

ON R -CONEAT INJECTIVE MODULES AND GENERALIZATIONS

MOHANAD FARHAN HAMID

*Department of Applied Sciences,
University of Technology-Iraq, Baghdad, Iraq
E-mails: mohanad.f.hamid@uotechnology.edu.iq
mohanadfhamid@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Both the classes of R -coneat injective modules and its superclass, pure Baer injective modules, are shown to be preenveloping. The former class is contained in another one, namely, self coneat injectives, i.e. modules M for which every map f from a coneat left ideal of R into M , whose kernel contains the annihilator of some element in M , is induced by a homomorphism $R \rightarrow M$. Certain types of rings are characterized by properties of the above modules. For instance, a commutative ring R is von Neuman regular if and only if all self coneat injective R -modules are quasi injective.

Key words and phrases. coneat injective module, copure Baer injective module, right SF-ring.

1. Introduction

The ring R is always associative with identity. Unless otherwise stated, modules are left unital R -modules. Homomorphisms and maps are all R -homomorphisms. A sequence of left R -modules:

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \hookrightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$$

is *pure* (respectively, *coneat*) exact if the sequence $0 \rightarrow U \otimes A \rightarrow U \otimes B \rightarrow U \otimes B/A \rightarrow 0$ remains exact for all (simple) right R -modules U . Therefore, pure exact sequences are coneat exact but not conversely. For a nonempty class of modules \mathcal{Q} , the sequence 1.1 is called \mathcal{Q} -*copure* exact [13, section 38] if every module $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is injective with respect to 1.1, i.e. every map $A \rightarrow Q$ has an extension to a map $B \rightarrow Q$. A module is *injective* (respectively, *pure injective*, *coneat injective*) if it is injective with respect to all exact (respectively, pure exact, coneat exact) sequences 1.1. Baer condition states that the module remains injective if we restrict to the case when B in the above sequence is the ring R . No ‘Baer condition’ is known for pure injectivity or coneat injectivity. Therefore, we have *pure Baer injective* and *R -coneat injective* modules, i.e. modules injective with respect to all pure and coneat exact sequences 1.1 with $B = R$, respectively [12, 5]. Also, \mathcal{Q} -*copure Baer*

injective modules are those injective with respect to all \mathcal{Q} -copure exact sequences 1.1 with $B = R$ [7]. By [13, p. 290] and [5, Theorem 2.3], pure exact and coneat exact sequences are \mathcal{Q} -copure exact by taking $\mathcal{Q} =$ the class of pure injective or coneat injective modules, accordingly. Hence, pure Baer and R -coneat injectivity are examples of \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injectivity.

The module M is *quasi injective* [8] if it is injective with respect to every sequence 1.1 with $B = M$. L. Fuchs [3] gave a kind of Baer condition for quasi injectivity. He proved that for a module M to be quasi injective, it is necessary and sufficient that every map $L \rightarrow M$ from a left ideal L of R , the kernel of which is in $\Omega(M)$ (equivalently, $\overline{\Omega}(M)$), can be extended to a map $R \rightarrow M$. Here, the set $\overline{\Omega}(M)$ consists of all left ideals L of R such that $L \supseteq \text{ann}(m)$ for some $m \in M$, and $\overline{\Omega}(M)$ is the filter generated by $\Omega(M)$. This motivated the study of absolutely self pure, absolutely self neat and principally self injective modules [4, 6, 10]. Analogously, we study here *self coneat injective* modules by taking maps $L \rightarrow M$, from coneat left ideal L of R , whose kernels are in $\Omega(M)$, extendable to $R \rightarrow M$.

A class of modules \mathcal{P} is *preenveloping* if every module M has a \mathcal{P} -preenvelope, i.e. a map $\varphi : M \rightarrow P$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that for every map $\psi : M \rightarrow P'$, where $P' \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a map $f : P \rightarrow P'$ with $f \circ \varphi = \psi$. A \mathcal{P} -preenvelope $\varphi : M \rightarrow P$ is a \mathcal{P} -envelope if for $P' = P$ and $\psi = \varphi$ the only such maps f are automorphisms of P . The class \mathcal{P} is called *enveloping* if every module has a \mathcal{P} -envelope. If \mathcal{P} contains the injective modules then any \mathcal{P} -preenvelope is a monomorphism. In this case, we say that the module $P \in \mathcal{P}$, rather than the map $\varphi : M \rightarrow P$, is a \mathcal{P} -(pre)envelope of M . For details about (pre)envelopes in general the reader is referred to [2]. The classes of injective, pure injective, and coneat injective modules are all enveloping. In [7] it is proved that every module is embeddable as a \mathcal{Q} -copure submodule of a \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injective module. Here we will show that such embedding is actually a \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injective preenvelope. Thus, both the classes of R -coneat injective modules and pure Baer injective modules are preenveloping.

2. R -Coneat Injective Preenvelopes

In [7, Theorem 2, Lemma 2], it is proved that, for any nonempty class of modules \mathcal{Q} , every module can be embedded as a \mathcal{Q} -copure submodule of a \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injective module. Hence, every module can be embedded as a coneat submodule of an R -coneat injective module. In the following lemma, we modify the proof of [7, Lemma 2] to show that the above embedding is actually a preenvelope.

Lemma 2.1. *Every module has an R -coneat injective preenvelope.*

Proof. Given a module M , we will embed M in an R -coneat injective preenvelope. Consider the coneat left ideals C of R and the set \mathcal{F} of all maps $f : C \rightarrow M$. For every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a pushout $P = (R \oplus M)/K$, where $K = \{(c, -f(c)), c \in C\}$, and a map $g : R \rightarrow P$ with $g|_C = f$.

For each map $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ into an R -coneat injective module N take the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \hookrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & P \\ \downarrow \alpha & \swarrow \gamma & \\ N & & \end{array}$$

Since N is R -coneat injective, there is a $\beta : R \rightarrow N$ extending αf . Define $\gamma : P \rightarrow N$ as: $\gamma((r, m) + K) = \beta(r) + \alpha(m)$. It is easy to check that γ is a homomorphism extending α .

The module P might not be R -coneat injective, so put $M_0 = M$, $M_1 = P$, $f_0 = f$, $h_0 = h$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and repeat the above process with M replaced by M_1 to give M_2 and $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2$ and the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \hookrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow f_1 = h_0 f_0 & & \downarrow \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & M_2 \\ \downarrow \gamma_1 & \swarrow \gamma_2 & \\ N & & \end{array}$$

Continue in this manner to get a sequence $M_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n \subseteq M_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $M_\omega = \bigcup M_n$. Now, for each nonlimit ordinal redo the above process. If we reach a limit ordinal, say λ , put $M_\lambda = \bigcup \{M_\rho, \rho < \lambda\}$. Let σ be the smallest ordinal with a larger cardinality than that of the ring R , i.e. $|\sigma| = |R|^+$ (the successor cardinal of $|R|$). For each $\rho < \sigma$, we have $|\rho| < |\sigma|$, σ is a limit ordinal and $M_\sigma = \bigcup \{M_\rho, \rho < \sigma\}$. Now, M_σ is our R -coneat injective module. To see this, let C be a coneat left ideal of R and $f : C \rightarrow M_\sigma$ any map. For each $c \in C$, let \bar{c} be the smallest ordinal such that $f(c) \in M_{\bar{c}}$. Then $\bar{c} < \sigma$ and $|\bar{c}| < |\sigma| = |R|^+$. Hence $|\bar{c}| \leq |R|$. Put $p = \sup\{\bar{c}, c \in R\}$. As each $|\bar{c}| \leq |R|$, we must have $|p| \leq |R| < |\sigma|$. Hence, $p < \sigma$. Since σ is a limit ordinal, we have $p+1 < \sigma$. Therefore, for each $c \in R$, $c \in M_{\bar{c}} \subseteq M_p \subseteq M_{p+1} \subseteq M_\sigma$. So, $f(C) \subseteq M_p$. Moreover, the map $f : C \rightarrow M_p$ can be extended to a map $g : R \rightarrow M_{p+1}$ with $g|_C = f$. View g now as a map $R \rightarrow M_\sigma$. The map $\gamma : M_\sigma \rightarrow N$ is defined as follows. For every $x \in M_\sigma$ there is an M_ρ with $x \in M_\rho$. So put $\gamma(x) = \gamma_\rho(x)$. \square

Replacing the word ‘coneat’ by ‘ \mathcal{Q} -copure’ in the proof of above Lemma, we get:

Lemma 2.2. *Every module has a \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injective preenvelope.*

Now this Lemma together with [7, Lemmas 3 and 4] are all we need to show:

Theorem 2.3. *For a given nonempty class of modules \mathcal{Q} , every module can be embedded as a \mathcal{Q} -copure submodule in a \mathcal{Q} -copure Baer injective preenvelope.*

Corollary 2.4. (1) *Every module can be embedded as a coneat submodule of an R -coneat injective preenvelope.*

(2) *Every module can be embedded as a pure submodule of a pure Baer injective preenvelope.*

3. Self Coneat Injectivity

Definition 3.1. An R -module M is called *self coneat injective* if any map $C \rightarrow M$ from a coneat left ideal C of R , the kernel of which contains $\text{ann}(m)$ for some $m \in M$, can be extended to a map $R \rightarrow M$.

The argument that a direct summand of a self coneat injective module is self coneat injective is standard.

As $\Omega(R)$ consists of all left ideals of R , we have any module containing the ring R is self coneat injective if and only if it is R -coneat injective. In particular, any preenvelope of R is self coneat injective if and only if it is R -coneat injective. The following Theorem characterizes self coneat injective modules.

Theorem 3.2. *An R -module M is self coneat injective if and only if for any coneat left ideal C of R and any $m \in M$, every map $Cm \rightarrow M$ has an extension to $Rm \rightarrow M$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $g \in \text{Hom}(Cm, M)$ and define $f : C \rightarrow M$ by $x \mapsto g(xm)$. For any $x \in \text{ann}(m)$ we have $f(x) = g(xm) = 0$ and hence $x \in \ker f$. Therefore, by assumption, there is an $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(Rm, M)$ extending f . The map $Rm \rightarrow M$ given by $m \mapsto \bar{f}(1)$ is easily seen to be an extension of g . (\Leftarrow) Let now $f : C \rightarrow M$ be a map from a coneat left ideal of R with kernel containing $\text{ann}(m)$ for some $m \in M$. If $xm = 0$ for some $x \in C$ then $x \in \text{ann}(m) \subseteq \ker f$. Therefore, the map $g : Cm \rightarrow M$ given by $xm \mapsto f(x)$ is well defined. By assumption, g can be extended to a $\bar{g} : Rm \rightarrow M$. Now the map $R \rightarrow M$, $1 \mapsto \bar{g}(m)$ extends f . \square

Theorem 3.3. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every coneat left ideal of R is projective.*
- (2) *Homomorphic images of R -coneat injective modules are again R -coneat injective.*
- (3) *Homomorphic images of R -coneat injective modules are self coneat injective.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be an R -coneat injective module and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ an epimorphism. For each coneat left ideal C of R consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longleftarrow & C \\ & & \downarrow f \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N \end{array}$$

Since C is projective, there is a lifting map $\alpha : C \rightarrow M$ for f . Since M is R -coneat injective, there is an extension $\beta : R \rightarrow M$ of α . Now $\pi\alpha$ extends f . (2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial. (3) \Rightarrow (1) Let C be a coneat left ideal and consider a diagram like the above with M being injective and, by assumption, N is self coneat injective. Any map f whose kernel contains the annihilator of some $x \in N$ is induced by a map $\alpha : R \rightarrow N$. By projectivity of R there is a $\beta : R \rightarrow M$ lifting α . Now $\pi\beta$ lifts α , hence f , and C is projective by [4, Lemma 3.4] \square

Recall that right SF-rings R are characterized by the property that every left ideal of R is coneat [5, Theorem 3.16]. Such rings are characterized using self coneat injectivity as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *The following five statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *The ring R is a right SF-ring.*
- (2) *Every left ideal of R is coneat.*
- (3) *Every R -coneat injective module is injective.*
- (4) *All R -coneat injective modules are quasi injective.*
- (5) *All self coneat injective modules are quasi injective.*
If R is commutative then the above are equivalent to:
- (6) *The ring R is von Neuman regular.*

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and in the commutative case (1) \Leftrightarrow (6) By [5, Theorem 3.16]. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) By [7, Theorem 3] since coneat submodules are copure. (2) \Rightarrow (4) and (2) \Rightarrow (5) If all left ideals are coneat then by [5, Theorem 3.16], (4) and (5) follow. (3) \Rightarrow (2) In particular, all coneat injective modules are injective and by [5, Theorem 3.16] (1) follows. (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (5) \Rightarrow (4) are trivial. (4) \Rightarrow (3) Let M be R -coneat injective, then so is $M \oplus P$ where P is a preenvelope of R . Now, any map from a coneat left ideal L of R into M has an extension to a map $L \rightarrow M \oplus P$ whose kernel contains the annihilator of $(0, 1)$. By assumption $M \oplus P$ is quasi injective. \square

Examples 3.5. (1) Any quasi injective module and any (R -)coneat injective module is self coneat injective.
(2) Since \mathbb{Z} is not an SF-ring there must exist then, by Theorem 3.4, a self coneat injective \mathbb{Z} -module that is not quasi injective.
(3) If R is a commutative von Neuman regular but not noetherian ring then there must exist a quasi injective, hence self coneat injective, R -module that is not injective [1, Proposition 1], hence not R -coneat injective.
(4) Let A be a self coneat injective \mathbb{Z} -module that is not quasi injective (example 2 above) and B a quasi injective R -module that is not R -coneat injective (example 3 above). The self coneat injective module $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ over the ring $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ is not quasi injective nor R -coneat injective, for otherwise the direct summand $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ would be quasi injective or the direct summand $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ would be R -coneat injective.

Theorem 3.6. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every coneat exact sequence of left R -modules splits.*
- (2) *All R -modules are R -coneat injective.*
- (3) *All R -modules are self coneat injective.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial. (3) \Rightarrow (1) Let C be a coneat left ideal of R and P a preenvelope of R . By assumption, $C \oplus P$ is self coneat injective. Therefore, as the kernel of the inclusion $C \rightarrow C \oplus P$ contains the annihilator of $(0, 1)$, it must be induced by a map $R \rightarrow C \oplus P$. This map followed by the projection $C \oplus P \rightarrow C$ gives a homomorphism $R \rightarrow C$ extending the identity of C . \square

We know that all pure exact sequences of left R -modules are coneat exact. For the converse, we have the following.

Proposition 3.7. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every coneat exact sequence of left R -modules is pure exact.*

- (2) All pure Baer injective R -modules are R -coneat injective.
- (3) All pure Baer injective R -modules are self coneat injective.
- (4) All self pure injective R -modules (i.e. modules M for which every map f from a pure left ideal of R into M whose kernel contains the annihilator of some element in M is induced by a homomorphism $R \rightarrow M$) are self coneat injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (1) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial. (2) \Rightarrow (1) By assumption, any pure Baer injective module M is injective with respect to any coneat exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of left R -modules. Therefore, as pure submodules are copure [7], the above sequence must be pure exact [7, Theorem 3]. (3) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be pure Baer injective, therefore, so is $M \oplus P$, where P is a pure Baer injective preenvelope of R . By assumption, $M \oplus P$ is self coneat injective. So for any map $f : C \rightarrow M$, the extension $C \rightarrow M \hookrightarrow M \oplus P$ has kernel containing the annihilator of $(0, 1)$ and hence, it is induced by a map $R \rightarrow M \oplus P$. Following this last map by the projection $M \oplus P \rightarrow M$, we get an extension $R \rightarrow M$ of f . \square

By similar arguments to that of 3.7, we get the following three propositions. For the first proposition, recall that a module M is called *finitely R -injective* (*absolutely self pure*) [11] ([4]) if every map $I \rightarrow M$ from a finitely generated left ideal of R (whose kernel is in $\bar{\Omega}(M)$) can be extended to $R \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 3.8. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) Every coneat left ideal of R is finitely generated.
- (2) Finitely R -injective R -modules are R -coneat injective.
- (3) Finitely R -injective R -modules are self coneat injective.
- (4) Absolutely self pure R -modules are self coneat injective.

Now, recall that a module M is called *absolutely self neat* [6] if the words ‘finitely generated’ are replaced by ‘maximal’ in the above definition of absolutely self pure modules.

Proposition 3.9. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) Every maximal left ideal of R is coneat in R .
- (2) All R -coneat injective modules are absolutely neat.
- (3) All R -coneat injective modules are absolutely self neat.

A module M is called *principally (self) injective* [9] ([10]) if every map $I \rightarrow M$ from a principal left ideal of R (whose kernel is in $\Omega(M)$) can be extended to $R \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 3.10. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) Every coneat left ideal of R is principal.
- (2) Principally injective R -modules are R -coneat injective.
- (3) Principally injective R -modules are self coneat injective.
- (4) Principally self injective R -modules are self coneat injective.

Proposition 3.11. *The following are equivalent for a commutative ring R .*

- (1) The ring R is a von Neuman regular.
- (2) Every self pure injective R -module is flat.
- (3) Every absolutely self pure R -module is flat.
- (4) Every absolutely self neat R -module is flat.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) - (4) are trivial since over von Neuman regular rings, all modules are flat. (2) - (4) \Rightarrow (1): Each of the conditions in (2) - (4) implies that quasi injective R -modules are flat. But then simple R -modules are flat, hence, R is an SF-ring. Since R is commutative, it must be von Neuman regular. \square

REFERENCES

1. K. A. Byrd, *Rings whose quasi-injective modules are injective*, Proc. Ame. Math. Soc. 33(2), 235–240 (1972).
2. E. Enochs, O. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 30, Berlin 2000..
3. L. Fuchs, *On quasi injective modules*, Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 23, 541–546 (1969).
4. M.F. Hamid, *Absolutely self pure modules*, Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 31(2), 59–66 (2019).
5. M.F. Hamid, *Coneat injective modules*, Missouri J. Math. Sci., 31(2), 201–211 (2019).
6. M.F. Hamid, *Absolutely self neat modules*, Iraqi J. Sci., Special Issue, 233–237 (2020).
7. M.F. Hamid, *On (co)pure Baer injective modules*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 31(2), 219–226 (2021).
8. R. E. Johnson and E. T. Wong, *Quasi-injective modules and irreducible rings*, J. London Math. Soc. 36, 260–268 (1961).
9. W. K. Nicholson, M. F. Yousif, *Quasi-Frobenius Rings*, 158, Cambridge University Press, 2003
10. K.S. Munshid, M.F. Hamid, J.R. Kider, *Principally self injective modules*, Inter. J. of Math. and Computer Sci., 17(1), 255–263 (2022).
11. V.S. Ramamurthi, K.M. Rangaswamy, *On finitely injective modules*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 16, 239–248 (1973).
12. N. M. Al Thani, *Pure Baer injective modules*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 20 (1997), 529–538.
13. R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Gordon and Breach, 1991.