2-distance 20-coloring of planar graphs with maximum degree 6

Kengo Aoki*

Abstract

A 2-distance k-coloring of a graph G is a proper k-coloring such that any two vertices at distance two or less get different colors. The 2-distance chromatic number of G is the minimum k such that G has a 2-distance k-coloring, denoted by $\chi_2(G)$. In this paper, we show that $\chi_2(G) \leq 20$ for every planar graph G with maximum degree at most six, which improves a former bound $\chi_2(G) \leq 21$.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite, and planar. For a graph G, we denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, and the set of faces by V(G), E(G), and F(G), respectively. For a graph G and a vertex v in G, let G - v denote the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertex v and all edges incident with v. The set of neighbours of a vertex v in a graph G is denoted by $N_G(v)$. The degree of a vertex v in a graph G, denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges of G incident with v. The maximum degree and minimum degree of a graph G are denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (Δ and δ for short). A vertex of degree k (respectively, at least k, at most k) is said to be a k-vertex (respectively, k^+ -vertex, k^- -vertex). For $X \subseteq V(G)$, let G[X] denote the subgraph of G induced by X. A face is said to be *incident* with the vertices and edges in its boundary, and two faces are adjacent if their boundaries have an edge in common. The degree of a face f in a graph G, denoted by d(f), is the number of edges in its boundary. A face of degree k (respectively, at least k, at most k) is said to be a k-face (respectively, k^+ -face, k^- -face). A $[v_1v_2 \dots v_k]$ is a k-face with vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k on its boundary. For a vertex v in a graph G, let $m_k(v)$ denote the number of k-faces incident with v, and let $n_k(v)$ denote the number of k-vertices adjacent to v.

Let ϕ be a partial coloring of a graph G. For a vertex v in a graph G, let $C_{\phi}(v)$ denote the set of colors assigned to the vertices within distance two from v. A 2-distance k-coloring of a graph G is a mapping $\phi: V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\phi(v_1) \neq \phi(v_2)$ for any two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(G)$ with $d_G(v_1, v_2) \leq 2$, where $d_G(v_1, v_2)$ is the distance between the two vertices v_1 and v_2 . The 2-distance chromatic number of G is the minimum k such that G has a 2-distance k-coloring, denoted by $\chi_2(G)$. Let $d_2(v)$ denote the number of vertices within distance two from a vertex v. Any definitions and notations not explicitly stated in this paper conform to those in [1].

The study of 2-distance coloring originated from the research on square coloring, which was first introduced by Kramer and Kramer [12, 11]. The square of a graph G, denoted by G^2 , is obtained by adding edges between all pairs of vertices that have a common neighbour in G. In 1977, Wegner made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [15] If G is a planar graph, then $\chi_2(G) \leq 7$ if $\Delta = 3$, $\chi_2(G) \leq \Delta + 5$ if $4 \leq \Delta \leq 7$, and $\chi_2(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{3\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1$ if $\Delta \geq 8$.

The case of $\Delta = 3$ was independently proven by Thomassen [14] and by Hartke et al. [7]. Havet et al. [8, 9] proved that the conjecture holds asymptotically. Bousquet et al. [3] proved that $\chi_2(G) \leq 12$ for $\Delta \leq 4$ using an automatic discharging method. Deniz [5] proved that $\chi_2(G) \leq 16$ for $\Delta \leq 5$. For a comprehensive overview of 2-distance coloring and related research, we refer the reader to [4].

The upper bound on $\chi_2(G)$ for $\Delta = 6$ has been gradually improving. Zhu and Bu [16] proved that $\chi_2(G) \leq 5\Delta - 7$ for $\Delta \geq 6$, which was improved by Krzyziński et al. [13] to $\chi_2(G) \leq 3\Delta + 4$ for $\Delta \geq 6$. In this paper, we show that $\chi_2(G) \leq 20$ for every planar graph G with $\Delta \leq 6$, which improves the result of $\chi_2(G) \leq 21$ proved by Bousquet et al. [2]. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. If G is a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta \leq 6$, then $\chi_2(G) \leq 20$.

Remark. Recently, Deniz [6] posted the first version of the proof on arXiv on March 18, 2024, showing that $\chi_2(G) \leq 2\Delta + 7$ for planar graphs. The proof in this version covers the cases $\Delta = 6$, 7, and 8. According to this inequality, when $\Delta = 6$, it follows that $\chi_2(G) \leq 19$. However, the proof for the case $\Delta = 6$ in this initial version is incomplete and requires further elaboration.

^{*}Department of Informatics, Graduate School of Integrated Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 3-5-1 Johoku, Chuo-ku, Hamamatsu-shi, 432-8011, Shizuoka, Japan, aoki.kengo.19@shizuoka.ac.jp

2 Reducible configurations

Let G be a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1.2 with minimum |V(G)| + |E(G)|. That is G is a planar graph with $\chi_2(G) > 20$, such that for any planar subgraph G' with $\Delta(G') \leq \Delta(G)$ and |V(G')| + |E(G')| < |V(G)| + |E(G)|, we have $\chi_2(G') \leq 20$. Obviously, G is a connected graph.

Let $C = \{1, 2, ..., 20\}$ be a set of colors. We call a graph G' proper with respect to G if G' is obtained from G by deleting some edges or vertices and adding some edges such that for any two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(G) \cap V(G')$ with $d_G(v_1, v_2) \leq 2$, we have $d_{G'}(v_1, v_2) \leq 2$. This definition of proper is the same as the one used in [5, 10]. In this section, we present some reducible configurations of G. The proofs of the lemmas generally follow a similar pattern: We construct a graph G' that is proper with respect to G by deleting a vertex v from G and adding some edges. By the minimality of G, there exists a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' of G'. Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for the deleted vertex v, is colored using ϕ' . If $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$, then a safe color exists for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ' can be extended to a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ of G. This implies that $\chi_2(G) \leq 20$, which is a contradiction. The essence of the proof is to construct a proper G' such that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq d_2(v) \leq 19$.

Lemma 2.1. We have $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

Proof. Assume that G contains a 1-vertex v. It is clear that G' = G - v is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 6$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 14$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction. Next, we assume that G has a 2-vertex v with $N_G(v) = \{x, y\}$. Let $G' = G - v + \{xy\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 12$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 8$. Therefore, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.2. Let v be a 3-vertex. Then,

- (1) $n_{5^-}(v) = 0$,
- (2) $m_3(v) = 0$, and
- (3) $m_4(v) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 be the neighbours of v. (1) Assume that v is adjacent to a 5⁻-vertex. Without loss of generality, let v_1 be a 5⁻-vertex. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 17$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 3$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(2) Assume that v is incident to a 3-face $[vv_1v_2]$. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 16$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

(3) Assume that v is incident to two 4-faces $[vv_1xv_2]$ and $[vv_2yv_3]$. It is clear that $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 16$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3. Let v be a 4-vertex. Then $m_3(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $m_3(v) = 2$, then $m_4(v) = 0$, $n_6(v) = 4$, and $m_3(w) \leq 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v.

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 be the neighbours of v in clockwise order. First, we show that $m_3(v) \leq 2$. Assume that v is incident to three 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, and $[vv_3v_4]$. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_4\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 18$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Now, we consider the case $m_3(v) = 2$. Let f_1 and f_2 be two 3-faces incident to v. First, we show that $m_4(v) = 0$. Assume that v is incident to a 4-face $[vv_1xv_2]$. If f_1 and f_2 are adjacent, say $f_1 = [vv_2v_3]$ and $f_2 = [vv_3v_4]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_4\}$. If f_1 and f_2 are not adjacent, say $f_1 = [vv_2v_3]$ and $f_2 = [vv_4v_1]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_3v_4\}$. In both cases, G' is proper with respect to G and by the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ in each case, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $n_6(v) = 4$. Assume that v is incident to a 5⁻-vertex. Without loss of generality, let v_1 be a 5⁻-vertex. If f_1 and f_2 are adjacent, say $f_1 = [vv_1v_2]$ and $f_2 = [vv_2v_3]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_4\}$. If f_1 and f_2 are not adjacent, say $f_1 = [vv_1v_2]$ and $f_2 = [vv_3v_4]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_4v_1\}$. In both cases, G' is proper with respect to G and $d_2(v) \leq 19$, a contradiction.

Finally, we prove that $m_3(w) \leq 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. To show that w cannot be incident to more than five 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Let $f_1 = [vv_1v_2]$. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces of G. This implies that there exists a vertex x such that x is a common neighbour of v_1 and v_2 . If f_1 and f_2 are adjacent, say $f_2 = [vv_2v_3]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_4\}$. If f_1 and f_2 are not adjacent, say $f_2 = [vv_3v_4]$, then let $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_4v_1\}$. In both cases, G' is proper with respect to G and $d_2(v) \leq 19$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.4. Let v be a 4-vertex with $m_3(v) = 1$. Then $m_4(v) \le 2$. In particular, if $1 \le m_4(v) \le 2$, then $n_4(v) = 0$, and $n_5(v) \le 1$.

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 be the neighbours of v in clockwise order and let $[vv_1v_2]$ be a 3-face incident to v. First, we show that $m_4(v) \leq 2$. Assume that v is incident to three 4-faces $[vv_2xv_3]$, $[vv_3yv_4]$, and $[vv_4zv_1]$. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_1v_4\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Now, we suppose that $m_4(v) = 2$. Let f_1 and f_2 be two 4-faces incident to v. First, we prove that $n_4(v) = 0$. Assume that v is adjacent to a 4-vertex. Let v_1 be a 4-vertex. Regardless of whether f_1 and f_2 are adjacent, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4\}$. (If a vertex $v_i \in N_G(v)$ other than v_1 is a 4-vertex, then we construct G' by deleting v and adding edges from v_i to each neighbour v_j of v with $v_iv_j \notin E(G)$.) The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20 coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 18$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction. Second, we show that $n_5(v) \leq 1$. Assume that v is adjacent to two 5-vertices. There are six possible arrangements of two 5-vertices among the four neighbours of v. Regardless of whether f_1 and f_2 are adjacent, the construction of G' depends on which neighbours of v are the two 5-vertices. If v_1 is one of the two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4\}$. Similarly, if v_2 is one of the two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_2v_4\}$. Otherwise, if v_3 and v_4 are two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1\}$. In all cases, G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 18$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that $m_4(v) = 1$. Let f_1 be a 4-face incident to v. The proof of $n_4(v) = 0$ is similar to the proof when we supposed that $m_4(v) = 2$. Assume that v is adjacent to a 4-vertex and let v_1 be a 4-vertex. We construct G' in the same way as before, regardless of the position of f_1 : $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . The only difference is that, in this case, $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$. We can color v with a safe color, a contradiction. Finally, we prove that $n_5(v) \leq 1$. Assume that v is adjacent to two 5-vertices. There are six possible arrangements of two 5-vertices among the four neighbors of v. We consider two cases based on the position of f_1 . Case 1: $f_1 = [vv_2xv_3]$. If v_1 is one of the two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4\}$. Similarly, if v_2 is one of the two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_2v_4\}$. Otherwise, if v_3 and v_4 are the two 5-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1\}$. Case 2: $f_1 = [vv_3xv_4]$. In this case, we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_3, v_4v_1\}$, regardless of which neighbours of v are the two 5-vertices. In all cases, G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Now, we discuss the properties of a 5-vertex in G. Let v be a 5-vertex and let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_5 be the neighbours of v in clockwise order.

Lemma 2.5. Let v be a 5-vertex. If $m_3(v) = 5$, then $n_6(v) = 5$ and $m_3(w) \le 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v.

Proof. Suppose that v is incident to five 3-faces. First, we show that $n_6(v) = 5$. Assume that v is adjacent to a 5⁻-vertex. Without loss of generality, let v_1 be a 5⁻-vertex. It is clear that G' = G - v is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $m_3(w) \leq 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. To show that w cannot be incident to more than five 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Without loss of generality, we assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. This implies that there exists a

vertex x such that x is a common neighbour of v_1 and v_2 . It is clear that G' = G - v is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.6. Let v be a 5-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$ and $m_4(v) = 1$. Then $n_{4^-}(v) = 0$, $n_5(v) \le 1$, and $m_3(w) \le 5$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v.

Proof. Suppose that v is incident to four 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[vv_4v_5]$, and one 4-face $[vv_5xv_1]$. First, we show that $n_{4^-}(v) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2(1), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex. Thus it suffices to show that v is not adjacent to any 4-vertex. Assume that v is adjacent to a 4-vertex. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_5v_1\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that v is incident to two 5-vertices. It is clear that $G' = G - v + \{v_5v_1\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction. Thus $n_5(v) \leq 1$ holds. This implies that $n_6(v) \geq 4$.

Finally, we prove that $m_3(w) \leq 5$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. Assume that v_1 is a 6-vertex. Since v_1 is incident to a 4-face, v_1 can be incident to at most five 3-faces. By symmetry, the same holds if we assume that v_5 is a 6-vertex. Next, we prove that if v_2 , v_3 , or v_4 is a 6-vertex, then it can be incident to at most five 3-faces. Without loss of generality, we assume that v_2 is a 6-vertex and is incident to six 3-faces. In this case, each of the edges v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 is contained in two 3-faces. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_5\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.7. Let v be a 5-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$ and $m_{5^+}(v) = 1$. Then $n_3(v) = 0$, $n_4(v) \le 1$, and $n_5(v) \le 2$. In particular, if $n_4(v) = 1$, then $n_5(v) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that v is incident to four 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[vv_4v_5]$, and one 5⁺-face that contains v_1 and v_5 . Obviously, we have $n_3(v) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2(1). Now, we show that $n_4(v) \leq 1$. Assume that v is adjacent to two 4-vertices. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_5\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 18$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Next, we prove that $n_5(v) \leq 2$. Assume that v is adjacent to three 5-vertices. It is clear that $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_5\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Finally, we consider the case $n_4(v) = 1$. Assume that v is adjacent to a 5-vertex. Obviously, $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_5\}$ is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.8. Let v be a 5-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$ and $m_{5+}(v) = 1$. Then the number of 4-vertices, 5-vertices, and 6-vertices adjacent to v must be one of the following:

- (a) $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (1, 0, 4),$
- (b) $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (0, 2, 3),$
- (c) $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (0, 1, 4)$, or
- (d) $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (0, 0, 5).$

Moreover, let w be any 6-vertex adjacent to v. Then the following hold:

- (1) If v is in case (a), then $m_3(w) \leq 4$.
- (2) If v is in case (b), then $m_3(w) \leq 4$.
- (3) If v is in case (c), then there exists at least one 6-vertex w with $m_3(w) \leq 5$.
- (4) If v is in case (d), then there exist at least two 6-vertices w_1, w_2 with $m_3(w_1) \leq 5$ and $m_3(w_2) \leq 5$.

Proof. The first statement of the lemma follows directly from Lemma 2.7. We now prove the remaining statements, from (1) to (4). Suppose that v is incident to four 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[vv_4v_5]$, and one 5⁺-face that contains v_1 and v_5 .

(1) To show that w cannot be incident to more than five 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. It is clear that $G' = G - v + \{v_5v_1\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $n_4(v) = 1$

and $n_6(v) = 4$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(2) The proof is similar to that of (1). To show that w cannot be incident to more than five 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. As in (1), let $G' = G - v + \{v_5v_1\}$, which is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . In case (b), we have $n_5(v) = 2$ and $n_6(v) = 3$, which leads to $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(3) In case (c), we have $n_5(v) = 1$ and $n_6(v) = 4$. It follows that at least one of v_1 and v_5 must be a 6-vertex. Let w be such a 6-vertex. Since w is incident to one 5⁺-face, it can be incident to at most five 3-faces. Therefore, (3) holds.

(4) In case (d), all neighbours of v are 6-vertices. It follows that both v_1 and v_5 are 6-vertices. Let $w_1 = v_1$ and $w_2 = v_5$. Since each of w_1 and w_2 is incident to one 5⁺-face, it can be incident to at most five 3-faces. Therefore, (4) holds.

Next, we examine the properties of a 6-vertex in G. Let v be a 6-vertex and let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_6 be the neighbours of v in clockwise order.



Figure 1: Illustrations of Lemma 2.9(4.3).

Lemma 2.9. Let v be a 6-vertex with $m_3(v) = 5$ and $m_4(v) = 1$ and let u be any 5-vertex adjacent to v. Then the following hold:

- (1) $n_4(v) \le 2$.
- (2) If $n_4(v) = 2$, then $n_5(v) \le 1$.
- (3) If $n_4(v) = 1$, then $n_5(v) \le 3$. Moreover, if $n_5(v) = 3$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$.
- (4) If $n_4(v) = 0$, then $n_5(v) \le 5$. Moreover, the following hold:
 - (4.1) If $n_5(v) = 5$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$.
 - (4.2) If $n_5(v) = 4$, then there exist at most two 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$.
 - (4.3) If $n_5(v) = 3$, then there exist at most two 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$.

Proof. Suppose that v is incident to five 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[vv_4v_5]$, $[vv_5v_6]$, and one 4-face $[vv_6xx_1]$. By Lemma 2.2(2), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex.

(1) In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if v is a 4-vertex with $m_3(v) = 2$, then no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces. Thus v_2 , v_3 , v_4 , and v_5 cannot be 4-vertices. Among the neighbours of v, at most two vertices, namely, v_1 and v_6 , can be 4-vertices.

(2) We suppose that v_1 and v_6 are 4-vertices. Assume that v is adjacent to two 5-vertices. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(3) Without loss of generality, we suppose that v_1 is a 4-vertex. Assume that v is adjacent to four 5-vertices. The graph $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction.

Now, we consider the case $n_5(v) = 3$. To show that any 5-vertex u adjacent to v cannot be incident to more than four 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(4) We suppose that v is not adjacent to any 4-vertex. Assume that all neighbours of v are 5-vertices. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_6v_1, v_3v_1, v_3v_5\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(4.1) Suppose that v is adjacent to five 5-vertices. To show that any 5-vertex u adjacent to v cannot be incident to more than four 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. There are six possibilities for which neighbours of v is a 6-vertex. Due to symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where v_1, v_2 , or v_3 is a 6-vertex. In each of these cases, let $G' = G - v + \{v_6v_1, v_4v_2, v_4v_6\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(4.2) Suppose that v is adjacent to four 5-vertices. We consider two cases based on whether both v_1 and v_6 are 6-vertices or not. First, we show that if v_1 and v_6 are both 6-vertices, then $m_3(v_3) \leq 3$ and $m_3(v_4) \leq 3$. By symmetry, we only need to consider v_3 . Let v_7 and v_8 be the neighbours of v_3 other than v, v_2 , and v_4 . Assume that v_3 is incident to four 3-faces. We have two cases: Case 1: The four 3-faces are $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[v_2v_7v_3]$, and $[v_3v_8v_4]$. Case 2: The four 3-faces are $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[v_2v_7v_3]$, and $[v_3v_8v_4]$. Case 2: The four 3-faces are $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[v_2v_7v_3]$, and $[v_3v_7v_8]$. In Case 1, let $G' = G - v_3 + \{v_7v_8\}$. In each case, G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_3 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v_3)| \leq 18$, we can color v_3 with a safe color, a contradiction. Therefore, if v_1 and v_6 are 6-vertices, then there are at most two 5-vertices u adjacent to v with $m_3(u) \geq 4$, namely v_2 and v_5 .

Next, we discuss the case where v_1 is not a 6-vertex or v_6 is not a 6-vertex. To show that there exist at most two 5-vertices u adjacent to v with $m_3(u) \ge 4$, it suffices to prove that at most one edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that two edges $v_i v_{i+1}$ and $v_j v_{j+1}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with $i \ne j$ are contained in two 3-faces. If v_1 and v_2 are 6-vertices, then we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2 v_4, v_4 v_6, v_6 v_1\}$. (Otherwise, we construct G' as follows: remove v, add the edge $v_6 v_1$, and choose one 5-vertex v_i in the neighbourhood of vother than v_1 and v_6 , and connect v_i to the two vertices in the neighbourhood of v that are at distance two from v_i .) The graph G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \le 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(4.3) Suppose that v is adjacent to three 5-vertices. There are twenty possible combinations of three 5-vertices. However, by symmetry, we only discuss ten cases: (see Figure 1.) Case 1: The three 5-vertices are v_4 , v_5 , and v_6 . Case 2: The three 5-vertices are v_3 , v_5 , and v_6 . Case 3: The three 5-vertices are v_3 , v_4 , and v_5 . Case 4: The three 5-vertices are v_3 , v_4 , and v_5 . Case 5: The three 5-vertices are v_2 , v_5 , and v_6 . Case 6: The three 5-vertices are v_2 , v_4 , and v_6 . Case 7: The three 5-vertices are v_2 , v_4 , and v_5 . Case 8: The three 5-vertices are v_2 , v_3 , and v_6 . Case 9: The three 5-vertices are v_1 , v_5 , and v_6 . Case 10: The three 5-vertices are v_1 , v_4 , and v_6 .

First, we consider Cases 3, 4, and 7. We show that $m_3(v_4) \leq 3$ in these cases. Assume that v_4 is incident to four 3-faces. Let v_7 and v_8 be the neighbours of v_4 other than v, v_3 , and v_5 . Since v_4 is already incident to two 3-faces, namely $[vv_3v_4]$ and $[vv_4v_5]$, the remaining two 3-faces must be one of the following: (i) $[v_3v_7v_4]$ and $[v_4v_8v_5]$, or (ii) $[v_4v_7v_8]$ and $[v_4v_8v_5]$. In case (i), we construct $G' = G - v_4 + \{v_7v_8\}$. In case (ii), we construct $G' = G - v_4 + \{v_3v_7\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_4 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v_4)| \leq 19$ in each case, we can color v_4 with a safe color, a contradiction.

Next, we consider Cases 1, 2, 5, and 9. We prove that $m_3(v_6) \leq 3$ in these cases. Assume that v_6 is incident to four 3-faces. It is clear that $G' = G - v_6 + \{vx\}$ is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v_6) \leq 19$ in each case, there exists a safe color for v_6 , a contradiction.

We can similarly show that $m_3(v_3) \leq 3$ in Case 8. Assume that v_3 is incident to four 3-faces. Let v_7 and v_8 be the neighbours of v_3 other than v, v_2 , and v_4 . Since v_3 is already incident to two 3-faces, namely $[vv_2v_3]$ and $[vv_3v_4]$, the remaining two 3-faces must be one of the following: (i) $[v_2v_7v_3]$ and $[v_3v_8v_4]$, or (ii) $[v_3v_7v_8]$ and $[v_3v_8v_4]$. In case (i), we construct $G' = G - v_3 + \{v_7v_8\}$. In case (ii), we construct $G' = G - v_3 + \{v_2v_7\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v_3) \leq 19$ in each case, there exists a safe color for v_3 , a contradiction.

Finally, we discuss Case 6 and Case 10. To show that there exist at most two 5-vertices u adjacent to v with $m_3(v) \ge 4$, it suffices to prove that at most two edges in $G[N_G(v)]$ are contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that three edges in $G[N_G(v)]$ are contained in two 3-faces. In each case, we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_2v_4, v_4v_6, v_6v_1\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \le 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction. From the above, there are at most two 5-vertices u adjacent to v with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ in Case 1 through Case 10.

Lemma 2.10. Let v be a 6-vertex with $m_3(v) = 5$ and $m_{5^+}(v) = 1$ and let u be any 5-vertex adjacent to v. Then the following hold:

- (1) $n_4(v) \leq 2$.
- (2) If $n_4(v) = 2$, then $n_5(v) \le 2$.
- (3) If $n_4(v) = 1$, then $n_5(v) \le 4$. Moreover, if $n_5(v) = 4$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$.
- (4) If $n_4(v) = 0$ and $n_5(v) = 6$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$.

(5) If $n_4(v) = 0$ and $n_5(v) = 5$, then there exist at most two 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$.

Proof. Suppose that v is incident to five 3-faces $[vv_1v_2]$, $[vv_2v_3]$, $[vv_3v_4]$, $[vv_4v_5]$, $[vv_5v_6]$, and one 5⁺-face that contains v_1 and v_6 . By Lemma 2.2(2), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex.

(1) The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.9(1). The vertices v_1 and v_6 can be 4-vertices.

(2) We suppose that v_1 and v_6 are 4-vertices. Assume that v is adjacent to three 5-vertices. Regardless of which neighbours of v other than v_1 and v_6 are the three 5-vertices, we construct $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(3) Without loss of generality, we suppose that v_1 is a 4-vertex. Assume that v is adjacent to five 5-vertices. It is clear that $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$ is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$, we can color v with a safe color, a contradiction. Now, we suppose that v is adjacent to four 5-vertices. To show that any 5-vertex u adjacent to v cannot be incident to more than four 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_5, v_1v_6\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(4) Suppose that all neighbours of v are 5-vertices. To show that any 5-vertex u adjacent to v is incident to at most three 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_1v_2 is contained in two 3-faces. Let $G' = G - v + \{v_4v_2, v_4v_6, v_1v_6\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(5) Suppose that v is adjacent to five 5-vertices and one 6-vertex. To show that there exist at most two 5-vertices u adjacent to v with $m_3(u) \ge 4$, it suffices to prove that at most one edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that two edges $v_i v_{i+1}$ and $v_j v_{j+1}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with $i \ne j$ are contained in two 3-faces. Due to symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where v_1, v_2 , or v_3 is a 6-vertex. In each of these cases, let $G' = G - v + \{v_4 v_2, v_4 v_6, v_1 v_6\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \le 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

From Lemma 2.11 to Lemma 2.13, let $f_i = [vv_iv_{i+1}]$ for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 5\}$ and $f_6 = [vv_6v_1]$ be the 3-faces incident to v.

Lemma 2.11. Let v be a 6-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$ and $m_4(v) = 2$. Then the following hold:

- (1) $n_3(v) = 0.$
- (2) If $n_4(v) = 1$, then $n_5(v) \le 4$.
- (3) If $n_5(v) = 6$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$ for any 5-vertex u adjacent to v.

Proof. We have three cases where v is incident to four 3-faces and two 4-faces: Case 1: The 4-faces are $[vv_1xv_2]$ and $[vv_2yv_3]$, and the 3-faces are f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 2: The 4-faces are $[vv_1xv_2]$ and $[vv_3yv_4]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 3: The 4-faces are $[vv_1xv_2]$ and $[vv_4yv_5]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_3 , f_5 , and f_6 .

(1) By Lemma 2.2(2), a 3-vertex is not incident to any 3-face, and by Lemma 2.2(3), a 3-vertex is incident to at most one 4-face. Thus v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex in each case.

(2) Suppose that v is adjacent to one 4-vertex. Assume that all other neighbours of v are 5-vertices. First, we consider Case 1. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if v is a 4-vertex with $m_3(v) = 2$, then no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces. Thus only v_1 , v_2 , or v_3 can be a 4-vertex. In each case, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_5, v_5v_1\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v, is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v)| \leq 19$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v)| \geq 1$, there exists a safe color for v. By coloring v with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Next, we consider Case 2. For the same reason, only v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , or v_4 can be a 4-vertex. In each case, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4, v_3v_5, v_5v_1\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Finally, we consider Case 3. Each neighbour of v can be a 4-vertex. By symmetry, it suffices to consider the cases where v_1 or v_3 is a 4-vertex. In each case, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_4v_5, v_1v_3, v_3v_5\}$. Then G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

(3) Suppose that all neighbours of v are 5-vertices. To show that any 5-vertex u adjacent to v cannot be incident to more than four 3-faces, it suffices to prove that no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces of G. Assume that the edge v_6v_1 is contained in two 3-faces. In Case 1, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_5, v_5v_1\}$. In Case 2, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4, v_3v_5, v_5v_1\}$. In Case 3, let $G' = G - v + \{v_1v_2, v_4v_5, v_1v_3, v_3v_5\}$. In each case, G' is proper with respect to G. Since $d_2(v) \leq 19$, there exists a safe color for v, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.12. Let v be a 6-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$, $m_4(v) = 1$, and $m_{5+}(v) = 1$. Then $n_3(v) \le 1$. In particular, if $n_3(v) = 1$, then $n_4(v) = 0$.

Proof. We have three cases where v is incident to four 3-faces, one 4-face, and one 5⁺-face: Case 1: The 4-face is $[vv_1xv_2]$ and the 5⁺-face is $[vv_2y \dots zv_3]$, and the 3-faces are f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 2: The 4-face is $[vv_1xv_2]$ and the 5⁺-face is $[vv_3y \dots zv_4]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 3: The 4-face is $[vv_1xv_2]$ and the 5⁺-face is $[vv_4y \dots zv_5]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_3 , f_5 , and f_6 .

First, we show that v is adjacent to at most one 3-vertex. By Lemma 2.2(2), a 3-vertex is not incident to any 3-face. Thus v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex in Case 2 and Case 3. In Case 1, only v_2 can be a 3-vertex. Thus $n_3(v) \leq 1$ holds.

Now we consider Case 1 and suppose that v_2 is a 3-vertex. Assume that v is adjacent to a 4-vertex. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we showed that if v is a 4-vertex with $m_3(v) = 2$, then no edge in $G[N_G(v)]$ is contained in two 3-faces. Hence only v_1 or v_3 can be a 4-vertex. If v_1 is a 4-vertex, then we construct $G' = G - v_2 + \{v_1y\}$. Otherwise, we construct $G' = G - v_2 + \{v_3x, v_3y\}$. In both cases, G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_2 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v_2)| \leq 17$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v_2)| \geq 3$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v_2 . By coloring v_2 with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.13. Let v be a 6-vertex with $m_3(v) = 4$ and $m_{5^+}(v) = 2$. Then $n_3(v) \le 1$. In particular, if $n_3(v) = 1$, then $n_4(v) = 0$.

Proof. We have three cases where v is incident to four 3-faces and two 5⁺-faces: Case 1: The 5⁺-faces are $[vv_1x \ldots yv_2]$, $[vv_2z \ldots wv_3]$, and the 3-faces are f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 2: The 5⁺-faces are $[vv_1x \ldots yv_2]$, $[vv_3z \ldots wv_4]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_4 , f_5 , and f_6 . Case 3: The 5⁺-faces are $[vv_1x \ldots yv_2]$, $[vv_4z \ldots wv_5]$, and the 3-faces are f_2 , f_3 , f_5 , and f_6 .

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.12. Only v_2 can be a 3-vertex in Case 1. Thus $n_3(v) \leq 1$ holds. We suppose that v_2 is a 3-vertex and assume that v is adjacent to a 4-vertex. Only v_1 or v_3 can be a 4-vertex. If v_1 is a 4-vertex, then we construct $G' = G - v_2 + \{v_1y, v_1z\}$. Otherwise, we construct $G' = G - v_2 + \{v_3y, v_3z\}$. In both cases, G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_2 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v_2)| \leq 18$, we can color v_2 with a safe color, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.14. Let f be a 5-face of G. Then there is at most one 3-vertex incident to f. In particular, if f is incident to one 3-vertex, then f is not incident to any 4-vertex.

Proof. Let $[v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5]$ be a 5-face. By Lemma 2.2(1), a 3-vertex is not adjacent to any 5⁻-vertex. Assume that v_1 and v_4 are 3-vertices with $N_G(v_1) = \{v_2, v_5, v_6\}$ and $N_G(v_4) = \{v_3, v_5, v_7\}$. Let $G' = G - v_1 + \{v_2v_4, v_4v_6\}$. The graph G' is proper with respect to G. By the minimality of G, G' has a 2-distance 20-coloring ϕ' . Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_1 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $\Delta \leq 6$, it follows that $|C_{\phi}(v_1)| \leq 18$ and $|C| - |C_{\phi}(v_1)| \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists a safe color for v_1 . By coloring v_1 with the safe color, ϕ becomes a 2-distance 20-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Now, suppose that v_1 is a 3-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v_2, v_5, v_6\}$. Assume that v_4 is a 4-vertex. It is clear that $G' = G - v_1 + \{v_2v_4, v_4v_6\}$ is proper with respect to G. Let ϕ be a coloring of G such that every vertex in V(G), except for v_1 , is colored using ϕ' . Since $|C_{\phi}(v_1)| \leq 18$, we can color v_1 with a safe color, a contradiction. \Box

We obtain the following corollary from Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 2.15. A 6⁺-face f is incident to at most $\lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor$ 3-vertices.

3 Discharging

In this section, we design discharging rules and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. We can derive the following equation by Euler's formula |V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2.

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_G(v) - 4) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 4) = -8.$$

We assign an initial charge $\mu(v) = d_G(v) - 4$ to each vertex and $\mu(f) = d(f) - 4$ to each face. We design appropriate discharging rules and redistribute the charges of the vertices and faces according to those rules. Let $\mu'(v)$ and $\mu'(f)$ denote the final charges of the vertices and faces, respectively, after the discharging process. During the process, the sum of charges remains constant. If $\mu'(v) \ge 0$ and $\mu'(f) \ge 0$, the following contradiction arises.

$$0 \le \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \mu'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \mu(x) = -8 < 0.$$

We design the following discharging rules, which are based on the rules in [5].

- R1 Every 3-face receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident vertices.
- R2 Every 3-vertex receives $\frac{1}{9}$ from each of its adjacent 6-vertices w with $m_3(w) \leq 5$. R3 Every 3-vertex receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident 5⁺-faces.

- R4 Every 4-vertex receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident 5⁺-faces. R5 Every 4-vertex receives $\frac{1}{15}$ from each of its adjacent 6-vertices w with $m_3(w) \le 5$. R6 Every 5-vertex receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident 5⁺-faces.
- R7 Every 5-vertex u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ receives $\frac{2}{15}$ from each of its adjacent 6-vertices w with $m_3(w) \le 5$.
- R8 Every 6-vertex v receives $\frac{1}{5}$ from each of its incident 5⁺-faces f, if f does not contain any 3-vertex adjacent to v.
- R9 Every 6-vertex v receives $\frac{1}{9}$ from each of its incident 5⁺-faces f, if f contains a 3-vertex adjacent to v.

First, we prove that $\mu'(f) \ge 0$ for each $f \in F(G)$.

Case 1. d(f) = 3.

The initial charge is $\mu(f) = d(f) - 4 = -1$. By Lemma 2.2(2), f is not incident to any 3-vertex. By R1, f receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each 4⁺-vertex incident to f. Thus $\mu'(f) = -1 + 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

Case 2. d(f) = 4.

By the discharging rules, there is no transfer of charge. Thus $\mu(f) = \mu'(f) = 0$.

Case 3. d(f) = 5.

The initial charge is $\mu(f) = d(f) - 4 = 1$. By Lemma 2.14, f is incident to at most one 3-vertex, and if f is incident to one 3-vertex, then f is not incident to any 4-vertex. By Lemma 2.2(1), all neighbours of a 3-vertex are 6-vertices. If f is incident to a 3-vertex, then $\mu'(f) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} - 2 \times \frac{1}{5} - 2 \times \frac{1}{9} = \frac{2}{45}$ by R3, R6, R8, and R9. Otherwise, $\mu'(f) = 1 - 5 \times \frac{1}{5} = 0$ by R4, R6, and R8.

Case 4. $d(f) = 6^+$.

The initial charge is $\mu(f) = d(f) - 4 \ge 2$. We have $\mu'(f) \ge 0$ by By Corollary 2.15.

Next, we prove that $\mu'(v) \ge 0$ for each $v \in V(G)$. By Lemma 2.1 and $\Delta \le 6$, we only consider the cases where $3 \leq d_G(v) \leq 6$.

Case 1. $d_G(v) = 3$.

The initial charge is $\mu(v) = d_G(v) - 4 = -1$. By Lemma 2.2(1), all neighbours of v are 6-vertices. By Lemma 2.2(2) and Lemma 2.2(3), v is incident to either one 4-face and two 5⁺-faces or three 5⁺-faces. In each case, $m_3(w) \leq 5$ holds for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. If v is incident to one 4-face and two 5⁺-faces, then $\mu'(v) = -1 + 3 \times \frac{1}{9} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$ by R2 and R3. Otherwise, $\mu'(v) = -1 + 3 \times \frac{1}{9} + 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$ by R2 and R3.

Case 2. $d_G(v) = 4$.

The initial charge is $\mu(v) = d_G(v) - 4 = 0$. By Lemma 2.2(1), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex. By Lemma 2.3, we have $m_3(v) \leq 2$. Thus we divide the case based on the value of $m_3(v)$.

Case 2.1. $m_3(v) = 2$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have $m_4(v) = 0$, $n_6(v) = 4$, and $m_3(w) \le 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. This implies that v is incident to two 3-faces and two 5⁺-faces, all neighbours of v are 6-vertices, and R5 can be applied to v. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu'(v) = 0 - 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{5} + 4 \times \frac{1}{15} = 0.$

Case 2.2. $m_3(v) = 1$.

By Lemma 2.4, we have $m_4(v) \leq 2$. In particular, if $1 \leq m_4(v) \leq 2$, then $n_4(v) = 0$ and $n_5(v) \leq 1$. If $m_4(v) = 2$, then the remaining face incident to v is a 5⁺-face, and v is adjacent to at least three 6-vertices. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu'(v) \ge 0 - 1 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{1}{5} + 3 \times \frac{1}{15} = \frac{1}{15}$. If $m_4(v) = 1$, then the remaining two faces incident to v are 5⁺-faces, and v is adjacent to at least three 6-vertices. By R1, R4, and R5, $\mu'(v) \ge 0 - 1 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{5} + 3 \times \frac{1}{15} = \frac{4}{15}$. If $m_4(v) = 0$, then the remaining three faces incident to v are 5⁺-faces. Regardless of the number of 6-vertices adjacent to v, we have $\mu'(v) \ge 0 - 1 \times \frac{1}{3} + 3 \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{4}{15}$ by R1 and R4.

Case 2.3. $m_3(v) = 0$.

In this case, v is not incident to any 3-face, which implies that R1 cannot be applied. Thus $\mu'(v) \ge \mu(v) =$ 0.

Case 3. $d_G(v) = 5$.

The initial charge is $\mu(v) = d_G(v) - 4 = 1$. By Lemma 2.2(1), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex. We divide the case based on the value of $m_3(v)$.

Case 3.1. $m_3(v) = 5$.

By Lemma 2.5, we have $n_6(v) = 5$ and $m_3(w) \le 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. Thus R7 can be applied to v. By R1 and R7, $\mu'(v) = 1 - 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 5 \times \frac{2}{15} = 0$.

Case 3.2. $m_3(v) = 4$.

The remaining face incident to v is either one 4-face or one 5⁺-face. First, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 4-face. By Lemma 2.6, we have $n_{4^-}(v) = 0$, $n_5(v) \leq 1$, and $m_3(w) \leq 5$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. Thus R7 can be applied to v. If v is adjacent to one 5-vertex and four 6-vertices, then $\mu'(v) \geq 1 - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{1}{5}$ by R1 and R7. If v is adjacent to five 6-vertices, then $\mu'(v) \geq 1 - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 5 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{1}{3}$ by R1 and R7. Next, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 5⁺-face. By Lemma 2.8, the pattern of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to v must be one of the cases (a) through (d). In each case, we show that $\mu'(v) \geq 0$.

- (a). $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (1, 0, 4)$. By Lemma 2.8(1), we have $m_3(w) \le 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. Thus R7 can be applied to v. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu'(v) = 1 - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{1}{5} + 4 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{2}{5}$.
- (b). $(n_4(v), n_5(v), n_6(v)) = (0, 2, 3)$. By Lemma 2.8(2), we have $m_3(w) \le 4$ for any 6-vertex w adjacent to v. Thus R7 can be applied to v. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu'(v) = 1 - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{1}{5} + 3 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{4}{15}$.
- (c). (n₄(v), n₅(v), n₆(v)) = (0, 1, 4). By Lemma 2.8(3), there exists at least one 6-vertex w adjacent to v with m₃(w) ≤ 5. Thus v receives at least ²/₁₅ from such a 6-vertex by R7. By R1, R6, and R7, μ'(v) ≥ 1 − 4 × ¹/₃ + 1 × ¹/₅ + 1 × ²/₁₅ = 0.
 (d). (n₄(v), n₅(v), n₆(v)) = (0, 0, 5).
- By Lemma 2.8(4), there exist at least two 6-vertices w_1 , w_2 adjacent to v with $m_3(w_1) \leq 5$ and $m_3(w_2) \leq 5$. Thus v receives at least $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ from such 6-vertices by R7. By R1, R6, and R7, $\mu'(v) \geq 1 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{1}{5} + 2 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{2}{15}$.

Case 3.3. $m_3(v) \le 3$.

The only rule by which v loses charge is R1. By R1 and $m_3(v) \leq 3$, we have $\mu'(v) \geq 1 - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$. Case 4. $d_G(v) = 6$.

The initial charge is $\mu(v) = d_G(v) - 4 = 2$. We divide the case based on the value of $m_3(v)$. Case 4.1. $m_3(v) = 6$.

Since $m_3(v) = 6$, R2, R5, and R7 cannot be applied to v. The only rule by which v loses charge is R1. Thus $\mu'(v) = 2 - 6 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

Case 4.2. $m_3(v) = 5$.

By R1, v sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each of its incident 3-faces. Since $m_3(v) = 5$, v loses $5 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{3}$ charge. By Lemma 2.2(2), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex. The remaining face incident to v is either one 4-face or one 5⁺-face. First, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 4-face. By Lemma 2.9(1), we have $n_4(v) \leq 2$. Thus we further divide the case based on the value of $n_4(v)$.

Case 4.2.1. $m_4(v) = 1$, $n_4(v) = 2$.

By Lemma 2.9(2), we have $n_5(v) \le 1$. In the worst situation, v is adjacent to one 5-vertex u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$. By R1, R5, and R7, $\mu'(v) \ge 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 2 \times \frac{1}{15} - 1 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{1}{15}$.

Case 4.2.2. $m_4(v) = 1, n_4(v) = 1.$

By Lemma 2.9(3), we have $n_5(v) \leq 3$, and if $n_5(v) = 3$, then $m_3(u) \leq 3$ for any 5-vertex u adjacent to v. Thus if $n_5(v) = 3$, then v does not lose charge by R7. The vertex v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to two 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R5, and R7, $\mu'(v) \geq 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 1 \times \frac{1}{15} - 2 \times \frac{2}{15} = 0$. Case 4.2.3. $m_4(v) = 1$, $n_4(v) = 0$.

By Lemma 2.9(4) and Lemma 2.9(4.1), we have $n_5(v) \le 5$, and if $n_5(v) = 5$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$ for any 5-vertex u adjacent to v. Thus if $n_5(v) = 5$, then v does not lose charge by R7. If $n_5(v) \le 4$, then there exist at most two 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ by Lemma 2.9(4.2) and Lemma 2.9(4.3). This implies that v loses at most $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ charge by R7. By R1 and R7, $\mu'(v) \ge 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 2 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{1}{15}$.

Next, we consider the case where the remaining face is a 5⁺-face. By Lemma 2.10(1), we have $n_4(v) \leq 2$. Thus we further divide the case based on the value of $n_4(v)$.

Case 4.2.4. $m_{5^+}(v) = 1$, $n_4(v) = 2$.

By Lemma 2.10(2), we have $n_5(v) \le 2$. This implies that v loses at most $2 \times \frac{2}{15}$ charge by R7. By R1, R5, R7, and R8, $\mu'(v) \ge 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 2 \times \frac{1}{15} - 2 \times \frac{2}{15} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2}{15}$.

Case 4.2.5. $m_{5^+}(v) = 1$, $n_4(v) = 1$.

By Lemma 2.10(3), we have $n_5(v) \leq 4$, and if $n_5(v) = 4$, then $m_3(u) \leq 3$ for any 5-vertex u adjacent to v. Thus if $n_5(v) = 4$, then v does not lose charge by R7. The vertex v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to three 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R5, R7, and R8, $\mu'(v) \geq 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 1 \times \frac{1}{15} - 3 \times \frac{2}{15} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{15}$.

Case 4.2.6. $m_{5^+}(v) = 1$, $n_4(v) = 0$.

By Lemma 2.10(4), if $n_5(v) = 6$, then $m_3(u) \le 3$ for any 5-vertex u adjacent to v. Hence if $n_5(v) = 6$, then v does not lose charge by R7. By Lemma 2.10(5), if $n_5(v) = 5$, then there exist at most two 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$. Thus v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to four 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu'(v) \ge 2 - \frac{5}{3} - 4 \times \frac{2}{15} + \frac{1}{5} = 0$.

Case 4.3. $m_3(v) = 4$.

By R1, v sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each of its incident 3-faces. Since $m_3(v) = 4$, v loses $4 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$ charge. We further divide the case based on the faces incident to v, which can be either two 4-faces, one 4-face and one 5⁺-face, or two 5⁺-faces.

Case 4.3.1. $m_4(v) = 2$.

By Lemma 2.11(1), v is not adjacent to any 3-vertex. The rule by which v loses the most charge, except for R1, is R7. Thus if all neighbours of v are 5-vertices to which R7 applies, then v loses $6 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{4}{5}$ by R7. This discussion implies that $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - \frac{4}{5} = -\frac{2}{15} < 0$. However, by Lemma 2.11(3), the situation does not arise. The next situation in which v loses the most charge is when v is adjacent to five 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ and one 4-vertex, but by Lemma 2.11(2), this situation cannot occur. In the possible cases, v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to either four 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ and two 4-vertices, or five 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$ and one 6-vertex. In the former case, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 2 \times \frac{1}{15} - 4 \times \frac{2}{15} = 0$ by R1, R5, and R7. In the latter case, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 5 \times \frac{2}{15} = 0$ by R1 and R7.

Case 4.3.2. $m_4(v) = 1, m_{5^+}(v) = 1.$

By Lemma 2.12, v is adjacent to at most one 3-vertex, and if v is adjacent to one 3-vertex, then v is not adjacent to any 4-vertex. Since v is incident to one 5⁺-face, R8 or R9 can be applied to v. If v is adjacent to one 3-vertex, then v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to five 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R2, R7, and R9, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 1 \times \frac{1}{9} - 5 \times \frac{2}{15} + 1 \times \frac{1}{9} = 0$. If v is not adjacent to a 3-vertex, then v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to six 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 6 \times \frac{2}{15} + 1 \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{15}$.

Case 4.3.3. $m_{5^+}(v) = 2$.

By Lemma 2.13, v is adjacent to at most one 3-vertex, and if v is adjacent to one 3-vertex, then v is not adjacent to any 4-vertex. Since v is incident to two 5⁺-faces, v receives at least $2 \times \frac{1}{9}$ charge by R9. If v is adjacent to one 3-vertex, then v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to five 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R2, R7, and R9, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 1 \times \frac{1}{9} - 5 \times \frac{2}{15} + 2 \times \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9}$. If v is not adjacent to a 3-vertex, then v loses the most charge when v is adjacent to six 5-vertices to which R7 applies. By R1, R7, and R8, $\mu'(v) = 2 - \frac{4}{3} - 6 \times \frac{2}{15} + 2 \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{4}{15}$.

Case 4.4. $m_3(v) \le 3$.

By R1, v loses at most $3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 1$ charge. The rule by which v loses the most charge, except for R1, is R7. Thus if all neighbours of v are 5-vertices u with $m_3(u) \ge 4$, then v loses $6 \times \frac{2}{15} = \frac{4}{5}$ charge by R7. The final charge is $\mu'(v) \ge 2 - 1 - \frac{4}{5} = \frac{1}{5} > 0$, which implies that $\mu'(v) \ge 0$ holds when $m_3(v) \le 3$.

Now, we have confirmed $\mu'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 holds.

References

- J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. Graph theory, volume 244 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] N. Bousquet, Q. Deschamps, L. de Meyer, and T. Pierron. Improved square coloring of planar graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 346(4):113288, 2023.
- [3] N. Bousquet, Q. Deschamps, L. de Meyer, and T. Pierron. Square coloring planar graphs with automatic discharging. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 38(1):504–528, 2024.
- [4] D. W. Cranston. Coloring, list coloring, and painting squares of graphs (and other related problems). Electron. J. Combin., DS25:42, 2023.
- [5] Z. Deniz. On 2-distance 16-coloring of planar graphs with maximum degree at most five. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15899, 2023.
- [6] Z. Deniz. A 2-distance $(2\Delta + 7)$ -coloring of planar graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12302, 2024.
- [7] S. G. Hartke, S. Jahanbekam, and B. Thomas. The chromatic number of the square of subcubic planar graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.06504, 2016.

- [8] F. Havet, J. van den Heuvel, C. McDiarmid, and B. Reed. List colouring squares of planar graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 29:515–519, 2007. European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications.
- [9] F. Havet, J. van den Heuvel, C. McDiarmid, and B. Reed. List colouring squares of planar graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:0807.3233, 2017.
- [10] J. Hou, Y. Jin, L. Miao, and Q. Zhao. Coloring squares of planar graphs with maximum degree at most five. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 39(2):20, 2023.
- [11] F. Kramer and H. Kramer. Ein f\u00e4rbungsproblem der knotenpunkte eines graphen bez\u00fcglich der distanz p. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl, 14(2):1031–1038, 1969.
- [12] F. Kramer and H. Kramer. Un probleme de coloration des sommets d'un graphe. CR Acad. Sci. Paris A, 268(7):46–48, 1969.
- [13] M. Krzyziński, P. Rzą żewski, and S. Tur. Coloring squares of planar graphs with small maximum degree. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 44(3):817–835, 2024.
- [14] C. Thomassen. The square of a planar cubic graph is 7-colorable. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 128:192–218, 2018.
- [15] G. Wegner. Graphs with given diameter and a coloring problem. Technical University of Dortmund, 1977.
- [16] J. Zhu and Y. Bu. Minimum 2-distance coloring of planar graphs and channel assignment. Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 36(1):55–64, 2018.