite set of closed points of Y that contains all the closed points at which distinct irreducible components meet. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of connected components of $Y \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and let \mathcal{B} be the set of branches of Y at points of \mathcal{P} . This yields a finite inverse system of field $F_P, F_U, F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (for $P \in \mathcal{P}$; $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$) where $F_P, F_U \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if \mathfrak{p} is a branch of Y at P lying in the closure of U .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \rightarrow J \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of smooth T -group schemes where G is reductive and J is twisted constant. Let E_1 (resp. E_2) be a \widetilde{G} -torsor over F admitting a reduction to a finite étale T -subgroup of S_1 (resp. S_2) of \widetilde{G} with prime to p order.*

Assume that $E_{1, F_v} \cong E_{2, F_v}$ for any divisorial discrete valuation v on F . Then there exists a regular model Ω of F as above together with Y, \mathcal{P} , etc. such that $E_{1, F_P} \cong E_{2, F_P}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $E_{1, F_U} \cong E_{2, F_U}$ for all connected components U of $Y \setminus \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We consider the F -scheme $Z = \text{Isom}_{\widetilde{G}}(E_1, E_2)$. Our assumption is that $Z(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all divisorial discrete valuations v on F .

Let V_i be an $S_{i,F}$ -torsor such that $V_i \wedge^{S_{i,F}} \tilde{G} \cong E_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. According to [G-P, lemma 5.1] there exists a regular proper model \mathfrak{X} of X and a strict normal crossing divisor D containing the irreducible components of Y such that V_i extends to a $\mathfrak{X} \setminus D$ -torsor \mathfrak{V}_i under S_i . We are given a closed point $P \in Y$. If y is of codimension one, by hypothesis, $Z(F_y)$ is not empty. We therefore look at a closed point $P \in Y$. We pick a height one prime \mathfrak{p} in \widehat{R}_P that contains t . It defines a branch of Y at P lying on some irreducible component Y_1 of Y .

We consider the local ring $A = R_P$ of \mathfrak{X} at P and denote by A_D its localization at D . Since $S_{i,T}$ is finite étale of degree prime to p , $H^1(A_D, S_i)$ consists in loop torsors as defined in [G2, §2.3, lemma 2.3.(2)], i.e. those arising from cocycles related to tame Galois covers of A_D . It follows that the A_D -torsors $\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2$ under \tilde{G} are loop (*ibid*, lemma 5.3.(3)).

Let $F_{P,v}$ be the completion of the field F_P for the valuation associated to the blow-up of $\text{Spec}(A)$ at its closed point. Our assumption states in particular that $Z(F_{P,v}) \neq \emptyset$. According to [G3, Thm. 4.5], the map

$$H_{loop}^1(A_D, \tilde{G}) \rightarrow H^1(F_{P,v}, \tilde{G})$$

is injective. It follows that $\text{Isom}_{\tilde{G}_{A_D}}(\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2)(A_D) \neq \emptyset$. A fortiori $Z(F_P) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a reductive F -algebraic group and let G_0 be the underlying Chevalley reductive \mathbb{Z} -group scheme. Let E be a G -torsor and assume that the $\text{Aut}(G_0)$ -torsor $\underline{\text{Isom}}(G_0, G)$ admits a reduction to a finite étale T -subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G_0)$ of prime to p order and that the $G_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(G_0)$ -torsors (G, G) (resp. (E, G)) admits a reduction to a finite étale T -subgroup of $G_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(G_0)$ of prime to p order. Then the two following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a regular proper model \mathfrak{X} of X with special fiber $Y = \mathfrak{X}_k$ such that for every point $y \in Y$, then $E(F_y) \neq \emptyset$.*
- (ii) *For each divisorial discrete valuation v on F , we have $E(F_v) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. The implication (i) \implies (ii) is a general fact, see [H-H-K-P, Thm. 3.4]. We prove then (ii) \implies (i) assuming that $E(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for each discrete divisorial valuation v on F .

We shall apply Proposition 3.2 to the $G_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(G_0)$ -torsors $E_1 = \underline{\text{Isom}}_{\mathfrak{p}}((G_0, G_0), (G, G))$ and $E_2 = \underline{\text{Isom}}_{\mathfrak{p}}((G_0, G_0), (E, G))$. By means of the splitting of $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{p}}(G_0, G_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$, we observe that E_1 admits a reduction to a finite étale T -subgroup of $G_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(G_0)$ of prime to p order.

Assume that $E_{1,F_v} \cong E_{2,F_v}$ for any divisorial discrete valuation v on F . Proposition 3.2 provides a regular model \mathfrak{Q} of F as above together with Y, \mathcal{P} , etc. such that $E_{1,F_P} \cong E_{2,F_P}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $E_{1,F_U} \cong E_{2,F_U}$ for all connected components U of $Y \setminus \mathcal{P}$. It follows that for every point $y \in Y$, then $E(F_y) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a reductive F -algebraic group and let G_0 be the underlying Chevalley reductive \mathbb{Z} -group scheme. Assume that p does not divide the order of the Weyl group W_0 of G_0 and that G becomes an inner form of G_0 after a Galois prime to p extension of F .*

(1) *We have $\text{III}_{\text{patch}}(F, G) = \text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G)$;*

(2) *If G is a retract rational F -variety, then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = 1$.*

Proof. (1) We know that $\text{III}_{\text{patch}}(F, G) \subset \text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G)$. To establish the converse inclusion, we are given a G -torsor E such that $E(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for each divisorial discrete valuation v . We need to check the conditions for applying Proposition 3.3. We start with the isomorphism class $[G] \in H^1(F, \text{Aut}(G_0))$ by using the sequence $1 \rightarrow G_{0,ad} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0) \rightarrow 1$ where $\text{Out}(G_0)$ is a constant \mathbb{Z} -group scheme. Since $H^1(F, \text{Out}(G_0)) = \text{Hom}_{ct}(\text{Gal}(F_s/F), \text{Out}(G_0)(\mathbb{Z})) / \sim$, the image of $[G]$ is represented by a continuous homomorphism $u : \text{Gal}(F_s/F) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0)(\mathbb{Z})$ whose kernel defines a Galois extension F'/F which is the minimal subextension of F_s making G an inner form. Our assumption implies that $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ is of prime to p degree and so that $\Gamma = u(\text{Gal}(F_s/F))$ is a finite subgroup of $\text{Out}(G_0)(\mathbb{Z})$ of order prime to p . We denote by $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0) = \text{Aut}(G_0) \times_{\text{Out}(G_0)} \Gamma$; by construction $[G]$ arises from a cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(F, \text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0))$. According to [C-G-R, Thm. 1.2] applied to the ring \mathbb{Z} , there exists a finite \mathbb{Z} -subgroup S of $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0)$ such that the map $H^1(F, S) \rightarrow H^1(F, \text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0))$ is onto. Further by the same statement, we can take $S \subset \text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0, T_0)$ where T_0 is a maximal split \mathbb{Z} -torus of G_0 fitting in an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow {}_n(T_0) \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0, T_0)/T_0 \rightarrow 1$ where n divides the square of the order of the finite group $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0, T_0)/T_0$. We have a sequence $1 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0, T_0)/T_0 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ so that $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0, T_0)/T_0$ is finite constant of prime to p order. It implies that ${}_n(T_0)$ is a finite diagonalisable \mathbb{Z} -group scheme whose base change to T is étale. Altogether S_T is a finite étale T -subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(G_0)_T$ of prime to p order such that γ admits a reduction to S_T . A fortiori, $[G] \in H^1(F, \text{Aut}(G_0))$ admits a reduction to S_T .

The same method works as well for the class $[(E, G)] \in H^1(F, G_0 \times \text{Aut}(G_0))$ by using the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G_0 \rtimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G_{0,ad} \rightarrow G_0 \rtimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Aut}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0) \rightarrow 1$ and provides a finite étale T -subgroup of S' of $(G_0 \rtimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Aut}(G_0))_T$ of prime to p -order such that the $G_0 \rtimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Aut}(G_0)$ -torsor over $\text{Spec}(F)$ arises from a S' -torsor.

Proposition 3.3, (ii) \implies (i), applies and shows that there exists a regular proper model \mathfrak{X} of X with special fiber $Y = \mathfrak{X}_k$ such that for every point $y \in Y$, then $E(F_y) \neq \emptyset$. In other words $[E]$ belongs in $\text{III}_{\mathfrak{X}}(F, G)$ and a fortiori to $\text{III}_{\text{patch}}(F, G)$.

(2) If G is a retract rational F -variety, we have that $\text{III}_{\text{patch}}(F, G) = 1$ [H-H-K2, Thm. 9.1] (see [K, Thm. 2.2.4] for the extension to the retract rational case). The first part of the statement enables us to conclude that $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = 1$ in this case. \square

Remarks 3.5. (a) If G is a torus, the condition is that the minimal splitting extension of T is of prime to p degree. Note that for norm one tori, the main theorem had been established by Sumit Chandra Mishra [M, Thm. 5.1].

(b) The assumptions are not p are not sharp. For example, if G is semisimple of type G_2 , the statement excludes the primes 2 and 3 but excluding 2 is enough. The point is that we can deal with the subgroup $\mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ in the proof.

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a semisimple quasi-split F -group which is quasi-split by a Galois prime to p field extension. Assume that p does not divide the order of W_0 . In each case*

(i) G is split;

(ii) G is simply connected or adjoint;

we have $\text{III}_{div}(F, G) = 1$.

Proof. Such a F -group is a rational K -variety so the result follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

This applies as well to many other groups in view of the rationality results of Chernousov-Platonov [C-P] and others. This is the case for example for an isotropic k -group of type F_4 .

4. OTHER TATE-SCHAFAREVICH SETS

Given an affine algebraic F -group G , we can also study the local-global principle for G -torsors with respect to the following set of completions of F :

(1) Completions associated to the set Ω_F of all non-trivial T -valuations on F (i.e., those whose valuation ring contains T).

(2) Completions associated to the set Ω_F^1 of all non-trivial rank one T -valuations on F .

(3) Completions associated to the set $\Omega_{F,dvr}^1$ of all non-trivial rank one discrete T -valuations on F .

We have $\Omega_{F,div} \subset \Omega_{F,dvr}^1 \subset \Omega_F^1 \subset \Omega_F$. This leads to three Tate-Shafarevich sets as for example $\text{III}_{dvr}^1(F, G) = \ker\left(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_{dvr}} H^1(F_v, G)\right)$ which is denoted simply by $\text{III}^1(F, G)$ in the references [C-T-P-S, H-H-K2]. We have the following inclusions

$$\text{III}_{\Omega_F}^1(F, G) \subset \text{III}_{\Omega_F^1}^1(F, G) \subset \text{III}_{patch}^1(F, G) \subset \text{III}_{dvr}^1(F, G) \subset \text{III}_{div}^1(F, G).$$

According to [H-H-K-P, Thm. 3.4], we have $\text{III}_{\Omega_F}^1(F, G) = \text{III}_{\Omega_F^1}^1(F, G) = \text{III}_{patch}^1(F, G)$.

The fact that $\text{III}_{\Omega_F}^1(F, G) = \text{III}_{\Omega_F^1}^1(F, G) = \text{III}_{dvr}^1(F, G)$ is known in two situations requiring the residue field k to be algebraically closed [H-H-K2, Thm. 8.10, cases (i) and (iii)].

Coming back to the present paper, the of Theorem 3.4 has then the following consequence.

Corollary 4.1. *under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, we have then*

$$\mathbb{H}_{\Omega_F}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{\Omega_F^1}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{patch}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{div}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{div}^1(F, G)$$

and those sets are trivial when G is a retract F -rational variety.

The constant case. This the case when we deal with a reductive T -group scheme G . In this case, in view of [H-H-K2, Thm. 8.10, case (ii)] (see also of [C-T-O-H-H-K-P-S2, Thm. 3.2]), there is no need of any condition on the characteristic exponent p of the residue field k for having $\mathbb{H}_{patch}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{div}^1(F, G)$. Inspection of the proof shows that only divisorial valuations of F are involved so that $\mathbb{H}_{patch}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}_{div}^1(F, G)$. This applies in particular when G_F is a retract rational F -variety; since $\mathbb{H}_{patch}^1(F, G) = 1$, we have that $\mathbb{H}_{div}^1(F, G) = 1$ in characteristic free. The interest of our result is then mostly for the non-constant case.

REFERENCES

- [C-G-R] V. Chernousov, P. Gille, Z. Reichstein, *Reduction of structure for torsors over semi-local rings*, Manuscripta Mathematica **126** (2008), 465-480.
- [C-P] V. Chernousov, V.P. Platonov, *The rationality problem for semisimple group varieties*, J. reine angew. math. **504** (1998), 1-28.
- [C-T-O-H-H-K-P-S2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Local-Global Principles for Constant Reductive Groups over Semi-Global Fields*, Michigan Math. Journal **72** (2022), 77-144.
- [C-T-P-S] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Patching and local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over function fields of p -adic curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), 1011-1033.
- [G1] P. Gille, *Sur la classification des schémas en groupes semi-simples*, "Autour des schémas en groupes, IIP", Panoramas et Synthèses **47**(2015), 39-110.
- [G2] P. Gille, *Loop group schemes and Abhyankar lemma*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences **362** (2024), 159-166.
- [G3] P. Gille, *Loop torsors and Abhyankar lemma*, preprint hal-04621048.
- [G-P] P. Gille, R. Parimala, *A local-global principle for twisted flag varieties*, preprint (2023), arXiv:2301.07572.
- [Gd] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non-abélienne*, Springer (1970).
- [H-H-K] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, *Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 231-263.
- [H-H-K2] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, *Local-global principles for torsors over arithmetic curves*, Amer. J. Math. **137** (2015), 1559-1612.
- [H-H-K3] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, *Refinements to patching and applications to field invariants*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **20** (2015), 10399-10450.
- [H-H-K-P] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, V. Karemaker, F. Pop, *A comparison between obstructions to local-global principles over semi-global fields*, Abelian varieties and number theory, 135-146, Contemp. Math. **767** (2020), Amer. Math. Soc., RI.

- [K] D. Krashen, *Field patching, factorization, and local-global principles*, Dev. Math., 18 Springer, New York, 2010, 57-82.
- [M] Sumit Chandra Mishra, *Local-global principles for norm one tori over semi-global fields*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **298** (2021) 1-21.
- [P-S] R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Local-global principle for classical groups over function fields of p -adic curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **97** (2022), 255-304.
- [R-S] B. Surendranath Reddy, V. Suresh, *Admissibility of groups over function fields of p -adic curves*, Adv. Math. **237** (2013), 316-330.

UMR 5208 INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN - UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX - FRANCE

AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW" OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21 CALEA GRIVITEI STREET, 010702 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: `gille@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, MSC W401, 400 DOWMAN DR. EMORY UNIVERSITY ATLANTA, GA 30322 USA

Email address: `parimala.raman@emory.edu`