

THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM AND QUANTUM CURVES

QUINTEN WELLER

ABSTRACT. A Laplace transform that maps the topological recursion (TR) wavefunction to its x - y swap dual is defined. This transform is then applied to the construction of quantum curves. General results are obtained, including a formula for the quantisation of many spectral curves of the form $e^x P_2(e^y) - P_1(e^y) = 0$ where P_1 and P_2 are coprime polynomials; an important class that contains interesting spectral curves related to mirror symmetry and knot theory that have, heretofore, evaded the general TR-based methods previously used to derive quantum curves. As an application, the quantum spectral curve for the Gromov-Witten theory of the complex projective line is derived directly from the theory of TR. The results seem to suggest a link between the topological recursion and the Gromov-Witten invariants of the weighted complex projective line $\mathbb{P}[\tau]$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	1
1.2. Main results	2
1.3. Outline	2
1.4. Acknowledgments	3
2. Background	3
2.1. Spectral curves and topological recursion	3
2.2. Quantising spectral curves	4
2.3. x - y swap duality	5
3. The Laplace transform	7
4. Quantum curves	10
4.1. General consequences of the Laplace transform	10
4.2. Examples	11
4.3. Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{P}^1	12
5. Conclusion and outlook	13
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Topological recursion (TR) was originally discovered as a recursive solution to the large- N expansion of correlation functions in matrix models [Eyn04; CEO06]. It took in as initial data a so-called spectral curve, which is an algebraic curve $P(x, y) = 0$ with additional structure on its first homology group;¹ the spectral curve itself was derived from the matrix model action [Eyn04; CEO06]. In [EO07b] it was realised that the topological recursion should be considered as a generic way to associate an infinite tower of n -differentials $\{\omega_{g,n}\}_{g,n \geq 0}$ to a given spectral curve. Since the key insight of [EO07b] the topological recursion has found applications in many areas of mathematics and physics [Eyn14]; most relevantly for the present work topological recursion has applications in mirror symmetry and Gromov-Witten theory [BKMP08; BM08; GS11; BS12; Zho12; DOSS14; NS14; EO15; FLZ17; FLZ20; GKLS22] as well as knot theory [BME12; BE15; GJKS14; DPSS19].

In a 1-matrix model the expectation value of the characteristic polynomial is known to be related to systems of orthogonal polynomials [Meh90]. Many systems of orthogonal polynomials satisfy differential equations, so in a matrix model it is then natural to expect that this expectation value also satisfies

¹Rather than directly specifying homological cycles, this structure is often presented in terms of a choice of symmetric bidifferential denoted by $\omega_{0,2}$.

a differential equation. In the abstracted language of the topological recursion this is known as the topological recursion/quantum curve correspondence.

Indeed, this correspondence posits the existence of a quantised form of $P(x, y) = 0$

$$\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)\psi(x; \hbar) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{x} := x$, $\hat{y} := \hbar d/dx$, \hbar is a quantum parameter (the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ should recover the classical curve), and ψ is naturally defined in terms of the $\omega_{g,n}$ in a way that imitates the expectation value of the characteristic polynomial in a matrix model.

The topological recursion/quantum curve correspondence has been rigorously and systematically established for many large classes of curves [BE17; EG23; MO20; EGMO21; MO22; BKW23]. However, the applications of topological recursion to mirror symmetry and knot theory require curves of the form $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$ [BKMP08; BE15] where P is a polynomial: these cases are not covered by any of the above works. Furthermore, this correspondence takes on a special importance for curves related to knot theory as the asymptotics of the wavefunction solution of the quantisation of the A -polynomial associated to a knot (here $A = P$) is believed to hold information on the associated knot invariants [MM01; Gar04; Guk05; DFM11].

In this work, the special case where $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$ is linear in its first argument is tackled. The key insight is that, for these spectral curves, the dual wavefunction ψ^\vee , defined by swapping the roles of x and y in the topological recursion, is particularly simple. Indeed, one can often write down \hat{P}^\vee based on the explicit form of ψ^\vee .

However, ψ^\vee is not the object of interest, rather ψ is. To remedy this a notion of Laplace transform on a spectral curve \mathcal{S} is defined with the property that

$$\psi(x; \hbar) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} [\psi^\vee(y; \hbar)](x). \quad (2)$$

This Laplace transform is studied and its key properties are established. In particular it is demonstrated how one can use the Laplace transform to create a dictionary translating dual quantum curves to quantum curves for the original spectral curve of interest. This allows for a general result regarding the quantisation of certain curves of the form $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$, as well as providing a simple method to quantise many other curves.

1.2. Main results. The notion of Laplace transform central to the present work is defined in definition 3.1, it is shown that the Laplace transform of the wavefunction is the dual wavefunction in theorem 3.4, and further key properties of the Laplace transform are established in lemmata 3.2 and 3.3. A general result for the quantisation of curves of the form $P_2(e^y)e^x - P_1(e^y) = 0$ is obtained in theorem 4.3.

The main application of the Laplace transform studied here is to the curve $P(x, y) = x - 2 \cosh(y)$, which is related to the Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{P}^1 [NS14; DOSS14; FLZ17]. Here, the following quantum spectral curve is obtained (proposition 4.6)

$$\left[\hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar - 2 \cosh(\hat{y}) \right] \psi(z; 0) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\psi(z; 0) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} x(z) (\log x(z) - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{2g+n-2}}{n!} \left\langle \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{a! \tau_a(\omega)}{x(z)^{a+1}} \right)^n \right\rangle_{g,n} \right). \quad (4)$$

See section 4.3 for the definition of the coefficients (Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1) in the above expansion. A quantum curve for $P(x, y) = x - 2 \cosh(y)$ has previously been obtained in [DMNPS17], and the exact quantum curve shown above was previously found in [CG19]. Neither of these derivations occurred, however, from a purely TR based perspective.

In fact, [CG19, theorem 2.2] obtains a quantum curve for the Gromov-Witten theory of the weighted projective line, $\mathbb{P}[r]$. This quantisation is shown to be consistent with the quantisation obtained from TR for all r in section 4.3, suggesting a link between the topological recursion and the Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}[r]$.

1.3. Outline. In section 2 the necessary background is reviewed. The topological recursion is defined (actually, the so-called LogTR of [ABDKS24b] is used), and the notions of spectral curve and quantum curve are reviewed. Furthermore, a crash course in the x - y swap duality is provided.

Next, in section 3 the Laplace transform (or, better, the version of the Laplace transform used in the present work) is defined, and its key properties are discussed and proven. In section 4.1 the use of the

Laplace transform in deriving quantum curves is discussed and examples of the Laplace transform in action are provided. Furthermore, a general result on the quantisation of curves of the form $P_2(e^y)e^x - P_1(e^y) = 0$ is established.

In section 4.3, the spectral curve associated with the Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{P}^1 is reviewed, and the quantum spectral curve is derived using the machinery built in previous sections.

Finally, the paper is summarised and directions for future study are discussed in section 5.

1.4. Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Sergey Shadrin and Yifan Wang for useful discussions and acknowledges that his research is supported in part by the NSERC PGS-D program. The author would especially like to thank Reinier Kramer for his useful comments regarding an earlier draft of the present work.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Spectral curves and topological recursion. The central object in the theory of topological recursion is the so-called *spectral curve*.

Definition 2.1. A *spectral curve* \mathcal{S} is a quadruple $\mathcal{S} = (\Sigma, x, y, \omega_{0,2})$ such that:

- Σ is a compact Riemann surface;
- dx and dy are meromorphic 1-forms on Σ ;
- $\omega_{0,2}$ is a symmetric meromorphic bidifferential on Σ^2 whose only pole is a double pole on the diagonal with biresidue one.

The *genus* of a spectral curve is the genus of Σ . To any spectral curve we associate the not-necessarily meromorphic 1-form $\omega_{0,1} := ydx$.

All spectral curves considered in the present work have genus zero. From here and henceforth, z will denote an affine coordinate on $\Sigma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) denotes the induced coordinates on Σ^{n+1} . As the multiset $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ appears frequently it will be denoted by $z_{[n]}$. Since the spectral curve is genus zero, there is actually only one choice for the bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}$ [EO07b], namely

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}. \quad (5)$$

If x and y are themselves meromorphic, they satisfy identically a polynomial equation

$$P(x, y) = 0, \quad (6)$$

which can be taken to define the spectral curve by denoting the functions x and y as projections onto the respective coordinates. In general, when x and y are not meromorphic, P must be generalised to an entire function in its two variables.

The topological recursion is not well-defined for an arbitrary spectral curve. Sufficient conditions to define the topological recursion are referred to as *admissibility*.

Definition 2.2. A spectral curve is called *admissible* if all the zeros of dx are simple, and dy is regular and non-zero at all of these simple zeros.

Remark 2.3. The definition of an admissible spectral curve can be vastly generalised and the topological recursion still made sense of. For example, see [BE13; BCCN18] for non-simple ramification points, [BKS23] for singular curves, or [BKW23] for the case when x and y have essential singularities.

With this the definition of topological recursion itself may be presented.

Definition 2.4. Given an admissible spectral curve \mathcal{S} let R denote the zeros of dx and for each $a \in R$ let $\sigma_a(z)$ denote the local Galois involution near a , i.e. $x \circ \sigma_a = x$ and $\sigma_a(a) = a$. Denote by L the simple poles of dy and for each $a \in L$ put $\alpha_a := \text{Res}_a dy$. Then define the following infinite tower of n -differentials, $\{\omega_{g,n}\}_{g \geq 0, n \geq 1}$, recursively on $2g + n - 2$ through the formula

$$\omega_{g,n+1}(z_0, z_{[n]}) := \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{2} \text{Res}_{u=0} \frac{du}{u^{2g}} \sum_{a \in L} \text{Res}_{z=a} \left(d_z \text{csch} \left(\frac{u \partial_x(z)}{2\alpha_a} \right) \log(z - a) \right) \int_a^z \omega_{0,2}(z_0, \cdot)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\alpha} \left(\frac{\omega_{g-1, n+2}(z, \sigma_\alpha(z), z_{[n]})}{(y(\sigma_\alpha(z)) - y(z)) dx(z)} + \sum_{\substack{Z_1 \sqcup Z_2 = z_{[n]} \\ g_1 + g_2 = g \\ (g_i, |Z_i|) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{\omega_{g_1, |Z_1|+1}(z, Z_1) \omega_{g_2, |Z_2|+1}(\sigma_\alpha(z), Z_2)}{(y(\sigma_\alpha(z)) - y(z)) dx(z)} \right) \int_a^z \omega_{0,2}(z_0, \cdot), \quad (7)$$

where $\partial_{x(z)} = (dz/dx(z))\partial_z$, \sqcup denotes the disjoint union, and u is a formal variable; the residue at $u = 0$ indicates that the $u^{-1}du$ term in the formal expansion about $u = 0$ is picked. In the above formula, the convention $\partial_{x(z)}^{-1} \log(z - a) = 0$ for all $a \in L$ is used.

The $\omega_{g,n}$ are referred to as the *correlators* of the spectral curve \mathcal{S} : if $2g + n - 2 \leq 0$ they are called *unstable* and if $2g + n - 2 > 0$ they are called *stable*. A point b on Σ is called *regular* if all stable correlators have no poles at b .

Remark 2.5. The definition of topological recursion given here is what was called logarithmic topological recursion (LogTR) in [ABDKS24b].² The difference from the original Eynard-Orantin formalism was the addition of the first term that only appears when $n = 1$; the primary motivation for these extra contributions was that the x - y swap duality (see theorem 2.13) holds more generally in the LogTR setting. In the present work, topological recursion is just taken to mean LogTR.

Remark 2.6. Observe that points which are poles of both dx and dy are automatically regular. Indeed, for such points the residues at $\alpha \in L$ must vanish.

The interest in the topological recursion lies, in part, because of the remarkable properties of the $\omega_{g,n}$. Some of these properties are summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *The differentials $\omega_{g,n}$ enjoy the following properties:*

- the $\omega_{g,n}$ are symmetric in their n variables;
- the $\omega_{g,n}$ have vanishing residues at all points;
- the $\omega_{g,n}$ have poles only at the zeros of dx and the simple poles of dy ;
- under the rescalings $x \rightarrow ax$ and $y \rightarrow by$ the correlators transform as $\omega_{g,n} \rightarrow (ab)^{2-2g-n} \omega_{g,n}$.

Proof. These properties are well known. See [EO07b; ABDKS24b]. \square

2.2. Quantising spectral curves. Quantum curves were motivated in section 1.1. Here, to be more precise, let $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{P}^1, x, y, \omega_{0,2})$ be an admissible spectral curve. As discussed the functions x and y satisfy a relation $P(x, y) = 0$ where P is entire in both its variables. The goal is to ‘quantise’ P (replace P with a differential operator), but before this task may be completed, one should first define the wavefunction this quantisation should kill.

Definition 2.8. Given an admissible spectral curve \mathcal{S} and a regular point b define the *wavefunction*

$$\psi(z; b) = \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{2g+n-2}}{n!} \int_b^z \cdots \int_b^z \left(\omega_{g,n} - \delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,2} \frac{dx(z_1) dx(z_2)}{(x(z_1) - x(z_2))^2} \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

here \hbar is a formal expansion parameter and there are n integrations in each term. The $(0, 1)$ and $(0, 2)$ terms may need to be regularised, but this will only introduce a constant factor of the form $e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}A} e^B$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$.

The statement of the topological recursion/quantum curve correspondence is that there should exist an operator $\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hbar)$ such that

$$\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hbar) \psi(z; b) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{x} := x \cdot$ and $\hat{y} := \hbar d/dx$. This \hat{P} should be a quantisation of the original P in the vague sense that substituting in $\hbar = 0$ and replacing \hat{x} and \hat{y} with their commuting counterparts should bring one back to the original curve P . This vague sense is made precise in the following definition.

Definition 2.9. Let \mathcal{S} be a spectral curve such that x and y obey $P(x, y) = 0$. $\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)$ is called a *quantisation* of \mathcal{S} (or, equivalently, P) if the following expansion exists

$$\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar) = P(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hbar^i \hat{P}_i(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \quad (10)$$

²It should be noted that [ABDKS24b] uses the convention $\omega_{0,1} = -y dx$, rather than $\omega_{0,1} = y dx$.

where $P(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ is taken to be normally ordered (in each term all the \hat{x} are put to the left of the \hat{y}) and the \hat{P}_i are normal ordered polynomials of degree at most $\deg P - 1$. The quantisation is referred to as *simple* if all but finitely many of the P_i vanish.

At last the topological recursion/quantum curve correspondence can be stated precisely.

Conjecture 2.10. *Let \mathcal{S} be an admissible spectral curve where x and y satisfy the relation $P(x, y) = 0$. Then there exists a regular point $b \in \Sigma$ and a quantisation $\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)$ of $P(x, y)$ such that*

$$\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)\psi(z; b) = 0. \quad (11)$$

$\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)$ is called the quantum curve or quantum spectral curve.

Remark 2.11. It's important to notice that quantum curves are, in general, much simpler than the topological recursion formula (definition 2.4). This constitutes a key motivation for their study [Nor15].

In general, there may be more than one quantisation corresponding to different choices of basepoint b (the integration can also be generalised to an arbitrary degree zero divisor [BE17]). This conjecture has now been proved for many large classes of spectral curves [BE17; EG23; MO20; EGMO21; MO22; BKW23]. However, none of these results allow for x or y to possess log cuts...

2.3. x - y swap duality. One can define a topological recursion relation for a 2-matrix model where one has two fields (matrices) M_1 and M_2 [CEO06]. In this context there is an obvious duality, namely the interchange of M_1 and M_2 . It is then a natural question to ask how this duality manifests itself in the topological recursion; the answer is that switching M_1 and M_2 interchanges x and y . The so-called x - y swap is the abstraction of this duality to general spectral curves and their associated systems of correlators [EO07a]. This is made precise in the following definition.

Definition 2.12. Given a spectral curve $\mathcal{S} = (\Sigma, x, \omega_{0,1}, \omega_{0,2})$ define the x - y swap dual spectral curve

$$\mathcal{S}^\vee := (\Sigma, x^\vee := y, y^\vee := -x, \omega_{0,2}^\vee := \omega_{0,2}). \quad (12)$$

A spectral curve is called *fully admissible* if both \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^\vee are admissible.

Notice that $\mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee} = \mathcal{S}$ (up to flipping the sign of both x and y , which does not affect the topological recursion in any way by theorem 2.7); in fact, the two curves \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^\vee are intimately connected [EO07b; EO07a; ABDKS22; ABDKS23; ABDKS24b; Hoc23a; Hoc23b; Hoc23c]. It is beyond the scope of the present work to review all such developments, and many of the explicit results in this subfield are very lengthy. In light of this, this subsection will only highlight results that will be useful in the present work; it should not be taken as a general overview.

The fundamental result is the following [ABDKS22; ABDKS24b].

Theorem 2.13. *Let \mathcal{S} be a fully admissible meromorphic simple global spectral curve and denote by $\{\omega_{g,n}\}_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ the corresponding system of correlators. Denote by $\{\omega_{g,n}^\vee\}_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ the system of correlators associated to \mathcal{S}^\vee . Then*

$$\omega_{g,n}^\vee(z_{[n]}) = \text{Expr}_{g,n} \left(\{\omega_{g',n'}\}_{2g'+n' \leq 2g+n}, \{dx(z_i), dy(z_i)\}_{i \in [n]}, \left\{ \frac{dx(z_i)dx(z_j)}{(x(z_i) - x(z_j))^2} \right\}_{i,j \in [n]} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $\text{Expr}_{g,n}$ is some explicit expression that, for each g, n , is polynomial in its arguments and their derivatives with respect to the z_i .

The actual form of $\text{Expr}_{g,n}$ involves complicated sums over graphs and will not be needed in the present work; it can be found in [ABDKS22].

Next, formal Gaussian integration will be defined. As will become apparent, this integration is a prominent player in the x - y swap duality. In the context of TR this definition previously appeared in [ABDKS23, section 6].

Definition 2.14. Given $P \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_n][[\hbar^{1/2}]]$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_n]$ a non-degenerate quadratic form, define the formal Gaussian integrals

$$\int_{\text{FG}} \cdots \int_{\text{FG}} e^{Q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)} P(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n, \quad (14)$$

through the rules

$$\int_{\text{FG}} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\xi_i^2} \xi_i^m d\xi_i \rightarrow [m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}](m-1)!! \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha^{m+1}}}, \quad \int_{\text{FG}} \int_{\text{FG}} \xi_i^m \xi_j^{m'} e^{-\xi_i \xi_j} d\xi_i d\xi_j \rightarrow \delta_{m,m'} m!, \quad (15)$$

where for a statement p , $[p]$ is the Iverson bracket: it is 1 if p and 0 if $\neg p$.

Now review some of the properties of the preceding definition in the succeeding proposition.

Proposition 2.15. *As defined above, formal Gaussian integration has the following properties:*

(1) *the answer is invariant under a change of variables $\vec{\xi} \rightarrow A\vec{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1/2})$ where $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1/2})$ portion must have polynomial dependence in the ξ_i at each order in $\hbar^{1/2}$ (see [ABDKS23, section 6]);*

(2) *the order of integration does not matter;*

(3) *integration by parts holds for each ξ*

$$\int_{\text{FG}} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi; \hbar) \right) g(\xi; \hbar) d\xi = - \int_{\text{FG}} f(\xi; \hbar) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} g(\xi; \hbar) \right) d\xi, \quad (16)$$

where it is assumed f and g multiply to give the form of the integrand required by definition 2.14;

(4) *if for two functions f and f^\vee*

$$f(w; \hbar) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{\text{FG}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(x(w)(y(w) - y(z)) + \int_w^z x dy \right) \right) f^\vee(z; \hbar) y'(z) dz, \quad (17)$$

then it follows that (this is [ABDKS23, proposition 3.9])

$$f^\vee(z; \hbar) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{\text{FG}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(y(z)(x(z) - x(w)) + \int_z^w y dx \right) \right) f(w; \hbar) x'(w) dw; \quad (18)$$

(5) *if $P_N \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_n][[\hbar^{1/2}]]$ and $Q_N \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_n]$ are convergent sequences³ then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\text{FG}} \cdots \int_{\text{FG}} e^{Q_N(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)} P_N(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n = \int_{\text{FG}} \cdots \int_{\text{FG}} e^{Q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)} P(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n, \quad (19)$$

where $P_N \rightarrow P$ and $Q_N \rightarrow Q$.

Proof. The first statements is well known and appears in [ABDKS23], while the second statement follows from the first by diagonalising Q ; the third statement also follows from the first (again diagonalise Q) and noting that, by direct computation

$$\int_{\text{FG}} d \left(e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\xi^2} \xi^m \right) = 0. \quad (20)$$

The fourth statement is [ABDKS23, proposition 3.9]. The fifth statement may be proven by induction n . For $n = 1$, the statement follows essentially immediately from the fact that each power of $\hbar^{1/2}$ has only finitely many terms in ξ_1 and the first rule given in definition 2.14. For the induction step, one may apply the first property to change variables so $Q_N(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \frac{1}{2}a_N \xi_n^2 \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_{n-1}]$ for a convergent sequence $a_N \in \mathbb{C}$. The proof is then completed using the same logic on the integration over ξ_n as was used in the induction beginning. \square

Next, to a given spectral curve a certain kernel is associated. In the present work, the reason this kernel is of interest is because it is intimately related to the wavefunction ψ and is known to transform nicely under the x - y swap.

Definition 2.16. Given a system of correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ define the *Baker-Akhiezer kernel*⁴

$$K(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{x(z_1) - x(z_2)} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2!} \int_{z_2}^{z_1} \int_{z_2}^{z_1} \left(\omega_{0,2}(w_1, w_2) - \frac{dx(w_1)dx(w_2)}{(x(w_1) - x(w_2))^2} \right) \right)$$

³Convergence in the space of $\hbar^{1/2}$ formal series means convergence in each order of $\hbar^{1/2}$ (i.e., the weak topology).

⁴This should only really be called the Baker-Akhiezer kernel when the $\omega_{g,n}$ possess the so-called KP-integrability property. Most genus zero curves possess this property. See [ABDKS23; ABDKS24a].

$$+ \sum_{2g+n-2>0} \frac{\hbar^{2g+n-2}}{n!} \int_{z_2}^{z_1} \cdots \int_{z_2}^{z_1} \omega_{g,n} \Big). \quad (21)$$

Define the dual *Baker-Akhiezer kernel*, $K^\vee(z_1, z_2)$, in the obvious way by making the replacements $x \rightarrow x^\vee$ and $\omega_{g,n} \rightarrow \omega_{g,n}^\vee$ in the above formula.

Remark 2.17. In the preceding definition the saddle points are at $w_i = z_i$. Various coordinate choices are possible, but it is assumed that the coordinate choice made leaves no explicit \hbar dependence in the resulting quadratic form $Q(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ in the exponent; this requirement ensures that each term in the corresponding expansion in $\hbar^{1/2}$ has only polynomial dependence in each of the ξ_i . For example, one such coordinate choice is $\xi_i = (w_i - z_i)/\hbar^{1/2}$.

The following result is [ABDKS23, theorem 3.12]:⁵

Theorem 2.18. *Given a fully admissible spectral curve \mathcal{S} it follows that*

$$K^\vee(z_1, z_2) = \frac{-i}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\text{FG}} \int_{\text{FG}} K(w_1, w_2) x'(w_1) x'(w_2) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(y(z_1)(x(z_1) - x(w_1)) + \int_{z_1}^{w_1} y dx \right)\right) \\ \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(y(z_2)(x(z_2) - x(w_2)) + \int_{z_2}^{w_2} y dx \right)\right) dw_1 dw_2. \quad (22)$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that the two systems of correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ and $\omega_{g,n}^\vee$ are related via theorem 2.13. In fact, any two systems of correlators related by the same formula theorem 2.13 and having no poles on the diagonal (except for the double pole present in $\omega_{0,2} = \omega_{0,2}^\vee$) will satisfy this theorem. As the definition of the topological recursion used here, definition 2.4, respects the x - y swap relation [ABDKS24b], the theorem holds. The interested reader should consult [ABDKS23, theorem 4.3], for the detailed proof. \square

3. THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

Begin by defining what is meant by Laplace transform.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{S} be a spectral curve and $U, V \subset \Sigma$ be open sets such that x and y are holomorphic on U and V . The Laplace transform is defined as a map

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} : e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \int y dx} \mathcal{M}(V)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int x dy} \mathcal{M}(U)[[\hbar]], \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} \left[e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \int y dx} f(w; \hbar) \right] (z) := \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C}}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{\text{FG}} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \int y dx} e^{-\frac{x(w)y(z)}{\hbar}} f(w; \hbar) x'(w) dw,$$

where $w \in V$ and $z \in U$.

The key properties of this Laplace transform in the context of the topological recursion and the x - y swap duality are given by the following lemmata and theorem, which is the main theorem of the present work.

Lemma 3.2. *The Laplace transform as defined above has the inverse*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \left[e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int x dy} f^\vee(z; \hbar) \right] (w) = \frac{ie^{\frac{1}{\hbar}C}}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{\text{FG}} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int x dy} f^\vee(z; \hbar) y'(z) dz. \quad (24)$$

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of proposition 2.15 ([ABDKS23, proposition 3.9]). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Phi(w; \hbar)$ be in the domain of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and let $\Phi^\vee(z; \hbar)$ be its Laplace transform.*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} \left[\hbar^k \frac{d^k}{dx(w)^k} y(w)^l \Phi(w; \hbar) \right] (z) = y^\vee(z)^k \hbar^l \frac{d^l}{dx^\vee(z)^l} \Phi^\vee(z; \hbar), \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \left[\hbar^k \frac{d^k}{dx^\vee(z)^k} y^\vee(z)^l \Phi^\vee(z; \hbar) \right] (w) = y(w)^k \hbar^l \frac{d^l}{dx(w)^l} \Phi(w; \hbar).$$

⁵The sign conventions in [ABDKS22; ABDKS23] differ from what is presented here; in particular the sign of $\omega_{0,1}$ is flipped whereas the sign of $\omega_{0,1}^\vee$ uses the same convention. By the last property listed in theorem 2.7, this swaps the arguments in the Baker-Akhiezer kernel.

Proof. The $l = 0$ identities follow immediately via integration by parts (proposition 2.15) and the definition of the Laplace transform. One can then derive the $l \neq 0$ identities using the fact that $\mathcal{L}_S \circ \mathcal{L}_S^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_S^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_S$ is the identity, by the previous lemma. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let S be a fully admissible spectral curve. Assume there exists a point $b \in \Sigma$ such that both $dx(z)$ and $dy(z)$ have a pole at b . Define $\psi^\vee(z; b)$ in the obvious way by making the replacement $\omega_{g,n} \rightarrow \omega_{g,n}^\vee$ in definition 2.8. Then*

$$\psi^\vee(z; b) = \mathcal{L}_S[\psi(w; b)](z), \quad \psi(w; b) = \mathcal{L}_S^{-1}[\psi^\vee(z; b)](w), \quad (26)$$

where the wavefunctions ψ and ψ^\vee may need to be multiplied by a constant from the form given in definition 2.8 so no constant of proportionality is introduced in the above formula.

Proof. If $b = \infty$ in the affine coordinate z redefine the coordinate so $b \neq \infty$. Observe that b must be a regular point (see remark 2.6). Notice that, by definition

$$K(z_1, z_2) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{z_2}^{z_1} \omega_{0,1}} \psi(z_1, z_2)}{x(z_1) - x(z_2)}. \quad (27)$$

Define $\varphi(z_2) := -x(z_2) e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{z_2}^* \omega_{0,1}}$ where $*$ is chosen so that

$$\psi(z; b) = \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow b} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{z_2}^z \omega_{0,1}} \frac{K(z, z_2)(x(z) - x(z_2))}{\varphi(z_2)}. \quad (28)$$

Similarly, define φ^\vee in an analogous manner. Now, from the statement of theorem 2.18, and defining a new integration variable through $\xi = (w_2 - z_2)/\sqrt{\hbar}$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\vee(z; b) &= \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow b} \frac{-i}{2\pi\hbar} \iint K(w_1, w_2) x'(w_1) x'(w_2) \frac{y(z_2) - y(z)}{\varphi^\vee(z_2)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{w_2}^{w_1} y dx\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} (y(z_2)x(w_2) - y(z)x(w_1))\right) dw_1 dw_2 \\ &= \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow b} A(z_2) e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} B(z_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int e^{-\frac{y(z)x(w)}{\hbar}} \psi(w; z_2) x'(w) \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\frac{x'(z_2)y'(z_2)}{2\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{FG} e^{-\frac{x'(z_2)y'(z_2)}{2} \xi^2} c_m(w, z_2; \hbar) \xi^m d\xi dw, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $c_0 = 1$, $w = w_1$, and A, B have no \hbar dependence. Now, each c_m is computed by taking one further ξ derivative versus the computation for c_{m-1} and evaluating at $\xi = 0$ (equivalently, $w_2 = z_2$). Each such derivative will increase the order of pole of the expression at $z_2 = b$ by at most one. However, each increasing power of ξ , after integration, gives a factor of $(x'(z_2)y'(z_2))^{-1/2}$, which goes to zero. Assume for now that either dx or dy has a non-simple pole at b so each succeeding term in the series over m must go to zero faster than the preceding one.

Now recall that each c_m is a power series in $\hbar^{1/2}$ with leading order $\hbar^{m/2}$. Thus, at each order in $\hbar^{1/2}$, only finitely many terms in the sum over m contribute. Therefore, there is no issue with commuting the limit as $z_2 \rightarrow b$ with the sum over m .

Next, as the LHS is by definition finite the RHS must also be finite. The $A(z_2)$ factor may therefore be absorbed into the regularisation of the $(g, n) = (0, 2)$ term in ψ as $z_2 \rightarrow b$ and similarly for $B(z_2)$ with the regularisation of the $(g, n) = (0, 1)$ term. This gives the formula for ψ^\vee in terms of the Laplace transform of ψ . The second equality immediately follows by proposition 2.15.

Finally, examine the case where both dx and dy have a simple pole at b . In this case, change coordinates so that $b = 0$ and $z_2 = \infty$ is a regular point which is neither a zero or a pole of dx or dy . Define the integration variable $\xi = \hbar^{-1/2} \log(w_2/z_2)$ and observe

$$\psi^\vee(z; b) = \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow b} \tilde{A}(z_2) e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{B}(z_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int e^{-\frac{y(z)x(w)}{\hbar}} \psi(w, z_2) x'(w) \int_{FG} e^{-\frac{z_2^2 x'(z_2) y'(z_2)}{2} \xi^2} \Xi(\xi, z_2, w; \hbar) d\xi dw, \quad (30)$$

where $\Xi(\xi, z_2, w; \hbar)$ is a formal series in $\hbar^{1/2}$. Notice that

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} = w_2 \frac{d}{dw_2}, \quad (31)$$

so, for a function $f(w_2; \hbar)$, if f has neither a zero nor a pole at $w_2 = 0$ then $d^m f / d\xi^m|_{w_2=z_2}$ will have a zero at $z_2 = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. Thus, if it is established that Ξ has neither a zero nor a pole at $w_2 = 0$, then the leading order term in the expansion about $\xi = 0$ will be the only one that contributes in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow b = 0$ by similar logic as in the previous case⁶ (observe that the exponent $z_2^2 x'(z_2) y'(z_2)$ is finite and non-zero as $z_2 \rightarrow 0$).

Schematically, the factors contributing to Ξ are:

- (1) $dx(w_2)/d\xi$;
- (2) \exp (integrals of stable correlators);
- (3) $\exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} [y(z_2)x(w_2) - \int^{w_2} y dx + \frac{1}{2} z_2^2 y'(z_2)x'(z_2)\xi^2 - \tilde{B}(z_2)]\right)$;
- (4) $\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int \int [\omega_{0,2} - x_1^* x_2^* \omega_{0,2}]\right)$, where x_i^* is the pullback in the i^{th} variable;
- (5) $1/(x(w_1) - x(w_2))$.

The first factor is finite and non-zero at $w_2 = 0$ as dx has a simple pole at $w_2 = 0$ by assumption. The argument of the exponential in the second factor is finite at $w_2 = 0$ as b is a regular point; the exponential of a finite number is a finite and non-zero number. For the third factor, observe that the second derivative of the exponent with respect to ξ is

$$-w_2^2 y(w_2) x''(w_2) + w_2^2 y(z_2) x''(w_2) - w_2^2 x'(w_2) y'(w_2) + z_2^2 x'(z_2) y'(z_2) - w_2 y(w_2) x'(w_2) + w_2 y(z_2) x'(w_2). \quad (32)$$

Noting that, as $w_2 \rightarrow 0$, $x(w_2) \sim \alpha_0^\vee \log(w_2) + \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $y(w_2) \sim \alpha_0 \log(w_2) + \mathcal{O}(1)$ for some $\alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, one sees that the apparent logarithmic divergence in the above expression as $w_2 \rightarrow 0$ actually cancels. Thus, the exponential of this expression has neither a zero nor a pole at $w_2 = 0$, as hoped for.

Turn now to the contribution from $\omega_{0,2}$. Write out this factor explicitly

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{w_2}^w \int_{w_2}^w - \int_{z_2}^w \int_{z_2}^w \right) \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{(t_1 - t_2)^2} - \frac{dx(t_2) dx(t_2)}{(x(t_1) - x(t_2))^2} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{x'(z_2)}{x'(w_2)} \frac{(x(w) - x(w_2))(w - z_2)}{(w - w_2)(x(w) - x(z_2))}}. \quad (33)$$

The $x(w) - x(w_2)$ in the numerator precisely cancels the fifth factor in the list. The $(w - w_2)^{-1}$ factor is of the desired form. However, the $x'(w_2)^{-1/2}$ is clearly not of the desired form. To remedy this situation one can perform the trivial rewriting

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{x'(w_2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{e^{\hbar^{1/2}\xi}}{x'(w_2)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hbar^{1/2}\xi}. \quad (34)$$

Then, by the definition of the coordinate ξ the $\sqrt{e^{\hbar^{1/2}\xi}/x'(w_2)}$ factor is of the desired form. The $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hbar^{1/2}\xi}$ can be accounted for by shifting the coordinate ξ by $\hbar^{1/2}/[2z_2^2 x'(z_2) y'(z_2)]$ so it is absorbed into the quadratic term in the exponential (i.e., complete the square). This introduces a factor $a(\hbar)$ in the relation between w_2 and ξ so $w_2 = a(\hbar^{1/2}) z_2 e^{\hbar^{1/2}\xi}$ with $a(0) = 1$. However, $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ still means $w_2 \rightarrow 0$ in these new coordinates so this won't affect any of the previous arguments. This also multiplies the resulting wavefunction by a constant, but this constant is finite as $z_2^2 x'(z_2) y'(z_2)$ is non-zero in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow b$.

Thus, the leading order coefficient in the expansion of Ξ about $\xi = 0$ is indeed of higher order as $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ than the rest of the coefficients. Applying the same logic as in the previous case completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. In [Hoc23a] it was noted that the wavefunction ψ and the dual wavefunction ψ^\vee should, intuitively, be Laplace transforms of one another. The above theorem translates this intuition into a rigorous result.

Remark 3.6. For higher genus curves, the above proof should hold for what is called the 'perturbative wavefunction'. However, in these cases, the actual wavefunction requires nonperturbative corrections [EGMO21]. It would be interesting to examine in more detail how the above theorem works in higher genus.

⁶The perspicacious reader will notice this is not quite correct. The expression $1/(w_2/z_2 + 1)$ has no pole or zero at $z_2 = 0$ after substituting $w_2 \rightarrow z_2$, but doesn't behave under differentiation in the desired manner. Fortunately, the five different contributing factors do not present these issues. For all factors but the integrals of the stable correlators, this will be clear through explicit computation, and for the stable correlators one notes that the properties listed in theorem 2.7 will imply their integrals are meromorphic functions such that the location of their poles in the w_2 plane do not depend on the value of z_2 .

4. QUANTUM CURVES

4.1. General consequences of the Laplace transform. The most obvious application of the Laplace transform is in the derivation of quantum curves. Indeed, assume that one has a fully admissible spectral curve \mathcal{S} and is in possession of a dual quantum curve (i.e., a quantum curve for \mathcal{S}^\vee) \hat{P}^\vee so that

$$\hat{P}^\vee(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; \hbar)\psi^\vee(z; \mathbf{b}) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Furthermore, assume that \mathbf{b} happens to be a pole of dx and dy . Then, using lemma 3.3, one can immediately get a quantum curve for \mathcal{S} by taking the inverse Laplace transform of equation (35). Of course, in complete generality, finding the quantum curve for \mathcal{S}^\vee is no more or less challenging than finding the quantum curve for \mathcal{S} . But if \mathbf{y} happens to be unramified, then the recursive structure of topological recursion collapses, and one can compute the dual wavefunction ψ^\vee explicitly. Then, a differential equation for ψ^\vee can be constructed, and the Laplace transform taken. This approach is illustrated in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{P}^1, x(z) = P_1(z)/P_2(z), y(z) = z, \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = dz_1 dz_2 / (z_1 - z_2)^2)$ be a fully admissible spectral curve where P_1 and P_2 are coprime polynomials such that $\deg P_1 > \deg P_2$. It follows that*

$$[P_1(\hat{y}) - P_2(\hat{y})\hat{x}]\psi(z; \infty) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Proof. Notice that $\psi^\vee(z; \infty)$ satisfies

$$[P_1(\hat{x}^\vee) - P_2(\hat{x}^\vee)\hat{y}^\vee]\psi^\vee(z; \infty) = 0, \quad (37)$$

where $\hat{y}^\vee := -\hbar d/dy$ and $\hat{x}^\vee := y$. Taking the Laplace transform yields the desired result. \square

Although this is a very slick, and new, derivation of the quantum curve, systematic ways to derive quantum curves with $\mathbf{y} = z$ are known for any meromorphic x [BE17] and even in many cases when x is not meromorphic [BKW23]. It should be noted, however, that for appropriate basepoints \mathbf{b} , this new method is easier. What is more interesting is the case $\mathbf{y} = \log z$.⁷

Remark 4.2. In a sense, the results here provide a very intuitive explanation for why quantum curves with simple $\mathbf{y}(z)$ have easy systematic processes to derive quantum curves with few \hbar corrections [BE17], whereas quantum curves with more complicated \mathbf{y} require more sophisticated methods to derive quantum curves with many \hbar corrections [EGMO21].

Here, particular interesting are curves of the form $P_2(e^y)e^x - P_1(e^y) = 0$ where P_1, P_2 are coprime polynomials. These curves have relations to mirror symmetry [BKMP08; BM08; GS11; BS12; Zho12; DOSS14; EO15; FLZ17; FLZ20] and knot theory [BME12; BE15; GJKS14; DPSS19]. In [GS11] it was conjectured that the dual quantum curve takes the form

$$\left[P_2(e^{\hat{x}^\vee - \hbar/2})e^{\hat{y}^\vee + \hbar/2} - P_1(e^{\hat{x}^\vee - \hbar/2}) \right] \psi^\vee(z; \mathbf{b}) = 0. \quad (38)$$

It was argued by Hock [Hoc23a] that such a quantum curve should kill the dual wavefunction as a motivation for defining a modified version of the topological recursion, which would become LogTR in [ABDKS24b] (see remark 2.5). Hock's original proposal indeed aligns with LogTR in many cases [ABDKS24b, corollary 3.8]. Taking the LogTR as given, one can repeat a similar argument to that of Hock, and then take the Laplace transform to obtain a quantum curve for the original spectral curve. This is the content of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Given an admissible spectral curve \mathcal{S} where x and y satisfy a relation of the form $P_2(e^y)e^x - P_1(e^y) = 0$ such that P_1 and P_2 are coprime polynomials satisfying:*

- (1) $\deg P_1 \neq \deg P_2$;
- (2) $\deg [z^{\deg P_1} P_1(z^{-1})] \neq \deg [z^{\deg P_2} P_2(z^{-1})]$;
- (3) P_1 and P_2 have only simple zeros and poles on \mathbb{C}^* ,

it follows that there exists a quantum curve for the basepoint $e^{y(\mathbf{b})} = 0, \infty$

$$\left[P_2(e^{\hat{y} - \hbar/2})e^{\hat{x} + \hbar/2} - P_1(e^{\hat{y} - \hbar/2}) \right] \psi(z; \mathbf{b}) = 0. \quad (39)$$

⁷Up to changing coordinates, these are the only two cases of injective \mathbf{y} where $d\mathbf{y}$ is meromorphic.

Proof. Choose the uniformisation coordinate z so that $y(z) = \log(z)$. By the restrictions on the degrees of P_1 and P_2 both dx and dy have simple poles at $b = 0, \infty$. One now wishes to compute the dual wavefunction $\psi^\vee(z; b)$. Based on suggestive computations made in [Hoc23a], [ABDKS24b, corollary 3.8] proved the following

$$\sum_{g=0} \hbar^{2g-1} \omega_{g,1}^\vee(z_1) = -\frac{1}{2} d_z \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \partial_{y(z)}\right) x(z), \quad (40)$$

where $d_z \partial_{y(z)}^{-1}$ is naturally interpreted as multiplying by $dy(z)$. Thus the dual wavefunction is (where $\partial_{y(z)}^{-1}$ means to integrate with respect to $dy(z)$; the value of the integration constant is unimportant), up to an irrelevant normalisation

$$\psi^\vee(z; b) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} y(z) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \partial_{y(z)}\right) x(z)\right). \quad (41)$$

Now notice

$$\frac{P_2(e^{y(z)-\hbar/2})}{P_1(e^{y(z)-\hbar/2})} e^{-\hbar \partial_{y(z)} + \hbar/2} \psi^\vee(z; b) = e^{\frac{1}{2} y(z) - x(e^{-\hbar/2} z) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \partial_{y(z)}\right) x(e^{-\hbar} z)} = e^{\frac{1}{2} y(z) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \partial_{y(z)}\right) x(z)}, \quad (42)$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$\frac{e^{-u}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}u} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}u}} = -e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}u} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}u}}, \quad (43)$$

where both sides are expanded in series about $u = 0$. Ergo,

$$\left[P_2(e^{\hat{x}^\vee - \hbar/2}) e^{\hat{y}^\vee + \hbar/2} - P_1(e^{\hat{x}^\vee - \hbar/2}) \right] \psi^\vee(z; b) = 0, \quad (44)$$

holds and taking the Laplace transform yields the desired result. \square

Remark 4.4. It would be nice to drop some of the assumptions of the above theorem. However, in an upcoming work [Wel24] the above theorem will be proven using a very different, and much more involved, methodology. Somewhat remarkably, the exact same conditions will appear; the origin of the condition on that P_1 and P_2 only having simple poles will become clearer, and it stems from the fact that for non-simple zeros and poles e^x is ramified at these points. If one wishes to extend the above theorem to this case, one likely needs to find a way to consistently take these points into account.

Remark 4.5. One could also prove a general theorem for curves of the form $P_2(e^y)x - P_1(e^y) = 0$ using similar logic, but with the only condition being that one of the two limits

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_1(z)}{P_2(z)}, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_1(z)}{P_2(z)}, \quad (45)$$

is infinite. The result is

$$\left[P_2(e^{\hat{y}}) \left(\hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \right) - P_1(e^{\hat{y}}) \right] \psi(z; b) = 0. \quad (46)$$

A quantum curve of this form is computed in section 4.3.

The rest of this work will be devoted to constructing quantum curves in interesting examples.

4.2. Examples.

4.2.1. $P(x, y) = e^x + e^{(f+1)y} - e^{fy} = 0$. Here, for an integer $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ called the framing, the quantisation of the spectral curve

$$S = \left(\mathbb{P}^1, x(z) = f \log(z) + \log(1-z), y(z) = \log(z), \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \right), \quad (47)$$

is computed. The correlators associated to this curve are known to calculate the Gromov-Witten invariants of framed toric Calabi-Yau threefolds when expanded around $e^{x(z)} = 0$ [BKMP08; BS12; Zho12; FLZ20].

Here, as long as $f \neq 0$, the conditions of theorem 4.3 are satisfied, so the following quantum curve is obtained for the basepoint $b = 0, \infty$

$$\left[e^{(f+1)\hbar/2} e^{\hat{x}} + e^{-\hbar/2} e^{(f+1)\hat{y}} - e^{f\hat{y}} \right] \psi(z; b) = 0. \quad (48)$$

A quantum curve in this case was previously obtained in [Zho12], where the wavefunction killed by the quantum curve in [Zho12] has the natural choice of basepoint $b = 1$ (so $e^{x(b)} = 0$).

4.2.2. $P(x, y) = c^P e^x (e^y - c^2)^Q - c^Q e^{Py} (e^y - 1)^Q = 0$. Take two integers $Q, P \in \mathbb{Z}$,⁸ $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and examine the following spectral curve

$$S = (\mathbb{P}^1, x(z) = P \log(z) + Q \log(z-1) - Q \log(z-c^2) + (Q-P) \log(c), \\ y(z) = \log(z), \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}). \quad (49)$$

The correlators of this curve are related to (Q, P) torus knots⁹ [BME12; BE15; GJKS14; DPSS19].

Here, to satisfy the conditions of theorem 4.3, P can not be zero and $|Q|$ must be less than or equal to one. The restriction on Q means the associated Torus knot is just the unknot [BE15]. By theorem 4.3, the following quantum curve is obtained with basepoint $b = 0, \infty$

$$Q \geq 0: \quad \left[c^P e^{\hat{x}+\hbar/2} (e^{\hat{y}+\hbar/2} - c^2)^Q - c^Q e^{P(\hat{y}-\hbar/2)} (e^{\hat{y}-\hbar/2} - 1)^Q \right] \psi(z; b) = 0, \\ Q \leq 0: \quad \left[c^P e^{\hat{x}+\hbar/2} (e^{\hat{y}+\hbar/2} - 1)^{-Q} - c^Q e^{P(\hat{y}-\hbar/2)} (e^{\hat{y}-\hbar/2} - c^2)^{-Q} \right] \psi(z; b) = 0. \quad (50)$$

For the spectral curve of equation (49), a quantum curve was previously obtained in [DPSS19]. This quantum curve is different than the one obtained here, as the basepoint $b = 0, \infty$ is not the natural one from the perspective of the knot theory application.

4.3. **Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{P}^1 .** Here the spectral curve

$$S = \left(\mathbb{P}^1, x(z) = z + z^{-1}, y(z) = \log(z), \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \right), \quad (51)$$

is examined. The functions x and y satisfy identically the equation $P(x, y) = x - 2 \cosh(y) = 0$. This curve is of interest as the corresponding correlators are generating functions for the Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 [NS14; DOSS14; FLZ17].

To be more precise let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)$ be the moduli space of degree d stable maps from an algebraic curve of genus g with n marked points to the complex projective line. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote by $\text{ev}_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the map defined by evaluating a stable map at the i^{th} marked point and take \mathcal{L}_i to be the cotangent bundle over the i^{th} marked point. Then let $\psi_i \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d); \mathbb{Q})$ be the first Chern class of \mathcal{L}_i . Finally, for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define the connected stationary Gromov-Witten invariants as

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{a_i}(\omega) \right\rangle_{g,n}^d := \delta_{\sum_i a_i, 2g-2+2d} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{a_i} \text{ev}_i^*(\omega), \quad (52)$$

where $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)]^{\text{vir}}$ is the virtual fundamental class of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)$ and $\omega \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Q})$ is Poincaré dual to the point class. For more details on the definition of Gromov-Witten invariants see [NS14, section 3].

It is shown in [DOSS14, theorem 5.2] (the $g = 0, 1$ case was established in [NS14, theorem 1.1]), that for $2g + n - 2 > 0$

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_{[n]}) \stackrel{x(z_i) \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} (-1)^n \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{a_i}(\omega) \right\rangle_{g,n} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + 1)! x(z_i)^{-a_i-2} dx(z_i), \quad (53)$$

where the omission of the superscript d in the Gromov-Witten invariant indicates that d is chosen such that $\sum_i a_i = 2g - 2 + 2d$ holds. The unstable cases $(g, n) = (0, 1), (0, 2)$ have the following expansions [NS14]

$$\omega_{0,1}(z_1) \stackrel{x(z_1) \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \log x(z_1) dx - \sum_{a_1=0}^{\infty} \langle \tau_{a_1}(\omega) \rangle_{0,1} \frac{(a_1 + 1)!}{x(z_1)^{a_1+2}} dx(z_1), \\ \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) \stackrel{x(z_1), x(z_2) \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{dx(z_1) dx(z_2)}{(x(z_1) - x(z_2))^2} + \sum_{a_1, a_2=0}^{\infty} \langle \tau_{a_1}(\omega) \tau_{a_2}(\omega) \rangle_{0,2} \frac{(a_1 + 1)! (a_2 + 1)!}{x(z_1)^{a_1+2} x(z_2)^{a_2+2}} dx(z_1) dx(z_2). \quad (54)$$

⁸The integer P should not be confused with the relation $P(x, y) = 0$.

⁹Torus knots are knots that one can view as living on the surface of an unknotted torus embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Thus the wavefunction $\psi(z; 0)$ takes the form

$$\psi(z; 0) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} x(z) (\log x(z) - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{2g+n-2}}{n!} \left\langle \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{a! \tau_a(\omega)}{x(z)^{a+1}} \right)^n \right\rangle_{g,n} \right). \quad (55)$$

Notice that dx and dy both have a pole at $b = 0$, so the above wavefunction can be computed as the Laplace transform of the dual wavefunction by theorem 3.4. As all the dual stable correlators vanish, the dual wavefunction itself is easily computed as

$$\psi^\vee(z; 0) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} y(z) - \int^z x(z) dy(z) \right), \quad (56)$$

which means

$$\hat{y}^\vee \psi^\vee(z; 0) = \left(x(z) - \frac{1}{2} \hbar \right) \psi^\vee(z; b = 0, \infty). \quad (57)$$

Thus, taking the Laplace transform of the resulting quantisation of the dual spectral curve one immediately obtains the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *The quantisation of the spectral curve of equation (51) with basepoint $b = 0$ is given by*

$$\left[\hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar - 2 \cosh(\hat{y}) \right] \psi(z; 0) = 0. \quad (58)$$

A quantisation with an alternative integration scheme been computed previously [DMNPS17]. The quantisation derived here is a special case of [CG19, theorem 2.2], where a more general result was found for the quantum spectral curve associated to the Gromov-Witten theory of the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}[r]$. In general, [CG19, theorem 2.2] obtains¹⁰

$$\left[\hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar - e^{\hat{y}} - e^{-r\hat{y}} \right] \psi_r(z; 0) = 0, \quad (59)$$

which is exactly what the present work would yield for the quantisation of the spectral curve $P(x, y) = x - e^y - e^{-ry}$ (see remark 4.5). To the knowledge of the author, it is not known whether the topological recursion calculates the Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}[r]$ for $r \neq 1$, but the above result seems to suggest this is the case.

5. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper the notion that the wavefunction associated to a spectral curve and the dual wavefunction associated to the dual spectral curve are Laplace transforms of one another has been made rigorous. This definition of the Laplace transforms acts as a mapping between spaces of formal series in \hbar and satisfies the key properties one would expect of the Laplace transform.

The implications for the forms of quantisations of the original spectral curve that kill the wavefunction were then examined. In particular, it was shown that given a quantum curve for the dual spectral curve, one could very simply derive a quantum curve for the original spectral curve. This insight was then put to use to derive quantum curves for many spectral curves that have particularly simple duals. A general theorem for the quantisation of a large class of curves of the form $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$ was formulated, and several quantisations were worked out explicitly, including a quantisation for the spectral associated to the Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Nevertheless, a few interesting questions remain.

- All results of the present work are in genus zero. However, many curves that one would like to quantise, especially those of the form $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$, are of higher genus [EGMO21]. Is there a higher genus generalisation of theorem 3.4 (see also remark 3.6)?
- The theorem that allows one to quantise genus zero curves of the form $P(e^x, e^y) = 0$ has some rather technical restrictions. In what ways are these restrictions necessary, and in what ways can they be lifted? The upcoming work [Wel24] will provide some insights into this question.

¹⁰Due to differing sign conventions one should substitute $\hbar \rightarrow -\hbar$ from [CG19]. All the results here are for the so-called principle specialisation, which corresponds to $t = 0$ in [CG19]. In addition, [CG19] introduces a parameter q to keep track of the degree d ; here q is set to one, but could easily be reintroduced.

- The present work only examined the case when the basepoint for the wavefunction and its dual are the same, and both dx and dy possess a pole at this point. Is there a way to interpret the Laplace transform of a general wavefunction (i.e., defined with an arbitrary integration scheme) as a dual wavefunction?
- The quantum spectral curve for the Gromov-Witten invariants of the weighted projective line align with the TR expectation. Can one formulate a precise relation between the topological recursion correlators and the Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}[r]$ for $r \neq 1$?

REFERENCES

- [ABDKS22] Alexander Alexandrov, Boris Bychkov, Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Maxim Kazarian, and Sergey Shadrin. *A universal formula for the $x - y$ swap in topological recursion*. 2022. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2212.00320](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.00320).
- [ABDKS23] Alexander Alexandrov, Boris Bychkov, Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Maxim Kazarian, and Sergey Shadrin. *KP integrability through the $x - y$ swap relation*. 2023. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2309.12176](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.12176).
- [ABDKS24a] Alexander Alexandrov, Boris Bychkov, Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Maxim Kazarian, and Sergey Shadrin. *Any topological recursion on a rational spectral curve is KP integrable*. 2024. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2406.07391](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.07391).
- [ABDKS24b] Alexander Alexandrov, Boris Bychkov, Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Maxim Kazarian, and Sergey Shadrin. *Log topological recursion through the prism of $x - y$ swap*. 2024. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2312.16950](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.16950).
- [BBCCN18] Gaëtan Borot, Vincent Bouchard, Nitin Kumar Chidambaram, Thomas Creutzig, and Dimitri Noshchenko. “Higher Airy structures, \mathcal{W} -algebras and topological recursion”. In: (2018). to appear in *Memoirs AMS*. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1812.08738](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1812.08738).
- [BE13] Vincent Bouchard and Bertrand Eynard. “Think globally, compute locally”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2013.2 (2013), pp. 1–34. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP02\(2013\)143](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)143).
- [BE15] Gaëtan Borot and Bertrand Eynard. “All order asymptotics of hyperbolic knot invariants from non-perturbative topological recursion of A-polynomials”. In: *Quantum Topology* 6.1 (2015), pp. 39–138. DOI: [10.4171/qt/60](https://doi.org/10.4171/qt/60).
- [BE17] Vincent Bouchard and Bertrand Eynard. “Reconstructing WKB from topological recursion”. In: *J. Éc. polytech. Math.* 4 (2017), pp. 845–908. DOI: [10.5802/jep.58](https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.58).
- [BKMP08] Vincent Bouchard, Albrecht Klemm, Marcos Mariño, and Sara Pasquetti. “Remodeling the B-Model”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 287.1 (Sept. 2008), pp. 117–178. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-008-0620-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0620-4).
- [BKS23] Gaëtan Borot, Reinier Kramer, and Yannik Schüler. “Higher Airy structures and topological recursion for singular spectral curves”. In: *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré D* (May 2023). ISSN: 2308-5827. DOI: [10.4171/AIHPD/168](https://doi.org/10.4171/AIHPD/168).
- [BKW23] Vincent Bouchard, Reinier Kramer, and Quinten Weller. *Topological recursion on transalgebraic spectral curves and Atlantes Hurwitz numbers*. 2023. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2304.07433](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.07433).
- [BM08] Vincent Bouchard and Marcos Mariño. “Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry”. In: *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry*. Vol. 78. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 263–283. DOI: [10.1090/pspum/078/2483754](https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/078/2483754).
- [BME12] Andrea Brini, Marcos Mariño, and Bertrand Eynard. “Torus Knots and Mirror Symmetry”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 13 (8 Jan. 2012), pp. 1873–1910. DOI: [10.1007/s00023-012-0171-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-012-0171-2).
- [BS12] Vincent Bouchard and Piotr Sulkowski. “Topological recursion and mirror curves”. In: *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 16.5 (2012), pp. 1443–1483. DOI: [10.4310/ATMP.2012.v16.n5.a3](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2012.v16.n5.a3).
- [CEO06] Leonid Chekhov, Bertrand Eynard, and Nicolas Orantin. “Free energy topological expansion for the 2-matrix model”. In: *J. High Energy Phys.* 2006.12 (2006), pp. 1–31. DOI: [10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/053](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/053).
- [CG19] Chongyao Chen and Shuai Guo. *Quantum curve and bilinear Fermionic form for the orbifold Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}[r]$* . 2019. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1912.00558](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.00558).

- [DFM11] Robbert Dijkgraaf, Hiroyuki Fuji, and Masahide Manabe. “The volume conjecture, perturbative knot invariants, and recursion relations for topological strings”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 849.1 (Aug. 2011), pp. 166–211. ISSN: 0550-3213. DOI: [10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.014).
- [DMNPS17] Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Motohico Mulase, Paul Norbury, Alexandr Popolitov, and Sergey Shadrin. “Quantum spectral curve for the Gromov-Witten theory of the complex projective line”. In: *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 2017.726 (2017), pp. 267–289. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2014-0097>.
- [DOSS14] Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Nicolas Orantin, Sergey Shadrin, and Loek Spitz. “Identification of the Givental formula with the spectral curve topological recursion procedure”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 328.2 (2014), pp. 669–700. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-014-1887-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-1887-2).
- [DPSS19] Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Aleksandr Popolitov, Sergey Shadrin, and Alexey Sleptsov. “Combinatorial structure of colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials for torus knots”. In: *Communications in Number Theory and Physics* 13.4 (2019), pp. 763–826. ISSN: 1931-4531. DOI: [10.4310/cntp.2019.v13.n4.a3](https://doi.org/10.4310/cntp.2019.v13.n4.a3).
- [EG23] Bertrand Eynard and Elba Garcia-Failde. “From topological recursion to wave functions and PDEs quantizing hyperelliptic curves”. In: *Forum Math. Sigma* 11 (2023), e99. DOI: [10.1017/fms.2023.96](https://doi.org/10.1017/fms.2023.96).
- [EGMO21] Bertrand Eynard, Elba Garcia-Failde, Olivier Marchal, and Nicolas Orantin. *Quantization of classical spectral curves via topological recursion*. June 2021. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2106.04339](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2106.04339). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04339>.
- [EO07a] B Eynard and N Orantin. “Topological expansion of mixed correlations in the Hermitian 2-matrix model and x - y symmetry of the F_g algebraic invariants”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 41.1 (Dec. 2007), p. 015203. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8113/41/1/015203](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/1/015203).
- [EO07b] Bertrand Eynard and Nicolas Orantin. “Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion”. In: *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* 1.2 (2007), pp. 347–452. DOI: [10.4310/CNTP.2007.v1.n2.a4](https://doi.org/10.4310/CNTP.2007.v1.n2.a4).
- [EO15] Bertrand Eynard and Nicolas Orantin. “Computation of open Gromov–Witten invariants for toric Calabi–Yau 3-folds by topological recursion, a proof of the BKMP conjecture”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 337.2 (2015), pp. 483–567. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-015-2361-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2361-5).
- [Eyn04] Bertrand Eynard. “Topological expansion for the 1-Hermitian matrix model correlation functions”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 11.031 (Nov. 2004). ISSN: 1126-6708. DOI: [10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/031](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/031). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0407261>.
- [Eyn14] B. Eynard. *A short overview of the “Topological recursion”*. 2014. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1412.3286](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1412.3286).
- [FLZ17] Bohan Fang, Chiu-Chu Liu, and Zhengyu Zong. “The Eynard–Orantin recursion and equivariant mirror symmetry for the projective line”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 21.4 (May 2017), pp. 2049–2092. DOI: [10.2140/gt.2017.21.2049](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2017.21.2049). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140%5C%2Fgt.2017.21.2049>.
- [FLZ20] Bohan Fang, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, and Zhengyu Zong. “On the Remodeling Conjecture for Toric Calabi–Yau 3-Orbifolds”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 33.1 (2020), pp. 135–222.
- [Gar04] Stavros Garoufalidis. *On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot*. 2004. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.math/0306230](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.math/0306230).
- [GJKS14] Jie Gu, Hans Jockers, Albrecht Klemm, and Masoud Soroush. “Knot Invariants from Topological Recursion on Augmentation Varieties”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 336.2 (Dec. 2014), pp. 987–1051. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-014-2238-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-2238-z).
- [GKLS22] Alessandro Giacchetto, Reinier Kramer, Danilo Lewański, and Adrien Sauvaget. *The Spin Gromov–Witten/Hurwitz correspondence for \mathbb{P}^1* . 2022. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2208.03259](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.03259).
- [GS11] Sergei Gukov and Piotr Sulkowski. “A-polynomial, B-model, and quantization”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 02.070 (Aug. 2011), pp. 1–57. ISSN: 1029-8479. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP02\(2012\)070](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)070). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.0002>.

- [Guk05] Sergei Gukov. “Three-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, Chern-Simons Theory, and the A-Polynomial”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 255.3 (Mar. 2005), pp. 577–627. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-005-1312-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-005-1312-y).
- [Hoc23a] Alexander Hock. x - y duality in Topological Recursion for exponential variables via Quantum Dilogarithm. 2023. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2311.11761](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.11761). arXiv: [2311.11761 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.11761).
- [Hoc23b] Alexander Hock. “A simple formula for the x - y symplectic transformation in topological recursion”. In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 194 (Dec. 2023), p. 105027. ISSN: 0393-0440. DOI: [10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.105027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.105027).
- [Hoc23c] Alexander Hock. “Laplace transform of the x - y symplectic transformation formula in Topological Recursion”. In: *Communications in Number Theory and Physics* 17.4 (2023), pp. 821–845. ISSN: 1931-4531. DOI: [10.4310/CNTP.2023.v17.n4.a1](https://doi.org/10.4310/CNTP.2023.v17.n4.a1).
- [Meh90] Madan Lal Mehta. *Random Matrices*. 2nd. Academic Press, New York, Nov. 1990. DOI: [10.1016/C2009-0-22297-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-22297-5).
- [MM01] Hitoshi Murakami and Jun Murakami. “The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 186 (1 Mar. 2001), pp. 85–186. DOI: [10.1007/BF02392716](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392716).
- [MO20] Olivier Marchal and Nicolas Orantin. “Isomonodromic deformations of a rational differential system and reconstruction with the topological recursion: The sl_2 case”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 61.6 (June 2020). ISSN: 1089-7658. DOI: [10.1063/5.0002260](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0002260).
- [MO22] Olivier Marchal and Nicolas Orantin. “Quantization of hyper-elliptic curves from isomonodromic systems and topological recursion”. In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics*. 171 (2022), Paper No. 104407, 44. DOI: [10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104407](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104407).
- [Nor15] Paul Norbury. *Quantum curves and topological recursion*. Feb. 2015. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1502.04394](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1502.04394).
- [NS14] Paul Norbury and Nick Scott. “Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 and Eynard-Orantin invariants”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 18.4 (Oct. 2014), pp. 1865–1910. DOI: [10.2140/gt.2014.18.1865](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2014.18.1865).
- [Wel24] Quinten Weller. *Functional WKB equations from topological recursion on spectral curves with infinite ramification loci*. In preperation. 2024.
- [Zho12] Jian Zhou. *Quantum Mirror Curves for \mathbb{C}^3 and the Resolved Conifold*. 2012. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1207.0598](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1207.0598).

Email address: qgw1@nyu.edu

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, 726 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10003, USA