

Large Deviations of the Schwarzian Field Theory

Ilya Losev*

June 26, 2024

Abstract

We prove a large deviations principle for the probabilistic Schwarzian Field Theory at low temperatures. We demonstrate that the good rate function is equal to the action of the Schwarzian Field Theory, and we find its minimisers. In addition, we define an analogue of the Hölder condition on the functional space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ in terms of cross-ratio observables, characterise them in terms of the usual Hölder property on the space of continuous functions, and deduce the corresponding compact embedding theorem. We also show that the Schwarzian measure concentrates on functions satisfying the defined condition.

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Introduction

The Schwarzian Field Theory is a quantum field theory, which emerged in physics in the study of low-dimensional quantum gravity models. In the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence it is proposed as a holographic dual of Jackiw–Teitelboim (JT) gravity in the disk [Iliesiu et al., 2019; Maldacena et al., 2016; Saad et al., 2019]. Moreover, the Schwarzian Field Theory also appears in the low energy limit of the Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev (SYK) random matrix model (e.g. see [Maldacena and Stanford, 2016] and [Kitaev and Suh, 2018]), and is connected to 2D Liouville Field Theory, infinite dimensional symplectic geometry, representation theory of the Virasoro algebra, 2D Yang-Mills, and other topics.

In the companion papers [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024; Losev, 2024] we have rigorously constructed a finite measure on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ which corresponds to the Schwarzian Field Theory, derived its partition function (i.e. total mass), and computed correlation functions of cross-ratio observables, for which the corresponding Wilson lines are non-intersecting. In addition, we have shown that the obtained correlation functions characterise the measure uniquely. All these results were obtained using methods of stochastic analysis. Our results agree with the partition function formula derived in [Stanford and Witten, 2017] using the formal application of the Duistermaat–Heckman theorem on the infinite dimensional symplectic space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and the correlation function formulae obtained in [Mertens et al., 2017] using conformal bootstrap and the DOZZ formula in a degenerate limit of 2D Liouville CFT.

In this work we continue studying probabilistic and analytic properties of the Schwarzian measure constructed in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. We prove a large deviations principle, show that the corresponding good rate function is equal to the action of the Schwarzian Field Theory, and find its minimisers. This gives a direct way to relate the Schwarzian measure

*DPMMS, University of Cambridge. E-mail: i1320@cam.ac.uk.

to the action of the theory, which does not appear explicitly in the construction carried out in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. Note that alternatively we can see traces of the action in the corresponding version of Girsanov's Theorem proved in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. However, the action does not appear there explicitly.

One of the tools developed here, which we think is of its own interest, is an analogue of the Hölder condition on the functional space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The difficulty here is that elements of $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ are not functions (but rather just conjugacy classes), for which the notion of a value at a given point is not well-defined. Thus, the usual Hölder condition for continuous functions does not make sense in this case. We formulate a similar property in terms of cross-ratio observables, which are well-defined on the quotient space, and prove that this property coincides with the usual Hölder condition if we fix the gauge (i.e. explicitly choose a representative in each conjugacy class). This, in particular, allows us to prove a compact embedding theorem. As an application of this tool, we show that the Schwarzian measure concentrates on functions satisfying the defined Hölder condition.

Formally, the measure corresponding to the Schwarzian Field Theory is supported on the topological space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and is given by (see [Stanford and Witten, 2017, (1.1)])

$$d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) = \exp \left\{ +\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_0^1 [\mathcal{S}_\phi(\tau) + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau \right\} \frac{\prod_{\tau \in [0,1]} \frac{d\phi(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)}}{\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\phi(\tau)$ is the Schwarzian derivative of ϕ given by

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi(\tau) = \mathcal{S}(\phi, \tau) = \left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

Here, $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]/\{0 \sim 1\}$ is the unit circle, $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ is the space of C^1 orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T} , and $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of Möbius transformations of the unit disk (i.e. conformal isomorphisms of the unit disk) restricted to the boundary which is identified with \mathbb{T} . The group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ by left compositions. Following [Stanford and Witten, 2017] we call it a right action, since in [Stanford and Witten, 2017] it is interpreted as an action on the inverse elements. We denote the quotient of $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ by this action of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Heuristically, the formal density (1) only depends on the orbit of this action and the quotient by $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ therefore makes sense. We recall the rigorous construction of the measure corresponding to the Schwarzian Field Theory carried out in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024] in Section 2.

Using terminology from physics, the expression in the exponential of (1) is equal to minus $1/\sigma^2$ multiplied by the *action*. In other words, the action is formally given by

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = - \int_0^1 [\mathcal{S}_\phi(\tau) + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau. \quad (3)$$

Formally, it plays the defining role in the path integral formulation of the theory, given by (1). However, as it often happens with the probabilistic formulations of quantum field theories in the continuous setting, the action does not appear explicitly in the rigorous construction of the corresponding measure. Instead, it is usually used merely as a guide for the intuition. One of the important questions in these situations is how to explicitly relate the rigorously constructed measure to its path integral formulation and, in particular, its action. For the Schwarzian Theory it is possible to see traces of the action in the corresponding version of Girsanov's Theorem [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. In this work, we show that the action also naturally arises in the large deviations of the measure when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, see Theorem 1. This provides an alternative way to recover the action from the constructed measures, albeit only in the asymptotic regime. Note that large deviations principles can

also be used to read off the action from probability measures in other examples, such as Gaussian path integrals (see, e.g. [Deuschel and Stroock, 2001, Theorem 3.4.12]), 2D Yang-Mills [Lévy and Norris, 2004], and Schramm-Loewner Evolution [Peltola and Wang, 2023; Wang, 2016].

We also study minimisers of the action (3) under various local constraints (Proposition 17). In particular, we show that the global minimiser of (3) is the conjugacy class of the identity diffeomorphism (Theorem 2).

One of the tools that we develop here, in order to prove the large deviations principle, is an analogue of the Hölder condition for the functional space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and apply it to the Schwarzian measure $d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}$. From the construction of \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} we expect that for a typical $\phi \sim d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}$, the function $\log \phi'$ should have the same regularity as Brownian motion. In particular, it should be Hölder with parameter $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. However, we are working on the quotient space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and so ϕ is defined only up to composition with Möbius transformations, and thus the value of $\log \phi'$ is not well-defined. Therefore, the usual Hölder condition does not make sense in this situation. One of the possible solutions is to fix the gauge, i.e. choose a representative in every conjugacy class. In order to fix a representative in every $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ orbit it is sufficient, for example, to fix three values of ϕ and/or ϕ' . This, however, might not look like a natural definition since it is hard to express this condition directly in terms of natural observables on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In this work we reformulate this property in a natural way in terms of cross-ratio observables

$$\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) = \frac{\pi \sqrt{\phi'(t)\phi'(s)}}{\sin(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)])}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (4)$$

which are well-defined on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (see Section 2). More precisely, for a parameter $\alpha > 0$ and a constant $K > 0$ we consider $\phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ for which

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq K d^{\alpha-1}(s, t), \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (5)$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance on the circle \mathbb{T} . We demonstrate that the condition (5) is equivalent, if we fix the gauge, to $\log \phi'$ being Hölder with parameter α , (Theorem 3). Finally, we show that the set of ϕ which do not satisfy (5) has exponentially small \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} measure (Theorem 4).

1.2 Main results

1.2.1 Large deviations principle

The large deviations principle is usually studied in the context of probability measures. In this work, however, we are applying it to measures \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} which are merely finite. The partition function (i.e. total mass of \mathcal{M}_{σ^2}) is equal to

$$\mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sigma^2}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma^2 k^2/2} \sinh(2\pi k) 2k dk, \quad (6)$$

as shown in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. We therefore note that, even though the large deviations principle is usually formulated only for normalised measures, it is also applicable to non-normalised finite measures. Therefore, throughout the paper we apply definitions and classical results from the theory to \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} . Alternatively, one can also consider normalised measures $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2)^{-1} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}$ and apply the usual large deviations principle to them. In our setting these formulations are equivalent, since by (6)

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2) = 2\pi^2, \quad (7)$$

which merely causes a shift of the good rate function by $2\pi^2$. For convenience, however, we state and prove all our results for the unnormalised measure \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} .

First, we define a space $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$, on which the good rate function (or rather its lift along the quotient map $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$) is finite.

Definition 1. Denote $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}) = \{\phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) \mid \log \phi' \in H^1(\mathbb{T})\}$, where $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ is the Sobolev Space on \mathbb{T} . The metric on this space is defined as

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{H}}(\phi, \psi) = \sqrt{\mathbf{d}^2(\phi(0), \psi(0)) + \|\log \phi' - \log \psi'\|_{H^1}^2}. \quad (8)$$

Note that $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ is not a linear space.

Remark 2. This definition is natural, since from the construction in [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024] we expect that if $\phi \sim \mathbf{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}$ then $\log \phi'$ behaves similar to Brownian motion on small scales.

Remark 3. As a metric space $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{T} \times \widetilde{H}^1(\mathbb{T})$, where $\widetilde{H}^1(\mathbb{T})$ is a closed subset of $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ given by $\widetilde{H}^1(\mathbb{T}) = \{f \in H^1(\mathbb{T}) \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{f(t)} dt = 1\}$. This isomorphism is given by

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times \widetilde{H}^1(\mathbb{T}) \quad (9)$$

$$\phi \mapsto (\phi(0), \log \phi'). \quad (10)$$

In particular, $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ is a complete metric space. It is also easy to check that $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ is invariant under the $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ action (although, its metric is not).

Now we define a function, which turns out to be the lift of the good rate function along the quotient map $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4. We define the action by

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\left(\log \phi'(t) \right)' ^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau, & \text{for } \phi \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}); \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Here $(\log \phi'(t))'$ is well-defined as a weak derivative, since for $\phi \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ we have $\log \phi' \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Remark 5. For any $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, since $-\mathcal{S}(\phi, \tau)$ differs from $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)^2$ by a total derivative $\left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)'$, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\mathcal{S}(\phi, \tau) + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau. \quad (13)$$

Remark 6. The action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ with respect to its metric $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{H}}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ also defines a function on the quotient space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ because, by the following Proposition, it is $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant. We denote the corresponding function on the quotient space in the same way.

Proposition 7. The action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is invariant under the action of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, for any $\psi \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and any $\phi \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi \circ \phi) = \mathcal{I}(\phi). \quad (14)$$

We now formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *The family of measures $\{\mathbf{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfies the large deviations principle for $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ with good rate function $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$. In other words, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the level set*

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \mathcal{I}(\phi) \leq \alpha\} \quad (15)$$

is compact, and

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(U) \geq - \inf_{\phi \in U} \mathcal{I}(\phi), \quad \text{if } U \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ is open,} \quad (16)$$

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(V) \leq - \inf_{\phi \in V} \mathcal{I}(\phi), \quad \text{if } V \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ is closed.} \quad (17)$$

Moreover, we find the minimum of the good rate function $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ and find where it is achieved.

Theorem 2. *For any $\phi \in \text{H}(\mathbb{T})$,*

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} \right)^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau \geq -2\pi^2. \quad (18)$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if ϕ is a Möbius transformation of the unit circle.

Remark 8. *The inequality above follows from the formula of partition function and the large deviations principle. However, in this paper we give a more direct prove.*

Recall that the fact that $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a good rate function implies that it is lower semicontinuous. Therefore, combining these two Theorems above we immediately deduce that the measure \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} concentrates around Möbius transformations for small σ .

Corollary 9. *The probability measures $\left\{ \mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2)^{-1} \mathbf{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} \right\}_{\sigma>0}$ converge weakly to the delta measure on the conjugacy class of the identity map as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.*

1.2.2 Hölder condition on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

It is well-known that Hölder spaces play an important role in the analysis of stochastic processes. Here we give a description of an analogue of Hölder property for $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. First, we define it in terms of cross-ratio observables on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by (4) which depend only on the conjugacy class of ϕ , see Section 2. Then we relate our definition to the classical Hölder condition for continuous functions applied to $\log \phi'$ after fixing the gauge.

Definition 10. *For $\alpha > 0$ denote by $\text{Höl}^\alpha(K)$ the set of those $\phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ for which*

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right| \leq K \mathbf{d}^{\alpha-1}(s, t) \quad (19)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Remark 11. *The classical Hölder condition is not $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant and depends on the representative. Condition (19), on the other hand, is written in terms of well-defined observables on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.*

Remark 12. *One can also define a more local version of the Hölder condition. That is, we can consider such ϕ that (19) holds for $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ which are sufficiently close, say $\mathbf{d}(s, t) < \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. However, it follows from Theorem 3 that if such local condition holds for some ϕ , then $\phi \in \text{Höl}^\alpha(K')$ for some $K' = K'(K, \varepsilon)$.*

Denote

$$\widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) : \phi(0) = 0, \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3}, \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{3} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

with the topology induced by $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$. Notice that as a topological space it is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

The following Theorem provides a connection between our definition of $\text{Höl}^\alpha(\cdot)$ and the classical notion of Hölder condition for $\log \phi'$. Informally, we show that these definitions agree if we identify $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 3. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For any $C > 0$ there exists $C' > 0$ such that if $\phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{T} : |\log \phi'(t) - \log \phi'(s)| < C d^\alpha(s, t)$, then $\phi \in \text{Höl}^\alpha(C')$. Furthermore, C' can be chosen so that $C' \rightarrow 0$ if $C \rightarrow 0$.

A converse is also true. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any $C' > 0$ there exists $C'' > 0$ such that if $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right| \leq C' d^{\alpha-1}(s, t) \quad (21)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $d(s, t) < \varepsilon$, then $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $|\log \phi'(t) - \log \phi'(s)| < C'' d^\alpha(s, t)$. Moreover, if $\varepsilon > 1$ (that is, (21) holds for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$) then C'' can be chosen so that $C'' \rightarrow 0$ if $C' \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 13. We can consider alternative ways to fix the gauge (i.e. choose representatives in each conjugacy class). For example, one can fix 3 values of ϕ and/or ϕ' in a way, which differs from (20). This will result in a new space $\widetilde{\widetilde{\text{Diff}}}^1(\mathbb{T})$ (although, it will still be topologically isomorphic to $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$). It is not hard to see that Theorem 3 will still hold with the new $\widetilde{\widetilde{\text{Diff}}}^1(\mathbb{T})$, because usual Hölder conditions on these spaces are equivalent up to a change of the constant.

Remark 14. The main difficulty in Theorem 3 is in the converse statement. Notice that $\log \phi'$ being Hölder is a local condition. However, it follows from (19) only if we impose a global condition by fixing the gauge. Without imposing such a global condition the result fails because we can make the constant in Hölder condition for $\log \phi'$ arbitrarily large by acting with $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, one cannot deduce that $\log \phi'$ is Hölder from (21) by a purely local argument.

Remark 15. The condition that $\log \phi'$ is Hölder under gauge fixing has also been studied in the context of the Weil-Petersson class of diffeomorphisms in [Šarić et al., 2023].

Combining the theorem above with the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem we immediately obtain a compact embedding theorem as a corollary.

Corollary 16. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $K > 0$, the set $\text{Höl}^\alpha(K)$ is compact in the topology of $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We also show that the measures \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} are supported on $\text{Höl}^\alpha(\cdot)$ up to an exponentially small error. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2)$ is the total mass of \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} .

Theorem 4. For any $\alpha \in [1/4, 1/2)$ and any $\Lambda, N > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \Lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\text{Höl}^\alpha(M)) \geq \mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2) - \exp\left(-\frac{N}{\sigma^2}\right). \quad (22)$$

In particular, combination of Corollary 16 with Theorem 4 gives exponential tightness of the family of measures $\left\{ d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} \right\}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0}$.

1.2.3 Minimisation of the action under constraints

We also find the minimizers of the good rate function under certain constraints. Fix $N \geq 2$. Let $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N < t_{N+1} = t_1 + 1$ be points on the circle \mathbb{T} . Also let $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be distinct points on \mathbb{T} lying in the anti-clockwise (i.e. increasing) order, and $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be positive numbers. We study the minimisation problem for action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ on the following set

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) \mid \forall j : \phi(t_j) = p_j, \phi'(t_j) = q_j \right\}. \quad (23)$$

That is, we want to find

$$\psi = \arg \min_{\phi \in \mathbf{A}} \mathcal{I}(\phi). \quad (24)$$

Here, for convenience, we are working on the whole space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ instead of the quotient $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Since imposing 3 constraints fixes representatives in each conjugacy class, this minimisation problem is equivalent to a minimisation problem on the quotient $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, constraints from (23) can be easily rewritten in terms of cross-ratio observables $\mathcal{O}(\phi; t_i, t_j)$, so the minimisation problem (24) can be naturally reformulated as a minimisation problem on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with constraints on the cross-ratio observables.

Notice that since \mathcal{I} , according to Theorem 1, is a good rate function on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the minimum above is indeed achieved. Since the expression $(\log \phi'(t))'^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)$ is local, it is sufficient to optimise ϕ on each of the intervals (t_j, t_{j+1}) separately. Below, we show how to optimise ϕ on (t_1, t_2) .

Proposition 17. *Let $\psi : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow [p_1, p_2]$ be the minimizer of the functional*

$$\phi \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[(\log \phi'(\tau))'^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau \quad (25)$$

on the space $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ under constraints

$$\phi(t_1) = p_1, \quad \phi(t_2) = p_2, \quad (26)$$

$$\phi'(t_1) = q_1, \quad \phi'(t_2) = q_2. \quad (27)$$

Denote

$$\varkappa := \frac{\pi \sqrt{q_1 q_2} (t_2 - t_1)}{\sin(\pi(p_2 - p_1))}. \quad (28)$$

Then for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $ad - bc = 1$ and any $\tau \in [t_1, t_2]$:

1. If $\varkappa > 1$ then

$$\tan(\pi \psi(\tau)) = \frac{a \tan(\lambda \tau) + b}{c \tan(\lambda \tau) + d}, \quad (29)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \pi/(t_2 - t_1))$ is such that

$$\frac{\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}{\sin(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))} = \varkappa. \quad (30)$$

2. If $\varkappa = 1$ then

$$\tan(\pi \psi(\tau)) = \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d}. \quad (31)$$

3. If $\varkappa < 1$ then

$$\tan(\pi \psi(\tau)) = \frac{a \tanh(\lambda \tau) + b}{c \tanh(\lambda \tau) + d}, \quad (32)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is such that

$$\frac{\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}{\sinh(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))} = \varkappa. \quad (33)$$

Remark 18. *It is easy to see that function $x \mapsto \frac{\sin x}{x}$ is a bijection $(0, \pi) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, and $x \mapsto \frac{\sinh x}{x}$ is a bijection $(0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$, so λ from the proposition is always well-defined.*

Remark 19. *It is possible also to compute $\mathcal{I}(\psi)$, but we do not find the exact value to be instructive.*

1.3 Organisation of the paper

In Section 2 we recall the definition of the Schwarzian measure (the measure corresponding to the Schwarzian Field Theory) from [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024], as well as some properties of cross-ratio observables from [Losev, 2024].

In Section 3 we prove the main statements about the action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$. That is, we prove that it is $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant (Proposition 7), and find its global minimiser (Theorem 2).

In Section 4 we prove the equivalence of Hölder conditions on $\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as defined using cross-ratios and by fixing the gauge (Theorem 3).

Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the proof of the large deviations principle for $\{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ (Theorem 1). It is well-known that if an exponentially tight family of measures satisfies a weak large deviations principle with a rate function $I(\cdot)$, then $I(\cdot)$ is a good rate function and the family of measures in question satisfies large deviations principle with a good rate function $I(\cdot)$ (see e.g. [Dembo and Zeitouni, 2009, Lemma 1.2.18]).

In Section 5 we prove that $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a rate function, and that the family of measures $\{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfies weak large deviations principle with the rate function $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$.

In Section 6 we prove exponential estimates and deduce that the measures $\{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ concentrate on $\mathrm{Höl}^\alpha(\cdot)$ up to exponentially small sets when $\alpha < 1/2$ (Theorem 4). In particular, this proves exponential tightness of $\{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ (as a combination of Theorem 4 and Corollary 16). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

1.4 Preliminaries and notations

Throughout the paper we will be using the following notations.

1. The unit circle is denoted by $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]/\{0 \sim 1\}$, the non-negative real numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, and for the open disk in the complex plain of radius r we use $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$. Moreover, for $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ we write $t - s$ for the length of the interval going from s to t in positive direction. In particular, $t - s \in [0, 1)$. We also use $\mathrm{d}(s, t) = \min\{t - s, 1 - (t - s)\}$ for the distance on the circle.
2. We use $\mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{T})$ for the set of oriented C^k -diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T} , i.e. $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{T})$ can be identified with a k -times continuously differentiable function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(\tau + 1) = \phi(\tau) + 1$ and $\phi'(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{T})$ is not a linear space. The topology on $\mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{T})$ is inherited from the natural topology on $C^k(\mathbb{T})$. It turns $\mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{T})$ into a Polish (separable completely metrisable) space as well as a topological group.
3. We will abuse the notation and for $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ denote its conjugacy class in $\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by ϕ as well.
4. Throughout the paper we will be encountering expressions of the form $f(\mathrm{arccosh}[z])$, for various even analytic functions f . Even though $\mathrm{arccosh}[z]$ is not analytic at $z = 0$, the composition $f(\mathrm{arccosh}[z])$ still defines an analytic function around $z = 0$. More precisely, we identify $f(\mathrm{arccosh}[z])$ with $\tilde{f}(\mathrm{arccosh}^2[z])$, where \tilde{f} is an analytic function such that $\tilde{f}(\omega) = f(\sqrt{\omega})$, and $\mathrm{arccosh}^2[z]$ is the analytic function described in Statement below (see [Losev, 2024] for details).

Statement 20 ([Losev, 2024]). *Function $\operatorname{arccosh}^2(z)$ can be analytically continued from $z \in [1, \infty)$ to $z \in \Omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z \geq -1\}$. Moreover, in this continuation $\operatorname{arccosh}^2(z) = -\operatorname{arccos}^2(z)$ for $z \in (-1, 1)$.*

2 Measure construction and observables

2.1 Schwarzian measure

In this subsection we recall the rigorous definition and main properties of the measure corresponding to Schwarzian Field Theory from [Bauerschmidt, Losev, and Wildemann, 2024]. We refer the reader to that paper for proofs and further details.

The definition of the Schwarzian measure is based on the appropriate reparametrisation of unnormalised version of the Brownian bridge measure. This is a finite measure on $C_{0,\text{free}}[0, T] = \{f \in C[0, T] \mid f(0) = 0\}$ formally corresponding to

$$d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{a,T}(\xi) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_0^T \xi'^2(t) dt\right\} \delta(\xi(0)) \delta(\xi(T) - a) \prod_{\tau \in (0, T)} d\xi(\tau). \quad (34)$$

Definition 21. *The unnormalised Brownian bridge measure with variance $\sigma^2 > 0$ is a finite Borel measure $d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{a,T}$ on $C_{0,\text{free}}[0, T]$ such that*

$$\sqrt{2\pi T} \sigma \exp\left\{\frac{a^2}{2T\sigma^2}\right\} d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{a,T}(\xi) \quad (35)$$

is the distribution of a Brownian bridge $(\xi(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ with variance σ^2 and $\xi(0) = 0$, $\xi(T) = a$.

In order to define the Schwarzian measure \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} , we first need to construct a finite measure μ_{σ^2} on $\operatorname{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ which is similar to what is known as the Malliavin–Shavgulidze measure, see [Bogachev, 2010, Section 11.5]. Formally, this measure corresponds to

$$d\mu_{\sigma^2}(\phi) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)}\right)^2 d\tau\right\} \prod_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \frac{d\phi(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)}. \quad (36)$$

We can make sense of this measure by defining it as a push-forward of an unnormalised Brownian bridge on $[0, 1]$ with respect to a suitable change of variables. We define μ_{σ^2} by

$$d\mu_{\sigma^2}(\phi) := d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi) \otimes d\Theta, \quad \text{with } \phi(t) = \Theta + \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(t) \pmod{1}, \text{ for } \Theta \in [0, 1), \quad (37)$$

where $d\Theta$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1)$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi)(t) := \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(t) := \frac{\int_0^t e^{\xi(\tau)} d\tau}{\int_0^1 e^{\xi(\tau)} d\tau}, \quad (38)$$

The variable Θ corresponds to the value of $\phi(0)$. Note that the map $\xi \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\xi)$ is a bijection between $C_{0,\text{free}}[0, 1]$ and $\operatorname{Diff}^1[0, 1]$ with inverse map

$$\mathbb{P}^{-1} : \operatorname{Diff}^1[0, 1] \rightarrow C_{0,\text{free}}[0, 1] \quad (39)$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \log \varphi'(\cdot) - \log \varphi'(0). \quad (40)$$

In view of (1) and (36), the unquotiented Schwarzian measure is defined by

$$d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) = \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^1 \phi'^2(\tau) d\tau \right\} d\mu_{\sigma^2}(\phi). \quad (41)$$

Since μ_{σ^2} is supported on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$, this defines a Borel measure on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$, which is invariant under the action of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 22. *The measure $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}$ is invariant under the right action of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, for any $\psi \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and Borel $A \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}(\psi \circ A) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}(A), \quad (42)$$

where $\psi \circ A := \{\psi \circ \phi \mid \phi \in A\}$.

In particular, we remark that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}$ is an infinite measure since $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ has infinite Haar measure. The Schwarzian measure on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with formal density (1) is defined as the quotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}$ by $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, see Proposition 23 and Definition 24 below.

Proposition 23. *There exists a unique Borel measure \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any non-negative continuous functional $F: \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\int_{\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})} d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) F(\phi) = \int_{\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}([\phi]) \int_{\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})} d\nu_H(\psi) F(\psi \circ \phi), \quad (43)$$

where the right hand side is well-defined, since the second integral only depends on $[\phi]$.

Definition 24. *The Schwarzian measure is given by \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} .*

This measure is finite and, moreover, its total mass can be computed explicitly.

Proposition 25. *The partition function (i.e. total mass) of \mathcal{M}_{σ^2} is given by*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sigma^2} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma^2} \right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma^2 k^2 / 2} \sinh(2\pi k) 2k dk. \quad (44)$$

2.2 Observables

Here we recall the main properties of cross-ratio observables obtained in [Losev, 2024].

The natural observables on the space $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ are given by cross-ratios (4), since they depend only on the conjugacy class under $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ action.

Proposition 26. *Observables $\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)$ are invariant under the action of Möbius transformations. In other words, if $\psi \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\mathcal{O}(\psi \circ \phi; s, t) = \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t). \quad (45)$$

In particular, they induce well-defined observables on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, which we, slightly abusing the notation, also denote by $\mathcal{O}(\phi; \cdot, \cdot)$.

Importantly, it is possible to compute correlation functions of cross-ratios [Losev, 2024]. Here we will only need to know their moments.

Definition 27. *For all $l, k, w \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\Gamma(l \pm ik \pm iw)$ as*

$$\Gamma(l \pm ik \pm iw) := \Gamma(l + ik + iw)\Gamma(l + ik - iw)\Gamma(l - ik + iw)\Gamma(l - ik - iw). \quad (46)$$

Proposition 28. *Moments of cross-ratio observables for positive integers l are given by*

$$\int \mathcal{O}^l(\phi; s, t) d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)^l \times \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 - \frac{(1-(t-s))\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2\right) \sinh(2\pi k_1) 2k_1 \sinh(2\pi k_2) 2k_2 dk_1 dk_2. \quad (47)$$

Using this, it is possible to show that cross-ratios have finite exponential moments.

Proposition 29. *For any $\sigma > 0$ and any $s \neq t \in \mathbb{T}$,*

$$\int \exp\left\{\frac{8}{\sigma^2} \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)\right\} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) < \infty. \quad (48)$$

In this work we give a sharper estimate for the exponential moments in the case when s and t are close, see Proposition 34. The main tool for estimating exponential moments is the following identity.

Proposition 30. *For any $\beta, k \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $z \in \mathbb{D}_2$ we have*

$$\cos\left(2k \cdot \operatorname{arccosh}[\cosh(\beta/2) - z]\right) = \cos(k\beta) + 2k \sinh(2\pi k) \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=1}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik \pm iw)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \frac{(2z)^l}{l!} \cos(w\beta) dw, \quad (49)$$

where the right-hand side converges absolutely.

Remark 31. *The right-hand side above can also be written as*

$$2k \sinh(2\pi k) \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik \pm iw)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \frac{(2z)^l}{l!} \cos(w\beta) dw, \quad (50)$$

if we interpret $l = 0$ term as delta function $\delta(\omega - k)$.

3 Action functional $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$

In this Section we prove statements concerning the action functional $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$. That is, here we show that it is $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant (Proposition 7) and find its global minimisers (Theorem 2).

Proof of Proposition 7. For $\phi \in \operatorname{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \int_0^1 \left[-\mathcal{S}(\phi, \tau) - 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)\right] d\tau = -\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}(\tan(\pi \phi), \tau) d\tau. \quad (51)$$

Also, for any $\psi \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tan\left(\pi \psi\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan(x)\right)\right) = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}, \quad \text{for some } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } ad - bc = 1. \quad (52)$$

It is well-known that the Schwarzian derivative \mathcal{S} is invariant under transformations of the form (52). Therefore, by Remark 5, for any $\phi \in \operatorname{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi \circ \phi) = \mathcal{I}(\phi). \quad (53)$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$, and that the map $\phi \mapsto \psi \circ \phi$ is continuous as a map $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$. Thus, using continuity of $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ on $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ we deduce that $\mathcal{I}(\phi)$ is $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. First, we prove the inequality.

Observe that it is sufficient to prove the inequality for $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, since $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$. Notice that,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(\log \phi'(\tau))'^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau = - \int_0^1 [\mathcal{S}\{\phi, \tau\} + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau. \quad (54)$$

Using the fact that for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{S}\{\cot(\pi(\tau - b)), \tau\} = 2\pi^2$ and the well-known composition rule

$$\mathcal{S}_{f \circ g} = (\mathcal{S}_f \circ g) \cdot (g')^2 + \mathcal{S}_g, \quad \forall f, g \in C^3, \quad (55)$$

it is easy to see that for any $a \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\mathcal{S}\{\phi, \tau\} + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) = \mathcal{S}\left\{\cot\left(\pi[\phi(\tau) - \phi(a)]\right), \tau\right\}. \quad (56)$$

Denote

$$f_a(t) = \cot\left(\pi[\phi(t+a) - \phi(a)]\right); \quad (57)$$

$$q(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S}\{\phi, \tau\} + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)). \quad (58)$$

It follows from (56) that

$$q(t+a) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}\{f_a, t\}. \quad (59)$$

It is easy to see that if $v(t) = f_a'(t)^{-1/2}$, then

$$v''(t) - q(a+t)v(t) = 0. \quad (60)$$

Observe that $v(\tau)$ is a solution for the Sturm-Liouville eigenvector problem

$$-F''(t) + q(t+a)F(t) = \lambda F(t), \quad F(0) = F(1) = 0, \quad (61)$$

with $\lambda = 0$. Moreover, since $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, we deduce that v is the lowest eigenvector for the Sturm-Liouville eigenvector problem (61) (see, e.g. [Hartman, 2002, Chapter XI, Theorem 4.1]). Therefore, by the Rayleigh principle (see, e.g. [Weinberger, 1965, Chapter VII]),

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in C^\infty, u \neq 0 \\ u(0)=u(1)=0}} \frac{\int_0^1 (u'^2(t) + q(t+a)u^2(t)) dt}{\int_0^1 u^2(t) dt} = 0. \quad (62)$$

In particular, for $u(t) = \sin(\pi t)$ we obtain

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2} + \int_0^1 q(t+a) \sin^2(\pi t) dt \geq 0. \quad (63)$$

Integrating over $a \in [0, 1)$, we get

$$\int_0^1 q(t) dt \geq -\pi^2. \quad (64)$$

It follows from (54), (58), and (64) that the inequality (18) holds.

Secondly, we prove that the equality holds only for Möbius transformations. Let $\phi \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$ be such that $\mathcal{I}(\phi) = -2\pi^2$. We start by showing that $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Denote $f(t) = \log \phi'(t) - \log \phi'(0) \in C_0[0, 1] \cap H^1[0, 1]$. We get that

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[f'^2(\tau) - 4\pi^2 \frac{e^{2f(\tau)}}{\int_0^1 e^{f(t)} dt} \right] d\tau. \quad (65)$$

The right-hand side is invariant under additions of constants to f , so we deduce that it obtains its maximum over $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ at f . Therefore, its functional derivative is equal to 0. Thus, for any $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\int_0^1 f'(\tau)g'(\tau) d\tau = 4\pi^2 \frac{\int_0^1 e^{2f(\tau)}g(\tau) d\tau}{\int_0^1 e^{f(\tau)} d\tau} - 2\pi^2 \left(\int_0^1 e^{f(\tau)}g(\tau) d\tau \right) \cdot \frac{\int_0^1 e^{2f(\tau)} d\tau}{\left(\int_0^1 e^{f(\tau)} d\tau \right)^2}. \quad (66)$$

So, we get that f'' exists as a weak derivative, and

$$f''(t) = -4\pi^2 \frac{e^{2f(t)}}{\int_0^1 e^{f(\tau)} d\tau} + 2\pi^2 e^{f(t)} \frac{\int_0^1 e^{2f(\tau)} d\tau}{\left(\int_0^1 e^{f(\tau)} d\tau \right)^2}. \quad (67)$$

Since the right-hand side is a continuous function, we deduce that f is twice continuously differentiable. Differentiating the equation above we get that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore, $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

Now, since $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we can repeat the argument from the first part of the proof. The equality in (64) is obtained only if for almost all $a \in \mathbb{T}$, $\sin(\pi t)$ is an eigenfunction of (61) with $\lambda = 0$. Thus, for all $a \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists $C_a \neq 0$ such that $v_a(t) = C_a \sin(\pi t)$, which means that for some C'_a ,

$$f_a(t) = C_a \cot(\pi t) + C'_a, \quad (68)$$

which holds only when ϕ is a Möbius transformations of the unit disc. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Direct statement.

Notice that because of rotational invariance it is sufficient to prove (19) only when $t - s \leq 1/2$. In this proof C_1, C_2, \dots denote constants, that depend only on C , and they all go to 0 as $C \rightarrow 0$. Observe that

$$\left| \frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi'(s)} - 1 \right| \leq C_1 |t - s|^\alpha \quad (69)$$

and, in particular,

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{T} : (1 + C_2)^{-1} < \phi'(\tau) < 1 + C_2. \quad (70)$$

Integrating the inequality above we obtain

$$|\phi(t) - \phi(s) - (t - s)\phi'(s)| \leq C_3 |t - s|^{\alpha+1}. \quad (71)$$

Therefore, it is not hard to show that

$$\left| \sin^2(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)]) - \phi'^2(s) \sin^2(\pi[t - s]) \right| \leq C_4 |t - s|^{\alpha+2}. \quad (72)$$

Indeed, from (71)

$$\left| \sin(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)]) - \sin(\phi'(s)\pi[t - s]) \right| \leq C_5 |t - s|^{\alpha+1} \quad (73)$$

and from (70) and Statement 41 we get

$$\left| \sin(\phi'(s)\pi[t - s]) - \phi'(s) \sin(\pi[t - s]) \right| \leq C_6 |t - s|^2, \quad (74)$$

which implies (72).

Also, from (69) and (70) we get

$$|\phi'(t)\phi'(s) - \phi'^2(s)| \leq C_7|t - s|^\alpha. \quad (75)$$

Combining (72) and (75) we deduce that if $|t - s| < \frac{1}{100}(1 + C_2)^{-2/\alpha} C_4^{-1/\alpha}$, then

$$\left| \frac{\phi'(s)\phi'(t)}{\sin^2(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)])} - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq C_8|t - s|^{\alpha-2}. \quad (76)$$

If C is small enough, we get that $\frac{1}{100}(1 + C_2)^{-2/\alpha} C_4^{-1/\alpha} > 1$, and thus, the inequality above holds for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Otherwise, it follows from (72) and monotonicity of ϕ that $C_9^{-1} < \sin^2(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)]) < C_9$ whenever $d(s, t) \geq \frac{1}{1000}(1 + C_2)^{-2/\alpha} C_4^{-1/\alpha}$. Therefore, by compactness argument and (70) we get that

$$\left| \frac{\phi'(s)\phi'(t)}{\sin^2(\pi[\phi(t) - \phi(s)])} - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq C_{10}|t - s|^{\alpha-2} \quad (77)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $d(s, t) \geq \frac{1}{1000}(1 + C_2)^{-2/\alpha} C_4^{-1/\alpha}$, which finishes the proof.

Converse statement.

We let $\delta \in (0, 1/100)$ be a sufficiently small number to be chosen later. We consider two cases: in the first case $\varepsilon > 1$ and $C' < \delta$, in the second case either $\varepsilon \leq 1$ or $C' \geq \delta$. In this proof C'_1, C'_2, \dots denote constants, that depend only on C' . Moreover, in the first case they all go to 0 as $C' \rightarrow 0$.

Case 1: $\varepsilon > 1$ and $C' < \delta$.

For every $s \in \mathbb{T}$ we let $\Psi_s \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a Möbius transformation of a unit disc such that

$$\Psi_s \circ \phi(s) = 0, \quad (\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(s) = 1, \quad \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (78)$$

We start by proving Hölder estimate for $\Psi_s \circ \phi$ when one of the points in question is equal to s . That is, we are going to prove that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\left| \log [(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t)] - \log [(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(s)] \right| \leq C'_1 d^\alpha(s, t) \quad (79)$$

Notice, that by symmetry it is sufficient to prove (79) for $t \in [s, s + \frac{1}{2}]$. Using (21) and (78) we get that for all $t \in [s, s + \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\left| \frac{(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t)}{\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(t))} - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq C' |t - s|^{\alpha-2}. \quad (80)$$

Denote $F_s(\tau) = \tan(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau))$. Then, for all $\tau \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{F'_s(\tau)}{F_s^2(\tau)} - \frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi\tau)} \right| \leq C'_2 \tau^{\alpha-2}. \quad (81)$$

Integrating this from x to $\frac{1}{2}$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{F_s(x)} - \cot(\pi x) \right| \leq C'_3 x^{\alpha-1}, \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1/2). \quad (82)$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{F_s^2(x)} - \cot^2(\pi x) \right| \leq C'_4 x^{\alpha-2}. \quad (83)$$

Notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau))} = 1 + \frac{1}{F_s^2(\tau)}. \quad (84)$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau))} - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi \tau)} \right| \leq C'_5 \tau^{\alpha-2}. \quad (85)$$

For sufficiently small δ we have $C'_5 < 1/100$ and hence,

$$\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau)) \leq C'_6 \tau^2 \quad (86)$$

and

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau))}{\sin^2(\pi \tau)} \right| \leq C'_7 \tau^\alpha. \quad (87)$$

Combining this with (80) we get

$$\left| (\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(s + \tau) - 1 \right| \leq C'_8 \tau^\alpha, \quad (88)$$

which implies (79).

The inequality above also implies that for all $0 \leq u \leq v \leq 1$,

$$|\Psi_s \circ \phi(v) - \Psi_s \circ \phi(u) - (v - u)| \leq C'_9 |v - u|. \quad (89)$$

In particular,

$$\left| \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \Psi_s \circ \phi(0) - \frac{1}{3} \right| \leq C'_{10}, \quad (90)$$

$$\left| \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right| \leq C'_{10}, \quad (91)$$

$$\left| \Psi_s \circ \phi(1) - \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right| \leq C'_{10}. \quad (92)$$

Using Statement 42, we deduce that for all $u, v \in [0, 1]$,

$$\left| \log \left[\Psi_s^{(-1)} \right]'(u) - \log \left[\Psi_s^{(-1)} \right]'(v) \right| \leq C'_{11} \mathbf{d}(u, v) \quad (93)$$

where $\Psi_s^{(-1)}$ is the inverse to Ψ_s . Writing

$$\log \phi'(t) = \log \left(\left[\Psi_s^{(-1)} \right]'(\Psi_s \circ \phi(t)) \right) + \log \left((\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t) \right), \quad (94)$$

and combining this with (79), (89) and (93) we obtain the desired.

Case 2: Either $\varepsilon < 1$ or $C' \geq \delta$.

This Case is similar to the Case 1. Essentially, we cut the whole circle into many small subintervals and then we apply an argument from Case 1 to each subinterval, proving a version of "local" Hölder estimate. Then we show that the global estimates follow from the local estimates.

First, we divide the circle into N equal intervals with $N = 10(1 + C')^{1/\alpha} \varepsilon^{-1/\alpha}$. Let $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_N = 1$ be their endpoints (so that $\forall i : s_{i+1} - s_i = 1/N$). In what follows we always assume that indices are elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(N\mathbb{Z})$ (that is, for indices $i : N + i = i$).

Notice that if for some k we have $s, t \in [s_{k-2}, s_{k+2}]$, then from (21) we get

$$\frac{1}{2 \sin(\pi[t-s])} < \frac{\phi'(s)\phi'(t)}{\sin^2(\pi[\phi(t)-\phi(s)])} < \frac{3}{2 \sin(\pi[t-s])}. \quad (95)$$

Now we consider a set of different gauge fixings. Let $\{\psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ be Möbius transformations such that for all k :

$$\psi_k(\phi(s_i)) = s_i, \quad \text{for } i \in \{k-1, k, k+1\}. \quad (96)$$

We proceed in several steps.

Step 1. We show that $\forall k < N$ and $t \in [s_{k-2}, s_{k+2}]$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C'_1} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(t) < C'_1. \quad (97)$$

Indeed, from (95) and (96) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{C'_2} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_{k-1})(\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_k) < C'_2, \quad (98)$$

$$\frac{1}{C'_2} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_{k-1})(\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_{k+1}) < C'_2, \quad (99)$$

$$\frac{1}{C'_2} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_k)(\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_{k+1}) < C'_2. \quad (100)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{C'_3} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(s_j) < C'_3, \quad \text{for } j \in \{k-1, k, k+1\}. \quad (101)$$

Observe that for any $t \in [s_{k-1}, s_k]$ we can set $s = s_{k+1}$ in (95) and using (101) get that

$$\frac{1}{C'_4} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(t) < C'_4. \quad (102)$$

Similarly, we obtain the same estimate for $t \in [s_k, s_{k+1}]$ if we take $s = s_{k-1}$, and for $t \in [s_{k-2}, s_{k-1}] \cup [s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}]$ if we take $s = s_k$. This concludes Step 1.

Step 2. We show that all diffeomorphisms $\{\psi_k \circ \phi\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ are not too far from ϕ . That is, there exists a compact $K_1 = K_1(C') \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, depending only on C' such that $\forall k : \psi_k \in K_1$. In particular, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C'_5} < \phi'(t) < C'_5. \quad (103)$$

Indeed, notice that (103) follows from the existence of K_1 and Step 1. Now we prove the existence of such K_1 .

From Step 1 it follows that for any k ,

$$\frac{1}{C'_6} |s_{k+2} - s_{k+1}| < \left| \psi_k \circ \phi(s_{k+2}) - \psi_k \circ \phi(s_{k+1}) \right| < C'_6 |s_{k+2} - s_{k+1}|. \quad (104)$$

Thus, there exists a compact $K_2 = K_2(C') \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that for all k , $\psi_{k+1} \circ \psi_k^{-1} \in K_2$. Combining this with Step 1 again we obtain that for all k and all $t \in \mathbb{T}$:

$$\frac{1}{C'_7} < (\psi_k \circ \phi)'(t) < C'_7. \quad (105)$$

Therefore, for all k we have

$$\frac{1}{C'_8} < \psi_k \circ \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \psi_k \circ \phi(0) < C'_8 \quad (106)$$

$$\frac{1}{C'_8} < \psi_k \circ \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \psi_k \circ \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) < C'_8 \quad (107)$$

$$\frac{1}{C'_8} < \psi_k \circ \phi(1) - \psi_k \circ \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) < C'_8. \quad (108)$$

Which concludes this Step.

Step 3. For each $s \in \mathbb{T}$ we let Ψ_s be Möbius transformation of the unit circle such that

$$\Psi_s \circ \phi(s) = 0, \quad (\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(s) = 1, \quad \Psi_s \circ \phi\left(s + \frac{1}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{N}. \quad (109)$$

We show that there exists a compact $K_3 = K_3(C') \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Psi_s \in K_3$. In particular, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C'_9} < (\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t) < C'_9. \quad (110)$$

Indeed, fix $s \in \mathbb{T}$. Let k be such that $s \in [s_{k-1}, s_k]$. From Step 2, it is sufficient to show that there exists a compact $K_4(C') \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Psi_s \circ \psi_k^{-1} \in K_4$. This follows from Step 1. And (110) now follows from (103).

Step 4. Now we show that there exists C'_{10} and $\delta' = \delta'(C') > 0$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\forall t \in [s, s + \delta']$,

$$\left| \log [(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t)] - \log [(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(s)] \right| \leq C'_{10} |t - s|^\alpha. \quad (111)$$

It follows from (21) that $\forall t \in [s, s + \frac{1}{N}]$ we have

$$\left| \frac{(\Psi_s \circ \phi)'(t)}{\sin^2(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(t))} - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq C' |t - s|^{\alpha-2} \quad (112)$$

Denote $F_s(\tau) = \tan(\pi \Psi_s \circ \phi(s + \tau))$. Then it follows from the inequality above that for all $\tau \in [0, 1/N]$,

$$\left| \frac{F'_s(\tau)}{F_s^2(\tau)} - \frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi\tau)} \right| \leq C'_{11} \tau^{\alpha-2}. \quad (113)$$

Integrating this from x to $1/N$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{F_s(x)} - \cot(\pi x) \right| \leq C'_{12} x^{\alpha-1}, \quad (114)$$

for any $x \in (0, 1/N)$.

Notice that if δ' is sufficiently small then for any $x \in (0, \delta')$ we get $x^\alpha C'_{12} < 1/100$, and thus,

$$F_s(x) < C'_{13} x, \quad (115)$$

so

$$|1 - F_s(x) \cot(\pi x)| \leq C'_{14} x^\alpha. \quad (116)$$

Therefore, combining this with (115) again,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{F_s(x)}{\sin(\pi x)} \right| \leq C'_{15} x^\alpha \quad (117)$$

for all $x \in (0, \delta')$. Combining this with (113) and (115) we obtain

$$|F'_s(\tau) - \pi| \leq C'_{16}\tau^\alpha \quad (118)$$

for all $\tau \in [0, \delta']$. Therefore, using (110) for $\tau \in [0, \delta']$ we get

$$|\log F'_s(\tau) - \log F'_s(0)| \leq C'_{17}\tau^\alpha, \quad (119)$$

which together with (110) implies (111).

Step 5. Combination of (111) with Step 3 finishes the proof of the Theorem.

5 Weak Large Deviations Principle

First, we show that $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a rate function.

Proposition 32. *Functional $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a rate function. That is, $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is lower semi-continuous on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the level set*

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \mathcal{I}(\phi) \leq \alpha\} \quad (120)$$

is closed.

Proof. The fact that sets $\Psi(\alpha)$ are closed follows from the continuity of $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi'^2(\tau) d\tau$ on $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f'(\tau)|^2 d\tau$ is lower semicontinuous on $C[0, 1]$ (see, e.g. [Dembo and Zeitouni, 2009, Theorem 5.2.3]) applied to $f = \log \phi'$. \square

Secondly, we prove the weak large deviations principle. It differs from the large deviations principle only in that the upper bound holds only for compact sets, instead of closed sets.

Proposition 33. *The family of measures $\{\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfies weak large deviations principle with rate function $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. In other words, the following holds:*

1. *For any open set $U \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(U) \geq - \inf_{x \in U} \mathcal{I}(x). \quad (121)$$

2. *For any compact set $V \subset \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(V) \leq - \inf_{x \in V} \mathcal{I}(x). \quad (122)$$

Proof. The action $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a rate function by Proposition 33, so it is sufficient to give bounds on the measure.

Notice that $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ is topologically isomorphic to $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T}) \times \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Throughout this proof we slightly abuse the notation and identify this two spaces.

Firstly, we prove the lower bound. Let $U \subset \widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T})$ be an open set. Fix an open set $A \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with Haar measure equal to 1. Then from (41), (37) and Proposition 23 applied to indicator function of $U \times A$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(U) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{P}^{-1}(U \times A)} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^1 [\mathbb{P}'_\xi(\tau)]^2 d\tau \right\} d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi) \quad (123)$$

Define

$$F(\xi) = \begin{cases} 2\pi^2 \int_0^1 [\mathbb{P}'_\xi(\tau)]^2 d\tau, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{P}^{-1}(U \times A) \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (124)$$

Observe that since both U and A are open, F is lower semicontinuous. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(U) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{C[0,1]} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} F(\xi) \right\} d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi) \quad (125)$$

Notice that $d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi)$ satisfies Large Deviations Principle with good rate function $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\xi'(\tau)|^2 d\tau$ (see, e.g. [Deuschel and Stroock, 2001, Theorem 3.4.12]). Then, using [Dembo and Zeitouni, 2009, Lemma 4.3.4],

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(U) \geq - \inf_{\xi \in \mathbf{P}^{-1}(U \times A)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\xi'(\tau)|^2 d\tau - F(\xi) \right). \quad (126)$$

The claim now follows from Proposition 7 and the fact that

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left| (\mathbf{P}^{-1}\phi)'(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau - F(\mathbf{P}^{-1}\phi), \quad (127)$$

for $\phi \in U \times A$.

Finally, we prove the upper bound. The proof is analogous to the lower bound. Let $V \subset \widetilde{\text{Diff}}^1(\mathbb{T})$ be a compact set. Fix a compact set $A \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with Haar measure equal to 1. Then from (41), (37) and Proposition 23 applied to indicator function of $V \times A$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{\mathbf{P}^{-1}(V \times A)} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^1 [\mathbf{P}'_{\xi}(\tau)]^2 d\tau \right\} d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi) \quad (128)$$

Define

$$F(\xi) = \begin{cases} 2\pi^2 \int_0^1 [\mathbf{P}'_{\xi}(\tau)]^2 d\tau, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbf{P}^{-1}(V \times A) \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (129)$$

Observe that since both V and A are closed, F is upper semicontinuous. Moreover, since both \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P}^{-1} are continuous and both V and A are compact, we get that $F(\xi)$ is bounded from above. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{C[0,1]} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} F(\xi) \right\} d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi) \quad (130)$$

We know that $d\mathcal{B}_{\sigma^2}^{0,1}(\xi)$ satisfies Large Deviations Principle with good rate function $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\xi'(\tau)|^2 d\tau$. Therefore, using [Dembo and Zeitouni, 2009, Lemma 4.3.6],

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \log \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(V) \leq - \inf_{\xi \in \mathbf{P}^{-1}(V \times A)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\xi'(\tau)|^2 d\tau - F(\xi) \right). \quad (131)$$

The statement now follows from Proposition 7 and (127). □

6 Exponential estimates

The main goal of this subsection is to prove Theorem 4.

6.1 Exponential moments

First, we estimate exponential moments of the observables which appear in the definition of our Hölder condition. This estimate is sharper than the one in [Losev, 2024] when s is close to t . We also use this estimate to prove an exponential tale estimate.

Proposition 34. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\sigma > 0$, any $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $t - s \leq 1/2$, and any $z \in [-C^{-1}, C^{-1}]$ we have*

$$\int \exp \left\{ \frac{z\sqrt{(t-s)}}{\sigma^2} \left(\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{1}{(t-s)} \right) \right\} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) < C \exp \left(\frac{C}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (132)$$

Proof. Using Proposition 25 and Proposition 28 we can express exponential moments for of $\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \int \exp \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{\sigma^2} \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) \right\} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2 \right) \sinh(2\pi k_2) 2k_2 dk_2 \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^l}{l!} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{(t-s)\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 - \frac{(1-(t-s))\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \sinh(2\pi k_1) 2k_1 \sinh(2\pi k_2) 2k_2 dk_1 dk_2, \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

for $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$. Here, the right-hand side converges absolutely, because it is dominated by the same expression with $\lambda = 1$, which converges because all terms are positive and exponential moments of $\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)$ exist (see Proposition 29).

Because of rotational invariance in the argument we can assume that $s = 0$.

First, we give an upper bound for the integral over k_1 . It follows from Proposition 30 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^l}{l!} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 \right\} \sinh(2\pi k_1) 2k_1 dk_1 \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 \right\} \left(\cos \left(2k_1 \operatorname{arccosh} \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right) - \cos(k_1\beta) \right) \cos(k_2\beta) d\beta dk_1. \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

Notice that by Statement 40

$$\left| \cos \left(2k_1 \operatorname{arccosh} \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right) - \cos(k_1\beta) \right| = O \left(e^{10k_1 - \beta/10} \right), \quad (135)$$

and so the integral in the right-hand side of (134) converges absolutely. We calculate the integral in k_1 first,

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 \right\} \cos(k_1\beta) dk_1 \cos(k_2\beta) d\beta = \exp \left\{ -\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2 \right\}, \quad (136)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2 \right\} \cos \left(2k_1 \operatorname{arccosh} \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right) dk_1 \cos(k_2\beta) d\beta \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2}{t\sigma^2} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right\} \cos(k_2\beta) d\beta \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2}{t\sigma^2} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right\} d\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

Using Statement 40 we get that for some $C_1 > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2}{t\sigma^2} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right\} d\beta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta^2}{2t\sigma^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{t\sigma^2} + \frac{C_1|\lambda|(\beta^2 + |\lambda|)}{2t\sigma^2} \right\} d\beta. \quad (138)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{-\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2\right\} + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{2} \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^l}{l!} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{t\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_1^2\right\} \sinh(2\pi k_1) 2k_1 dk_1 \\ \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t \sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta^2}{2t\sigma^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{t\sigma^2} + \frac{C_1|\lambda|(\beta^2 + |\lambda|)}{2t\sigma^2}\right\} d\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

Hence, taking $\lambda = z\sqrt{t}/2$ we obtain that for some $C_2 > 0$ and for all $z \in [-C_1^{-1}, C_1^{-1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \exp\left\{\frac{z\sqrt{t}}{\sigma^2} \left(\mathcal{O}(\phi; 0, t) - \frac{1}{t}\right)\right\} d\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\phi) \\ \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t \sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta^2}{2t\sigma^2} + \frac{C_1 z^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{C_1 z \beta^2}{4\sqrt{t}\sigma^2}\right\} \exp\left(-\frac{(1-t)\sigma^2}{2} \cdot k_2^2\right) \sinh(2\pi k_2) 2k_2 dk_2 d\beta \\ \leq C_2 \exp\left(\frac{C_2 z^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2/2), \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

which, together with the explicit formula for $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2/2)$ in Proposition 25 finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 35. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $M, \varepsilon > 0, \sigma > 0$, and $s \neq t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} \left(\phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right| > M d^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(s, t) \right) \\ < C \exp\left(\frac{C - C^{-1} M d^{-\varepsilon}(s, t)}{\sigma^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 34, the fact that for some $C' > 0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} - \frac{1}{t-s} \right| \leq C', \quad (142)$$

and Markov's Inequality. \square

6.2 Interpolation

We start by proving two lemmas which allow us to relate observables calculated at different points to each other.

Lemma 36. *Let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$ be distinct points that lie on the circle either in clockwise or anti-clockwise order. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_3)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_2, \tau_4)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_3, \tau_4)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_4)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_2, \tau_3)} \quad (143)$$

Proof. Notice that if u, v, w lie on \mathbb{T} in anti-clockwise order then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; u, v)\mathcal{O}(\phi; u, w)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; v, w)} &= \frac{\pi\phi'(u) \sin(\pi[\phi(w) - \phi(v)])}{\sin(\pi[\phi(v) - \phi(u)]) \sin(\pi[\phi(w) - \phi(u)])} \\ &= \pi\phi'(u) \left(\cot(\pi[\phi(v) - \phi(u)]) - \cot(\pi[\phi(w) - \phi(u)]) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_3)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_2, \tau_3)} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_4)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_3)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_3, \tau_4)} = \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_1, \tau_4)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; \tau_2, \tau_4)}, \quad (145)$$

which is equivalent to (143). \square

Lemma 37. *Assume that s, s_1, t_1, t, t_2 are points that lie on the circle either in clockwise or anti-clockwise order. Then*

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_1)} < \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) < \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2)}. \quad (146)$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 36 that

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)} = \mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, s_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; t, t_2)} \right) > \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)}, \quad (147)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)} = \mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_1) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, s_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; t_1, t)} \right) < \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_1)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)}, \quad (148)$$

which finishes the proof. \square

6.3 Exponential concentration

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $D_n = \{k/2^n : k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^n - 1\}\}$.

Let $K > 1$ be a large number to be chosen later, and denote $R = 10^{10}K$. Let $\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{A}_n(K)$ be an event

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \left\{ \phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \left| \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] - \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right] \right| < K d^\alpha(s, t), \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } s, t \in D_n \text{ such that } d(s, t) \leq 20 \cdot 2^{-n/2} \right\}. \quad (149)$$

Step 1. We start by giving a lower bound for $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\cap_{n=R}^\infty \mathbf{A}_n)$. Denote

$$\mathbf{B}_n = \left\{ \phi \in \text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right| < \frac{1}{10} K d^{\alpha-1}(s, t), \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } s, t \in D_n \text{ such that } d(s, t) \leq 20 \cdot 2^{-n/2} \right\}. \quad (150)$$

It follows from the inequalities $\forall x \in (-1/2, 1/2) : |\log(1+x)| \leq 10|x|$ and $|\sin(x)| \leq |x|$ that for $n \geq R$, we have $\mathbf{B}_n \subset \mathbf{A}_n$. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 35 that for some $C > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{B}_n) \geq 1 - C 2^{3n/2} \exp \left(- \frac{C - C^{-1} K 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)n/2}}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (151)$$

Since $\alpha < 1/2$, we deduce that for any $N > 0$ we can find $K > 1$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \Lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} \left(\bigcap_{n=R}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n \right) \geq \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} \left(\bigcap_{n=R}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_n \right) \geq \mathcal{Z}(\sigma^2) - \exp \left(-\frac{N}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (152)$$

Step 2. Secondly, we show that if $\phi \in \bigcap_{n=R}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n$, then for any $m \geq n \geq R$, any $s \in D_n$ and any $t \in D_m$ with $d(s, t) \leq 10 \cdot 2^{-n}$ we have

$$\left| \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] - \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right] \right| < 10^6 K d^\alpha(s, t). \quad (153)$$

We prove this by reverse induction on n . We also assume that $t \notin D_{m-1}$.

Base Case: $n = m$. This follows from the fact that $\phi \in \mathbf{A}_m$

Induction Step: $n + 1 \mapsto n$.

If $d(s, t) \leq 10 \cdot 2^{-n-1}$, then we just apply the induction hypothesis. Therefore, now we can assume $d(s, t) > 10 \cdot 2^{-n-1}$.

Let $s_1, s_2 \in D_{n+1}$ be two consecutive points in D_{n+1} such that t lies on the dyadic interval of size 2^{-n-1} between them. We assume that $d(s_1, t) \geq d(s_2, t)$. In particular, $2^{-n-2} \leq d(s_1, t) \leq 2^{-n-1}$.

If $2n < m$, we let $t_1, t_2 \in D_{2n}$ be two points such that t lies on the dyadic interval of size 2^{-2n} between them. Moreover, we assume that t_1 lies between s_1 and t . In other words, s, s_1, t_1, t, t_2 lie on the circle either in clockwise or anti-clockwise order. If $2n \geq m$, we just put $t_1 = t_2 = t$. Now we can apply Lemma 37.

First we give an upper bound for $\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)]$. From Lemma 37 we deduce that

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] \leq \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)] + \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)] - \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2)]. \quad (154)$$

Using induction hypothesis and the fact that $d(s_1, t) \leq 2^{-n-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t)] &\leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s_1])} \right] + 10^6 K d^\alpha(s_1, t) \\ &\leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s_1])} \right] + 10^6 K 2^{-\alpha(n+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

Moreover, since $\phi \in \mathbf{A}_{2n}$, $s, t_2 \in D_{2n}$ and $d(s, t_2) \leq d(s, t) + d(t, t_2) \leq 10 \cdot 2^{-n} + 2^{-2n} \leq 11 \cdot 2^{-n}$, we have

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)] \leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t_2-s])} \right] + K d^\alpha(s, t_2). \quad (156)$$

Combining this with the fact that $10 \cdot 2^{-n-1} < d(s, t) < 10 \cdot 2^{-n}$, inequality $d(t, t_2) \leq d(t_1, t_2) \leq 2^{-2n}$, and Statement 39 we obtain

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t_2)] \leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t-s])} \right] + 1000 \cdot 2^{-n} + 11K 2^{-\alpha n}. \quad (157)$$

Similarly, since $\phi \in \mathbf{A}_{2n}$, $s_1, t_2 \in D_{2n}$ and $d(s_1, t_2) \leq d(s_1, s_2) \leq 2^{-n-1}$ we get

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2)] \geq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t_2-s_1])} \right] - K d^\alpha(s_1, t_2). \quad (158)$$

Combining this with the inequalities $2^{-n-2} \leq \mathbf{d}(s_1, t) \leq 2^{-n-1}$ and $\mathbf{d}(t, t_2) \leq \mathbf{d}(t_1, t_2) \leq 2^{-2n}$, and Statement 39 we obtain

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s_1, t_2)] \geq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s_1])} \right] - 4000 \cdot 2^{-n} - K 2^{-\alpha n}. \quad (159)$$

Using (154), (155), (157), and (159) we get

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] \leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right] + (10^6 \cdot 2^{-\alpha} + 10^5) K 2^{-\alpha n}. \quad (160)$$

Since $\alpha \geq 1/4$, we have $2^{-\alpha} \leq 2^{-1/4} < \frac{9}{10}$, so

$$(10^6 \cdot 2^{-\alpha} + 10^5) K 2^{-\alpha n} < 10^6 K 2^{-\alpha n} < 10^6 K \mathbf{d}^\alpha(s, t). \quad (161)$$

Combining (160) and (161) we deduce that

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] \leq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right] + 10^6 K \mathbf{d}^\alpha(s, t). \quad (162)$$

Secondly, analogously to the upper bound for $\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)]$, we can bound it from below using Lemma 37 by

$$\log [\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)] \geq \log \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right] - 10^6 K \mathbf{d}^\alpha(s, t). \quad (163)$$

This finishes the proof of the induction step. Thus, we have proved (153).

Step 3. Now we show that if $\phi \in \cap_{n=R}^\infty \mathbf{A}_n$, then for any $s, t \in \cup_{n=R}^\infty D_n$ with $\mathbf{d}(s, t) \leq 2^{-R}$ we have

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right| < e^{10^8 K} \mathbf{d}^{\alpha-1}(s, t). \quad (164)$$

Let $n \geq R$ be such that $2^{-n+2} < \mathbf{d}(s, t) \leq 2^{-n+3}$. Also let $m \geq n$ be such that $s, t \in D_m$. Since $\mathbf{d}(s, t) > 4 \cdot 2^{-n}$, there exist $r_1, r_2 \in D_n$ between s and t (on the shorter arc connecting s and t) such that $\mathbf{d}(r_1, r_2) = 2^{-n}$, $\mathbf{d}(s, r_1) \geq 2^{-n}$, $\mathbf{d}(r_2, t) \geq 2^{-n}$, and such that points s, r_1, r_2, t lie on \mathbb{T} either in clockwise or anti-clockwise order. Applying Lemma 36 we get

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)} = \mathcal{O}(\phi; r_1, r_2) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, r_2) \mathcal{O}(\phi; r_1, t)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, r_1) \mathcal{O}(\phi; r_2, t)} \right) \quad (165)$$

Since $\mathbf{d}(s, t) \leq 8 \cdot 2^{-n}$, we can apply (153) to estimate all terms in the right-hand side of equation above. Notice that for any $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|e^{\gamma x} - 1| \leq |\gamma| e^{|x|}$. Combining this inequality for $\gamma = \mathbf{d}^\alpha(s, t)$ with (153) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; r_1, r_2)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, r_2) \mathcal{O}(\phi; r_1, t)} - \frac{\sin(\pi[r_2 - s]) \sin(\pi[t - r_1])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sin(\pi[r_2 - s]) \sin(\pi[t - r_1])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} e^{10^7 K + 10} 2^{-\alpha n} \leq e^{10^7 K + 50} 2^{-(\alpha+1)n}. \end{aligned} \quad (166)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\mathcal{O}(\phi; r_1, r_2)}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, r_1)\mathcal{O}(\phi; r_2, t)} - \frac{\sin(\pi[r_1 - s])\sin(\pi[t - r_2])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sin(\pi[r_1 - s])\sin(\pi[t - r_2])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} e^{10^7 K + 10} 2^{-\alpha n} \leq e^{10^7 K + 50} 2^{-(\alpha+1)n}. \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\sin(\pi[r_2 - s])\sin(\pi[t - r_1])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} - \frac{\sin(\pi[r_1 - s])\sin(\pi[t - r_2])}{\pi \sin(\pi[r_2 - r_1])} = \frac{\sin(\pi[t - s])}{\pi}, \quad (168)$$

therefore, combining this with (165), (166), and (167) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)} - \frac{\sin(\pi[t - s])}{\pi} \right| \leq e^{10^7 K + 60} 2^{-(\alpha+1)n} \leq e^{10^7 K + 60} \mathbf{d}^{\alpha+1}(s, t). \quad (169)$$

Thus, using $\mathbf{d}(s, t) \leq 2^{-R}$ we deduce that

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t) - \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi[t - s])} \right| \leq e^{10^7 K + 100} \mathbf{d}^{\alpha-1}(s, t). \quad (170)$$

Step 4. It follows from (164) and continuity of $\mathcal{O}(\phi; s, t)$ in s and t that

$$\text{Hö}l^\alpha(2^R) \supset \cap_{n=R}^\infty \mathbf{A}_n. \quad (171)$$

Combining this with (152) we finish the proof. \square

7 Proof of Proposition 17

Proof of Proposition 17. Observe that for any $\phi \in \mathbf{A} \cap \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[(\log \phi'(\tau))'^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau = \frac{\phi''(t_2)}{\phi'(t_2)} - \frac{\phi''(t_1)}{\phi'(t_1)} - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [\mathcal{S}\{\phi, \tau\} + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau. \quad (172)$$

Fix any $p \in \mathbb{T} \setminus [\pi p_1, \pi p_2]$ and denote $f = \tan(\pi\phi - p - \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} [\mathcal{S}\{\phi, \tau\} + 2\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau)] d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}\{f, \tau\} d\tau = \frac{f''(t_2)}{f'(t_2)} - \frac{f''(t_1)}{f'(t_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \left(\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2 d\tau. \quad (173)$$

Since

$$\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} = \frac{\phi''(\tau)}{\phi'(\tau)} - 2\pi\phi'(\tau) \tan(\pi\phi(\tau) - p - \frac{\pi}{2}), \quad (174)$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[(\log \phi'(\tau))'^2 - 4\pi^2 \phi'^2(\tau) \right] d\tau \\ & = 2\pi\phi'(t_2) \tan(\pi\phi(t_2) - p - \frac{\pi}{2}) - 2\pi\phi'(t_1) \tan(\pi\phi(t_1) - p - \frac{\pi}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\log f'(\tau))'^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$

The equality above can be extended to every $\phi \in \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T})$, because $\mathbf{A} \cap \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{H}$ with respect to $\mathbf{d}_H(\cdot, \cdot)$. Since we keep $\phi(t_1), \phi(t_2), \phi'(t_1), \phi'(t_2)$ fixed, it is sufficient to minimize $\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\log f'(\tau))'^2 d\tau$ with fixed $f(t_1), f(t_2), f'(t_1), f'(t_2)$.

Denote $g = \log f'$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g'^2(\tau) d\tau. \quad (176)$$

Therefore, we want to minimize $\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g'^2(\tau) d\tau$ while keeping $g(t_1), g(t_2), \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{g(\tau)} d\tau$ fixed.

Lemma 38. *There exist $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that one of the following holds for any $\tau \in [t_1, t_2]$:*

$$g(\tau) = \alpha + \lambda\tau, \quad (177)$$

$$g(\tau) = \alpha - \log \cosh^2(\beta + \lambda\tau), \quad (178)$$

$$g(\tau) = \alpha - \log \sinh^2(\beta + \lambda\tau), \quad (179)$$

$$g(\tau) = \alpha - \log \cos^2(\beta + \lambda\tau), \quad (180)$$

$$g(\tau) = -2 \log |\beta + \lambda\tau|. \quad (181)$$

Proof. Using Lagrange multipliers, by varying $\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g'^2(\tau) d\tau$ we get

$$g''(\tau) = \lambda_0 e^{g(\tau)}, \quad (182)$$

for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider 3 cases, based on the sign of λ_0 .

Case 1. If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then g is a linear function, so for some $p, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$g(\tau) = \alpha + \lambda\tau. \quad (183)$$

Case 2. If $\lambda_0 > 0$, then for any u, v the function

$$F_{u,v}(\tau) = u - \log \cosh^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) \quad (184)$$

also satisfies the differential equation (182). Now we show that we can find such $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ that $F_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ and $F'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$. First, to ensure that $F'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$, we take $v = v(u) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tanh \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} t_1 \right) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_0}} g'(t_1). \quad (185)$$

Then, using $\cosh^{-2}(x) = 1 - \tanh^2(x)$,

$$-\log \cosh^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) = \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_0} e^{-u} g'^2(t_1) \right) \quad (186)$$

Now, to ensure that $F_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ it remains to choose such $u \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\log \left(e^u - \frac{1}{2\lambda_0} g'^2(t_1) \right) = g(t_1). \quad (187)$$

Notice that we can also choose $v = v(u)$ to be real, since it follows from the equation above that $\left| \frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_0}} g'(t_1) \right| < 1$. Therefore, by the uniqueness theorem for differential equations we obtain $\tilde{g}_{u,v}(\tau) = g(\tau)$ for all τ .

Case 3. If $\lambda_0 < 0$, then for any u, v functions

$$F_{u,v}(\tau) = u - \log \sinh^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) \quad (188)$$

$$G_{u,v}(\tau) = u - \log \cos^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) \quad (189)$$

$$H_v(\tau) = -2 \log \left| v + \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right| \quad (190)$$

also satisfy the differential equation (182). We consider three sub-cases.

Case i. Suppose that $-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0}g'^2(t_1) - e^{g(t_1)} > 0$. In this case we find u, v such that $F_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ and $F'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$.

First, to ensure that $F'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$, we take $v = v(u) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\coth \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} t_1 \right) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\lambda_0}} g'(t_1). \quad (191)$$

Then, using $\sinh^{-2}(x) = \coth^2(x) - 1$,

$$-\log \sinh^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) = \log \left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0} e^{-u} g'^2(t_1) - 1 \right) \quad (192)$$

Now, to ensure that $F_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ it remains to choose such $u \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\log \left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0} g'^2(t_1) - e^u \right) = g(t_1), \quad (193)$$

which can be done because $-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0}g'^2(t_1) - e^{g(t_1)} > 0$. Notice that we can also choose $v = v(u)$ to be real, since it follows from the equation above that $\left| \frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\lambda_0}} g'(t_1) \right| > 1$. Therefore, by the uniqueness theorem for differential equations we obtain $F_{u,v}(\tau) = g(\tau)$ for all τ .

Case ii. Suppose that $-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0}g'^2(t_1) - e^{g(t_1)} < 0$. In this case we find u, v such that $G_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ and $G'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$.

First, to ensure that $G'_{u,v}(t_1) = g'(t_1)$, we take $v = v(u) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tan \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} t_1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\lambda_0}} g'(t_1). \quad (194)$$

Then, using $\cos^{-2}(x) = \tan^2(x) + 1$,

$$-\log \sinh^2 \left(v + e^{u/2} \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \tau \right) = \log \left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0} e^{-u} g'^2(t_1) + 1 \right) \quad (195)$$

Now, to ensure that $G_{u,v}(t_1) = g(t_1)$ it remains to choose $u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\log \left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0} g'^2(t_1) + e^u \right) = g(t_1), \quad (196)$$

which can be done because $-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0}g'^2(t_1) - e^{g(t_1)} < 0$. Therefore, by the uniqueness theorem for differential equations we obtain $G_{u,v}(\tau) = g(\tau)$ for all τ .

Case iii. Suppose that $-\frac{1}{2\lambda_0}g'^2(t_1) - e^{g(t_1)} = 0$. It is easy to check that taking $v = e^{-g(t_1)/2} - \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}}t_1$ we get that $H_v(t_1) = g(t_1)$ and $H'_v(t_1) = g'(t_1)$. Therefore, by the uniqueness theorem for differential equations we obtain $H_{u,v}(\tau) = g(\tau)$ for all τ . \square

Therefore, using Lemma 38 it is easy to show that one of the following holds for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $ad - bc = \pm 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and any $\tau \in [t_1, t_2]$:

$$f(\tau) = \frac{a \tan(\lambda\tau) + b}{c \tan(\lambda\tau) + d}; \quad (197)$$

$$f(\tau) = \frac{a \tanh(\lambda\tau) + b}{c \tanh(\lambda\tau) + d}; \quad (198)$$

$$f(\tau) = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}. \quad (199)$$

Notice that if (197) holds, then $\lambda < \pi/(t_2 - t_1)$, since otherwise f is not one to one on $[t_1, t_2]$. Moreover, since f is monotonically increasing, we get that $ad - bc = 1$.

Furthermore, notice that

$$\frac{\pi \sqrt{\psi'(t_1)\psi'(t_2)}(t_2 - t_1)}{\sin(\pi[\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1)])} = \frac{\sqrt{f'(t_1)f'(t_2)}(t_2 - t_1)}{f(t_2) - f(t_1)} \quad (200)$$

if (197) holds, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{f'(t_1)f'(t_2)}(t_2 - t_1)}{f(t_2) - f(t_1)} = \frac{\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}{\sin(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))} \in (1, \infty). \quad (201)$$

If (198) holds, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{f'(t_1)f'(t_2)}(t_2 - t_1)}{f(t_2) - f(t_1)} = \frac{\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}{\sinh(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))} \in (0, 1). \quad (202)$$

If (199) holds, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{f'(t_1)f'(t_2)}(t_2 - t_1)}{f(t_2) - f(t_1)} = 1. \quad (203)$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

A Appendix

Statement 39. For any $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $y \in (-x/2, x/2)$,

$$\left| \log \sin(x) - \log \sin(x+y) \right| \leq \frac{1000y}{x}. \quad (204)$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\left| \frac{d}{du} \log \sin(u) \right| = |\tan(u)| \leq \frac{100}{u}, \quad \text{for } u \in (0, 3\pi/4). \quad (205)$$

□

Statement 40. There exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \geq 0$ and $y \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\operatorname{arccosh}^2 [\cosh(x) + y] \geq x^2 + 2y - C|y|(x^2 + |y|), \quad (206)$$

and for all $x \geq 10$ and $y \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\left| \operatorname{arccosh}^2 [\cosh(x) + y] - x^2 \right| \leq Cy e^{-x/2}. \quad (207)$$

Proof. Notice that for $u \geq 1$,

$$\frac{d}{du} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 [u] = 2 \frac{\operatorname{arccosh} [u]}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}}, \quad (208)$$

where both sides are analytic in \mathbb{D}_1 . Inequality (207) follows since the right-hand side is smaller than $1/\sqrt{u}$ for large u . Moreover, for some $C_1 > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{du^2} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 [u] \right| \leq C_1, \quad (209)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{du} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 [u] \Big|_{u=1} = 2. \quad (210)$$

It is also easy to see that for some $C_2 > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{du} \operatorname{arccosh}^2 [u] - 2 \right| \leq C_2 \min \{|u| - 1, 1\}. \quad (211)$$

Taylor expanding $\operatorname{arccosh}^2 [\cosh(x) + y]$ in y and using the fact that $\min \{\cosh(x) - 1, 1\} \leq C_3 x^2$ for some $C_3 > 0$ gives the desired. □

Statement 41. For any $x > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| \frac{\sin(xt)}{x} - \sin t \right| \leq \frac{1}{6}|x^2 - 1|t^3. \quad (212)$$

Proof. We write

$$\frac{\sin(xt)}{x} - \sin t = \int_0^t (\cos(x\tau) - \cos(\tau)) d\tau \quad (213)$$

and notice that from the inequality $|\sin y| \leq y$ we obtain

$$\left| \cos(x\tau) - \cos(\tau) \right| = 2 \left| \sin \left(\frac{\tau(x+1)}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\tau(x-1)}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x^2 - 1|\tau^2, \quad (214)$$

which together with (213) gives the desired. □

Statement 42. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/5)$ if Möbius transformation of the unit circle $\phi \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \phi(0) - \frac{1}{3} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \left| \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \left| \phi(1) - \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad (215)$$

then for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$|\phi'(t) - 1| < C\varepsilon, \quad (216)$$

$$|\phi''(t)| < C\varepsilon. \quad (217)$$

Proof. By rotational invariance of the properties in question, it is sufficient to prove the Statement only for $t \in [1/3, 2/3]$ and we can assume that $\phi(0) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \left| \phi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \frac{2}{3} \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (218)$$

Denote

$$F(\tau) = -\cot\left(\pi\phi\left(\frac{\text{arccot}(-\tau)}{\pi}\right)\right). \quad (219)$$

Notice that $F(\tau)$ is a Möbius transformation of the upper half-plane, that is

$$F(\tau) = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad \text{for some } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } ad - bc = 1. \quad (220)$$

From the fact that $\phi(0) = 0$ it follows that $F(\infty) = \infty$. Thus, F is linear

$$F(\tau) = a\tau + b, \quad \text{for some } a > 0, \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (221)$$

Moreover, for some $C_1 > 0$ we have

$$\left| F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right| < C_1\varepsilon, \quad \left| F\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right| < C_1\varepsilon. \quad (222)$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{a-1}{\sqrt{3}} + b \right| < C_1\varepsilon, \quad \left| -\frac{a-1}{\sqrt{3}} + b \right| < C_1\varepsilon. \quad (223)$$

Thus, for some $C_2 > 0$ we have

$$|a-1| < C_2\varepsilon, \quad |b| < C_2\varepsilon. \quad (224)$$

Therefore, for some $C_3 > 0$ and each $\tau \in [-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}]$,

$$|F(\tau) - \tau| < C_3\varepsilon, \quad |F'(\tau) - 1| < C_3\varepsilon. \quad (225)$$

From the equality

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{arccot}\left(-F[-\cot(\pi t)]\right), \quad (226)$$

we obtain

$$\phi'(t) = \text{arccot}'\left(-F[-\cot(\pi t)]\right) F'[-\cot(\pi t)] \cot'(\pi t). \quad (227)$$

Using (225), the fact that

$$\text{arccot}'[\cot(\pi t)] \cot'(\pi t) = 1, \quad (228)$$

and the fact that

$$\forall t \in [1/3, 2/3]: \quad -\cot(\pi t) \in [-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}] \quad (229)$$

we finish the proof of (216).

In order to prove (217) we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''(t) = \pi \operatorname{arccot}'' \left(-F[-\cot(\pi t)] \right) & \left(F'[-\cot(\pi t)] \cot'(\pi t) \right)^2 \\ & + \pi \operatorname{arccot}' \left(-F[-\cot(\pi t)] \right) F'[-\cot(\pi t)] \cot''(\pi t). \end{aligned} \quad (230)$$

Combining this with (225), (229) and the observation that

$$0 = \left(\operatorname{arccot}'[\cot(\pi t)] \cdot \cot'(\pi t) \right)' = \pi \operatorname{arccot}''[\cot(\pi t)] \cdot (\cot'(\pi t))^2 + \pi \operatorname{arccot}'[\cot(\pi t)] \cdot \cot''(\pi t) \quad (231)$$

we finish the proof of (217). \square

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Roland Bauerschmidt, James Norris and Peter Wildemann for many helpful discussions and careful proofreading.

References

- R. Bauerschmidt, I. Losev, and P. Wildemann. Probabilistic Definition of the Schwarzian Field Theory. 2024. Preprint.
- V. I. Bogachev. *Differentiable measures and the Malliavin calculus*, volume 164 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. ISBN 978-0-8218-4993-4.
- A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. 1 2009. ISBN 978-3-642-03311-7.
- J. Deuschel and D. Stroock. *Large Deviations*. AMS Chelsea Publishing Series. American Mathematical Society, 2001. ISBN 9780821827574.
- P. Hartman. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, second edition, 2002.
- L. V. Iliesiu, S. S. Pufu, H. Verlinde, and Y. Wang. An exact quantization of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (11):091, 61, 2019. ISSN 1126-6708,1029-8479.
- A. Kitaev and S. J. Suh. The soft mode in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and its gravity dual. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (5):183, front matter+66, 2018. ISSN 1126-6708.
- T. Lévy and J. R. Norris. Large deviations for the Yang-Mills measure on a compact surface. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 261:405–450, 2004.
- I. Losev. Probabilistic derivation of the correlation functions of the Schwarzian Field Theory. 2024. Preprint.
- J. Maldacena and D. Stanford. Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(10):106002, 43, 2016. ISSN 2470-0010,2470-0029.

- J. Maldacena, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang. Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two-dimensional nearly anti-de Sitter space. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2016(12):12C104, 11 2016. ISSN 2050-3911.
- T. Mertens, G. Turiaci, and H. Verlinde. Solving the Schwarzian via the Conformal Bootstrap. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017, 05 2017.
- E. Peltola and Y. Wang. Large deviations of multichordal SLE_{0+} , real rational functions, and zeta-regularized determinants of laplacians. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 26, 04 2023.
- P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford. JT gravity as a matrix integral. Mar. 2019. Preprint, arXiv:1903.11115.
- D. Stanford and E. Witten. Fermionic Localization of the Schwarzian Theory. *JHEP*, 10:008, 2017.
- Y. Wang. The energy of a deterministic Loewner chain: Reversibility and interpretation via SLE_{0+} . *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2016.
- H. F. Weinberger. *A First Course in Partial Differential Equations with Complex Variables and Transform Methods*. 1965. ISBN 9780486686400.
- D. Šarić, Y. Wang, and C. Wolfram. Circle homeomorphisms with square summable diamond shears. 2023. preprint, arXiv:2211.11497.