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#### Abstract

A 2-distance $k$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper $k$-coloring such that any two vertices at distance two or less get different colors. The 2-distance chromatic number of $G$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has a 2-distance $k$-coloring, denoted by $\chi_{2}(G)$. In this paper, we show that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 20$ for every planar graph $G$ with maximum degree at most six, which improves a former bound $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 21$.


## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite, and planar. For a graph $G$, we denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, and the set of faces by $V(G), E(G)$, and $F(G)$, respectively. For a graph $G$ and a vertex $v$ in $G$, let $G-v$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the vertex $v$ and all edges incident with $v$. The set of neighbours of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is denoted by $N_{G}(v)$. The degree of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(v)$, is the number of edges of $G$ incident with $v$. The maximum degree and minimum degree of a graph $G$ are denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ ( $\Delta$ and $\delta$ for short). A vertex of degree $k$ (respectively, at least $k$, at most $k$ ) is said to be a $k$-vertex (respectively, $k^{+}$-vertex, $k^{-}$-vertex). For $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[X]$ denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $X$. A face is said to be incident with the vertices and edges in its boundary, and two faces are adjacent if their boundaries have an edge in common. The degree of a face $f$ in a graph $G$, denoted by $d(f)$, is the number of edges in its boundary. A face of degree $k$ (respectively, at least $k$, at most $k$ ) is said to be a $k$-face (respectively, $k^{+}$-face, $k^{-}$-face). A $\left[v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k}\right]$ is a $k$-face with vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ on its boundary. For a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, let $m_{k}(v)$ denote the number of $k$-faces incident with $v$, and let $n_{k}(v)$ denote the number of $k$-vertices adjacent to $v$.

Let $\phi$ be a partial coloring of a graph $G$. For a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, let $C_{\phi}(v)$ denote the set of colors assigned to the vertices within distance two from $v$. A 2 -distance $k$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a mapping $\phi: V(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\phi\left(v_{1}\right) \neq \phi\left(v_{2}\right)$ for any two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(G)$ with $d_{G}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq 2$, where $d_{G}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is the distance between the two vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. The 2-distance chromatic number of $G$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has a 2 -distance $k$-coloring, denoted by $\chi_{2}(G)$. Let $d_{2}(v)$ denote the number of vertices within distance two from a vertex $v$. Any definitions and notations not explicitly stated in this paper conform to those in [1].

The study of 2-distance coloring originated from the research on square coloring, which was first introduced by Kramer and Kramer [12, 11]. The square of a graph $G$, denoted by $G^{2}$, is obtained by adding edges between all pairs of vertices that have a common neighbour in $G$. In 1977, Wegner made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [15] If $G$ is a planar graph, then $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 7$ if $\Delta=3, \chi_{2}(G) \leq \Delta+5$ if $4 \leq \Delta \leq 7$, and $\chi_{2}(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 \Delta}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ if $\Delta \geq 8$.

The case of $\Delta=3$ was independently proven by Thomassen [14] and by Hartke et al. [7]. Havet et al. [8, 9] proved that the conjecture holds asymptotically. Bousquet et al. [3] proved that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 12$ for $\Delta \leq 4$ using an automatic discharging method. Deniz [5] proved that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 16$ for $\Delta \leq 5$. For a comprehensive overview of 2-distance coloring and related research, we refer the reader to [4].

The upper bound on $\chi_{2}(G)$ for $\Delta=6$ has been gradually improving. Zhu and Bu [16] proved that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq$ $5 \Delta-7$ for $\Delta \geq 6$, which was improved by Krzyziński et al. [13] to $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 3 \Delta+4$ for $\Delta \geq 6$. In this paper, we show that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 20$ for every planar graph $G$ with $\Delta \leq 6$, which improves the result of $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 21$ proved by Bousquet et al. [2]. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. If $G$ is a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta \leq 6$, then $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 20$.
Remark. Recently, Deniz [6] posted the first version of the proof on arXiv on March 18, 2024, showing that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 2 \Delta+7$ for planar graphs. The proof in this version covers the cases $\Delta=6,7$, and 8 . According to this inequality, when $\Delta=6$, it follows that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 19$. However, the proof for the case $\Delta=6$ in this initial version is incomplete and requires further elaboration.

[^0]
## 2 Reducible configurations

Let $G$ be a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1.2 with minimum $|V(G)|+|E(G)|$. That is $G$ is a planar graph with $\chi_{2}(G)>20$, such that for any planar subgraph $G^{\prime}$ with $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \Delta(G)$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|<$ $|V(G)|+|E(G)|$, we have $\chi_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq 20$. Obviously, $G$ is a connected graph.

Let $C=\{1,2, \ldots, 20\}$ be a set of colors. We call a graph $G^{\prime}$ proper with respect to $G$ if $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by deleting some edges or vertices and adding some edges such that for any two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(G) \cap V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $d_{G}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq 2$, we have $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq 2$. This definition of proper is the same as the one used in [5, 10]. In this section, we present some reducible configurations of $G$. The proofs of the lemmas generally follow a similar pattern: We construct a graph $G^{\prime}$ that is proper with respect to $G$ by deleting a vertex $v$ from $G$ and adding some edges. By the minimality of $G$, there exists a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for the deleted vertex $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. If $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$, then a safe color exists for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi^{\prime}$ can be extended to a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi$ of $G$. This implies that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 20$, which is a contradiction. The essence of the proof is to construct a proper $G^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq d_{2}(v) \leq 19$.

Lemma 2.1. We have $\delta(G) \geq 3$.
Proof. Assume that $G$ contains a 1-vertex $v$. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 6$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 14$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction. Next, we assume that $G$ has a 2 -vertex $v$ with $N_{G}(v)=\{x, y\}$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\{x y\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 12$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 8$. Therefore, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.2. Let $v$ be a 3 -vertex. Then,
(1) $n_{5^{-}}(v)=0$,
(2) $m_{3}(v)=0$, and
(3) $m_{4}(v) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}$, and $v_{3}$ be the neighbours of $v$. (1) Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a $5^{-}$-vertex. Without loss of generality, let $v_{1}$ be a $5^{-}$-vertex. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{1} v_{3}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 17$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 3$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
(2) Assume that $v$ is incident to a 3 -face $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 16$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.
(3) Assume that $v$ is incident to two 4 -faces $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and $\left[v v_{2} y v_{3}\right]$. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 16$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3. Let $v$ be a 4 -vertex. Then $m_{3}(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $m_{3}(v)=2$, then $m_{4}(v)=0, n_{6}(v)=4$, and $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$.

Proof. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{4}$ be the neighbours of $v$ in clockwise order. First, we show that $m_{3}(v) \leq 2$. Assume that $v$ is incident to three 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$, $\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right]$, and $\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 18$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Now, we consider the case $m_{3}(v)=2$. Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be two 3 -faces incident to $v$. First, we show that $m_{4}(v)=0$. Assume that $v$ is incident to a 4 -face $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are adjacent, say $f_{1}=\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right]$ and $f_{2}=\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are not adjacent, say $f_{1}=\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right]$ and $f_{2}=\left[v v_{4} v_{1}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{3} v_{4}\right\}$. In both cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$ and by the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ in each case, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $n_{6}(v)=4$. Assume that $v$ is incident to a $5^{-}$-vertex. Without loss of generality, let $v_{1}$ be a $5^{-}$-vertex. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are adjacent, say $f_{1}=\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$ and $f_{2}=\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}\right\}$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are not adjacent, say $f_{1}=\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$ and $f_{2}=\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{4} v_{1}\right\}$. In both cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$ and $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, a contradiction.

Finally, we prove that $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. To show that $w$ cannot be incident to more than five 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Let $f_{1}=\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. This implies that there exists a vertex $x$ such that $x$ is a common neighbour of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are adjacent, say $f_{2}=\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}\right\}$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are not adjacent, say $f_{2}=\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{4} v_{1}\right\}$. In both cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$ and $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.4. Let $v$ be a 4 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=1$. Then $m_{4}(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $1 \leq m_{4}(v) \leq 2$, then $n_{4}(v)=0$, and $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{4}$ be the neighbours of $v$ in clockwise order and let [ $\left.v v_{1} v_{2}\right]$ be a 3 -face incident to $v$. First, we show that $m_{4}(v) \leq 2$. Assume that $v$ is incident to three 4 -faces $\left[v v_{2} x v_{3}\right]$, [ $\left.v v_{3} y v_{4}\right]$, and $\left[v v_{4} z v_{1}\right]$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Now, we suppose that $m_{4}(v)=2$. Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be two 4 -faces incident to $v$. First, we prove that $n_{4}(v)=0$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 4 -vertex. Let $v_{1}$ be a 4 -vertex. Regardless of whether $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are adjacent, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. (If a vertex $v_{i} \in N_{G}(v)$ other than $v_{1}$ is a 4 -vertex, then we construct $G^{\prime}$ by deleting $v$ and adding edges from $v_{i}$ to each neighbour $v_{j}$ of $v$ with $v_{i} v_{j} \notin E(G)$.) The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20 coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 18$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction. Second, we show that $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to two 5 -vertices. There are six possible arrangements of two 5 -vertices among the four neighbors of $v$. Regardless of whether $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are adjacent, the construction of $G^{\prime}$ depends on which neighbours of $v$ are the two 5 -vertices. If $v_{1}$ is one of the two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. Similarly, if $v_{2}$ is one of the two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4}\right\}$. Otherwise, if $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{1}\right\}$. In all cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 18$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that $m_{4}(v)=1$. Let $f_{1}$ be a 4 -face incident to $v$. The proof of $n_{4}(v)=0$ is similar to the proof when we supposed that $m_{4}(v)=2$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 4 -vertex and let $v_{1}$ be a 4 -vertex. We construct $G^{\prime}$ in the same way as before, regardless of the position of $f_{1}: G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. The only difference is that, in this case, $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$. We can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction. Finally, we prove that $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to two 5 -vertices. There are six possible arrangements of two 5 -vertices among the four neighbors of $v$. We consider two cases based on the position of $f_{1}$. Case 1: $f_{1}=\left[v v_{2} x v_{3}\right]$. If $v_{1}$ is one of the two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$. Similarly, if $v_{2}$ is one of the two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4}\right\}$. Otherwise, if $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are the two 5 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{1}\right\}$. Case 2: $f_{1}=\left[v v_{3} x v_{4}\right]$. In this case, we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{4} v_{1}\right\}$, regardless of which neighbours of $v$ are the two 5 -vertices. In all cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Now, we discuss the properties of a 5 -vertex in $G$. Let $v$ be a 5 -vertex and let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{5}$ be the neighbours of $v$ in clockwise order.

Lemma 2.5. Let $v$ be a 5 -vertex. If $m_{3}(v)=5$, then $n_{6}(v)=5$ and $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$.

Proof. Suppose that $v$ is incident to five 3 -faces. First, we show that $n_{6}(v)=5$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a $5^{-}$-vertex. Without loss of generality, let $v_{1}$ be a $5^{-}$-vertex. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. To show that $w$ cannot be incident to more than five 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. This implies that there exists a
vertex $x$ such that $x$ is a common neighbour of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.6. Let $v$ be a 5 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4$ and $m_{4}(v)=1$. Then $n_{4^{-}}(v)=0, n_{5}(v) \leq 1$, and $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$.

Proof. Suppose that $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$, $\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right]$, and one 4 -face $\left[v v_{5} x v_{1}\right]$. First, we show that $n_{4^{-}}(v)=0$. By Lemma $2.2(1), v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex. Thus it suffices to show that $v$ is not adjacent to any 4 -vertex. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 4 -vertex. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $v$ is incident to two 5 -vertices. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction. Thus $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$ holds. This implies that $n_{6}(v) \geq 4$.

Finally, we prove that $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. Assume that $v_{1}$ is a 6 -vertex. Since $v_{1}$ is incident to a 4 -face, $v_{1}$ can be incident to at most five 3 -faces. By symmetry, the same holds if we assume that $v_{5}$ is a 6 -vertex. Next, we prove that if $v_{2}, v_{3}$, or $v_{4}$ is a 6 -vertex, then it can be incident to at most five 3 -faces. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_{2}$ is a 6 -vertex and is incident to six 3 -faces. In this case, each of the edges $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $v_{2} v_{3}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{5}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.7. Let $v$ be a 5 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4$ and $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1$. Then $n_{3}(v)=0, n_{4}(v) \leq 1$, and $n_{5}(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $n_{4}(v)=1$, then $n_{5}(v)=0$.

Proof. Suppose that $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right]$, and one $5^{+}$-face that contains $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$. Obviously, we have $n_{3}(v)=0$ by Lemma 2.2(1). Now, we show that $n_{4}(v) \leq 1$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to two 4 -vertices. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{5}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 18$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $n_{5}(v) \leq 2$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to three 5 -vertices. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=$ $G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{5}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Finally, we consider the case $n_{4}(v)=1$. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 5 -vertex. Obviously, $G^{\prime}=G-v+$ $\left\{v_{1} v_{5}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.8. Let $v$ be a 5 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4$ and $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1$. Then the number of 4 -vertices, 5 -vertices, and 6 -vertices adjacent to $v$ must be one of the following:
(a) $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(1,0,4)$,
(b) $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,2,3)$,
(c) $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,1,4)$, or
(d) $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,0,5)$.

Moreover, let $w$ be any 6 -vertex adjacent to $v$. Then the following hold:
(1) If $v$ is in case (a), then $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$.
(2) If $v$ is in case (b), then $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$.
(3) If $v$ is in case (c), then there exists at least one 6 -vertex $w$ with $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$.
(4) If $v$ is in case (d), then there exist at least two 6 -vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}$ with $m_{3}\left(w_{1}\right) \leq 5$ and $m_{3}\left(w_{2}\right) \leq 5$.

Proof. The first statement of the lemma follows directly from Lemma 2.7. We now prove the remaining statements, from (1) to (4). Suppose that $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right]$, and one $5^{+}$-face that contains $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$.
(1) To show that $w$ cannot be incident to more than five 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $n_{4}(v)=1$
and $n_{6}(v)=4$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
(2) The proof is similar to that of (1). To show that $w$ cannot be incident to more than five 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. As in (1), let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$, which is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. In case (b), we have $n_{5}(v)=2$ and $n_{6}(v)=3$, which leads to $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2-distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
(3) In case (c), we have $n_{5}(v)=1$ and $n_{6}(v)=4$. It follows that at least one of $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ must be a 6 -vertex. Let $w$ be such a 6 -vertex. Since $w$ is incident to one $5^{+}$-face, it can be incident to at most five 3 -faces. Therefore, (3) holds.
(4) In case (d), all neighbours of $v$ are 6 -vertices. It follows that both $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ are 6 -vertices. Let $w_{1}=v_{1}$ and $w_{2}=v_{5}$. Since each of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ is incident to one $5^{+}$-face, it can be incident to at most five 3 -faces. Therefore, (4) holds.

Next, we examine the properties of a 6 -vertex in $G$. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex and let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{6}$ be the neighbours of $v$ in clockwise order.


Figure 1: Illustrations of Lemma 2.9(4.3).

Lemma 2.9. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=5$ and $m_{4}(v)=1$ and let $u$ be any 5 -vertex adjacent to $v$. Then the following hold:
(1) $n_{4}(v) \leq 2$.
(2) If $n_{4}(v)=2$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$.
(3) If $n_{4}(v)=1$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 3$. Moreover, if $n_{5}(v)=3$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$.
(4) If $n_{4}(v)=0$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 5$. Moreover, the following hold:
(4.1) If $n_{5}(v)=5$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$.
(4.2) If $n_{5}(v)=4$, then there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$.
(4.3) If $n_{5}(v)=3$, then there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$.

Proof. Suppose that $v$ is incident to five 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right],\left[v v_{5} v_{6}\right]$, and one 4 -face $\left[v v_{6} x x_{1}\right]$. By Lemma 2.2(2), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex.
(1) In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if $v$ is a 4-vertex with $m_{3}(v)=2$, then no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Thus $v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$, and $v_{5}$ cannot be 4 -vertices. Among the neighbours of $v$, at most two vertices, namely, $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$, can be 4 -vertices.
(2) We suppose that $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are 4 -vertices. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to two 5 -vertices. Let $G^{\prime}=$ $G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
(3) Without loss of generality, we suppose that $v_{1}$ is a 4 -vertex. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to four 5 -vertices. The graph $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Now, we consider the case $n_{5}(v)=3$. To show that any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ cannot be incident to more than four 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(4) We suppose that $v$ is not adjacent to any 4 -vertex. Assume that all neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{6} v_{1}, v_{3} v_{1}, v_{3} v_{5}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(4.1) Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices. To show that any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ cannot be incident to more than four 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. There are six possibilities for which neighbours of $v$ is a 6 -vertex. Due to symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where $v_{1}, v_{2}$, or $v_{3}$ is a 6 -vertex. In each of these cases, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{6} v_{1}, v_{4} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{6}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(4.2) Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to four 5 -vertices. We consider two cases based on whether both $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are 6 -vertices or not. First, we show that if $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are both 6 -vertices, then $m_{3}\left(v_{3}\right) \leq 3$ and $m_{3}\left(v_{4}\right) \leq 3$. By symmetry, we only need to consider $v_{3}$. Let $v_{7}$ and $v_{8}$ be the neighbours of $v_{3}$ other than $v, v_{2}$, and $v_{4}$. Assume that $v_{3}$ is incident to four 3 -faces. We have two cases: Case 1: The four 3 -faces are $\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v_{2} v_{7} v_{3}\right]$, and $\left[v_{3} v_{8} v_{4}\right]$. Case 2: The four 3 -faces are $\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v_{2} v_{7} v_{3}\right]$, and $\left[v_{3} v_{7} v_{8}\right]$. In Case 1, let $G^{\prime}=G-v_{3}+\left\{v_{7} v_{8}\right\}$. In Case 2, let $G^{\prime}=G-v_{3}+\left\{v_{8} v_{4}\right\}$. In each case, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G$, $G^{\prime}$ has a 2 -distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{3}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{3}\right)\right| \leq 18$, we can color $v_{3}$ with a safe color, a contradiction. Therefore, if $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are 6 -vertices, then there are at most two 5 -vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$, namely $v_{2}$ and $v_{5}$.

Next, we discuss the case where $v_{1}$ is not a 6 -vertex or $v_{6}$ is not a 6 -vertex. To show that there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$, it suffices to prove that at most one edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that two edges $v_{i} v_{i+1}$ and $v_{j} v_{j+1}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ with $i \neq j$ are contained in two 3 -faces. If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are 6 -vertices, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{6}, v_{6} v_{1}\right\}$. (Otherwise, we construct $G^{\prime}$ as follows: remove $v$, add the edge $v_{6} v_{1}$, and choose one 5 -vertex $v_{i}$ in the neighbourhood of $v$ other than $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$, and connect $v_{i}$ to the two vertices in the neighbourhood of $v$ that are at distance two from $v_{i}$.) The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(4.3) Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to three 5 -vertices. There are twenty possible combinations of three 5 vertices. However, by symmetry, we only discuss ten cases: (see Figure 1.) Case 1: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{4}$, $v_{5}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 2: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{3}, v_{5}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 3: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{3}, v_{4}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 4: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{3}, v_{4}$, and $v_{5}$. Case 5: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{2}, v_{5}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 6: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{2}, v_{4}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 7: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{2}, v_{4}$, and $v_{5}$. Case 8 : The three 5 -vertices are $v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 9: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{1}, v_{5}$, and $v_{6}$. Case 10: The three 5 -vertices are $v_{1}, v_{4}$, and $v_{6}$.

First, we consider Cases 3,4 , and 7 . We show that $m_{3}\left(v_{4}\right) \leq 3$ in these cases. Assume that $v_{4}$ is incident to four 3 -faces. Let $v_{7}$ and $v_{8}$ be the neighbours of $v_{4}$ other than $v, v_{3}$, and $v_{5}$. Since $v_{4}$ is already incident to two 3 -faces, namely $\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$ and $\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right.$ ], the remaining two 3 -faces must be one of the following: (i) [ $\left.v_{3} v_{7} v_{4}\right]$ and [ $v_{4} v_{8} v_{5}$ ], or (ii) $\left[v_{4} v_{7} v_{8}\right]$ and $\left[v_{4} v_{8} v_{5}\right]$. In case (i), we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{4}+\left\{v_{7} v_{8}\right\}$. In case (ii), we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{4}+\left\{v_{3} v_{7}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{4}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{4}\right)\right| \leq 19$ in each case, we can color $v_{4}$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we consider Cases $1,2,5$, and 9 . We prove that $m_{3}\left(v_{6}\right) \leq 3$ in these cases. Assume that $v_{6}$ is incident to four 3 -faces. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v_{6}+\{v x\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}\left(v_{6}\right) \leq 19$ in each case, there exists a safe color for $v_{6}$, a contradiction.

We can similarly show that $m_{3}\left(v_{3}\right) \leq 3$ in Case 8 . Assume that $v_{3}$ is incident to four 3 -faces. Let $v_{7}$ and $v_{8}$ be the neighbours of $v_{3}$ other than $v, v_{2}$, and $v_{4}$. Since $v_{3}$ is already incident to two 3 -faces, namely $\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right.$ ] and $\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right]$, the remaining two 3 -faces must be one of the following: (i) $\left[v_{2} v_{7} v_{3}\right]$ and $\left[v_{3} v_{8} v_{4}\right]$, or (ii) [ $v_{3} v_{7} v_{8}$ ] and $\left[v_{3} v_{8} v_{4}\right]$. In case (i), we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{3}+\left\{v_{7} v_{8}\right\}$. In case (ii), we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{3}+\left\{v_{2} v_{7}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}\left(v_{3}\right) \leq 19$ in each case, there exists a safe color for $v_{3}$, a contradiction.

Finally, we discuss Case 6 and Case 10. To show that there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(v) \geq 4$, it suffices to prove that at most two edges in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ are contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that three edges in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ are contained in two 3-faces. In each case, we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{6}, v_{6} v_{1}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction. From the above, there are at most two 5 -vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ in Case 1 through Case 10 .

Lemma 2.10. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=5$ and $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1$ and let $u$ be any 5 -vertex adjacent to $v$. Then the following hold:
(1) $n_{4}(v) \leq 2$.
(2) If $n_{4}(v)=2$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 2$.
(3) If $n_{4}(v)=1$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 4$. Moreover, if $n_{5}(v)=4$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$.
(4) If $n_{4}(v)=0$ and $n_{5}(v)=6$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$.
(5) If $n_{4}(v)=0$ and $n_{5}(v)=5$, then there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$.

Proof. Suppose that $v$ is incident to five 3 -faces $\left[v v_{1} v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} v_{3}\right],\left[v v_{3} v_{4}\right],\left[v v_{4} v_{5}\right],\left[v v_{5} v_{6}\right]$, and one $5^{+}$-face that contains $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$. By Lemma 2.2(2), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex.
(1) The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.9(1). The vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ can be 4 -vertices.
(2) We suppose that $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are 4 -vertices. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to three 5 -vertices. Regardless of which neighbours of $v$ other than $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are the three 5 -vertices, we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20 -coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
(3) Without loss of generality, we suppose that $v_{1}$ is a 4 -vertex. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$, we can color $v$ with a safe color, a contradiction. Now, we suppose that $v$ is adjacent to four 5 -vertices. To show that any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ cannot be incident to more than four 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(4) Suppose that all neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices. To show that any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ is incident to at most three 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{4} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{6}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(5) Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices and one 6 -vertex. To show that there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$, it suffices to prove that at most one edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that two edges $v_{i} v_{i+1}$ and $v_{j} v_{j+1}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ with $i \neq j$ are contained in two 3 -faces. Due to symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where $v_{1}, v_{2}$, or $v_{3}$ is a 6 -vertex. In each of these cases, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{4} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{6}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

From Lemma 2.11 to Lemma 2.13, let $f_{i}=\left[v v_{i} v_{i+1}\right]$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$ and $f_{6}=\left[v v_{6} v_{1}\right]$ be the 3 -faces incident to $v$.

Lemma 2.11. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4$ and $m_{4}(v)=2$. Then the following hold:
(1) $n_{3}(v)=0$.
(2) If $n_{4}(v)=1$, then $n_{5}(v) \leq 4$.
(3) If $n_{5}(v)=6$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$ for any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$.

Proof. We have three cases where $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces and two 4 -faces: Case 1: The 4 -faces are $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and $\left[v v_{2} y v_{3}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 2: The 4 -faces are $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and $\left[v v_{3} y v_{4}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 3: The 4 -faces are $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and $\left[v v_{4} y v_{5}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$.
(1) By Lemma 2.2(2), a 3-vertex is not incident to any 3-face, and by Lemma 2.2(3), a 3 -vertex is incident to at most one 4 -face. Thus $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex in each case.
(2) Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to one 4 -vertex. Assume that all other neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices. First, we consider Case 1. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if $v$ is a 4 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=2$, then no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Thus only $v_{1}, v_{2}$, or $v_{3}$ can be a 4 -vertex. In each case, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G$, $G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \leq 19$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}(v)\right| \geq 1$, there exists a safe color for $v$. By coloring $v$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Next, we consider Case 2. For the same reason, only $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, or $v_{4}$ can be a 4 -vertex. In each case, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{3} v_{4}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Finally, we consider Case 3 . Each neighbour of $v$ can be a 4 -vertex. By symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where $v_{1}$ or $v_{3}$ is a 4 -vertex. In each case, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{5}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.
(3) Suppose that all neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices. To show that any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ cannot be incident to more than four 3 -faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces of $G$. Assume that the edge $v_{6} v_{1}$ is contained in two 3-faces. In Case 1, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$. In Case 2, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{3} v_{4}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{1}\right\}$. In Case 3, let $G^{\prime}=G-v+\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}, v_{1} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{5}\right\}$. In each case, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Since $d_{2}(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for $v$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.12. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4, m_{4}(v)=1$, and $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1$. Then $n_{3}(v) \leq 1$. In particular, if $n_{3}(v)=1$, then $n_{4}(v)=0$.

Proof. We have three cases where $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces, one 4 -face, and one $5^{+}$-face: Case 1 : The 4 -face is $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and the $5^{+}$-face is $\left[v v_{2} y \ldots z v_{3}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 2 : The 4 -face is $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and the $5^{+}$-face is $\left[v v_{3} y \ldots z v_{4}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 3: The 4 -face is $\left[v v_{1} x v_{2}\right]$ and the $5^{+}$-face is $\left[v v_{4} y \ldots z v_{5}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$.

First, we show that $v$ is adjacent to at most one 3 -vertex. By Lemma 2.2(2), a 3 -vertex is not incident to any 3 -face. Thus $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex in Case 2 and Case 3. In Case 1 , only $v_{2}$ can be a 3 -vertex. Thus $n_{3}(v) \leq 1$ holds.

Now we consider Case 1 and suppose that $v_{2}$ is a 3 -vertex. Assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 4 -vertex. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if $v$ is a 4 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=2$, then no edge in $G\left[N_{G}(v)\right]$ is contained in two 3 -faces. Hence only $v_{1}$ or $v_{3}$ can be a 4 -vertex. If $v_{1}$ is a 4 -vertex, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{2}+\left\{v_{1} y\right\}$. Otherwise, we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{2}+\left\{v_{3} x, v_{3} y\right\}$. In both cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{2}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \leq 17$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v_{2}$. By coloring $v_{2}$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.13. Let $v$ be a 6 -vertex with $m_{3}(v)=4$ and $m_{5^{+}}(v)=2$. Then $n_{3}(v) \leq 1$. In particular, if $n_{3}(v)=1$, then $n_{4}(v)=0$.

Proof. We have three cases where $v$ is incident to four 3 -faces and two $5^{+}$-faces: Case 1: The $5^{+}$-faces are $\left[v v_{1} x \ldots y v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{2} z \ldots w v_{3}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 2: The $5^{+}$-faces are $\left[v v_{1} x \ldots y v_{2}\right]$, $\left[v v_{3} z \ldots w v_{4}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$. Case 3: The $5^{+}$-faces are $\left[v v_{1} x \ldots y v_{2}\right],\left[v v_{4} z \ldots w v_{5}\right]$, and the 3 -faces are $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{5}$, and $f_{6}$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.12. Only $v_{2}$ can be a 3 -vertex in Case 1 . Thus $n_{3}(v) \leq 1$ holds. We suppose that $v_{2}$ is a 3 -vertex and assume that $v$ is adjacent to a 4 -vertex. Only $v_{1}$ or $v_{3}$ can be a 4 -vertex. If $v_{1}$ is a 4-vertex, then we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{2}+\left\{v_{1} y, v_{1} z\right\}$. Otherwise, we construct $G^{\prime}=G-v_{2}+\left\{v_{3} y, v_{3} z\right\}$. In both cases, $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{2}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \leq 18$, we can color $v_{2}$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.14. Let $f$ be a 5 -face of $G$. Then there is at most one 3 -vertex incident to $f$. In particular, if $f$ is incident to one 3 -vertex, then $f$ is not incident to any 4 -vertex.

Proof. Let $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}\right]$ be a 5 -face. By Lemma 2.2(1), a 3 -vertex is not adjacent to any $5^{-}$-vertex. Assume that $v_{1}$ and $v_{4}$ are 3 -vertices with $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ and $N_{G}\left(v_{4}\right)=\left\{v_{3}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right\}$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-v_{1}+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{6}\right\}$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is proper with respect to $G$. By the minimality of $G, G^{\prime}$ has a 2-distance 20-coloring $\phi^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{1}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{1}\right)\right| \leq 18$ and $|C|-\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for $v_{1}$. By coloring $v_{1}$ with the safe color, $\phi$ becomes a 2 -distance 20 -coloring of $G$, a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $v_{1}$ is a 3 -vertex with $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$. Assume that $v_{4}$ is a 4 -vertex. It is clear that $G^{\prime}=G-v_{1}+\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{6}\right\}$ is proper with respect to $G$. Let $\phi$ be a coloring of $G$ such that every vertex in $V(G)$, except for $v_{1}$, is colored using $\phi^{\prime}$. Since $\left|C_{\phi}\left(v_{1}\right)\right| \leq 18$, we can color $v_{1}$ with a safe color, a contradiction.

We obtain the following corollary from Lemma 2.2.
Corollary 2.15. A $6^{+}$-face $f$ is incident to at most $\left\lfloor\frac{d(f)}{2}\right\rfloor 3$-vertices.

## 3 Discharging

In this section, we design discharging rules and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. We can derive the following equation by Euler's formula $|V(G)|-|E(G)|+|F(G)|=2$.

$$
\sum_{v \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(v)-4\right)+\sum_{f \in F(G)}(d(f)-4)=-8
$$

We assign an initial charge $\mu(v)=d_{G}(v)-4$ to each vertex and $\mu(f)=d(f)-4$ to each face. We design appropriate discharging rules and redistribute the charges of the vertices and faces according to those rules. Let $\mu^{\prime}(v)$ and $\mu^{\prime}(f)$ denote the final charges of the vertices and faces, respectively, after the discharging process.

During the process, the sum of charges remains constant. If $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$ and $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 0$, the following contradiction arises.

$$
0 \leq \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \mu^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \mu(x)=-8<0 .
$$

We design the following discharging rules, which are based on the rules in [5].
R1 Every 3-face receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident vertices.
R2 Every 3-vertex receives $\frac{1}{9}$ from each of its adjacent 6 -vertices $w$ with $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$.
R3 Every 3-vertex receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident $5^{+}$-faces.
R4 Every 4 -vertex receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident $5^{+}$-faces.
R5 Every 4-vertex receives $\frac{1}{15}$ from each of its adjacent 6 -vertices $w$ with $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$.
R6 Every 5-vertex receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident $5^{+}$-faces.
R7 Every 5 -vertex $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ receives $\frac{2}{15}$ from each of its adjacent 6 -vertices $w$ with $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$.
R8 Every 6 -vertex $v$ receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident $5^{+}$-faces $f$, if $f$ does not contain any 3-vertex adjacent to $v$.
R9 Every 6 -vertex $v$ receives $\frac{1}{9}$ from each of its incident $5^{+}$-faces $f$, if $f$ contains a 3 -vertex adjacent to $v$.
First, we prove that $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 0$ for each $f \in F(G)$.
Case 1. $d(f)=3$.
The initial charge is $\mu(f)=d(f)-4=-1$. By Lemma 2.2(2), $f$ is not incident to any 3-vertex. By R1, $f$ receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each $4^{+}$-vertex incident to $f$. Thus $\mu^{\prime}(f)=-1+3 \times \frac{1}{3}=0$.
Case 2. $d(f)=4$.
By the discharging rules, there is no transfer of charge. Thus $\mu(f)=\mu^{\prime}(f)=0$.
Case 3. $d(f)=5$.
The initial charge is $\mu(f)=d(f)-4=1$. By Lemma 2.14, $f$ is incident to at most one 3 -vertex, and if $f$ is incident to one 3 -vertex, then $f$ is not incident to any 4 -vertex. By Lemma 2.2(1), all neighbours of a 3 -vertex are 6 -vertices. If $f$ is incident to a 3 -vertex, then $\mu^{\prime}(f)=1-\frac{1}{3}-2 \times \frac{1}{5}-2 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{2}{45}$ by R3, R6, R8, and R9. Otherwise, $\mu^{\prime}(f)=1-5 \times \frac{1}{5}=0$ by R4, R6, and R8.
Case 4. $d(f)=6^{+}$.
The initial charge is $\mu(f)=d(f)-4 \geq 2$. We have $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 0$ by By Corollary 2.15.
Next, we prove that $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$ for each $v \in V(G)$. By Lemma 2.1 and $\Delta \leq 6$, we only consider the cases where $3 \leq d_{G}(v) \leq 6$.

Case 1. $d_{G}(v)=3$.
The initial charge is $\mu(v)=d_{G}(v)-4=-1$. By Lemma 2.2(1), all neighbours of $v$ are 6 -vertices. By Lemma 2.2(2) and Lemma 2.2(3), $v$ is incident to either one 4 -face and two $5^{+}$-faces or three $5^{+}$-faces. In each case, $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$ holds for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. If $v$ is incident to one 4 -face and two $5^{+}$-faces, then $\mu^{\prime}(v)=-1+3 \times \frac{1}{9}+2 \times \frac{1}{3}=0$ by R2 and R3. Otherwise, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=-1+3 \times \frac{1}{9}+3 \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{3}$ by R2 and R3.
Case 2. $d_{G}(v)=4$.
The initial charge is $\mu(v)=d_{G}(v)-4=0$. By Lemma 2.2(1), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex. By Lemma 2.3, we have $m_{3}(v) \leq 2$. Thus we divide the case based on the value of $m_{3}(v)$.
Case 2.1. $m_{3}(v)=2$.
By Lemma 2.3, we have $m_{4}(v)=0, n_{6}(v)=4$, and $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. This implies that $v$ is incident to two 3 -faces and two $5^{+}$-faces, all neighbours of $v$ are 6 -vertices, and R 5 can be applied to $v$. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=0-2 \times \frac{1}{3}+2 \times \frac{1}{5}+4 \times \frac{1}{15}=0$.
Case 2.2. $m_{3}(v)=1$.
By Lemma 2.4, we have $m_{4}(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $1 \leq m_{4}(v) \leq 2$, then $n_{4}(v)=0$ and $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$. If $m_{4}(v)=2$, then the remaining face incident to $v$ is a $5^{+}$-face, and $v$ is adjacent to at least three 6 -vertices. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0-1 \times \frac{1}{3}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}+3 \times \frac{1}{15}=\frac{1}{15}$. If $m_{4}(v)=1$, then the remaining two faces incident to $v$ are $5^{+}$-faces, and $v$ is adjacent to at least three 6 -vertices. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0-1 \times \frac{1}{3}+2 \times \frac{1}{5}+3 \times \frac{1}{15}=\frac{4}{15}$. If $m_{4}(v)=0$, then the remaining three faces incident to $v$ are $5^{+}$-faces. Regardless of the number of 6 -vertices adjacent to $v$, we have $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0-1 \times \frac{1}{3}+3 \times \frac{1}{5}=\frac{4}{15}$ by R1 and R4.
Case 2.3. $m_{3}(v)=0$.
In this case, $v$ is not incident to any 3 -face, which implies that R1 cannot be applied. Thus $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq \mu(v)=$ 0 .
Case 3. $d_{G}(v)=5$.
The initial charge is $\mu(v)=d_{G}(v)-4=1$. By Lemma 2.2(1), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex. We divide the case based on the value of $m_{3}(v)$.

Case 3.1. $m_{3}(v)=5$.
By Lemma 2.5, we have $n_{6}(v)=5$ and $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. Thus R 7 can be applied to $v$. By R1 and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=1-5 \times \frac{1}{3}+5 \times \frac{2}{15}=0$.
Case 3.2. $m_{3}(v)=4$.
The remaining face incident to $v$ is either one 4 -face or one $5^{+}$-face. First, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 4 -face. By Lemma 2.6, we have $n_{4^{-}}(v)=0, n_{5}(v) \leq 1$, and $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. Thus R7 can be applied to $v$. If $v$ is adjacent to one 5 -vertex and four 6 -vertices, then $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+4 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{1}{5}$ by R1 and R7. If $v$ is adjacent to five 6 -vertices, then $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+5 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{1}{3}$ by R1 and R7. Next, we consider the case where the remaining face is a $5^{+}$-face. By Lemma 2.8, the pattern of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to $v$ must be one of the cases (a) through (d). In each case, we show that $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$.
(a). $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(1,0,4)$.

By Lemma 2.8(1), we have $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. Thus R 7 can be applied to $v$. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}+4 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{2}{5}$.
(b). $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,2,3)$.

By Lemma 2.8(2), we have $m_{3}(w) \leq 4$ for any 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$. Thus R7 can be applied to $v$. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}+3 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{4}{15}$.
(c). $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,1,4)$.

By Lemma 2.8(3), there exists at least one 6 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}(w) \leq 5$. Thus $v$ receives at least $\frac{2}{15}$ from such a 6 -vertex by R7. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}+1 \times \frac{2}{15}=0$.
(d). $\left(n_{4}(v), n_{5}(v), n_{6}(v)\right)=(0,0,5)$.

By Lemma 2.8(4), there exist at least two 6 -vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}$ adjacent to $v$ with $m_{3}\left(w_{1}\right) \leq 5$ and $m_{3}\left(w_{2}\right) \leq 5$. Thus $v$ receives at least $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ from such 6 -vertices by R7. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 1-4 \times \frac{1}{3}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}+2 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{2}{15}$.
Case 3.3. $m_{3}(v) \leq 3$.
The only rule by which $v$ loses charge is R1. By R1 and $m_{3}(v) \leq 3$, we have $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 1-3 \times \frac{1}{3}=0$.
Case 4. $d_{G}(v)=6$.
The initial charge is $\mu(v)=d_{G}(v)-4=2$. We divide the case based on the value of $m_{3}(v)$.
Case 4.1. $m_{3}(v)=6$.
Since $m_{3}(v)=6, \mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{R} 5$, and R 7 cannot be applied to $v$. The only rule by which $v$ loses charge is R1.
Thus $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-6 \times \frac{1}{3}=0$.
Case 4.2. $m_{3}(v)=5$.
By R1, $v$ sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each of its incident 3 -faces. Since $m_{3}(v)=5$, v loses $5 \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{5}{3}$ charge. By Lemma 2.2(2), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex. The remaining face incident to $v$ is either one 4 -face or one $5^{+}$-face. First, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 4 -face. By Lemma $2.9(1)$, we have $n_{4}(v) \leq 2$. Thus we further divide the case based on the value of $n_{4}(v)$.
Case 4.2.1. $m_{4}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=2$.
By Lemma $2.9(2)$, we have $n_{5}(v) \leq 1$. In the worst situation, $v$ is adjacent to one 5 -vertex $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$. By R1, R5, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-2 \times \frac{1}{15}-1 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{1}{15}$.
Case 4.2.2. $m_{4}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=1$.
By Lemma 2.9(3), we have $n_{5}(v) \leq 3$, and if $n_{5}(v)=3$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$ for any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$. Thus if $n_{5}(v)=3$, then $v$ does not lose charge by R7. The vertex $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to two 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R5, and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-1 \times \frac{1}{15}-2 \times \frac{2}{15}=0$.
Case 4.2.3. $m_{4}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=0$.
By Lemma 2.9(4) and Lemma 2.9(4.1), we have $n_{5}(v) \leq 5$, and if $n_{5}(v)=5$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$ for any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$. Thus if $n_{5}(v)=5$, then $v$ does not lose charge by R7. If $n_{5}(v) \leq 4$, then there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ by Lemma 2.9(4.2) and Lemma 2.9(4.3). This implies that $v$ loses at most $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ charge by R7. By R1 and R7, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-2 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{1}{15}$.
Next, we consider the case where the remaining face is a $5^{+}$-face. By Lemma 2.10(1), we have $n_{4}(v) \leq 2$.
Thus we further divide the case based on the value of $n_{4}(v)$.
Case 4.2.4. $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=2$.
By Lemma $2.10(2)$, we have $n_{5}(v) \leq 2$. This implies that $v$ loses at most $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ charge by R7. By $\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{R} 7$, and $\mathrm{R} 8, \mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-2 \times \frac{1}{15}-2 \times \frac{2}{15}+\frac{1}{5}=\frac{2}{15}$.
Case 4.2.5. $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=1$.
By Lemma $2.10(3)$, we have $n_{5}(v) \leq 4$, and if $n_{5}(v)=4$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$ for any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$. Thus if $n_{5}(v)=4$, then $v$ does not lose charge by R7. The vertex $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to three 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R5, R7, and R8, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-1 \times \frac{1}{15}-3 \times \frac{2}{15}+\frac{1}{5}=\frac{1}{15}$.
Case 4.2.6. $m_{5^{+}}(v)=1, n_{4}(v)=0$.

By Lemma 2.10(4), if $n_{5}(v)=6$, then $m_{3}(u) \leq 3$ for any 5 -vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$. Hence if $n_{5}(v)=6$, then $v$ does not lose charge by R7. By Lemma $2.10(5)$, if $n_{5}(v)=5$, then there exist at most two 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$. Thus $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to four 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-\frac{5}{3}-4 \times \frac{2}{15}+\frac{1}{5}=0$.
Case 4.3. $m_{3}(v)=4$.
By R1, $v$ sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each of its incident 3-faces. Since $m_{3}(v)=4, v$ loses $4 \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{3}$ charge. We further divide the case based on the faces incident to $v$, which can be either two 4 -faces, one 4 -face and one $5^{+}$-face, or two $5^{+}$-faces.
Case 4.3.1. $m_{4}(v)=2$.
By Lemma 2.11(1), $v$ is not adjacent to any 3 -vertex. The rule by which $v$ loses the most charge, except for R1, is R7. Thus if all neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices to which R 7 applies, then $v$ loses $6 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{4}{5}$ by R7. This discussion implies that $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-\frac{4}{5}=-\frac{2}{15}<0$. However, by Lemma 2.11(3), the situation does not arise. The next situation in which $v$ loses the most charge is when $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ and one 4 -vertex, but by Lemma 2.11(2), this situation cannot occur. In the possible cases, $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to either four 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ and two 4 -vertices, or five 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$ and one 6 -vertex. In the former case, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-2 \times \frac{1}{15}-4 \times \frac{2}{15}=0$ by R1, R5, and R7. In the latter case, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-5 \times \frac{2}{15}=0$ by R1 and R7.
Case 4.3.2. $m_{4}(v)=1, m_{5^{+}}(v)=1$.
By Lemma 2.12, $v$ is adjacent to at most one 3 -vertex, and if $v$ is adjacent to one 3 -vertex, then $v$ is not adjacent to any 4 -vertex. Since $v$ is incident to one $5^{+}$-face, R8 or R9 can be applied to $v$. If $v$ is adjacent to one 3 -vertex, then $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R2, R7, and R9, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-1 \times \frac{1}{9}-5 \times \frac{2}{15}+1 \times \frac{1}{9}=0$. If $v$ is not adjacent to a 3 -vertex, then $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to six 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-6 \times \frac{2}{15}+1 \times \frac{1}{5}=\frac{1}{15}$.
Case 4.3.3. $m_{5^{+}}(v)=2$.
By Lemma 2.13, $v$ is adjacent to at most one 3 -vertex, and if $v$ is adjacent to one 3 -vertex, then $v$ is not adjacent to any 4 -vertex. Since $v$ is incident to two $5^{+}$-faces, $v$ receives at least $2 \times \frac{1}{9}$ charge by R9. If $v$ is adjacent to one 3 -vertex, then $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to five 5 -vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R2, R7, and R9, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-1 \times \frac{1}{9}-5 \times \frac{2}{15}+2 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{1}{9}$. If $v$ is not adjacent to a 3 -vertex, then $v$ loses the most charge when $v$ is adjacent to six 5 -vertices to which R 7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu^{\prime}(v)=2-\frac{4}{3}-6 \times \frac{2}{15}+2 \times \frac{1}{5}=\frac{4}{15}$.
Case 4.4. $m_{3}(v) \leq 3$.
By R1, $v$ loses at most $3 \times \frac{1}{3}=1$ charge. The rule by which $v$ loses the most charge, except for R1, is R7. Thus if all neighbours of $v$ are 5 -vertices $u$ with $m_{3}(u) \geq 4$, then $v$ loses $6 \times \frac{2}{15}=\frac{4}{5}$ charge by R7. The final charge is $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-1-\frac{4}{5}=\frac{1}{5}>0$, which implies that $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$ holds when $m_{3}(v) \leq 3$.

Now, we have confirmed $\mu^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 holds.
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