

A unifying separability criterion based on extended correlation tensor

Xiaofen Huang^{1,*}, Tinggui Zhang¹, Naihuan Jing^{2,3}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China

² Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC27695, USA

³ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

* Correspondence to huangxf1206@163.com

Abstract

Entanglement is fundamental inasmuch because it rephrases the quest for the classical-quantum demarcation line, and it also has potentially enormous practical applications in modern information technology. In this work, employing the approach of matrix decomposition, we introduce and formulate a practicable criterion for separability based on the correlation tensor. It is interesting that this criterion unifies several relevant separability criteria proposed before, even stronger than some of them. Theoretical analysis and detailed examples demonstrate its availability and feasibility for entanglement detection. Furthermore we build a family of entanglement witnesses using the criterion according to its linearity in the density operator space.

Keywords: Quantum entanglement; Separability criteria; Correlation tensor; Trace norm

1 Introduction

Quantum entanglement is an intrinsic feature of composite quantum systems and is rooted in the superposition principle of quantum mechanics, which is widely recognized as a significant practical resource for quantum information processing, like quantum teleportation [1], quantum algorithms [2, 3] and quantum cryptography [4], that will enable a “quantum computer” to outperform classical devices, in this sense, it is possible to herald a new technological revolution.

Both theoretically and experimentally, considerable efforts have been made to understand entanglement hidden in compound systems consisting of distinguishable quantum states. However, there are still many challenging issues remain unsolved, one of the key problems is to answer in full generality whether a given quantum state is entangled or not. Mathematically, a bipartite quantum state ρ acting on the compound quantum system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is called separable,

if it has a convex representation of product states

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B, \quad (1)$$

where p_i is a probability distribution, ρ_i^A and ρ_i^B are the density matrices of subsystems \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B respectively, otherwise ρ is entangled.

In recent decades, a number of meaningful approaches to detect entanglement have been proposed. In case of full information for density matrix, the celebrated PPT criterion [5, 6] is a strong operable and feasible approach for entanglement detection, which claims that a state is separable if and only if it is a positive partial transpose for low dimensional systems. However, there are some entangled states escaped from the PPT criterion in higher-dimensional systems. As a generalization of the PPT criterion, entanglement monotonicity is put forward in terms of moments of the partially transposed density matrix [7–9]. The advantage of the PT-moments is that they can be experimentally measured through global random unitary matrices [10] or local randomized measurements [11] based on quantum shadow estimation [12].

Essentially, the partial transpose matrix ρ^τ endowed with elements $(\rho^\tau)_{ij,kl} = (\rho)_{il,kj}$ is from the partial transpose transformation with respect to subsystem B . Permutating the indicators of $\rho_{ij,kl}$, we can obtain the realigned matrix ρ^R with elements $(\rho^R)_{ij,kl} = (\rho)_{ik,jl}$. Realignment criteria [13] is another vital approach for detecting entanglement. Besides the PT-moments criterion, there are also many other criteria based on moments such as the one based on rearrangement moments [14–16] and the Λ -moment criterion [17] proposed recently.

Furthermore, there are additional criteria [18–20] that are simple for applications and in particular allow us to distinguish many PPT entangled states. The prominent examples are the correlation matrix (CM) and computable cross norm (CCNR) criteria [21, 22] based on the correlation tensor. The CCNR criterion exhibits a powerful capability in detecting PPT entanglement. It has been reformulated in several forms and also extended to multipartite systems [23]. The correlation tensor reveals insights on nonlocality of the quantum system, thus it helps characterizing and quantifying entanglement. Separability criteria based on correlation tensors were also analyzed in Ref. [24–32] and so on. Experimental detection of entanglement is facilitated by the entanglement witness that is expressible as a linear Hermitian operator with at least one negative eigenvalue [33–36], that has a nonnegative mean value for separable states, and that carries a negative mean value for entangled states. The universal approach involving

witnesses provides a necessary and sufficient condition to detect entanglement. Entanglement witness can also be used to characterize quantum nonlocality, and it has emerged as an effective method to study quantum state discrimination [37, 38].

In this work we present a computable separability criterion based on the Bloch representation of density matrix, and analyze its capability of detecting entanglement. By constructing an extended correlation tensor via orthogonal bases of subsystems, our separability criteria turns out in alignment with several existing approaches such as de Vicente's criterion [21], CCNR [22] and Li's criterion [24], thus it appears to be an unifying method to detect entanglement. A detailed example illustrates its superiority in analyzing entanglement. Moreover, this approach also provides a family of entanglement witnesses due to the linearity of the trace function.

2 Separability criterion for bipartite systems

Let us begin by recalling some basic definitions and notations. Let $\{G_i\}$ denote a fixed basis of orthogonal operators in the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ endowed with orthogonal relation $(G_i, G_j) = \kappa\delta_{ij}$, where κ is a positive number no less than one, and the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product is defined as usual: $(X, Y) := \text{Tr}(X^\dagger Y)$. Any state ρ acting on the quantum system \mathcal{H}_d with dimensional d can be rewritten relative to the basis $\{G_i\}$ in the form

$$\rho = \frac{1}{d}I + \sum_i \nu_i G_i. \quad (2)$$

The Bloch vector $\mathbf{v} := (\nu_i)$ lies in the space \mathbb{C}^{d^2-1} , and the trace condition $\text{Tr}\rho^2 \leq 1$ implies that the length of the Bloch vector fulfills the inequality $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \frac{d-1}{\kappa d}$.

Consider further a bipartite quantum system $\mathcal{H}_{d_A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{d_B}$ with the subsystems of dimensions d_A and d_B respectively. Choose an arbitrary traceless orthogonal basis $\{G_i^A\}$ in the operator space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ such that $(G_i^A, G_j^A) = \kappa_A\delta_{ij}$, and similarly $\{G_j^B\}$ is a likewise basis of the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ with $(G_i^B, G_j^B) = \kappa_B\delta_{ij}$. For simplicity we assume that $G_0^A = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_A}{d_A}}I_A$ and $G_0^B = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_B}{d_B}}I_B$. It is worth noting that the operator bases mentioned above should be traceless but not essential for hermiticity, known as the unitary Heisenberg-Weyl operators basis [39] and the polarization operators basis [40].

For a given bipartite state ρ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_B}$, one can decompose it in terms of the orthogonal bases $\{G_i^A\}$ and $\{G_j^B\}$. Namely, the generalized Bloch representation of ρ can be

represented as

$$\rho = \frac{I_A}{d_A} \otimes \frac{I_B}{d_B} + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2-1} r_i G_i^A \otimes \frac{I_B}{d_B} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2-1} s_j \frac{I_A}{d_A} \otimes G_j^B + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2-1} t_{ij} G_i^A \otimes G_j^B. \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients can be expressed as $r_i = \frac{1}{\kappa_A} \text{Tr} \rho G_i^A \otimes I_B$, $s_j = \frac{1}{\kappa_B} \text{Tr} \rho I_B \otimes G_j^B$ and $t_{ij} = \text{Tr} \rho G_i^A \otimes G_j^B$. The correlation matrix or correlation tensor is defined by the matrix $T := (t_{ij})$ of size $(d_A^2 - 1) \times (d_B^2 - 1)$ wherein quantum information is stored, which is widely used in detecting entanglement [24, 25, 30].

To elaborate our separability criterion, we construct an extended correlation tensor in the form of a second order block matrix. For any nonnegative numbers x, y and positive integer n , the extended correlation tensor of ρ can be formed as

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{xy}{\sqrt{\kappa_A \kappa_B d_A d_B}} E_{n \times n} & \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa_A d_A}} \omega_n(\mathbf{s})^t \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa_B d_B}} \omega_n(\mathbf{r}) & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where $E_{k \times l}$ is the $k \times l$ matrix with all entries being 1. The superscript t stands for transpose, and for any column vector \mathbf{u} , $\omega_n(\mathbf{u})$ is the rank one matrix made of the same column vector \mathbf{u} given by

$$\omega_n(\mathbf{u}) := \underbrace{(\mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{u})}_{n \text{ columns}}.$$

It should be noted that the extended correlation tensor $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$ consisting of entries m_{ij} with size $(d_A^2 + n - 1) \times (d_B^2 + n - 1)$, thus it is different from the usual augmented correlation tensor $\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{s}^t \\ \mathbf{r} & T \end{pmatrix}$ of size $d_A^2 \times d_B^2$. Of course it owns close relations with the coefficients in (3), that is,

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{\sqrt{\kappa_A \kappa_B d_A d_B}} & 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa_A d_A}} s_{j-n} & 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad n < j \leq d_B^2 + n - 1; \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa_B d_B}} r_{i-n} & n < i \leq d_A^2 + n - 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ t_{i-n, j-n} & n < i \leq d_A^2 + n - 1, \quad n < j \leq d_B^2 + n - 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

This implies that every element m_{ij} is in the form of the mean value of ρ and the operator basis of the compound quantum system. It is obvious that extended correlation tensor is a generalization of canonical correlation tensor. In case of $n = 0$ or $x = y = 0$, these two matrices coincide with each other. When $n = 1$, it reduces to the augmented correlation tensor \tilde{T} with appropriate choice of x, y .

Recall the trace norm $\|M\|_{tr}$ of matrix M , also called the Ky Fan norm in some literatures,

is defined by the sum of singular values of M . We use this to derive a separability criterion based on extended correlation tensors as follows.

Theorem 1. *For a separable bipartite state ρ in space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_B}$, the extended correlation tensor of ρ should satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)}, \quad (6)$$

where x, y are nonnegative and n is a positive integer.

Proof: Suppose ρ is separable, then it can be represented as a convex combination of product states, i. e., $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\varphi_i\rangle_A \langle \varphi_i| \otimes |\psi_i\rangle_B \langle \psi_i|$, where p_i is a probability distribution, $|\varphi_i\rangle_A$ and $|\psi_i\rangle_B$ are the pure states in \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B , respectively. This implies there exists vectors $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{C}^{d_A-1}$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{C}^{d_B-1}$ such that

$$T = \sum_i p_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^t, \quad \mathbf{r} = \sum_i p_i \mathbf{u}_i, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_i p_i \mathbf{v}_i, \quad (7)$$

therein \mathbf{u}_i and \mathbf{v}_i are the Bloch vectors in subsystems \mathcal{H}_{d_A} and \mathcal{H}_{d_B} respectively, and the lengths of them should satisfy the following conditions,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 = \frac{d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = \frac{d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}. \quad (8)$$

It also signifies that the extended correlation tensor $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$ can be rewritten as a convex combination as below

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} = \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{xy}{\sqrt{\kappa_A \kappa_B d_A d_B}} E_{n \times n} & \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa_A d_A}} \omega_n(\mathbf{s})^t \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa_B d_B}} \omega_n(\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Now we introduce two alternative vectors $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa_A d_A}} E_{n \times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa_B d_B}} E_{n \times 1} \\ \mathbf{v}_i \end{pmatrix}$, using decomposition method of matrix one can obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} = \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa_A d_A}} E_{n \times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa_B d_B}} E_{1 \times n} & \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix} = \sum_i p_i \bar{\mathbf{u}}_i \bar{\mathbf{v}}_i^t. \quad (10)$$

It follows from (8) that the extended tensor $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$ can be reformulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} &\leq \sum p_i \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i \bar{\mathbf{v}}_i^t\|_{tr} = \sum p_i \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i\| \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i\| \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This completes the proof. We remark that the equality holds only for separable pure states.

Usually, one can rewrite the Bloch representation of density matrix using a factor as below

$$\rho = \frac{1}{d_A d_B} (I_A \otimes I_B + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2-1} \widehat{r}_i G_i^A \otimes I_B + \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2-1} \widehat{s}_j I_A \otimes G_j^B + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2-1} \widehat{t}_{ij} G_i^A \otimes G_j^B). \quad (12)$$

In this context the length of partial Bloch vector enjoys inequality $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2 \leq \frac{d^2-d}{\kappa}$. Analogously, one can define the corresponding extended correlation tensor as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)} := \begin{pmatrix} xy E_{n \times n} & x \omega_n(\widehat{\mathbf{s}})^t \\ y \omega_n(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}) & \widehat{T} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

As a result, it leads to another criterion for bipartite separability based on the trace norm of the extended correlation tensor $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}$.

Proposition 1. *For a separable state ρ in bipartite quantum system $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{d_B}$, the trace norm of extended correlation tensor is bounded as follows:*

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{(nx^2 + \frac{d_A^2 - d_A}{\kappa_A})(ny^2 + \frac{d_B^2 - d_B}{\kappa_B})}, \quad (14)$$

where x, y are nonnegative and n is a positive integer.

The proof of this Proposition is similar to that of Theorem 1, one just uses instead the square length of partial Bloch vector with $\frac{d^2-d}{\kappa}$.

We remark that the inequalities (6) and (14) imply that there is an upper bound for the extended correlation tensor in separable states, passing the threshold is only possible through entanglement. What is more, these bipartite separability criteria proposed here can be generalized to fully separability criteria for multipartite quantum states by the method of matricizations of extended correlation tensor [25, 41, 42].

3 Relations to other separability criteria

We will show that the extended correlation matrix can give us a better bound for an entangled quantum state. The main theorem proposes a necessary condition for separability of bipartite quantum state, it is feasible to detect entanglement once it violates the criterion inequality. Moreover, one of advantages of the new criterion is to combine several separability criteria in a

unified form. As we shall discuss in more detail below, the choices of the orthonormal operator bases and the parameters x, y, n can help improving the separability condition.

Recall the well-known computable cross-norm criterion (CCNR) [22] based on the correlation tensor gives the bound for the trace norm of T for a separable state: $\| T \|_{tr} \leq 1$. Taking parameters $x = y = 0$ in the inequality (6), one can get the following expression immediately

$$\| T \|_{tr} = \| \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} \|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)} \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

Therefore our criterion in Theorem 1 reduces to CCNR in this special case. Furthermore it provides a much stronger bound than CCNR.

Recently, Sarbicki *et al.* proposed a family of separability criteria [27] based on the Bloch decomposition of density matrix, and the criteria include several criteria known before as special cases. Furthermore, taking isotropic state as an example and its analysis illustrate that those criteria are equivalent to the enhance realignment criterion [43] which is said to be the strongest effectively computable simplification of correlation matrix criterion [44]. If we choose parameters $\kappa_A = \kappa_B = n = 1$ for the criterion inequality (6), we also obtain the key criterion formulated in Ref. [27]:

$$\| \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} \|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{x^2 + d_A - 1}{d_A}\right)\left(\frac{y^2 + d_B - 1}{d_B}\right)}. \quad (16)$$

From this perspective, our criterion is also equivalent to both enhance realignment criterion and separability criteria given in [27].

Additionally, Zhu *et al.* [45] derived a separability criterion respect to correlation tensor which states that for a separable state ρ , the inequality as follows holds

$$\| T_{\alpha\beta}(\rho) \|_{KF} \leq \sqrt{\|\alpha\|_2^2 + \frac{d_A^2 - d_A}{2}} \sqrt{\|\beta\|_2^2 + \frac{d_A^2 - d_A}{2}}, \quad (17)$$

where matrix $T_{\alpha\beta}(\rho)$ is defined by $T_{\alpha\beta}(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta^t & \alpha s^t \\ r\beta^t & T(\rho) \end{pmatrix}$, and vectors $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{d_A})^t$ and $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_{d_B})^t$. If we set $a_1 = \dots = a_{d_A} = x$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_{d_B} = y$ for Eq. (17), and choose parameters $\kappa_A = \kappa_B = 2$ for Eq. (6), the criterion given by Ref. [45] is equivalent to our criterion.

The Bloch decomposition of density matrix would change if different operator bases (with various orthogonality relations) are employed, this also leads to different length of the Bloch vector. When we use the Gell-Mann operators basis $\{\lambda_i\}$, which are the Hermitian generators of

the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(d)$ endowed with orthogonality relation $\text{Tr}\lambda_i\lambda_j = 2\delta_{ij}$. So the orthogonal ratio κ takes value 2. When we take $\kappa_A = \kappa_B = 2$ in Proposition 1, we reproduce Shen's criterion [25]

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2nx^2 + d_A^2 - d_A)(2ny^2 + d_B^2 - d_B)}. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, assigning value 1 to parameters x , y and n , we get the criterion established (Li's criterion) in Ref. [24, Corollary 2], that is,

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2 + d_A^2 - d_A)(2 + d_B^2 - d_B)}. \quad (19)$$

While setting variables $x = y = 1$, we recover the criterion proposed by de Vicente (dV's criteria) in Ref. [21]

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(d_A^2 - d_A)(d_B^2 - d_B)}. \quad (20)$$

Also, there are other useful traceless orthogonal operator bases besides the Gell-Mall basis, such as the Heisenberg-Weyl operator basis [26, 39, 46], defined by the following $d \times d$ matrices

$$W(l, m) := \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi kl}{d}\right) |k\rangle\langle(k+m)\text{mod}d|, \quad (21)$$

where $l, m = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, $\{|k\rangle\}$ is the the standard basis of the Hilbert space. Generally, the Heisenberg-Weyl operators are unitary and non-Hermitian, and endowed with orthogonality relation $\text{Tr}W(l, m)W(l', m') = d\delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'}$, here the orthogonal ratio κ is just equal to the dimension of the Hilbert space. If we consider the Bloch decomposition of the density matrix respect to the Heisenberg-Weyl basis, the criterion in Proposition 1 recovers the separability criteria in Ref. [26], where $\kappa_A = d_A$ and $\kappa_B = d_B$.

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\| \leq \sqrt{(nx^2 + d_A - 1)(ny^2 + d_B - 1)}. \quad (22)$$

These discussions show that our criterion unifies most of the previous well-known criteria on entanglement.

Next we will give an example to demonstrate that our new criterion can detect more entanglement than previous ones.

Example 1 Consider the following 3×3 PPT entangled state constructed in [47],

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4}\left(I - \sum_{i=0}^4 |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|\right), \quad (23)$$

where $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|\psi_1\rangle = (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)|2\rangle/\sqrt{2}$, $|\psi_2\rangle = |2\rangle(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|\psi_3\rangle = (|1\rangle - |2\rangle)|0\rangle/\sqrt{2}$, $|\psi_4\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)/3$. Let us mix ρ with white noise:

$$\sigma_p = \frac{1-p}{9}I_9 + p\rho, \quad p \in [0, 1]. \quad (24)$$

Now we choose the Heisenberg-Weyl operators with dimensional $d = 3$ as the operator basis for Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B . Therein the length of the Bloch vector has an upper bound $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$. Thus, the criterion inequality in Theorem 1 turns into

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \frac{1}{3d} \sqrt{(nx^2 + d - 1)(ny^2 + d - 1)}. \quad (25)$$

Exactly, the entanglement threshold of state σ_p depends on the trace norm of matrix $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$. Inequality (25) provides an analytical expression of $\|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr}$ endowed with parameters x , y and n . In order to explore the mutual influence relations between various parameters, we set $x = \frac{1}{9}y$, and analyze the inequality (25) in the case $n = 1$, $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively. For clarity, both sides of inequality (25) are drawn in the figures, here the red curved surface denotes the trace norm of $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$, and the blue curved surface denotes its upper bound. It can be clearly seen




Figure 1: $n = 1$.

Figure 2: $n = 2$.

Figure 3: $n = 3$.

from the figures the critical point of entanglement of state σ_p is $p \approx 0.88$, and x approaches zero with n increasing.

Furthermore, separability criteria such as CCNR, Li's criterion and dV's criterion can be used to detect the family of quantum states σ_p . They give rise to different detection thresholds as shown in the Table. Note that our criterion detects entanglement for $0.8843 < p \leq 1$ if we set $n = 3$, $d = 3$ $x = \frac{1}{27}$, $y = \frac{1}{81}$, which shows that the new criterion is more sensitive in detecting entanglement than aforementioned separability criteria.

Example 2 The isotropic states in quantum system $\mathcal{H}_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_d$ are given by

$$\rho = \frac{1-p}{d^2}I \otimes I + p|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+|, \quad (26)$$

dV's criterion	CCNR	Li's criterion	Theorem 1
$0.9493 < p \leq 1$	$0.8897 < p \leq 1$	$0.8925 < p \leq 1$	$0.8843 < p \leq 1$

Table 1: The compare for ability of entanglement detection for various separability criteria for the state $\sigma_p = \frac{1-p}{9}I_9 + p\rho$.

where $p \in [0, 1]$, and $|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle$ is the maximally entangled state. The Bloch decomposition is

$$\rho = \frac{1}{d^2} (I \otimes I + \frac{pd}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{(d+2)(d-1)}{2}} \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_i - \frac{pd}{2} \sum_{i=\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}^{d^2-1} \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_i). \quad (27)$$

These states are known to be separable iff $p \leq \frac{1}{d+1}$ [48]. The extended correlation tensor $\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|$ of isotropic states has singular values $\frac{xy}{d}$ and $\frac{p}{2d}$ with multiplicity $d^2 - 1$ for $n = 2$. Now we set $x = y = \sqrt{d}$, one sees that Theorem 1 implies exactly the full range of separability for the isotropic states. So our criterion is the strongest in this case compared with other criteria. For instance, [21, Prop. 3] only gives the separability range as $p \leq \frac{1}{(d+1)(d-1)^2}$, and CCNR criterion just detects entanglement for $\frac{4d}{d^2-1} \leq p \leq 1$.

Example 3 The Werner states in bipartite system $\mathcal{H}_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_d$ are written as

$$\rho_W = \frac{1}{d^3 - d} [(d-p)I \otimes I + (dp-1)F], \quad (28)$$

where $-1 \leq p \leq 1$, and F is the flip operator given by $F(\phi \otimes \varphi) = \varphi \otimes \phi$. The Bloch representation of Werner states can be represented as

$$\rho_W = \frac{1}{d^2} (I \otimes I + \sum_i \frac{d(dp-1)}{2(d^2-1)} \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_i). \quad (29)$$

These states are separable iff $p \geq 0$ [49]. Since singular values of extended correlation tensor $\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|$ are $\frac{xy}{d}$ and $\frac{|dp-1|}{2d(d^2-1)}$ with multiplicity $d^2 - 1$ for $n = 2$, employing Theorem 1, it recognizes separability for $\frac{1}{d} \leq p \leq \frac{1}{d}(\sqrt{(2x^2+d-1)(2y^2+d-1)} - 2xy + 1)$ and $\frac{1}{d}(2xy + 1 - \sqrt{(2x^2+d-1)(2y^2+d-1)}) \leq p < \frac{1}{d}$. Furthermore, we set $x = y = \sqrt{d}$, thus our criterion can detect separability for $\frac{2-d}{d} \leq p \leq 1$, while the separability criterion in [21, Prop. 3] detects separability for $\frac{d-2}{d(d-1)} \leq p \leq \frac{1}{d-1}$. Clearly our criterion is stronger than that of [21].

4 Application to entanglement witness

In this section, we show that the separability criterion also gives rise to a family of entanglement witnesses. Recall that the trace norm of any $m \times n$ matrix X enjoys the following important

property:

$$\|X\|_{tr} = \max_O \text{Tr} O^\dagger X, \quad (30)$$

where the maximum is over all $m \times n$ isometry matrices O .

In the following we fix a Hermitian operator basis for our separability criterion. Assume ρ is a separable state acting on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Now for a fixed parametric triple (x, y, n) , the separability criterion in Theorem says that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)}. \quad (31)$$

Using the property of trace norm (30), we can rewrite the above expression as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)} - \|\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}\|_{tr} \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)} \text{Tr}(\rho I_A \otimes I_B) - \max_O \text{Tr} O^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{nx^2 + d_A - 1}{\kappa_A d_A}\right)\left(\frac{ny^2 + d_B - 1}{\kappa_B d_B}\right)} \text{Tr}(\rho I_A \otimes I_B) + \min_O \text{Tr} O^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where we have used the property $\text{Tr}(\rho I_A \otimes I_B) = 1$ and the symmetry of trace function for isometry operators, i.e., if the maximum value of $\text{Tr} O^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$ can be obtained for a certain isometry O , simultaneously one can have the minimum value of that at $-O$. Here both the maximum and the minimum are taking over all $(d_A^2 + n - 1) \times (d_B^2 + n - 1)$ isometry matrices O , that have the same size with matrix $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)}$. Note that for $O = (O_{ij})$, $\text{Tr} O^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{(n)} = \sum_{i,j} O_{ij} m_{ij}$. Therefore we can define a family Hermitian operator W_O^{xy} of entanglement witnesses:

$$\text{Tr}(W_O^{xy} \rho) \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

By using the linearity of the trace function:

$$W_O^{xy} = \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} G_i^A \otimes G_j^B, \quad (34)$$

where the components w_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{00} &= \frac{\sqrt{(nx^2 + d_A - 1)(ny^2 + d_B - 1)}}{\kappa_A \kappa_B} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n xy O_{ij}, \\
w_{0,j} &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2-1} x O_{i,j+n}, \quad \text{for } j \neq 0, \\
w_{i,0} &= \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2-1} y O_{i+n,j}, \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, \\
w_{i,j} &= O_{i+n,j+n}, \quad \text{for } i \neq 0 \text{ and } j \neq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here we have employed the expression of m_{ij} given in (5). The entanglement witnesses W_O^{xy} can be viewed parameterized by the isometry O .

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a feasible separability criterion is formulated in terms of the trace norm of the extended correlation tensor, which is a parametrized criterion in detecting entanglement. The criterion has a unified form independent from the choice of orthogonal operator bases and contain several previously known separability criteria as special cases, including CCNR, dV's criterion and Li's criterion etc. We also use the formulation to construct a class of entanglement witnesses.

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 1210301 and Nos. 12171044; the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos.121RC539; the specific research fund of the Innovation Platform for Academicians of Hainan Province under Grant Nos. YSPTZX202215.

Date Availability Statement All data generated or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflict of Interest Statement We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels,

- Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [2] L. K. Grover, A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 325 (1997).
- [3] P. W. Shor, Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer, SIAM J. Comput. **26**, 1484 (1997).
- [4] A. K. Ekert, Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
- [5] A. Peres, Separability criterion for density matrices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).
- [6] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 1 (1996).
- [7] X. Yu, S. Imai and O. Gühne, Optimal entanglement certification from moments of the partial transpose, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 060504 (2021).
- [8] M. Ali, Partial transpose moments, principal minors and entanglement detection, Quantum Inf. Process. **22**, 207(2023).
- [9] A. Neven, *et al*, Symmetry-resolved entanglement detection using partial transpose moments, npj Quantum Inf. **7**, 152(2021).
- [10] Y. Zhou, P. Zeng and Z. Liu, Single-copies estimation of entanglement negativity, Phys. Rev. Lett **125**, 200502 (2020).
- [11] A. Elben, R. Kueng, H. Y. R. Huang, R. van Bijnen, C.Kokail, M. Dalmonte, P. Calabrese, B. Kraus, J. Preskill, P. Zoller and B. Vermersch, Mixed-state entanglement from local randomized measurements, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 200501 (2020).
- [12] H. Y. Huang, R. Kueng and J. Preskill, Predicting many properties of a quantum system from very few measurements, Nat. Phys. **16**, 1050 (2020).
- [13] K. Chen and L. A. Wu, A matrix realignment method for recognizing entanglement, Quantum Inf. Comput. **3**, 193(2003).

- [14] S. Aggarwal, A. Kumari and S. Adhikari, Physical realization of realignment criteria using the structural physical approximation, *Phys. Rev. A* **108**, 012422(2023).
- [15] S. Aggarwal, S. Adhikari and A. S. Majumdar, Entanglement detection in arbitrary dimensional bipartite quantum systems through partial realigned moments, *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 012404(2024).
- [16] T. Zhang, N. Jing, and S. M. Fei, Quantum separability criteria based on realignment moments, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **21**, 276 (2022).
- [17] K. K. Wang, Z. W. Wei, S. M. Fei, Operational entanglement detection based on Λ -moments, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **137**, 1378 (2022).
- [18] Z. X. Jin, S. M. Fei, X. Li-Jost and C. F. Qiao, Informationally complete measures of quantum entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A* **107**, 012409(2023).
- [19] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki and K. Horodecki, Quantum entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
- [20] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Separability criteria and entanglement witnesses for symmetric quantum states, *Phys. Rep.* **474**, 1 (2009).
- [21] J. I. de Vicente, Separability criteria based on the Bloch representation of density matrices. *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **7**, 624 (2007).
- [22] O. Rudolph, Further results on the cross norm criterion for separability, *Quant. Info. Process.* **4**, 219 (2005).
- [23] O. Rudolph, Some properties of the computable cross-norm criterion for separability, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 032312 (2003).
- [24] M. Li, J. Wang, S. M. Fei and X. Li-Jost, Quantum separability criteria for arbitrary-dimensional multipartite states. *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 022325 (2014).
- [25] S. Shen, J. Yu, M. Li and S. M. Fei, Improved separability criteria based on Bloch representation of density matrices, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28850 (2016).
- [26] J. Chang, M. Cui, T. Zhang and S. M. Fei, Separability criteria based on Heisenberg-Weyl representation of density matrices, *Chin. Phys. B* **27**, 030302(2018).

- [27] G. Sarbicki, G. Scala and D. Chruściński, Family of multipartite separability criteria based on a correlation tensor. *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 012341(2020).
- [28] S. Zangi, J. Wu and C. Qiao, Combo separability criteria and lower bound on concurrence, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 025302 (2022).
- [29] J. I. de Vicente and M. Huber, Multipartite entanglement detection from correlation tensors, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 062306 (2011).
- [30] W. Laskowski, M. Markiewicz, T. Paterek and M. Żukowski, Correlation-tensor criteria for genuine multiqubit entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 062305 (2014).
- [31] N. Jing and M. M. Zhang, Criteria of genuine multipartite entanglement based on correlation tensors, *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* **61**, 269 (2022).
- [32] H. Zhao, J. Hao, J. Li, S. M. Fei, N. Jing and Z. X. Wang, Detecting genuine multipartite entanglement via complete orthogonal basis, *Results in Phys.* **54**, 107060 (2023).
- [33] B. M. Terhal, Bell inequalities and the separability criterion, *Phys. Lett. A* **271**, 319 (2000).
- [34] D. Chruscinski, G. Sarbicki, Entanglement witnesses: construction, analysis and classification, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 483001 (2014).
- [35] A. Reusch, J. Sperling and W. Vogel, Entanglement witnesses for indistinguishable particles, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 042324 (2015).
- [36] G. Rigolin, Entanglement, identical particles and the uncertainty principle, *Comm. Theor. Phys.* **66**, 201 (2016).
- [37] D. Ha and J. S. Kim, Bipartite quantum state discrimination and decomposable entanglement witness, *Phys. Rev. A* **107**, 052410 (2023).
- [38] D. Ha and J. S. Kim, Entanglement witness and multipartite quantum state discrimination, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **56**, 205303 (2023).
- [39] X. Huang, T. Zhang, M. Zhao and N. Jing, Separability criteria based on the Weyl operators, *Entropy* **24**, 1064 (2022).

- [40] R. A. Bertlmann and P. Krammer, Bloch vectors for qudits, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**, 235303 (2008).
- [41] X. Huang, N. Jing, Separability criteria based on the correlation tensor moments for arbitrary dimensional states, *Quan. Info. Process.* **23** (2024).
- [42] R. Lenny , A. Teeni , B. Y. Peled , A. Carmi and E. Cohen, Multipartite entanglement detection via correlation minor norm, arxiv.2302.00650v1.
- [43] C. J. Zhang, Y. S. Zhang, S. Zhang and G. C. Guo, Entanglement detection beyond the computable cross-norm or realignment criterion, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 060301(R) (2008).
- [44] G. Sarbicki, G. Scala and D. Chruściński, Detection power of separability criteria based on a correlation tensor: a case study, *Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.* **28**, 2150010 (2021).
- [45] X. N. Zhu, J. Wang, G. Bao, M. Li, S. Q. Shen, S. M. Fei, A family of bipartite separability criteria based on Bloch representation of density matrices, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **22**, 185(2023).
- [46] H. Zhao, Y. Yang, N. Jing, Z. X. Wang and S. M. Fei, Detection of multipartite entanglement based on Heisenberg-Weyl representation of density matrices, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **19**, 1 (2020).
- [47] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVncenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin and B. M. Terhal, Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 5385 (1999).
- [48] M. Horodeki and P. Horodeki, Redution criterion of separability and limits for a class of distillation protocols, *Phys. Rev. A* **59**, 4206 (1999).
- [49] R. F. Werner, Quantum states with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 4277 (1989).