arXiv:2406.16898v1 [astro-ph.IM] 28 May 2024

Detecting kHz gravitons from a neutron star merger with a multi-mode resonant bar

Germain Tobar,¹ Igor Pikovski,^{1,2} and Michael E. Tobar³

¹Department of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Physics, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030, USA

³Quantum Technologies and Dark Matter Labs, Department of Physics,

University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, 6009 Crawley, Western Australia.

We propose a multi-mode bar consisting of mass elements of decreasing size for the direct detection of stimulated absorption of up to kHz gravitons from a neutron star merger and post-merger. We find that the multi-mode detector has normal modes that retain the coupling strength to the gravitational wave of the largest mass-element, while only having an effective mass comparable to the mass of the smallest element. This allows the normal modes to have graviton absorption rates due to the tonne-scale largest mass, while the single graviton absorption process in the normal mode could be resolved through energy measurements of a mass-element in-principle smaller than pico-gram scale. This improves the transduction of the single-graviton signal compared to a single-mode detector, enhancing the feasibility of detecting single gravitons.

Introduction. The direct detection of gravitational radiation has stimulated a rise in gravitational wave (GW) astronomy [1–5], with signals from both black hole (BH) binary mergers and neutron star (NS) binary mergers. In addition, the capability to gain control over quantum systems at large mass scales is increasing rapidly, with the preparation of non-classical states in mechanical oscillators [6-10]. This progress has driven new ideas for signatures of the quantum nature of gravity, such as quantum features of gravity beyond expected physics [11, 12], quantum features of gravitational source masses [13–20], tests of collapse models [21–29], and quantum features of gravitational radiation [30-35]. Motivated by these advancements, we developed a proposal for the direct detection of single gravitons with frequencies on the order of 100 Hz through projective measurements of the energy of a ground state cooled kg-scale bar resonator [36]. An implementation of this proposal would provide an experiment involving the direct detection of a single graviton, as measurement of an increase in energy of the bar by a single energy quanta due to a gravitational wave, would correspond to a gravitational version of the photo-electric effect [36], which had previously been considered impossible [37].

While ground state cooling of a variety of mechanical oscillators has been shown to be feasible [38–41], including the kg-scale LIGO mirror [42] and tonne-scale bars [43], the most significant obstacle to the implementation of the graviton detection proposal of Ref. [36] is performing direct measurements of single energy quanta of such a large mechanical oscillator. The largest mechanical resonator this has been achieved for is currently on the order of micro-grams [44]. Furthermore, while the LIGO interferometers have been successful in detecting GWs mostly in their peak sensitivity range ~ 100 Hz, their sensitivity decays at higher frequencies due to photon shot noise. Proposals have been developed for GW detection at kHz frequencies for continuous signals [45], or for speculative sources of GWs [46]. However, GWs at frequencies above ~ 100 Hz are expected from sources such as the late inspiral phase of a NS-NS merger (for

which the GW frequency becomes as large as ~ 800 Hz [47]), as well as the post-merger signal [48, 49], for which numerical relativity simulations predict GW frequencies on the order of kHz [47]. Independently of implementing the gravito-phononic effect [36], detecting a GW from a NS-NS merger at these higher frequency ranges can provide insights into post-merger remnants, yielding information on the hot nuclear equation of state [47, 49].

In this letter, we propose the use of a multi-mode resonant bar [50–52] coupled to a parametric transducer [53], for the direct detection of up to kHz frequency gravitons emitted from a NS-NS merger. The signal is deposited into the either gram or pico-gram mass normal modes of the coupled oscillator system, while retaining the graviton absorption rate from the largest mass element (which can feasibly be on the order of tonnes [43, 54-56]). A single graviton transition in the normal mode could then be resolved through a measurement of a single phonon transition in the smallest end mass (which as we show in this letter, can in-principle have a mass lower than pico-grams). The substantially smaller end mass is suitable for optomechanical measurement of discrete singlephonon transitions. Furthermore, our proposal extends the possibility for the detection of gravitational radiation to a completely untested frequency regime for expected GW signals from neutron stars. These novelties combine to significantly enhance the near future feasibility of the direct detection of a single graviton.

Experimental protocol. The proposed set-up is shown schematically in Fig. 1. We consider a series of Nstrongly coupled mass elements of successively decreasing mass such that $m_{i+1} \ll m_i$, where m_i is the effective mass of the i-th element. Our protocol involves firstly ground-state cooling each of the normal modes of the strongly coupled system, and subsequently performing measurements of single phonon transitions on the end mass induced by the gravitational wave. Here, we propose an optomechanical read-out, in which an electromagnetic cavity is coupled to the position of the smallest end mass. Due to the normal-mode splitting, coupling electromagnetic radiation to the end mass will al-



Figure 1. kHz gravitational waves emitted from Neutron-Star mergers, result in stimulated absorption of gravitons in a multimode bar resonator. This can be used to implement a gravitational analogue of the photo-electric effect. Only the first mass is large enough to receive a non-negligible force from the passing gravitational wave, however, due to the strong coupling to the smaller masses, this will excite normal modes, causing graviton to phonon conversion into the normal mode of the coupled oscillator system. The phonon number of the normal mode can be measured through an optomechanical readout of the end mass. In this case, the problem of resolving a single graviton absorption, only requires the readout of the end mass, which can have lower than a pico-gram scale mass, while retaining the graviton absorption rate from the tonne-scale largest lumped mass. A measured single phonon transition in the normal mode, will correspond to a single-graviton-detection event.

low for measuring single-phonon transitions in each of the normal-modes, which as previously explained, inherit the graviton absorption rate from the largest mass in the chain of coupled oscillators. Each of the normal modes can be read-out in rapid succession, providing an array of resonators that each have a comparable signal [29]. If the mechanical mode is driven with a red-detuned pulse, an anti-stokes photon will only be produced when a single phonon is produced by the GW. Subsequent detection of the anti-stokes photon will herald a single phonon transition (and therefore a single graviton absorption from the GW). Correlation with a classical detection at LIGO can ensure the detector-click is due to the absorption of a graviton, rather than a noise phonon [36].

Single mode results.

Here we briefly review the dynamics of a single phononic mode of a bar interacting with (quantised) gravitational radiation considered initially in Ref. [36]. The Hamiltonian for the bar as a mechanical oscillator of effective mass M/2 (where M is the total mass of the bar) and frequency ω_0 interacting with quantised gravitational radiation is [36, 57]

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega_0 \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \frac{L}{\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar}{\omega_0}} \left(\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger} \right) \ddot{\hat{h}}, \qquad (1)$$

where \hat{h} is the quantised gravitational field perturbation in units of strain (which reduces to the classical metric perturbation h in the semi-classical limit) and \hat{b}^{\dagger} (\hat{b}^{\dagger}) are the creation (annihilation) operators for the bar's fundamental mode. In order to solve for the dynamics of a ground-state cooled bar interacting with gravi-

| NS-merger | Inspiral | Inspiral | Post-merger |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| $\omega_0/2\pi$ | $125 \; \mathrm{Hz}$ | $800 \ Hz$ | $2410 \; \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| $h(\omega_0)$ | 2×10^{-22} | 2×10^{-22} | 5×10^{-23} |
| Material | Sapphire | Sapphire | Sapphire |
| v_s | $10^4 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4 {\rm \ ms^{-1}}$ |
| Т | $10 \mathrm{~mK}$ | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ | $10 \ {\rm mK}$ |
| Q | 10^{9} | 10^{9} | 10^{9} |
| M | $40 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $15,000 \mathrm{kg}$ | $3500 \mathrm{kg}$ |

Table I. Optimal mass for detecting single gravitons from a single-mode BAR resonator of resonance frequency ω_0 in various frequency ranges of the gravitational waves emitted from a NS-merger at a distance of 40 Mpc. The optimal mass is computed such that there is at least a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that a transition to an excited state will occur. The temperature and Q-factor is calculated such that the thermal-phonon rate integrated over a 0.5 s observation-window yields an excitation probability lower than $P \approx 0.6$. v_s is the speed of sound of the proposed sapphire detector.

tons, we adapt the solution for the time-evolution under Eq. (1) given in Ref. [36], for which the dynamics is captured by the unitary time-evolution operator $\hat{U}(t) = e^{-i\omega_0\hat{b}^{\dagger}\hat{b}}\hat{D}(\beta(t))$ with displacement operator $\hat{D}(\beta) = e^{\beta\hat{b}^{\dagger}-\beta^*\hat{b}}$, such that $\beta(t) = -i\frac{L}{\pi^2}\sqrt{\frac{M}{\hbar\omega_0}}\int_0^t ds\ddot{h}(s)e^{i\omega_0s}$. Therefore, under the interaction with the gravitational wave, a ground-state-cooled bar evolves into the coherent state $|\psi(t)\rangle = |\beta(t)e^{-i\omega_0t}\rangle$. In order to obtain at least a $1-\frac{1}{e}$ probability that a transition to an excited state will

occur (which quantum mechanically arises due to the absorption of a discrete number of gravitons), we solve for the mass of the bar such that $|\beta(t)| = 1$. The results are displayed in Table I for the interaction of a bar at three different resonance frequencies at which GW signals are expected from a neutron-star inspiral or post-merger signal. In the first column, we examine the response of a 125 Hz bar mode interacting with a chirping GW signal which closely mimics the first NS-NS merger GW signal detected at LIGO [3]. In the second and third column, we compute the mass which ensures $\beta(t) = 1$ using simulated results for the waveform prior to the merger (second column), and the gravitational wave's expected post-merger waveform (see SI). The simulated waveforms are taken from Ref. [47], such that it is the waveform expected from a NS-NS merger at a distance of 40 Mpc.

Dynamics of a multi-mode bar interacting with gravitational radiation. Here we model the dynamics of a multimode resonant-mass detector interacting with gravitons. We model the system with position and momentum coordinates of each of the lumped elements as canonical co-ordinates $[x_i, p_j] = \delta_{ij}$. The influence of the gravitons are modelled as a time-dependent external driving force only on the first lumped-element in the chain of coupled oscillators. After converting to the normal mode basis of the strongly coupled three-mode system, we can then expand the co-ordinate of the first-lumped mass in terms of the normal modes to derive the graviton absorption rate in each of the normal modes. Here, we present the 3-mode dynamics for conciseness, but the generalisation to the 5-mode dynamics is straight-forward (see SI).

The Hamiltonian of a three-mode detector with a driving force of strength g(t) input to the first lumped mass (Fig. 1) is

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2m_3} + \frac{\kappa_1 x_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2 (x_2 - x_1)^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_3 (x_3 - x_2)^2}{2} + g(t)x_1,$$
(2)

where m_1, m_2, m_3 are the effective masses of each of the individual elements and $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ are the spring constants. The assumption that only the first mass is driven by the gravitational wave is valid for $m_1 \gg m_{i>1}$, since in this case the coupling strength of the subsequent smaller mass-elements to the gravitational wave is negligible.

Diagonalising the Hamiltonian of coupled oscillators, we obtain

$$H = \sum_{j=-}^{+} \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m_j} + \frac{m_j \omega_j^2 x_j^2}{2} \right) + g(t) x_1, \qquad (3)$$

where $j \in -, \text{mid}, +$, labels the canonical co-ordinates, frequencies and effective masses of each of the normal modes. Now, expanding the canonical co-ordinate of the first lumped mass in the second term using $x_1 = P_{11}\sqrt{\frac{m_{\text{mid}}}{m_1}}x_- + P_{12}\sqrt{\frac{m_-}{m_1}}x_{\text{mid}} + P_{13}\sqrt{\frac{m_+}{m_1}}x_+$ (where P_{ij} are constants - see SI), we find that when converted to the normal mode basis, the interaction term corresponds to a coupling of the gravitational radiation to each of the three normal modes. Finally, quantising the normal mode co-ordinates and expanding in terms of creation and annihilation operators yields the following free and interaction Hamiltonian terms in the basis of the normal modes:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=-}^{+} \hbar \omega_j \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \frac{P_{1j}}{\pi^2} L \ddot{h}(t) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar M}{\omega_j} \left(\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_j\right)}, \quad (4)$$

and we see here explicitly that although only the first mass element is driven by the gravitational wave, a signal is deposited into each of the slightly detuned normal modes.

We now show the response of the detector discussed above is consistent with a single graviton exchange process. The interaction term of the gravitational radiation with each of the normal modes in Eq. (3), implies observing a discrete transition to an excited state in any of the normal modes involves the stimulated absorption of a discrete number of gravitons, and therefore will also correspond to a gravitational version of the photo-electric effect [36]. To see this explicitly, we quantise the gravitational field interacting with the bar [36] by taking the mode expansion $\hat{h} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{q,\mathbf{k}} \left(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right)$ where $h_{q,\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G\hbar}{\nu_{\mathbf{k}}}}$ and $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right)$ are the annihilation (creation) operators for single gravitons with wavenumber **k** and frequency $\nu_{\mathbf{k}}$. Now, computing the transition rate due to the absorption of single gravitons (assuming a monochromatic gravitational field, on-resonance with the *j*-th normal mode, and in the rotating-waveapproximation) using Fermi's golden rule [36, 58], we obtain

$$\Gamma_{\text{stim },j} = \frac{P_{1j}^2 v_s^2}{4\pi^3 \hbar} M h^2, \qquad (5)$$

as the graviton absorption rate in the *j*-th normal mode. This modifies the graviton absorption rate computed in Ref. [36] by the square of the factor of conversion P_{1j} between the first lumped element's co-ordinates and the normal mode co-ordinates (which is of order unity for tuned resonators as shown in the SI).

The observation of a discrete transition between energy eigenstates of a normal mode, therefore will also correspond to a signature of quantum gravity, in the same sense as we previously argued [36], and to the same extent as the photo-electric effect for photons: although the transition can be explained semi-classically without quantising the gravitational field [36, 59] (or the electromagnetic field in the photonic case [60, 61]), the semiclassical explanation violates energy conservation.

Three mode and five mode results.

We now repeat the calculations performed in the single-mode case, but with the modified Hamiltonian for the coupling of the GW to each of the three normal modes

| NS-merger | Inspiral | Inspiral | Post-merger |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| $\omega_{\rm mid}/2\pi$ | $125 \; \mathrm{Hz}$ | $800 \ Hz$ | $2410 \ \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| $h(\omega_0)$ | 2×10^{-22} | 2×10^{-22} | 5×10^{-23} |
| Material | Sapphire | Sapphire | Sapphire |
| v_s | $10^4~{\rm ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4~{\rm ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4 {\rm \ ms^{-1}}$ |
| Т | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ |
| Q | 10^{9} | 10^{9} | 10^{9} |
| M_1 | 40 kg | 14,000kg | 4000kg |
| M_3 | $0.2~{ m g}$ | $0.14~{ m g}$ | $1 \mathrm{g}$ |
| $m_{\rm eff}$ | $0.5~{ m g}$ | 0.6 g | 6 g |

Table II. Optimal mass M_1 of the first lumped element in a three-mode-detector for optimising the probability of absorbing a single graviton from a NS merger signal in various frequency ranges. The optimal mass is computed such that there is approximately a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that a transition to an excited state will occur. The mass of the third and final element M_3 , as well as the order of magnitude of the effective mass of the three normal modes m_{eff} are also displayed. The temperature and Q-factor is calculated such that the thermalphonon rate integrated over a 0.5 s observation-window yields an excitation probability lower than $P \approx 0.6$.

given in Eq. (4). Extending to a five-mode example follows an analogous calculation to the three-mode example presented in the last section (see SI). The results are displayed in Table II for a 3-mode example, and Table III for a 5-mode example, which model the interaction of a bar at the same three different resonance frequencies at which GW signals are expected as considered in the earlier single mode example. We give detector parameters that allow for the single graviton absorption signal to be transduced to a gram-scale mass-element in Table II, and a picogram-scale mass-element in Table III (such that successive measurements across each of the normal modes allow for approximately a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that a single-phonon-transition is recorded).

Readout. We consider an optomechanical scheme [62] for measuring the energy of the end mass:

$$\hat{H}_{\rm int} = -\hbar G \hat{x}_3 \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}, \qquad (6)$$

where the radiation pressure from an electromagnetic cavity with mode operators \hat{a} , \hat{a}^{\dagger} couple to the position of the end lumped-element \hat{x}_3 , with coupling strength G. Such an optomechanical read-out has been implemented in bars, using a capacitative coupling to a microwave cavity [53]. In order to see explicitly the enhancement in transductance due to the lower effective mass normal mode, we expand the canonical co-ordinate of the end lumped mass in terms of the normal mode co-ordinates as $\hat{x}_3 = P_{31}\sqrt{\frac{m}{m_3}}\hat{x}_- + P_{32}\sqrt{\frac{m_{\rm mid}}{m_3}}\hat{x}_{\rm mid} + P_{33}\sqrt{\frac{m_+}{m_3}}\hat{x}_+$. This provides an optomechanical interaction between the photonic cavity and each of the slightly detuned normal modes. However, in this case the single-photon optomechanical coupling strength to the *j*-th normal mode is $g_j = GP_{3j}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m_3\omega_j}}$. This is a factor of $\eta = P_{3j}\sqrt{\frac{m_{11}\omega_1}{m_3\omega_j}}$

4

| NS-merger | Inspiral | Inspiral | Post-merger |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| $\omega_{\rm mid}/2\pi$ | $125 \; \mathrm{Hz}$ | $800 \ Hz$ | $2410 \ \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| $h(\omega_0)$ | 2×10^{-22} | 2×10^{-22} | 5×10^{-23} |
| Material | Sapphire | Sapphire | Sapphire |
| v_s | $10^4~{\rm ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4~{\rm ms}^{-1}$ | $10^4 {\rm \ ms^{-1}}$ |
| Т | $10 \mathrm{~mK}$ | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ | $10 \mathrm{mK}$ |
| Q | 10^{9} | 10^{9} | 10^{9} |
| M_1 | $40 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $14,000 \mathrm{kg}$ | 4000kg |
| M_5 | $0.26 \mathrm{~pg}$ | $0.2 \ \mathrm{ng}$ | $500 \mathrm{~pg}$ |
| $m_{ m eff}$ | $0.6~\mathrm{pg}$ | $0.8~{ m ng}$ | 800 pg |

Table III. Optimal mass M_1 of the first lumped element in a five-mode-detector for optimising the probability of absorbing a single graviton from a NS merger signal in various frequency ranges. The optimal mass is computed such that there is approximately a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that a transition to an excited state will occur. The mass of the fifth and final element M_5 , as well as the order of magnitude of the effective mass of the three normal modes $m_{\rm eff}$ are also displayed. The temperature and Q-factor is calculated such that the thermal-phonon rate integrated over a 0.5 s observation-window yields an excitation probability lower than $P \approx 0.6$.

larger coupling strength than if the optical cavity is coupled directly to the first mass-element. For the mass-element parameters we consider in this work (see SI), we have $P_{3j} \sim 1$ and $\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_j}} \sim 1$, such that $\eta \sim \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_3}}$. We have presented the three-mode example for simplicity, but this result generalises to the 5-mode example, providing an $\eta \sim \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_5}}$ larger coupling strength.

While Eq. (6) doesn't directly couple to the oscillator's energy (as it is proportional to \hat{x} , rather than \hat{x}^2). Measurement of single-phonon transitions in mechanical oscillators from pure Fock states has been shown to be feasible even if there exists only a coupling to the position operator of the oscillator [63]. If the oscillator is in the n = 1 Fock state, this can be resolved through the scattering and detection of an anti-stokes photon with frequency $\Omega = \omega_i + \omega_c$ (where ω_c is the cavity frequency). Detection of the scattered photon heralds the transition from the n = 1 Fock state. Measuring single-phonon transitions from pure Fock states in this way has been achieved experimentally [64–66] in nano-mechanical oscillators in the resolved sideband regime, however with optical-readout at higher mechanical resonance frequencies. Transduction from a tonne-scale bar to a substantially smaller mass element has been achieved with readout in the required resolved sideband regime [67], using smaller than kilo-gram or gram-scale elements for the end mass in such a set up will further enhance the single-photon optomechanical coupling strength. However, rather than measuring the individual quadratures of the microwave field, detecting single gravitons will require the modification that scattered microwave photons are registered on a photon-counter, to herald a single phonon transition (and therefore a single-graviton absorption).

A closer gravito-phononic simulation of a photoelectric detector would involve observing quantum jumps [68] between quantised energy levels of the oscillator. This requires at least a coupling to \hat{x}^2 of the end mass, which can be achieved in an optomechanical setting through for example, a membrane-in-the-middle setup [69]. An optomechanical coupling which achieves this coupling, can then again be expanded in terms of the normal modes, picking up contributions proportional to the square of the position operator of each of the normal modes. In this case, the coupling is enhanced by a factor $\frac{m_1}{m_5}$ compared to a direct coupling to the largest mass-element. This in principle reduces the difficulty of measuring a quantum jump between energy levels of a mechanical oscillator induced by the absorption of a single graviton to the simpler task of measuring a quantum jump in the orders of magnitude smaller end mass of the coupled-oscillator system.

Conclusion. In summary, we proposed the direct detection of single gravitons of up to KHz frequencies with a multi-mode bar. We have shown our proposed protocol gives realistic graviton absorption probabilities for experimentally relevant tonne-scale bars in three different frequency ranges at which GW emission is expected from NS-NS mergers. Despite the largest mass being on the order of tonnes, the absorption of gravitons in this mass can be resolved by reading out single phonon transitions in the end mass which can be orders of magnitude less massive. The smaller effective mass of the coupled oscillator system provides orders of magnitude larger transductance as compared to the direct read-out of single phonon transitions of the largest mass-element. This enables reading-out a single graviton absorption process in a tonne-scale bar through measuring a single phonon transition in a mechanical oscillator, which is orders of magnitude smaller. In analogy with the photo-electric effect, recording a single graviton absorption process in the normal mode will provide the most compelling experimental evidence of the quantisation of gravity to date.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Vivishek Sudhir, Witlef Wieczorek and Magdalena Zych for discussions. We thank Nikhil Sarin for providing the data from Ref. [47] of the simulated NS-NS merger and post-merger signal. We thank Vivishek Sudhir for suggesting the idea to herald single graviton transitions through Raman scattering. MET was funded by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Engineered Quantum Systems, CE170100009 and Dark Matter Particle Physics, CE200100008. IP was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 2239498. GT acknowledges support from the General Sir John Monash Foundation.

- [1] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, *et al.*, "Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger," Physical Review Letters **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [2] B. Abbott, T. Abbott, S. Anderson, K. Arai, M. Arain, L. Austin, M. Barbet, B. Barr, J. Bartlett, I. Bartos, Bergman, *et al.*, "Sensitivity of the advanced ligo detectors at the beginning of gravitational wave astronomy," Physical Review D **93** (2016).
- [3] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, *et al.*, "Gw170817: observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral," Physical Review Letters **119**, 161101 (2017).
- [4] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, S. Abraham, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, C. Affeldt, *et al.*, "Narrow-band search for gravitational waves from known pulsars using the second ligo observing run," Physical Review D **99**, 122002 (2019).
- [5] R. Abbott, H. Abe, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, S. Adhicary, N. Adhikari, R. Adhikari, V. Adkins, V. Adya, C. Affeldt, *et al.*, "Open data from the third observing run of ligo, virgo, kagra and geo," arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.03676 (2023).
- [6] E. E. Wollman, C. U. Lei, A. J. Weinstein, J. Suh, A. Kronwald, F. Marquardt, A. A. Clerk, and K. C. Schwab, "Quantum squeezing of motion in a mechanical resonator," Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science) **349**, 952–955 (2015).

- [7] Y. Chu, P. Kharel, W. H. Renninger, L. D. Burkhart, L. Frunzio, P. T. Rakich, and R. J. Schoelkopf, "Quantum acoustics with superconducting qubits," Science 358, 199–202 (2017).
- [8] K. J. Satzinger, Y. P. Zhong, H.-S. Chang, G. A. Peairs, A. Bienfait, M.-H. Chou, A. Y. Cleland, C. R. Conner, E. Dumur, J. Grebel, I. Gutierrez, B. H. November, R. G. Povey, S. J. Whiteley, D. D. Awschalom, D. I. Schuster, and A. N. Cleland, "Quantum control of surface acousticwave phonons," Nature 563, 661–665 (2018).
- [9] C. F. Ockeloen-Korppi, E. Damskägg, J.-M. Pirkkalainen, M. Asjad, A. A. Clerk, F. Massel, M. J. Woolley, and M. A. Sillanpää, "Stabilized entanglement of massive mechanical oscillators," Nature (London) 556, 478–482 (2018).
- [10] B. Schrinski, Y. Yang, U. von Lüpke, M. Bild, Y. Chu, K. Hornberger, S. Nimmrichter, and M. Fadel, "Macroscopic quantum test with bulk acoustic wave resonators," Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 133604 (2023).
- [11] I. Pikovski, M. R. Vanner, M. Aspelmeyer, M. Kim, and Č. Brukner, "Probing planck-scale physics with quantum optics," Nature Physics 8, 393–397 (2012).
- [12] A. Belenchia, D. M. Benincasa, S. Liberati, F. Marin, F. Marino, and A. Ortolan, "Testing quantum gravity induced nonlocality via optomechanical quantum oscillators," Physical Review Letters **116**, 161303 (2016).
- [13] S. Bose, A. Mazumdar, G. W. Morley, H. Ulbricht, M. Toroš, M. Paternostro, A. A. Geraci, P. F. Barker, M. Kim, and G. Milburn, "Spin entanglement witness for quantum gravity," Physical Review Letters **119**, 240401

(2017).

- [14] C. Marletto and V. Vedral, "Gravitationally induced entanglement between two massive particles is sufficient evidence of quantum effects in gravity," Physical Review Letters 119, 240402 (2017).
- [15] Y. Liu, J. Mummery, J. Zhou, and M. A. Sillanpää, "Gravitational forces between nonclassical mechanical oscillators," Phys. Rev. Appl. 15, 034004 (2021).
- [16] J. S. Pedernales, K. Streltsov, and M. B. Plenio, "Enhancing gravitational interaction between quantum systems by a massive mediator," Physical Review Letters 128, 110401 (2022).
- [17] M. Aspelmeyer, "When Zeh Meets Feynman: How to Avoid the Appearance of a Classical World in Gravity Experiments," in *From Quantum to Classical; Essays in Honour of H.-Dieter Zeh*, edited by C. Kiefer (2022) pp. 85–95.
- [18] D. Carney, "Newton, entanglement, and the graviton," Physical Review D 105, 024029 (2022).
- [19] J. Yant and M. Blencowe, "Gravitationally induced entanglement in a harmonic trap," Phys. Rev. D 107, 106018 (2023).
- [20] G. Higgins, A. D. Biagio, and M. Christodoulou, "Gravitationally mediated entanglement with superpositions of rotational energies," (2024), arXiv:2403.02062 [quantph].
- [21] A. Bassi, K. Lochan, S. Satin, T. P. Singh, and H. Ulbricht, "Models of wave-function collapse, underlying theories, and experimental tests," Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 471– 527 (2013).
- [22] M. Bahrami, M. Paternostro, A. Bassi, and H. Ulbricht, "Proposal for a noninterferometric test of collapse models in optomechanical systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 210404 (2014).
- [23] D. Kafri, J. M. Taylor, and G. J. Milburn, "A classical channel model for gravitational decoherence," New journal of physics 16, 65020–11 (2014).
- [24] A. Bassi, A. Großardt, and H. Ulbricht, "Gravitational decoherence," Classical and Quantum Gravity 34, 193002 (2017).
- [25] A. Tilloy and T. M. Stace, "Neutron star heating constraints on wave-function collapse models," Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 080402 (2019).
- [26] S. Forstner, M. Zych, S. Basiri-Esfahani, K. E. Khosla, and W. P. Bowen, "Nanomechanical test of quantum linearity," Optica 7, 1427 (2020).
- [27] A. Vinante, M. Carlesso, A. Bassi, A. Chiasera, S. Varas, P. Falferi, B. Margesin, R. Mezzena, and H. Ulbricht, "Narrowing the parameter space of collapse models with ultracold layered force sensors," Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 100404 (2020).
- [28] M. Carlesso, S. Donadi, L. Ferialdi, M. Paternostro, H. Ulbricht, and A. Bassi, "Present status and future challenges of non-interferometric tests of collapse models," Nature physics 18, 243–250 (2022).
- [29] G. Tobar, S. Forstner, A. Fedorov, and W. P. Bowen, "Testing spontaneous wavefunction collapse with quantum electromechanics," Quantum Science and Technology 8, 045003 (2023).
- [30] M. P. Blencowe, "Effective field theory approach to gravitationally induced decoherence," Physical review letters 111, 021302–021302 (2013).
- [31] B. Pang and Y. Chen, "Quantum interactions between a laser interferometer and gravitational waves," Phys. Rev.

D 98, 124006 (2018).

- [32] M. Parikh, F. Wilczek, and G. Zahariade, "The noise of gravitons," International Journal of Modern Physics D 29, 2042001 (2020).
- [33] S. Kanno, J. Soda, and J. Tokuda, "Noise and decoherence induced by gravitons," Physical Review D 103, 044017 (2021).
- [34] T. Guerreiro, F. Coradeschi, A. M. Frassino, J. R. West, and E. J. Schioppa, "Quantum signatures in nonlinear gravitational waves," Quantum 6, 879 (2022).
- [35] L. Abrahão, F. Coradeschi, A. Micol Frassino, T. Guerreiro, J. Rittenhouse West, and E. J. Schioppa, "The quantum optics of gravitational waves," Classical and quantum gravity 41, 15029 (2024).
- [36] G. Tobar, S. K. Manikandan, T. Beitel, and I. Pikovski, "Detecting single gravitons with quantum sensing," (2023), arXiv:2308.15440 [quant-ph].
- [37] F. Dyson, "Is a graviton detectable?" International journal of modern physics. A, Particles and fields, gravitation, cosmology 28, 1330041 (2013).
- [38] J. Chan, T. M. Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Gröblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, "Laser cooling of a nanomechanical oscillator into its quantum ground state," Nature 478, 89–92 (2011).
- [39] U. Delić, M. Reisenbauer, K. Dare, D. Grass, V. Vuletić, N. Kiesel, and M. Aspelmeyer, "Cooling of a levitated nanoparticle to the motional quantum ground state," Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science) 367, 892–895 (2020).
- [40] S. Barzanjeh, A. Xuereb, S. Gröblacher, M. Paternostro, C. A. Regal, and E. M. Weig, "Optomechanics for quantum technologies," Nature Physics 18, 15–24 (2022).
- [41] E. Rej, R. Cutting, D. Datta, N. Tiencken, J. Govenius, V. Vesterinen, Y. Liu, and M. A. Sillanpää, "Near-ground state cooling in electromechanics using measurement-based feedback and josephson parametric amplifier," (2024), arXiv:2403.02319 [quant-ph].
- [42] C. Whittle, E. D. Hall, S. Dwyer, N. Mavalvala, V. Sudhir, R. Abbott, A. Ananyeva, C. Austin, L. Barsotti, J. Betzwieser, *et al.*, "Approaching the motional ground state of a 10-kg object," Science **372**, 1333–1336 (2021).
- [43] A. Vinante, M. Bignotto, M. Bonaldi, M. Cerdonio, L. Conti, P. Falferi, N. Liguori, S. Longo, R. Mezzena, A. Ortolan, G. A. Prodi, F. Salemi, L. Taffarello, G. Vedovato, S. Vitale, and J.-P. Zendri, "Feedback cooling of the normal modes of a massive electromechanical system to submillikelvin temperature," Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 033601 (2008).
- [44] U. von Lüpke, Y. Yang, M. Bild, L. Michaud, M. Fadel, and Y. Chu, "Parity measurement in the strong dispersive regime of circuit quantum acoustodynamics," Nature physics 18, 794–799 (2022).
- [45] S. Singh, L. A. D. Lorenzo, I. Pikovski, and K. C. Schwab, "Detecting continuous gravitational waves with superfluid 4he," New journal of physics 19, 073023 (2017).
- [46] A. Arvanitaki and A. A. Geraci, "Detecting highfrequency gravitational waves with optically levitated sensors," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 071105 (2013).
- [47] N. Sarin and P. D. Lasky, "The evolution of binary neutron star post-merger remnants: a review," General relativity and gravitation 53 (2021).
- [48] K. W. Tsang, T. Dietrich, and C. Van Den Broeck,

"Modeling the postmerger gravitational wave signal and extracting binary properties from future binary neutron star detections," Phys. Rev. D **100**, 044047 (2019).

- [49] K. Ackley, P. Altin, G. Ashton, M. Bailes, E. Baltinas, A. Barbuio, D. Beniwal, C. Blair, D. Blair, et al., "Neutron star extreme matter observatory: A kilohertzband gravitational-wave detector in the global network," Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia 37 (2020).
- [50] J.-P. Richard, "Wide-band bar detectors of gravitational radiation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 165–167 (1984).
- [51] J. C. Price, "Optimal design of resonant-mass gravitational wave antennas," Phys. Rev. D 36, 3555–3570 (1987).
- [52] M. E. Tobar, "Characterizing multi-mode resonant-mass gravitational wave detectors," Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 28, 1729 (1995).
- [53] M. E. Tobar and D. G. Blair, "Sensitivity analysis of a resonant-mass gravitational wave antenna with a parametric transducer," Review of Scientific Instruments 66, 2751–2759 (1995), [Erratum: Rev. Sci. Instrum., 94, 12, 129903 (2023)].
- [54] D. G. Blair, E. N. Ivanov, M. E. Tobar, P. J. Turner, F. van Kann, and I. S. Heng, "High sensitivity gravitational wave antenna with parametric transducer readout," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1908–1911 (1995).
- [55] M. Cerdonio, M. Bonaldi, D. Carlesso, E. Cavallini, S. Caruso, A. Colombo, P. Falferi, G. Fontana, P. L. Fortini, R. Mezzena, A. Ortolan, G. A. Prodi, L. Taffarello, G. Vedovato, S. Vitale, and J. P. Zendri, "The ultracryogenic gravitational-wave detector auriga," Classical and Quantum Gravity 14, 1491 (1997).
- [56] L. Gottardi, A. de Waard, O. Usenko, G. Frossati, M. Podt, J. Flokstra, M. Bassan, V. Fafone, Y. Minenkov, and A. Rocchi, "Sensitivity of the spherical gravitational wave detector minigrail operating at 5 k," Phys. Rev. D 76, 102005 (2007).
- [57] M. Maggiore, Gravitational waves. Volume 1, Theory and experiments (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [58] Y. Kahn, J. Schütte-Engel, and T. Trickle, "Searching for high-frequency gravitational waves with phonons," Phys. Rev. D 109, 096023 (2024).

- [59] D. Carney, V. Domcke, and N. L. Rodd, "Graviton detection and the quantization of gravity," (2023), arXiv:2308.12988 [hep-th].
- [60] W. E. Lamb Jr and M. O. Scully, "The photoelectric effect without photons," in *Polarization, Matter, Radiation* (Presses Universitaires, Paris, 1968) pp. 363–369.
- [61] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical coherence and quantum optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [62] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, "Cavity optomechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391–1452 (2014).
- [63] C. Galland, N. Sangouard, N. Piro, N. Gisin, and T. J. Kippenberg, "Heralded single-phonon preparation, storage, and readout in cavity optomechanics," Physical review letters 112, 143602–143602 (2014).
- [64] S. Hong, R. Riedinger, I. Marinković, A. Wallucks, S. G. Hofer, R. A. Norte, M. Aspelmeyer, and S. Gröblacher, "Hanbury brown and twiss interferometry of single phonons from an optomechanical resonator," Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science) **358**, 203–206 (2017).
- [65] S. T. Velez, K. Seibold, N. Kipfer, M. D. Anderson, V. Sudhir, and C. Galland, "Preparation and decay of a single quantum of vibration at ambient conditions," Physical Review X 9, 041007 (2019).
- [66] M. Mirhosseini, A. Sipahigil, M. Kalaee, and O. Painter, "Superconducting qubit to optical photon transduction," Nature (London) 588, 599–603 (2020).
- [67] B. D. Cuthbertson, M. E. Tobar, E. N. Ivanov, and D. G. Blair, "Parametric back-action effects in a high-q cyrogenic sapphire transducer," Review of scientific instruments 67, 2435–2442 (1996).
- [68] Z. K. Minev, S. O. Mundhada, S. Shankar, P. Reinhold, R. Gutiérrez-Jáuregui, R. J. Schoelkopf, M. Mirrahimi, H. J. Carmichael, and M. H. Devoret, "To catch and reverse a quantum jump mid-flight," Nature 570, 200– 204 (2019).
- [69] J. D. Thompson, B. M. Zwickl, A. M. Jayich, F. Marquadt, S. M. Girvin, and J. G. E. Harris, "Strong dispersive coupling of a high-finesse cavity to a micromechanical membrane," Nature (London) 452, 72–75 (2008).

Detecting kHz gravitons from a neutron star merger with a multi-mode resonant BAR: Supplementary Information

Germain Tobar¹, Igor Pikovski^{1,2}, and Michael E. Tobar³

¹ Department of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.

² Department of Physics, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030, USA. and

³ Quantum Technologies and Dark Matter Labs,

Department of Physics, University of Western Australia,

35 Stirling Hwy, 6009 Crawley, Western Australia.

(Dated: June 26, 2024)

I. NS-NS MERGER SIMULATED SIGNAL

In this work we have aimed to solve for the response of a single mode of a BAR to the higher frequency GW signals of an in-spiralling NS-NS merger than considered in Ref. [1] - this is because the current LIGO detectors are most sensitive early in the inspiral phase. Once the emitted gravitational waves frequency chirp changes to a higher frequency, noise sources such as photon shot noise make the signal difficult to resolve. Therefore, we are interested in the higher-frequency-GW signal from a NS-NS merger, in particular the period in which the frequency of the emitted GW becomes as large as being on the order of 800 Hz, or the post-merger phase, where the frequency is expected to be on the order of 2.4 kHz. Detecting at these higher frequencies, would provide a GW detector optimised for an entirely new frequency range, while also lessening noise constraints, due to easier ground state cooling.

We now analyse the data from Ref. [2], of a simulated NS-NS merger: it is a simulation of the merger of two $1.35 M_{\odot}$ neutron stars at a distance of 40 Mpc. The wave-form data of this simulation is plotted in Fig. 1 below. However, we emphasize that this waveform is simulated assuming the neutron stars eventually form a black-hole after merging, and that there are other simulated waveforms which involve longer post-merger signals, we refer to Ref. [2] for a comprehensive review.

I.1. Pre-merger

We start with the pre-merger signal, just prior to the merger event, which has a chirping waveform that chirps through the $\nu/2\pi\approx 800~{\rm Hz}$ frequency range.

Now, the mass which optimises for single-graviton-exchange is,

$$M = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar \omega^3}{v_s^2 \chi(h, \omega, t)^2},$$

$$\chi(h, \omega, t) = \left| \int_0^t ds \ddot{h}(s) e^{i\omega s} \right|.$$
(1)

Using the waveform from the simulated data, we obtain an optimal mass of

$$M = 14,791 \text{ kg},$$
 (2)

for a detector resonance frequency of $\omega/2\pi = 800$ Hz (and assuming a sapphire detector). For the pre-merger, the value of χ , was evaluated to be:

$$\chi(h,\omega,t) \approx 9.5 \times 10^{-18}.$$
(3)



FIG. 1. A simulation of the Gravitational waveform produced from merger of two $1.35M_{\odot}$ neutron stars at a distance of 40 Mpc, taken from Ref. [2]. The time-axis is normalised so that the NS-NS merger collision is at t = 0 s. A fast-fourier-transform of the time-domain plot, shows two frequency ranges in which the NS-NS merger produces a signal. Firstly, the pre-merger phase in which gravitational wave has a frequency of approximately 780 Hz. The post-merger signal is a higher frequency gravitational wave (approximately 2410 kHz).

I.2. Post-merger

We now focus on the post-merger signal, which has a sinusoidal wave-form that has a frequency of $\nu/2\pi \approx 2410$ Hz.

We find that after calculating $\chi(h, \omega, t)$ for this waveform, and subsequently the optimal mass that the response of the detector is approximately maximised if it's resonance frequency is $\omega/2\pi =$ 2410 Hz. We perform the calculations assuming $v_s = 10^4$ (assumes a sapphire detector), and find the following results of the calculation:

$$\chi(h,\omega,t) \approx 1 \times 10^{-16}$$

$$M = 3524 \text{ kg.}$$
(4)

Therefore a sapphire detector of mass M = 3524 kg, could be used to control the absorption of a single graviton from such a NS-NS post-merger signal. We now check the above calculation against an alternative estimate, which assumes the post-merger signal is a monochromatic wave of frequency $\omega/2\pi = 2410$ Hz and strain amplitude $h_0 \approx 7 \times 10^{-23}$, and find that it gives rough agreement with the above calculation for $\chi(h, \omega, t)$ - giving $\chi(h, \omega, t) \approx 7 \times 10^{-17}$ - this method involves the analytical estimate from Ref. [1] of $\chi(\tau) \approx h_0 \frac{\omega^2 \tau}{2}$.

II. NORMAL MODE DYNAMICS

II.1. Three mode

Here, we consider the dynamics of three coupled oscillators, in which one of the oscillators is driven by a gravitational wave. For previous studies of a gravitational wave interacting with a multimode detector we refer to Refs. [3-5] The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2m_3} + \frac{\kappa_1 x_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2 (x_2 - x_1)^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_3 (x_3 - x_2)^2}{2} + g(t)x_1.$$
 (5)

The last term indicates a driving force on the first mass (which will be the gravitational wave, this coupling strength is $g(t) = g(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} L \sqrt{\frac{M}{\hbar\omega}} \ddot{h}(t)$, where h(t) is the strain amplitude of the gravitational wave). We now convert to the following set of co-ordinates:

$$\vec{x}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m_1} x_1(t) \\ \sqrt{m_2} x_2(t) \\ \sqrt{m_3} x_3(t), \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

in which case we can write the Hamiltonian as:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_1} dx_1}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_2} dx_2}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_3} dx_3}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_3} dx_3}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{m_1} x_1(t) - \sqrt{m_2} x_2(t) - \sqrt{m_3} x_3(t) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 (1 + \frac{m_2}{m_1}) - \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} & 0 \\ -\omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} & \omega^2 (1 + \frac{m_3}{m_2}) - \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_3}{m_2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m_1} x_1(t) \\ \sqrt{m_2} x_2(t) \\ \sqrt{m_3} x_3(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(7)$$

where we have assumed that the resonators are tuned (lumped element frequencies are all equal to be the value ω , such that the spring constants are $\kappa_i = m_i \omega^2$), which is in the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{x}}^T\dot{\vec{x}} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^T\mathbf{M}_3\vec{x}.$$
(8)

We will now proceed to diagonalise M, such that $D_3 = P^T M_3 P$ is a diagonal matrix and the transformation $\vec{\eta} = P^T \vec{x}$ converts to the normal-mode co-ordinates, while noting that the vector $\vec{\eta}$, is related to the normal-mode co-ordinates in the following way:

$$\vec{\eta}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m_+}x_+(t) \\ \sqrt{m_{\rm mid}}x_{\rm mid}(t) \\ \sqrt{m_-}x_-(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

Computing $\vec{y} = \mathbf{P}\vec{\eta}$, we take the example of a 3-mode system, where the lumped masses and frequencies are $\omega_1/2\pi = 125$, $\omega_2/2\pi = 125$, $\omega_3/2\pi = 125$, $m_1 = 755$, $m_2 = 6.0$, $m_3 = 0.05$, then we can infer the following relationship between the position co-ordinate of the first lumped mass and the normal-mode position co-ordinates:

$$\sqrt{m_1}x_1 \approx 0.48\sqrt{m_-}x_- + 0.72\sqrt{m_{\rm mid}}x_{\rm mid} + 0.51\sqrt{m_+}x_+.$$
(10)

Therefore, the coefficient of conversion between the first lumped element position co-ordinate, and the normal mode co-ordinates is of order unity for these tuned lumped elements.

In general, if we expand the position of the end mass x_1 in terms of the normal modes (as in the main text), we obtain:

$$x_1 = P_{11}\sqrt{\frac{m_{\text{mid}}}{m_1}}x_- + P_{12}\sqrt{\frac{m_-}{m_1}}x_{\text{mid}} + P_{13}\sqrt{\frac{m_+}{m_1}}x_+,$$
(11)

where -, mid, +label the co-ordinates of each of the normal modes of increasing frequency. Furthermore, P_{ij} denotes the (i,j) entry of **P**.

We now write the full-Hamiltonian of the BAR interacting with the gravitational wave in the basis of the normal modes:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=-}^{T} \hbar \omega_j \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \frac{1}{\pi^2} L M \ddot{h}(t) \hat{x}_1,$$
(12)

where $g = \frac{ML\ddot{h}(t)}{\pi^2}$ is the coupling strength. Now, when expanding x_1 in terms of the normal modes, and quantising the normal modes such that $x_j = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m_j\omega_j}}$ (here m_j are the normal mode effective masses), and restricting to one of the normal modes (say the + mode), we obtain the following total Hamiltonian (using that the effective mass of the first lumped element is $m_1 = M/2$):

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=-}^{+} \hbar \omega_j \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \frac{P_{1j}}{\pi^2} L \ddot{h}(t) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar M}{\omega_j}} \left(\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_j \right).$$
(13)

We can now repeat the calculations of Ref. [1] but with coupling strength

$$g_j(t) = \frac{P_{1j}}{\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{ML}}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega_j}} \ddot{h}(t), \qquad (14)$$

and therefore, due to the interaction with the gravitational wave, the j-th normal mode of the BAR evolves into a coherent state of amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta| &= \frac{P_{1j}L}{\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{\omega_j \hbar}} \chi(h, \omega, t), \\ &= \frac{P_{1j}v_s}{\pi\omega_1} \sqrt{\frac{M}{\omega_j \hbar}} \chi(h, \omega, t). \end{aligned}$$
(15)

where $\chi(h, \omega, t)$ is

$$\chi(h,\omega,t) = \left| \int_0^t ds \ddot{h}(s) e^{i\omega_+ s} \right|.$$
(16)

If we modify the first lumped-element effective mass to be as large as 14,000 kg, as well as the first lumped-element frequency to be as large as $\omega_1/2\pi = 800$ Hz, using the following input parameters to the multimode system:

$$m_1 = 7,000 \text{ kg}$$

 $m_2 = 1 \text{ kg}$ (17)
 $m_3 = 1/7000 \text{ kg}.$

While using the following lumped element frequencies:

$$\omega_1/2\pi = 800 \text{ Hz}$$

 $\omega_2/2\pi = 800 \text{ Hz}$

 $\omega_3/2\pi = 800 \text{ Hz}.$
(18)

Using these parameters, we obtain normal mode frequencies $\omega_+/2\pi = 807$ Hz, $\omega_{mid}/2\pi = 800$ Hz, $\omega_-/2\pi = 792$ Hz, $\chi_+(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.26 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_-(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.26 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.45 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_-(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.26 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.45 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 9.45 \times 10^{-18}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 10^{-18}$, χ_{m

 10^{-18} , we obtain a coherent state amplitude of (using Eq.(15)):

$$|\beta_{+}| = 0.49$$

 $|\beta_{\text{mid}}| = 0.70$ (19)
 $|\beta_{-}| = 0.50.$

In this case the combined expected phononic occupation number of the sum of all of the normal modes is approximately 1.0. We now consider the case of the kHz frequency modes of the post-merger (which has a frequency of approximately $\omega/2\pi = 2400$ Hz). We use the following values for the lumped-element oscillator effective masses:

$$m_1 = 2000 \text{ kg}$$

 $m_2 = 2 \text{ kg}$ (20)
 $m_3 = 2/2000 \text{ kg}.$

(note that the mass of the largest mass is $M = 2m_1$ - as m_1 is the effective mass of largest mass as a simple harmonic oscillator) and the following frequencies

$$\omega_1/2\pi = \omega_2/2\pi = \omega_3/2\pi = 2410 \text{ Hz.}$$
 (21)

i.e. we assumed that the resonators are tuned. We obtain the normal mode frequencies of $\omega_+/2\pi = 2457 \text{ Hz}$, $\omega_{mid}/2\pi = 2410 \text{ Hz}$, $\omega_-/2\pi = 2364 \text{ Hz}$, this gives us the following wave-form parameters for each mode, $\chi_+(h, \omega, t) \approx 7 \times 10^{-17}$, $\chi_-(h, \omega, t) \approx 5 \times 10^{-17}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 1 \times 10^{-16}$. This gives us the following coherent state amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{+}| &= 0.424 \\ |\beta_{\text{mid}}| &= 0.61 \\ |\beta_{-}| &= 0.3. \end{aligned}$$
(22)

Combined this gives approximately a 50 percent chance that at least one of the three normal modes will undertake a detector click.

If we modify the first lumped-element total mass to be as large as 40 kg, as well as the first lumped-element frequency to be as large as $\omega_1/2\pi = 125$ Hz, using the following input parameters to the multimode system:

$$m_1 = 20 \text{ kg}$$

 $m_2 = 0.02 \text{ kg}$ (23)
 $m_3 = 0.0002 \text{ kg}.$

While using the following lumped element frequencies:

$$\omega_1/2\pi = 125 \text{ Hz}$$

 $\omega_2/2\pi = 125 \text{ Hz}$

 $\omega_3/2\pi = 125 \text{ Hz}.$
(24)

Using these parameters, we obtain normal mode frequencies $\omega_+/2\pi = 128$ Hz, $\omega_{mid}/2\pi = 125$ Hz, $\omega_-/2\pi = 122$ Hz, $\chi_+(h, \omega, t) \approx 1.13 \times 10^{-17}$, $\chi_-(h, \omega, t) \approx 1.13 \times 10^{-17}$, $\chi_{mid}(h, \omega, t) \approx 1.13 \times 10^{-17}$, we obtain a coherent state amplitude of (using Eq.(15)):

$$|\beta_{+}| = 0.503$$

 $|\beta_{\text{mid}}| = 0.711$ (25)
 $|\beta_{-}| = 0.503.$

In this case the combined expected phononic occupation number of the sum of all of the normal modes is approximately 1.0, giving a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that one of the normal modes will transition to an excited state due to the absorption of a single graviton.

II.2. Five mode

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2m_3} + \frac{p_4^2}{2m_4} + \frac{p_5^2}{2m_5} + \frac{\kappa_1 x_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2 (x_2 - x_1)^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_3 (x_3 - x_2)^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_4 (x_4 - x_3)^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_5 (x_5 - x_4)^2}{2} + g(t)x_1.$$
(26)

Repeating the procedure from Sec. II.1, we convert to the following set of co-ordinates:

$$\vec{x}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m_1} x_1(t) \\ \sqrt{m_2} x_2(t) \\ \sqrt{m_3} x_3(t) \\ \sqrt{m_4} x_4(t) \\ \sqrt{m_5} x_5(t) \end{pmatrix},$$
(27)

and assume that the resonators are tuned, such that the Hamiltonian can be expressed as the following:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_1} dx_1}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_2} dx_2}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_3} dx_3}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_4} dx_4}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_5} dx_5}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{$$

Once converted to the basis of normal modes, the same procedure can now be repeated from Sec. II.1, to express the Hamiltonian as as a sum of the each of the normal modes driven by the gravitational wave:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=--}^{++} \hbar \omega_j \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \frac{P_{1j}}{\pi^2} L \ddot{h}(t) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar M}{\omega_j} \left(\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_j\right)}, \qquad (29)$$

where we label the normal modes of increasing frequency to be --, -, mid, +, ++.

For detection in the $\omega/2\pi = 800$ Hz range, we use the following effective masses of each of the lumped elements of the multimode system: $m_1 = 7000$ kg, $m_2 = 0.42$ kg, $m_3 = 0.000025$ kg, $m_4 = 1.54 \times 10^{-9}$ kg, $m_5 = 9.3 \times 10^{-14}$ kg. These parameters ensure that the coherent state amplitudes of each of the normal modes are such that there is approximately a $1 - \frac{1}{e}$ probability that one of the normal modes will transition to an excited state due to the absorption of a single graviton.

For detection in the $\omega/2\pi = 125$ Hz range, we use the following effective masses of each of the lumped elements of the multimode system: $m_1 = 20$ kg, $m_2 = 0.0012$ kg, $m_3 = 7.5 \times 10^{-8}$ kg, $m_4 = 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$ kg, $m_5 = 2.7 \times 10^{-16}$ kg, to ensure the same transition probability.

For detection in the $\omega/2\pi = 2410$ Hz range, we use the following effective masses of each of the lumped elements of the multimode system: $m_1 = 2000$ kg, $m_2 = 0.12$ kg, $m_3 = 7.2 \times 10^{-6}$ kg,

 $m_4 = 4.3 \times 10^{-10}$ kg, $m_5 = 2.6 \times 10^{-14}$ kg, to again ensure the same transition probability.

- [1] G. Tobar, S. K. Manikandan, T. Beitel, and I. Pikovski, Detecting single gravitons with quantum sensing (2023), arXiv:2308.15440 [quant-ph].
- [2] N. Sarin and P. D. Lasky, General relativity and gravitation 53 (2021).
- [3] J.-P. Richard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 165 (1984).
- [4] J. C. Price, Phys. Rev. D 36, 3555 (1987).
- [5] M. E. Tobar, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 28, 1729 (1995).