

THE HOPF DECOMPOSITION

NACHI AVRAHAM-RE'EM AND GEORGE PETERZIL

In memory of George Abraham (1934–1984)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a locally compact second countable group. We present a comprehensive treatment of the classical Hopf Decomposition (also known as the Conservative–Dissipative Decomposition) for general nonsingular G -spaces, and provide several fundamentally different characterizations of it. Subsequently, we establish a complete structure theorem for totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces through the construction of *Krengel G -spaces*, extending Krengel's structure theorem on flows.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main Results	2
1.1. Roadmap	7
Acknowledgments	7
2. Preliminaries	8
3. The Hopf Decomposition: A General Form	10
4. Further Properties	13
5. Smooth Orbit Equivalence Relations	16
5.1. Preliminaries: Smooth Equivalence Relations	16
5.2. The Structure of Smooth Borel G -Spaces	17
6. Borel Description of Total Dissipativity	21
7. The Structure Theorem for Totally Dissipative Actions	26
7.1. Free Actions: Translation G -Spaces	26
7.2. Ergodic Actions: Compactly Fibered Coset G -Spaces	27
7.3. General Actions: Krengel G -Spaces	28
8. Kaimanovich-Type Characterization	31
8.1. Maharam Extensions	34
9. The Positive–Null Decomposition	35
10. Concluding Remarks and Further Questions	38
Appendix A. Measures on Homogeneous Spaces	39
References	41

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37A20, 22D40; Secondary 37A15, 37A40.

Key words and phrases. nonsingular actions, conservative dissipative Decomposition, Hopf decomposition, totally dissipative, completely dissipative, Neveu decomposition.

The research was supported by ISF grant No. 1180/22 and by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2021.0258).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let G be a locally compact second countable (lcsc) group. Our main object of study is **nonsingular G -space**: a standard measure space (X, μ) , finite or σ -finite, such that G acts on X in a Borel fashion and μ is quasi-invariant, namely the action preserves the ideal of μ -null sets.

In the heart of this work lie two complementary properties of nonsingular G -spaces: being **conservative** or being **dissipative**. Those dynamical properties play a crucial role in the study of nonsingular G -spaces, but their formulation in general tends to be complicated. Many authors, to say the least, have dealt with various formulations, conditions and characterizations of these properties; from the classical works of Poincaré, Hopf, Halmos, Maharam and Krengel for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ (see the bibliographical notes in [24, §1.3] and the references in [1, §1.1]) to the works of Schmidt [33, §1] and Kaimanovich [21] for countable G . In fact, it was observed by Krengel that when G admits a lattice, there is a reduction, in a certain sense, to the countable case. In recent years many studies in Ergodic Theory, Homogeneous Dynamics, Probability, Operator Algebras and related fields have dealt with more general acting groups, and this emphasized the necessity for developing a thorough and detailed theory encompassing conservativity and dissipativity for nonsingular G -spaces with a general lcsc acting group G .

The idea that one can formulate the notion of conservativity and dissipativity for a general lcsc acting group G , as well as decomposing every nonsingular G -space into its 'conservative part' and its 'dissipative part', is natural and was used by many, and should be considered as part of the folklore. Indeed, one may define conservativity by exploiting the following natural approach to recurrence: starting from every point in every positive measure set, outside every compact set in G there is a group element, not belonging to the stabilizer of the point, that returns the point into the set (see e.g. [2, Proposition 4.29], [9, Definition 2.1], [10, §6.3]). Another natural approach to recurrence that can be found in the literature is that, in the previous situation, there can be found infinite-volume amount of group elements that return the point into the set (see e.g. [30, §4.6 p.40], [31, §1E]). While these approaches are useful and natural for a general lcsc group G , and clearly coincide when it comes to a countable acting group, the general theory which is known in countable groups for long time seems to be unknown when it comes to lcsc groups.

Recently, two treatments of the theory were presented: one by Arano, Isono & Marrakchi [2, §4.10], where a general form of the Hopf Decomposition was presented as well as many of its properties (see also [6, §2], continuing their approach), and another by Blayac, Canary, Zhu & Zimmer [7, §2.11], [8, Appendix A], where they have treated measure preserving actions of unimodular groups. The Hopf Decomposition is not the central focus of these studies, and many of the fundamentals of the theory, as are fully known for countable acting groups, are not covered in these treatments.

Our main goal is to provide a thorough treatment of the theory in full generality. Thus, we establish the Hopf Decomposition and characterize it from an analytic perspective, a dynamical perspective as well as a descriptive set-theoretic perspective. In particular, we will demonstrate that the two aforementioned approaches to recurrence yield the same decomposition. It is noteworthy that each of these approaches will be proving itself useful in various applications we will discuss.

For the rest of this introductory section we formulate our main results.

1.0.1. *The Hopf Decomposition.* Let G be an lcsc group with a left Haar measure λ and (X, μ) be a nonsingular G -space. Our aim is to establish the Hopf Decomposition of (X, μ) that will be denoted generally by

$$X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}.$$

Denote by $L_+^1(X, \mu)$ the class of (strictly) positive-valued functions of $L^1(X, \mu)$, and for $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ look at the average transform

$$S_f^G(x) := \int_G \frac{d\mu \circ g}{d\mu}(x) f(g.x) d\lambda(g), \quad x \in X.$$

Then every such f yields a Borel decomposition of X into

$$\mathcal{C}_f := \{x \in X : S_f^G(x) = +\infty\} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_f := X \setminus \mathcal{C}_f.$$

The upcoming Theorem A is considered part of the folklore of ergodic theory (see the formulation in [2, Theorem 4.30]).

Theorem A (Hopf Decomposition). *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. There exists an essentially unique decomposition*

$$X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$$

into G -invariant Borel sets \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , depending only on the measure class of μ , with the property that

$$\mu(\mathcal{C} \Delta \mathcal{C}_f) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(\mathcal{D} \Delta \mathcal{D}_f) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in L_+^1(X, \mu).$$

In particular, \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{D}_f are independent of $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ modulo μ .

The dependence only on the measure class is in the sense that the G -invariant decomposition of equivalent measures is the same modulo μ . However, the average transform S_f^G defining the decomposition does depend on the measure itself.

Following the standard terminology (see the introductory part of [11]), a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) with the Hopf Decomposition $X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ is called:

- **conservative:** if $\mu(\mathcal{D}) = 0$;
- **dissipative:** if $\mu(\mathcal{D}) > 0$; and
- **totally dissipative** (or **completely dissipative**): if $\mu(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.

The following useful property will be deduced from Theorem A:

Proposition 1.1. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. On the dissipative part \mathcal{D} of (X, μ) there is an invariant measure equivalent to $\mu|_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Next we characterize the Hopf Decomposition from the point of view of recurrence. We first make a useful notation that will be used extensively. For a Borel set $A \subset X$ and $x \in X$ denote

$$R_A(x) := \{g \in G : x \in g.A\}.$$

A set A is being recurrent when $R_A(x)$ is large in G for every $x \in A$. As we mentioned previously, there are two natural approaches to formulate this:

Definition 1.2. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. A Borel set $A \subset X$ is called:*

- **Transient:** if $\lambda(R_A(x)) < +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.¹

¹In [30, §4.6 p.40] and [31, §1E] this is called a **wandering set**. Since this does not fully align with the concept of a wandering set for countable groups, we prefer calling it differently.

- **Recurrent:** if $\lambda(R_A(x)) = +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in A$.
- **Strongly transient:** if $R_A(x)$ is relatively compact for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.
- **Strongly recurrent:** if $R_A(x)$ is not relatively compact for μ -a.e. $x \in A$.

One should carefully note that (strong) transience and (strong) recurrence are not complementary; the former is defined over all of X while the latter inside the reference set A . Every subset of a (strongly) transient set is (strongly) transient, thus the class of (strongly) transient set is *hereditary*.

Theorem B (The Recurrence Theorem). *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. The Hopf Decomposition $X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ is determined by:*

- (1) *Every subset of \mathcal{C} is (strongly) recurrent.*
- (2) *Every μ -positive subset of \mathcal{D} , if exists at all, contains a μ -positive (strongly) transient subset.*

Note: Theorem B should be interpreted to mean that any of the four combinations of recurrent/strongly recurrent and transient/strongly transient characterizes the Hopf Decomposition.

Next we discuss a significant strengthening of the condition for conservativity as in the Hopf Decomposition A in unimodular groups. This characterization was discovered by Kaimanovich in [21, Theorem 29] when G is countable and μ is a probability measure, asserting that if a nonsingular probability G -space (X, μ) is conservative, then for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ not only that $\sum_{g \in G} \nabla_g(x) = +\infty$, but further there is $r_x > 0$ such that

$$\#\{g \in G : \nabla_g(x) \geq r_x\} = +\infty.$$

We establish this for all lcsc unimodular groups and all standard measure spaces:

Theorem C (Kaimanovich-Type Characterization). *Let G be an lcsc unimodular group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. Then (X, μ) is conservative iff there exists $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ (equivalently, for every $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$) with the following property:*

For μ -a.e. $x \in X$ there exists $r = r_{(f,x)} > 0$ such that

$$\lambda(g \in G : \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) \geq r) = +\infty.$$

Kaimanovich's theorem, when G is countable and (X, μ) is a nonsingular probability G -space, is recovered from Theorem C by taking the function $f = 1$. While Theorem C is presented in a straightforward manner, its proof relies on the upcoming Theorems D and F which are of a different nature.

1.0.2. *The Borel perspective.* In the following we turn into a descriptive set-theoretic point of view. When G is countable and (X, μ) is an essentially free nonsingular G -space, the dissipative part can be presented as

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{g \in G} g.W_0,$$

where W_0 is a **wandering set**, which is a strong form of transient set: $\{g.W_0 : g \in G\}$ are pairwise disjoint (see [24, §1.3], [1, §1.1 & §1.6]; see also [35, 40]).²

²According to Aaronson's approach in [1, §1.6], totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces are measurable unions of wandering sets, hence they are essentially free (see [1, Proposition 1.6.1]). Our approach uses transient sets instead, hence allows non-essentially free nonsingular G -spaces to be totally dissipative. The example one should bear in mind is the measure preserving G -space $(G/F, \#)$, where F is a finite subgroup of G and $\#$ is the counting measure. It admits no

When it comes to continuous groups, of course there cannot be any μ -positive wandering set. Nevertheless, for $G = \mathbb{R}$ Krengel made essential use of wandering sets of zero measure which are **Borel transversals**, namely a Borel set that meets the orbit of every point exactly once. He then showed that if (X, μ) is a totally dissipative nonsingular \mathbb{R} -space, then it admits a Borel transversal W_0 on which one can construct a measure ν_0 in such a way that (X, μ) would be isomorphic (as nonsingular G -spaces, see Section 2 below) to the nonsingular G -space

$$(1.0.1) \quad (W_0 \times \mathbb{R}, \nu_0 \otimes \text{Lebesgue}) \text{ with the action } g \cdot (w, h) = (w, gh).$$

Let us put this generally. The **orbit equivalence relation** of a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) is defined to be

$$E_G^X := \{(x, g.x) \in X \times X : x \in X, g \in G\}.$$

We say that E_G^X is **smooth** if it admits a Borel transversal, i.e. a Borel set $W_0 \subset X$ that meets every orbit exactly once. This property has a few useful characterizations that will be mentioned in Theorem 5.2 below. Nonsingular G -spaces whose orbit equivalence relation is smooth were long been studied, since the celebrated works of Glimm and Effros [12, 17] (see also [14, §5] and [41, §2.1]).

Smoothness of the orbit equivalence relation will be shown in the upcoming theorem to be a necessary condition for dissipativity, but it is not sufficient: compactness of the stabilizers is also required to fully capture dissipativity. In some sources this was taken as the definition of dissipativity (see [9, Definition 2.1(i)]), and in others it was observed that it is sufficient for dissipativity (see [7, §2.11]).

Theorem D. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. TFAE:*

- (1) (X, μ) is totally dissipative.
- (2) E_G^X is smooth and all stabilizers are compact modulo μ .

Since \mathbb{Z}^d and \mathbb{R}^d have no nontrivial compact subgroups, we obtain:

Corollary 1.3 (Krengel–Rosinski). *When $A = \mathbb{Z}^d$ or \mathbb{R}^d , a nonsingular A -space (X, μ) is totally dissipative iff E_A^X is essentially smooth, and in this case the action is essentially free.*

Another corollary of Theorem D follows from Becker’s Glimm Effros–Dichotomy in orbit equivalence relations [16, Theorem 6.5.2]. Let E_0 be the tail equivalence relation on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Denote by $\cdot \sqsubset_B \cdot$ the relation of Borel reduction of equivalence relations. (See [16, §6] for background and details).

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space such that essentially all stabilizers are compact (e.g. free actions). Then (X, μ) is conservative iff $E_0 \sqsubset_B E_G^C$ for every μ -positive G -invariant set $C \subset X$.*

1.0.3. *The structure of totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces.* The aforementioned theorem of Krengel about totally dissipative nonsingular flows provides the general structure of such spaces: up to an isomorphism, all totally dissipative nonsingular flows are obtained from some standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) via the later Construction (1.0.1). Our aim is to establish a structure theorem for totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces for a general lcsc group G . As we shall see, when G admits nontrivial compact subgroups the general structure may be very different.

wandering sets unless F is trivial, but every singleton $gF \in G/F$ forms a transient set. This subtle point was discussed extensively by Kaimanovich [21, Remarks 19–22].




FIGURE 1. An arrow $A \rightsquigarrow_{+P} B$ presents the passage from class A of totally dissipative G -spaces to its subclass B that satisfies the restrictive property P .

The prototype of a totally dissipative nonsingular G -space is (G, λ) itself, which becomes a measure preserving G -space with the action by (left) translations. This extends to two substantially different constructions: *translation G -spaces* of the form $(W_0 \times G, \nu_0 \otimes \lambda)$ (see Construction 7.1), and *compactly fibered coset G -spaces* of the form $(G/K, \kappa)$ for a compact subgroup K of G (see Construction 7.3). Those constructions turn out to be prime instances of dissipativity; the former is free and generally non-ergodic, and the latter is typically non-free and transitive.

For free actions, Krengel's structure theorem can be directly generalized:

Theorem E (Following Krengel–Rosinski). *Let G be an lscg group. An essentially free nonsingular G -space is totally dissipative iff it is isomorphic to a translation G -space (Construction 7.1).*

For ergodic actions, using Theorem D we have the following structure theorem that is probably due to Glimm–Effros (cf. [41, Proposition 2.1.10], [14, Definition 5.2]). A different treatment can be found in [2, Theorem 4.30].

Theorem F (Following Glimm–Effros). *Let G be an lscg group. An ergodic nonsingular G -space is totally dissipative iff it is isomorphic to a compactly fibered coset G -space (Construction 7.3).*

It is a well-known fact that when G is countable, a dissipative nonsingular G -space is never ergodic provided the measure is non-atomic. This fails in general, since coset G -spaces (which can be non-atomic when G is continuous) are transitive. Recall that a nonsingular G -space is called **properly ergodic** if it is ergodic but is not essentially transitive, i.e. μ is not supported on a single orbit. A direct corollary of Theorem F is:

Corollary 1.5. *Dissipative nonsingular G -spaces are never properly ergodic.*

In the next we unify Theorems E and F into a general structure theorem. To this end we make use of a construction that we call *Krengel G -spaces*, which simultaneously generalizes translation G -spaces and compactly fibered coset G -spaces, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Recall that by Theorem D, one may associate with a totally dissipative nonsingular G -space (X, μ) a standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) and a map $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$, where $\mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$ is the space of compact subgroups of G . Indeed, W_0 would be a Borel transversal, ν_0 the image measure of μ via a Borel selector $X \rightarrow W_0$, and ψ_0 the usual stabilizer map. The construction of Krengel G -space is designed to recover (X, μ) out of (W_0, ν_0) and ψ_0 in a canonical way up to an isomorphism.

The general construction will be introduced in detail as Construction 7.6 in Section 7.3, where a measure preserving isomorphism will be constructed, and here we describe a softer version, where a nonsingular isomorphism is constructed. The input of the construction, called **Krengel G -datum**, consists of two ingredients:

- A standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) (possibly atomic);
- An arbitrary Borel function $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$.³

With it we associate a measure preserving G -space (X_0, μ_0) , which is called **Krengel G -space**, by the factor map

$$(W_0 \times G, \nu_0 \otimes \lambda) \rightarrow (X_0, \mu_0) \text{ via } (w, g) \mapsto (w, g\psi_0(w)),$$

where the action on $W_0 \times G$ is the aforementioned (1.0.1). Thus, the points of X_0 are pairs consist of a point of W_0 and a coset of the compact subgroup associated with it by ψ_0 . Then (X_0, μ_0) has the following basic properties:

- (1) $W_0 \cong \{(w, \psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0\}$ is a Borel transversal for $E_G^{X_0}$.
- (2) The stabilizers of $(w, g\psi_0(w)) \in X_0$ is the conjugation of $\psi_0(w)$ by g .
- (3) (W_0, ν_0) is the space of the ergodic components of (X_0, μ_0) : a point $w \in W_0$ corresponds to a compactly fibered coset G -space $G/\psi_0(w)$.

Theorem G. *Let G be an lsc group. A nonsingular G -space is totally dissipative iff it is isomorphic to the Krengel G -space arising from its natural Krengel G -datum.*

1.1. Roadmap. After reviewing general preliminaries in Section 2, in Section 3 we prove the Hopf Decomposition A, and lay the foundations for the proof of the Recurrence Theorem B. Then Section 4 focuses on further properties, including the recurrence theorems of Poincaré and Maharam, *maximal* transient sets, Hopf Decomposition under ergodic decomposition and with respect to subactions of closed subgroups. Section 5 is dedicated to Borel dynamics and includes a structure theorem for Borel G -spaces whose orbit equivalence relation is smooth (without a measure). In Section 6 we carry out the proof of Theorem D, and complete the proof of the Recurrence Theorem B. The general structure theory of totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces, including Construction 7.6 of Krengel G -spaces and the proofs of Theorems E, F and G, will be carried out in Section 7. Then Section 8 goes back to prove Theorem C, and using it to provide a new proof to the conservativity of Maharam Extensions in unimodular groups. The final Section 9 is complementary and presents a treatment of the related *Positive–Null Decomposition*.

Acknowledgments. We extend our heartfelt thanks to Michael Björklund for a few illuminating discussions, and particularly for his Remark 7.7. We also thank Sasha Danilenko for answering several questions and for pointing to us the reference [14]. We are deeply grateful to Zemer Kosloff who initiated this project, for his advice, dedicated mentorship and invaluable support. We finally thank Johanna Steinmeyer for her assistance in creating the figures.

³In a sense, ψ_0 is a *random compact subgroup*, but the measure ν_0 may be infinite.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.0.1. *Locally compact second countable groups.* Throughout this work, G stands for a locally compact second countable (lcsc) group and λ for a fixed version of its left Haar measure. While typically our results will be nontrivial when G is non-compact, we do not exclude the case when G is compact. We also do not exclude countable discrete groups. The identity element of G will be denoted by e . We denote the modular function of G and λ by $\Delta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus, for every test function $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_G \varphi(g) \Delta(g) d\lambda(g) = \int_G \varphi(g^{-1}) d\lambda(g).$$

Recall that by Struble's theorem [38], every lcsc group G admits a proper compatible metric, namely a metric that induces its topology for which balls are relatively compact. Thus, if B_r is the ball of radius $r > 0$ around e with respect to such a metric on G , then not only that $B_r \nearrow G$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$, but also every compact set $K \subset G$ is eventually contained in B_r .

We denote by

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G) \subset \mathbf{K}(G) \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_{\text{gr}}(G) \subset \mathbf{F}(G)$$

the spaces of compact subgroups of G and of compact subsets of G , and the spaces of closed subgroups of G and of closed subsets of G , respectively. These are known to be standard Borel spaces in the Chabauty–Fell topology. (see e.g. [22, Exercise (12.7) & §12.E(2)]).

2.0.2. *Borel G -spaces.* By a **standard Borel space** we refer to a measurable space X whose σ -algebra is the Borel σ -algebra of some Polish topology (separable, completely metrizable) on X . This σ -algebra on X is fixed and will remain implicit. If G is an lcsc group, a **Borel G -space** is a standard Borel space X with a jointly Borel map $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g.x$, such that $e.x = x$ and $gh.x = g.(h.x)$ for every $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$. The **orbit equivalence relation** associated with a Borel G -space X is the equivalence relation

$$E_G^X := \{(x, g.x) : x \in X, g \in G\}.$$

Since G is lcsc E_G^X is Borel, namely a Borel subset of $X \times X$, and for every point $x \in X$ the orbit $G.x := \{g.x : g \in G\}$ is Borel (see [16, Exercise 3.4.6, Theorem 3.3.2]). We denote the stabilizer of a point $x \in X$ by

$$G_x := \{g \in G : g.x = x\}.$$

The following fact will be essential for us (see [16, Theorems 3.3.2 & 8.2.1]).

Theorem 2.1 (Miller; Becker–Kechris). *Let G be an lcsc group and X a Borel G -space. Then the stabilizers of all points in X are closed subgroups of G , and the map $X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\text{gr}}(G)$, $x \mapsto G_x$, is a Borel map.*

2.0.3. *Nonsingular G -spaces.* A **standard measure space** is a measure space (X, μ) , where X is a standard Borel space and μ is a Borel measure which is either a probability measure or an infinite σ -finite measure. Generally speaking, we do not exclude the case when μ has atoms. We will say that a Borel set $A \subset X$ is **μ -null** if $\mu(A) = 0$ and that it is **μ -conull** if $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0$. Similarly, we will say that a Borel set $A \subset X$ is **μ -positive** if $\mu(A) > 0$. We may also use the convenient writing of $A = B$ modulo μ for Borel sets $A, B \subset X$ when $A \Delta B$ is a μ -null set.

Here Δ denotes symmetric difference of sets. We use similar terminology for containment of Borel sets, equality and inequality of Borel functions, etc. When the measure is clear from the context, we will say that a certain property *essentially* occurs when it occurs on a conull set (e.g. *essentially free* for actions).

The following is a classical lemma about standard measure spaces. A proof can be found in [24, p. 17] and [1, Lemma 1.0.7].

Lemma 2.2 (The Exhaustion Lemma). *Let (X, μ) be a standard measure space. Every hereditary (closed to taking subsets) collection \mathfrak{H} of Borel sets in X admits a measurable union, namely a Borel set $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ such that:*

- (1) *Every element of \mathfrak{H} is a subset of \mathcal{H} modulo μ .*
- (2) *Every μ -positive subset of \mathcal{H} contains a μ -positive element of \mathfrak{H} .*

Moreover, \mathcal{H} is the union of countably many disjoint elements of \mathfrak{H} .

Let ν, μ be Borel measures on the same standard Borel space. We say that ν is **absolutely continuous** with respect to μ , denoting it $\nu \ll \mu$, if every μ -null set is also ν -null. We say that ν and μ are **equivalent**, denoting it $\nu \sim \mu$, if they are mutually absolutely continuous: $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\mu \ll \nu$.

A **nonsingular G -space** is a standard measure space (X, μ) such that X is a Borel G -space and μ is **quasi-invariant** to the action; that is,

$$\mu \circ g \sim \mu \text{ for every } g \in G,$$

where $\mu \circ g$ denotes the measure $A \mapsto \mu(g.A)$ (each g defines an invertible mapping of X). When further $\mu \circ g = \mu$ for every $g \in G$ we will call (X, μ) by a **measure preserving G -space**. Occasionally we deal with the case where μ is a probability measure, in which we refer to (X, μ) as a **nonsingular probability G -space** or a **probability preserving G -space**.

A Borel set $A \subset X$ is said to be **G -invariant** if $g.A = A$ for each $g \in G$, and it is said to be **μ -almost G -invariant** if $\mu(g.A \Delta A) = 0$ for each $g \in G$. The following is a well-known fact that will be essential to our discussion. For a proof see [5, Theorems 1.2.8–1.2.9] (cf. [13, Proposition 8.3]).

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. For every μ -almost G -invariant Borel set $A \subset X$ there is a G -invariant Borel set $A' \subset X$ with $\mu(A \Delta A') = 0$.*

Finally, two nonsingular G -spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are said to be isomorphic if there are G -invariant Borel sets $X_o \subset X$ and $Y_o \subset Y$, the first is μ -conull and the second is ν -conull, and a Borel bijection $\varphi : X_o \rightarrow Y_o$, such that $\varphi_*\mu \sim \nu$ and such that for every $g \in G$ we have that $\varphi(g.x) = g.\varphi(x)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X_o$. Similarly, two measure preserving G -spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are said to be isomorphic if they are isomorphic as nonsingular G -spaces via φ as above with the further property that $\varphi_*\mu = \nu$.

2.0.4. *The Radon–Nikodym cocycle.* For a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) , we have the collection of Radon–Nikodym derivatives

$$\frac{d\mu \circ g}{d\mu}(\cdot) \in L^1(X, \mu), \quad g \in G.$$

By the properties of Radon–Nikodym derivatives, for every $g, h \in G$ we have

$$\frac{d\mu \circ gh}{d\mu}(x) = \frac{d\mu \circ g}{d\mu}(h.x) \cdot \frac{d\mu \circ h}{d\mu}(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

By the Mackey Cocycle Theorem (see e.g. [39, Lemma 5.26, p. 179]), there exists a (pointwise defined) cocycle

$$\nabla : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \nabla : (g, x) \mapsto \nabla_g(x),$$

namely

$\nabla_e(x) = 1$ and $\nabla_{gh}(x) = \nabla_g(h.x) \cdot \nabla_h(x)$ for every $g, h \in G$ and every $x \in X$, such that for every $g \in G$,

$$\nabla_g(x) = \frac{d\mu \circ g}{d\mu}(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

A fundamental property of ∇ , which is a direct computation using the Fubini Theorem and the basic property of the Radon–Nikodym derivatives, is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\dagger) \quad & \iint_{G \times X} \nabla_g(x) f_0(g.x) f_1(x) \varphi(g) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ &= \iint_{G \times X} f_0(x) f_1(g^{-1}.x) \varphi(g) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x), \end{aligned}$$

for all Borel functions $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\varphi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

3. THE HOPF DECOMPOSITION: A GENERAL FORM

Let G be an lsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. Using the associated Radon–Nikodym cocycle ∇ as in 2.0.4, define an averaging transform of Borel functions $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$S^G : f \mapsto S_f^G, \quad S_f^G(x) := \int_G \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) d\lambda(g).$$

Denote by $L_+^1(X, \mu)$ the class of (strictly) positive-valued elements of $L^1(X, \mu)$. For $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ consider the sets

$$\mathcal{C}_f := \{x \in X : S_f^G(x) = +\infty\} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_f := X \setminus \mathcal{C}_f.$$

These are Borel sets (see [22, Theorem (17.25)]).

Definition 3.1. A nonsingular G -space (X, μ) is said to have the **Hopf Decomposition** if there is a decomposition

$$X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$$

of X into G -invariant disjoint Borel sets \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , such that

$$\mu(\mathcal{C} \Delta \mathcal{C}_f) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(\mathcal{D} \Delta \mathcal{D}_f) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in L_+^1(X, \mu).$$

Theorem 3.2. Let G be an lsc group and (X, μ) be a nonsingular G -space. Fix an arbitrary $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ and an arbitrary Borel set $A \subset X$. TFAE:

- (1) $A \subset \mathcal{C}_f$ modulo μ .
- (2) For every μ -positive set $B \subset A$ it holds that

$$\int_G 1_B(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda(g) = +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-positively many } x \in X.$$

- (3) For every μ -positive set $B \subset A$ it holds that

$$\int_G 1_B(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda(g) = +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in B.$$

Using the notation we introduced before, for a Borel set $A \subset X$ and $x \in X$ write

$$R_A(x) := \{g \in G : x \in g.A\}, \text{ so that } \lambda(R_A(x)) = \int_G 1_A(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda(g).$$

Observe the basic identity

$$(3.0.1) \quad R_A(g.x) = gR_A(x), \quad x \in X, g \in G.$$

Recall the notations of transience and recurrence for sets as in Definition 1.2. Thus, a Borel set $T \subset X$ is called transient when

$$\lambda(R_T(x)) < +\infty \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Let \mathfrak{T} be the collection of transient sets in (X, μ) . Since in general if $A \subset B$ then $R_A(x) \subset R_B(x)$ for every $x \in X$, we see that \mathfrak{T} is hereditary, so by the Exhaustion Lemma 2.2 it admits a measurable union that we denote by

$$\mathcal{T}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *For every $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ it holds that $\mu(\mathcal{T} \Delta \mathcal{D}_f) = 0$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.3. We start by showing that $\mu(\mathcal{D}_f \setminus \mathcal{T}) = 0$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{T}^c$ be an arbitrary Borel set and put

$$A_0 := \{x \in A : S_f^G(x) < +\infty\}.$$

Suppose toward a contradiction that A_0 is μ -positive. Passing to some μ -positive subset $B \subset A_0$ with $\mu(B) < +\infty$, using the formula (†) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &> \int_B S_f^G(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \iint_{G \times X} \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) 1_B(x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ &= \iint_{G \times X} f(x) 1_B(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ &= \int_X f(x) \lambda(R_B(x)) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is positive on a μ -conull set, it follows that $\lambda(R_B(x)) < +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$. Thus, $A \supset B \in \mathfrak{T}$ which is a contradiction to that $A \subset \mathcal{T}^c$. We deduce that $S_f^G(x) = +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in A$, namely $A \subset \mathcal{C}_f$. Since $A \subset \mathcal{T}^c$ is an arbitrary μ -positive set it readily follows that $\mu(\mathcal{T}^c \setminus \mathcal{C}_f) = 0$, hence $\mu(\mathcal{D}_f \setminus \mathcal{T}) = 0$.

We now show that $\mu(\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{D}_f) = 0$. Fix an arbitrary transient set $T \in \mathfrak{T}$. For $r > 0$ denote

$$X_r := \{x \in X : \lambda(R_T(x)) \leq r\} \text{ and } T_r := T \cap X_r.$$

These are Borel sets (see [22, Theorem (17.25)]). Since $T \in \mathfrak{T}$ it follows that $X_r \nearrow X$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ modulo μ , hence $T_r \nearrow T$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ modulo μ .

For a fixed $r > 0$ and every $x \in X$, using (3.0.1) and the invariance of λ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \in R_{T_r}(x) &\iff (g^{-1}.x \in T) \wedge (\lambda(R_T(g.x)) \leq r) \\ &\iff (g^{-1}.x \in T) \wedge (\lambda(R_T(x)) \leq r), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$R_{T_r}(x) = \begin{cases} R_T(x) & \lambda(R_T(x)) \leq r \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This readily implies that $\lambda(R_{T_r}(x)) \leq r$ for every $x \in X$. Then using the formula (†) we deduce that for every $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_r} S_f^G(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \iint_{G \times X} \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) 1_{T_r}(x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ (3.0.2) \quad &= \iint_{G \times X} f(x) 1_{T_r}(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ &= \int_X f(x) \lambda(R_{T_r}(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq r \|f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $S_f^G(x) < +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in T_r$. Since r is arbitrary it follows that $S_f^G(x) < +\infty$ for μ -a.e. $x \in T$. By the definition of \mathcal{D}_f this implies that $\mu(T \setminus \mathcal{D}_f) = 0$. Since $T \in \mathfrak{T}$ is arbitrary we deduce that $\mu(\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{D}_f) = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $A \subset X$ be a μ -positive set. By Proposition 3.3 we have $\mu(A \cap \mathcal{D}_f) = 0 \iff \mu(A \cap \mathcal{T}) = 0$. Thus, $\mu(A \cap \mathcal{D}_f) = 0$ iff A contains no μ -positive transient set. This is precisely condition (2) for A as in the theorem. Thus, we have established the equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) for A as in the theorem.

It is obvious that the failure of condition (2) for A implies the failure of condition (3) for A . Let us show the converse. Thus, suppose that A is a μ -positive set containing no transient set, and let $B \subset A$ be an arbitrary μ -positive set. Consider the set

$$T_B := \{x \in B : \lambda(R_B(x)) < +\infty\}.$$

As the notation suggests, we claim that T_B is a transient set. Indeed, let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and, as we argued in the proof of Proposition 3.3 with the identity (3.0.1), one directly verifies that

$$R_{T_B}(x) = \begin{cases} R_B(x) & \lambda(R_B(x)) < +\infty \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

so that $\lambda(R_{T_B}(x)) < +\infty$ for every $x \in T_B$, concluding that T_B is a transient set. As $T_B \subset B \subset A$ and A contains no μ -positive transient set, $\lambda(T_B) = 0$. This is precisely condition (3) for A . \square

Proof of Theorem A. Recall the identity (3.0.1) from which it follows that if T is a transient set then, for every $g \in G$, also $g.T$ is a transient set. This readily implies that \mathcal{T} is almost μ -invariant. By Theorem 2.3 there is a G -invariant set $\mathcal{T}' \subset X$ with $\mu(\mathcal{T} \Delta \mathcal{T}') = 0$, thus \mathcal{T}' is also a measurable union of the class of transients sets. We then put

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T}' \text{ and } \mathcal{C} = X \setminus \mathcal{D}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.3 directly that this G -invariant Borel decomposition constitutes the Hopf Decomposition of (X, μ) as in Definition 3.1. The fact that this decomposition depends only on the measure class of μ follows directly from the Recurrence Theorem 3.2. \square

As for Theorem B, its version with transient sets (rather than strongly transient) follows from Theorem 3.2. The complete proof will be given in the end of Section 6. We end this discussion by proving Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Fix any $f_0 \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$ and define the function

$$f_0^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad f_0^*(x) := S_{f_0}^G(x) = \int_G \nabla_g(x) f_0(g.x) d\lambda(g).$$

Assuming for simplicity that $X = \mathcal{D}$, we have $f_0^* < +\infty$ on a μ -conull set, so define a measure η_0 on X by

$$d\eta_0(x) = f_0^*(x) d\mu(x).$$

Since f_0^* is positive on a μ -conull set, η_0 is equivalent to μ . In order to show that η_0 is G -invariant note that by the formula (†), for every nonnegative Borel function $f_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f_1(x) d\eta_0(x) &= \iint_{G \times X} \nabla_g(x) f_0(g.x) f_1(x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x) \\ &= \iint_{G \times X} f_0(x) f_1(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda \otimes \mu(g, x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the invariance of the Haar measure together with the Fubini Theorem that, for an arbitrary $h \in G$, if we replace f_1 by $f_1 \circ h$ this integral remains unchanged, namely η_0 is G -invariant. \square

4. FURTHER PROPERTIES

Here we gather a few general facts about conservativity and dissipativity, some are known and others would be new in the generality of lcsc groups.

4.0.1. Recurrence Theorems in measure preserving G -spaces.

Theorem 4.1 (Poincaré Recurrence Theorem). *For every lcsc non-compact group G , totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces admit no absolutely continuous G -invariant probability measure.*

One can easily deduce this from Theorems A and B. The following more general theorem was proved by Maharam for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1, Theorem 1.1.7]).

Theorem 4.2 (Maharam's Recurrence Theorem). *Let (X, μ) be a measure preserving G -space. If there exists a Borel set $A_o \subset X$ with*

$$0 < \mu(A_o) < +\infty \text{ and } \lambda(R_{A_o}(x)) = +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X,$$

(in particular G is non-compact) then (X, μ) is conservative.

Proof. Suppose that $T \subset X$ is a transient set. With the sets

$$T_r := \{x \in T : \lambda(R_T(x)) \leq r\}, \quad r > 0,$$

as in the previous proof, the computation (3.0.2) for $f = 1_{A_o}$ (which was applied there to positive functions but holds true for nonnegative functions) shows that for every $r > 0$,

$$\int_{T_r} S_{1_{A_o}}^G(x) d\mu(x) \leq r\mu(A_o) < +\infty, \text{ so } S_{1_{A_o}}^G(x) < +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in T_r.$$

However, as we are in the measure preserving case and by the assumption,

$$S_{1_{A_o}}^G(x) = \lambda(R_{A_o}(x)) = +\infty,$$

hence $\mu(T_r) = 0$. As $T_r \nearrow T$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ we deduce that $\mu(T) = 0$. \square

4.0.2. *Maximal transient set.* In the case of a countable group G , it is known that there exists a wandering set $W_{\max} \subset X$ with $\mathcal{D} = G.W_{\max}$ modulo μ (see [1, Proposition 1.6.1]). The following is the analog for transient sets:

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. There exists a transient set $T_{\max} \subset X$ with $\mathcal{D} = G.T_{\max}$ modulo μ . Moreover, when $\mu(\mathcal{D}) > 0$ one may assume further that $\mu(T_{\max}) > 0$.*

The following proof is essentially due to Aaronson [1, Proposition 1.6.1].

Proof. We may assume that μ is a probability measure. Recall the hereditary collection \mathfrak{T} of transient sets of (X, μ) . Let us proceed in an inductive way as follows. First, if $\mu(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ set $T_{\max} := \emptyset$. Otherwise

$$\alpha_1 := \sup\{\mu(T) : T \in \mathfrak{T}\} > 0,$$

so pick $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}$ with $\mu(T_1) > \alpha_1/2$. Suppose $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathfrak{T}$ were defined. If $\mu(\mathcal{D} \setminus G.(T_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup T_n)) = 0$ set $T_{\max} := T_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup T_n$. Otherwise set

$$\alpha_n := \sup\{\mu(T) : T \in \mathfrak{T}, T \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus G.(T_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup T_n)\}.$$

If this process is indefinite, so that T_{\max} is undefined, set $T_{\max} := T_1 \sqcup T_2 \sqcup \dots$.

We now claim that T_{\max} is a maximal transient set. For every $x \in X$, either $R_{T_{\max}}(x) = \emptyset$ or $R_{T_{\max}}(x) = R_{T_{n_x}}(x)$ for some $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\lambda(R_{T_{\max}}(x)) < +\infty$ and $T_{\max} \in \mathfrak{T}$. We note that for every $g \in G$, since $\mu(T_{\max}) > 0$ also $\mu(g.T_{\max}) > 0$, and since $g.T_{\max} \in \mathfrak{T}$ we have $g.T_{\max} \subset \mathcal{D}$ modulo μ . This implies that $S.T_{\max} \subset \mathcal{D}$ modulo μ for whatever countable set $S \subset G$. By the continuity of the mapping $G \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $g \mapsto \mu(g.T_0)$, the separability of G and using that $\mu(T_{\max}) > 0$, we deduce that $G.T_{\max} \subset \mathcal{D}$ modulo μ . Finally, since T_1, T_2, \dots are disjoint we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n = 2 \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(T_n) \leq 2 \cdot \mu(X) < +\infty,$$

so we have $\mu(\mathcal{D} \setminus G.T_{\max}) \leq \alpha_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, thus $\mathcal{D} \subset G.T_{\max}$ modulo μ . \square

4.0.3. *Dissipativity and ergodic decomposition.* Another consequence of the Recurrence Theorem B is that dissipativity is preserved when passing to ergodic components. Recall that by the ergodic decomposition theorem (see [19, Theorem 1.1]),⁴ for a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) there is a standard probability space (E, ν) and a family $\{\mu_e : e \in E\}$ of Borel measures on X such that:

- (1) For each $e \in E$, the space (X, μ_e) is an *ergodic* nonsingular G -space.
- (2) For every Borel set $A \subset X$, the map $E \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $e \mapsto \mu_e(A)$, is Borel and $\mu(A) = \int_E \mu_e(A) d\nu(e)$.

⁴While this is formulated for nonsingular probability G -spaces, one can derive the general case by passing to an equivalent probability measure.

Proposition 4.4. *A nonsingular G -space is totally dissipative iff essentially each of its ergodic components is totally dissipative.*

Proof. For an arbitrary Borel set $A \subset X$ let

$$A_\infty := \{x \in X : \lambda(R_A(x)) = +\infty\}.$$

With the ergodic decomposition, $\mu(A_\infty) = 0$ iff $\mu_e(A_\infty) = 0$ for ν -a.e. $e \in E$, so the proposition follows directly from the Recurrence Theorem 3.2. \square

Combining Theorem F and Proposition 4.4, we obtain the characterization of totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces as those whose each ergodic component is isomorphic to a compactly fibered G -space.

4.0.4. *Subactions of closed subgroups.* The coming proposition relates the Hopf Decomposition when is taken with respect to a closed subgroup of the acting group. Halmos showed that for every nonsingular transformation τ , the Hopf decomposition of τ coincides with that of its self-iteration τ^p , for any positive integer p (see [1, Corollary 1.1.4]). Krengel observed that the analog statement holds for nonsingular group actions and their lattices subactions (see [1, Theorem 1.6.4]).

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be an lsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. If H is a closed subgroup of G the following hold.*

- (1) *If (X, μ) is totally dissipative, then it is also totally dissipative with respect to H (in the subaction of H).*
- (2) *If H is further a lattice then the converse of (1) is also true, and the Hopf Decomposition with respect to G coincides with the one with respect to H .*

Proof. We start to prove part (1). By Proposition 4.4 it is sufficient to show that every ergodic component of (X, μ) is dissipative as a nonsingular H -space, and by Theorem F every such ergodic component is isomorphic to a compactly fibered coset G -spaces $(G/K, \kappa)$. Note that the stabilizers of the action of H on G/K are of the form $H \cap K^g$ for some $g \in G$, hence are all compact. We also apply Theorem A.2, by which the orbit equivalence relation $E_{G/K}^H$ is smooth. Then from Theorem D it follows that $(G/K, \kappa)$ is totally dissipative also as a nonsingular H -space.

As for part (2), when H is a lattice we may fix a fundamental domain $\Omega \subset G$ for H , so that $0 < \lambda(\Omega) < +\infty$ and the translations $\{\omega\Omega : \omega \in H\}$ of Ω are pairwise disjoint and $G = \bigcup_{\omega \in H} \omega\Omega$. Fix any $f \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ and let

$$f_\Omega : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad f_\Omega(x) := \int_\Omega \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) d\lambda(g).$$

Note that $f_\Omega \in L_+^1(X, \mu)$ since $\lambda(\Omega) < +\infty$. We now have the relation

$$\begin{aligned} S_f^G(x) &= \sum_{\omega \in H} \int_{\omega\Omega} \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) d\lambda(g) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in H} \int_\Omega \nabla_{g\omega}(x) f(g\omega.x) d\lambda(g) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in H} \nabla_\omega(x) \int_\Omega \nabla_g(\omega.x) f(g\omega.x) d\lambda(g) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in H} \nabla_\omega(x) f_\Omega(\omega.x) = S_{f_\Omega}^H(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is nothing but the very definition of the averaging transform S^H for the discrete countable acting group H with its Haar (counting) measure. This completes the proof. \square

5. SMOOTH ORBIT EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Our aim here is to present a structure theorem for Borel G -spaces whose orbit equivalence relation is smooth. This result will serve us well in proving the general structure theorem of totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces, but it will be formulated without measures and it is of its own interest.

5.1. Preliminaries: Smooth Equivalence Relations. An **equivalence relation** on a set X is a set $E \subset X \times X$ such that $x \sim x' \iff (x, x') \in E$ defines an equivalence relation on X . When X is a standard Borel space, such an equivalence relation is called **Borel** if it belongs to the product Borel σ -algebra of $X \times X$.

Definition 5.1. *An equivalence relation E on a standard Borel space X is **smooth**, if there is a standard Borel space Y and a Borel function $s : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(x, x') \in E \iff s(x) = s(x') \text{ for all } x, x' \in X.$$

The function s is referred to as a *reduction map* (in that it is a reduction of E to the equivalence relation of equality). Note that a smooth equivalence relation is necessarily Borel, as it is the inverse image of the diagonal of Y under the map $X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y, (x, x') \mapsto (s(x), s(x'))$. In the following we collect a few well-known characterizations for smoothness.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an lcsc group and X a Borel G -space. TFAE:*

- (1) E_G^X is smooth.
- (2) E_G^X is countably separated: there exists a countable collection of Borel functions u_1, u_2, \dots from X to some standard Borel space, such that

$$(x, x') \in E \iff (\forall_n, u_n(x) = u_n(x')) \text{ for all } x, x' \in X.$$
- (3) E_G^X admits a Borel selector: a Borel function $s : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(x, s(x)) \in E \text{ and } (x, x') \in E \iff s(x) = s(x') \text{ for all } x, x' \in X.$$
- (4) E_G^X admits a Borel transversal: a Borel subset of X that intersects every orbit in exactly one point.
- (5) The space of orbits X/E_G^X is standard Borel.⁵

We refer to the proofs of the various parts of Theorem 5.2. The equivalence (1) \iff (2) is [16, Proposition 5.4.4]. The equivalence (1) \iff (3) is the most difficult part of this theorem and a version of this in the presence of a measure on X is attributed to von Neumann (see [41, Appendix A] and in particular Theorem A.9). Without a measure this is a result of Burgess, which holds even for Polish groups (see [16, Corollary 5.4.12]); for a proof due to Kechris see [16, Proposition 5.4.10 and Theorem 5.4.11]. The equivalence (3) \iff (4) appears in the proof of [16, Proposition 3.4.5]. For the implication (4) \implies (5), note that whenever W_0 is a transversal for E_G^X , the natural map $W_0 \rightarrow X/E_G^X$ that takes a point to its orbit is a bijection, and thus when W_0 is a Borel set this becomes a Borel

⁵By definition, the measurable sets in X/E_G^X are those collection of orbits whose union set is a Borel set in X .

bijection inducing a standard Borel structure on X/E_G^X . Finally, the implication (5) \implies (1) follows since there is a natural reduction $s : X \rightarrow X/E_G^X$.

5.2. The Structure of Smooth Borel G -Spaces.

Definition 5.3. *Let G be an lsc group. A Borel G -datum is a pair*

$$(W_0, \psi_0)$$

consists of a standard Borel space W_0 and a Borel map $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\text{gr}}(G)$.

Construction 5.4. *For a Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) , define the space*

$$X_0 = \{(w, g\psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0, g \in G\},$$

with the action

$$g \cdot (w, h\psi_0(w)) = (w, gh\psi_0(w)), \quad g \in G, (w, h\psi_0(w)) \in X.$$

Lemma 5.5. *The space X_0 becomes a (standard) Borel G -space.*

Proof. Consider the Borel map

$$\Psi_0 : W_0 \times G \rightarrow W_0 \times \mathbf{F}(G), \quad \Psi_0(w, g) = (w, g\psi_0(w)).$$

Thus, $X_0 = \Psi_0(W_0 \times G)$. In order to deduce that X_0 is a Borel subset of $W_0 \times \mathbf{F}(G)$, note that the fiber of every point $(w_0, g_0\psi_0(w_0)) \in W_0 \times \mathbf{F}(G)$ under Ψ_0 is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0^{-1}(w_0, g_0\psi_0(w_0)) &= \{(w, g) : (w, g\psi_0(w)) = (w_0, g_0\psi_0(w_0))\} \\ &= \{w_0\} \times \{g \in G : g\psi_0(w_0) = g_0\psi_0(w_0)\} \\ &= \{w_0\} \times g_0\psi_0(w_0). \end{aligned}$$

Pick any σ -compact Polish topology on W_0 that induces its Borel structure (which always exists by the isomorphism theorem of standard Borel spaces), and consider the product topology of this with the given (σ -compact) topology of G . Then with this product topology the space $W_0 \times G$ becomes σ -compact and Polish. It is also clear that $\{w_0\} \times g_0\psi_0(w_0)$ is closed $W_0 \times G$, hence σ -compact. We thus found that all fibers of Ψ are σ -compact in some Polish topology that is compatible with the Borel structure of $W_0 \times G$, and by Kallman's theorem (a strong generalization of the fact the injective image of a Borel set is Borel; see [41, Corollary A.6]) it follows that the image of $W_0 \times G$ under Ψ , namely X_0 , is a Borel set. \square

A crucial feature of the Borel G -space X_0 in Construction 5.4 is that the stabilizers are explicit in terms of ψ_0 :

Observation 5.6. *The stabilizer of a point $(w, h\psi_0(w)) \in X_0$ is*

$$(5.2.1) \quad G_{(w, h\psi_0(w))} = \{g \in G : gh\psi_0(w) = h\psi_0(w)\} = \psi_0(w)^h,$$

which is also the stabilizer of $h\psi_0(w)$ in the coset G -space $G/\psi_0(w)$.

We now come to the structure theorem of Borel G -spaces with smooth orbit equivalence relation:

Theorem 5.7. *Let G be an lsc group and X a Borel G -space. TFAE:*

- (1) E_G^X is smooth.
- (2) There exists a Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) whose associated Borel G -space is isomorphic to X .

We will start with a technical lemma. While it follows from [41, Lemma B.8], we give a more direct proof and highlight its basic properties.

Lemma 5.8. *Let X be a Borel G -space such that E_G^X is smooth and fix a Borel transversal W_0 for E_G^X . There exists a Borel map $r : X \rightarrow G$ such that $r(x) \cdot x \in W_0$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, and the map*

$$s : X \rightarrow W_0, \quad s(x) := r(x) \cdot x,$$

is a Borel selector. Every such map r satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $r(g \cdot x) g \cdot x = r(x) \cdot x$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.
- (2) $r(g \cdot x) g r(x)^{-1} \in G_{r(x) \cdot x}$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

Proof. Extending the notation G_x for stabilizers, for $x, x' \in X$ let us denote

$$G_{x,x'} = \{g \in G : g \cdot x = x'\}.$$

Note that either $G_{x,x'} = \emptyset$ or that for an arbitrary $g \in G_{x,x'}$ we have $G_{x,x'} = gG_x$, then in view of Theorem 2.1 we have that G_x and $G_{x,x'}$ are closed for all $x, x' \in X$. Consequently, we may see each $G_{x,x'}$ as an element of the standard Borel space $\mathbf{F}(G)$ of closed subsets of G . Consider the standard Borel subspace $\mathbf{F}^*(X) = \mathbf{F}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. By the Kuratowski–Ryll–Nardzewski Selection Theorem (see [16, Theorem 1.4.6]) there is a Borel map

$$\xi : \mathbf{F}^*(G) \rightarrow G \text{ with } \xi(C) \in C \text{ for every } C \in \mathbf{F}^*(G).$$

Let us fix a Borel selector $s : X \rightarrow X$ for E_G^X . Observing that $G_{x,s(x)} \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in X$, we put

$$r : X \rightarrow G, \quad r(x) = \xi(G_{x,s(x)}).$$

We claim that this is a Borel map. Indeed, r is the composition of mappings

$$X \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x, s(x))} X \times X \xrightarrow{(x, x') \mapsto G_{x, x'}} \mathbf{F}(G) \xrightarrow{C \mapsto \xi(C)} G;$$

the first and the third are clearly Borel maps, and the second is a Borel map by Becker–Kechris Theorem (see e.g. [16, Theorem 8.2.1]). It is then evident that r is the desired mapping.

Finally, property (1) follows from the fact that $r(g \cdot x) g \cdot x$ and $r(x) \cdot x$ both lie in the same orbit and at the same time both belong to the Borel transversal W_0 . Property (2) follows from property (1) since

$$r(x) \cdot x = r(g \cdot x) g \cdot x = r(g \cdot x) g r(x)^{-1} r(x) \cdot x. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5.7. First, if X is (isomorphic to) the Borel G -space X_0 that is constructed from a Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) , then the graph

$$\{(w, \psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0\} \subset X$$

of ψ_0 is clearly a transversal for (X, μ) , so E_G^X is smooth in light of Theorem 5.2. Let us prove the converse.

Thus, suppose X is a Borel G -space for which E_G^X is smooth, so it admits a Borel transversal $W_0 \subset X$. Define the Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) by

$$\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\text{gr}}(G), \quad \psi_0(w) = G_w, \quad w \in W_0.$$

By Theorem 2.1 this is a Borel map. Let X_0 be the Borel G -space associated with the Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) , and we show that X and X_0 are isomorphic as Borel

G -spaces. Let us pick a Borel function $r : X \rightarrow G$ corresponding to W_0 as in Lemma 5.8, and define the Borel map

$$\iota : X \rightarrow X_0 \subset W_0 \times \mathbf{F}(G), \quad \iota(x) = \left(r(x) \cdot x, r(x)^{-1} G_{r(x) \cdot x} \right).$$

In proving that ι is the desired isomorphism, we will constantly use the properties of r as mentioned in Lemma 5.8.

- ι is equivariant. For every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(g \cdot x) &= \left(r(g \cdot x) g \cdot x, r(g \cdot x)^{-1} G_{r(g \cdot x) g \cdot x} \right) \\ &= \left(r(x) \cdot x, gr(x)^{-1} G_{r(x) \cdot x} \right) = g \cdot \iota(x). \end{aligned}$$

- ι is surjective. To this end note that for every $w \in W_0$ and $g \in G$, the properties of r as in Lemma 5.8 become

$$w = r(w) \cdot w = r(g \cdot w) \cdot w = r(g \cdot w) g \cdot w,$$

and in particular $r(g \cdot w) \in G_w$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(g \cdot w) &= \left(r(g \cdot w) g \cdot w, r(g \cdot w)^{-1} G_{r(g \cdot w) g \cdot w} \right) \\ &= \left(w, gr(w)^{-1} G_{r(w) \cdot w} \right) = (w, gG_w) = (w, g\psi_0(w)). \end{aligned}$$

- ι is injective. Define $j : X_0 \rightarrow X$ by

$$j(w, g\psi_0(w)) = \alpha(g, w) = g \cdot w, \quad (w, g\psi_0(w)) \in X_0.$$

We verify that j is well-defined. If $(w, g\psi_0(w)) = (w', g'\psi_0(w'))$ then $g\psi_0(w) = g'\psi_0(w') = g'\psi_0(w)$ hence $g \cdot w = g' \cdot w$ so that

$$j(w, g\psi_0(w)) = g \cdot w = g' \cdot w = g' \cdot w' = j(w', g'\psi_0(w')).$$

We then obtain that $j \circ \iota = \text{Id}_X$: for every $x \in X$,

$$j(\iota(x)) = j\left(r(x) \cdot x, r(x)^{-1} G_{r(x) \cdot x} \right) = r(x)^{-1} r(x) \cdot x = x. \quad \square$$

Once we have established a canonical correspondence between Borel G -spaces with smooth orbit equivalence relation and Borel G -datum, it is of interest to identify their isomorphism type in terms of Borel G -datum:

Theorem 5.9. *For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, let (W_i, ψ_i) be a Borel G -datum and denote by X_i the associated Borel G -space as in Construction 5.4. TFAE:*

- (1) X_0 and X_1 are isomorphic as Borel G -spaces.
- (2) There is a Borel isomorphism $\sigma : W_0 \rightarrow W_1$ as well as a Borel map $\tau : W_0 \rightarrow G$, such that $\psi_0(w)^{\tau(w)} = \psi_1(\sigma(w))$ for all $w \in W_0$.
- (3) There is a Borel isomorphism $\sigma : W_0 \rightarrow W_1$ such that $\psi_0(w)$ and $\psi_1(\sigma(w))$ are conjugated for all $w \in W_0$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): If $\pi : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ is an isomorphism of Borel G -spaces, define

$$V_0 := \{(w, \psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 := \pi(V_0).$$

Since V_0 is a Borel transversal for X_0 , V_1 is a Borel transversal for X_1 . Define

$$\sigma : W_0 \rightarrow W_1, \quad \sigma : W_0 \xrightarrow{w \mapsto (w, \psi_0(w))} V_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} V_1 \xrightarrow{x \mapsto s_1(x)} W_1,$$

where $s_1 : X_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a Borel selector for the transversal W_1 . Let us fix a Borel map $r_1 : X_1 \rightarrow V_1$ corresponding to s_1 as in Lemma 5.8, and put

$$\tau : W_0 \rightarrow G, \quad \tau(w) = r_1(\pi(w, \psi_0(w))), \quad w \in W_0.$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\sigma(w)) &= \psi_1(s_1(\pi(w, \psi_0(w)))) \\ &= \text{Stab}_G(s_1(\pi(w, \psi_0(w)))) \\ &= \text{Stab}_G(\pi(w, \psi_0(w)))^{r_1(\pi(w, \psi_0(w)))} \\ &= \psi_0(w)^{\tau(w)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that π is a Borel G -isomorphism so that $\psi_0(w) = \text{Stab}_G(w, \psi_0(w)) = \text{Stab}_G(\pi(w, \psi_0(w)))$.

(2) \implies (1): Suppose Borel maps σ and τ as in (2) are given. Define a map $\pi : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$\pi(w, g\psi_0(w)) := \left(\sigma(w), g\psi_0(w)\tau(w)^{-1} \right) = \left(\sigma(w), g\tau(w)^{-1}\psi_1(\sigma(w)) \right).$$

One can directly verify that π is well-defined and is equivariant. Using that σ is a Borel isomorphism, one can routinely verify that π is also a Borel isomorphism.

(2) \iff (3): One implication is trivial so we prove the converse. Let $\sigma : W_0 \rightarrow W_1$ be as in (3). Using Lemma 5.8, pick a Borel map $r_1 : X_1 \rightarrow G$ for the Borel transversal $\{(w, \psi_1(w)) : w \in W_1\}$ of X_1 , and define

$$\tau : W_0 \rightarrow G, \quad \tau(w) = r_1(\sigma(w), \psi_1(\sigma(w))), \quad w \in W_0.$$

We claim that the bare assumption that ψ_0 and $\psi_1 \circ \sigma$ are conjugated, implies that τ conjugates them. Since τ is a Borel map this will complete the proof. Fix an arbitrary $w' \in W_0$. As $\psi_0(w')$ and $\psi_1(\sigma(w'))$ are conjugated, say by $g'^{-1} \in G$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(w'), \psi_0(w')g') &= (\sigma(w'), g'\psi_1(\sigma(w'))) \\ &= g' \cdot (\sigma(w'), \psi_1(\sigma(w'))) \\ &\in G \cdot (\sigma(w'), \psi_1(\sigma(w'))) \subset X_1, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows from the transversal property that

$$\tau(w') \cdot (\sigma(w'), \psi_0(w')g') = (\sigma(w'), \psi_1(\sigma(w'))).$$

Since the action is leftwise, $\text{Stab}_G(\sigma(w'), \psi_0(w')g') = \psi_0(w')$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\sigma(w')) &= \text{Stab}_G(\sigma(w'), \psi_1(\sigma(w'))) \\ &= \text{Stab}_G(\tau(w') \cdot (\sigma(w'), \psi_0(w')g')) = \psi_0(w')^{\tau(w')}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem was proved by Kosloff & Soo in the context of nonsingular G -spaces [23, Theorems 11 & 14]. In lights of Theorem 5.7 and Lemma 5.8 we formulate a Borel version of this theorem.

Theorem 5.10 (Following Kosloff–Soo). *Let G be an lcsc group and X a free Borel G -space. TFAE:*

- (1) X/E_G^X is an uncountable standard Borel space.
- (2) X is G -factor universal: it factors onto any other Borel G -space.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): By Theorem 5.2, X/E_G^X being a standard Borel space is equivalent to that E_G^X is smooth. Then by Theorem 5.7 we deduce that X is isomorphic to the Borel G -space that is constructed out of the Borel G -datum (W_0, ψ_0) , where W_0 is an uncountable standard Borel space and $\psi_0 \equiv \{e\}$ (as the action is free). That is, $X \cong W_0 \times G$.

Let Y be any Borel G -space and consider the diagonal Borel G -space $X \times Y$. Since the action on X is free then so is the action on $X \times Y$. Furthermore, we claim that since the action of G on X is free and since E_G^X is smooth, then also $E_G^{X \times Y}$ is smooth. Indeed, if $s : X \rightarrow X$ is a Borel selector for E_G^X , using Lemma 5.8 we may write $s(x) = r(x) \cdot x$ for all $x \in X$, and define

$$S : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y, \quad S(x, y) = (r(x) \cdot x, r(x) \cdot y), \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

One can easily verify, using that the action on X is free, that S is a Borel selector for $E_G^{X \times Y}$. Then by Theorem 5.7, $X \times Y$ is isomorphic to the space constructed from the Borel G -datum (W_1, ψ_1) , where W_1 is some standard Borel space and $\psi_1 \equiv \{e\}$. That is, $X \times Y \cong W_1 \times G$.

Since X/E_G^X is uncountable, W_0 is an uncountable standard Borel space, hence there is a surjective Borel map $f : W_0 \rightarrow W_1$. It is then evident that the desired factor map is obtain by the composition

$$X \cong W_0 \times G \xrightarrow{(w, g) \mapsto (f(w), g)} W_1 \times G \cong X \times Y \xrightarrow{(x, y) \mapsto y} Y.$$

(2) \implies (1): Pick any free Borel G -space Y such that E_G^Y is smooth and has uncountably many orbits, e.g. $Y = [0, 1] \times G$. As X is factor universal, there is a factor map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$. Since E_G^Y admits uncountably many orbits and since π maps orbit to orbit, it follows that E_G^X admits uncountably many orbits. Let us show that E_G^X is smooth. Pick some Borel selector $s : Y \rightarrow Y$ for E_G^Y , and using Lemma 5.8 we may write $s(y) = r(y) \cdot y$ for all $y \in Y$. Define

$$S : X \rightarrow X, \quad S(x) = r(\pi(x)) \cdot x, \quad x \in X.$$

Of course $S(x) \in G \cdot x$ for every $x \in X$. The selector property can be verified using the freeness of the action as well as the basic properties of r as in Lemma 5.8. \square

6. BOREL DESCRIPTION OF TOTAL DISSIPATIVITY

The following theorem in the case $G = \mathbb{R}$ was proved by Krengel, and in the case $G = \mathbb{R}^d$ by Rosinski. In the following we formulate this generally, and the proof we provide is greatly inspired by Rosinski's proof [32, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 6.1 (Following Krengel–Rosinski). *If (X, μ) is a totally dissipative non-singular G -space, then E_G^X is essentially smooth.*

Proof. We prove that on the μ -conull set \mathcal{T} , the measurable union of the transient sets as in Proposition 3.3, E_G^X is countably separated by Borel functions that we denote $\{u_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We carry this in a few steps.

Step 1: (constructing $\{u_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

We recall that \mathcal{T} is the measurable union of the class of transient sets of (X, μ) . As such, the Exhaustion Lemma 2.2 guarantees that \mathcal{T} is the union of countably many transient sets, say $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$. Let us also apply Varadarajan's compact model theorem [39, Theorem 5.7, p. 160]. Thus, there is a compact G -space \bar{X} (i.e. \bar{X} is a compact metric space with a jointly continuous action of G), and a Borel

G -embedding of X in \bar{X} . We thus can fix a countable base $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$ for the topology of \bar{X} , and we may further assume that it is closed to finite unions and finite intersections. We now put, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function

$$u_{n,m} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad u_{n,m}(x) := \lambda(R_{U_n \cap T_m}(x)) = \int_G 1_{U_n \cap T_m}(g^{-1}.x) d\lambda(g).$$

We recall that each $U_n \cap T_m$, being a subset of a transient set is again a transient set, so that $u_{n,m}$ takes only finite values on the μ -conull set \mathcal{T} .

Step 2: (a positivity property of $\{u_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

We will show that for every $x_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ there are $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_{n_0, m_0}(x_0) > 0$. Since $\{U_n \cap T_m : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ covers \mathcal{T} , for every $g \in G$ also $\{g.U_n \cap g.T_m : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ covers \mathcal{T} . Thus, for every $x_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{U_n \cap T_m}(g^{-1}.x_0) \geq 1.$$

It then follows that

$$\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} u_{n,m}(x_0) = \int_G \left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{U_n \cap T_m}(g^{-1}.x_0) \right) d\lambda(g) = +\infty,$$

which readily implies the desired property.

Step 3: (each $u_{n,m}$ is constant on along orbits).

Let $(x_0, h.x_0) \in E_G^X$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and $h \in G$. By the identity (3.0.1) it follows that for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n,m}(h.x_0) &= \lambda(R_{U_n \cap T_m}(h.x_0)) \\ &= \lambda(h.R_{U_n \cap T_m}(x_0)) = \lambda(R_{U_n \cap T_m}(x_0)) = u_{n,m}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: (E_G^X is separated by $\{u_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

Let $(x_0, x_1) \notin E_G^X$. Using Step 2 we may pick some $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for x_0 with $u_{n_0, m_0}(x_0) > 0$. If $u_{n_0, m_0}(x_0) \neq u_{n_0, m_0}(x_1)$ we are done, so assume otherwise that

$$c := u_{n_0, m_0}(x_0) = u_{n_0, m_0}(x_1) > 0.$$

By the inner regularity of λ , there is a compact symmetric set $K \subset G$ such that

$$\int_K 1_{U_{n_0} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_i) > c/2, \quad i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

By the continuity of the action on \bar{X} , the sets $K.x_0$ and $K.x_1$ are compact in \bar{X} and, since $(x_0, x_1) \notin E_G^X$, necessarily $K.x_0 \cap K.x_1 = \emptyset$.

As \mathcal{U} is closed to finite unions, we may pick $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K.x_0 \subset U_{n_1} \text{ and } K.x_1 \cap U_{n_1} = \emptyset.$$

As \mathcal{U} is closed to finite intersections, we may pick $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$U_{n_0} \cap U_{n_1} = U_{n_2}.$$

We then claim that u_{n_2, m_0} assigns different values to x_0 and x_1 .

On one hand, from $K.x_0 \cap U_{n_0} \subset U_{n_0} \cap U_{n_1} = U_{n_2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n_2, m_0}(x_0) &= \int_G 1_{U_{n_2} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_0) d\lambda(g) \\ &\geq \int_G 1_{K.x_0 \cap U_{n_0} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_0) d\lambda(g) \\ &\geq \int_K 1_{U_{n_0} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_0) d\lambda(g) > c/2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from $K.x_1 \cap U_{n_2} \subset K.x_1 \cap U_{n_1} = \emptyset$ we have

$$\int_K 1_{U_{n_2} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_1) d\lambda(g) \leq \int_G 1_{K.x_1 \cap U_{n_2} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_1) d\lambda(g) = 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n_2, m_0}(x_1) &= \int_{G \setminus K} 1_{U_{n_2} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_1) d\lambda(g) \\ &\leq \int_{G \setminus K} 1_{U_{n_0} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_1) d\lambda(g) \\ &= u_{n_0, m_0}(x_1) - \int_K 1_{U_{n_0} \cap T_{m_0}}(g^{-1}.x_1) d\lambda(g) \\ &< c - c/2 = c/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u_{n_2, m_0}(x_0) \neq u_{n_2, m_0}(x_1)$, concluding the proof of Step 4.

Finally, by the virtue of Steps 3 and 4, E_G^X is countably separated by Borel functions, and from Theorem 5.2 we deduce that it is smooth. \square

Dissipative nonsingular G -spaces may not be free, as the coming Construction 7.3 suggests. However, a fundamental phenomenon in dissipative nonsingular G -spaces is that all stabilizers are compact:

Theorem 6.2. *If (X, μ) is a totally dissipative nonsingular G -space, then the (G -invariant) set $X_c \subset X$ of points whose stabilizers are compact is μ -conull.*

For the proof of Theorem 6.2 we will need the following elementary fact, generalizing that an lcsc group with finite Haar measure is compact. The proof is an adaptation of [28, Chapter II, §5, Proposition 4].

Lemma 6.3. *Let H be an lcsc group with a left Haar measure λ_H . If $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous multiplicative group homomorphism with $\int_H \psi d\lambda_H < +\infty$, then ψ is the trivial homomorphism and H is compact.*

Proof. If ψ is bounded it is necessarily the trivial homomorphism hence $\lambda_H(H) = \int_H \psi d\lambda_H < +\infty$, in which case H is compact. Suppose toward a contradiction that ψ is unbounded and, since it is continuous, H is non-compact and ψ is unbounded outside every compact set in H . Fix some compact set $K \subset H$ such that

$$I_K := \int_K \psi d\lambda_H \in (0, +\infty).$$

Construct a sequence $h_1, h_2, \dots \in H$ inductively as follows. After defining h_1, \dots, h_n such that h_1K, \dots, h_nK are pairwise disjoint and $\psi(h_i) \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, pick h_{n+1} so that $h_{n+1}K$ is disjoint from $h_1K \cup \dots \cup h_nK$ (H is non-compact) and $\psi(h_{n+1}) \geq 1$ (ψ is unbounded outside $h_1K \cup \dots \cup h_nK$).

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. By the construction of h_1, h_2, \dots we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n 1_K(h_i^{-1}h) \leq 1, \quad h \in H,$$

and, using the left invariance of λ_H and that ψ is a homomorphism, we get

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &> \int_H \psi(h) d\lambda_H(h) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_H 1_K(h_i^{-1}h) \psi(h) d\lambda_H(h) \\ &= \int_K \psi(h) d\lambda_H(h) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(h_i) \geq I_K \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $I_K \in (0, +\infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary we got to a contradiction. \square

In the following proof we make use of the construction of *measures on homogeneous spaces* and *Weil's formula*. See Theorem A.3 in Appendix A.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Pick some $f \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$ and let $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ be fixed from now until (nearly) the end of the proof. In light of Proposition 1.1 we may assume that μ is G -invariant, and thus that $\nabla_g \equiv 1$ for every $g \in G$. We apply the construction of measures on homogeneous spaces as in Theorem A.3 to the group pair $G_x \triangleleft G$ (recall Theorem 2.1). Thus, G has the Haar measure λ and the corresponding modular function Δ , and for G_x we fix a Haar measure λ_x and the corresponding modular function Δ_x . Pick a rho-function $\rho_x : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ for $G_x \triangleleft G$ and a quasi-invariant measure κ_x on G/G_x with respect to ρ_x . Applying Weil's formula (A.0.1) to the function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, g \mapsto f(g.x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_f^G(x) &= \int_G f(g.x) d\lambda(g) \\ &= \int_{G/G_x} \left[\int_{G_x} f(gc.x) \Delta(c) \Delta_x(c)^{-1} d\lambda_x(c) \right] \rho(g)^{-1} d\kappa_x(gG_x) \\ &= \left[\int_{G/G_x} f(g.x) \rho(g)^{-1} d\kappa_x(gG_x) \right] \cdot \left[\int_{G_x} \Delta(c) \Delta_x(c)^{-1} d\lambda_x(c) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ we have $S_f^G(x) < +\infty$, and since all terms in the above expression are strictly positive we obtain that

$$\int_{G_x} \Delta(c) \Delta_x(c)^{-1} d\lambda_x(c) < +\infty.$$

The map $G_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, c \mapsto \Delta(c) \Delta_x(c)^{-1}$, is a continuous group homomorphism, so by Lemma 6.3, applied to $H = G_x$ and $\psi : c \mapsto \Delta(c) / \Delta_x(c)$, we deduce that G_x is compact.

Letting X_c be the set of points in X whose stabilizer is compact (which is Borel by Theorem 2.1), we have shown that $\mathcal{D}_f \subset X_c$ modulo μ . Since (X, μ) is totally dissipative we deduce that X_c is μ -conull. \square

Proof of Theorem D. The implication (1) \implies (2) is the virtue of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Let us show the implication (2) \implies (1). By the assumption, the G -invariant set X_c of points whose stabilizers are compact is μ -conull, and by the other assumption we may find a Borel transversal W_0 on some μ -conull subset of X_c , thus the stabilizer of *every* point in W_0 is compact. Recall that by identity

(3.0.1), a translation of a transient set is again transient. Then by the property of W_0 as a transversal, in order to prove that μ -a.e. $x \in X$ is contained in a μ -positive transient set it is sufficient to show that this is true for every point in W_0 . Since $G.W_0 = X$ and G is lcsc, it is sufficient to show that $C.W_0$ is a transient set for every compact set $C \subset G$. Indeed, note the identity

$$R_{C.W_0}(w) = G_w C^{-1}, \quad w \in W_0,$$

by which for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ there is $g_0 \in G$ with $g_0.x \in W_0$, hence

$$R_{C.W_0}(x) = g_0^{-1} R_{C.W_0}(g_0.x) = g_0^{-1} G_{g_0.x} C^{-1}.$$

Since $G_{g_0.x}$ and C^{-1} are both compact so is $g_0^{-1} G_{g_0.x} C^{-1}$, and we conclude that $C.W_0$ is strongly transient and a fortiori transient. This shows that (X, μ) is totally dissipative, completing the proof of Theorem D. \square

The fact that in the previous proof we obtained strongly transient sets enables us to complete the proof of Theorem B:

Proof of Theorem B. As we mentioned before, the proof of the version with recurrent and transient sets follows directly from Theorem 3.2, so we prove now the version with strongly recurrent and strongly transient sets. Let \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} be the collections of transient sets and strongly transient sets, respectively. Since both are hereditary they admit measurable unions that we denote \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} , respectively. As we proved in Theorem 3.2, the Hopf Decomposition $X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ is determined by the property that $\mu(\mathcal{D} \Delta \mathcal{T}) = 0$, so it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}$ modulo μ . One one hand, as every strongly transient set is transient it is clear that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ modulo μ . Conversely, in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have shown that μ -a.e. point in \mathcal{D} is contained in a μ -positive strongly transient set, so \mathcal{D} is a measurable union of the strongly transient sets, thus $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}$ modulo μ .

From this we immediately see that every μ -positive subset of \mathcal{D} has a μ -positive strongly transient subset. We need to show that every subset of \mathcal{C} is strongly recurrent. Given a Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{C}$, we consider the set

$$T_A := \{x \in A : R_T(x) \text{ is relatively compact}\},$$

and for $r > 0$ consider the set

$$T_{A,r} := \{x \in T_A : R_{T_A}(x) \subset B_r\},$$

where B_r is the ball of radius $r > 0$ with respect to some proper compatible metric on G . We then see that

$$g \in R_{T_{A,r}}(x) \iff (g^{-1}.x \in T_A) \wedge (R_{T_A}(x) \subset B_r),$$

hence

$$R_{T_{A,r}}(x) = \begin{cases} R_{T_A}(x) & R_{T_A}(x) \subset B_r \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and in any case $R_{T_{A,r}}(x) \subset B_r$, thus $T_{A,r}$ is strongly transient and is contained in A , and by the assumption $\mu(T_{A,r}) = 0$. Since $T_{A,r} \nearrow T_A$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ we deduce that $\mu(T_A) = 0$. Thus, A is strongly recurrent. \square

7. THE STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR TOTALLY DISSIPATIVE ACTIONS

7.1. Free Actions: Translation G -Spaces. A classical theorem of Krengel asserts that every totally dissipative nonsingular flow (i.e. \mathbb{R} -space) is isomorphic to a *translation flow*. The following construction is the obvious generalization of translation flows from \mathbb{R} to general lcsc groups.

Construction 7.1. A **translation G -space** is a measure preserving G -space of the form $(W_0 \times G, \nu_0 \otimes \lambda)$, for some standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) , with the action that is given by

$$g.(w, h) = (w, gh), \quad (w, h) \in W_0 \times G, \quad g \in G.$$

We will refer to it as the **translation G -space attached to (W_0, ν_0)** .

It is clear that every translation G -spaces is free and generally non-ergodic. Indeed, a translation G -space that is attached to a nontrivial measure space (i.e. not all Borel sets are null or conull) is never ergodic. Krengel's theorem identify translation flows as the only source for totally dissipative nonsingular \mathbb{R} -spaces, and this was generalized by Rosinski to \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, this shows that nonsingular \mathbb{R}^d -spaces are essentially free, and in light of Theorem 6.2 this should not come as a surprise. As for general lcsc groups, we will generalize this in showing that non-freeness is the only obstruction to being (isomorphic to) a translation G -space.

Theorem 7.2. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) an essentially free nonsingular G -space with Hopf Decomposition $X = \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$. TFAE:*

- (1) (X, μ) is totally dissipative.
- (2) E_G^X is essentially smooth and μ admits a G -invariant equivalent measure.
- (3) (X, μ) is isomorphic to a translation G -space.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We will follow the lines of the proof in [32, Theorem 2.2] with the assistance of Theorem 5.2.

(1) \implies (2). This holds in general by Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 6.1.

(2) \implies (3). Since E_G^X is essentially smooth, by Theorem 5.2 it admits a Borel transversal $W_0 \subset X$. Consider the restriction of the action map to W_0 , namely

$$\Phi : W_0 \times G \rightarrow X, \quad \Phi(w, g) = g.w.$$

Using the transversal property of W_0 and that the action of G on S is free, Φ is one-to-one Borel map, so it admits a Borel inverse that we denote

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) = (\omega(x), \gamma(x)) \quad \text{where } \omega : X \rightarrow W_0 \text{ and } \gamma : X \rightarrow G.$$

We claim that

$$\omega(g.x) = \omega(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(g.x) = g\gamma(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \quad g \in G.$$

Indeed, the first identity is by the transversal property, and this implies

$$g\gamma(x) . \omega(x) = g.x = \gamma(g.x) . \omega(g.x) = \gamma(g.x) . \omega(x),$$

which implies the second identity since the action is free.

Fix some invariant measure η_0 equivalent to μ . Consider the measure $\mu_1 := \eta_0 \circ \Phi$. Then for every Borel sets $A \subset W_0$ and $B \subset G$, and every $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(A \times g^{-1}B) &= \eta_0(\Phi(A \times g^{-1}B)) \\ &= \eta_0(x \in X : \omega(x) \in A, g\omega(x) \in B) \\ &= \eta_0(x \in X : \omega(g.x) \in A, \omega(g.x) \in B) \\ &= \eta_0(x \in X : \omega(x) \in A, \omega(x) \in B) \\ &= \mu_1(A \times B). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for every Borel set $A \subset W_0$, the map $B \mapsto \mu_0(A \times B)$ is a left invariant Borel σ -finite measure on G , so it is λ up to a positive constant (see Theorem A.4(2)). Thus, there is a positive constant $\mu_0(A)$ such that

$$\mu_1(A \times B) = \mu_0(A) \lambda(B).$$

As μ_1 is a measure, $A \mapsto \mu_0(A)$ defines a measure on W_0 so we deduce (3).

(3) \implies (1). For a translation G -space attached to (W_0, ν_0) , since it is measure preserving we may assume that $\nabla(w, h) = 1$ for every $(w, h) \in W_0 \times G$, so for every $f \in L^1_+(W_0 \times G, \nu_0 \otimes \lambda)$ we have

$$S_f^G(w, h) = \int_G f(w, gh) d\lambda(g).$$

By the Fubini Theorem and the integrability of f we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_0} S_f^G(w, h) d\nu_0(w) &= \iint_{W_0 \times G} f(w, gh) d\nu_0 \otimes \lambda(w, h) \\ &= \iint_{W_0 \times G} f(w, h) d\nu_0 \otimes \lambda(w, h) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $S_f^G(w, h) < +\infty$ for $\nu_0 \otimes \lambda$ -a.e. $(w, h) \in W_0 \times G$, concluding that the translation G -space attached to (W_0, ν_0) is totally dissipative. \square

7.2. Ergodic Actions: Compactly Fibered Coset G -Spaces. We now come to the construction of compactly fibered coset G -spaces, following the construction of measures on homogeneous spaces (Appendix ??).

Construction 7.3. A coset G -space is a nonsingular G -space of the form $(G/K, \kappa)$, where K is a closed subgroup of G , with the action

$$g.hK = ghK, \quad g \in G, hK \in G/K,$$

and κ is a quasi-invariant measure (which is in fact unique up to measure class). When K is compact we will call $(G/K, \kappa)$ a **compactly fibered coset G -space**.

The stabilizers of a point $hK \in G/K$ is the conjugation $K^h = h^{-1}Kh$, thus the action is not essentially free as soon as K is nontrivial. As for dissipativity we have:

Lemma 7.4. A coset G -space is totally dissipative iff it is compactly fibered.

Proof. In the nonsingular G -space $(G/K, \kappa)$, all the stabilizers are compact exactly when K is compact. Thus, if $(G/K, \kappa)$ is totally dissipative then from Theorem 6.2 it follows that K is compact. Conversely, clearly $E_G^{G/K}$ is smooth (it admits a one point Borel transversal), so if K is compact then every stabilizer is compact, so from Theorem D it follows that $(G/K, \kappa)$ is totally dissipative. \square

We can now prove Theorem F:

Proof of Theorem F. We have shown in Lemmas 7.4 that a compactly fibered coset G -space is totally dissipative. Let us show the converse, thus (X, μ) is an ergodic nonsingular G -space which is totally dissipative. We start by showing that (X, μ) is essentially transitive. By Theorem 5.2, since E_G^X is smooth the space X/E_G^X is standard Borel and the quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow X/E_G^X$ is a Borel map. It is then sufficient to show that the pushforward measure $\pi_*\mu$ on X/E_G^X is a Dirac measure. Indeed, if $A, B \subset X/E_G^X$ are $\pi_*\mu$ -positive disjoint Borel sets, then $\pi^{-1}(A), \pi^{-1}(B)$ are μ -positive disjoint Borel sets, and they are clearly G -invariant, a contradiction to ergodicity.

Now that we came to that (X, μ) is essentially transitive, it is isomorphic as a Borel G -space to $(G.x_0, \mu)$ for some $x_0 \in X$ (orbits are always G -invariant). Then the map $q : G.x_0 \rightarrow G/G_{x_0}, q : g.x_0 \mapsto gG_{x_0}$, is an isomorphism of the measure preserving G -spaces $(G.x_0, \mu)$ and $(G/G_{x_0}, q_*\mu)$. However, by Theorem 6.2 the stabilizer G_{x_0} is a compact subgroup of G , so by Theorem A.4(1) there is a unique measure class of quasi-invariant measures on G/G_{x_0} , namely $q_*\mu$ is equivalent to the measure κ that forms the compactly fibered coset G -space $(G/G_{x_0}, \kappa)$. All together, we deduce that the nonsingular G -spaces (X, μ) and $(G/G_{x_0}, \kappa)$ are isomorphic. \square

7.3. General Actions: Krengel G -Spaces. We now come to the construction that generalizes translation G -spaces and compactly fibered coset G -spaces simultaneously, aiming to obtain a full structure theorem of totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces. For an lsc group G , recall the standard Borel spaces

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G) \subset \mathbf{K}(G)$$

of compact subgroups of G and of compact subsets of G , respectively. These are known to have a structure of standard Borel space. Write $\mathcal{M}(G)$ for the space of Radon measures on G , considered as a standard Borel space with the vague topology. We generalize Construction 5.4 from Borel G -spaces to nonsingular G -spaces as follows.

Definition 7.5. *Let G be an lsc group. A **Krengel G -datum** is a triplet*

$$((W_0, \nu_0), \psi_0, \lambda_0)$$

that consists of the following ingredients:

- *A standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) (possibly atomic).*
- *An arbitrary Borel map $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$.*
- *An arbitrary Borel map $\lambda_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ such that each $\lambda_0(w)$ is a positive constant multiple of the fixed Haar measure λ .*

Thus, a Krengel G -datum is a Borel G -datum as in Definition 5.3 together with the extra measure ν_0 and the map λ_0 .

Construction 7.6. A Krengel G -space is a measure preserving G -space (X_0, μ_0) constructed out of a Krengel G -datum $((W_0, \nu_0), \psi_0, \lambda_0)$ as follows. Define a Borel measure Λ_0 on $W_0 \times G$ by

$$\Lambda_0(A) = \int_{W_0} \lambda_0(w)(A_w) d\nu_0(w) \text{ for a Borel set } A \subset W_0 \times G,$$

where for $w \in W_0$ we denote $A_w = \{g \in G : (w, g) \in A\}$. Put the Borel map

$$\Psi_0 : W_0 \times G \rightarrow W_0 \times \mathbf{K}(G), \quad \Psi_0(w, g) = (w, g\psi_0(w)).$$

Define a measure space (X_0, μ_0) to be the image of $(W_0 \times G, \Lambda_0)$ under Ψ_0 :

$$X_0 := \Psi_0(W_0 \times G) = \{(w, h\psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0, h \in G\} \text{ and } \mu_0 := \Psi_{0*}(\Lambda_0),$$

with the structure of a measure preserving G -space via

$$g \cdot (w, h\psi_0(w)) = (w, gh\psi_0(w)), \quad g \in G, (w, h\psi_0(w)) \in X_0.$$

Remark 7.7. An alternative approach to define Krengel G -spaces was suggested to us by Michael Björklund. Let W_0 be a standard Borel space and $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$ a Borel map. Consider $W_0 \times G$ as a translation G -space, and let ν_0 be any invariant measure on $W_0 \times G$. Define on $W_0 \times G$ the G -invariant Borel equivalence relation

$$E_{\psi_0} = \left\{ ((w, g), (w, g')) \in (W_0 \times G)^2 : g\psi_0(w) = g'\psi_0(w) \right\},$$

and look at the σ -algebra \mathcal{I}_{ψ_0} of E_{ψ_0} -invariant Borel sets. By Mackey's point-realization theorem, the Boolean G -space corresponding to \mathcal{I}_{ψ_0} admits a point-realization, i.e. a measure preserving G -space (X_0, μ_0) such that \mathcal{I}_{ψ_0} can be realized as a measure preserving factor map

$$(W_0 \times G, \nu_0) \rightarrow (X_0, \mu_0).$$

It can be shown that (X_0, μ_0) is naturally a Krengel G -space.

Proposition 7.8. Let (X_0, μ_0) be the Krengel G -space associated with a Krengel G -datum $((W_0, \nu_0), \psi_0, \lambda_0)$. Then:

- (1) (X_0, μ_0) is a (standard) measure preserving G -space.
- (2) The ergodic decomposition of (X_0, μ_0) is given on the space (W_0, ν_0) by the measures $\{\delta_w \otimes q_{w*}\lambda_0(w) : w \in W_0\}$, where $q_w : G \rightarrow G/\psi_0(w)$ is the canonical projection for each $w \in W_0$.
- (3) (X_0, μ_0) is totally dissipative.

Proof. We have shown in Lemma 5.5 that X_0 is a standard Borel space, and we need to show that μ_0 is σ -finite. To this end fix an arbitrary Borel set $A \subset W_0$ with $\nu_0(A) < +\infty$ and some compact set $K \subset G$. For $r > 0$ let

$$K_r := \{w \in W_0 : \lambda_0(w)(K) \leq r\},$$

so that

$$\Lambda_0((A \cap K_r) \times K) \leq \nu_0(A)r < +\infty.$$

Since each $\lambda_0(w)$ is a Radon measure, $(A \cap K_r) \times K \nearrow A \times K$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$, so that Λ_0 is σ -finite on $A \times K$. As A and K are arbitrary this readily implies that Λ_0 is σ -finite. The G -invariance of Λ_0 follows from the G -invariance of each $\lambda_0(w)$.

Regarding the ergodic decomposition, we note that for every $w \in W_0$ the measure $\lambda_0(w)$ on G is a G -invariant, and since G is a transitive G -space it is necessarily ergodic. It then follows that the measure $\delta_w \otimes \lambda_0(w)$ on the space $W_0 \times G$ is ergodic

with the given action, hence the pushforward $\Psi_{0*}(\delta_w \otimes \lambda_0(w))$ is ergodic on X_0 . This readily implies that the ergodic decomposition of $(W_0 \times G, \Lambda_0)$ has the form

$$\Lambda_0 = \int_{W_0} \delta_w \otimes \lambda_0(w) d\nu_0(w).$$

As $\Psi_{0*}(\delta_w \otimes \lambda_0(w)) = \delta_w \otimes q_{w*}\lambda_0(w)$ for every $w \in W_0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(A) &= \Lambda_0(\Psi_0^{-1}(A)) \\ &= \int_{W_0} (\delta_w \otimes \lambda_0(w))(\Psi_0^{-1}(A)) d\nu_0(w) \\ &= \int_{W_0} (\delta_w \otimes q_{w*}\lambda_0(w))(A) d\nu_0(w), \end{aligned}$$

establishing the desired form of the ergodic decomposition of (X_0, μ_0) .

Finally, the stabilizers in (X_0, μ_0) are nothing but conjugacy classes of ψ_0 hence all compact, and also $\{(w, \psi_0(w)) : w \in W_0\}$ is a Borel transversal so $E_{X_0}^G$ is smooth. From Theorem D it follows that (X_0, μ_0) is totally dissipative. \square

We now move to the proof of Theorem G, which relies on the fact that in totally dissipative nonsingular G -spaces, the ergodic decomposition is given by the disintegration theorem along the Borel transversal:

Proposition 7.9. *Let (X, μ) be a totally dissipative nonsingular G -space. There exists a model for the ergodic decomposition of (X, μ) on a standard measure space (W_0, ν_0) with Borel measures $\{\mu_w : w \in W_0\}$, such that:*

- (1) $W_0 \subset X$ and is a Borel transversal for E_G^X .
- (2) For ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$, μ_w is G -invariant and is supported on the orbit $G.w$.
- (3) For ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$ the measure preserving G -space $(G.w, \mu_w)$ is isomorphic (up to positive constant multiple of the measures) to the compactly fibered coset G -space $(G/G_w, \kappa_w)$ via

$$q_w : G.w \rightarrow G/G_w, \quad q_w(g.w) = gG_w.$$

Proof. Since (X, μ) is totally dissipative, by Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 6.1 we may assume that μ is G -invariant and E_G^X is essentially smooth. Then by Theorem 5.2 there exists a Borel transversal W_0 for E_G^X . Pick a Borel selector $s : X \rightarrow W_0$ and consider the measure $\nu_0 := s_*\mu$, so we have a measure preserving factor map $s : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (W_0, \nu_0)$. Using the disintegration theorem (see e.g. [1, Theorem 1.0.8] and [36, §6]), there is a collection $\{\mu_w : w \in W_0\}$ of Borel measures on X such that

- (1) For ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$ the measure μ_w is supported on $s^{-1}(\{w\})$.
- (2) The map $W_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $w \mapsto \mu_w(A)$, is Borel and

$$\mu(A) = \int_{W_0} \mu_w(A) d\nu_0(w) \text{ for every Borel set } A \subset X.$$

For ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$, the transversal property guarantees that $s^{-1}(\{w\}) = G.w$, hence the measure μ_w is supported on a transitive G -space. Since s is a Borel selector, $s(g.x) = s(x)$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. This means that if we consider (W_0, ν_0) as a trivial measure preserving G -space, then $s : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (W_0, \nu_0)$ is a factor map of measure preserving G -spaces, and it follows from [1, Proposition 1.0.11] that for ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W$ the measure μ_w is G -invariant. Finally, for ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$, since $\psi_0(w)$ is compact in G , by Theorem A.4(3) the canonical isomorphism $q_w : G.w \rightarrow G/G_w$ is equivariant and $q_{w*}\mu_w$ is equivalent to κ_w . \square

Proof of Theorem G. We have shown in Proposition 7.8 that every Krengel G -space is totally dissipative. Suppose (X, μ) is a totally dissipative nonsingular G -space and we prove that it is isomorphic to a Krengel G -space. We start by associating with (X, μ) a Krengel G -datum

$$((W_0, \nu_0), \psi_0, \lambda_0)$$

as follows. First, let (W_0, ν_0) be a standard measure space that supports the ergodic decomposition $\{\mu_w : w \in W_0\}$ of (X, μ) as in Proposition 7.9. Let also $\psi_0 : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$ be the stabilizer map, $\psi_0(w) = G_w$. Note that by Theorem 6.2 we may assume that G_x is compact for every $x \in X$, so indeed the image of ψ_0 lies in $\mathbf{K}_{\text{gr}}(G)$. For ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$ we have the compactly fibered coset G -space $(G.w, \mu_w)$, so let

$$\lambda_0(w) = j_{w*}\mu_w, \text{ for } j_w : G \rightarrow G.w, j_w(g) = g.w.$$

Since μ_w is G -invariant and j_w is equivariant, indeed $\lambda_0(w)$ is G -invariant.

From the Krengel G -datum $((W_0, \nu_0), \psi_0, \lambda_0)$ that we have just associated with (X, μ) we obtain the Krengel G -space (X_0, μ_0) as in Construction 7.6. We will finish the proof by showing that (X, μ) is isomorphic to (X_0, μ_0) as a nonsingular G -space. As we already showed in Theorem 5.7, X and X_0 are isomorphic as Borel G -spaces via the map

$$\iota : X \rightarrow X_0, \quad \iota(x) = \left(r(x).x, r(x)^{-1}G_x \right), \quad x \in X.$$

As for the measures, from Propositions 7.8 and 7.9, both ergodic decompositions of (X_0, μ_0) and (X, μ) are given on the space (W_0, ν_0) ; the first one has the ergodic components $\{\delta_w \otimes q_{w*}\lambda_0(w) : w \in W_0\}$, each of which is an invariant measure on $\{w\} \times \{g\psi_0(w) : g \in G\}$, and the second has the ergodic components $\{\kappa_w : w \in W_0\}$, each of which is an invariant measure on $G/\psi_0(w)$. Both types of ergodic components, for ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$, are transitive G -spaces with the structure of a compactly fibered coset G -space with respect to the compact subgroup $\psi_0(w)$. Under the Borel isomorphism ι , for ν_0 -a.e. $w \in W_0$ the component $\{w\} \times \{g\psi_0(w) : g \in G\}$ corresponds to the component $G.w \cong G/\psi_0(w)$. This completes the proof. \square

8. KAIMANOVICH-TYPE CHARACTERIZATION

Here we prove Theorem C. Our approach will be first to prove it in essentially free actions and from this case, utilizing an idea due to Arano, Isono & Marrakchi in their proof of [2, Theorem 4.30], we deduce the general case from it.

From now and until the end of this section, we fix an lcsc *unimodular* group G , a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) and a function $f \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$. We will set some convenient notations. In this setup define a new cocycle

$$\Psi : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \Psi_g(x) = \nabla_g(x) f(g.x) / f(x), \quad (g, x) \in G \times X.$$

For $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ denote the set

$$D_r(x) := \{g \in G : \Psi_g(x) \geq r\}.$$

Note that for every $x \in X$, a number $r > 0$ satisfies the property presented in Theorem C precisely when $\lambda(D_{r,f(x)}(x)) = +\infty$.

In the essentially free case we have:

Lemma 8.1. *Let G be an lcsc unimodular group and (X, μ) an essentially free nonsingular G -space such that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, for every $r > 0$ we have $\lambda(D_r(x)) < +\infty$. Then (X, μ) is totally dissipative.*

Proof. In the first part of the proof we fix $r > 0$, aiming to construct a transient set $T_r \subset X$. Define

$$\tau_r(x) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \tau_r(x) = \inf \{s > 0 : \lambda(D_s(x)) \leq r\}.$$

This is a Borel function by [22, Theorem (17.25)]. For μ -a.e. $x \in X$ we have $\lambda(D_s(x)) \nearrow +\infty$ as $s \searrow 0$, hence $\tau_r(x)$ is strictly positive on a μ -conull set. For $x \in X$ consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} G_r(x) &:= D_{\tau_r(x)}(x) \\ &= \{g \in G : \Psi_g(x) \geq \tau_r(x)\} \\ &= \{g \in G : \lambda(h \in G : \Psi_h(x) \geq \Psi_g(x)) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ we have the properties

$$(8.0.1) \quad \lambda(G_r(x)) < +\infty \text{ and } G_r(g_o \cdot x) = G_r(x) g_o^{-1} \text{ for every } g_o \in G.$$

The first property follows from the assumption, since $\tau_r(x) > 0$. As for the second property, note that for every $g_o \in G$, if $g \in G_r(x)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(h \in G : \Psi_h(g_o \cdot x) \geq \Psi_{gg_o^{-1}}(g_o \cdot x)) \\ &= \lambda(h \in G : \Psi_h(g_o \cdot x) \geq \Psi_g(x) \Psi_{g_o^{-1}}(g_o \cdot x)) \\ &= \lambda(h \in G : \Psi_{hg_o}(x) \geq \Psi_g(x)) \\ &= \lambda(h \in G : \Psi_h(x) \geq \Psi_g(x)) \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used the unimodularity in that λ is right invariant. This shows that $gg_o^{-1} \in G_r(g_o \cdot x)$, thus $G_r(g_o \cdot x) \supset G_r(x) g_o^{-1}$ for every $g_o \in G$. By symmetry (apply this to $x \mapsto g_o \cdot x$ and $g_o \mapsto g_o^{-1}$) also the converse containment follows, establishing the second part of (8.0.1). We now define the set

$$T_r := \bigcup_{x \in X} G_r(x) \cdot x.$$

Setting r free, we proceed by showing that $T_r \nearrow X$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ modulo μ while each T_r is a μ -measurable transient set:

- For every $r > 0$, T_r is μ -measurable. Indeed, T_r is the image of the set

$$\{(g, x) \in G \times X : g \in G_r(x)\} = \{(g, x) \in G \times X : \Psi_g(x) \geq \tau_r(x)\}$$

under the action map $G \times X \rightarrow X$ and, since this is a Borel set in $G \times X$, we deduce that T_r is analytic hence μ -measurable (see [22, Theorem (21.10)]).

- For every $r > 0$, T_r is a transient set. Since the action is free and using (8.0.1), one can routinely verify that for every $x \in X$,

$$x \in T_r \iff x \in G_r(x) \cdot x.$$

Using again that the action is free it follows that

$$R_{T_r}(x)^{-1} = \{g \in G : g \cdot x \in T_r\} = \{g \in G : g \cdot x \in G_r(x) \cdot x\} = G_r(x).$$

Then from (8.0.1) and the unimodularity we deduce that T_r is transient.

- $T_r \nearrow X$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$ modulo μ . To see this note that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ we have $\tau_r(x) \searrow 0$ as $r \nearrow +\infty$, so pick $r_x > 0$ sufficiently large with $\tau_{r_x}(x) < 1$ and, since $\Psi_e(x) = \nabla_e(x) = 1$, we have $e \in G_{r_x}(x)$, thus $x \in T_{r_x}(x)$.

To sum up, fixing any sequence of positive numbers $r_n \nearrow +\infty$, by the μ -measurability of T_{r_n} we may pick a Borel set $\bar{T}_n \subset T_{r_n}$ with $\mu(T_{r_n} \setminus \bar{T}_n) = 0$ (see [22, Theorem (17.10)]) and, as a subset of a transient set, \bar{T}_n is transient. From $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \bar{T}_n$ modulo μ we get that X is totally dissipative. \square

The following fact is the main ingredient in reducing the general case of Theorem C to the essentially free case:

Fact 8.2. *Every lcsc non-compact group G admits a probability preserving G -space (Π_G, ϱ_G) which is essentially free and mixing.*

By a theorem of Schmidt & Walters [34, Theorem 2.3], as (Π_G, ϱ_G) is mixing it is in particular *mild mixing*, i.e. for all ergodic nonsingular probability G -space (X, μ) , the diagonal nonsingular G -space $(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$ (which is automatically essentially free) is ergodic.

Remark 8.3. *When G is countably infinite Fact 8.2 can be justified by the classical Bernoulli shift $(\{0, 1\}^G, (1/2, 1/2)^{\otimes G})$. When G is continuous and non-compact, the natural way to justify Fact 8.2 is by the Poisson suspension construction, which is the continuous analog of the classical Bernoulli shift (following Ornstein & Weiss [29, III§4]; a detailed construction can be found in [3, §3 & §4]). Thus, the Poisson suspension built over the measure preserving G -space (G, λ) suffices: it can be proved to be mixing in an analogous way to that the classical Bernoulli shift is mixing. Another approach is using a construction of Gaussian actions following Adams, Elliot & Giordano (see [2, Remark 5.10] and the references therein).*

We can now complete the proof of Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. By Theorem A, if the condition in the theorem is satisfied then (X, μ) is conservative, so we prove the converse. We then assume that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ and for every $r > 0$ we have $\lambda(D_r(x)) < +\infty$, aiming to prove that (X, μ) is totally dissipative. By Proposition 4.4 it is sufficient to assume that (X, μ) is ergodic. Pick an essentially free mixing probability preserving G -space (Π_G, ϱ_G) as in Fact 8.2, and by the aforementioned [34, Theorem 2.3] the diagonal nonsingular G -space $(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$ becomes essentially free and ergodic. Since the second coordinate is probability preserving, the Radon–Nikodym cocycle $(x, p) \mapsto \nabla^{eG}(x, p)$ of the diagonal action is essentially independent of its p -variable and is given by

$$\nabla_g^{eG}(x, p) = \nabla_g(x) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } (x, p) \in X \times \Pi_G.$$

Since (Π_G, ϱ_G) is a probability space, we can naturally view f as a function of $L_+^1(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$ via $(x, p) \mapsto f(x)$. Letting Ψ^{eG} and D_r^{eG} be defined analogously for $(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$, we see that

$$\Psi_g^{eG}(x, p) = \Psi_g(x), \text{ hence } D_r^{eG}(x, p) = D_r(x), \text{ hence } \lambda(D_r^{eG}(x, p)) < +\infty,$$

for all $g \in G$ and $\mu \otimes \varrho_G$ -a.e. $(x, p) \in X \times \Pi_G$ and every $r > 0$. As $(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$ is essentially free, from Lemma 8.1 we deduce that it is totally dissipative. Since it is ergodic, by Theorem F we deduce that $(X \times \Pi_G, \mu \otimes \varrho_G)$ is (isomorphic to a compactly fibered G -spaces hence) essentially transitive. This immediately implies that its factor (X, μ) is also essentially transitive and in particular E_G^X is essentially smooth (admitting a one point Borel transversal).

Next we show that essentially all the stabilizers in (X, μ) are compact. Let $x \in X$ be fixed in some appropriate μ -conull set. Note that since Ψ is a cocycle, the map $G_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $g \mapsto \Psi_g(x)$, forms a multiplicative group homomorphism, so that

$$\Psi_g(x) \geq 1 \iff \Psi_{g^{-1}}(x) \leq 1 \text{ for every } g \in G_x.$$

It follows that if G_x is a non-compact closed subgroup, it is symmetric and unbounded, so we can extract a sequence $g_1, g_2, \dots \in G_x$ with $g_n \nearrow +\infty$ as $n \nearrow +\infty$ such that $\Psi_{g_n}(x) \geq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now by our assumption there can be found $r_x > 0$ such that $0 < \lambda(D_{r_x}(x)) < +\infty$, and using the inner regularity of λ there can be found some compact set $K_x \subset D_{r_x}(x)$ with $0 < \lambda(K_x) < +\infty$. Thus, passing to a subsequence of g_1, g_2, \dots if necessary, we may assume that $K_x g_1, K_x g_2, \dots$ are pairwise disjoint. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\forall g \in D_{r_x}(x), \Psi_{g g_n}(x) = \Psi_g(x) \Psi_{g_n}(x) \geq r,$$

implying that

$$K_x g_n \subset D_{r_x}(x) g_n \subset D_{r_x}(x).$$

Since $K_x g_1, K_x g_2, \dots$ are pairwise disjoint and G is unimodular, this implies that $\lambda(D_{r_x}(x)) = +\infty$, contradicting our assumption. Thus, G_x is necessarily compact.

We came to that (X, μ) is essentially transitive and the stabilizer of μ -a.e. point in it is compact, so by Theorem D it is totally dissipative. \square

8.1. Maharam Extensions. Here we use Theorem C to give a direct proof to the conservativity of Maharam Extensions for lcsc unimodular groups. Recall that for an lcsc group G and a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) , the associated **Maharam Extension** is the standard measure space

$$(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu}) := (X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \otimes \eta), \text{ where } d\eta(t) := e^t dt,$$

considered as a Borel G -space via

$$g.(x, t) = (g.x, t - \log \nabla_g(x)), \quad g \in G, (x, t) \in \tilde{X}.$$

One can verify that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$ becomes an infinite measure preserving G -space.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$, it was famously proved by Maharam [27, Theorem 2] that if (X, μ) is conservative then so is $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$. Maharam's proof utilized the notion of *incompressibility*, which does not seem to directly apply for general groups. A proof in the case of countable groups was presented by Schmidt [33, Theorem 4.2 (p. 47), Theorem 5.5 (p. 56)]. For general lcsc groups it was presented by Arano, Isono & Marrakchi [2, Proposition 4.34].

Proposition 8.4. *Let G be an lcsc unimodular group. A nonsingular G -space (X, μ) is conservative iff its Maharam Extension $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$ is conservative.*

Proof. Note that if $A \subset X$ is a transient set in (X, μ) , then $A \times B \subset \tilde{X}$ is a transient set in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$ for whatever Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by Theorem B, if $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$ is conservative then so is (X, μ) . Let us show the converse.

Since conservativity is unchanged when passing to an equivalent measure, we may replace $\tilde{\mu}$ by the probability measure $\hat{\mu}$ that is given by

$$d\hat{\mu}(x, t) = d\mu(x) \frac{1}{2} e^{-|t|} dt.$$

Now $(\tilde{X}, \hat{\mu})$ is no longer measure preserving but a nonsingular probability G -space. The Radon–Nikodym cocycle $\hat{\nabla}$ with respect to $\hat{\mu}$ takes the form

$$\hat{\nabla}_g(x, t) = \nabla_g(x) e^{|t| - |t + \log \nabla_g(x)|}, \quad (x, t) \in \tilde{X},$$

and by the triangle inequality

$$\hat{\nabla}_g(x, t) \geq \nabla_g(x) e^{-|\log \nabla_g(x)|} \geq \min\{1, \nabla_g(x)^2\}.$$

By Theorem C, given $x \in X$ which is a member of an appropriate μ -conull set, there is $0 < r_x \leq 1$ such that $\lambda(D_{r_x}(x)) = +\infty$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_G \hat{\nabla}_g(x, t) d\lambda(g) \geq \int_{D_{r_x}(x)} \min\{1, \nabla_g(x)^2\} d\lambda(g) \geq r_x^2 \lambda(D_{r_x}(x)) = +\infty.$$

It follows from Theorem A that $(\tilde{X}, \hat{\mu})$ is conservative, hence so is $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mu})$. \square

9. THE POSITIVE–NULL DECOMPOSITION

In this complementary discussion we deal with another general decomposition of nonsingular G -spaces, namely the Positive–Null Decomposition, that regards the problem of whether a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) admits an invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ . This problem is fundamental in ergodic theory and it is of great interest already for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ (see the bibliographical notes in [24, §3.4, pp. 144–146 and §6.3, pp. 220–221] and the many references in [11]). Let us formulate the most important object of the current discussion.

Definition 9.1. *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. A Borel σ -finite measure is called A.C.I.M of (X, μ) if it is both G -invariant and absolutely continuous with respect to μ . An A.C.I.M of (X, μ) which is a probability measure will be called A.C.I.P of (X, μ) .*

We emphasize that an A.C.I.M of (X, μ) need not be equivalent to μ but merely absolutely continuous with respect to μ . We also stress that an A.C.I.M is finite or infinite, thus every A.C.I.P is in particular an A.C.I.M. We will follow the convention that all finite measures are normalized to be probability measures.

The Poincaré Recurrence Theorem 4.1 asserts that only the conservative part may support an A.C.I.P. It then can be one's goal to decompose the conservative part further according to the existence of an A.C.I.P. The Positive–Null Decomposition for $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ is classical [24, §3.4, Theorem 4.6, §6.3, Theorem 3.9], and for general lcsc groups it can be derived using the machinery of *weakly wandering functions* (see [24, §3.4; §3.5 Theorem 4.9; §6.3 Theorem 3.10], [18], [4, §4]). The following is a refined version of this classical decomposition, in that we also take into account absolutely continuous invariant infinite measures.

Theorem 9.2 (Positive–Null Decomposition). *Let G be an lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. There is a G -invariant Borel decomposition*

$$X = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_\infty \sqcup \mathcal{N},$$

unique modulo μ , such that:

- (1) $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mu|_{\mathcal{P}_1})$, unless μ -null, admits an equivalent A.C.I.P.
- (2) $(\mathcal{P}_\infty, \mu|_{\mathcal{P}_\infty})$, unless μ -null, admits an equivalent A.C.I.M but no A.C.I.P.
- (3) $(\mathcal{N}, \mu|_{\mathcal{N}})$ admits no A.C.I.M.




FIGURE 2. The relations between the Hopf Decomposition $X = C \sqcup D$ and the Positive-Null Decomposition $X = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_\infty \sqcup \mathcal{N}$. The component $D \cap \mathcal{P}_1$ is always empty (Poincaré Recurrence Theorem 4.1), and also the component $D \cap \mathcal{N}$ is always empty (Proposition 1.1).

The relations of the Positive-Null Decomposition with the Hopf Decomposition are illustrated in Figure 2.

It is important to note that \mathcal{P}_1 may support an infinite A.C.I.M in addition to an A.C.I.P. Thus, the part

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_\infty,$$

ought to be the maximal part of (X, μ) that supports an A.C.I.M (finite or infinite). Our approach in proving Theorem 9.2 is the following: in the first stage we decompose $X = \mathcal{P} \sqcup \mathcal{N}$, with the property that \mathcal{P} fully supports an A.C.I.M and \mathcal{N} does not support an A.C.I.M, and in the second stage we decompose $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_\infty$, with the property that \mathcal{P}_1 fully supports an A.C.I.P and \mathcal{P}_∞ does not support an A.C.I.P.

The classical Neveu Decomposition deals with A.C.I.P's only and thus, in our terminology, it refers to the coarser decomposition

$$X = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup X \setminus \mathcal{P}_1.$$

We mention here a characterization of the Neveu Decomposition due to Hajian & Ito. A Borel set $W \subset X$ in a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) is called **weakly wandering** if there exists a countably infinite set $S \subset G$ such that $\{s.W : s \in S\}$ are pairwise disjoint. The collection of weakly wandering sets is hereditary, so the following theorem follows from [20, Theorem 1] with the Exhaustion Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 9.3 (Hajian-Ito). *Let G be an lsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. The decomposition $X = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup (X \setminus \mathcal{P}_1)$ is determined by:*

- (1) \mathcal{P}_1 contains no weakly wandering set modulo μ .
- (2) Every μ -positive set in $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$ contains a μ -positive weakly wandering subset.

Toward proving Theorem 9.2 we establish some convenient terminology.

Definition 9.4. *For an A.C.I.M ν of a nonsingular G -space (X, μ) define:*

- **Support:** A Borel set $P \subset X$ with $\nu|_P = \nu$ and $\nu|_{X \setminus P} = 0$.
- **Compatible support:** A G -invariant support P with $\mu|_P \sim \nu|_P$.
- **maximal compatible support:** a compatible support that contains, modulo μ , the compatible support of any other A.C.I.M.

- **1-maximal compatible support:** a compatible support that contains, modulo μ , the compatible support of any other A.C.I.P.

Lemma 9.5. *Every A.C.I.M admits a compatible support.*

Proof. For an A.C.I.M ν of (X, μ) look at the Radon–Nikodym derivative $d\nu/d\mu \in L^1(X, \mu)$. Pick a pointwise version $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $d\nu/d\mu$ and set

$$P := \{x \in X : \phi(x) > 0\}.$$

Clearly P supports ν . We also have that $\mu|_P \sim \nu|_P$: clearly $\nu|_P \ll \mu|_P$, and also $\mu|_P \ll \nu|_P$ since if $\nu(E) = 0$ then

$$\mu(E \cap P) = \int_{E \cap P} 1/\phi(x) d\nu(x) = 0.$$

We show that P is μ -almost G -invariant. Passing to a probability measure equivalent to μ if necessary, we may assume that μ is a probability measure. Let $g \in G$ be arbitrary. On one hand we have

$$\mu(g.P \cap P) = \int_{g.P} 1_P \cdot 1/\phi(x) d\nu = \int_{g.P} 1/\phi(x) d\nu = \mu(g.P),$$

implying that $\mu(g.P \setminus P) = 0$. On the other hand, since ν is G -invariant we have

$$\nu(P \setminus g.P) = \nu(g^{-1}.P \setminus P) = 0,$$

and using that $\mu|_P \sim \nu|_P$ we deduce that $\mu(P \setminus g.P) = 0$. All together we deduce that $\mu(g.P \Delta P) = 0$, and by Theorem 2.3 and using that $\nu \ll \mu$ we may assume that P is G -invariant. \square

Lemma 9.6. *Unless A.C.I.M does not exist, there exists an A.C.I.M (which is possibly an A.C.I.P) with a maximal compatible support.*

Similarly, unless A.C.I.P does not exist, there exists an A.C.I.P with a 1-maximal compatible support.

The following is a proof of the first statement of Lemma 9.6, and the proof of the second statement follows with minor modifications.

Proof. Passing to a probability measure equivalent to μ if necessary, we may assume that μ is a probability measure. Set

$$\alpha := \sup \{\mu(P) : P \text{ is a compatible support of an A.C.I.M of } (X, \mu)\}.$$

Choose a sequence of A.C.I.M's ν_1, ν_2, \dots with corresponding compatible supports P_1, P_2, \dots such that $\mu(P_n) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Observe that finite convex combinations of A.C.I.M's is again an A.C.I.M, and the union of the underlying compatible supports is a compatible support for the sum, so we may assume that $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \dots$. We then define ν_0 by

$$\nu_0 := \nu_1/2 \text{ on } P_1 \text{ and } \nu_0 := \nu_n/2^{n+1} \text{ on } P_n \setminus P_{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

It is easy to see that ν_0 is σ -finite (possibly finite) and, since ν_n is G -invariant and P_n is a G -invariant set for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we also see that ν_0 is G -invariant. Thus, ν_0 is an A.C.I.M of (X, μ) . Letting

$$P_0 := P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots,$$

it is clearly a compatible support for ν_0 , and we claim that it is also maximal; indeed, since $\mu(P_0) \geq \mu(P_n)$ for every n necessarily $\mu(P_0) = \alpha$, so for any compatible

support P of any A.C.I.M ν of (X, μ) , by taking a convex combination of ν_0 and ν we obtain a new A.C.I.M with compatible support $P_0 \cup P$. Then $\alpha = \mu(P_0) \leq \mu(P_0 \cup P) \leq \alpha$, hence $\mu(P \setminus P_0) = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 9.2. If there is no A.C.I.M of (X, μ) we put $\mathcal{N} = X$. Otherwise, using the first statement of Lemma 9.6, fix an A.C.I.M ν with a maximal compatible support \mathcal{P} , let $\mathcal{N} := X \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and look at the G -invariant Borel decomposition

$$X = \mathcal{P} \sqcup \mathcal{N}.$$

Since \mathcal{P} is a compatible support, $\nu|_{\mathcal{P}} \sim \mu|_{\mathcal{P}}$. From the maximality of \mathcal{P} , the compatible support of every A.C.I.M of (X, μ) , which always exists by Lemma 9.5, must be contained in \mathcal{P} modulo μ , hence \mathcal{N} supports no A.C.I.M of (X, μ) . This establishes the property (3) in the theorem for the set \mathcal{N} .

We now restrict our attention to the nonsingular G -space $(\mathcal{P}, \mu|_{\mathcal{P}})$. If there is no A.C.I.P of $(\mathcal{P}, \mu|_{\mathcal{P}})$ we put $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}$. Otherwise, using the second statement of Lemma 9.6, fix an A.C.I.P ϱ with a 1-maximal compatible support \mathcal{P}_1 , let $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$ and look at the G -invariant Borel decomposition

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_\infty.$$

The very same reasoning as in the first part of this proof establishes properties (1) and (2) in the theorem for the sets \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_∞ .

Finally, the maximality of \mathcal{P} as the compatible support of the A.C.I.M ν together with the 1-maximality of \mathcal{P}_1 as the compatible support of the A.C.I.P ϱ , imply the uniqueness of the decomposition. \square

10. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FURTHER QUESTIONS

10.0.1. *Transience from the Right.* Following Definition 1.2, one may consider another notion of a transient set, namely a Borel set $A \subset X$ with $\lambda(R_A(x)^{-1}) < +\infty$ for every $x \in X$. When G is not unimodular it does not coincide with our working definition of a transient set.

Question 10.1. *Suppose G is a non-unimodular lcsc group and (X, μ) a nonsingular G -space. If there is a μ -positive set $A \subset X$ with $\lambda(R_A(x)^{-1}) < +\infty$ for every $x \in X$, is (X, μ) dissipative?*

Note that the converse is always true: when (X, μ) is dissipative it contains even a μ -positive *strongly transient* set, which obviously has this property.

10.0.2. *Shelah–Weiss Pointwise Characterization of Transience.* In [35], Shelah & Weiss showed that for an aperiodic Borel automorphism f of a standard Borel space X , every Borel set $A \subset X$ that satisfies $\mu(A) = 0$ for every quasi-invariant ergodic probability measure μ on X , is contained in $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(W)$ for some wandering set $W \subset X$ (note that the conclusion is measure-free). It is natural to ask for the following continuous analog:

Question 10.2. *Let G be an lcsc non-compact group with a left Haar measure λ and X a free Borel G -space. Suppose that $A \subset X$ is a Borel set with $\lambda(R_A(x)) > 0$ for every $x \in G.A$. Is it true that $A \subset G.T$ for some transient set $T \subset X$ provided that $\mu(G.A) = 0$ for every quasi-invariant ergodic probability measure μ on X ?*

Note that since every Borel G -space admits a cross-section C , that is $G.C = X$ and there exists an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that $U.x \cap U.y = \emptyset$ for all distinct $x, y \in C$ (see e.g. [37, §2] and the references therein), the assumption $\lambda(R_A(x)) > 0$ whenever $x \in G.A$ is necessary.

10.0.3. *Kaimanovich-Type Characterization.* Theorem C is formulated for unimodular groups. We do not know whether it is true generally:

Question 10.3. *Suppose G is an lcsc non-unimodular group and (X, μ) a dissipative nonsingular probability G -space. Is it true that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $\lambda(g \in G : \nabla_g(x) \geq r_x) = +\infty$?*

10.0.4. *First Cohomology, Skew Products and Maharam Extensions.* Schmidt's monograph [33] provides a thorough study of the cohomology of nonsingular G -spaces for countable group G . See also [1, Chapter 8]. While we did not include this theory in this work, one naturally expects to adapt it to an lcsc acting group G , thus defining cocycles [33, §2], essential values [33, §3] and recurrence of cocycles [33, Definition 3.13]. It is then expected that a cocycle is recurrent iff the skew-product it forms is conservative (following [33, §5]), and that the Radon–Nikodym cocycle of a conservative nonsingular G -spaces is recurrent (following [34, §4]), hereby showing that the Maharam Extension of a conservative nonsingular G -space is conservative.

APPENDIX A. MEASURES ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

The construction of measures on homogeneous spaces was established by Mackey [25, §1] and extended later by many others. We recall its basics here in a way that suits our needs. We will follow Mackey's seminal works [25, 26] and the presentation in [15, §2.6] (see also [39, Chapter V, §4]).

A **group pair** $C \triangleleft G$ is a pair of an lcsc group G and a closed subgroup C of G . We denote by G/C the coset space $\{gC : g \in G\}$ with the quotient topology it inherits from G . The group G acts continuously and transitively on G/C via

$$g.(hC) = ghC, \quad g \in G, hC \in G/C.$$

We will refer to measures on G/C simply as *invariant* or *quasi-invariant*, with the understanding that this always means invariance or quasi-invariance with respect to the natural action of G on G/C . Since this action is transitive, it becomes ergodic with respect to whatever invariant or quasi-invariant measure we may put on G/C .

Theorem A.1 (Mackey). *For every group pair $C \triangleleft G$, the coset space G/C becomes a standard Borel space. Equivalently, with the natural action of C on G , the orbit equivalent relation E_C^G is smooth.*

This theorem was proved by Mackey with two different formulations in [25, Lemma 1.1] and [26, Theorem 7.2], which are equivalent according to Theorem 5.2. See also [41, Corollary A.8]. In fact, it is true also beyond lcsc groups (see [22, Theorem (12.17)] and [16, Theorem 2.2.10]; cf. [16, Proposition 3.4.6]). A useful fact for the proof of the following extension of Mackey's theorem, is that the quotient topology itself is Polish, making G/C a Polish G -space.

Theorem A.2. *Suppose $C \triangleleft G$ is a group pair with compact C , and let H be a closed subgroup of G . Then, with the natural action of H on G/C , the orbit equivalence relation $E_H^{G/C}$ is smooth.*

Proof. Since H is closed its action on G/C is continuous in the quotient topology, which is a Polish topology by [16, Theorem 2.2.10]. The orbits in this action are

$$H_{gC} = HgC, \quad gC \in G/C,$$

and since H is closed and C is compact we deduce that all orbits are closed. We finally recall that a Borel equivalence relation with closed equivalence classes is smooth (see [22, Theorem (12.16)] and [16, Theorem 6.4.4(iv)]). \square

The construction of *measures on homogeneous spaces* yields a quasi-invariant Radon measure on G/C . In the following we describe it as well as *Weil's formula* it satisfies. Given a group pair $C \triangleleft G$, treating each of G and C as an lcsc group on its own right, we denote by λ_G and λ_C their respective left Haar measures and by Δ_G and Δ_C the corresponding modular functions. Every group pair $C \triangleleft G$ admits a **rho-function**, i.e. a continuous function $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with

$$\rho(gc) = \frac{\Delta_C(c)}{\Delta_G(c)} \cdot \rho(g), \quad (g, c) \in G \times C.$$

(See [15, Proposition 2.54]). The following theorem is classical and in many occasions is formulated for locally compact groups that may not be second countable. We focus solely on lcsc groups.

Theorem A.3 (Measures on homogeneous spaces). *For a group pair $C \triangleleft G$:*

- (1) *There is an essentially unique (up to a positive constant multiple) invariant σ -finite Radon measure κ on G/C iff $\Delta_G|_C \equiv \Delta_C$.*
- (2) *There is always an essentially unique (up to measure class) quasi-invariant σ -finite Radon measure κ on G/C , whose Radon–Nikodym cocycle is jointly continuous.*
- (3) *Weil's formula: For every κ as in (2), there is a rho-function ρ with*

$$(A.0.1) \quad \int_G \varphi(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_{G/C} \left[\int_C \varphi(gc) \frac{\Delta_G(c)}{\Delta_C(c)} d\lambda_C(c) \right] \rho(g)^{-1} d\kappa(gC),$$

for every Borel function $\varphi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since G is assumed to be σ -compact, so is its continuous image G/C . Thus, every Radon measure on G/C would be automatically σ -finite. Part (1) can be found in [15, Theorem (2.49)]. Part (2) can be found in [15, Theorem (2.59)]. As for part (3), in [15, Theorem (2.56)] there can be found the formula

$$\int_G \varphi(g) \rho(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_{G/C} \left[\int_C \varphi(gc) d\lambda_C(c) \right] d\kappa(gC)$$

for every compactly supported continuous $\varphi : G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Since we deal with second countable groups it applies to every Borel function $\varphi : G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ (see [15, §2.7, pp. 64–65]). Finally, for every Borel function $\varphi : G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, substituting φ/ρ for φ in this formula and using the rho-function property, we obtain the formula appears in part (3). \square

The uniqueness of κ as stated in Theorem A.3(2) is restricted to the class of Radon measures whose Radon–Nikodym cocycle is jointly continuous. Also the famous uniqueness of the Haar measure is formulated in the common literature under further regularity property (e.g. Radon). We will need to strengthen this uniqueness which is due to Mackey.

It was shown by Mackey that in fact quasi-invariance is sufficient to completely determine the measure class in the construction of measures on homogeneous spaces (a fact that is already significant when C is the trivial subgroup). Given that every σ -finite measure is equivalent to a probability measure, which is always Radon, Mackey's theorem renders any additional regularity assumptions redundant. We formulate this for compact C , in which case $\Delta_G|_C \equiv \Delta|_C$ hence κ is invariant according to Theorem A.3(1).

Theorem A.4 (Mackey). *For a group pair $C \triangleleft G$ with compact C , with the invariant measure κ constructed in Theorem A.3(1), the following hold:*

- (1) *Every quasi-invariant σ -finite Borel measure is equivalent to κ .*
- (2) *Every invariant σ -finite Borel measure is a positive constant multiple of κ .*
- (3) *The pushforward of λ_G along the canonical projection $G \rightarrow G/C$ is precisely κ up to a positive constant multiple.*

Proof. Part (1). It was shown in [25, Theorem 1.1] that the measure class of κ is unique among the quasi-invariant σ -finite Borel measures on G/C which are finite on compact sets. As every σ -finite measure is equivalent to a probability measure, which is inherently Radon (see e.g. [22, Theorem (17.10)]), and equivalence of measures preserves quasi-invariance, the desired uniqueness hereby follows. (cf. [26, Theorem 7.1 and its Corollary]).

Part (2). If κ' is any invariant σ -finite Borel measure equivalent to κ , then $d\kappa'/d\kappa$ is an invariant function on G/C . Since the action is transitive, $d\kappa'/d\kappa$ is necessarily a positive constant.

Part (3). Let $q : G \rightarrow G/C$ be the canonical projection, which is continuous and open map, and consider the pushforward measure $q_*\lambda_G$ on G/C . This is a Borel measure and it is invariant since

$$q^{-1}(g.B) = gq^{-1}(B) \text{ for every Borel set } B \subset G/C \text{ and } g \in G.$$

Then with Part (2), in order to finish we have left to show that $q_*\lambda_G$ is σ -finite (which fails when C is non-compact: $G = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $C = \mathbb{R}$). For an arbitrary compact set $K \subset G$ we have $q^{-1}(K \cdot C) \subset K \cdot C$ and then, since $K \cdot C$ is compact in G ,

$$q_*\lambda_G(K \cdot C) \leq \lambda_G(K \cdot C) < +\infty.$$

As K is arbitrary and G is σ -compact, it follows that $q_*\lambda_G$ is σ -finite. □

REFERENCES

- [1] AARONSON, J. *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*. No. 50 in Mathematical Surveys Monographs. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.
- [2] ARANO, Y., ISONO, Y., AND MARRAKCHI, A. Ergodic theory of affine isometric actions on Hilbert spaces. *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 31, 5 (2021), 1013–1094.
- [3] AVRAHAM-RE'EM, N., AND ROY, E. Poissonian actions of Polish groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19567* (2024).
- [4] AVRAHAM-RE'EM, N. Symmetric stable processes on amenable groups. *Studia Mathematica* 271 (2023), 187–224.
- [5] BECKER, H. Cocycles and continuity. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 365, 2 (2013), 671–719.
- [6] BERENDSCHOT, T. Phase transitions for non-singular Bernoulli actions. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 44, 2 (2024), 353–382.
- [7] BLAYAC, P.-L. Patterson–Sullivan densities in convex projective geometry. *arXiv:2106.08089* (2021). *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* (to appear).

- [8] BLAYAC, P.-L., CANARY, R., ZHU, F., AND ZIMMER, A. Patterson-Sullivan theory for coarse cocycles. *arXiv:2404.09713* (2024).
- [9] DANILENKO, A. I. Haagerup property and Kazhdan pairs via ergodic infinite measure preserving actions. *Studia Mathematica* 265 (2022), 211–226.
- [10] DANILENKO, A. I., KOSLOFF, Z., AND ROY, E. Nonsingular Poisson suspensions. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 146, 2 (2022), 741–790.
- [11] DANILENKO, A. I., AND SILVA, C. E. Ergodic theory: Nonsingular transformations. In *Ergodic Theory*. Springer, 2023, pp. 233–292.
- [12] EFFROS, E. G. Transformation groups and C*-algebras. *Annals of Mathematics* (1965), 38–55.
- [13] EINSIEDLER, M., AND WARD, T. *Ergodic Theory: with a view towards Number Theory*. Springer Verlag, London, 2011.
- [14] FELDMAN, J., HAHN, P., AND MOORE, C. C. Orbit structure and countable sections for actions of continuous groups. *Advances in Mathematics* 28, 3 (1978), 186–230.
- [15] FOLLAND, G. B. *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, 1995.
- [16] GAO, S. *Invariant descriptive set theory*. CRC Press, 2008.
- [17] GLIMM, J. Locally compact transformation groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 101, 1 (1961), 124–138.
- [18] GRABARNIK, G., AND HRUSHOVSKI, E. Singular compactness and the Neveu decomposition. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 89 (1995), 135–139.
- [19] GRESCHONIG, G., AND SCHMIDT, K. Ergodic decomposition of quasi-invariant probability measures. *Colloquium Mathematicum* 84/85, 2 (2000), 495–514.
- [20] HAJIAN, A., AND ITO, Y. Weakly wandering sets and invariant measures for a group of transformations. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 18, 12 (1969), 1203–1216.
- [21] KAIMANOVICH, V. A. Hopf decomposition and horospheric limit sets. *Annales Fennici Mathematici* 35, 2 (2010), 335–350.
- [22] KECHRIS, A. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer, 2012.
- [23] KOSLOFF, Z., AND SOO, T. Sinai factors of nonsingular systems: Bernoulli shifts and Anosov flows. *Journal of Modern Dynamics*. To appear. arXiv:2111.14497.
- [24] KRENGEL, U. *Ergodic Theorems*, vol. 6. De Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1985.
- [25] MACKEY, G. W. Induced representations of locally compact groups I. *Annals of Mathematics* (1952), 101–139.
- [26] MACKEY, G. W. Borel structure in groups and their duals. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 85, 1 (1957), 134–165.
- [27] MAHARAM, D. Incompressible transformations. *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 56, 1 (1964), 35–50.
- [28] NACHBIN, L. *The Haar Integral*. D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, NJ, 1965. Translation by Lulu Bechtolsheim.
- [29] ORNSTEIN, D. S., AND WEISS, B. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 48, 1 (1987), 1–141.
- [30] QUINT, J.-F. An overview of Patterson-Sullivan theory. In *Workshop The barycenter method, FIM, Zurich* (2006).
- [31] ROBLIN, T. *Ergodicité et équidistribution en courbure négative*. No. 95 in Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, France, 2003. [Ergodicity and equidistribution in negative curvature].
- [32] ROSINSKI, J. Decomposition of stationary α -stable random fields. *Annals of Probability* (2000), 1797–1813.
- [33] SCHMIDT, K. *Cocycles on Ergodic Transformation Groups*, vol. 1 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Macmillan, Delhi, 1977.
- [34] SCHMIDT, K., AND WALTERS, P. Mildly mixing actions of locally compact groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 3, 3 (1982), 506–518.
- [35] SHELAH, S., AND WEISS, B. Measurable recurrence and quasi-invariant measures. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 43 (1982), 154–160.
- [36] SIMMONS, D. Conditional measures and conditional expectation; Rohlin's disintegration theorem. *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems* 32, 7 (2012), 2565–2582.
- [37] SLUTSKY, K. Lebesgue orbit equivalence of multidimensional borel flows: a picturebook of tilings. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 37, 6 (2017), 1966–1996.

- [38] STRUBLE, R. A. Metrics in locally compact groups. *Compositio Mathematica* 28, 3 (1974), 217–222.
- [39] VARADARAJAN, V. S. *Geometry of quantum theory*, vol. 1. Springer, 1968.
- [40] WEISS, B. Measurable dynamics. In *Conference in modern analysis and probability (New Haven, Conn., 1982)*, vol. 26 of *Contemp. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., 1984, pp. 395–421.
- [41] ZIMMER, R. J. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, vol. 81. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY, GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
Email address: `nachi.avraham@gmail.com` or `nachman@chalmers.se`

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY, ISRAEL
Email address: `george.peterzil@mail.huji.ac.il`