

SHDB-AF: a Japanese Holter ECG database of atrial fibrillation

Kenta Tsutsui^{1,2}, MD, PhD; Shany Biton Brimer, PhD³; Noam Ben-Moshe, MSc^{3,4}; Jean Marc Sellal, MD, PhD⁵; Julien Oster, PhD⁶, Hitoshi Mori, MD, PhD², Yoshifumi Ikeda, MD, PhD², Takahide Arai, MD, PhD², Shintaro Nakano, MD, PhD², Ritsushi Kato, MD, PhD², and Joachim A. Behar, PhD³

Affiliations

1. Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Teikyo University School of Medicine
2. Department of Cardiology, Saitama Medical University International Medical Center
3. Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Technion-IIT, Israel
4. Faculty of Computer Science, Technion-IIT, Israel
5. Department of Cardiology, Centre hospitalier Universitaire de Nancy, France
6. IADI UMR 1254, INSERM, Universite de Lorraine, Nancy, France

Correspondent

Kenta Tsutsui (knt22e@gmail.com)

Abstract

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common atrial arrhythmia that impairs quality of life and causes embolic stroke, heart failure and other complications. Recent advancements in machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) have shown potential for enhancing diagnostic accuracy. It is essential for DL models to be robust and generalizable across variations in ethnicity, age, sex, and other factors. Although a number of ECG database have been made available to the research community, none

includes a Japanese population sample. Saitama Heart Database Atrial Fibrillation (SHDB-AF) is a novel open-sourced Holter ECG database from Japan, containing data from 100 unique patients with paroxysmal AF. Each record in SHDB-AF is 24 hours long and sampled at 200 Hz, totaling 24 million seconds of ECG data.

Background & Summary

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases represent a significant threat to public health. AF is the most prevalent arrhythmia, it diminishes the quality of life and increases the risk of severe complications such as heart failure and stroke.^{1, 2} Because (1) maintaining sinus rhythm in patients with AF (so called “rhythm control”) by either drugs or catheter ablation not only improves quality of life but also reverses structural abnormality of heart, and extends lifespan at least partly by reducing major cardiovascular events;³⁻⁹ and (2) early treatment appears effective to enhance the treatment effect¹⁰⁻¹³, early and acute diagnosis is crucial. However, current diagnostic tools for AF are suboptimal, leading to a lack of awareness and underdiagnosis of the condition.

ECG is the standard diagnostic tool of heart disease and required to confirm the diagnosis of AF. A challenge in AF diagnosis at its early stage is that a patient is in sinus rhythm for most time. In this context, diagnostic sensitivity crucially depends on the duration of ECG recordings, and across the modality, there is a trade-off between sensitivity and availability. The diagnostic sensitivity of standard 12-lead ECG is markedly hampered by brief recording times (10 seconds to 3 min) and limited access (only available at a healthcare provider facility). Holter ECG monitors are non-invasive and are capable of continuous monitoring <24 hours, playing a crucial role in a middle ground, and are therefore widely used as the de fact standard.^{1, 14-20} Besides such standard medical devices, we recently observe emergence of new health devices that are capable of ECG recordings

such as implantable cardiac electronic devices and smart watches. Indeed, recent guidelines encourage use of such new devices for extended ECG monitoring.^{1,19} Sophisticated software is necessary to maximize the diagnostic yield from the wide variety of inlets.

Prior works

There are a number of publicly available AF ECG database. These include the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database (MITDB) from USA including 48 two-channel ECG recordings of 30 minute each, sampled at 360 Hz with 11-bit resolution over a 10 mV range²¹. MIT-BIH Atrial Fibrillation database (AFDB) includes 23 long-term ECG recording with AF, mostly paroxysmal, 10 hours in duration, with two-channel ECG, each sampled at 250 samples per second with 12-bit resolution over a 10 mV range.²¹²² Recordings were manually annotated for AF, Atrial flutter (AFL) and AV junctional rhythms. Long Term AF database (LTAADB) contains 84 long-term ECG recordings recorded by two-channels belonging to subjects with paroxysmal or sustained AF. Those recordings are of approximately 24 hours long digitized at 128 Hz with 12-bit resolution over 20 mV range.²³ Rhythms were automatically generated and manually verified by an experience team of ECG technicians.

More recently, IRIDIA-AF database,²⁴ including 167 paroxysmal AF tracings from 152 patients, 19-95 hours at 200Hz, emerged as a new resource for ML/DL research. Icentia11k is a database from Canada; 1-2 week-long continuous raw ECGs were recorded with single-lead CardioSTAT patch device, containing some AF events.²⁵ CPSC2021 contains total of 47 patients with AF events of varied duration from a single-lead device.²⁶

Research gaps, objectives, and summary

A major challenge in translational medical AI is generalizability. Generalizability in DL refers to the ability of a model to perform well on new, previously unseen data that include a variety of geography,

ethnicity, acquisition device, age groups and disease groups. Such databases are scarce.

Especially, more data from north-east Asia (e.g., Japan, Korea, China and so on) is critically needed as the area is infamous for a rapid aging of the large population, leading to an ominous view that number of patients with age-related disorders including AF should markedly increase in near future.²⁷

In the present work, we developed a new 24-hour Holter PAF database from Japan, a north-east Asian island. AF segment is manually annotated by certified cardiologists and with relevant clinical information.

Methods and Technical Implementation

Ethics

The present work was approved by the institutional ethics committee at Saitama Medical University International Medical Center (IRB number 2023-145). Due to retrospective and descriptive nature of the study, written informed consent was waived.

Manual rhythm inspection and annotation

The PhysioZoo software^{28,29} was used to annotate the Holter ECGs at the beat level. Supraventricular arrhythmias were divided and annotated into five categories: (1) AF, (2) AFL, (3) atrial tachycardia (AT), (4) Other supraventricular tachycardias such as Wolf-Parkinson-White and intranodal tachycardias and (5) other, such as NSR, that were not labeled. Reading time by the fellow (MA) was estimated to be on average 45 min per 24 h Holter recording.

Data preparation

Holter recordings were recorded using Fukuda Holter monitor and digitized at 125 Hz from two available leads, CC5 and NASA, Figure 1. The recordings were then resampled to 200 Hz using an anti-aliasing filter as described in Biton et al.³⁰ Overall, 147 Holter recordings were collected

between November 2019 and January 2022. Out of which a total of 100 recordings belonging to 100 unique patients were selected. The inclusion criteria for the selected recordings are described in Biton et al.³⁰ Essentially, those recordings were selected while stratified by age and sex. 80 recordings labeled as AF₁ and 20 labelled as non-AF₁ were randomly selected based on the medical reports which were prepared following the patient’s examination. AF₁ is a combined label for AF and AFL.




Figure 1: Electrode placement of Holter leads used in this research.

Data Records

Patient characteristics

Table 1 summarizes patient characteristics of the SHDB AF database.

The AF burden (AFB) is defined as the percentage time spent in AF, equation 1.

$$AFB = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N t_i \times \mathbb{1}_i}{\sum_{n=1}^N t_i} \quad 1$$

N represents the number of available windows, t_i represents the length of the i_{th} window in milliseconds and $\mathbb{1}_i$ is equal to 1 for AF windows and zero otherwise.

The AFB is shown in Figure 2, with mean \pm std of $19.5 \pm 27.6\%$.




Figure 2: Histogram of the AFB (AF Burden) for SHDB (n=100).

Database description

The data files are provided in open WFDB standard format. The ECG waveforms are stored in *.dat files. The header files (.hea) are associated with specific recording files and specifies attributes such as associated .dat file name, number of leads, sampling frequency, recording date and time. The .qrs files are the R-peak annotation files detected by epltd algorithm³¹ and were used as the input data to an DL algorithm for AF detection describe in the Performance section. ECG example is given in

Figure 3.




Figure 3: Segment of an ECG example extracted as part of this research shown using PhysioZoo²⁸, AFIB: Atrial Fibrillation.

Technical Validation Performance

Signal quality index (bSQI)³² was computed for non-overlapping windows of 60-beat. Reference beat annotation were detected using the epltd implementation of the Pan and Tompkins algorithm.³¹ Those were compared against test peaks detected with xqrs³³, with an agreement window of 50ms. The median and interquartile range (Q1-Q3) for 60-beat windows was 1.0 (1.0-1.0) and 0.999 (0.997-0.999) for the entire recordings.

We evaluated a DL algorithm for AF event detection from beat-to-beat intervals termed ArNet2³⁰ as part of an attempt to show its generalizability on different distribution shifts. ArNet2 accepts as an input 60-beat RR-intervals and outputs a binary classification of AF₁/non-AF₁ per window. It is divided into two parts; first part is a combination of residual blocks used to build representation of an ECG signal window. The second part consists of Gated Recurrent Units (GRU) which learns from

the temporal dependencies in time series. ArNet2 was trained on a subset of UVFDB and evaluated on 4 different databases from multiple countries and on a combined test set combining all test set together to assess global performance. A second DL named RawECGNet³⁴ was developed and benchmarked against ArNet2. As opposed to ArNet2, RawECGNet was developed based on the raw, single-lead ECG. The algorithm was trained and evaluated on the same databases as ArNet2, however the input windows are 30-seconds long of the raw ECG. In addition to the traditional evaluation metrics, the AFB was estimated. Looking at F1 score, ArNet2 achieved F1 of 0.92 for SHDB using the beat-to-beat time intervals and 0.93 for RawECGNet on the raw ECG signal. Further results are presented at Biton et al.³⁰ and Ben-Moshe et al³⁴.

Code Availability

Physiozoo software is available at <https://physiozoo.com>

Acknowledgments

Hittman: Technion EVPR Fund: Hittman Family Fund.

References

1. Joglar JA, Chung MK, Armbruster AL, et al. 2023 ACC/AHA/ACCP/HRS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2023-11-30 2023.
2. Tzeis S, Gerstenfeld EP, Kalman J, et al. 2024 European Heart Rhythm Association/Heart Rhythm Society/Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society/Latin American Heart Rhythm Society expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Europace* Mar 30 2024;26.
3. Rettmann ME, Holmes DR, Monahan KH, et al. Treatment-Related Changes in Left Atrial Structure in Atrial Fibrillation: Findings From the CABANA Imaging Substudy. *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology* 2021-05-01 2021;14.
4. Saglietto A, De Ponti R, Di Biase L, et al. Impact of atrial fibrillation catheter ablation on mortality, stroke, and heart failure hospitalizations: A meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* May 2020;31:1040-1047.

5. Cabanas-Grandio P, Garcia Campo E, Bisbal F, et al. Quality of life of patients undergoing conventional vs leadless pacemaker implantation: A multicenter observational study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* Jan 2020;31:330-336.
6. Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, et al. Effect of Catheter Ablation vs Antiarrhythmic Drug Therapy on Mortality, Stroke, Bleeding, and Cardiac Arrest Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: The CABANARandomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* Apr 2 2019;321:1261-1274.
7. Sohns C, Zintl K, Zhao Y, et al. Impact of Left Ventricular Function and Heart Failure Symptoms on Outcomes Post Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation in Heart Failure: CASTLE-AF Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* Oct 2020;13:e008461.
8. Andrade JG. Ablation as First-line Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation. *Eur Cardiol* 2023;18:e46.
9. Parkash R, Wells GA, Rouleau J, et al. Randomized Ablation-Based Rhythm-Control versus Rate-Control Trial in Patients with Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation: Results from the RAFT-AF Trial. *Circulation* 2022.
10. Takabayashi K, Hamatani Y, Yamashita Y, et al. Incidence of Stroke or Systemic Embolism in Paroxysmal Versus Sustained Atrial Fibrillation: The Fushimi Atrial Fibrillation Registry. *Stroke* Dec 2015;46:3354-3361.
11. Willems S, Borof K, Brandes A, et al. Systematic, early rhythm control strategy for atrial fibrillation in patients with or without symptoms: the EAST-AFNET 4 trial. *European Heart Journal* 2022-03-21 2022;43:1219-1230.
12. Kirchhof P, Camm AJ, Goette A, et al. Early Rhythm-Control Therapy in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* Oct 1 2020;383:1305-1316.
13. Eckardt L, Sehner S, Suling A, et al. Attaining sinus rhythm mediates improved outcome with early rhythm control therapy of atrial fibrillation: the EAST-AFNET 4 trial. *European Heart Journal* 2022-10-21 2022;43:4127-4144.
14. Smith EE, Yaghi S, Sposato LA, Fisher M. Atrial Fibrillation Detection and Load: Knowledge Gaps Related to Stroke Prevention. *Stroke* Jan 2024;55:205-213.
15. Sanna T, Diener HC, Passman RS, et al. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* Jun 26 2014;370:2478-2486.
16. Gladstone DJ, Spring M, Dorian P, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke. *N Engl J Med* Jun 26 2014;370:2467-2477.
17. Buck BH, Hill MD, Quinn FR, et al. Effect of Implantable vs Prolonged External Electrocardiographic Monitoring on Atrial Fibrillation Detection in Patients With Ischemic Stroke: The PER DIEM Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* Jun 1 2021;325:2160-2168.
18. Wachter R, Groschel K, Gelbrich G, et al. Holter-electrocardiogram-monitoring in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (Find-AF(RANDOMISED)): an open-label randomised controlled trial.

- Lancet Neurol Apr 2017;16:282-290.
19. Takase B, Ikeda T, Shimizu W, et al. JCS/JHRS 2022 Guideline on Diagnosis and Risk Assessment of Arrhythmia. *Circulation Journal* 2023;advpub.
 20. Nogami A, Kurita T, Kusano K, et al. JCS/JHRS 2021 guideline focused update on non-pharmacotherapy of cardiac arrhythmias. *J Arrhythm* Feb 2022;38:1-30.
 21. Moody GB, Mark RG. The impact of the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database. *IEEE Eng Med Biol Mag* May-Jun 2001;20:45-50.
 22. Moody GB, Mark RG. A new method for detecting atrial fibrillation using R-R intervals. *Computers in Cardiology* 1983;10:227-230.
 23. Petrutiu S, Sahakian AV, Swiryn S. Abrupt changes in fibrillatory wave characteristics at the termination of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in humans. *Europace* Jul 2007;9:466-470.
 24. Gilon C, Grégoire J-M, Mathieu M, Carlier S, Bersini H. IRIDIA-AF, a large paroxysmal atrial fibrillation long-term electrocardiogram monitoring database. *Scientific Data* 2023-10-18 2023;10.
 25. Tan S, Ortiz-Gagné S, Beaudoin-Gagnon N, et al. Icentia11k Single Lead Continuous Raw Electrocardiogram Dataset. *Physionet* 2022.
 26. CPSC2021 (The 4th China Physiological Signal Challenge 2021). 2022.
 27. Shimizu W, Kusumoto FM, Agbayani MJF, et al. Statement from the Asia Summit: Current state of arrhythmia care in Asia. *Journal of Arrhythmia* 2024-02-01 2024;40:1-16.
 28. Behar JA, Rosenberg AA, Weiser-Bitoun I, et al. PhysioZoo: A Novel Open Access Platform for Heart Rate Variability Analysis of Mammalian Electrocardiographic Data. *Front Physiol* 2018;9:1390.
 29. Behar J, Levy J, Zvuloni E, et al. PhysioZoo: The Open Digital Physiological Biomarkers Resource. *Comput Cardiol (CinC)*, IEEE 2023;50:1-4.
 30. Biton S, Aldhafeeri M, Marcusohn E, et al. Generalizable and robust deep learning algorithm for atrial fibrillation diagnosis across geography, ages and sexes. *NPJ Digit Med* Mar 17 2023;6:44.
 31. Pan J, Tompkins WJ. A real-time QRS detection algorithm. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* Mar 1985;32:230-236.
 32. Clifford GD, Behar J, Li Q, Rezek I. Signal quality indices and data fusion for determining clinical acceptability of electrocardiograms. *Physiol Meas* Sep 2012;33:1419-1433.
 33. Goldberger AL, Amaral LAN, Glass L, et al. PhysioBank, PhysioToolkit, and PhysioNet. *Circulation* 2000-06-13 2000;101:e215-e220.
 34. Ben-Moshe N, Tsutsui K, Biton S, Sörnmo L, Behar J. RawECGNet: Deep Learning Generalization for Atrial Fibrillation Detection from the Raw ECG. *arXiv* 2023arXiv:2401.05411.

Author Contributions

KT conceptualized the project, gathered, and managed clinical data associated with the present study, and drafted the manuscript. KT, JMS, JO read and annotated Holter ECG. SBB, NBM, JAB performed computational work, prepared the database for open release and revised the manuscript. HM, YI, TA, SN and RK reviewed and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

None declared.

n		100
Age, mean (SD)		68.0 (11.3)
Female, n (%)		45 (45.0)
Height (m), mean (SD)		1.6 (0.1)
Weight (kg), mean (SD)		61.1 (14.4)
BMI, mean (SD)		22.9 (4.2)
AF type, n (%)	Paroxysmal	69 (69.0)
	Persistent	11 (11.0)
	Sinus rhythm (non-AF)	20 (20.0)
History of AFL, n (%)		14 (14.0)
Previous ablation, n (%)		28 (28.0)
Pacemaker, n (%)		3 (3.0)
Antiarrhythmic drugs, n (%)	Bepriidil	3 (3.0)
	Pilsicainide	1 (1.0)
	Amiodarone	10 (10.0)
	Cibenzoline	2 (2.0)
	Frecaïnide	1 (1.0)
	Pilsicainide	3 (3.0)

Table 1: Patient characteristics of the SHDB-AF dataset.