

# THE CLASSIFICATION OF SIMPLE COMPLEX LIE SUPERALGEBRAS OF POLYNOMIAL VECTOR FIELDS AND THEIR DEFORMATIONS

DIMITRY LEITES<sup>a,\*</sup>, IRINA SHCHEPOCHKINA<sup>b</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We overview classifications of simple infinite-dimensional complex  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie (super)algebras of polynomial growth, and their deformations. A subset of such Lie (super)algebras consist of vectorial Lie (super)algebras whose elements are vector fields with polynomial, or formal power series, or divided power coefficients. A given vectorial Lie (super)algebra with a (Weisfeiler) filtration corresponding to a maximal subalgebra of finite codimension is called  $W$ -filtered; the associated graded algebra is called  $W$ -graded. Here, we correct several published results: (1) prove our old claim “the superization of É. Cartan’s problem (classify primitive Lie algebras) is wild”, (2) solve a tame problem: classify simple  $W$ -graded and  $W$ -filtered vectorial Lie superalgebras, (3) describe the supervariety of deformation parameters for the serial  $W$ -graded simple vectorial superalgebras, (4) conjecture that the exceptional simple vectorial superalgebras are rigid. We conjecture usefulness of our method in classification of simple infinite-dimensional vectorial Lie (super)algebras over fields of positive characteristic.

In memory of A. N. Tyurin.

## CONTENTS

1. Setting of the problem. Steps of our classification. Our results	5
1.1. Weisfeiler filtrations and gradings	6
1.2. A wider picture. Main Problem	6
1.2.1. A broader goal.	7
1.3. Problems we solve here over $\mathbb{C}$	7
1.3.1. Classification of simple vectorial Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ . Their deformations.	8
1.4. On setting of the classification problems	8
1.4.1. Certain Lie algebras of infinite growth are also useful.	9
1.4.2. Types of simple Lie algebras of polynomial growth.	9
1.4.2a. Additional features: Cartan matrix and NIS	10
1.5. The super version of the Main Problem 1.2 is open at the moment	11
1.6. Weisfeiler filtrations and gradings	13
1.6.1. On the importance of $W$ -filtrations.	14
1.7. Classification problems: A to C	14
1.7.1. Notation	15
1.7.1a. Overview of various properties of vectorial Lie (super)algebras	15
1.8. The steps of our classification	17
1.9. Warning: results of cohomology calculations might be confusing	18
1.9.1. On filtered deformations.	19
1.10. Our results	19

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B20, 17B66; Secondary 58A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Lie superalgebra, Weisfeiler filtration, deformation of Lie superalgebra, modular Lie superalgebra.

1.10.1.	Theorem. Solution to Problems A and A', B and B'	20
1.10.2.	W-gradings of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.	20
1.10.2a.	Occasional isomorphisms	21
1.10.2b.	Comments	21
1.10.3.	Proposition. No filtered deformations of exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras	21
1.10.4.	Proposition. Filtered deformations of simple serial vectorial Lie superalgebras	22
2.	Background and basic examples	22
2.1.	Linear algebra in superspaces. Generalities	22
2.2.	What the Lie superalgebra is	24
2.2.1.	Deformations with odd parameters. Deforms.	25
2.3.	Examples of Lie superalgebras	26
2.3.1.	Algebras of operators.	26
2.3.1a.	On normal shapes of supermatrices $J$ preserved by $\mathfrak{q}_J(V)$	27
2.3.2.	Supermatrices of bilinear forms	27
2.3.3.	More notation	29
2.3.3a.	Projectivization	29
2.4.	Simple, almost simple and semi-simple Lie superalgebras	29
2.4.1.	Conjecture.	30
2.5.	The irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of least dimension $\neq 1$ over $\mathfrak{g}$ simple finite-dimensional	30
2.6.	Remarks on Lie (super)algebras	30
2.6.1.	Traces and divergences on vectorial Lie superalgebras.	31
2.7.	Examples of vectorial Lie superalgebras	31
2.7.1.	On normal shapes of contact and symplectic/peripleptic forms.	33
2.7.2.	Generating functions: contact series and their subalgebras	33
2.7.3.	Divergence-free subalgebras	35
2.7.3a.	The case of $\mathfrak{k}(1 2)$	35
2.7.3b.	The pericontact series	35
2.7.4.	On the history of the antibracket.	37
2.7.5.	The explicit form of the pericontact bracket	37
2.8.	Cartan prolongations	38
2.8.1.	Partial Cartan prolongation: involving positive components	38
2.8.2.	Lie superalgebras of vector fields as Cartan prolongs	38
2.8.2a.	On the history of Cartan prolongations	39
2.9.	Nonstandard realizations	40
2.10.	The modules of tensor fields	42
2.10.1.	Examples.	42
2.10.1a.	Remark: Volume element as a quotient	42
2.11.	A. Sergeev's central extension of $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$	43
2.12.	Deformations of the Buttin superalgebra (after [Ko1, LSh3])	43
2.12.1.	Theorem. Deformations of $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$	44
2.12.2.	Remark: A relation with the Vey cocycle.	45
2.12.3.	The exceptional nonstandard regradings $\text{Reg}_\mathfrak{b}$ and $\text{Reg}_\mathfrak{h}$ .	46
2.13.	The exceptional Lie subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{kas}$ of $\mathfrak{k}(1 6)$	46
2.13.1.	Theorem. The partial Cartan prolong $\mathfrak{kas}$	47
2.14.	The fifteen W-regradings of exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras	47
2.14.1.	Theorem. The 15 W-regradings of exceptional Lie superalgebras	47
2.15.	W-gradings of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras	48
2.15.1.	Theorem. 34 W-gradings	48

2.16.	Grozman's theorems and a description of $\mathfrak{g}$ as $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$	51
2.16.1.	Theorem. Grozman's theorem: 1st-order invariant operators	53
2.16.2.	Theorem. Lie and associative (super)algebras determined by invariant operators	53
2.16.2a.	Remarks	54
2.17.	Weisfeiler-type gradings with infinite-dimensional components	54
2.18.	On structures preserved	55
2.18.1.	Remark: On Shmelev's interpretations of $\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}(2 2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{\lambda}(2; 2)$	55
3.	Step 2. Criterion of infinite dimensionality of the Cartan prolong	56
3.1.	Theorem. Main technical theorem	56
3.2.	A reformulation of Theorem 3.1	56
3.2.1.	Theorem. Main technical theorem, a version	56
3.2.1a.	Lemma. A useful lemma	56
3.3.	A superization of Wilson's theorem	57
3.3.1.	Theorem. A superization of Wilson's theorem	57
3.4.	Corollary. Existence of a rank-1 operator	57
4.	Step 3. Main reduction: the three cases	58
4.1.	Lemma. Corollaries of simplicity	58
4.1.1.	Notation. The four cases	58
4.2.	Theorem. Irreducible linear Lie superalgebras	59
4.2.1.	Corollary. The 3 cases	60
4.3.	Proof of Theorem 4.2 ([ShM])	60
4.3.1.	Theorem. The 3 cases of irreducible linear Lie superalgebras: A, B, C	60
4.3.1a.	Lemma. Case A	60
4.3.1b.	Lemma. Case B	61
4.3.2.	Completion of the proof of Theorem 4.2	61
4.3.2a.	Lemma. On the radical $\mathfrak{r}$ in cases A and C	61
4.3.3.	When the radical $\mathfrak{r}$ is not commutative	61
4.3.3a.	Lemma. Case C	61
4.3.4.	Lemma. Ideal of type A or B	62
4.3.5.	Lemma. Irreducible representation of type B	62
4.3.6.	Lemma. No faithful irreducible representations	62
4.3.7.	Corollary. No type A irreducible representations: conditions	62
4.3.8.	Corollary. Lemma 4.3.4 holds for semi-simple Lie superalgebras	62
4.3.9.	Lemma. A useful fact	62
4.3.9a.	Proof of Lemma 4.3.6	62
4.4.	Central extensions of semi-simple Lie superalgebras	63
4.4.1.	Lemma. No type C irreducible representations	63
4.4.2.	The case where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains an ideal $\mathfrak{k}$ of the form described in eq. (147).	64
4.4.2a.	Lemma. Technical	64
4.4.2b.	Lemma. Technical	64
4.4.2c.	Lemma. Technical	65
4.4.3.	Corollary. No type C representations	65
5.	Step 4. Prolongation of the induced representations	65
5.1.	Universal property of $\mathbf{vect}$ , $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$	65
5.2.	Lemma. Technical	65
5.3.	Theorem. On 3 prolongations: cases a), b), c)	66
5.3.1.	Cases a) and b) of Theorem 5.3	66
5.3.2.	Case c) of Theorem 5.3	67

5.3.2a. Lemma. Technical	67
5.4. Corollary. Technical	67
5.5. Back to the classification	67
5.5.1. Theorem. 3 more cases	67
5.5.1a. Lemma. Technical	69
5.6. Corollary. Solvable $\mathfrak{g}_0$	70
6. Step 5. Prolongations of almost simple Lie superalgebras and their central extensions	70
6.1. Proposition. List of rank-1 operators: Non-vectorial Lie superalgebras	71
6.1.1. Proposition. On rank-1 operators	71
6.1.2. Lemma. Technical	71
6.1.2a. Corollary. On rank-1 operators	72
6.1.2b. Corollary. On infinite prolongation of depth 1	72
6.2. Proposition. On rank-1 operators in reductive algebras	72
6.3. The cases of Proposition 6.2: two regular and two exceptional subcases	73
6.3.1. Case 1) of Proposition 6.2.	73
6.3.1a. The regular subcases	74
6.3.1b. The exceptional subcases	74
6.3.2. Case 2) of Proposition 6.2.	76
6.3.3. The scheme of our further considerations. Case-by-case study.	76
6.3.3a. $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$	76
6.3.3b. $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$	77
6.3.4. Lemma. Technical, on $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -modules	79
6.3.4a. Corollary. Particular cases of Lemma 6.3.4	79
6.4. Continuation of the story	79
6.5. Step 5B. Vectorial Lie superalgebras. The four cases	80
6.5.1. Lemma. Cartan prolongs with the same negative part	80
6.5.1a. <u>1) <math>\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{vect}(0 n)</math>, where <math>n \geq 3</math>, and <math>\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{cvect}(0 n) := \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot z</math></u>	81
6.5.1b. Proposition. Prolongations with $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{cvect}(0 n)$	81
6.5.1c. <u>2) <math>\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{svect}(0 n)</math> for <math>n \geq 3</math></u>	82
6.5.1d. Proposition. Prolongations of relatives of $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{svect}(0 n)$	82
6.5.1e. <u>3) <math>\mathfrak{h}_0 = \widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}(0 n)</math> for <math>n \geq 3</math></u>	83
6.5.1f. Proposition. Prolongs of relatives of $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}(0 n)$	83
6.5.1g. <u>4) <math>\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}'(0 n)</math> for <math>n \geq 5</math> and related subcases</u>	83
7. Step 6. A particular case: case 3) of Corollary 4.2.1	84
8. Step 7. $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings of vectorial Lie superalgebras	84
8.1. Weisfeiler regradings	84
8.1.1. Lemma. On the number of homogeneous components of prolongs	85
8.1.2. Lemma. When $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ is homogeneous	85
8.1.3. Lemma. When the gradings coincide	85
8.1.4. How to describe all the W-regradings.	86
8.1.5. W-regradings of $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{le}(9 6)$ .	87
9. The deformations	87
9.1. The tools to compute (co)homology	87
9.1.1. (Co)homology with coefficients in the (co)induced modules.	88
9.2. Cohomology of finite-dimensional classical Lie superalgebras	89
9.3. Preliminary calculations	90
9.4. Theorem. Rigidity of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras	91

9.4.1. Remark. Different cohomology for different function spaces	93
9.5. Conjecture. Rigidity of exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras	94
10. Deformations of $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$ (proof of claims in [LSh3])	94
10.1. If $n = 2$	94
10.1.1. Theorem. Deformation of the Buttin bracket	95
10.1.2. $\mathfrak{h}(2 2)$ has more deformations than $\mathfrak{po}(2 2)$ .	96
10.2. Deformations of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n)$	96
10.2.1. Theorem. Deformations of $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n)$	96
11. The exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{mb}(4 5)$	98
11.1. The W-gradings of $\mathfrak{mb}(3 8)$	103
12. Miscellenea	110
12.1. Odd roots of simple Lie superalgebras of non-vectorial type	110
12.2. Theorem. Central extensions: $\mathfrak{g}$ simple finite-dimensional	110
12.3. Theorem. Central extensions: $\mathfrak{g}$ simple infinite-dimensional	111
12.4. The extensions $\mathfrak{eg}$ , and algebras $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{g}$ for simple “symmetric” $\mathfrak{g}$ ([BGLL1])	112
12.5. Finite-dimensional irreducibles over simple vectorial Lie superalgebras	112
12.5.1. Theorem. Irreducibles over $\mathfrak{vect}(0 m)$ , $\mathfrak{svect}(0 m)$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0 m)$	112
12.6. On primitive Lie superalgebras	113
12.7. Conjecture. On primitive Lie superalgebras	113
12.8. On the Lie superalgebra $E(2, 2)$ from [K3]	114
12.8.1. Lemma. $E(2, 2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2; 2)$	114
13. On history	114
13.1. Comparison of notation	117
Acknowledgements	117
References	118

## 1. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM. STEPS OF OUR CLASSIFICATION. OUR RESULTS

Several times at least one of the classification problems we consider here was claimed to be solved, starting with [K2]. We analyse these claims, correcting them when needed, and complete the results and claims announced in [LSh2, LSh2'] and preprinted in [LSh1, LSh5] with a description of deformations, in particular, with odd parameters, see also [Ld]. Observe that to shy away from some of odd parameters is contrary to the very spirit of supersymmetry.<sup>1</sup> When the deformation parameters are even, we describe *deforms* — the *results* of deformations.<sup>2</sup> Hereafter, we abbreviate “Lie algebra or Lie superalgebra” to “Lie (super)algebra”.

**In this paper, the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$**  unless otherwise specified, the term “**vectorial** Lie (super)algebra” is short for “Lie (super)algebra of vector fields with polynomial coefficients”, or with formal-power-series coefficients if a topological completeness is needed. The adjective “vectorial” reflects the fact that such Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is realized by vector fields on the *linear supermanifold*, *i.e.*, a ringed space, corresponding (as described in formula (29)); for details, see [KLLS, Ld]) to the *superspace*, *i.e.*, a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded space,  $(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)^*$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  is a maximal Lie subsuperalgebra of finite codimension. Let  $\dim \mathcal{L} = \infty$  unless otherwise stated.

<sup>1</sup>An interesting question: why all people can easily accept extensions with odd center, such as the extension of  $\mathfrak{le}(n)$  to  $\mathfrak{b}(n)$ , but some can not accept odd parameters of deformations or representations?...

<sup>2</sup>A term coined by M. Gerstenhaber, in the same manner as *transform* is the result of a transformation, or, *e.g.*, history is an ideological *construct* (as becomes more and more evident). We like these terms and suggest the term *prolong* for the result of Cartan prolongation.

**1.1. Weisfeiler filtrations and gradings.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_0$  be a maximal subalgebra of finite codimension, let  $\mathcal{L}_{-1}$  be a minimal  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -invariant subspace strictly containing  $\mathcal{L}_0$ ; for  $i \geq 1$ , set:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{-i-1} &= [\mathcal{L}_{-1}, \mathcal{L}_{-i}] + \mathcal{L}_{-i}, \\ \mathcal{L}_i &= \{D \in \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \mid [D, \mathcal{L}_{-1}] \subset \mathcal{L}_{i-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus get a filtration, called a *Weisfeiler filtration*, see [W]:

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-d} \supset \mathcal{L}_{-d+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_0 \supset \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \cdots$$

The number  $d$  in (2) is called the *depth* of  $\mathcal{L}$ , as well as of the associated *Weisfeiler-graded* Lie superalgebra

$$(3) \quad L = \bigoplus_{-d \leq i} L_i, \text{ where } L_i = \mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1}.$$

Any Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  together with a *Weisfeiler filtration* will be briefly called *W-filtered*; the graded algebra  $L$  associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  will be called *W-graded*.

For vectorial Lie (super)algebras, the notion invariant with respect to automorphisms is that of filtration, not grading, but graded (super)algebras are easier to work with.

**1.2. A wider picture. Main Problem.** The *growth* of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra  $A = \bigoplus A_i$  is defined, if  $\dim A_i < \infty$  for all  $i$ , to be

$$\text{gth}(A) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \dim \bigoplus_{|i| \leq n} A_i}{\ln n}.$$

A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra  $A$  is said to be of *polynomial growth* if  $\text{gth}(A) < \infty$ , *i.e.*,

$$(4) \quad \dim \bigoplus_{|i| \leq n} A_i \sim n^{\text{gth}(A)} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A *filtered* Lie (super)algebra  $\cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_i \supset \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \supset \cdots$  is said to be of *polynomial growth* if the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie (super)algebra  $L = \bigoplus L_i$ , where  $L_i := \mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1}$ , associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  is of polynomial growth. Vectorial Lie (super)algebras constitute a subset in the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (or filtered) Lie (super)algebras of polynomial growth (GLAPG for short), see eq. (4), so to place vectorial Lie (super)algebras in a natural wider picture we also review, briefly, the results and **Open problems** in the classification of simple Lie (super)algebras of GLAPG type such as the following **partly classified** types 1)–4) and their “*relatives*”, see Subsection 1.2.1:

1) Lie (super)algebras of vector fields with Laurent polynomials (or series when a topological completeness is needed) as coefficients (a.k.a. *stringy* Lie (super)algebras), their central extensions, see [GLS1, KvdL], and algebras of their derivations, see [Po2, Th.4.1];

2) *loop* (super)algebras of Laurent polynomials (or series) with values in a simple Lie (super)algebra, their “twisted” versions, see [LSS, LS1, ShS], and their non-simple “relatives” — *double extensions*, see [BLS], especially the last two sections in it, and [CCLL, HS];

3) Lie (super)algebras of “matrices of complex size” type, see [Lq];

4) Lie queerifications of the simple associative (super)algebras, see [Lq].

In the *proof* of a tame problem of classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$ , we consider maximal sub-superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}_0$  of only *finite* codimension, and related *Weisfeiler filtrations*, see formulas (1). However, filtrations with  $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0) = \infty$  are also useful, see Subsection 2.17.

We survey the current state of the classification of simple Lie (super)algebras of polynomial growth, not only vectorial ones, and, briefly, several related topics (continuous irreducible representations of vectorial Lie (super)algebras ([GLS3]), a successful attempt to see the exceptional finite-dimensional Lie algebras in a series on Vogel’s plane, see [AM], etc.).

Properties of Lie **super**algebras (even over fields of characteristic 0) resemble those of Lie algebras over fields  $\mathbb{K}$  of positive characteristic, a.k.a. *modular* Lie algebras. In the 1960s, Kostrikin and Shafarevich suggested a method for constructing simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields  $\mathbb{K}$ , and conjectured that their method produced all simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras for  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} := p > 7$ , provided they are *restricted* (for details and update, see [BLLS1, BGLLS]).

This KSh-conjecture is now proved, in a generalized form, *i.e.*, for any, not only restricted, simple Lie algebras, for  $p > 3$ , see [S, BGP, Sk]. The result was partly implicit until recently: the explicit formulas of the brackets of the deformed Hamiltonian Lie algebras can be found at the moment only in the Ph.D. thesis of S. Kirillov in Russian, see [Kir] based on [Sk]. Here we provide with notions and correct formulas helping one to formulate conjectural lists of all simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic  $p = 2$  and 3, and Lie superalgebras for  $p > 5$ , see [BLLS1, BGLLS] and references therein.

**1.2.1. A broader goal.** Starting with a simple Lie algebra of GLAPG type, list the results of iterated combinations of the following operations and various gradings of these results:

a)  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}(\mathfrak{g})$ , the nontrivial central extension of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Examples: central extensions of loop algebras; the Poisson algebra.

b)  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$ , the derivation algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , or a double extension of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Examples: affine Kac–Moody algebras, see [BLS].

c) Deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . (Although they sometimes lead out of the GLAPG type, such deformed Lie algebras are often no less important in applications than the original algebras. Important examples: the result of the deformation of the algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields induced by the *quantization* of the Poisson algebra. An example due to P. Golod (Holod in modern Ukrainian) [GoHo1, GoHo2] evolved into the Krichever-Novikov theory of certain deformations of loop algebras; for a review, see [Shei] and Open problem in Subsection 1.9.)

d) All filtered completions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  introducing topology to the originally algebraic problem.

e) Forms of the Lie algebras of the above types a)–d) over non-closed fields.

We will call the algebras obtained from a given simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the above procedures a)–e), most frequently, by just a) – d), *relatives* of each other, and of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**1.3. Problems we solve here over  $\mathbb{C}$ .** Our results ([ALSh], the key ingredients and ideas, see [Sh5, Sh14], and [LSh3, LSh5] announced in [LSh1, LSh2, LSh2']), and later papers [Kga, Kbj, FaKa, CK, CK1, CK1a, CK2, CCK, CaKa1, CaKa2, CaKa3] are devoted to exposition of solutions of the following problems (cf. [Klc, K2, K3]):

- (5) 1) Classify simple  $W$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebras.
- 2) Classify deformations of simple  $W$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebras.

There still remained points to be clarified and corrected, *e.g.*, see Section 11. In particular, we recall the definition of Lie superalgebras which shows how to interpret odd parameters of deformation, see Subsection 2.2 and [Ld].

**Pivotal ideas of our classification of simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_i$  and their deformations:**

- (6) 1) If  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \infty$ , then among the operators in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  acting in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , there is a rank-1 operator with an even covector, except for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings of  $\mathfrak{g}$  *compatible*<sup>a</sup> with the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading by parity, *i.e.*, when  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_{2i}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_{2i+1}$ . (The exceptional cases yield a *conjectural* part of our classification of W-gradings.)
- 2) Every simple vectorial Lie superalgebra has finitely many W-gradings.
- 3) Deformations of any Lie superalgebra are parameterized by a super-variety (degenerated to a point if the algebra is rigid).

<sup>a</sup>Starting with [K1.5], instead of “compatible” an inappropriate term “consistent” is sometimes used.

**Conjecture.** Our main method to obtain examples of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras — **search for irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  modules  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  with a rank-1 operator** — will be useful for obtaining new examples of simple W-graded **infinite-dimensional** vectorial Lie (super)algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  in characteristics  $p = 2$  and  $3$ ; we conjecture that this classification will be easier to obtain than the classification of **finite-dimensional** simple Lie (super)algebras, cf. [BGLLS, CSS].

### 1.3.1. Classification of simple vectorial Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ . Their deformations.

The well-known answer is as follows

- (7) **There are 4 series of simple W-graded vectorial Lie algebras<sup>a</sup>; they are rigid, see Section 9, except for the Hamiltonian Lie algebra<sup>b</sup>  $\mathfrak{h}(2n)$  to which one can restrict the single non-trivial deformation<sup>c</sup>  $\mathcal{Q}$  of its central extension — the Poisson Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{po}(2n)$ .**

<sup>a</sup>This part is due to É. Cartan, for an exposition, see [GQS].

<sup>b</sup>This part was a kind of folklore, known since 1940s or 1950s; for details, see [LSh3, KT].

<sup>c</sup>The physicists call this  $\mathcal{Q}$  *quantization*, it leads both  $\mathfrak{po}(2n)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(2n)$  out of the class of W-graded or W-filtered Lie algebras: the deform  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n))$  is the Lie algebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients and the commutators as products, see [Lq].

**1.4. On setting of the classification problems.** Selection of Lie (super)algebras with reasonably nice properties is a matter of taste and is influenced by the governing “meta”-problem. One of the usual choices is classification of **simple** Lie (super)algebras: they have a richer structure than other types of Lie (super)algebras, and therefore are easier to study; they also illuminate important symmetries. Even representatives of the “complementary” type — solvable Lie (super)algebras — are often of significant interest only when considered as subalgebras of simple Lie (super)algebras.

- The **finite-dimensional** simple Lie algebras are naturally the first to study. Note that this, ostensibly natural, choice is, however, unnatural in positive characteristic. **Conjecture:** For  $p > 0$ , to classify **infinite-dimensional** simple vectorial Lie (super)algebras will be easier than to classify finite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras and our pivotal idea 1) in (6), will help.

**Over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,** there are three “classical” series ( $\mathfrak{sl}$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}$ ) and five exceptional (ostensibly not belonging to series) algebras; all these simple Lie algebras are neatly encoded by (normalized) Cartan matrices which are symbolically represented by very simple graphs — Dynkin diagrams, see [Bbk, OV].

Killing and É. Cartan, who discovered all 5 exceptional simple Lie algebras, described them as preserving a non-integrable distribution, see [Cart, Co]. (Actually, Killing and É. Cartan considered serial simple Lie algebras in these terms as well. Later, with the discovery of the



powerful method of studying the structure of simple Lie algebras and their representations in terms of roots and weights, the realization of simple Lie algebras as algebras preserving a non-integrable distribution was abandoned and forgotten, although this approach can be fruitful in modern times as well, see [GL5, BGLLS, KLS].)

For an interesting interpretation of the exceptional Lie algebras, see [El3, BE], where the picture is made clearer by superizing it and passing to fields of positive characteristic (Elduque's Super Magic Super Square). On breathtaking attempts, initiated by Vogel, to consider exceptional simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebras as members of a series, see a review [AM].

- Next on the agenda are simple infinite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras of polynomial growth; briefly: of GLAPG type. Recall that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra  $A = \bigoplus A_i$  is said to be *simple graded* algebra if it has no *graded* ideals, *i.e.*, no ideals  $I = \bigoplus I_i$  such that  $I_i = I \cap A_i$ . Note that a simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra can be nonsimple as an abstract algebra: *e.g.*, loop algebras with values in a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are simple graded but not simple: indeed, the collection of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions (loops) that vanish at any non-empty set of points is an ideal.

In [K], Kac classified infinite-dimensional

- (8) simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras of GLAPG type  
**provided they are generated by elements of degree  $\pm 1$ .**

Among the simple graded Lie algebras of polynomial growth, only  $\mathbf{vect}(1) := \mathbf{der} \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $\mathbf{witt}$  or  $\mathbf{vect}^L(1) := \mathbf{der} \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, x]$  are not generated by elements of degree  $\pm 1$  with respect to any grading. Kac conjectured that these two examples, plus his list of Lie algebras (8), exhaust all the simple Lie algebras of GLAPG type (we recall this list in Subsection 1.4.2).

Twenty years after [K] has been published, O. Mathieu proved this conjecture in a string of rather complicated papers culminating in [M].

**1.4.1. Certain Lie algebras of infinite growth are also useful.** The reader should not think, as was customary circa 50 years ago, that all Lie (super)algebras of infinite growth are useless or too difficult to study. For example, various versions of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$  and Lie (super)algebras studied by Borchers, Gritsenko and Nikulin, and Penkov with coauthors are, though huge, of considerable interest; some of these (super)algebras are quite describable, together with several types of their representations, see an approach in [Eg] and [CCLL, GN, PS, FPS, HP].

**1.4.2. Types of simple Lie algebras of polynomial growth.** Let us qualitatively describe the simple Lie algebras of polynomial growth to better visualize them. They break into the disjoint union of the following types of which the types 1)–4) are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded:

- i) *Finite-dimensional* algebras (growth 0). Each of them has a symmetrizable Cartan matrix.
- ii) *Loop algebras*, perhaps twisted (growth 1); more important in applications are their non-simple “relatives” called *affine Kac-Moody* algebras, cf. [Kb3] and Subsection 1.9. Recently, these relatives were identified as *double extensions*; for a review and succinct description of this notion, see [BLS].
- iii) *Vectorial algebras*, *i.e.*, Lie algebras of vector fields<sup>3</sup> with polynomial coefficients (growth is equal to the number of indeterminates, which is *assumed to be finite*) or their completions with formal power series as coefficients.

iv) The *stringy algebra*  $\mathbf{vect}^L(1) := \mathbf{der} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]$  (the superscript  $L$  stands for Laurent). This class consists of one algebra. This algebra and its completion  $\mathbf{vect}^L(1) := \mathbf{der} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}][[t]]$  are often

---

<sup>3</sup>These algebras are sometimes called “algebras of Cartan type”, the term introduced in the 1960s when only 4 series of simple Lie algebras of vector fields were known and their deformations (results of quantization) were ignored. There are serial (pertaining to series) simple vectorial modular Lie (super)algebras (in any characteristic) and exceptions (from series) discovered from the 1970 till now, see [BGL, BGLLS, KLS]. Note that  $\mathbf{svect}_\chi^L(1|2)$  is the only stringy Lie superalgebra with Cartan matrix, see [GLS1]: a new super phenomenon.

called *Witt algebra* and denoted  $\mathfrak{witt}$  in honor of Witt who considered its analog over fields of prime characteristic; physicists call it the *centerless Virasoro algebra* because (the result of) its nontrivial central extension (discovered by Fuchs and Gelfand) is called the *Virasoro algebra*,  $\mathfrak{vir}$ , in honor of Virasoro, who rediscovered the corresponding central extension and was the first to demonstrate the importance of  $\mathfrak{vir}$  in physical models.

**Remark.** Strictly speaking, stringy algebras are also vectorial, but we apply the term *vectorial* only to (super)algebras whose coefficients are *polynomials* or *formal series* or divided powers in the modular setting. The term “stringy algebra” comes from the lingo of imaginative physicists who now play with the idea that the elementary particle is not a point, but rather a slinky, a springy tiny string, see [GSW]. The term “stringy algebra” means “pertaining to string theory”, and also mirrors the structure of stringy Lie superalgebras as collections (direct sums) of several strings — the modules over the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{witt}$  every stringy Lie superalgebra contains. In our papers, starting with [FL], “stringy” means either a “simple infinite-dimensional vectorial superalgebra on a supermanifold whose underlying manifold is a circle, or a “**relative**” (see Subsection 1.2) of such algebra”. In some works, stringy Lie superalgebras (not necessarily simple) are called “superconformal”; this is unjustified and inappropriate when applied to the central extensions of simple stringy Lie superalgebras, as explained in [GLS1].

v) **Filtered Lie (super)algebras of type GLAPG** are not classified. The graded Lie algebras associated to certain such filtered Lie algebras are not simple and have a huge radical; these filtered examples are particular solutions to the Main Problem 1.2, many of them cannot be obtained as deformations of simple algebras of GLAPG type. Though there are considerably many more simple filtered Lie algebras than simple *graded* ones, at the moment we hope that it is possible to distill tame classification problems. The examples known to us are:

(vA) The Poisson algebras of functions on the orbits in the coadjoint representation of any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra, the multiparameter deformations of these Poisson algebras, and superizations of these constructions, as well as Lie (super)algebras of matrices over rings of differential operators, cf. [GL2, LSe, DGS, Kon].

(vB) The Lie superalgebras constructed by S. Montgomery ([My]), Vasiliev and Konstein-Tyutin, Pinczon and Ushirobira, see [GL2, KV, PU, KT1] and references therein; for the queerification of these Lie superalgebras, see [Lq].

(vC) The current algebras and vectorial algebras on varieties  $M$ , cf. [L7]; such examples are lately known for compact Riemann surfaces  $M$  as *Krichever-Novikov algebras*, see [KN, Shei].

The set of examples of simple Lie **super**algebras of type v) is much wider than the set of the non-super examples; there are several super versions of each of the non-super examples (vA)–(vC), see [Lq].

1.4.2a. **Additional features: Cartan matrix and NIS.** Some of the Lie (super)algebras of the above types i) – v) have certain properties which are sometimes overvalued and taken for the definition of these Lie (super)algebras, as in [FSS], complicating the nomenclature.

Each Lie algebra of type i) and each affine Kac-Moody algebra has a Cartan matrix; at least some of the algebras of type 5) also have a Cartan matrix albeit in a generalized sense due to Saveliev and Vershik [SV]. For algebras of type i) and affine Kac-Moody algebras, this matrix is always symmetrizable, integer, and rather sparse so it can be encoded by a simple graph (Dynkin diagram). Lie algebras with a symmetrizable Cartan matrix and certain algebras of type v) carry a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form — NIS for short — a powerful tool for solving numerous problems (for examples, see the encyclopedia [DSB]).

It was, perhaps, Vinberg who coined the term *contragredient* for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_i \simeq (\mathfrak{g}_{-i})^*$  with respect to the maximal torus in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ; e.g.,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vir}$  or  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ . Lately, this term is sometimes (thoughtlessly) used instead of “Lie (super)algebra with Cartan matrix”.

**1.5. The super version of the Main Problem 1.2 is open at the moment. Open is even its subproblem:**

- (9) *Classify simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of polynomial growth and their deformations.*

Let us describe what part of problem (9) is solved. The above types i)–iv) of simple Lie algebras, and their properties, become intermixed under superization as well as under passage to Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic. Nevertheless, there exists a very simple stratification: There are only two types of Lie (super)algebras — “symmetric” and “lopsided”:

(SY) The symmetric Lie (super)algebras are those for which

- (10) there is a root decomposition (related to a maximal diagonalizing subalgebra, called in what follows a *maximal torus*) such that  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  for any root  $\alpha$ , where  $\text{sdim}$  is *superdimension*.

(LO) The lopsided algebras are those for which the condition (10) does not hold.

• *Finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras.* The simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras are classified in the “naive” setting; for a review together with the first results on the representation theory, see [K2]. The deformations of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras, in particular with odd parameters, were recently classified as well, see [Ld], thus completing the classification.

The Lie (super)algebras of type SY split into the following two subtypes:

**SYCM**, the class of symmetric algebras **with Cartan matrix**; *a posteriori* we see that the Cartan matrices of the finite-dimensional simple Lie (super)algebras are all symmetrizable, and hence each of these Lie (super)algebras has an even NIS, see [BKLS, KLLS] or [KLLS1].

**SYoCM**, the class of symmetric algebras **without Cartan matrix** in the conventional sense (but, perhaps, having one in the sense of Saveliev and Vershik, [SV]) but with a NIS that is either even or odd.

Some of simple Lie (super)algebras without Cartan matrix have relatives with a Cartan matrix (e.g., the loop (super)algebras and related to them affine Kac-Moody (super)algebras, cf. [CCLL, BLS]).

• *(Twisted) loop superalgebras.* Obviously, any loop (super)algebra with values in any simple Lie (super)algebra is simple as *graded algebra* (but not as abstract algebras, see Subsection 1.4).

For any (simple, or a relative of a simple) finite-dimensional Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we consider spaces  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell(1)} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]$  of “loops”, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions on the circle  $S$  that admit expansions into Laurent polynomials (or their completions, Laurent series); here  $t = \exp(i\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the angle parameter on  $S$ . We introduced the notation  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell(1)}$  to distinguish from  $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  and to make the expression  $(\mathfrak{h}^{(1)}(0|n))^{\ell(1)}$ , and similar expressions, meaningful, see [BLS]. In this paper, we consider loops only in this subsection, so we simply write  $\mathfrak{g}' := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ .

Recall that if  $\psi$  is an order- $m$  automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{k}}$ , where  $0 \leq \bar{k} = k \pmod{m} \leq m-1$ , are eigenspaces of  $\psi$  with eigenvalue  $\exp(\frac{2\pi k \sqrt{-1}}{m})$ , then a “twisted” loop (super)algebra is

$$\mathfrak{g}_\psi^{\ell(m)} := \bigoplus_{0 \leq \bar{k} \leq m-1, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{k}} t^{k+mj}.$$

Serganova classified non-isomorphic loop superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell(1)} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]$  for simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and twisted loops  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^{\ell(m)}$  corresponding to order- $m$

automorphisms  $\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , see [Se2, Se3]; some “relatives” of these simple algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^{\ell(m)}$  have Cartan matrices classified in [HS]. Cantarini rediscovered some of these examples, the ones with compatible grading, see [Ca1], obviously unaware, together with the referee of her paper, of Serganova’s results (at least, Serganova was not cited).

Like the finite-dimensional superalgebras, loop superalgebras can also be symmetric or lopsided. For details in the non-super case, where only symmetric loop algebras exist, see [Kb3].

For some finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a Cartan matrix, there are twisted loop superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^{\ell(k)}$  no relative of which has a Cartan matrix; an opposite phenomenon also occurs: for some simple Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  no relative of which has a Cartan matrix, there is a relative of  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^{\ell(k)}$  with a Cartan matrix.

The double extension of every simple (twisted) loop algebra has a Cartan matrix corresponding to an extended Dynkin diagram. Unlike the non-super case, some of the loop superalgebras have no relative with Cartan matrix, and some have a non-symmetrizable Cartan matrix.

An intrinsic characterization of loop superalgebras without appeal to Cartan matrices together with an intrinsic characterization for stringy superalgebras (both borrowed from [M]) are given in [GLS1]: both types of (super)algebras are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=-d}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  of infinite depth  $d = \infty$ , but their elements act differently in the adjoint representation:

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for the loop-type (super)algebras, every root vector corresponding} \\ \text{to any real}^a \text{ root acts locally nilpotently in the adjoint representation;} \\ \text{for the stringy (super)algebras, this is not the case.} \end{array}$$

<sup>a</sup>Recall that a root  $\alpha$  is said to be *real* if  $k\alpha$  is a root only for finitely many distinct values of the scalar  $k$ . Otherwise, the root  $\alpha$  is called *imaginary*.

Before O. Mathieu gave the above intrinsic definition for the Lie algebras ([M]), Leites conjectured that, as for Lie algebras, simple twisted loop superalgebras correspond to outer automorphisms  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g} / \text{Int } \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  is the group of all automorphisms  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\text{Int } \mathfrak{g}$  is the subgroup of the inner automorphisms. Serganova listed these automorphisms and amended the conjecture: to get non-isomorphic algebras, one should factor  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  by a group larger than  $\text{Int } \mathfrak{g}$ , namely, by the connected component of the unit of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ , see [Se2, FLS].

An *a priori* classification of Lie superalgebras of polynomial growth with symmetrizable Cartan matrix is due to V. Serganova and J. van de Leur [Se2, vdL]; these classifications and Serganova’s classification of Lie superalgebras of polynomial growth with *non-symmetrizable* Cartan matrix cited in [LS1, GLS1] (for a published proof, see [HS]) prove the conjectured list of loop-type superalgebras with indecomposable Cartan matrix given in [FLS].

Recall the conjecture in [FLS]: the only other simple Lie superalgebras of loop type (see definition (11)) are (twisted) loops with values in simple finite-dimensional “lopsided” or “queer” Lie superalgebras. To prove this conjecture remains an **Open problem**, tackled in [Ca2].

- *Stringy superalgebras.* These are Lie superalgebras of vector fields on the super circles, and their relatives. For the conjectured list of simple ones, see [GLS1]. Partly (with several extra conditions) this conjecture is proved in [KvdL], where all non-trivial central extensions of simple stringy superalgebras are found; for a proof which, inexplicably, ignores Ramond-type superalgebras, see [Kcf, FaKa, Kcfe]. Observe that some of the stringy superalgebras have Cartan matrices, though non-symmetrizable ones [GLS1], and some of them have nontrivial deformations, whereas their namesakes with polynomial coefficients are rigid according to the modern deformation theory that we will use in what follows, see Subsection 1.9.

Some of the simple stringy superalgebras ([FL, GLS1]) are *distinguished*: they have a non-trivial central extension. In [GLS1], there are also indicated exceptional stringy superalgebras and occasional isomorphisms unnoticed in previous papers and often ignored in later ones.

In this paper, we consider the remaining type of simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of polynomial growth:

- *Infinite-dimensional vectorial Lie superalgebras.* The main example to look at with the mind's eye is the Lie algebra  $L = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[x]$ , where  $x := (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , of polynomial vector fields with the grading and filtration given by  $\deg x_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , and its  $(x)$ -adic completion, the filtered Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[[x]]$  of formal vector fields.

É. Cartan came to the classification problem of simple vectorial algebras from geometrical problems in which *primitive*, rather than simple, Lie algebras naturally arise; let us recall their definition. Let a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  contain a subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$  which contains no non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{L}$ ; then,  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *transitive*. A transitive Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *primitive* (relative a maximal subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ ), see [O], if

$$(12) \quad \text{the space } \mathcal{L}_1 := \{X \in \mathcal{L}_0 \mid [X, \mathcal{L}] \in \mathcal{L}_0\} \text{ is not } \{0\}.$$

The set of infinite-dimensional primitive Lie algebras of polynomial growth (resp., the set of finite-dimensional primitive Lie algebras) coincides with the set of Lie algebras of derivations of simple vectorial Lie algebras (resp., the set of trivial central extensions of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras, see [O]). Since  $\dim \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g} \leq 1$  and is seldom equal to 1, the task of classifying infinite-dimensional *primitive* Lie algebras is practically the same as that of classifying the simple vectorial Lie algebras.

By contrast, the classification of primitive Lie **superalgebras** is a wild problem, as shown already in [ALSh], see also Subsection 12.6. Having distinguished a feasible problem, namely,

$$(13) \quad \text{“classify infinite-dimensional *simple* vectorial Lie superalgebras,”}$$

it is also natural to classify all relatives of these algebras, see Subsection 1.2.1.

**1.6. Weisfeiler filtrations and gradings.** Consider infinite-dimensional filtered Lie (super)algebras  $\mathcal{G}$  with decreasing filtration of the form

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{-f} \supset \mathcal{G}_{-f+1} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{G}_0 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \supset \dots,$$

where *depth*  $f$  is finite and where

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \mathcal{G}_0 \text{ is a maximal subalgebra of finite codimension;} \\ \text{b) } \mathcal{G}_0 \text{ does not contain ideals of the whole } \mathcal{G}. \end{array}$$

The very term “filtered algebra” implies that  $[\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j] \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$ . Set  $G_i := \mathcal{G}_i/\mathcal{G}_{i+1}$ . We assume that

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \dim G_i < \infty \text{ for all } i; \\ \text{b) } \dim \bigoplus_{k \leq n} G_k \text{ grows as a polynomial in } n. \end{array}$$

We assume that these Lie (super)algebras  $\mathcal{G}$  are complete with respect to a natural topology whose basis of neighborhoods of zero is formed by the spaces of finite codimension, *e.g.*, by the  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . (In the absence of odd indeterminates, this topology is the most natural one: two vector fields are said to be *k-close* if their coefficients coincide up to terms of degree  $\leq k$ .) No other topology will be encountered below, so all topological terms (complete, open, closed) refer to this topology.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup>This topology is naturally called *projective limit topology*, but the even clumsier term “linearly compact topology” is also used.

Weisfeiler [W] endowed every above-described filtered Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  with another, refined, filtration, called now the *Weisfeiler filtration* (here  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}$  as abstract algebras and all inclusions are strict):

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-d} \supset \mathcal{L}_{-d+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_0 \supset \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \cdots,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{-1}$  is the minimal  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -invariant subspace strictly containing  $\mathcal{L}_0$ ; the other components are defined by formula (1).

**1.6.1. On the importance of W-filtrations.** Each simple infinite-dimensional vectorial Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  has only one (up to an automorphism) maximal subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$  of finite codimension; this  $\mathcal{L}_0$  determines the W-filtration; unlike W-grading, the W-filtration is invariant under automorphisms. The topology generated by the W-filtration is precisely the topology in which  $\mathcal{L}$  can be considered as the Lie (super)algebra of *continuous* derivations of the algebra of functions represented by formal power series, see [BL3].

It is often more natural to consider infinite-dimensional algebras, and modules over them, as *topological* algebras, rather than as *abstract* ones, and therefore vectorial algebras with *formal* coefficients and  $(x)$ -adic filtration (topology) are more natural objects than vectorial algebras with *polynomial* coefficients. These “more natural” algebras are completions with respect to the  $(x)$ -adic filtration of the vectorial algebras with polynomial coefficients, *i.e.*, we complete polynomials to series adding “tails”, *i.e.*, elements of higher degree. The complete topological algebras are natural objects, but it is easier to classify first the associated graded algebras.

The W-filtration of a given vectorial Lie (super)algebras  $\mathcal{G}$  is the filtration corresponding to the  $(x)$ -adic topology on the space of functions, or a modification of this topology that takes into account a non-integrable distribution preserved by  $\mathcal{G}$ . Moreover, for the *W-filtrated* Lie (super)algebra  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ , the  $L_0$ -action on  $L_{-1}$  (recall definition (3)) is irreducible.

For the **simple** filtered Lie (super)algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the graded algebra  $L$  associated with it, the  $L_0$ -module  $L_{-1}$  is faithful. So, being primarily interested in **simple** Lie superalgebras, **we assume that the  $L_0$ -module  $L_{-1}$  is faithful.**

**1.7. Classification problems: A to C.** The following problems arise:

**A) Classify simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras as abstract ones, *i.e.*, disregarding difference in grading.**

We immediately see that this problem is rather unnatural: quite distinct algebras become equivalent. Indeed, as early as in [ALSh], we observed that  $\mathbf{vect}(1|1)$ , the Lie algebra of all vector fields on  $(1|1)$ -dimensional superspace, is isomorphic as an abstract algebra to  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$ , the Lie algebra of *contact* vector fields on  $(1|2)$ -dimensional superspace (and also to  $\mathfrak{m}(1)$ , another, “odd” type of contact Lie superalgebra). Therefore, a more natural formulation is

**A') Classify simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras.**

In some applications it suffices to confine ourselves to graded algebras ([L8]), but in most applications (*e.g.*, in the study of representations [GLS4]), the Lie (super)algebras that are *complete* with respect to a topology are more natural than the graded ones associated with them. So the following problem might look like a reasonable goal:

On the road to solution of Problem B' the following problem *seems* to be a natural step:

**B) Classify simple W-filtered complete vectorial Lie superalgebras as abstract ones, *i.e.*, disregarding differences in filtrations.**

**B') Classify simple W-filtered complete vectorial Lie superalgebras.**

Natural (“straightforward”) examples of complete algebras are provided by the algebras  $\overline{L}$  obtained from the list of examples  $L$  that answer Problem A or A' by taking formal series, rather than polynomials, as coefficients. In addition to these examples, there might occur nontrivial *filtered deforms* of  $\overline{L}$ , *i.e.*, complete algebras  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  such that the graded algebras associated with

$\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  are both isomorphic to  $L$ , whereas  $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \not\cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Examples of such filtered deforms will be given later.

Observe that whereas the difference between Problems A' and B' is as big as that between Problems A and B, the difference between Problems B and B' is negligible. Actually, it is impossible to solve Problem B' and not solve Problem B. Indeed, various filtered deforms are not *a priori* isomorphic as abstract algebras, so, in order to solve Problem B', we have to know, first, all the W-filtrations or the associated W-gradings and then describe filtered deforms for *every* W-grading.

However, even Problem B' is not the most natural one: there exist deforms of algebras from class B' that do not lie in class B': *e.g.*, the result of factorization modulo the center of the quantized Poisson superalgebra  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n|2m))$ , cf. (7); clearly,  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n|2m))$  is a familiar Lie superalgebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients. So the true problem one should solve is

**C) Describe the simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras together with all their deformations (not only the filtered ones).**

**1.7.1. Notation.** We will describe all the Lie superalgebras from the tables in our Main Theorem in detail in due course, but to simplify grasping the general picture from the displayed formulas of the following theorem, let us immediately point out that the prime example, the *general vectorial algebra*  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n;r)$ , is the Lie superalgebra of vector fields whose coefficients are polynomials (or formal power series, in which case it is more correct to denote it  $\overline{\mathbf{vect}(m|n;r)}$ ) in  $m$  commuting and  $n$  anticommuting indeterminates with the grading (and filtration) determined by equating the degrees of  $r$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq n$ , of odd indeterminates to 0, the degrees of all (even and odd) of the remaining indeterminates being equal to 1. The regradings of other series are determined similarly, but in a more complicated way, described below, see Subsection 2.9. Usually, we do not indicate the parameter  $r$  if  $r = 0$ .

In the sequel, for any  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we write  $\mathbf{cg} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot z$  or  $\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{g})$  to denote the trivial central extension with the 1-dimensional even center generated by  $z$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{i} \ltimes \mathfrak{b}$  or  $\mathfrak{b} \rtimes \mathfrak{i}$  denote the semidirect sum of modules (resp., algebras) in which  $\mathfrak{i}$  is a submodule (resp., an ideal).

Let  $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathbb{K}D$  be a **subalgebra** of the Lie (super)algebra  $\mathbf{der} \mathfrak{g}$  of all derivations of the Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $D$  is usually (in examples below) the grading operator of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In proofs, we use standard notation of roots in the simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras, see [OV, Table 5] (or the respective tables in [Bbk] or [Hm]).

Let  $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Although notation  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n;r)$  introduced in (108) is more accurate than  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n;r)$ , we often use the shorter notation for brevity.

The notation  $\mathbf{svect}_{a,b}(0|n)$  and  $\mathbf{spe}_{a,b}(n)$ , though looks similar to  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n;r)$ , means something different: it stands for  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathbb{C}(az + bD)$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  stands for  $\mathbf{svect}(0|n)$  or  $\mathbf{spe}(n)$ , respectively,  $D$  is the operator that determines the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $z$  is a central element generating the trivial center (*i.e.*, a direct summand).

The *exterior algebra* of the (super)space  $V$  is denoted by  $E(V)$  or — if  $V = V_1$  — by  $\Lambda(V)$  or  $\Lambda(n)$ , where  $n = \dim V$ , a.k.a. *Grassmann algebra*  $\Lambda(\xi)$  on  $n$  generators  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ .

**1.7.1a. Overview of various properties of vectorial Lie (super)algebras.**

• **On vectorial superalgebras, there are two analogs of trace;** for details, see Subsection 2.6.1:

1) *Traces* (or *supertraces*, for emphasis) — various linear functionals that vanish on the first derived subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  or its (super)commutant  $\mathfrak{g}' := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  — are traces in the classical sense, simpleminded analogs of the trace on the matrix Lie algebra.

2) *Divergences* — “Cartan prolongs”, as we will see, of traces on the linear subalgebras — though not, actually, traces, are “morally”, as Manin might have dubbed it, closer to, and better resemble the traces on matrix Lie algebras than (super)traces in the classical sense 1).

Accordingly, the analog of the *special* Lie (super)algebra — the traceless subalgebra of the matrix Lie (super)algebra — is the *divergence-free* subalgebra of a vectorial algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; this analog is denoted by  $\mathfrak{sg}$ , *e.g.*,  $\mathfrak{svect}(n|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sm}(n)$ , etc. The even (resp., odd) part of the codimension of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is equal to the number of even (resp., odd) supertraces on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

- Lie superalgebras of series  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  are the straightforward analogs of the Lie algebras of *contact* and *Hamiltonian* series, respectively; the *Poisson* Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$  is the — there is just one (class of) — nontrivial central extension of  $\mathfrak{h}(2n|m)$ .

- Lie superalgebras of series  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{le}$  are the “*odd*” analogs of the Lie algebras of contact and Hamiltonian series, respectively. The bracket in the *Buttin superalgebra*  $\mathfrak{b}$ , the central extension of  $\mathfrak{le}$ , is the classical *Schouten bracket*, currently more popular under the name of *antibracket*. We say “odd” in quotation marks: all these “odd” analogs have both even and odd parts.

- The *antibracket can be deformed*, the corresponding deformed Lie superalgebras inside the GLAPG type are  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ ; the results of other deformations of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$ , some of them with an odd parameter, lie outside of the GLAPG class.

- The algebras  $\mathfrak{sm}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{sb}$  are divergence-free subalgebras in the respective algebras.

- The simple algebra  $\mathfrak{sb}$  is a deformation (deform if the parameter of deformation is even) of a nonsimple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{sb}(n|n+1; n)$ .

- We note **an outstanding property of the bracket in  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$ : it can be considered as a deform of the Poisson bracket as well as a deform of the anti-bracket.**

- In tables (22), (101) below: in parentheses after the “family name” of the algebra there stands the superdimension of the superspace spanned by the indeterminates and — after the semicolon — an abbreviated description of the regrading.

The regradings of the series are governed by a vector  $\vec{r}$ , often abbreviated to a number  $r$  or a symbol, as described in Subsection 2.9. All regradings are given in (hopefully) suggestive notation, *e.g.*,  $\mathfrak{kas}^\xi(1|6; 3\eta)$  means that, having taken  $\mathfrak{kas}^\xi$  as the point of reference, we set  $\deg \eta = 0$  for each of the three  $\eta$ ’s (certainly, this imposes some conditions on the degrees of the other indeterminates, see Subsection 2.9). Passing from one regrading to another one, we take the realization with the minimal value of  $\dim \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$  as the point of reference. For the exceptional Lie superalgebras, another point of reference — the *compatible* grading is often more convenient; it is marked by  $K$ .

The exceptional grading  $\text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$  is described in passing in the list of occasional isomorphisms (23); it is described in detail for another incarnation of this algebra in Subsection 2.12.3.

- **On “drop-outs”.** Several algebras are “drop-outs” from the series. Examples: the algebras  $\mathfrak{svect}'(1|n; r)$  are “drop-outs” from the series  $\mathfrak{svect}(m|n; r)$  since the latter are not simple for  $m = 1$ , but contain the simple ideal  $\mathfrak{svect}'(1|n; r)$ .

Similarly,  $\mathfrak{le}(n|n; r)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}'_1(n|n+1; r)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n|n+1; r)$  are “drop-outs” from the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n|n+1; r)$  corresponding to  $\lambda = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$ , respectively, having either a simple ideal of codimension 1, or a center the quotient modulo which is simple. For  $n = 2$ , there are several more of exceptional values of  $\lambda$ .

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{sm}(n|n+1; r)$  is a drop-out from the series  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n|n+1; r)$  for another reason: it is singled out by its divergence-free property, hence deserves a separate line.



The finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}(0|n)$  of Hamiltonian vector fields is not simple: it contains a simple ideal  $\mathfrak{h}'(0|n)$ . The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$  for  $m = n$  is not simple, and therefore the simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$  for  $n > 1$  is a “drop out” from the  $\mathfrak{sl}$  series.

**One should carefully distinguish drop-outs** not only in the case of characteristic  $p$  or the super-case. People justly consider the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields and its central extension, the Poisson algebra as totally distinct algebras for any  $p$  (or loop algebra and its double extension, *i.e.*, affine Kac-Moody relative having a Cartan matrix). Likewise, the difference between  $\mathfrak{sl}$  and  $\mathfrak{psl}$  in characteristic  $p$  and in the super-case is enormous: *e.g.*, the irreducible  $\mathfrak{psl}$ -module of least dimension is the adjoint one.

Thus, all drop-outs, without exception, are quite separate items on the list.

**1.8. The steps of our classification.** (From [LSh1, 1988, pp.235–289]; compare with practically the same steps in [Kga, §3].) For Lie algebras, the following facts and ideas are known, though, perhaps, not as well as they deserve, see [J, GQS].

Namely, given a Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  with a Weisfeiler filtration, consider the associated graded Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1}$ . There are two distinct cases: that of a *compatible*  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and when the grading is *incompatible*.

To the incompatible grading certain arguments used in classification of simple vectorial Lie algebras ([J]) are applicable: in particular, the proof of the facts that for  $W$ -graded Lie (super)algebras,

- 1)  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  generates  $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ ;
- (17) 2) If  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, a] = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  (transitivity condition);
- 3) the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is not just transitive, it is irreducible.

The proof of the fact

- (18) “a root of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is the sum of positive weights of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ ”

is given in Section 3, where (18) reads as follows:

- (19) *the Cartan prolong of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is of infinite dimension if and only if the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  has a rank-1 operator — the coroot corresponding to the root (18) — with an even covector.*

Having established this, we have to find the pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  for which (18) holds. The search for such pairs, and the proof of the completeness of their list occupy Sections 3–7.

**Step 1.** Formulation of the Pivotal Ideas of our proof.

(1) After Weisfeiler, we use the fact that every simple filtered vectorial Lie superalgebra has a  $W$ -filtration such that the associated graded Lie superalgebra is simple, see [W];

(2) We show that any simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebra  $\bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_i$ , with **incompatible** grading, is infinite-dimensional if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  so that the action has a rank-1 operator with an even covector.

We have listed all simple  $W$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebras of depth 1 in the union of [ALSh], [Sh3], [ShM], [Sh14]. This union contains (as is now established) all the examples of simple vectorial superalgebras of any depth  $d$ , considered as abstract ones, and all their  $W$ -regradings, except for the  $W$ -regrading  $CK$ , see table (101).

(3) The cases where  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is a purely odd superspace (compatible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading) or  $d > 1$ . While we left these cases to be considered later, Cantarini, Cheng and Kac investigated them in [K3, Kga, CK2] and [CCK].

For a proof of the classification, see [LSh5], a detailed version of [LSh1, LSh3]. The case of compatible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading cannot be considered by the above-described methods. This gap in our

proof was filled in in the papers by Kac and his co-workers; no new examples were discovered as we predicted.

**Step 2.** Criterion for infinite dimensionality of a given Cartan prolongation: existence of rank-1 operators with an even covector.

**Step 3.** Main reduction: the three cases. For  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and an irreducible faithful representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  with a rank-1 operator, the following cases are possible:

- I)  $\rho$  is induced (from a representation of a Lie subsuperalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of codimension  $0|k$ ).
- II)  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is *almost simple* (for this definition, see Subsection 2.4) or  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a central extension of an almost simple Lie superalgebra;
- III)  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = 2|2$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is contained in a particular Lie superalgebra.

**Step 4.** Description of prolongations of induced representations (case I of step 3).

**Step 5.** Description of prolongations of almost simple Lie superalgebras and their central extensions (case II of step 3).

**Step 6.** Case III of step 3. (The unique simple infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra arising at this step is a regrading of  $\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2)$ .)

**Step 7.** Description of W-gradings of the simple superalgebras found above.

**Step 8.** The case of compatible grading. Proof of our conjecture, see [K3, Kga, CK2, CCK].

**Theorem** ([K3]). *The only simple vectorial Lie superalgebras with compatible Weisfeiler filtration are:  $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$  and the exceptional algebras  $\mathfrak{kas}$ ,  $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3; K)$ ,  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6; K)$ , and  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)$ .*

**Step 9. Description of deformations and deforms**, see Sections 9 and 9.2.

**1.9. Warning: results of cohomology calculations might be confusing.** There are several types of confusing phenomena:

1) For Lie (super)algebras, the deformation problem **ostensibly** has an unequivocal answer: calculate the infinitesimal deformations — the elements of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ , the tangent space to the (super)variety of deformation parameters — and that is it: if  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *rigid, i.e.*, has no deformations. If not, construct the global deformations whose tangent vectors correspond to the basis elements of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ . To find isomorphisms among the members of the parametric family of deforms still remains sometimes an **Open problem**, especially in the modular cases.

There are, however, examples of simple (finite-dimensional) Lie (super)algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$ , some or all the cocycles constituting a basis of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  can be integrated to global deformations, but the deforms corresponding to these cocycles are isomorphic to the initial Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , see [Ri, Car, BLW, BLLS]. We call the cocycles with this property, the cohomology classes they represent, and the corresponding deformations *semi-trivial*. We call the deforms that are neither trivial nor semi-trivial *true* deforms. Fortunately, the reasons for a deform to be semi-trivial given in [BLLS] do not exist over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Still, for infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras, the knowledge of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  does not always help to describe the deforms:

2a) For example,  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = 0$  in terms of the modern cohomology theory (see [FF, LR]), if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is any of the simple loop algebras. Despite this, P. Golod (Holod) gave the first example of a deform of a loop algebra, see [GoHo1, GoHo2]; Krichiver and Novikov initiated a representation theory of such deforms, for a review, see [Shei].

2b) In [FiFu], an example is given of several non-isomorphic smooth deforms with a common tangent vector. In this case, to compute  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  does not suffice to describe all deformations.

To figure out how to describe deformations that explain the above examples is an **Open problem**.

**1.9.1. On filtered deformations.** For the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of depth 1 and a decreasing filtration, it was well known (see, *e.g.*, [KfiD]; superization is straightforward) that

$$(20) \quad \text{the filtered deformations of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ correspond to “certain elements” of the Spencer cohomology}^a \quad H^{2,j}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}; \mathfrak{g}) := \{c \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}; \mathfrak{g}) \mid \deg c = j\}.$$

<sup>a</sup>For examples of calculation and to compare the techniques and interpretations of the results, compare [CK1, CK1a] with [Po3] (by “hand”) and [GL4, GLS2, BGLS, L8].

Let us describe which are the “certain elements” alluded to in (20), and how to treat the algebras of depth  $d > 0$ .

The latter question was answered in [GL4], for more details, see [BGLS]: one has to pass from the Spencer cohomology to the Lie (super)algebra cohomology:  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}; \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_j H^{2,j}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}; \mathfrak{g})$ . In this formulation, it is obvious how to generalize the above-described passage to the algebras of depth  $d > 0$ : let  $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus_{-d \leq j < 0} \mathfrak{g}_j$ , then deformations correspond to “certain elements” of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g})$ . These “certain elements” are the elements of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  that are homogeneous of positive degree. This description allows the filtration of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to begin with the component of degree  $\geq 0$ , and hence answers the question Kontsevich posed to us in 2002:

“How to describe filtered deformations of  $\mathcal{L}$  if its depth is equal to 0?”

However, for  $d > 0$ , computing the cocycles of the space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  is a much tougher task than computing even the whole space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g})$ .

In the paper [CK1] by Cheng and Kac, the “certain elements” mentioned above are explicitly described (for the first time, as far as we know): it is shown that filtered deformations of a given graded Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of non-zero depth and with a decreasing filtration correspond to  **$\mathfrak{g}_0$ -invariants of the superspace**  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g})$ . (Note that Cheng and Kac did not believe in odd parameters of deformations, and hence considered only even invariants, see [CK1, CK1a].)

A question arises: given two non-isomorphic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  of the same abstract algebra, when does an isomorphism

$$(21) \quad (H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}_0} \simeq (H^2(\mathfrak{h}_-; \mathfrak{h}))^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$$

take place? The answer in the cases where all, not only filtered, deformations are known clearly shows that the isomorphism (21) takes place only if

the cocycles  $c_1 \in (H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$  and  $c_2 \in (H^2(\mathfrak{h}_-; \mathfrak{h}))^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$   
are compatible with both gradings.

For example, the unique cocycle in cases (26) is only compatible with the  $W$ -gradings for which the product of an even number of odd indeterminates is of degree 0. *A posteriori* we know that for other  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings, there are no filtered deformations.

Therefore, [CK1] does not contain a complete list of filtered deformations of all simple  $W$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$ , as claimed. In fact, [CK1] considered only  $\frac{1}{m}$ th of all possibilities for each  $n|m$ -dimensional superspace  $(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)^*$ . Actually, the claim of [CK1] is more vague and subject to interpretations: “we determine all simple filtered deformations of certain  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras classified in [K3]”, but since in [K3] the algebras are classified only as abstract ones, it is impossible to say what exactly is claimed in [CK1].

**1.10. Our results.** For the list of problems A to C, see Subsection 1.7.

1) **Simple vs primitive.** In [ALSh] we have distilled a tame subproblem — *classify simple vectorial Lie superalgebras* — from its straightforward, but **wild in super setting**, see Subsection 12.6, problem *classify primitive Lie superalgebras*, see [K2, K3, Kga].

2) **Solution of Problem A'**. The union of our examples ([ALSh], [LSh1], [Sh5, Sh14]) was our conjectural list solving Problem A', as announced in [LSh2']. The list we announced is, indeed, complete. For compatible gradings, see [K3, CK1, CK1a, CK2, CCK], which prove our conjecture.

3) **Solution of Problem B'**. Combining solution of Problem A' with the results on filtered deformations, see [LSh3, GLS2], we obtain solution of Problem B'.

4) **Classification of W-gradings**. See Subsections 8.1.4, 2.9, 2.15.

**1.10.1. Theorem** (Solution to Problems A and A', B and B'). *The simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be thriftily collected into 14 families as abstract algebras (22), further divided into 34 W-graded series, see Subsection 2.15, and 15 W-graded exceptional algebras forming 5 abstract algebras, see Subsection 2.14.1. They are pair-wise non-isomorphic, as graded and filtered superalgebras, except for occasional isomorphisms (23).*

	$N$	algebra and conditions for its simplicity		$N$	algebra and conditions for its simplicity
	1	$\mathfrak{vect}(m n; r)$ for $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq r \leq n$		6	$\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2 2; r)$ for $r = 0, 1$ and $\text{Reg}_\mathfrak{b}$
	FD	$\mathfrak{vect}(0 n; r)$ for $n > 1$ and $0 \leq r \leq n$		7	$\mathfrak{m}(n n+1; r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
	2	$\mathfrak{svect}(m n; r)$ for $m > 1, 0 \leq r \leq n$		8	$\mathfrak{sm}(n n+1; r)$ for $n > 1$ but $n \neq 3$ and $0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
	FD	$\mathfrak{svect}(0 n; r)$ for $n > 2$ and $0 \leq r \leq n$		9	$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n n+1; r)$ for $n > 1$ , where $\lambda \neq 0, 1, \infty$ and $0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$ ; $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2 3; r)$ , for $r = 0, 1$ and $\text{Reg}_\mathfrak{b}$
(22)	3	$\mathfrak{svect}'(1 n; r)$ for $n > 1, 0 \leq r \leq n$		10	$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n n+1; r)$ for $n > 1, 0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
	FD	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0 n)$ for $n > 2$		11	$\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n n+1; r)$ for $n > 1, 0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
	4	$\mathfrak{k}(2m+1 n; r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, (m n) \neq (0 2k)$		12	$\mathfrak{le}(n n; r)$ for $n > 1, 0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
		$\mathfrak{k}(1 2k; r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq k$ except for $r = k-1$		13	$\mathfrak{st}'(n n; r)$ for $n > 2, 0 \leq r \leq n, r \neq n-1$
	5	$\mathfrak{h}(2m n; r)$ for $m > 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$		14	$\mathfrak{sb}_\mu(2^{n-1}-1 2^{n-1})$ for $\mu \neq 0$ and $n > 2$ even $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1} 2^{n-1}-1)$ for $\mu \neq 0$ and $n > 1$ odd
	FD	$\mathfrak{h}'(0 n)$ for $n > 3$			

Hereafter we abbreviate the algebras  $\mathfrak{m}(n|n+1; r)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n|n+1; r)$ ,  $\mathfrak{le}(n|n; r)$  and so on by  $\mathfrak{m}(n; r)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; r)$ ,  $\mathfrak{le}(n; r)$ , and so on, respectively.

*Proof* of this theorem occupies the main body of this article. □

Observe that real forms (classified in an interesting paper [CaKa1]) do not always survive regrading.

**1.10.2. W-gradings of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.** Though  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; r)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2; r)$  are isomorphic, we consider them separately because they are deforms of very distinct structures: the superalgebras with the odd and the even bracket, respectively. In Subsection 2.17 we show wherefrom the mysterious at this point isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{-1-\lambda}(2|2)$  comes. **The family  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$  should be considered as an exceptional one.** The following table lists all W-gradings of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, see Theorem 2.15.1.

1.10.2a. **Occasional isomorphisms.** One can directly verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{vect}(1|1) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(1|1; 1); \\
& \mathbf{svect}(2|1) \simeq \mathfrak{le}(2; 2); \quad \mathbf{svect}(2|1; 1) \simeq \mathfrak{le}(2) \\
& \mathbf{sm}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{2/(n-1)}(n); \\
& \text{in particular, } \mathbf{sm}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2(2), \text{ and } \mathbf{sm}(3) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_1(3); \text{ hence, } \mathbf{sm}(3) \text{ is not simple;} \\
& \mathfrak{sl}'(3) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}'(3; 3); \\
& \mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2; 2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{1/2}(2|2) = \mathfrak{h}(2|2); \quad \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2); \\
& \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2; 1) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2); \\
(23) \quad & \mathfrak{b}'_1(2; \text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \simeq \mathfrak{le}(2), \\
& \mathfrak{le}(2; \text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \simeq \mathfrak{b}'_1(2), \\
& \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2; \text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \simeq \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2); \\
& \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(2; \text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{-b,-a}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{b,a}(2), \quad \text{see eq. (119);} \\
& \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; \text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{-1-\lambda}(2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{-1-\lambda}(2|2), \quad \text{see eq. (139);} \text{ hence,} \\
& \text{the fundamental domain is either } \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } \lambda \geq -\frac{1}{2}\} \text{ or } \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } \lambda \leq -\frac{1}{2}\}; \\
& \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1} - 1|2^{n-1}) \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\nu(2^{n-1} - 1|2^{n-1}) \text{ for } n > 2 \text{ even and } \mu\nu \neq 0, \\
& \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1} - 1) \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\nu(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1} - 1) \text{ for } n > 1 \text{ odd.}
\end{aligned}$$

**Warning.** Isomorphic abstract Lie superalgebras may be quite distinct as filtered or graded: *e.g.*, regradings provide us with isomorphisms ([ALSh]):

$$(24) \quad \mathfrak{k}(1|2; 1) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(1|1) \simeq \mathfrak{m}(1; 1).$$

Observe that only one of the above three non-isomorphic graded algebras is  $W$ -graded over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; the situation becomes more complicated over  $\mathbb{R}$ , cf. [CaKa1].

The standard grading of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$ , though non-Weisfeiler, is often considered in physics papers. Observe that some real forms of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k-1)$  are  $W$ -graded.

1.10.2b. **Comments.** For completeness, our table (22) includes occasional finite-dimensional versions labeled by “FD” instead of the number.

All the Lie superalgebras listed in Theorem 1.10.1 are the results of *Cartan prolongation*, see Subsection 2.8 (or the derived algebras thereof), and therefore are determined by the terms  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  with  $i \leq 0$  (or  $i \leq 1$  in some cases), see Subsection 2.15. The “shape” of these terms might drastically vary with  $n$  and  $r$ , though they can be united in the “families” (22) and (101).

The Lie superalgebras  $\widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}(0|n)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1} - 1)$  depend on an odd parameter for  $n$  odd.

The exceptional regrading  $\text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2)$  and the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$  determine an exceptional grading  $\text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$ . These regradings ( $\text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  and  $\text{Reg}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , see eq. (23) and Subsection 2.12.3) are irrelevant as far as the classification of simple Lie superalgebras is concerned, thanks to the isomorphism. On the other hand, these regradings contribute to the group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$ .

5) **Solution of Problem C** is given by Theorem 2.12.1, Theorem 9.4 on deformations of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, and Conjecture 9.5 on rigidity of the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras. The following propositions classify the filtered deformations.

**1.10.3. Proposition** (No filtered deformations of exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras). *For the  $W$ -graded exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, the isomorphism (21) does not take place. The spaces  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-; \mathfrak{g})$  and  $H^2(\mathfrak{h}_-; \mathfrak{h})$  are always distinct in these cases: they consist of different  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ - and  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -modules.*

*Proof* is given in [GLS2]. □

**1.10.4. Proposition** (Filtered deformations of simple serial vectorial Lie superalgebras). *There are only 4 series  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  of filtered deformations of simple serial vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to the following  $W$ -gradings:*

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{svect}(0|n), \quad \mathbf{sl}\mathfrak{e}(n), \quad \mathbf{b}_1(n), \quad \mathbf{b}_1(n;n).$$

The first three of these deformations can be described as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{vect}(n|m)$  be a subalgebra with  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_- = n|m$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ ; let  $\Theta$  be the product of all  $m$  odd indeterminates and let  $t$  be a parameter,  $p(t) = m \pmod{2}$ . Then,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_t = (1 + t\Theta)\mathcal{L}$  and

$$(26) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_t \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \\ \mathcal{L} \otimes \Lambda(t) & \text{for } t \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \text{ is a subsuperalgebra of } \begin{cases} \mathbf{vect}(n|m) & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \\ \mathbf{vect}(n|m) \otimes \Lambda(t) & \text{for } t \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t_1} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t_2}$  whenever  $t_1 t_2 \neq 0$  for  $t_1, t_2$  even, and for  $t_1 = a t_2$  with  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \neq 0$  for  $t_1, t_2$  odd.

*Proof* follows from the classification of deformations of the serial Lie superalgebras, see Section 9. □

## 2. BACKGROUND AND BASIC EXAMPLES

**2.1. Linear algebra in superspaces. Generalities.** A *superspace* is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded space  $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ , where  $\bar{0}, \bar{1} \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ . A *superalgebra* is a superspace with multiplication.

By  $\Pi(V)$  we denote another copy of the same superspace  $V$ , but with the inverted parity, *i.e.*,  $(\Pi(V))_{\bar{i}} = V_{\bar{i}+\bar{1}}$ . We call non-zero elements of  $V_{\bar{0}}$  *even* (resp., those of  $V_{\bar{1}}$  *odd*); we denote the parity function by  $p$  and write  $p(v) = i$  if  $v \in V_i$  and  $v \neq 0$ .

Let  $a = \dim V_{\bar{0}}$ ,  $b = \dim V_{\bar{1}}$ . As is clear from Milnor's  $K_0$ -functor point of view, the *superdimension* of  $V$  is  $\text{sdim } V := a + b\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ . (Usually, the superdimension is written as a pair  $(a, b)$  or  $a|b$ ; this notation obscures the fact that  $\text{sdim}(V \otimes W) = \text{sdim } V \cdot \text{sdim } W$ .) For any superspace  $V$ , let  $\text{Pty}$  be the parity operator, *i.e.*,  $\text{Pty}^2 = \text{id}_V$  and the eigenspaces of  $\text{Pty}$  corresponding to the eigenvalues 1 and  $-1$  are  $V_{\bar{0}}$  and  $V_{\bar{1}}$ , respectively.

A superspace structure in  $V$  induces a superspace structure in the space  $\text{End}(V)$ . A *basis of a superspace* is always a basis consisting of vectors *homogeneous* with respect to parity; let  $\text{Size} := (p_1, \dots, p_{\dim V})$  be an ordered collection of the parities of these vectors. We call  $\text{Size}$  the *format* of (the basis of)  $V$ . A square *supermatrix* of format (size)  $\text{Size}$  is an  $\text{sdim } V \times \text{sdim } V$  matrix whose  $i$ th row and  $i$ th column are of the same parity  $p_i \in \text{Size}$ . We set  $|\text{Size}| = \dim V$ .

One usually considers one of the simplest formats  $\text{Size}$ , *e.g.*, the format  $\text{Size}_{st}$  of the form  $(\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0}; \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})$  is called *standard*. In this paper we can do without nonstandard formats. But they are vital in the classification of systems of simple roots of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras, see [Se4]. In particular, the reader might be interested in them in connection with applications to  $q$ -quantization or integrable systems. Systems of simple roots corresponding to distinct nonstandard formats are related by so-called odd reflections — analogs of our nonstandard regradings, see [CCLL, Leb].

The matrix unit  $E_{ij}$  is by default of parity  $p_i + p_j$ .

The formulas of Linear Algebra are defined via the **Sign Rule**:

$$(27) \quad \text{if something of parity } \alpha \text{ moves past something of parity } \beta, \text{ the sign } (-1)^{\alpha\beta} \text{ accrues; the formulas defined only on homogeneous elements are extended to arbitrary elements via linearity.}$$

Examples of application of the Sign Rule: by setting  $[X, Y] := XY - (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)}YX$  we get the notion of the *super commutator* and the ensuing notions of supercommutative and super anticommutative superalgebras; a *Lie superalgebra* is a superalgebra  $A$  that satisfies super anticommutativity and the super Jacobi identities:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= -(-1)^{p(x)p(y)}[y, x]; \\ [x, [y, z]] &= [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{p(x)p(y)}[y, [x, z]] \text{ for any } x, y, z \in A. \end{aligned}$$

A *super derivation* of a superalgebra  $A$  is a linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow A$  that satisfies the super Leibniz rule

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + (-1)^{p(D)p(a)}aD(b) \text{ for any } a, b \in A.$$

In particular, let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , be the free supercommutative polynomial superalgebra in  $x$ ; let the superstructure in  $A$  be determined by the parities of the indeterminates:  $p(x_i) = p_i$ . *Partial derivatives* are defined (with the help of the Sign and Leibniz Rules) by the formulas  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x_i) = \delta_{ij}$ . Clearly, the collection  $\mathbf{Der} \mathbb{C}[x]$  of all superderivations of  $A$  is a Lie superalgebra whose elements are of the form  $\sum f_i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  with  $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  for all  $i$ .

Note that applying the Sign Rule sometimes requires some dexterity. For example, in non-super setting *skew-* and *anti-commutativity* are synonyms. We have to distinguish between (anti-)commutativity of the superalgebra  $A$ , and *skew-* or *anti-skew-commutativity* commutativities of  $\Pi(A)$ ; the Sign Rule applied for any  $a, b \in A$  yields the four cases:

$$\begin{aligned} ba &= (-1)^{p(b)p(a)}ab && \text{(super commutativity)} \\ ba &= -(-1)^{p(b)p(a)}ab && \text{(super anti-commutativity)} \\ ba &= (-1)^{(p(b)+1)(p(a)+1)}ab && \text{(super skew-commutativity)} \\ ba &= -(-1)^{(p(b)+1)(p(a)+1)}ab && \text{(super antiskew-commutativity)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the *skew* formulas are those that can be “straightened” by the reversal of parity of the space on which the multiplication is considered, whereas the prefix *anti* requires an overall minus sign regardless of parity.

**Convention.** In what follows, the supercommutative superalgebra is always supposed to be associative with unit 1; morphisms of superalgebras are the even homomorphisms; morphisms of supercommutative superalgebras send 1 into 1.

Let the indeterminates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ , so that  $n$  of them are even and  $m$  of them odd, generate the supercommutative superalgebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of “functions” (polynomials or series, etc.). Define the supercommutative superalgebra  $\Omega$  of differential forms as the *polynomial algebra* over  $\mathcal{F}$  in the  $dx_i$ , where  $p(d) = \bar{1}$ .

Since  $dx_i$  is even for  $x_i$  odd, we can go beyond polynomials in  $dx_i$ : smooth or analytic functions in the  $dx_i$  are called *pseudodifferential forms* on the supermanifold with coordinates  $x_i$ , see [BL2]. We will need such pseudo-forms to interpret  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ . The exterior differential is defined on the space of (pseudo) differential forms by the formulas (mind the Super and Leibniz rules):

$$d(f) := \sum dx_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \text{ and } d^2 = 0.$$

The Lie derivative is defined (minding the same rules) by the formula

$$L_D(df) = (-1)^{p(D)}d(D(f)).$$

In particular,

$$L_D((df)^\lambda) = \lambda(-1)^{p(D)}d(D(f))(df)^{\lambda-1} \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } f \text{ odd.}$$

**2.2. What the Lie superalgebra is.** When dealing with superalgebras it sometimes becomes useful to know their definition. Lie superalgebras were distinguished in topology in the 1940s, so an offer of a “better than usual” definition of a notion which seemed to have been known since then might look strange, to say the least. Nevertheless, the answer to the question “what is a Lie superalgebra?” is still not commonly known.

So far, we operated naively: via the Sign Rule. However, the naive definition suggested above (“apply the Sign Rule to the definition of the Lie algebra”) is manifestly inadequate for considering supervarieties of deformations and for applications of representation theory to mathematical physics, for example, in the study of the coadjoint representation of a given Lie supergroup which can act on a supervariety, but never on a vector superspace (an object from another category). So, to deform Lie superalgebras and apply group-theoretical methods in the “super” setting, we must be able to recover a supervariety (a ringed space) from a superspace (an object of the Linear Algebra), and vice versa.

A supervariety isomorphic to the ringed space whose base is a variety  $M$  and whose structure sheaf is the sheaf of sections of the Grassmann algebra  $\Lambda^*(E)$  of a vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$ , is called *split*. Observe that every object in the category of smooth supervarieties (= supermanifolds) is split (for a short proof of this fact, first established in [Ga, Bat], see [MaG, Subsection 4.1.3]), and therefore there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of objects in the category of vector bundles over manifolds  $M$  and the set of objects in the category of smooth supermanifolds. The latter category has, however, many more morphisms than the former, see [Ld, formulas (5) in Subsection 3.1].

A(n affine) *superscheme*  $\text{Spec } C$  — a purely algebraic version of the above over any field (or any commutative ring) of arbitrary characteristic — is defined in [L0] exactly as the affine scheme (see [MaAG]): its points are prime ideals defined literally as in the commutative case, *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq C$  is *prime*<sup>5</sup>

$$(28) \quad \text{if } a, b \in C \text{ and } ab \in \mathfrak{p}, \text{ then either } a \in \mathfrak{p}, \text{ or } b \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

The spectrum of the supercommutative superalgebra is a ringed space, where **the left localization with respect to the multiplicative system  $C \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is equivalent<sup>6</sup> to the right localization, *i.e.*,  $cd^{-1} = d^{-1}c$  for any  $c \in C$  and  $d \in C \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .**

To every linear (a.k.a. vector) superspace  $V$  there corresponds the *linear supervariety* or supermanifold (in the  $C^\infty$ -category) which is a ringed space

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{V} = (V_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{\bar{0}}} \otimes \Lambda^*(V_{\bar{1}}^*)).$$

The *supervariety of linear homomorphisms*  $V \rightarrow W$  is the linear supervariety corresponding to the superspace  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ . The *morphisms* of linear superspaces constitute the space  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(V, W) := (\text{Hom}(V, W))_{\bar{0}}$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>K. Coulembier pointed out to us that the so far conventional definition in the non-commutative case is at variance with the common sense: at the moment, if condition (28) holds,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called (say, in Wikipedia) *completely prime* while it would be natural to retain the term *prime*, as is done in [L0], since the definition is the same despite the fact that supercommutative rings are not commutative, whereas the term *prime* refers (so far) to any ideal  $P \subsetneq R$  in a non-commutative ring  $R$  with the property that for any two ideals  $A$  and  $B$  in  $R$  the following version of condition (28) holds:

$$\text{if } AB \subset P, \text{ then either } A \subset P, \text{ or } B \subset P.$$

<sup>6</sup>No proof of this fact was ever published to this day, so some authors try to evade discussing this fact and describe localizations only with respect to purely even multiplicative systems, thus giving a wrong definition of the superscheme.



**A proper definition of Lie superalgebras is as follows.** A *Lie superalgebra* is a **Lie superalgebra in the category of supervarieties**. Instead of expressing the boldfaced requirement in terms of commutative diagrams (and how to check their commutativity?!) one uses the *functor of points*, see [Ld]. Namely, any Lie superalgebra  $L$  in the sense of the naive definition represents a functor  $\mathbf{ScommSalgs} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{LieSAlgs}$  from the category of supercommutative superalgebras to the category of Lie superalgebras (over the same ground field),

$$C \longmapsto L(C) := L \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C \text{ for any } C \in \mathbf{ScommSalgs},$$

such that

(30) to any morphism of supercommutative superalgebras  $C \longrightarrow C'$ , there corresponds a morphism of Lie superalgebras  $L \otimes C \longrightarrow L \otimes C'$  so that a composition of morphisms  $C \longrightarrow C' \longrightarrow C''$  goes into the composition of morphisms  $L \otimes C \longrightarrow L \otimes C' \longrightarrow L \otimes C''$ ; the identity map goes into the identity map.

In these terms, a *homomorphism of Lie superalgebras*  $\rho : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$  is a functor morphism, *i.e.*, a collection of Lie algebra homomorphisms  $\rho_C : L_1(C) \longrightarrow L_2(C)$  such that any homomorphism of supercommutative superalgebras  $\varphi : C \longrightarrow C_1$  induces a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : L(C) \longrightarrow L(C_1)$ , and products of such homomorphisms are naturally compatible. In particular, a *representation* of a Lie superalgebra  $L$  in a superspace  $V$  is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism  $\rho : L \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , *i.e.*, a collection of Lie superalgebra homomorphisms  $\rho_C : L(C) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes C$ .

Observe that if the characteristic of the ground field is not equal to 3 or 2, one can use another functor of points, called “Even rules” in [Del, Ch.1], cf. [KLLS].

**2.2.1. Deformations with odd parameters. Deforms.** Which of the infinitesimal deformations can be extended to a global one is a separate question, much tougher than the search of infinitesimal deformations, and it is usually solved *ad hoc*. For examples over fields of characteristics 3 and 2, see [BLW, BLLS, BGL1] and references therein. Deformations with odd parameters are always integrable.

1) **Deformations of representations.** Consider a representation  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . The tangent space of the moduli superspace of deformations of  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; V \otimes V^*)$ . For example, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the  $0|n$ -dimensional (*i.e.*, purely odd) Lie superalgebra (with the only bracket possible: identically equal to zero), its only irreducible representations are the 1-dimensional trivial one,  $\mathbb{1}$ , and  $\Pi(\mathbb{1})$ . Clearly,

$$\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}^* \simeq \Pi(\mathbb{1}) \otimes \Pi(\mathbb{1})^* \simeq \mathbb{1},$$

and, because the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is commutative, the differential in the cochain complex is zero. Therefore,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{1}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

so there are  $\dim \mathfrak{g}$  of different odd parameters of deformations of the trivial representation. If we consider  $\mathfrak{g}$  “naively”, we miss and lose all these deformations.

2) **Deformations of the brackets.** Let  $C$  be a supercommutative superalgebra, let  $\text{Spec } C$  be the affine superscheme defined literally as the affine scheme (see [MaAG]) of any commutative ring, see [L0] later expounded in [LSoS, MaG].

Recall, see [Ru], where the non-super case is considered, that a *deformation* of a Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\text{Spec } C$ , is a  $C$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{G} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \otimes C$ , as spaces. The deformation is *trivial* if  $\mathfrak{G} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \otimes C$ , as Lie superalgebras, not just as spaces, and *non-trivial* otherwise.

In particular, consider a deformation with an odd parameter  $\tau$ . This is a Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{G}$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{K}[\tau]$  as a **super space**; if, moreover,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{K}[\tau]$  as a **Lie**

**superalgebra**, *i.e.*,

$$[a \otimes f, b \otimes g] = (-1)^{p(f)p(b)}[a, b] \otimes fg \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } f, g \in \mathbb{K}[\tau],$$

then the deformation is considered *trivial* (and *non-trivial* otherwise). Note that  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \tau$  is not an ideal of  $\mathfrak{G}$ : the ideal should be a free  $\mathbb{K}[\tau]$ -module.

**Comment.** In a sense, the people who ignore odd parameters of deformations have a point: they consider classification of simple Lie superalgebras over the ground field  $\mathbb{K}$ , right? This can be considered correct, but it is not right: the deformations parameterized by  $(H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}))_{\bar{1}}$  are no less natural than the odd part of the deformed Lie superalgebra itself. To take these parameters into account, we have to consider everything not over  $\mathbb{K}$ , but over  $\mathbb{K}[\tau]$ . We do the same, actually, when  $\tau$  is even and we consider formal deformations over  $\mathbb{K}[[\tau]]$ . If  $\tau$  is even and the formal series converges in a domain  $D$ , then we can evaluate the series and consider copies  $\mathfrak{g}_\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for every  $\tau \in D$ .

When the parameter is formal (the series diverges) or odd, the only evaluation possible is  $\tau = 0$ .

Examples that lucidly illustrate why one should always remember that a Lie superalgebra is not a mere linear superspace, but a linear supervariety, are, *e.g.*, deformations  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{vect}}(0|2n+1)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{2n}-1|2^{2n})$  with odd parameters. These deformations are simple Lie superalgebras in the category of supervarieties.

### 2.3. Examples of Lie superalgebras.

**2.3.1. Algebras of operators.** The *general linear* Lie superalgebra of all supermatrices of size  $\text{Size}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size})$ , where  $\text{Size} = (p_1, \dots, p_{|\text{Size}|})$  is the ordered collection of parities of the rows identical with the ordered collection of parities of the columns; usually, for the *standard* (simplest in a sense) format,  $\mathfrak{gl}(\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})$  is abbreviated to  $\mathfrak{gl}(\dim V_{\bar{0}} | \dim V_{\bar{1}})$ . Any non-zero supermatrix from  $\mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size})$  can be uniquely expressed as the sum of its even and odd parts; in the standard format, this is the following block expression with  $p$  defined for  $A, B, C, D$  non-zero:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \right) = \bar{0}, \quad p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \bar{1}.$$

The *supertrace* is the mapping

$$\mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad A = (A_{ij}) \longmapsto \sum (-1)^{p_i(p(A)+1)} A_{ii},$$

where  $\text{Size} = (p_1, \dots, p_{|\text{Size}|})$ . Since  $\text{str}[x, y] = 0$ , the subspace of supertraceless matrices constitutes the *special linear* Lie subsuperalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(\text{Size})$ .

There are, however, at least two super versions of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ , not one. Another version is the *queer* Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}_J(n)$  defined as the Lie superalgebra that preserves the complex structure given by an *odd* operator  $J$  in the  $n|n$ -dimensional superspace  $V$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{q}_J(n)$  is the centralizer  $C(J)$  of  $J$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ :

$$\mathfrak{q}_J(n) = C(J) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n|n) \mid [X, J] = 0\}, \text{ where } p(J) = \bar{1} \text{ and } J^2 = -\text{id}.$$

By a change of basis one can reduce  $J$  to the following normal shape in the standard format:

$$J = J_{2n} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and then } \mathfrak{q}_J(n) \text{ takes the form}$$

$$(31) \quad \mathfrak{q}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n) \right\}.$$

The nonstandard formats of  $\mathfrak{q}(\text{Size})$  for  $|\text{Size}| = 2n$  are of the form (31) with  $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size})$ .

On  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ , the *queertrace* is defined by the formula

$$\text{qtr}: \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \text{tr } B,$$

first introduced in [BL5]. Denote by  $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$  the Lie superalgebra of *queertraceless* matrices.

Note that the tautological representations of  $\mathfrak{q}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{sq}(V)$  in  $V$ , though irreducible in super sense (no invariant sub**super**spaces), are not irreducible in the nongraded sense. Indeed, take homogeneous (with respect to parity) and linearly independent vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  from  $V$ ; then,  $\text{Span}(v_1 + J(v_1), \dots, v_n + J(v_n))$  is an invariant subspace of  $V$  which is not a sub**super**space.

The representation of a superalgebra is said to be *irreducible of general type*, or just of *G-type*, if there is no invariant subspaces; the representation is called *irreducible of Q-type* if it has no invariant sub**super**spaces, but **has** an invariant subspace.

**2.3.1a. On normal shapes of supermatrices  $J$  preserved by  $\mathfrak{q}_J(V)$ .** Over an algebraically non-closed field  $\mathbb{K}$ , there are several types of queer Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{q}_J(V) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , defined as centralizers of an odd operator  $J$  such that  $J^2 = \lambda \text{id}_V$  for a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ . Over algebraically closed fields, all these superalgebras  $\mathfrak{q}_J(V)$  are isomorphic as is easy to see. Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can select  $\lambda = -1$  and interpret  $\mathfrak{q}_J(V)$  as the Lie subsuperalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  preserving the complex structure given by an odd operator  $J$ . For real forms of  $\mathfrak{q}_J(V)$ , see [Se1] with details of the proof in [B3, Ch. D5]. Over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 2, there is also one class of normal shapes, we take  $\lambda = 1$ , see [BGLLS].

**2.3.2. Supermatrices of bilinear forms.** To a given linear mapping  $F : V \rightarrow W$  of superspaces there corresponds the dual mapping  $F^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$  between the dual superspaces. Let a basis of the superspace  $V$  have format  $\text{Size}$  and consist of vectors  $v_i$ ; then, the formula  $F(v_j) = \sum_i v_i X_{ij}$  assigns to the endomorphism  $F$  the supermatrix  $X$ . In the dual basis, the *supertransposed* matrix  $X^{st}$  corresponds to the dual operator  $F^*$ :

$$(X^{st})_{ij} = (-1)^{(p_i+p_j)(p_i+p(X))} X_{ji}.$$

Consider  $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Size})$  over a supercommutative superalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , so the entries of the matrices  $A, B, C, D$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}$  for any parity of  $X$ . In the standard format, this means that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto X^{st} := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} A^t & C^t \\ -B^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } p(X) = \bar{0}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} A^t & -C^t \\ B^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } p(X) = \bar{1}. \end{cases}$$

The supermatrices  $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size})$  such that

$$X^{st}B + (-1)^{p(X)p(B)}BX = 0 \quad \text{for a homogeneous matrix } B \in \mathfrak{gl}(\text{Size})$$

constitute the Lie superalgebra  $\text{aut}(B)$  that preserves the bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $V$  whose Gram matrix  $B = (B_{ij})$  is given by the formula, see [LSoS, Ch.1],

$$(32) \quad B_{ij} := (-1)^{p(B)p(v_i)} \mathcal{B}(v_i, v_j) \quad \text{for the basis vectors } v_i \in V.$$

The formula (32) makes it possible to identify the bilinear form  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bil}(V, W)$  with an element of  $\text{Hom}(V, W^*)$ .

Consider the *upsetting* of bilinear forms  $u: \text{Bil}(V, W) \longrightarrow \text{Bil}(W, V)$ , see [LSoS, Ch.1], given by the formula

$$(33) \quad u(\mathcal{B})(w, v) := (-1)^{p(v)p(w)} \mathcal{B}(v, w) \text{ for any } v \in V \text{ and } w \in W.$$

In terms of the Gram matrix  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , the form  $\mathcal{B}$  is *symmetric* if and only if

$$(34) \quad u(B) = B, \quad \text{where } u(B) = \begin{pmatrix} R^t & (-1)^{p(B)} T^t \\ (-1)^{p(B)} S^t & -U^t \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } B = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, *anti-symmetry* of  $\mathcal{B}$  means that  $u(B) = -B$ .

Thus, we see that the *upsetting* of bilinear forms corresponds to a new operation on supermatrices, *not* to the supertransposition.

Observe that **the passage from  $V$  to  $\Pi(V)$  turns every symmetric (resp., anti-symmetric) form  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $V$  into an anti-symmetric (resp., symmetric) form  $\mathcal{B}^\Pi$  on  $\Pi(V)$  by setting**

$$\mathcal{B}^\Pi(\Pi(x), \Pi(y)) := (-1)^{p(B)+p(x)+p(x)p(y)} \mathcal{B}(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in V.$$

Most popular normal shapes of the even non-degenerate symmetric form are the following ones in the standard format:

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} B'_{ev}(m|2n) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{where } J_{2n} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{ev}(2k|2n) &= \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{2k} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{where } \Pi_{2k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_k \\ 1_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_{ev}(2k+1|2n) &= \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{2k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{where } \Pi_{2k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_k \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1_k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The usual notation for the algebra  $\mathbf{aut}(B_{ev}(m|2n))$  preserving a non-degenerate symmetric even form is  $\mathbf{osp}(m|2n)$ ; sometimes we write more precisely:  $\mathbf{osp}^{sy}(m|2n)$ .

The anti-symmetric non-degenerate even bilinear form is preserved by the ‘‘symplectic-orthogonal’’ Lie superalgebra,  $\mathbf{spo}(2n|m)$  or  $\mathbf{osp}^a(m|2n)$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{osp}^{sy}(m|2n)$ , but has a different matrix realization. Let  $\mathfrak{o}(m) := \mathbf{aut}(\Pi_m)$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n) := \mathbf{aut}(J_{2n})$ . In the standard format, we have:

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{osp}(m|2n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{o}(m), \quad D \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n), \quad C = J_{2n} B^t \Pi_m \text{ for any } B \right\}.$$

The normal shapes of the odd non-degenerate bilinear forms in the standard format (NB: the signs are correct) are

$$(37) \quad B_{odd}(n|n) = \begin{cases} J_{2n}, & \text{if the form is symmetric,} \\ \Pi_{2n}, & \text{if the form is anti-symmetric.} \end{cases}$$

The present day usual notation for  $\mathbf{aut}(B_{odd}(\text{Size}))$  is  $\mathbf{pe}(\text{Size})$ . The passage from  $V$  to  $\Pi(V)$  establishes an isomorphism  $\mathbf{pe}^{sy}(\text{Size}) \simeq \mathbf{pe}^a(\text{Size})$ . These isomorphic Lie superalgebras are called, as A. Weil suggested,<sup>7</sup> *periplectic*. In the standard format, the matrix realizations of

<sup>7</sup>‘‘Don’t you know the ancient Greek?!’’ he asked.

these superalgebras is:

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{pe}^{sy}(n) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n), B = -B^t, C = C^t \right\}; \\ \mathfrak{pe}^a(n) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n), B = B^t, C = -C^t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, although  $\mathfrak{osp}^{sy}(m|2n) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n)$  and  $\mathfrak{pe}^{sy}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{pe}^a(n)$ , certain properties of these incarnations are sometimes very different, see Subsection 2.8, and [Sh5].

The *special periplectic* superalgebra is

$$\mathfrak{spe}(n) := \{X \in \mathfrak{pe}(n) \mid \text{str } X = 0\}.$$

Of particular interest to us will be the Lie superalgebras

$$(39) \quad \mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n) := \mathfrak{spe}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}(aD + bz), \text{ where } z = 1_{2n}, D = \text{diag}(1_n, -1_n)$$

and the nontrivial central extension  $\mathfrak{as}$  of  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$  that will be described after some preparation, see Subsection 2.11.

**2.3.3. More notation.** The tautological representation of a matrix Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  in  $V$ , and sometimes **the module  $V$  itself**, are denoted by  $\text{id}$  or, for clarity,  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The context prevents confusion of this notation with that of the identity (scalar) operator  $\text{id}_V$  on the space  $V$ , as in the next paragraph:

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$ , the trivial representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}$  (if  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is simple) whereas  $\mathbb{C}[k]$  denotes the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  that is trivial on its semi-simple part and such that  $k$  is the value with which the central element  $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , where  $z$  is chosen so that  $z|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = i \cdot \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ , acts on  $\mathbb{C}[k]$ .

**2.3.3a. Projectivization.** If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a Lie algebra of scalar matrices, and  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$  is a Lie subsuperalgebra containing  $\mathfrak{c}$ , then the *projective* Lie superalgebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{pg} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c}$ . The Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \odot \mathfrak{g}_2$  described in Subsection 4.1.1 are also projective.

Projectivization sometimes leads to new Lie superalgebras, for example:  $\mathfrak{pq}(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{psq}(n)$ ;  $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ ; note that  $\mathfrak{pgl}(p|q) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(p|q)$  if  $p \neq q$ .

**2.4. Simple, almost simple and semi-simple Lie superalgebras.** Recall that any Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  without proper ideals and of dimension  $> 1$  is said to be *simple*. The list (40) contains all simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , for various subcases of the classification, see [K1, Kapp, K1C, SNR, Dj1, Dj2, Dj3]; for a summary ignoring odd parameters of deformations, see [K2, Sch]; for a summary and classification of all deformations, see [Ld]:

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{sl}(m|n) \text{ for } m > n \geq 1, \mathfrak{psl}(n|n) \text{ for } n > 1, \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) \text{ for } mn \neq 0; \\ &\mathfrak{psq}(n) \text{ and } \mathfrak{spe}(n) \text{ for } n > 2; \\ &\text{a 1-parameter family of deformations } \mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2) \text{ for } a \neq 0, -1; \\ &\text{exceptional Lie superalgebras } \mathfrak{ag}(2) \text{ and } \mathfrak{ab}(3); \\ &\text{finite-dimensional vectorial Lie superalgebras, namely} \\ &\mathfrak{vect}(0|n) \text{ for } n > 1, \mathfrak{svect}(0|n) \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|2n) \text{ for } n > 2, \mathfrak{h}'(0|n) \text{ for } n > 3; \\ &\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|2n+1) \text{ for } n \geq 1; \text{ the only (mind (41)) deformation with an odd parameter.} \end{aligned}$$

For descriptions of these algebras, especially those with Cartan matrices, see [BGL, CCLL, GL1, GL3], and [K2, vdL]; for descriptions of the exceptional algebras, see [DWN, Sud, El3, BE].

**Occasional isomorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras:**

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sl}(m|n) &\simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n|m), & \mathbf{vect}(0|2) &\simeq \mathfrak{sl}(1|2) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2|2), \\ \mathfrak{spe}(3) &\simeq \mathbf{svect}(0|3), & \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) &\simeq \mathfrak{h}'(0|4). \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphisms  $\mathfrak{osp}_\alpha(4|2) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}_{\alpha'}(4|2)$  are generated by the transformations (see [BGL]):

$$(42) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha' := -1 - \alpha, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha' := \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

so the other isomorphisms are

$$(43) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha' := -\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha' := -\frac{1}{\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha' := -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}.$$

We say that a Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *almost simple* if it can be sandwiched (non-strictly) between a simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  and the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{s}$  of derivations of  $\mathfrak{s}$ , *i.e.*,

$$\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{s}.$$

By definition,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *semi-simple* if its *radical* — the maximal solvable ideal — is  $\{0\}$ .

Copying R. Block's description of semi-simple Lie algebras over fields of prime characteristic we describe semi-simple Lie superalgebras as follows, cf. [K2].

Let  $\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_k$  be simple Lie superalgebras, let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be *pairs* of nonnegative integers  $n_j = (n_j^0, n_j^1)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(n_j)$  be the supercommutative superalgebra of polynomials in  $n_j^0$  even and  $n_j^1$  odd indeterminates, and let  $\mathfrak{s} = \bigoplus_j (\mathfrak{s}_j \otimes \mathcal{F}(n_j))$ . Then,

$$(44) \quad \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{s} = \bigoplus_j ((\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{s}_j) \otimes \mathcal{F}(n_j) \ltimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{s}_j} \otimes \mathbf{vect}(n_j)).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{s}$  containing  $\mathfrak{s}$ . If

$$(45) \quad \text{the projection of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ to } \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{s}_j} \otimes \mathbf{vect}(n_j)_{-1} \text{ is } \mathbf{onto} \text{ for each } j,$$

then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *semi-simple*.

**2.4.1. Conjecture.** If the (super)algebras  $\mathfrak{s}_j$  are finite-dimensional or of polynomial growth, and the (super)algebras of functions  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  depend on a finite number of indeterminates, then all semi-simple Lie superalgebras arise in the manner indicated, *i.e.*, as sums of subalgebras of the summands of (44) satisfying condition (45), cf. [Che].

**2.5. The irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of least dimension  $\neq 1$  over  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple finite-dimensional.** Up to the reversal of parity, such modules are: the adjoint one for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psq}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$  for  $n > 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{ag}(2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{ab}(3)$  and  $\mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)$  for  $a$  generic (*i.e.*,  $a \neq 1, 2, 3$  or obtained from such values of  $a$  under the  $S_3$ -action, see formulas (43)).

For  $a = 1, 2, 3$ , the irreducible modules of least dimension are, up to the reversal of parity  $\Pi$ , of superdimension  $4|2$ ,  $6|4$  and  $8|6$ , respectively, see [GL3]. This fact was first claimed in [Kpr] without proof. The above claims are now deducible from results of Penkov and Serganova, and of Brundan.

**2.6. Remarks on Lie (super)algebras.** 1) Not all filtered Lie superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$  or associated with them  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras  $L := \bigoplus_{i > -d} L_i$ , where  $L_i := \mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1}$ , of finite depth are *vectorial*, *i.e.*, realizable by vector fields on a supermanifold of the same superdimension as that of  $\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}_0$ ; only those with faithful  $L_0$ -action on  $L_- := \bigoplus_{i < 0} L_i$  are.

**Unlike Lie algebras, simple infinite-dimensional vectorial superalgebras can have several non-isomorphic maximal subalgebras of finite codimension.**

2) We denote the vectorial Lie (super)algebras as is customary in differential geometry:  $\mathbf{vect}(x)$  or  $\mathbf{vect}(V)$  if  $V = \mathrm{Span}(x)$  for  $x = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ , where the  $u_i$  are even indeterminates and the  $\theta_j$  are odd ones. We use a similar notation for the subalgebras of  $\mathbf{vect}$

introduced below. Some algebraists sometimes abbreviate  $\mathbf{vect}(n) := \mathbf{vect}(n|0)$  by  $W(n)$  in honor of Witt<sup>8</sup>, and its subalgebra  $\mathbf{svect}(n)$  of divergence-free fields to  $S(n)$ , where  $S$  stands for “special”. Following Bourbaki, we mostly use Gothic font to name Lie (super)algebras.

3) Considering the subspaces  $\mathcal{L}_i$  as the basis of a topology, we can complete the graded or filtered Lie superalgebras  $L$  or  $\mathcal{L}$ ; the elements of the completion are the vector fields with formal power series as coefficients. Though the structure of the graded algebras is easier to describe, in applications one usually needs the completed vectorial Lie superalgebras.

**2.6.1. Traces and divergences on vectorial Lie superalgebras.** Recall Subsection 1.7.1a.

On any Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over any field  $\mathbb{K}$ , a *trace* is any linear mapping  $\mathrm{tr} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that

$$(46) \quad \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{g}') = 0.$$

Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the superalgebra of “functions”. Let  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{der} \mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vectorial Lie algebra with  $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , and let  $\mathrm{tr}$  be a trace on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . **Conjecturally**, any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of a given vectorial Lie algebra is given by the degrees of the indeterminates with a proof similar to that given in [Sk91, Sk95].

The *divergence*  $\mathrm{div} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a degree-preserving  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}$ -invariant prolongation of the trace on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  satisfying the following conditions, so  $\mathrm{div} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathcal{F})$ , *i.e.*, is a cocycle:

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(\mathrm{div} D) &= \mathrm{div}([X_i, D]) \text{ for all elements } X_i \text{ that span } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}; \\ \mathrm{div}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} &= \mathrm{tr}; \\ \mathrm{div}|_{\mathfrak{g}_-} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathrm{Vol} := \mathcal{F}^*$  be the  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$ -module of *volume forms* dual to  $\mathcal{F}$ . As an  $\mathcal{F}$ -module,  $\mathrm{Vol}$  is generated by the *volume element*  $\mathrm{vol}_x = 1^*$  for fixed indeterminates (“coordinates”)  $x$  which we often do not indicate. On the rank-1  $\mathcal{F}$ -module of *weighted*  $\lambda$ -densities  $\mathrm{Vol}^\lambda(m|n)$  with generator  $\mathrm{vol}_x^\lambda$  over  $\mathcal{F}$ , the  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$ -action is given for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $D \in \mathbf{vect}(m|n)$  by the *Lie derivative*

$$(47) \quad L_D(f \mathrm{vol}_x^\lambda) = (D(f) + (-1)^{p(f)p(D)} \lambda f \mathrm{div}(D)) \mathrm{vol}_x^\lambda.$$

Often by a *volume form* we mean only elements  $f \mathrm{vol}_x$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $f(0) \neq 0$ .

**2.7. Examples of vectorial Lie superalgebras.**

**1) General vectorial Lie superalgebras.** Let  $x = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ . The *general vectorial Lie superalgebra* is defined to be  $\mathbf{vect}(n|m) := \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[x]$ .

**2) Special Lie superalgebras.** The *divergence* of the field  $D = \sum_i f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} + \sum_j g_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$  is the function

$$(48) \quad \mathrm{div} D := \sum_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} + \sum_j (-1)^{p(g_j)} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \theta_j}.$$

- The Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{svect}(n|m) := \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(n|m) \mid \mathrm{div} D = 0\}$  is called the *special* (or *divergence-free*) *vectorial superalgebra*.

It is clear that one can also describe  $\mathbf{svect}(n|m)$  as  $\{D \in \mathbf{vect}(n|m) \mid L_D \mathrm{vol}_x = 0\}$ .

- The Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{svect}'(1|n) := [\mathbf{svect}(1|n), \mathbf{svect}(1|n)]$  is called the *traceless special vectorial superalgebra*.

- The deformation of  $\mathbf{svect}(0|m)$  is the Lie superalgebra

$$\mathbf{svect}_\lambda(0|m) = \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(0|m) \mid \mathrm{div}(1 + \lambda \theta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta_m) D = 0\},$$

---

<sup>8</sup>Witt considered a version of  $\mathbf{vect}(n)$  over fields of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

where  $p(\lambda) \equiv m \pmod{2}$  and if  $p(\lambda) = \bar{1}$ , then  $\mathfrak{svect}_\lambda(0|m)$  is considered over  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ . It is called the *deformed special* (or *divergence-free*) *vectorial superalgebra*. Clearly,  $\mathfrak{svect}_\lambda(0|m) \simeq \mathfrak{svect}_\mu(0|m)$  if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ . Accordingly, we briefly denote these deformations by  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|m)$ , see Subsection 1.10.4.

### 3) Lie superalgebras that preserve Pfaff equations or, equivalently, non-integrable distributions, contact and symplectic forms.

- Recall that a *symplectic form* is a non-degenerate closed differential 2-form  $\omega$ . In agreement with the normal shapes of the bilinear forms (35) and (37), the descriptions of normal shapes of the symplectic forms (Darboux's theorem, when on manifolds) were formulated in [L1]; this claim was proved in [Shan]. The obstruction to reducing the non-degenerate differential 2-form  $\omega$  to the normal shape is  $d\omega$ , see [BGLS]. If  $\omega$  is odd, it is called, as A. Weil advised, *periplectic*.

- The *contact form* (resp., *pericontact form*) is a nowhere vanishing differential 1-form  $\alpha$  on a (super)manifold  $M$  that singles out in the tangent bundle  $TM$  a **maximally** non-integrable distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  of codimension 1 (resp.,  $\varepsilon = 0|1$ ), *i.e.*, at every point  $m \in M$ , there are given

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{a subspace } \mathcal{D}_m \text{ of codimension 1 (resp., } \varepsilon = 0|1) \text{ in the tangent space } T_m M, \text{ and} \\ & \text{a differential 1-form } \alpha \text{ such that } d\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}_m} \text{ is non-degenerate.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in Differential Geometry on odd-dimensional manifolds (over the ground field  $\mathbb{K}$ ), the contact form is often defined as a nowhere vanishing differential 1-form  $\alpha$  such that

$$(50) \quad \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{\wedge n} = \lambda \text{vol}_x \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Obviously, this definition cannot be superized since on supermanifolds there are no differential forms of highest degree. Condition (50) is a *property* of the contact form on a manifold, whereas (49) is a reasonable definition.

- Let  $u = (t, p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n)$  be even indeterminates and  $\Theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$  be odd indeterminates. The form

$$(51) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_1 = dt + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i dq_i - q_i dp_i) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \theta_j d\theta_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}_0 := d\tilde{\alpha}_1$$

is called *contact*, and the form  $\tilde{\omega}_0$  is called *symplectic*. Sometimes it is more convenient to

redesignate the  $\theta_j$  and set  $\Theta = \begin{cases} (\xi, \eta), & \text{if } m = 2k \\ (\xi, \eta, \theta), & \text{if } m = 2k + 1, \end{cases}$  where

$$(52) \quad \xi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_j - \sqrt{-1}\theta_{k+j}), \quad \eta_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_j + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{k+j}) \quad \text{for } j \leq k = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, \quad \theta = \theta_{2k+1},$$

and in place of  $\tilde{\omega}_0$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  take  $\alpha_1$  and  $\omega_0 = d\alpha_1$ , respectively, where (we mostly consider the cases where  $\ell = 0$  or 1)

$$(53) \quad \alpha_1 = dt + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i dq_i - q_i dp_i) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\xi_j d\eta_j + \eta_j d\xi_j) + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m = 2k \\ \sum_{1 \leq s \leq \ell} \theta_s d\theta_s, & \text{if } m = 2k + \ell. \end{cases}$$

The Lie superalgebra that preserves the distribution given by the *Pfaff equation*

$$(54) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_1(X) = 0 \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n+1|m)$$

(or by an equivalent equation  $\alpha_1(X) = 0$ ), *i.e.*, the superalgebra

$$(55) \quad \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) := \{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n+1|m) \mid L_D \alpha_1 = f_D \alpha_1 \text{ for some } f_D \in \mathbb{C}[t, p, q, \theta]\},$$



is called the *contact superalgebra*. The divergence-free subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$  is the Lie superalgebra

$$(56) \quad \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) = \{D \in \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) \mid L_D \alpha_1 = 0\}.$$

It is called the *Poisson superalgebra*. (The Poisson superalgebra can be interpreted as the Lie superalgebra that, over a symplectic supermanifold with the symplectic form  $d\alpha_1$ , preserves the connection with form  $\alpha_1$  and curvature proportional to  $d\alpha_1$  in a line bundle.)

The above “symmetric” expression of  $\alpha_1$  is popular among algebraists. However, in analytical mechanics and differential geometry, the following expression of the form  $\alpha_1$  (without odd coordinates, of course) naturally appears:

$$(57) \quad \alpha_{1(2)} = dt - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i dq_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \xi_j d\eta_j + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m = 2k \\ \theta d\theta, & \text{if } m = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

The form  $\alpha_{1(2)}$  is the only reasonable expression of the contact form over fields of characteristic 2, whereas the symmetric expression of the contact forms is inapplicable:  $d\alpha_1 = 2\omega = 0$ .

• Similarly, let  $u = q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  and  $\theta = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n; \tau)$  be even and odd indeterminates, respectively. Set

$$(58) \quad \alpha_0 = d\tau + \sum_i (\xi_i dq_i + q_i d\xi_i), \quad \omega_1 := d\alpha_0$$

and call the form  $\omega_1$ , as A. Weil advised, *periplectic*; accordingly, we call  $\alpha_0$  *pericontact*.

The Lie superalgebra that preserves the distribution given by the Pfaff equation

$$(59) \quad \alpha_0(X) = 0 \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|n+1),$$

*i.e.*, the superalgebra

$$(60) \quad \mathfrak{m}(n) = \{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|n+1) \mid L_D \alpha_0 = f_D \cdot \alpha_0 \text{ for some } f_D \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi, \tau]\},$$

is called the *pericontact superalgebra*.<sup>9</sup>

**2.7.1. On normal shapes of contact and symplectic/periplectic forms.** The restriction of  $\omega_0$  to  $\ker \alpha_1$  is the orthosymplectic form  $B_{ev}(m|2n)$ ; the restriction of  $\tilde{\omega}_0$  to  $\ker \tilde{\alpha}_1$  is  $B'_{ev}(m|2n)$ . Similarly, the restriction of  $\omega_1$  to  $\ker \alpha_0$  is  $B_{odd}(n|n)$ . Clearly,  $\ker \alpha_1 = \ker \tilde{\alpha}_1$ .

There is just one class of contact forms (both even and odd), whereas the obstruction to reducibility of the non-degenerate bilinear form  $\omega$  (even or odd) to the normal shape is  $d\omega$ , see [BGLS].

**2.7.2. Generating functions: contact series and their subalgebras.** A laconic way to describe  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  and their subalgebras is via generating functions. We express the contact fields of series  $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) in natural bases  $K_f$  (resp.,  $M_f$ ).

• Odd form  $\alpha_1$ . For any  $f \in \mathbb{C}[t, p, q, \theta]$ , set

$$(61) \quad K_f = (2 - E)(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} E,$$

where  $E = \sum_i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  (here the  $y_i$  are all the coordinates except  $t$ ) is the *Euler operator*, and  $H_f$  is the Hamiltonian field with Hamiltonian  $f$  that preserves  $d\tilde{\alpha}_1$ :

$$(62) \quad H_f = \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) - (-1)^{p(f)} \left( \sum_{j \leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \right).$$

<sup>9</sup>To call  $\mathfrak{m}$  “odd contact superalgebra” is misleading, since the even part of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is non-zero. To say “the “odd” contact superalgebra” is OK, though a bit too long, and the pericontact form is even.

The choice of the form  $\alpha_1$  instead of  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  affects only the shape of  $H_f$  which we give for  $m = 2k + \ell$ :

$$(63) \quad H_f = \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) - (-1)^{p(f)} \left( \sum_{j \leq k} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right) + \sum_{j \leq \ell} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \right).$$

The expression of the contact field corresponding to the form  $\alpha_1$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  is

$$(64) \quad K_f = (2 - E)(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} E,$$

where  $E = \sum_i p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \sum_j \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$ , and  $H_f$  is the Hamiltonian field with Hamiltonian  $f$  that preserves  $d\tilde{\alpha}_1$ , see (62).

- Even form  $\alpha_0$ . For any  $f \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi, \tau]$ , set

$$(65) \quad M_f = (2 - E)(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - L_{e_f} - (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} E,$$

where  $E = \sum_i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  (here the  $y_i$  are all the coordinates except  $\tau$ ), and

$$(66) \quad L_{e_f} = \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right).$$

Since

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{K_f}(\alpha_1) &= 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \alpha_1 = K_1(f) \alpha_1, \\ L_{M_f}(\alpha_0) &= -(-1)^{p(f)} 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} \alpha_0 = -(-1)^{p(f)} M_1(f) \alpha_0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $K_f \in \mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m)$  and  $M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$ . Note that

$$p(L_{e_f}) = p(M_f) = p(f) + \bar{1}.$$

- To the (super)commutators  $[K_f, K_g]$  and  $[M_f, M_g]$  there correspond *contact brackets* of the generating functions:

$$[K_f, K_g] = K_{\{f, g\}_{k.b.}} \quad \text{and} \quad [M_f, M_g] = M_{\{f, g\}_{m.b.}}.$$

To give the explicit formulas for the contact brackets, we first define the brackets on functions that do not depend on  $t$  (resp.,  $\tau$ ).

The *Poisson bracket*  $\{-, -\}_{P.b.}$  in the realization with the form  $\tilde{\omega}_0$  is given by the formula

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_{P.b.} &= \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) - \\ &(-1)^{p(f)} \sum_{j \leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_j} \quad \text{for any } f, g \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \theta] \end{aligned}$$

and in the realization with the form  $\omega_0$  for  $m = 2k + 1$  it is given by the formula

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_{P.b.} &= \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) - \\ &(-1)^{p(f)} \left( \sum_{j \leq m} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_j} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right) \quad \text{for any } f, g \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi, \eta, \theta]. \end{aligned}$$

The *Buttin bracket*  $\{-, -\}_{B.b.}$  is given by the formula

$$(70) \quad \{f, g\}_{B.b.} = \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_i} + (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \right) \quad \text{for any } f, g \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi].$$

In terms of the Poisson and Buttin brackets, respectively, the (peri)contact brackets are

$$(71) \quad \{f, g\}_{k.b.} = (2 - E)(f) \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (2 - E)(g) - \{f, g\}_{P.b.}$$

and

$$(72) \quad \{f, g\}_{m.b.} = (2 - E)(f) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} + (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} (2 - E)(g) - \{f, g\}_{B.b.}$$

**2.7.3. Divergence-free subalgebras.** Since, as is easy to calculate,

$$(73) \quad \operatorname{div} K_f = (2n + 2 - m)K_1(f),$$

it follows that the divergence-free subalgebra of the contact Lie superalgebra either coincides with the whole algebra (for  $m = 2n + 2$ ) or is the *Poisson* superalgebra., cf. (56)

$$(74) \quad \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) := \{K_f \in \mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m) \mid K_1(f) = 0\}.$$

Since for  $(n|m) \neq (0|2)$  the codimension of  $(\mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m)_0)'$  in  $\mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m)_0$  is equal to 1, there is exactly one (up to a non-zero factor) trace on  $\mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m)_0$ . Hence, there should be exactly one (up to a non-zero factor) intrinsic divergence on  $\mathfrak{k}(2n + 1|m)$ , see [BGLLS]. Setting  $\operatorname{tr}(K_t) = 1$ , the divergence is given by  $\partial_t$  for any  $n$  and  $m$ . For  $m \neq 2n + 2$ , this intrinsic divergence coincides with the restriction to  $\mathfrak{k}$  of the divergence on  $\mathfrak{vect}$ .

**2.7.3a. The case of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$ .** Although  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$  is not W-graded, let us consider it for completeness. This case is exceptional: the algebra  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)_0$  of degree-0 elements is commutative and  $\dim \mathfrak{k}(1|2)_0 = 2$ , so there are 2 traces on  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)_0$ , and hence 2 divergences on  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$ . Let  $\alpha_1 := dt + \xi d\eta + \eta d\xi$ . To the operators

$$\tilde{K}_\xi(f) = (-1)^{p(f)}(\partial_\eta - \xi \partial_t)(f), \quad \tilde{K}_\eta(f) = (-1)^{p(f)}(\partial_\xi - \eta \partial_t)(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_1 = \partial_t$$

there corresponds the second divergence

$$(75) \quad \operatorname{div}_2 := \tilde{K}_\eta \tilde{K}_\xi - \tilde{K}_1.$$

Let  $\beta$  be the symbol of the class of a pseudo-differential form<sup>10</sup> on which the Lie derivative acts as follows

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{K_f}(\alpha_1^a \beta^b) &= (2a \partial_t(f) + (-1)^{p(f)} b \operatorname{div}_2(K_f))(\alpha_1^a \beta^b) \\ &= ((2a - (-1)^{p(f)} b) \partial_t(f) + (-1)^{p(f)} b \tilde{K}_\eta \tilde{K}_\xi(f))(\alpha_1^a \beta^b). \end{aligned}$$

Let the space  $\mathcal{F}_{a,b}$  of weighted densities over  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2)$  be defined as the rank-1  $\mathcal{F}$ -module generated by  $\alpha_1^{a/2} \beta^b$ . (For the modified formula (76) when  $\operatorname{char} \mathbb{K} = 2$ , see [BGLLS].)

**2.7.3b. The pericontact series.** Here, the situation is more interesting: the divergence-free subalgebra is simple.

Since the restriction of the divergence from  $\mathfrak{vect}$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$  is equal to

$$(77) \quad \operatorname{div} M_f = (-1)^{p(f)} 2 \left( (1 - E) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} - \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i} \right),$$

it follows that the divergence-free subalgebra of the pericontact superalgebra is

$$(78) \quad \mathfrak{sm}(n) = \operatorname{Span} \left( M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid (1 - E) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i} \right).$$

<sup>10</sup>If the reader wishes to “visualize” this form, one can consider  $\beta := [d\xi(d\eta)^{-1}]$ . Actually, the expression of  $\beta$  in terms of  $d\xi$  and  $d\eta$  is irrelevant, we need to know only how the Lie derivative acts on it.

In particular,

$$(79) \quad \operatorname{div} Le_f = (-1)^{p(f)} 2 \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}.$$

The odd analog of the Laplacian, namely, the operator

$$(80) \quad \Delta := \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}$$

on a periplectic supermanifold appeared in physics under the name of *BRST operator*, cf. [GPS], or *Batalin-Vilkovysky operator*. Observe that  $\Delta$  is the Fourier transform (with respect to the “ghost indeterminates”  $\tilde{x}$  (the odd ones, if considered on manifolds) of the exterior differential  $d$ .

The divergence-free vector fields from  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$  are generated by *harmonic* functions, *i.e.*, such that  $\Delta(f) = 0$ .

The Lie superalgebra

$$(81) \quad \mathfrak{b}(n) = \{D \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid L_D \alpha_0 = 0\}$$

is called the *Buttin* superalgebra in honor of C. Buttin, see Section 2.7.4. (A geometric interpretation: over a *periplectic* supermanifold, *i.e.*, over a supermanifold with the periplectic form  $d\alpha_0$ , the Buttin superalgebra is the Lie superalgebra that preserves the connection with form  $\alpha_0$  and curvature proportional to  $d\alpha_0$  in a line bundle of superrank  $\varepsilon = (0|1)$ .)

The Lie superalgebras

$$(82) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sm}(n) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid \operatorname{div} D = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{sb}(n) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{b}(n) \mid \operatorname{div} D = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

are called the *divergence-free* (or *special*) *pericontact* and *special Buttin* superalgebras, respectively.

Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sb}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{svect}(1|n)$  have traceless ideals  $\mathfrak{sl}'(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sb}'(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)$  of codimension 1 (*i.e.*,  $\varepsilon^n$ ,  $\varepsilon^{n+1}$  and  $n$ , respectively) defined from the exact sequences

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}'(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{Le}_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{sb}'(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sb}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot M_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}(1|n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \partial_t \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie superalgebra of *Hamiltonian fields* (also called *Hamiltonian superalgebra*) and its traceless subalgebra (defined only if  $n = 0$ ) are

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}(2n|m) &:= \operatorname{Span}(D \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n|m) \mid L_D \omega_0 = 0), \\ \mathfrak{h}'(0|m) &:= \operatorname{Span}(H_f \in \mathfrak{h}(0|m) \mid \int f \operatorname{vol}_\theta = 0). \end{aligned}$$

The “odd” analogues of the Lie superalgebra of Hamiltonian fields are the Lie superalgebra of vector fields  $Le_f$  introduced in [L1], and its special (divergence-free) subalgebra:

$$(85) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{le}(n) &:= \operatorname{Span}(D \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|n) \mid L_D \omega_1 = 0), \\ \mathfrak{sl}(n) &:= \operatorname{Span}(D \in \mathfrak{le}(n) \mid \operatorname{div} D = 0). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to prove the following isomorphisms (as superspaces):

$$(86) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) &\simeq \operatorname{Span}(K_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[t, p, q, \xi]), \\ \mathfrak{le}(n) &\simeq \operatorname{Span}(Le_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi]), \\ \mathfrak{m}(n) &\simeq \operatorname{Span}(M_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[\tau, q, \xi]), \\ \mathfrak{h}(2n|m) &\simeq \operatorname{Span}(H_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi]). \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{po}(0|m) &\simeq \text{Span}(K_f \in \mathfrak{k}(1|m) \mid K_1(f) = 0), \\ \mathfrak{po}'(0|m) &\simeq \text{Span}(K_f \in \mathfrak{po}(0|m) \mid \int f \text{vol}_\theta = 0), \\ \mathfrak{h}'(0|m) &\simeq \mathfrak{po}'(0|m)/\mathbb{C} \cdot K_1.\end{aligned}$$

**2.7.4. On the history of the antibracket.** What we call here the ‘‘Buttin bracket’’ was discovered in pre-super era by Schouten; Buttin was the first to prove that this bracket satisfies the super anti-commutativity and Jacobi identities, see [Bu]. The interpretations of the Buttin superalgebra similar to that of the Poisson algebra and of the elements of  $\mathfrak{le}$  as analogs of Hamiltonian vector fields was given in [L1]. The Buttin bracket and ‘‘odd mechanics’’ introduced in [L1] were rediscovered by Batalin with Vilkovisky (and, even earlier, by Zinn-Justin, but his papers went even more unnoticed, see [FLSf], than [L1]). The Schouten bracket gained a great deal of currency under the name *antibracket*, cf. [GPS]. The *Schouten bracket=Antibracket=Buttin bracket* was originally defined on the superspace of multi-vector fields on a manifold, *i.e.*, on the superspace of sections of the exterior algebra (over the algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions) of the tangent bundle,  $\Gamma(\Lambda^*(T(M))) \simeq \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\text{Vect}(M))$ . The explicit formula for the Schouten bracket (hereafter, the hatted slot should be ignored, as usual) is (mind the Sign Rule!)

$$(87) \quad [X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_l] = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{k-j+i-1} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{Y}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_l.$$

With the help of the Sign Rule we easily superize formula (87), *i.e.*, replace any manifold  $M$  by a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $x$  and  $\xi$  be the even and odd coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Setting

$$(88) \quad \theta_i = \Pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \check{x}_i, \quad q_j = \Pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right) = \check{\xi}_j$$

we get an identification of the Schouten bracket of multi-vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$  with the Buttin bracket of functions on the supermanifold  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$  with coordinates  $x, \xi$  and  $\check{x}, \check{\xi}$ , and the transformation rule of the checked variables induced by that of unchecked ones via (88). Grozman discovered a deformation of the Schouten bracket, see [G], but his result was not understood at that time.

**2.7.5. The explicit form of the pericontact bracket.** Set  $F_x := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F$ . Let  $M_F \alpha$  be a short for  $L_{M_F} \alpha$ . Since  $M_F \alpha_0 = (-1)^{p(F)+1} 2F_\tau \alpha_0$ , it follows that

$$(89) \quad \begin{aligned}(M_F M_G - (-1)^{(p(F)+1)(p(G)+1)} M_G M_F) \alpha_0 = \\ \{(-1)^{p(G)+1} 2[(\xi F_\tau + F_q) G_{\xi\tau} + (-1)^{p(F)} (F_\xi - qF_\tau) G_{q\tau} + (-1)^{p(G)(p(F)+1)} 2G_\tau F_\tau] \\ - (-1)^{(p(F)+1)p(G)} 2[(\xi G_\tau + G_q) F_{\xi\tau} + (-1)^{p(G)} (G_\xi - qG_\tau) F_{q\tau} + (-1)^{p(F)(p(G)+1)} 2F_\tau G_\tau]\} \alpha_0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(90) \quad ((2 - E)(F)G_\tau)_\tau = 2F_\tau G_\tau + \xi F_{\tau\xi} G_\tau - qF_{\tau q} G_\tau;$$

$$(91) \quad (F_\tau(2 - E)(G))_\tau = (-1)^{p(F)+1} (2F_\tau G_\tau + F_\tau \xi G_{\tau\xi} - F_\tau q G_{\tau q});$$

$$(92) \quad (F_q G_\xi + (-1)^{p(F)} F_\xi G_q)_\tau = F_{\tau q} G_q + (-1)^{p(F)} F_q G_{\tau\xi} - F_\xi G_{\tau q}.$$

Clearly,

$$(-1)^{p(F)p(G)} (90) + (-1)^{p(G)} (91) + (-1)^{p(F)+p(G)} (92) = \{\dots\},$$

where  $\{\dots\}$  is the coefficient of  $\alpha_0$  in the right-hand side of (89). Therefore,

$$\{F, G\}_{m.b.} = (-1)^{p(F)+p(G)} \left( (2-E)(F)G_\tau + (-1)^{p(F)} F_\tau(2-E)(G) + \{F, G\}_{B.b.} \right).$$

The arguments for the contact bracket are similar (with  $\alpha_0$  replaced with  $\alpha_1$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ , and the field  $M_f$  with  $K_f$ , respectively).

**2.8. Cartan prolongations.** Recall that the graded Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{b} = \bigoplus_{k \geq -d} \mathfrak{b}_k$  is said to be *transitive* if for all  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$\{x \in \mathfrak{b}_k \mid [x, \mathfrak{b}_-] = 0\} = 0, \text{ where } \mathfrak{b}_- := \bigoplus_{k < 0} \mathfrak{b}_k.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_- = \bigoplus_{-d \leq i \leq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a nilpotent  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie (super)algebra and let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be a Lie sub(super)algebra of the Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{der}_0(\mathfrak{g}_-)$  of degree-0 derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ . The maximal transitive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_k$  whose non-positive part is the given  $\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$  is called the *Cartan prolong* — the result of Cartan prolongation — of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  and is denoted by  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ .

We can realize  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  by elements of negative degree of  $\mathbf{vect}(n|m; \vec{r})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  by degree-0 elements in  $\mathbf{vect}(n|m; \vec{r})$  in a non-standard (see Subsection 2.9) grading  $\vec{r}$  of  $\mathbf{vect}(n|m)$ , where  $n|m = \text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_-$ . Then, the degree- $k$  components of the Cartan prolongation  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* := \bigoplus_{k \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_k$  of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  are obtained for any  $k > 0$  by setting

$$\mathfrak{g}_k := \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(n|m; \vec{r})_k \mid [D, \mathfrak{g}_i] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k+i} \text{ for any } i < 0\}.$$

In what follows  $\cdot$  in superscript denotes, as is now customary, the collection of all degrees, while  $*$  is reserved for dualization; in the subscripts we retain the old-fashioned  $*$  instead of  $\cdot$  to avoid confusion with the punctuation marks.

Suppose that the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is *faithful*. Then, clearly,

$$(93) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* \subset \mathbf{vect}(n|m) = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m], \text{ where } n|m = \text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{g}_i = \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(n|m) \mid \deg D = i, [D, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\}.$$

It is subject to an easy verification that the Lie algebra structure on  $\mathbf{vect}(n|m)$  induces a Lie algebra structure on  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ ; actually,  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$  has a natural Lie superalgebra structure even if the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is not faithful.

**2.8.1. Partial Cartan prolongation: involving positive components.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a proper  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -submodule such that  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$ . If such  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  exists (usually,  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_1] \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_0$ ), define the 2nd prolongation of  $(\bigoplus_{i \leq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1$  to be

$$\mathfrak{h}_2 := \{D \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \mid [D, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{h}_1\}.$$

The terms  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ , where  $i > 2$ , are similarly defined by induction. Set  $\mathfrak{h}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i$  for  $i \leq 0$  and call  $\mathfrak{h}_* := \bigoplus \mathfrak{h}_i$  the *partial Cartan prolong involving positive components*.

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{vect}(1|n; n)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)$ . The former is obtained as the Cartan prolong of the same nonpositive part as  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)$  and a submodule of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)_1$ . The simple exceptional superalgebra  $\mathfrak{kas}$  introduced in [Sh5, Sh14], see also line  $N = 12$  in Table (131), is another example.

**2.8.2. Lie superalgebras of vector fields as Cartan prolongs.** The superization of the constructions from Subsection 2.8 are straightforward, via the Sign Rule. We thus get Lie

superalgebras

$$(94) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{vect}(m|n) &= (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{gl}(m|n))_*, \\ \mathbf{svect}(m|n) &= (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{sl}(m|n))_*, \\ \mathfrak{h}(2m|n) &= (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n))_*, \\ \mathfrak{le}(n) &= (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}^a(n))_*, \\ \mathfrak{sle}(n) &= (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{spe}^a(n))_*. \end{aligned}$$

For an algorithm for constructing prolongs, see [Shch]; it is implemented in the code package *SuperLie*, see [Gr].

Note that  $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{osp}^{sy}(m|2n))_*$  and  $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}^{sy}(n))_*$  are finite-dimensional, unlike

$$(\Pi(\mathrm{id}), \mathfrak{osp}^{sy}(m|2n))_* = (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n))_* \quad \text{and} \quad (\Pi(\mathrm{id}), \mathfrak{pe}^{sy}(n))_* = (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}^a(n))_*.$$

There are **two** superizations of the contact series:  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let us describe them again.

- Let the space of the Heisenberg Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$  be the direct sum of a  $(2n, m)$ -dimensional superspace  $W$  endowed with a non-degenerate anti-symmetric **even** bilinear form  $B$  and a  $(1, 0)$ -dimensional space spanned by  $z$ . Define the bracket in  $\mathfrak{hei}$  by the formula

$$(95) \quad z \text{ is in the center and } [v, w] = B(v, w) \cdot z \text{ for any } v, w \in W.$$

Clearly,

$$(96) \quad \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) = (\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m), \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n)))_*.$$

More generally, given  $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$  and a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n))$ , we call  $(\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m), \mathfrak{g})_*$  the  $k$ -prolong of  $(W, \mathfrak{g})$ , where  $W$  is the tautological  $\mathfrak{osp}^a(m|2n) = \mathfrak{osp}(W)$ -module.

- The “odd” analog of  $\mathfrak{k}$  is associated with the following “odd” analog of  $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{ba}(n)$  the *antibracket* Lie superalgebra ( $\mathfrak{ba}$  stands for Anti-Bracket read backwards) whose space is  $W \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot z$ , where  $W$  is an  $n|n$ -dimensional superspace endowed with a non-degenerate anti-symmetric **odd** bilinear form  $B$  and  $z$  is odd; the bracket in  $\mathfrak{ba}(n)$  is given by the relations

$$(97) \quad z \text{ lies in the center and } [v, w] = B(v, w) \cdot z \text{ for any } v, w \in W.$$

Clearly,

$$(98) \quad \mathfrak{m}(n) = (\mathfrak{ba}(n), \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{pe}^a(n)))_*.$$

More generally, given  $\mathfrak{ba}(n)$  and a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{cpe}^a(n)$ , we call  $(\mathfrak{ba}(n), \mathfrak{g})_*$  the  $m$ -prolong of  $(W, \mathfrak{g})$ , where  $W$  is the tautological  $\mathfrak{pe}^a(n) = \mathfrak{pe}(W)$ -module.

Generally, given a non-degenerate  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant form  $B$  on a superspace  $W$  and a Lie sub-superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{aut}(B)$ , we call any of the above generalized prolongations (96) and (98) the  $mk$ -prolongation of the pair  $(W, \mathfrak{g})$  and denote it by  $(W, \mathfrak{g})_*^{mk}$ .

**2.8.2a. On the history of Cartan prolongations.** The above-described procedure is sometimes called a *generalized* prolongation because the initial Cartan prolongation was defined for  $d = 1$  only. Or rather it was customary to write so without reading works by Cartan and Killing who did consider the above-described generalized Cartan prolongation, see [Cart, Ki, Co].

In the non-super setting, the “generalized” Cartan prolongation was introduced by Cartan (see [Cart]) or, perhaps, Killing (see [Ki]). The Cartan prolongation was rediscovered in two steps by Tanaka [T], see [Y]. The emphasis of these papers is different from ours, this delayed recognition of the similarity of constructions. The partial prolongation seems to be first described in [ALSh, Sh14]. It also appears in the classification of simple Lie (super)algebras in characteristic  $p > 0$ , see [BGLLS], where the correct definition of Cartan prolongation in characteristic  $p > 0$  was first given, after several approximations to the truth in earlier papers.

**2.9. Nonstandard realizations.** The Step 7 of our proof (see Subsection 1.8) implies that the nonstandard gradings listed in table (99) exhaust all the  $W$ -gradings of all the simple vectorial Lie superalgebras. In particular, the gradings in the series  $\mathbf{vect}$  induce the gradings in the series  $\mathbf{svect}$ ,  $\mathbf{svect}'$  and the exceptional families  $\mathbf{vle}(4|3)$  and  $\mathbf{vas}(4|4)$ ; the gradings in  $\mathbf{m}$  induce the gradings in  $\mathbf{b}_\lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{le}$ ,  $\mathbf{sle}$ ,  $\mathbf{sle}'$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{sb}$ ,  $\mathbf{sb}'$  and the exceptional family  $\mathbf{mb}$ ; the gradings in  $\mathfrak{k}$  induce the gradings in  $\mathbf{po}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}'$  and the exceptional families  $\mathbf{kas}$  and  $\mathbf{kle}$ .

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings of vectorial Lie superalgebras are defined by the vector  $\vec{r}$  of degrees of the indeterminates. **For  $W$ -gradings**, this vector can be shorthanded to a symbol or the number  $r$  of degree-0 indeterminates; we do not indicate  $r$  if  $r = 0$ . Let the indeterminates  $t$  and  $u_i$  be even, let  $\tau$  and the  $\theta_j$  be odd. In Table (99), we consider  $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$  preserving the Pfaff equation with the 1-form  $\alpha_1$ ; here  $(t; p, q)$  are the even indeterminates, the odd indeterminates being  $\tau; \theta, \xi, \eta$ , see eq. (53). For the series  $\mathbf{m}$ , the indeterminates are denoted as in eq. (58).

The standard realizations correspond to  $r = 0$ , they are marked by an  $(*)$ . Note that the codimension of  $\mathcal{L}_0$  attains its minimum in the standard realization.

Lie superalgebra	its $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading
$\mathbf{vect}(n m; r)$ , $0 \leq r \leq m$	$\deg u_i = \deg \xi_j = 1$ for any $i, j$ (*)
	$\deg \xi_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ ; $\deg u_i = \deg \xi_{r+s} = 1$ for any $i, s$
$\mathbf{m}(n; r)$ , $0 \leq r < n - 1$	$\deg \tau = 2, \deg q_i = \deg \xi_i = 1$ for any $i$ (*)
	$\deg \tau = \deg q_i = 2, \deg \xi_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r < n$ ; $\deg q_{r+j} = \deg \xi_{r+j} = 1$ for any $j$
$\mathbf{m}(n; n)$	$\deg \tau = \deg q_i = 1, \deg \xi_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$
$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1 m; r)$ , see eq. (53); $0 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ $r \neq k - 1$ for $m = 2k$ and $n = 0$	$\deg t = 2$ , whereas, for any $i, j, s$ (*)
	$\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \deg \xi_j = \deg \eta_j = \deg \theta_s = 1$ $\deg t = \deg \xi_i = 2, \deg \eta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ ; $\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \deg \theta_j = 1$ for $j \geq 1$ and all $i$
$\mathfrak{k}(1 2m; m)$	$\deg t = \deg \xi_i = 1, \deg \eta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$

In table (100), the five families of exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras are given in their  $W$ -gradings as Cartan prolongs  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$  or generalized Cartan prolongs  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*^{mk}$ . For depth 2, for  $\mathfrak{g}_{-} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i \leq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$ , we write  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*^{mk}$  instead of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*^{mk}$ . For  $\mathbf{kas}^\xi$ , the meaning of the notation  $r = 0$ , or  $1\xi$ , or  $3\xi$  is clear: none, or one, or three of the  $\xi$ 's have degree 0 (and the corresponding  $\eta$ 's acquire degree 2). Table (100) contains exceptional superalgebras whose grading  $r$  is described in table (101), indeterminates and their respective degrees in the regrading  $R(r)$  of the ambient superalgebra and describes these regradings:

(the degrees of the even indeterminates | the degrees of the odd indeterminates).



(100)

$\mathfrak{vle}(4 3)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{vle}(5 4)$	$R(1) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 &   & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{vle}(3 6)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4 5)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 &   & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(5 6)$	$R(1) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 &   & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 &   & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(3 8)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 &   & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 &   & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fas}(1 6)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 2 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fas}(5 5)$	$R(1\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 2 &   & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fas}(4 4)$	$R(3\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 1 &   & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fas}(4 3)$	$R(3\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 1 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fle}(11 9)$	$R(2) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 &   & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fle}(5 10)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 &   & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 11)$	$R(CK) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t &   & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 &   & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

In Table (101), indicated is also one of the Lie superalgebras from the list of series (22) as an ambient which contains the exceptional one as a maximal subalgebra. The W-graded superalgebras of depth 3 appear as regradings of the listed ones at certain values of  $\vec{r}$ ; the corresponding terms  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  for  $i \leq 0$  are given in Subsection 2.15. Let the label  $CK$  commemorate an exceptional grading found by Cheng and Kac, see [CK2], see Theorem 2.14.1.

(101)

$\mathfrak{vle}(4 3; r) = (\Pi(\Lambda(3))/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1, \mathfrak{cvect}(0 3))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{vect}(4 3; R(r))$	$r = 0, 1, K$
$\mathfrak{vas}(4 4) = (\mathfrak{spin}, \mathfrak{as})_*$ , see Subsection 2.11	$\subset \mathfrak{vect}(4 4)$	
$\mathfrak{fas}^\xi(1 6; r)$	$\subset \mathfrak{f}(1 6; r)$	$r = 0, 1\xi, 3\xi$
$\mathfrak{fas}^\xi(1 6; 3\eta) = (\text{Vol}_0(0 3), \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 3)))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{svect}(4 3)$	$r = 3\eta$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4 5; r) = (\mathfrak{ba}(4), \mathfrak{cvect}(0 3))_*^m$	$\subset \mathfrak{m}(4 5; R(r))$	$r = 0, 1, K$
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6; r) = (\mathfrak{hei}(8 6), \mathfrak{svect}(0 4)_{3,4})_*^k$	$\subset \mathfrak{f}(9 6; r)$	$r = 0, 2, CK$
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6; K) = (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(5)}, \Lambda^2(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(5)}^*))_*^k$	$\subset \mathfrak{svect}(5 10; R(K))$	$r = K$

**2.10. The modules of tensor fields.** To advance further, we have to recall the definition of the modules of tensor fields over  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$  and its subalgebras, see [BL4, L2, GLS3].

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{vect}(m|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ . For any other  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebra for whose component  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  the notion of lowest weight can be defined, the construction is identical.

Let  $V$  be the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module with the *lowest* weight  $\lambda = \text{lwt}(V)$  and *even* lowest weight vector. Make  $V$  into a  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq}$ -module by setting  $\mathfrak{g}_+ \cdot V = 0$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ . Let us realize  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$  by vector fields on the linear supermanifold  $\mathcal{C}^{m|n}$  corresponding to the superspace  $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$  with coordinates  $x = (u, \xi)$ . The superspace  $T(V) := \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), V)$  is isomorphic, due to the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, to  $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \otimes V$ . Its elements admit a natural interpretation as formal *tensor fields of type V*. When  $\lambda = (a, \dots, a)$  we will simply write  $T(\vec{a})$  instead of  $T(\lambda)$ . We will usually need  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules coinduced from *irreducible*  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules.

**2.10.1. Examples.** As  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$ - and  $\mathbf{svect}(m|n)$ -modules,  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n) = T(\text{id})$ .

$T(\vec{0})$  is the superspace of functions;

$\text{Vol}(m|n) := T(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_m; \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_n)$ , where the semicolon separates the first  $m$  (“even”)

coordinates of the weight with respect to the matrix units  $E_{ii}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ , is the superspace of *volume forms*, see [LSoS, Ch.4] or [Del, Ch.1, §1.11].

We denote the generator of  $\text{Vol}(m|n)$ , considered as a  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ - or  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module, corresponding to the ordered set of coordinates  $x$  by  $\text{vol}(x)$  or  $\text{vol}_x$ . The space of  $\lambda$ -densities is

$$\text{Vol}^\lambda(m|n) := T(\underbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}_m; \underbrace{-\lambda, \dots, -\lambda}_n).$$

In particular,  $\text{Vol}^\lambda(m|0) = T(\vec{\lambda})$ , whereas  $\text{Vol}^\lambda(0|n) = T(-\vec{\lambda})$ . In dimension  $(0|n)$ , we set

$$(102) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_0(0|n) &:= \{v \in \text{Vol}(0|n) \mid \int v = 0\}, \\ T_0(\vec{0}) &:= \Lambda(n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathbf{svect}(m|n)$ -modules,  $\text{Vol} \simeq T(\vec{0})$ . So, we can define the  $\mathbf{svect}(0|n)$ -module

$$(103) \quad T_0^0(\vec{0}) := \text{Vol}_0(0|n)/\mathbb{C} \text{vol}_\xi.$$

**2.10.1a. Remark: Volume element as a quotient.** To view the volume element  $\text{vol}(x)$  as “ $d^m u d^n \xi$ ” is wrong, but in some works this wrong notation is used to this day. Observe that the superdeterminant of the Jacobi matrix can never appear as a factor under the changes of “variables”, *i.e.*, indeterminates, in “ $d^m u d^n \xi$ ”. We can try to use the usual notation of differentials provided *all* the differentials anticommute and  $\deg du_i = 1$  while  $\deg d\xi_j = -1$  for all  $i, j$ . Then, at least the linear transformations that do not intermix the even  $u$ ’s with the odd  $\xi$ ’s multiply the volume element  $\text{vol}_x$ , viewed as the fraction  $\frac{du_1 \dots du_m}{d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n}$ , by the correct factor, the Berezinian  $\text{ber}(A)$ , see [Lber], of the transformation  $A$ . But how to justify this? Let  $x := (u, \xi)$ . If we consider the exterior differential forms, then the  $dX_i$ ’s super anticommute, hence, the  $d\xi_i$  commute and so should  $(d\xi_i)^{-1}$ ; whereas if we consider the *symmetric* product of the differentials, as in metrics, then the  $dx_i$ ’s supercommute, hence, the  $du_i$  commute, so neither the exterior, nor the symmetric product is what we need: all factors should anticommute.

Note that the  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$  anticommute, and moreover, from the point of view of linear transformations,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{1}{d\xi_i}$ . The expression  $du_1 \dots du_m \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}$  is, nevertheless, still wrong: many transformations  $A : (u, \xi) \mapsto (v, \eta)$  send  $du_1 \dots du_m \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}$  to the correct element,  $\text{ber}(A)(dv_1 \dots dv_m \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_n})$  plus extra terms. Indeed, the term  $dv_1 \dots dv_m \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_n}$  is the highest weight vector of an *indecomposable*  $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -module and  $\text{vol}_x := \text{vol}(u, \xi)$  is the notation

of the image of this vector in the 1-dimensional quotient module by the invariant submodule that consists precisely of all the extra terms. Deligne, Witten, and their followers denote the volume element — the generator of the quotient module — by  $[dx/\partial_\xi]$ , see [Del, Ch.1].

**2.11. A. Sergeev's central extension of  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$ .** In 1970's A. Sergeev proved (cited in [FuLe], see also [BGLL1]) that over  $\mathbb{C}$  there is just one nontrivial central extension of  $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$  for  $n > 2$ . It exists only for  $n = 4$  and we denote it by Sergeev's initials:  $\mathfrak{as}$ . Let us represent an arbitrary element  $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{as}$  as a pair  $\tilde{X} = X + a \cdot 1_{4|4}$ , where  $X \in \mathfrak{spe}(4)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . The bracket in  $\mathfrak{as}$  is

$$(104) \quad \left[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} + a \cdot 1_{4|4}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & -A'^t \end{pmatrix} + a' \cdot 1_{4|4} \right) \right] = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & -A'^t \end{pmatrix} \right] + \text{tr}(C\tilde{C}') \cdot 1_{4|4},$$

where  $\sim$  is extended by linearity from matrices  $C_{ij} := E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ , on which  $\tilde{C}_{ij} = C_{kl}$  for any even permutation  $(1234) \mapsto (ijkl)$ .

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{as}$  can also be described with the help of the *spinor representation*, see [LSh4]. Consider the particular case of  $\mathfrak{o}(6) = (\mathfrak{po}(0|6))_0$ . The superspace of  $\mathfrak{po}(0|6)$  is the Grassmann superalgebra  $\Lambda(\xi, \eta)$  generated by  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$  and the Lie superalgebra structure given by the Poisson bracket (68).

Recall that  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6) = \text{Span}(H_f \mid f \in \Lambda(\xi, \eta))$ . Now, note that  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$  can be embedded into  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$ . Indeed, setting  $\deg \xi_i = \deg \eta_i = 1$  for all  $i$  we introduce a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\Lambda(\xi, \eta)$  which, in turn, induces a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$  of the form  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6) = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{h}(0|6)_i$ . Since  $\mathfrak{sl}(4) \simeq \mathfrak{o}(6)$ , we can identify  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)_0$  with  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)_0$ .

It is not difficult to see that the elements of degree  $-1$  in the standard gradings of  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$  constitute isomorphic  $\mathfrak{sl}(4) \simeq \mathfrak{o}(6)$ -modules; moreover, it is possible to embed  $\mathfrak{spe}(4)_1$  into  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)_1$ .

Sergeev's extension  $\mathfrak{as}$  is the result of the restriction to  $\mathfrak{spe}(4) \subset \mathfrak{h}(0|6)$  of the cocycle that turns  $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$  into  $\mathfrak{po}(0|6)$ , see eq. (179). The quantization  $\mathcal{Q}$  deforms  $\mathfrak{po}(0|6)$  into  $\mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda(\xi))$ ; the through maps

$$T_\lambda : \mathfrak{as} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po}(0|6) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda(\xi))$$

are representations of  $\mathfrak{as}$  in the 4|4-dimensional modules  $\text{spin}_\lambda$  isomorphic to each other for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so we assume  $\lambda = 1$  and do not indicate it. The explicit form of  $T_\lambda$  is

$$(105) \quad T_\lambda : \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} + a \cdot 1_{4|4} \right) \mapsto \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B - \lambda \tilde{C} \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} + \lambda a \cdot 1_{4|4} \right),$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  is defined in the line under eq. (104). Clearly,  $T_\lambda$  is irreducible for any  $\lambda$ .

**2.12. Deformations of the Buttin superalgebra (after [Ko1, LSh3]).** As is clear from the definition of the Buttin bracket (and [G] from where it went unnoticed), there is a regrading (namely,  $\mathfrak{b}(n; n)$  given by  $\deg \xi_i = 0, \deg q_i = 1$  for all  $i$ ) under which  $\mathfrak{b}(n)$ , initially of depth 2, takes the form  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \Pi(\mathbb{C}[\xi])$ . Now let us replace the  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  of functions (with inverted parity) by the rank-1 module (over the algebra of functions) of  $\lambda$ -densities (also with inverted parity), *i.e.*, set  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(0|n))$ , where the  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ -action on the generator  $\text{vol}_\xi^\lambda$  of the module  $\text{Vol}^\lambda(0|n)$  is given by the formula

$$(106) \quad L_D(\text{vol}_\xi^\lambda) = \lambda \text{div } D \cdot \text{vol}_\xi^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad p(\text{vol}_\xi^\lambda) = \bar{1} \quad \text{when considered in } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Define  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$  to be the Cartan prolong

$$(107) \quad \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n) := (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* = (\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(0|n)), \mathfrak{vect}(0|n))_*.$$

Clearly, this is a deform of  $\mathfrak{b}(n; n)$ . Kotchetkov called he collection of these prolongs  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  the *main deformation*, see [Ko1]; the other deformations are called *singular*, see Theorem 2.12.1. The deformation of  $\mathfrak{b}(n)$  with odd parameter is called *quantization*: it is a splitting image of the quantization of the Poisson (super)algebra.

The deform  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  of  $\mathfrak{b}(n)$  is a regrading of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$  described as follows. Set

$$(108) \quad \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) := \{M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid a \operatorname{div} M_f = (-1)^{p(f)} 2(a - bn) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau}\}.$$

Using the explicit form of the divergence of  $M_f$  we see that

$$(109) \quad \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) = \{M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid (bn - aE) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = a\Delta f\} = \\ \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(n|n+1) \mid L_D(\operatorname{vol}_{q,\xi,\tau}^a \alpha_0^{a-bn}) = 0\}, \text{ where } \Delta := \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}.$$

It is subject to a direct verification that  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  for  $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)}$ . This isomorphism shows that  $\lambda$  actually runs over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , not  $\mathbb{C}$ , as one might hastily think.

For future use, we will denote the operator that singles out  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  in  $\mathfrak{m}(n)$  as follows:

$$(110) \quad \operatorname{div}_\lambda := (bn - aE) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} - a\Delta \text{ for } \lambda := \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \text{ and } \Delta := \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}.$$

As follows from the description of  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$ -modules ([BL4]) and the simplicity criteria for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras ([K2]), the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  are simple for  $n > 1$  and  $\lambda \neq 0, 1, \infty$ , see eq. (111). It is also clear that the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  are non-isomorphic for distinct values of  $\lambda$ , except for the isomorphisms already described in list (23).

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}(n) = \mathfrak{b}_0(n)$  is not simple: it has an  $\varepsilon = (0|1)$ -dimensional, center. At  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\infty$ , the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  are not simple either: each of them has an ideal of codimension  $\varepsilon^{n+1}$  and  $\varepsilon^n$ , respectively. The corresponding exact sequences are

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}M_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{le}(n) \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}'_1(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot M_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\infty(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot M_{\tau \xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, at the exceptional values of  $\lambda$ , *i.e.*, 0, 1, and  $\infty$ , the deformations of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  should be investigated extra carefully. As we will see immediately, this pays: at each of the exceptional points we find additional deformations. The following is an **Open problem**:

**What is the reason for the exceptional deformation at  $\lambda = -1$ ?**

Other exceptional values ( $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{3}{2}$ ) come from the isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2; 2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{1/2}(2|2) = \mathfrak{h}(2|2) \text{ and } \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{-1-\lambda}(2|2), \text{ see list (23),}$$

and the existence of an even non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\Pi(\operatorname{Vol}^{1/2})$  which gives rise to a central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}K_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po}(2|2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}(2|2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The restriction of the well-known deformation  $\mathcal{Q}$  — quantization — of  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2)$  to  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  explains the exceptional deformation at  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ . For the proof of the next theorem, see §10.

**2.12.1. Theorem** (Deformations of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , after [Ko1, LSh3]). *For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , set  $H = H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  for brevity. Then,*

1)  $\operatorname{sdim} H = (1|0)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , except for  $\lambda = 0, -1, 1, \infty$  for  $n > 2$ .

1<sub>2</sub>) For  $n = 2$ , in addition to the above,  $\operatorname{sdim} H \neq (1|0)$  at  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{3}{2}$ .

2) At the exceptional values of  $\lambda$  listed in heading 1), we have

$\operatorname{sdim} H = (2|0)$  at  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and  $n$  odd, or  $\lambda = \infty$  and  $n$  even, or  $n = 2$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\lambda = -\frac{3}{2}$ .

$\text{sdim } H = (1|1)$  at  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = \infty$  and  $n$  odd, or  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and  $n$  even.

Let  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ ; we set  $q^k = q_1^{k_1} \dots q_n^{k_n}$  and  $|k| = \sum k_i$ . The corresponding cocycles  $C$  are given by the following non-zero values in terms of the generating functions  $f$  and  $g$ , where  $d_{\bar{1}}(f)$  is the degree of  $f$  with respect to odd indeterminates only:

$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$	$p(C)$	$C(f, g)$
$\mathfrak{b}_0(n)$	odd	$(-1)^{p(f)}(d_{\bar{1}}(f) - 1)(d_{\bar{1}}(g) - 1)fg$
$\mathfrak{b}_{-1}(n)$	$n + 1 \pmod{2}$	$f = q^k, g = q^l \mapsto (4 -  k  -  l )q^{k+l}\xi_1 \dots \xi_n + \tau\Delta(q^{k+l}\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)$
$\mathfrak{b}_1(n)$	$n + 1 \pmod{2}$	$f = \xi_1 \dots \xi_n, g \mapsto \begin{cases} (d_{\bar{1}}(g) - 1)g, & \text{if } g \neq af, a \in \mathbb{C} \\ 2(n - 1)f, & \text{if } g = f \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$
$\mathfrak{b}_\infty(n)$	$n \pmod{2}$	$f = \tau\xi_1 \dots \xi_n, g \mapsto \begin{cases} (d_{\bar{1}}(g) - 1)g, & \text{if } g \neq af, a \in \mathbb{C} \\ 2f, & \text{if } g = f \text{ and } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$
$\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2)$	even	induced by the quantization $\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathfrak{po}$ , see [Fu, Vo, Tyut]
$\lambda$ generic	even	see (112), (113)

On  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{-3/2}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}(2|2; 1)$ , the cocycle  $C$  is the one induced on  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2) = \mathfrak{po}(2|2)/\mathbb{C} \cdot K_1$  by the usual deformation (quantization) of  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2)$  with cocycle  $Q$  applied to the nonstandard regrading  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2; 1)$  with subsequent passage to the quotient modulo the center (spanned by constants).

3) The space  $H$  is diagonalizable with respect to the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{g}$ . Let the cocycle  $M$  corresponding to the main deformation be one of the eigenvectors. Let  $C$  be another eigenvector in  $H$ , it determines a singular deformation from item 2). The only cocycles  $kM + lC$ , where  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ , that can be extended to a global deformation are those for  $kl = 0$ , i.e., either  $M$  or  $C$ .

All the singular deformations of the bracket  $\{-, -\}_{\text{old}}$  in  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , except the ones for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{3}{2}$  and  $n = 2$ , are linear in  $\hbar$  even if  $\hbar$  is even:

$$(112) \quad \{f, g\}_{\hbar}^{\text{sing}} = \{f, g\}_{\text{old}} + \hbar \cdot C(f, g) \text{ for any } f, g \in \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n).$$

**2.12.2. Remark: A relation with the Vey cocycle.** C. Roger observed that the singular deformation (quantization) of  $\mathfrak{b}_0(n) = \mathfrak{b}(n)$  is, up to a sign, the wedge product of two 1-cocycles, the derivations  $f \mapsto (d_{\bar{1}}(f) - 1)f$ . He also noted that the cocycle on  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}(2|2; 1)$  induced by the quantization of  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2)$  is a straightforward superization of the well-known Vey's cocycle [V].

Since the elements of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  are encoded by functions (for us: polynomials or formal power series) in  $\tau, q$  and  $\xi$  subject to one relation with an odd left-hand side which contains  $\tau$ , it seems plausible that the bracket in  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  can be, at least for generic values of the parameter  $\lambda$ , expressed solely in terms of  $q$  and  $\xi$ . This is indeed the case, and here is an explicit formula (in which  $\{f, g\}_{B.b.}$  is the antibracket and  $\Delta = \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}$ ):

$$(113) \quad \begin{aligned} \{f_1, f_2\}_{\lambda}^{\text{main}} &= \{f_1, f_2\}_{B.b.} + \lambda(c_\lambda(f_1, f_2)f_1\Delta f_2 + (-1)^{p(f_1)}c_\lambda(f_2, f_1)(\Delta f_1)f_2), \\ \text{where } c_\lambda(f_1, f_2) &= \frac{\deg f_1 - 2}{2 + \lambda(\deg f_2 - n)}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\deg$  is computed with respect to the standard grading  $\deg q_i = \deg \xi_i = 1$ .

**2.12.3. The exceptional nonstandard regradings  $\text{Reg}_b$  and  $\text{Reg}_b$ .** This is a regrading of  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(2)$  given by the formulas:

$$(114) \quad \deg \tau = 0, \quad \deg \xi_1 = \deg \xi_2 = -1, \quad \deg q_1 = \deg q_2 = 1.$$

We have the following two cases:

$$(115) \quad \begin{aligned} &1) \quad \underline{b = 0 \text{ or } a = b}: \mathfrak{b}_{a,0}(2; \text{Reg}_b) \simeq \mathfrak{le}(2) \text{ and } \mathfrak{b}_{a,a}(2; \text{Reg}_b) \simeq \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2), \\ &\text{in particular, } \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$2) \quad \underline{a \text{ and } b \text{ generic}}: \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \text{Span}(\text{Le}_{\xi_1 \xi_2}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \text{Span}(\text{Le}_{\xi_1}, \text{Le}_{\xi_2}, \text{Le}_{Q_1}, \text{Le}_{Q_2}),$$

where  $Q_1 = A\xi_1\xi_2q_1 + B\tau\xi_2$ ,  $Q_2 = A\xi_1\xi_2q_2 - B\tau\xi_1$  with some coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  determined by  $a$  and  $b$ . The bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is determined by the odd form  $\omega = c \sum dQ_i d\xi_i$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  must be contained in  $\mathfrak{m}(2)_0$ . Direct calculations show that  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_0 = 4|4$  and

$$(116) \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{spe}(2) \oplus \mathbb{C}X, \quad \text{where } X = \text{Le}_{a\tau+b\sum q_i \xi_i}.$$

Indeed,

$$(117) \quad \mathfrak{spe}(2)_0 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2) = \text{Span}(\text{Le}_{q_1 \xi_2}, \text{Le}_{q_2 \xi_1}, \text{Le}_{q_1 \xi_1 - q_2 \xi_2}), \quad \mathfrak{spe}(2)_{-1} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Le}_1,$$

and

$$(118) \quad \mathfrak{spe}(2)_1 = \mathbb{C} \text{Le}_{\alpha \xi_1 \xi_2 P(q) + \beta \tau \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 P(q))},$$

where  $P(q)$  is a degree-2 monomial,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Delta = \sum \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}$ , see eq. (80).

The eigenvalues of  $X$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  are:  $b - a$  on  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}$  and  $b + a$  on  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{1}}$ . Therefore,

$$(119) \quad \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(2; \text{Reg}_b) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{-b, -a}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{b,a}(2).$$

For  $n > 2$ , as well as for  $\mathfrak{m}(n)$  with  $n > 1$ , similar regradings are not of Weisfeiler type, as is not difficult to see.

The exceptional grading  $\text{Reg}_b$  of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2)$  defines the exceptional grading  $\text{Reg}_b$  of the isomorphic algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$ , see eq. (23).

Thus, the exceptional regradings  $\text{Reg}_b$  or  $\text{Reg}_b$  do not provide us with new  $W$ -graded vectorial algebras. Still, they are needed to interpret the automorphisms (119).

**2.13. The exceptional Lie subsuperalgebra  $\mathfrak{kas}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ .** Like  $\mathfrak{vect}(1|m; m)$ , the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{kas}$  is not determined by its non-positive part and requires a closer study. The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}(1|2n)$  is generated by the elements of  $\mathbb{C}[t, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n]$ . The standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is induced by the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathbb{C}[t, \xi, \eta]$  given by

$$(120) \quad \deg K_f = \deg f - 2, \quad \text{where } \deg t = 2, \quad \deg \xi_i = \deg \eta_i = 1 \text{ for all } i.$$

Clearly, in this grading,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of depth 2. Let us consider the functions that generate several first homogeneous components of  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -2} \mathfrak{g}_i$ :

$$(121) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{component} & \mathfrak{g}_{-2} & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \mathfrak{g}_0 & \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ \hline \text{its generators} & 1 & \Lambda^1(\xi, \eta) & \Lambda^2(\xi, \eta) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot t & \Lambda^3(\xi, \eta) \oplus t\Lambda^1(\xi, \eta) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

As one can prove directly, the component  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  generates the whole subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ .

The component  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  splits into two  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules  $\mathfrak{g}_{11} = \Lambda^3$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{12} = t\Lambda^1$ . It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{g}_{12}$  is always irreducible and the component  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}$  is trivial for  $n = 1$ .

The partial Cartan prolongs of  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{12}$  are well known (here  $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{po}(0|2n))$  is the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{po}(0|2n)) = \mathbb{C}E \rtimes \mathfrak{po}(0|2n)$ , where  $E = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} + \sum \eta_i \partial_{\eta_i}$  is the grading operator):

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{11})_*^{mk} &\simeq \mathfrak{po}(0|2n) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K_t \simeq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{po}(0|2n)); \\ (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{12})_*^{mk} &= \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{12} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K_{t^2} \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2n|2). \end{aligned}$$

Observe a remarkable property of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ : only for  $2n = 6$  the component  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}$  splits into 2 irreducible modules; we will denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}^\xi$  the module containing  $\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$ , and by  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}^\eta$  the module containing  $\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3$ .

Observe further, that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{co}(6) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(4)$ . As  $\mathfrak{gl}(4)$ -modules,  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}^\xi$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{11}^\eta$  are the symmetric squares  $S^2(\text{id})$  and  $S^2(\text{id}^*)$  of the tautological 4-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}(4)$ -module  $\text{id}$  and its dual, respectively.

**2.13.1. Theorem** (The partial Cartan prolong  $\mathfrak{fas}$ , see [Sh5], [Sh14]). *The partial Cartan prolong  $\mathfrak{fas}^\xi = (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{11}^\xi \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{12})_*^{mk}$  is infinite-dimensional and simple. It is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{fas}^\eta = (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{11}^\eta \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{12})_*^{mk}$ .*

**Convention.** When it does not matter which of the isomorphic algebras  $\mathfrak{fas}^\xi \simeq \mathfrak{fas}^\eta$  to take, we will simply write  $\mathfrak{fas}$ , but by default we are always dealing with  $\mathfrak{fas}^\xi$ .

## 2.14. The fifteen W-regradings of exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.

**2.14.1. Theorem** (The 15 W-regradings ([Sh14], [CK2])). *All W-regradings of the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras are the regradings of their “standard” ambients listed in table (101).*

Thus, there are 5 *isomorphism classes* of abstract Lie superalgebras consisting of 15 W-filtered Lie superalgebras. For a proof, see Section 8.1.4.

Table (125) lists the terms  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  for  $-2 \leq i \leq 0$  of the 15 exceptional W-graded algebras.

Observe that none of the simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras is of depth  $> 3$  and only two algebras are of depth 3:  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)$ , for which

$$(122) \quad \mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)_{-3} \simeq \Pi(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}),$$

and another one,  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6; CK) = \mathfrak{kle}(9|11)$ , for which

$$(123) \quad \mathfrak{kle}(9|11)_{-3} \simeq \Pi(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-3]),$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[-3] \simeq \mathbb{C} \mathbb{1}$  is the module over  $\mathbb{C} \theta \partial_\theta \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|1) \subset \mathfrak{kle}(9|11)_0$ , such that  $(\theta \partial_\theta) \mathbb{1} = -3 \mathbb{1}$ . Define the representation  $T^{1/2}$  of  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$  by setting (cf. (47))

$$(124) \quad T_D^{1/2}(f \text{vol}^{1/2}) = (D(f) + (-1)^{p(f)p(D)} f \cdot \frac{1}{2} \text{div } D) \text{vol}^{1/2}$$

for any  $D \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ . In table (125), the star  $\star$  marks the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  of depth 3 whose components  $\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$  are described in eqs. (122) and (123).

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_-$
$\mathfrak{vle}(4 3)$	–	$\Pi(\Lambda(3)/\mathbb{C}1)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 3))$	4 3
$\mathfrak{vle}(4 3; 1)$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(2))}$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(2))) \times T^{1/2}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 2))$	5 4
$\mathfrak{vle}(4 3; K)$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}^* \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-1])$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathbb{C}z$	3 6
$\mathfrak{vas}(4 4)$	–	spin	$\mathfrak{as}$	4 4
$\mathfrak{kas}$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(\text{id})$	$\mathfrak{co}(6)$	1 6
$\mathfrak{kas}(; 1\xi)$	$\Lambda(1)$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}(2; \Lambda(1))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus (\mathfrak{gl}(2; \Lambda(1)) \times \mathfrak{vect}(0 1))$	5 5
$\mathfrak{kas}(; 3\xi)$	–	$\Lambda(3)$	$\Lambda(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1 3)$	4 4
$\mathfrak{kas}(; 3\eta)$	–	$\text{Vol}_0(0 3)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 3))$	4 3
$\mathfrak{mb}(4 5)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C}[-2])$	$\text{Vol}(0 3)^{1/2}$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 3))$	4 5
$\mathfrak{mb}(4 5; 1)$	$\Lambda(2)/\mathbb{C}1$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(2))}$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(2))) \times T^{1/2}(\mathfrak{vect}(0 2))$	5 6
$\mathfrak{mb}(4 5; K)^*$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}^* \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-1])$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathbb{C}z$	3 8
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6)$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(T_0^0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathfrak{svect}(0 4)_{3,4}$	9 6
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6; 2)$	$\Pi(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(1 3)})$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(3))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2; \Lambda(3)) \times \mathfrak{sl}(1 3)$	11 9
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6; K)$	id	$\Pi(\Lambda^2(\text{id}^*))$	$\mathfrak{sl}(5)$	5 10
$\mathfrak{fle}(9 6; CK)^*$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3; \Lambda(1))}^*$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3; \Lambda(1))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus (\mathfrak{sl}(3; \Lambda(1)) \times \mathfrak{vect}(0 1))$	9 11

## 2.15. W-gradings of serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.

**2.15.1. Theorem** (34 W-gradings). *Any Weisfeiler regrading  $\mathfrak{h}$  of a given simple vectorial Lie superalgebra is one of regradings from Tables (131), (132), (136) and (125).*

For its proof, see Section 8.1.4. To facilitate the comparison of various vectorial superalgebras, we offer the following table (131). The most interesting phenomena occur for extremal values of  $r$  and small values of the superdimension  $m|n$ .

The central element  $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  is supposed to be chosen so that it acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  as  $k \cdot \text{id}$ .

Let  $\Lambda(n) := \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$  be the Grassmann superalgebra generated by the elements  $\xi_i$ , each of degree 0. We denote (recall Examples 2.10.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (126) \quad \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)\text{-modules} : \quad & \Lambda(0) := \mathbb{C}, \quad T(\vec{0}) := \Lambda(n), \quad T_0(\vec{0}) := \Lambda(n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1, \\
 & \text{Vol}_0(0|n) := \{v \in \text{Vol}(0|n) \mid \int v = 0\}; \\
 \mathfrak{svect}(0|n)\text{-modules} : \quad & T_0^0(\vec{0}) := \text{Vol}_0(0|n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Over  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|n)$ , it is convenient to consider  $\text{Vol}_0(0|n)$  as a submodule of  $\Lambda(n)$ .

For the range of the parameter  $r$  in tables (131) and (132), see table (99). Certain values of  $r$  (namely,  $r = k - 1$  for  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k)$ , as well as  $r = n - 1$  for  $\mathfrak{m}(n)$  and its subalgebras) are excluded because, for these values of  $r$ , the corresponding grading is not a W-grading: the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is reducible.

For  $N = 5', 3'$  and 9: The  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  are described as  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|2)$ -modules.

For  $N = 16$ : we set  $p(\mu) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , so  $\mu$  can be an odd indeterminate. The Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{svect}_\mu(0|n)$  are isomorphic for non-zero values of  $\mu$ ; hence, so are the algebras  $\mathfrak{sb}_\mu(2^{n-1} - 1|2^{n-1})$ . Hence, for  $n$  even, we can set  $\mu = 1$ , whereas if  $\mu$  is odd, we should consider it as an additional indeterminate on which the coefficients depend.

For  $N = 1, 3$ , etc.: the symbol  $\text{id}_\mathfrak{g}$  is short for  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}(\text{sdim}; \Lambda(r))}$ .



For  $N = 11$ , etc.: the symbol  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-1]$  denotes the tensor product of  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}(m-2r|2n;\Lambda(r))}$  by the  $\mathfrak{c}$ -module  $\mathbb{C}[-1]$ , where

$$\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{osp}(m-2r|2n)) \subset \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{osp}(m-2r|2n;\Lambda(r))),$$

with the natural action of  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$  in  $\Lambda(r)$ . Line 14 should be similarly understood.

For  $N = 21, 22$ : the terms “ $\mathfrak{g}_{-i}$ ” denote the superspace isomorphic to the one in quotation marks, but with the action given by the rules (129) and (130).

For  $N = 21 - 23$ : denote the tautological  $k|k$ -dimensional  $\mathfrak{spe}(k)$ -module by  $V$ ; let  $D = \text{diag}(1_k, -1_k) \in \mathfrak{pe}(k)$ . Let  $W = V \otimes \Lambda(r)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$ . Let

$$(127) \quad \Xi = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \in \Lambda(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Denote by  $T^r$ , where  $r$  is integer, the representations of  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$  in  $W$  in  $\mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r)$  and  $\mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r)$  given by the formula

$$(128) \quad T^r(X) = 1 \otimes X + D \otimes \frac{1}{n-r} \text{div } X.$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}'(n; r)_0$  for  $r \neq n-2$ . For  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , we have:

$$(129) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{vect}(0|r) \text{ acts on the ideal } \mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \otimes \text{“}\Lambda(r)\text{” via } T^r, \text{ see eq. (128);} \\ & \text{any } X \otimes f \in \mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r) \text{ acts in } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \text{ as } \text{id} \otimes f \text{ and in } \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \text{ as } 0; \\ & \text{any } X \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|r) \text{ acts in } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \text{ via } T^r \text{ and in } \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \text{ as } X. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}'(n; n-2)$ . For  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , we note that

$$\mathfrak{spe}(2) \simeq \mathbb{C}(\text{Le}_{q_1\xi_1 - q_2\xi_2}) \rtimes \mathbb{C} \text{Le}_{\xi_1\xi_2},$$

whereas  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  are as above, for  $r < n-2$ . Set  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}(\text{Le}_{q_1\xi_1 - q_2\xi_2})$ . In this case

$$(130) \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \underline{\text{“}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda(n-2) \rtimes \mathbb{C} \text{Le}_{\xi_1\xi_2} \otimes (\Lambda(n-2) \setminus \mathbb{C}\xi_3 \cdots \xi_n)\text{”}} \rtimes T^1(\mathfrak{vect}(0|n-2)).$$

The action of  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n-2)$ , the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  modulo the underlined ideal in quotation marks in (130) is performed via (129). In the subspace  $\xi_1\xi_2 \otimes \Lambda(n-2) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ , this action is the same as in the space of volume forms. So we can consider everything, except for the terms proportional to  $\Xi$ , see eq. (127), or, speaking correctly, take the irreducible submodule of functions with integral 0.

For  $N = 27, 32, 33$ : the terms “ $\mathfrak{g}_i$ ” denote the superspace isomorphic to the one in quotation marks, but with the action given by eqs. (129) and (134).

In the exceptional case  $ar = bn$ , *i.e.*,  $\lambda = \frac{2}{n-r}$ , we see that the  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$ -action on the ideal  $(\mathfrak{cspe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r)) \rtimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , and on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$ , is the same as for  $\mathfrak{sl}'$ , see eq. (129).

In table (131), to save space in line 18 we denote the  $\mathfrak{pe}(n-r; \Lambda(r)) \rtimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|r)$ -module  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}(n-r; \Lambda(r))}$  by  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}}$ , and we use similar abbreviations in other lines.

Non-standard gradings in cases 1–23, with non-Weisfeiler gradings excluded, are shown in tables (131), (132).

$N$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$
1	$\mathbf{vect}(n m; r)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}}$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n m-r; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
2	$\mathbf{vect}(1 m; m)$	–	$\Lambda(m)$	$\Lambda(m) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 m)$
3	$\mathbf{svect}(n m; r), n \neq 1$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n m-r; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
3'	$\mathbf{svect}(2 1)$	–	$\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2 1) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(0 2)$
4	$\mathbf{svect}'(1 m; r), r \neq m$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}} \boxtimes_{\Lambda(r)} \mathrm{Vol}_0(0 r)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n m-r; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
5	$\mathbf{svect}'(1 m; m)$	–	$\mathrm{Vol}_0(0 m)$	$\Lambda(m) \times \mathbf{svect}(0 m)$
5'	$\mathbf{svect}'(1 2)$	–	$T_0(\vec{0})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1 2) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(0 2)$
6	$\mathfrak{h}(2n m)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$
7	$\mathfrak{h}(2n m; r), 2r < m$	$T_0(\vec{0})$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m-2r 2n; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
8	$\mathfrak{h}(2n 2r; r)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2n; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
9	$\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2 2)$	–	$\Pi(\mathrm{Vol}^\lambda(0 2))$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 2) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(0 2)$
10	$\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2 2; 1)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}} \boxtimes_{\Lambda(1)} \mathrm{Vol}^\lambda(0 1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2; \Lambda(1)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 1)$

(132)

$N$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$
11	$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1 m; r)$ for $r \neq k-1$ if $m = 2k$ and $n = 0$	$\Lambda(r) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-1]$	$\mathfrak{cosp}(m-2r 2n; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
12	$\mathfrak{k}(1 2m; m)$	–	$\Lambda(m)$	$\Lambda(m) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 m)$
13	$\mathfrak{k}(1 2m+1; m)$	$\Lambda(m)$	$\Pi(\Lambda(m))$	$\Lambda(m) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 m)$
14	$\mathfrak{m}(n; r), r \neq n$	$\Pi(\Lambda(r)) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}}$	$\mathfrak{cpe}(n-r; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
15	$\mathfrak{m}(n; n)$	–	$\Pi(\Lambda(n))$	$\Lambda(n) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 n)$
16	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}-1 2^{n-1})$	–	$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{Vol}(0 n))}{\mathbb{C}(1+\mu\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) \mathrm{vol}(\xi)}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}_\mu(0 n)$
17	$\mathfrak{le}(n)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}}$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n)$
18	$\mathfrak{le}(n; r)$	$\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}}$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n-r; \Lambda(r)) \times \mathbf{vect}(0 r)$
19	$\mathfrak{le}(n; n)$	–	$\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathbf{vect}(0 n)$
20	$\mathfrak{sl}'(n)$	–	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{spe}}$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)$
21	$\mathfrak{sl}'(n; r), r \neq n-2, n$	“ $\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$ ”	“ $\mathrm{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$ ”	$(\mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r)) \times T^r(\mathbf{vect}(0 r))$
22	$\mathfrak{sl}'(n; n-2)$	“ $\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$ ”	“ $\mathrm{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$ ”	see eq. (130)
23	$\mathfrak{sl}'(n; n)$	–	$\Pi(T_0^0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathbf{svect}(0 n)$

For  $N = 24 - 34$ : 1) We assume that  $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \neq 0, 1, \infty$ ; these three exceptional cases (corresponding to the “drop-outs”  $\mathfrak{le}(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}'_1(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n)$ , respectively) are considered separately.

2) The irreducibility condition of the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}'_\infty$  excludes  $r = n-1$ .

3) The case where  $r = n-2$  is extra exceptional, so in table (131) we assume, unless otherwise specified, that

$$(133) \quad 0 < r < n-2; \quad \text{additionally } a \neq b \text{ and } (a, b) \neq \alpha(n, n-2) \text{ for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For  $N = 24 - 27$ : we consider  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; r)$  for  $0 < r < n-2$  and  $ar - bn \neq 0$ ; in particular, this excludes  $\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n; n) = \mathfrak{b}'_{a,a}(n; n)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; n-2) = \mathfrak{b}'_{n,n-2}(n; n-2)$ .

If  $z$  is the central element of  $\mathfrak{cspe}(n-r)$  that acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  as  $-\text{id}$ , then

$$(134) \quad z \otimes \psi \text{ acts on } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \text{ as } -\text{id} \otimes \psi, \text{ and on } \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \text{ as } -2\text{id} \otimes \psi.$$

Set

$$(135) \quad c := \frac{a}{ar - bn}.$$

Let  $a \cdot \text{str} \otimes \text{id}$  be the representation of  $\mathfrak{pe}(n-r) = \mathfrak{spe}(n-r) \ltimes \mathbb{C}D$ , which is  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{spe}(n-r)}$  on  $\mathfrak{spe}(n-r)$  and sends  $D = \text{diag}(1_{n-r}, -1_{n-r}) \in \mathfrak{pe}(n-r)$  to  $2a \cdot \text{id}$ .

Non-standard gradings in cases 24–34 are shown in table (136).

(136)

24	$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C}[-2])$	$\text{id}$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}(az + bd)$
25	$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; r),$ $r < n - 2$	$\Pi((-c) \text{str}) \boxtimes \text{Vol}^{2c}(0 r)$	$\left(\left(-\frac{c}{2}\right) \text{str}\right) \otimes \text{id} \boxtimes \text{Vol}^c(0 r)$	$(\mathfrak{pe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r)) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0 r)$
26	$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$	—	$\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(0 n))$	$\mathfrak{vect}(0 n)$
27	$\mathfrak{b}_{2/(n-r)}(n; r),$ $r < n - 2$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C}) \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$\text{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$\mathfrak{cpe}(n-r) \otimes \Lambda(r) \ltimes T^r(\mathfrak{vect}(0 r))$
28	$\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{id}$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)_{a,a}$
29	$\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n; r),$ $r < n - 2$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C}) \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$\text{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$(\mathfrak{spe}(n-r)_{a,a} \otimes \Lambda(r)) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0 r)$
30	$\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n; n)$	—	$\Pi(\Lambda(n))$	$(\Lambda(n) \setminus \mathbb{C} \cdot \Xi) \ltimes \mathfrak{svect}(0 n)$
31	$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{id}$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)_{n,n-2}$
32	$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; r)$ $r < n - 2$	“ $\Pi(\text{Vol}_0(0 r))$ ”	$\text{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$(\mathfrak{spe}(n-r)_{n,n-2} \otimes \Lambda(r)) \ltimes T^r(\mathfrak{vect}(0 r))$
33	$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; n-2)$	“ $\Pi(T_0(\vec{0}))$ ”	$\text{id} \boxtimes \Lambda(r)$	$(\mathfrak{spe}(n-r)_{n,n-2} \otimes \Lambda(r))$ as in $N = 32$ with $\mathfrak{csp}(2)$ instead of $\mathfrak{spe}(2)$
34	$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; n)$	—	$\Pi(\text{Vol}_0(0 n))$	$\mathfrak{vect}(0 n)$

**2.16. Grozman’s theorems and a description of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ .** It is convenient to describe the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of twisted multi-vector fields as a  $\mathfrak{vect}$ -module. Similarly, in [CK2] the exceptional algebras are described as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ . For most of the series, such description is of little value because each homogeneous component  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  has a complicated structure. For the exceptional simple Lie superalgebras, the situation is totally different!

Observe that apart from being beautiful, such a description is useful for constructing simple *Volichenko algebras* (inhomogeneous with respect to parity subalgebras of Lie superalgebras), cf. [LS], and simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2.

In relation to this, we recall a theorem of Grozman. He completely described bilinear differential operators acting in spaces of tensor fields on any  $m$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  and invariant under all changes of coordinates. Since the problem is local, we can assume that  $M \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ , see [BL4]. Miraculously, “most” of the first-order invariant operators determines a Lie superalgebra on its domain of definition. Some of these superalgebras turn out to be very close to simple. In the constructions below we use some of these invariant operators.

Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of the group  $GL(n)$  in a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  and let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be its lowest weight. A *tensor field of type  $\rho$*  (or of type  $V$ : depending on the emphasis) on an  $n$ -dimensional connected manifold  $M$  is any section  $t$  of the

locally trivial vector bundle over  $M$  with fiber  $V$  such that, under the change of coordinates,

$$t(y(x)) = \rho \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) t(x).$$

The space of tensor field of type  $\rho$  or  $V$  will be denoted by  $T(\rho)$ , or  $T(V)$ , or even  $T(\lambda)$ .  
Examples:

$T(\mu, \dots, \mu) = \text{Vol}^\mu$ , the space of  $\mu$ -densities;

$\Omega^r := T(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$ , with  $r$  symbols 1, is the space of differential  $r$ -forms,

in particular,  $T(0) = \Omega^0$  is the space of functions also denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ ;

$\mathbf{vect}(n) = T(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ;

$L^r := T(-1, \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$  with symbols 1, is the space of  $r$ -vector fields,

the  $r$ th exterior power (over the algebra of functions  $\mathcal{F} = \Omega^0$ ) of  $\mathbf{vect}(n)$ .

The spaces of twisted  $r$ -forms and twisted  $r$ -vector fields with twist  $\mu$  are defined to be, respectively,

$$\Omega_\mu^r = \Omega^r \otimes_{\Omega^0} \text{Vol}^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad L_\mu^r = L^r \otimes_{\Omega^0} \text{Vol}^\mu.$$

Obviously,  $L_\mu^r \simeq \Omega_{\mu^{-1}}^{n-r}$  and  $\text{Vol}^1 = \Omega^n$ .

The following statements are excerpts from Grozman's difficult result [G]. To describe one of the operators,  $P_4$ , we need the *Nijenhuis bracket*, defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^k \otimes \xi, \omega^l \otimes \eta &\longmapsto (\omega^k \wedge \omega^l) \otimes [\xi, \eta] + \\ &+ (\omega^k \wedge L_\xi(\omega^l) + (-1)^k d\omega^k \wedge \iota_\xi(\omega^l)) \otimes \eta + (-L_\eta(\omega^k) \wedge \omega^l + (-1)^l L_\eta(\omega^k) \wedge d\omega^l) \otimes \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\iota_X$  is the inner product by the field  $X$  and  $L_X$  is the Lie derivative along  $X$ . The Nijenhuis bracket admits the following interpretation which makes its invariance manifest: the operator  $D : (\Omega^k \otimes_{\Omega^0} \mathbf{vect}(M), \Omega^\bullet) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet$  given by the formula

$$D(\omega^k \otimes \xi, \omega) := d(\omega^k \wedge \iota_\xi(\omega) + (-1)^k \omega^k \wedge \iota_\xi(d\omega)) = d\omega^k \wedge \iota_\xi(\omega) + (-1)^k \omega^k \wedge L_\xi(\omega)$$

is, for a fixed  $\omega^k \otimes \xi$ , a superderivation of the supercommutative superalgebra  $\Omega^\bullet$ , and the Nijenhuis bracket is just the supercommutator of these superderivations. So we can identify  $\Omega^\bullet \otimes_{\Omega^0} \mathbf{vect}(M)$  with the Lie subsuperalgebra  $C(d) \subset \mathbf{vect}(\widehat{M})$ , where  $\widehat{M}$  is the supermanifold  $(M, \Omega^\bullet(M))$ , *i.e.*,  $C(d)$  is the centralizer of the exterior differential on  $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ :

$$C(d) = \{D \in \mathbf{vect}(\widehat{M}) \mid [D, d] = 0\}.$$

**Dualizations.** To any mapping  $F : T(V) \longrightarrow T(W)$  there corresponds the dual mapping  $F^* : (T(W))^* \longrightarrow (T(V))^*$ . If we consider tensors with compact support, so integration can be performed, we can identify

$$(T(V))^* \simeq T(V^*) \otimes_{\Omega^0} \text{Vol} \simeq T(V^* \otimes \text{str}),$$

where  $\text{str}$  denotes the 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -module given by the trace (or supertrace, if  $M$  is a supermanifold). The *formal dual* is defined to be

$$(T(V))^* := T(V^* \otimes \text{str}).$$

Given a bilinear mapping  $F : T(V_1) \otimes T(V_2) \longrightarrow T(W)$ , it is possible to dualize it with respect to each argument:

$$F^{*1} : T(W^* \otimes \text{str}) \otimes T(V_2) \longrightarrow T(V_1^* \otimes \text{str}),$$

$$F^{*2} : T(V_1) \otimes T(W^* \otimes \text{str}) \longrightarrow T(V_2^* \otimes \text{str}).$$

**2.16.1. Theorem** ([G]). *The invariant under arbitrary changes of variables irreducible differential bilinear operators  $D : T(\rho_1) \otimes T(\rho_2) \rightarrow T(\rho_3)$  of order 1 are, up to dualizations and permutation of arguments, only the following ones:*

$$\mathbf{P}_1 : \Omega^r \otimes T(\rho_2) \rightarrow T(\rho_3), \quad (w, t) \mapsto Z(dw, t),$$

where  $Z$  is an order-0 operator, the extension of the projection  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_3$  onto any of the irreducible components;

$$\mathbf{P}_2 : \mathbf{vect} \otimes T(\rho) \rightarrow T(\rho), \quad \text{the Lie derivative};$$

$$\mathbf{P}_3 : T(S^p(\text{id}^*)) \otimes T(S^q(\text{id}^*)) \rightarrow T(S^{p+q-1}(\text{id}^*)), \quad \text{the Poisson bracket};$$

$\mathbf{P}_4$ : *On manifolds, the bracket in  $C(d)$  is called the Nijenhuis bracket. This bracket is a linear combination of operators  $P_1$ ,  $P_1^{*1}$ , their composition with the permutation operator  $T(V) \otimes T(W) \rightarrow T(W) \otimes T(V)$ , and a new, irreducible, operator denoted  $P_4$ ;*

$$\mathbf{P}_5 : \Omega^p \otimes \Omega^q \rightarrow \Omega^{p+q+1}; \quad \omega_1, \omega_2 \mapsto (-1)^{p(\omega_1)} a(d\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2) + b(\omega_1 d\omega_2), \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$\mathbf{P}_6 : \Omega_\mu^p \otimes \Omega_\nu^q \rightarrow \Omega_{\mu+\nu}^{p+q+1}, \quad \text{where } |\mu|^2 + |\nu|^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } p+q < n \text{ is given by the formula}$$

$$\omega_1 \text{ vol}^\mu, \omega_2 \text{ vol}^\nu \mapsto (\nu(-1)^{p(\omega_1)} d\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 - \mu\omega_1 d\omega_2) \text{ vol}^{\mu+\nu};$$

$$\mathbf{P}_7 : L^p \otimes L^q \rightarrow L^{p+q-1} \quad \text{the Schouten bracket};$$

$\mathbf{P}_8$  :  $L_\mu^p \otimes L_\nu^q \rightarrow L_{\mu+\nu}^{p+q-1}$ , a deformation of the Schouten bracket given by the next formula on manifolds for  $p+q \leq n$ , and on supermanifolds of superdimension  $n|1$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ :

(137)

$$X \text{ vol}^\mu, Y \text{ vol}^\nu \mapsto$$

$$((\nu-1)(\mu+\nu-1) \text{div } X \cdot Y + (-1)^{p(X)}(\mu-1)(\mu+\nu-1)X \text{div } Y - (\mu-1)(\nu-1) \text{div}(XY)) \text{ vol}^{\mu+\nu},$$

where the divergence of a multi-vector field  $f$  is defined to be

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial \bar{x}_i}$$

in local coordinates  $(x, \bar{x})$  on the supermanifold  $\check{M}$  associated to the sheaf of sections of the exterior algebra of the tangent bundle on any supermanifold  $M$ ; here the checked coordinates on  $\check{M}$  are

$$\theta_i = \Pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \check{x}_i, \quad (\text{see Remark 2.7.4}).$$

**2.16.2. Theorem** (Lie and associative (super)algebras determined by invariant operators, [G]). *The following natural invariant operators determine associative or Lie superalgebras on their domains:*

$P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_7$ , and  $P_8$  define Lie superalgebras. The vectorial Lie superalgebra  $C(d)$  is, however, not transitive.

$P_5$ : For  $ab = 0$  and, of course,  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 \neq 0$ , it determines an associative superalgebra structure.

For  $a = b \neq 0$ , it determines the structure of a nilpotent Lie superalgebra on  $\Pi(\Omega^\bullet)$ .

The bracket given by  $d\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 - \omega_1 d\omega_2$  determines a Lie algebra structure on the space  $\Omega^\bullet$ .

$P_6$  multiplied by  $\frac{\mu-\nu}{\mu\nu}$  determines structures of nilpotent Lie superalgebras on the superspaces

$$\Pi \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \Omega^\bullet \otimes \text{Vol}^\lambda / d\Omega^\bullet \right), \quad \Omega_+^\bullet = \Pi \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda > 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega^\bullet \otimes \text{Vol}^\lambda \right), \quad \Omega_-^\bullet = \Pi \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega^\bullet \otimes \text{Vol}^\lambda \right).$$

$P_6$  multiplied by  $\frac{\mu - \nu}{\mu + \nu}$  determines a nilpotent Lie superalgebra structure on

$$\Pi \left( d\Omega^\bullet \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega^\bullet \otimes \text{Vol}^\lambda \right).$$

$P_8$  multiplied by  $\frac{1}{\mu\nu}$  determines a nilpotent Lie superalgebra structures on  $\Omega_+^\bullet$  and  $\Omega_-^\bullet$ .

2.16.2a. **Remarks.** The claims of this Theorem are breezily formulated in the short note [G], here they are formulated more explicitly. Their proof is done by a direct verification.

The operator  $P_8$  is a deformation of the Schouten bracket we considered in  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , so this simple Lie superalgebra could have been described 20 years earlier than in [LSh3] if more attention was paid to Grozman's results.

The operator  $P_6$  is the ‘‘Fourier transform’’ of the Schouten bracket.

The divergence of multi-vector fields is the ‘‘Fourier transform’’ of the exterior derivation.

Grozman proved that there are no invariant differential operators of degree  $> 3$ , while the operators of degree 2 and 3 are compositions of degree-1 operators, with one exceptional degree-3 operator  $Gz$  on the line now bearing Grozman's name. For a version of Grozman's theorem over fields of characteristic  $p > 0$ , see [BoLe]. For the extension of Grozman's theorem to non-differential operators on the line, see [IoMa].

2.17. **Weisfeiler-type gradings with infinite-dimensional components.** It is sometimes convenient to consider gradings of our vectorial Lie superalgebras which lead them out of the GLAPG class, but still preserve the finiteness of the depth and preserve the two characteristic features of the  $W$ -gradings:

- (138) 1) for these grading  $\bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_i$ , the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$  is maximal;  
 2) the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is irreducible. Namely, we set  $\deg x_i = 0$  for  $r$  even indeterminates  $x$ ; we denote this grading  $\bar{r}$ .

For the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, and  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; \bar{n})$ , the multiplications are described as follows:

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{le}(5|10)$ : here,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{svect}(5|0) \simeq d\Omega^3(5|0)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Pi(d\Omega^1(5|0))$  with the natural  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and the bracket of any two odd elements is given by their product. Since  $\mathfrak{svect}(5|0)$  preserves the volume element  $\text{vol}$  and all its powers, we can make, for any permutation  $(ijklm)$  of  $(12345)$ , the following identification

$$dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k \wedge dx_l := dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k \wedge dx_l \otimes \text{vol}^{-1} = \text{sign}(ijklm) \partial_{x_m}.$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vas}(4|4)$ : here,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{vect}(4|0)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Omega^1(4|0) \otimes_{\Omega^0(4|0)} \text{Vol}^{-1/2}(4|0)$  with the natural  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and the bracket of odd elements is given by

$$\left[ \frac{\omega_1}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}}, \frac{\omega_2}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \right] = \frac{d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2}{\text{vol}},$$

where we identify

$$\frac{dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k}{\text{vol}} = \text{sign}(ijkl) \partial_{x_l} \text{ for any permutation } (ijkl) \text{ of } (1234).$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{le}(3|6)$ : here,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{vect}(3|0) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\geq 0}^{(1)}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ , and

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \left( \Omega^1(3|0) \otimes_{\Omega^0(3|0)} \text{Vol}^{-1/2}(3|0) \right) \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\geq 0}^{(1)}}, \text{ with the natural } \mathfrak{g}_0\text{-action on } \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Recall that  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$  denotes the irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module  $L^1$  with highest weight 1; its tensor square splits into the symmetric square  $L^2 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  and the exterior square — the trivial module

$L^0$ ; accordingly, denote by  $v_1 \wedge v_2$  and  $v_1 \bullet v_2$  the projections of  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \in L^1 \otimes L^1$  onto the anti-symmetric and symmetric components, respectively. For any  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^1$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in L^1$ , we set

$$\left[ \frac{\omega_1 \otimes v_1}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}}, \frac{\omega_2 \otimes v_2}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \right] = \frac{(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \otimes (v_1 \wedge v_2) + (d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2) \otimes (v_1 \bullet v_2)}{\text{vol}},$$

where we naturally identify  $\Omega^0$  with  $\Omega^3 \otimes_{\Omega^0} \text{Vol}^{-1}$ ; we also naturally identify  $\Omega^2 \otimes_{\Omega^0} \text{Vol}^{-1}$  with  $\mathbf{vect}(3|0)$  by setting

$$\frac{dx_i \wedge dx_j}{\text{vol}} = \text{sign}(ijk) \partial_{x_k} \text{ for any permutation } (ijk) \text{ of } (123).$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; \bar{n})$ : We have

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \left( \Pi(\Lambda_{\Omega^0}^{i+1}(\mathbf{vect}(n|0))) \right) \otimes \text{Vol}^{i\lambda}$$

for  $i = -1, 0, \dots, n-1$ . The multiplication is given by Grozman's operator  $P_8$ , see (137).

Consider the case where  $n = 2$  more closely. Clearly, one can interchange  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ; this possibility explains the isomorphism

$$(139) \quad \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; \bar{2}) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{1-\lambda}(2; \bar{2}),$$

and hence the mysterious isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{-1-\lambda}(2|2)$  mentioned in (23).

In particular, we have additional outer automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2; \bar{2})$ , whereas for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{3}{2}$  there are nontrivial central extensions of  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$ .

Therefore, for  $n = 2$ , to the exceptional values of  $\lambda = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$  existing for any  $n > 2$ , we should add  $\lambda = -1$  (for which  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \simeq \text{Vol}$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not simple) and  $\lambda = 2$ .

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{mb}(3|8)$ : See §11.

**2.18. On structures preserved.** In the space  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(n)$ , the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{vect}(0|n)$  preserves the tensor mult of valence  $(2, 1)$  that defines the multiplication. If  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ , then the structure preserved is the tensor mult' that defines multiplication on the maximal ideal of  $\Lambda(n)$ .

The exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserve various distributions described in [KLS]. The structures preserved by the serial simple Lie superalgebras are described by the structures preserved by  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . They are as follows:

(1) The tensor products  $B \otimes \text{mult}$  of a bilinear (or a volume) form  $B$  preserved (perhaps, conformally, up to multiplication by a scalar) in the fiber of the vector bundle over a  $0|r$ -dimensional supermanifold on which the structure governed by mult is preserved,

(2) The tensor mult', or mult twisted by a  $\lambda$ -density. Observe that the volume element  $B$  can be not only  $\text{vol}_\xi$ , but also  $(1 + \alpha\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) \text{vol}_\xi$ .

The structures of other types, namely certain pseudodifferential forms preserved by  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ , are already described.

**2.18.1. Remark: On Shmelev's interpretations of  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; \bar{2})$ .** It seemed that  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2)$  had one more realization: G. Shmelev interpreted  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; \bar{2})$  as a deformation  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$  of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  of Hamiltonian vector fields as preserving the pseudodifferential form

$$d\eta^{\frac{2\lambda-1}{1-\lambda}} (\lambda dqdp + (1-\lambda)d\xi d\eta);$$

he also described  $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2|2)$  as preserving the pseudodifferential form

$$d\eta^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2} (dqdp + d\xi d\eta).$$

These interpretations are cited (but not used) in [ALSh, Po1], but verification shows that Shmelev made a mistake calculating Lie derivatives, so these interpretations are wrong.

### 3. STEP 2. CRITERION OF INFINITE DIMENSIONALITY OF THE CARTAN PROLONG

In this section, we superize Wilson's proof of the following Guillemin–Quillen–Sternberg theorem.

**3.1. Theorem** (Main technical theorem). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be an infinite-dimensional transitive Lie algebra. Then, there exists an element  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that  $\text{rk}(\text{ad}_g)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} = 1$ .*

The converse statement is obvious: if such  $g$  exists, then, clearly, the Cartan prolong of  $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is infinite-dimensional. Indeed, in some basis,  $g$  takes the form  $x\partial_y$  and all the elements  $x^n\partial_y$  belong to the Cartan prolong.

**3.2. A reformulation of Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} \mathcal{A}_i$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra with unit generated by  $A := \mathcal{A}_{-1}$  and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} M_i$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. (In the case we are interested in,  $\mathcal{A} = S^*(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$  and  $M = \mathfrak{g}$ .) We will say that  $M$  is a *transitive module* if

$$Am \neq 0 \text{ for any non-zero } m \in M_i \text{ and any } i \geq k + 1.$$

Notice that each element  $m \in M$  determines a linear mapping

$$m : A \longrightarrow M, \quad a \longmapsto am.$$

Clearly,  $M$  is transitive if and only if there exists an  $i \geq k + 1$  and a non-zero  $m \in M_i$  such that  $\ker m \neq A$ .

Now it is clear that Theorem 3.1 can be reformulated in the following equivalent form that is more convenient for us.

**3.2.1. Theorem** (Main technical theorem, a version). *Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} M_i$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded infinite-dimensional transitive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then, there exists  $m \in M_{k+1}$  such that  $\text{codim } \ker m = 1$ ; hence,  $\text{rk } m = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $k = -1$ , i.e.,  $M = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} M_i$  and  $m \in M_0$ .

1) Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative,  $\ker am \supset \ker m$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M$ .

2) Set  $r_i = \max_{m \in M_i} \{\dim \ker m\}$ . By the above,  $r_{i+1} \leq r_i$ ; hence, the sequence  $r_i$  stabilizes:  $r_N = r_{N+1} = \dots := r$  for some  $N$ . Due to transitivity,  $r < \dim A$ , so it remains to prove that  $r = \dim A - 1$ .

3) We will say that  $m$  is *maximally degenerate* if  $\dim \ker m = r$ .

By step 1), if  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M_n$  for  $n \geq N + 1$  is maximally degenerate, then  $am$  is maximally degenerate too and  $\ker am = \ker m$ .

4) Let  $m_0 \in M_{N+l}$  for  $l \geq 0$  be a maximally degenerate element. For any  $n \geq N$ , set

$$V_n(m_0) := \{m \in M_n \mid \ker m \supset \ker m_0\}.$$

It is clear that any non-zero element  $m \in V_n(m_0)$  is maximally degenerate and  $\ker m = \ker m_0$ .

5) The following lemma is crucial in the next item. Actually, this lemma is the key point of the whole proof of Theorem 3.2.1.

**3.2.1a. Lemma** (A useful lemma). *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let  $U \subset \text{Hom}(V, W)$  be a subspace such that any non-zero homomorphism  $u \in U$  is a monomorphism. Then,*

$$(140) \quad \dim U \leq \dim W - \dim V + 1.$$

*Proof.* (This elegant proof due to J. Bernstein replaces an original elementary but a bit cumbersome proof.) Let  $P(X)$  be the projective space corresponding to a vector space  $X$ .



Since the mapping  $U \otimes V \rightarrow W$  is a monomorphism for any non-zero  $u \in U$ , there exists a mapping  $i : P(U) \times P(V) \rightarrow P(W)$  which for each fixed  $a \in P(U)$  and  $b \in P(V)$  induces embeddings  $i_1 : a \times P(V) \rightarrow P(W)$  and  $i_2 : P(U) \times b \rightarrow P(W)$ .

Let  $h \in H^2(P(W))$ ,  $h_1 \in H^2(P(U))$  and  $h_2 \in H^2(P(V))$  be the generators of the respective cohomology rings. Since  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are embeddings, it follows that  $i^*(h) = h_1 + h_2$ .

On the other hand, the only relations  $h$ ,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  satisfy are

$$h^{\dim W+1} = 0, \quad h_1^{\dim U+1} = 0, \quad h_2^{\dim V+1} = 0.$$

Hence, replacing  $h$  with  $i^*(h) = h_1 + h_2$  we see that the first equation, *i.e.*,  $h^{\dim W+1} = 0$ , is a new relation only if the inequality (140) holds.  $\square$

6) Each  $m \in V_n(m_0)$  determines a monomorphism  $A/\ker m_0 \rightarrow V_{n-1}(m_0)$ . By Lemma 3.2.1a we have

$$\dim V_n(m_0) \leq \dim V_{n-1}(m_0) - (\dim A - r) + 1 \implies$$

$$\dim V_{n-1}(m_0) \geq \dim V_n(m_0) + (\dim A - r) - 1.$$

7) Suppose  $r < \dim A - 1$ . Then, by step 6),  $\dim V_{n-1}(m_0) \geq \dim V_n(m_0) + 1$ . Let us take  $m_0 \in M_{N+s}$ , where  $s > 0$  is arbitrary. Then,  $V_{N+s}(m_0) \neq 0$ ; hence,

$$\dim M_n \geq \dim V_N(m_0) \geq V_{N+1}(m_0) + 1 \geq \dots \geq \dim V_{N+s}(m_0) + s \geq 1 + s.$$

Since  $\dim M_N < \infty$ , we get a contradiction. Thus,  $r = \dim A - 1$ .

8) So for some  $s \geq N$ , we get an element  $m_0 \in M_s$  with  $\text{codim ker } m_0 = 1$ . By transitivity there are  $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$  such that the element  $m = a_1 \cdots a_s m_0 \in M_0$  is non-zero. Due to step 1),  $\ker m \supset \ker m_0$  and, by transitivity,  $\ker m \neq A$ . Then,  $\ker m = \ker m_0$  and  $m$  is the sought-for element.  $\square$

**3.3. A superization of Wilson's theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \leq -1} \mathcal{A}_i$  be the supercommutative superalgebra generated by  $A := \mathcal{A}_{-1}$ . Let  $M \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} M_i$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. As above, we will say that  $M$  is *transitive* if  $\ker m \neq 0$  for any non-zero  $m \in M_i$ , where  $i \geq 0$ .

**3.3.1. Theorem** (A superization of Wilson's theorem). *In any infinite-dimensional transitive graded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$ , there exists an element  $m \in M_0$  such that  $\text{codim ker } m = 1|0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{sdim } A_{\bar{1}} = 0|n$ .

1) Due to supercommutativity of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$(141) \quad a^2 m = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in A_{\bar{1}} \text{ and } m \in M;$$

$$(142) \quad a_1 \cdots a_i m = 0 \quad \text{for any } m \in M \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_i \in A_{\bar{1}} \text{ if } i > n.$$

2) In view of condition (142) and the transitivity of  $M$ , we see that  $A_{\bar{0}} m \neq 0$  for any  $m \in M_i$  with  $i \geq n$ .

3) Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the subalgebra generated by  $A_{\bar{0}}$ . Let  $\widetilde{M} = M / \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq n-1} M_i$ . Let us consider  $\widetilde{M}$  as an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module and apply Theorem 3.2.1 to  $\widetilde{M}$ . We get an element  $m \in M_{n+1}$  such that  $\dim(\ker m \cap A_{\bar{0}}) = \dim A_{\bar{0}} - 1$ . If  $\ker m \cap A_{\bar{1}} \neq A_{\bar{1}}$ , then there exists  $a_1 \in A_{\bar{1}}$  such that  $a_1 \notin \ker m$ . Then,  $a_1 m \neq 0$  and, thanks to condition (141), we see that  $\ker a_1 m \supset \ker m \oplus \mathbb{C} a_{\bar{1}}$ .

Similarly, for  $i \leq n$ , there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_i$  such that

$$m_1 = a_1 \cdots a_i m \neq 0 \text{ and } \ker m_1 \supset \ker m + A_{\bar{1}}.$$

Notice that  $m_1 \in M_s$ , where  $s = n + 1 - i > 0$ . Hence,  $\ker m_1 \neq A$ ; thus,  $\text{codim ker } m_1 = 1|0$ . Now we can complete the proof in the same way as in step 8) of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.  $\square$

**3.4. Corollary** (Existence of a rank-1 operator with even covector). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a transitive infinite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra with  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i < \infty$  for all  $i$ . Then, there exists  $v^* \otimes w \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , where  $v \in (\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}$  and  $w \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .*

#### 4. STEP 3. MAIN REDUCTION: THE THREE CASES

**4.1. Lemma** (Corollaries of simplicity). *If  $\mathfrak{g}_* = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq k} \mathfrak{g}_i$ , where  $k \geq 1$ , is simple, then*

- 1)  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$ ;
- 2) the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$  is a maximal Lie sub-superalgebra;
- 3) the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is faithful.

*Proof.* When one of the conditions 1) – 3) is violated, it is easy to find an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}_*$ .

If 1) fails, take  $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] \oplus (\bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathfrak{g}_i)$ .

If 2) or 3) fails, take  $\mathfrak{i} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{i}_n$ , where the  $\mathfrak{i}_n$  are recursively defined (here  $\rho$  is the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{i}_{-1} &= \begin{cases} \text{a } \mathfrak{g}_0\text{-invariant subsuperspace,} & \text{in case 2),} \\ 0, & \text{in case 3);} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{i}_0 &= \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_0, & \text{in case 2),} \\ \ker \rho, & \text{in case 3);} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{i}_n &= \{g \in \mathfrak{g}_n \mid [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, g] \subset \mathfrak{i}_{n-1}\} \quad \text{for } n \geq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{in case 2),} \\ 1, & \text{in case 3).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobi identity implies the inclusion

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, [\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{i}_n]] \subset [\mathfrak{g}_{k-1}, \mathfrak{i}_n] + [\mathfrak{g}_k, [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{i}_n]];$$

the double induction on  $k$  and  $n$  shows that  $\mathfrak{i}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}_*$ .  $\square$

The conditions 2) and 3) of Lemma 4.1 allow us to think of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  as of an irreducible linear Lie superalgebra, i.e., as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , where  $V = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , such that the tautological  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -module  $V$  is irreducible.

**4.1.1. Notation. The four cases.** Consider the following four cases:

1) Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be linear superspaces,  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$ . The tensor product of the  $\mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ -actions on  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  gives us a representation of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)$ :

$$\rho(A, B) = A \otimes 1_{V_2} + 1_{V_1} \otimes B \quad \text{for any } A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_1), \quad B \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_2).$$

It is clear that  $\rho$  has a 1-dimensional kernel  $\mathbb{C}(1_{V_1}, -1_{V_2})$ . Denote:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) := \rho(\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)).$$

2) The space of all operators in a superspace  $V$  can be endowed with the following two structures: that of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and that of the associative superalgebra  $\text{Mat}(V)$ . We will carefully distinguish them. (Recall that we endow with the superscript  $L$  (resp.,  $SL$ ) the Lie algebra (resp., Lie superalgebra) constructed on the space of an associative algebra (resp., superalgebra) with the dot product replaced by the bracket (resp., superbracket). In particular,  $\text{Mat}(V)^L = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Various superstructures on  $V$  induce non-isomorphic, in general, superstructures on both  $\text{Mat}(V)$  and the associated Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(V) := \text{Mat}(V)^{SL}$ , as is clear in the supermatrix format.)

Let  $V_0$  be a  $(1, 1)$ -dimensional superspace; define the two associative subsuperalgebras:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &\subset \text{Mat}(1|1) = \text{Mat}(V_0) = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ C(\mathcal{A}) &\subset \text{Mat}(1|1) = \text{Mat}(V_0) = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \mid c, d \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Set  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; clearly,  $1_2$  and  $J$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$ , while  $1_2$  and  $\Pi$  generate  $C(\mathcal{A})$ . Note that

$$J^2 = -1, \quad \Pi^2 = 1; \quad J\Pi = \Pi J = 0,$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{A} \simeq C(\mathcal{A})$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $[\mathcal{A}, C(\mathcal{A})] = 0$ ; this justifies our notation:  $C(\mathcal{A})$  is the centralizer of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\text{Mat}(1|1)$ .

If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are linear superspaces of superdimension  $m\varepsilon$  and  $n$ , respectively, then the Lie superalgebras  $(\text{Mat}(V_1) \otimes \mathcal{A})^{SL}$  and  $(C(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \text{Mat}(V_2))^{SL}$  of the operators acting in  $V_1 \otimes V_0$  and  $V_0 \otimes V_2$ , respectively, can be identified with  $\mathfrak{q}(m)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ , respectively.

Now, let  $V := V_1 \otimes V_0 \otimes V_2$ . Then, a natural  $\mathfrak{q}(n_1) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n_2)$ -action  $\rho$  in  $V$  is defined:

$$(143) \quad \begin{aligned}\rho \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} \right) &:= (A \otimes 1_{V_0} + B \otimes J) \otimes 1_{V_2} + 1_{V_1} \otimes (1_{V_0} \otimes C + \Pi \otimes D) \\ \text{for any } \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{q}(m) \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{q}(n).\end{aligned}$$

This representation has a 1-dimensional kernel. Denote

$$(144) \quad \mathfrak{q}(n_1) \odot \mathfrak{q}(n_2) := \rho(\mathfrak{q}(n_1) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n_2)).$$

3) Let  $V_1$  be a linear superspace of superdimension  $(r, s)$ , let  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbf{vect}(0|n)$  and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \ltimes \mathfrak{k}$  with the natural action of  $\mathfrak{k}$  on the ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$ . The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a natural faithful representation  $\rho$  in the linear superspace  $V = V_1 \otimes \Lambda(n)$  defined for any  $A \otimes \varphi \in \mathfrak{n}$ ,  $D \in \mathfrak{k}$ , and  $v \otimes \psi \in V$  by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(A \otimes \varphi)(v \otimes \psi) &= (-1)^{p(\varphi)p(v)} Av \otimes \varphi\psi, \\ \rho(D)(v \otimes \psi) &= -(-1)^{p(D)p(v)} v \otimes D(\psi).\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we will always identify the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with their images under  $\rho$ . Therefore, we will consider  $\mathfrak{g}$  as embedded in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

4) Let  $\mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) = \text{Span}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n; z)$  be the Heisenberg superalgebra, with the non-zero relations  $[\xi_i, \eta_j] = \delta_{ij}z$ . The nontrivial irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{hei}(0|2n)$  that maps  $z$  to the operator of multiplication by 1 is realized in  $\Lambda(\xi)$  via

$$\xi_i \longmapsto \xi_i, \quad \eta_i \longmapsto \partial_i.$$

The normalizer of  $\mathfrak{hei}(0|2n)$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda(n))$  is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \ltimes \mathfrak{o}(2n)$  or, in terms of differential operators:

$$\mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \ltimes \mathfrak{o}(2n) = \text{Span}(1, \xi_i, \partial_i, \xi_i \partial_j, \partial_i \partial_j, \xi_i \xi_j)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

**4.2. Theorem** (Irreducible linear Lie superalgebras). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be an irreducible linear Lie superalgebra that is neither almost simple nor a central extension of an almost simple Lie superalgebra. Then,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in one of the following four Lie subsuperalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ :*

1)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  for any  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$  and  $\dim V_i > 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ;

2)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{q}(m) \odot \mathfrak{q}(n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , where  $V = V_1 \otimes V_0 \otimes V_2$  for any  $V_0, V_1, V_2$  such that  $\text{sdim } V_0 = (1, 1)$ ,  $\text{sdim } V_1 = m\varepsilon$ ,  $\text{sdim } V_2 = n$  and  $mn > 1$ ;

3)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \rtimes \mathbf{vect}(0|n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  for any  $V_1$  and  $n$  such that  $V = V_1 \otimes \Lambda(n)$ ; the natural representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $V$  is induced;

4)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \rtimes \mathfrak{o}(2n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  for  $n > 1$ , where  $V \simeq \Lambda(n)$  or  $\Pi(\Lambda(n))$ .

For proof, see Subsection 4.3.

**4.2.1. Corollary** (The 3 cases). *If  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is an irreducible linear superalgebra with a rank-1 operator in  $V$ , then only one of the following 3 cases is possible:*

- 1) *the tautological representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $V$  is induced;*
- 2)  *$\mathfrak{g}$  is almost simple or a central extension of an almost simple Lie superalgebra;*
- 3)  *$\text{sdim } V = (2, 2)$  and  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{hei}(0|4) \rtimes \mathfrak{o}(4)$ .*

Indeed, none of the following matrix Lie superalgebras

$$\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) \text{ with } \dim V_i > 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{q}(n_1) \odot \mathfrak{q}(n_2) \text{ with } n_1 n_2 > 1$$

have operators of rank 1; the only possibility for the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \rtimes \mathfrak{o}(2n)$  to have a rank-1 operator occurs for  $n = 2$ .

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.2** ([ShM]). In this Subsection,  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is an irreducible linear Lie superalgebra, and  $\rho$  is its tautological representation in superspace  $V$ . We will assume that  $\dim V > 1$ , because the case where  $\dim V = 1$  is trivial. By hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains an ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$ .

Our proof of Theorem 4.2 is based on the following result — a superization of a statement well-known from Dixmier's book [Di, Subsection 5.4.1].

**4.3.1. Theorem** (The 3 cases of irreducible linear Lie superalgebras: A, B, C). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be an irreducible linear Lie superalgebra,  $\rho$  its tautological representation (in particular,  $\rho$  is faithful). Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{k} > 1$ . Then, only one of the following 3 cases is possible:*

- A)  *$\rho|_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is a multiple of an irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}$ -module  $\tau$  and the multiplicity of  $\tau$  is  $> 1$ ;*
- B) *there exists a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\rho \simeq \text{ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \sigma$  for an irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module  $\sigma$ ;*
- C)  *$\rho|_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* The argument, see [ShM], follows the lines of [Di, Subsection 5.4.1] with one novel case: irreducible modules of  $Q$ -type might occur. Fortunately, to treat them is quite straightforward and we would rather save paper by omitting the verification.  $\square$

We will say that the representation  $\rho$  is of type A, B, or C with respect to the ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$  if the corresponding case of Theorem 4.3.1 holds. Lemmas 4.3.1a, 4.3.1b, and 4.3.3a deal with types A, B, and C, respectively.

**4.3.1a. Lemma** (Case A). *If  $\rho$  is of type A with respect to the ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$ , then for some  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , either  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)$  or  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{q}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{q}(V_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the superspace on which  $\tau$  acts. Then,  $V = V_1 \otimes U$  for some  $U$  and

$$\rho(h)(v \otimes u) = \tau(h)v \otimes u \text{ for any } h \in \mathfrak{k}, v \in V_1, u \in U, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$(145) \quad \text{the operators } \rho(h) \text{ are of the form } \tau(h) \otimes 1_U.$$

On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the stabilizer of  $\tau$ . So, for any  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there exists  $s(g) \in \text{End } V_1$  such that  $\tau([g, h]) = [s(g), \tau(h)]$  for any  $h \in \mathfrak{k}$ .

Let us consider the operator  $T(g) = \rho(g) - s(g) \otimes 1_U$  in  $V$ . For  $h \in \mathfrak{k}$ , we have

$$[T(g), \rho(h)] = [\rho(g) - s(g) \otimes 1_U, \rho(h)] = \rho([g, h]) - [s(g), \tau(h)] \otimes 1_U = 0,$$

i.e.,  $T(g)$  commutes with all the operators of the form  $\rho(h)$ , where  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and, since  $\tau$  is irreducible,  $T(g)$  is of the form

$$T(g) = \begin{cases} 1_{V_1} \otimes A(g), & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } G\text{-type,} \\ 1_{V_1} \otimes A(g) + J \otimes B(g), & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } Q\text{-type,} \end{cases}$$

where  $J$  is an odd generator of  $C(\tau)$ , the centralizer of  $\tau$ .

Therefore,  $\rho(g)$  is of the form

$$(146) \quad \rho(g) = \begin{cases} s(g) \otimes 1_U + 1_{V_1} \otimes A(g), & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } G\text{-type,} \\ s(g) \otimes 1_U + 1_{V_1} \otimes A(g) + J \otimes B(g), & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } Q\text{-type.} \end{cases}$$

Formulas (145)–(146) show that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V), \text{ where } V_2 = U, & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } G\text{-type,} \\ \mathfrak{q}(V_1) \odot \mathfrak{q}(V_2) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V), \text{ where } V_2 = \text{Span}(1, J) \otimes U, & \text{if } \tau \text{ is of } Q\text{-type.} \quad \square \end{cases}$$

**4.3.1b. Lemma** (Case B). *If  $\rho$  is of type B with respect to the ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$ , then*

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes \Lambda(n) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|n) \text{ for some } V \text{ and } n.$$

*Proof* of this lemma follows from the definition of the induced representation.  $\square$

**4.3.2. Completion of the proof of Theorem 4.2.** It remains to consider the case where  $\rho$  has the following property: for any ideal  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , either  $\rho$  is of type C with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}$  or  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is the multiple of a character.

Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be the radical of the linear Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**4.3.2a. Lemma** (On the radical  $\mathfrak{r}$  in cases A and C). *If the radical  $\mathfrak{r}$  is commutative and  $\rho$  is of type A or C with respect to  $\mathfrak{r}$ , then  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 0$  or 1.*

*Proof* follows from the facts that any irreducible module over a commutative Lie superalgebra is 1-dimensional (even or odd) and  $\rho$  is faithful.  $\square$

**4.3.3. When the radical  $\mathfrak{r}$  is not commutative.** In this case, consider the derived series of  $\mathfrak{r}$ :

$$\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{r}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{r}_k \supset \mathfrak{r}_{k+1} = 0, \text{ where } \mathfrak{r}_{i+1} = [\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i].$$

Clearly, each  $\mathfrak{r}_i$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the last non-zero ideal,  $\mathfrak{r}_k$ , is commutative.

**4.3.3a. Lemma** (Case C). *If  $\rho$  is of type C with respect to  $\mathfrak{r}_{k-1}$  and  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{r}_k}$  is scalar, then either  $\mathfrak{r}_{k-1} \simeq \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n)$  or  $\mathfrak{r}_{k-1} \simeq \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n-1)$ , and either  $V \simeq \Lambda(n)$  or  $V \simeq \Pi(\Lambda(n))$ .*

*In these cases,  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \ltimes \mathfrak{o}(2n)$ .*

*Proof.* We see that if the restrictions  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{r}_i}$  are irreducible for all  $i$ , then

- 1)  $\dim \mathfrak{r}_k = 1$  because  $\rho$  is faithful;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{r}_{k-1} = \mathfrak{hei}(0|m)$  for some  $m$ ;
- 3)  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{r}_{k-1}}$  is irreducible and faithful, so it can be realized in the superspace of functions,  $\Lambda(n)$ , or in  $\Pi(\Lambda(n))$ , where  $n = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ;
- 4)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{hei}(0|2n) \ltimes \mathfrak{o}(2n)$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{hei}(0|2n)$  in the spinor representation of  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ .  $\square$

**4.3.4. Lemma** (Ideal of type A or B). *Let  $\dim \mathfrak{t} \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either semi-simple or a central extension of a semi-simple Lie superalgebra, but NOT almost simple or a central extension of an almost simple Lie superalgebra. Then, there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$  such that  $\rho$  is of type A or B with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 0$ , i.e., let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semi-simple. As  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not almost simple, then, see Subsection 2.4, the following alternative arises:

$$(147) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{either } \mathfrak{g} \text{ contains an ideal } \mathfrak{k} \text{ of the form } \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda(n) \text{ with simple } \mathfrak{h} \text{ and } n > 0, \\ &\text{or } \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} \mathfrak{s}_j, \text{ where each } \mathfrak{s}_j \text{ is almost simple and } k > 1. \end{aligned}$$

**4.3.5. Lemma** (Irreducible representation of type B). *If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} \mathfrak{s}_j$  and  $k \geq 2$ , then any irreducible faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type B with respect to any its ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_j$ .*

*Proof.* Since the stabilizer of any irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{s}_j$  is the whole  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the type of any irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{s}_j$  can be either B or C. Due to faithfulness of the representation, type C is ruled out.  $\square$

**4.3.6. Lemma** (No faithful irreducible representations). *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a simple Lie superalgebra and let  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda(n)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{k}$  has no faithful irreducible finite-dimensional representations.*

For proof, see Subsection 4.3.9a; let us pass to corollaries.

**4.3.7. Corollary** (No type A irreducible representations: conditions). *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains an ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$  of the form described in eq. (147), then  $\mathfrak{g}$  cannot have any faithful irreducible finite-dimensional representation of type A with respect to the ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$ .*

**4.3.8. Corollary** (Lemma 4.3.4 holds for semi-simple Lie superalgebras). *Lemma 4.3.6 and Corollary 4.3.7 prove Lemma 4.3.4 for semi-simple Lie superalgebras.*

**4.3.9. Lemma** (A useful fact). *For any simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we have*

$$[\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}] = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}] = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}.$$

*Proof* is obvious and so is left to the reader. (Or see [K3].)  $\square$

**4.3.9a. Proof of Lemma 4.3.6.** For  $n > 0$ , the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  contains a supercommutative ideal

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n.$$

Moreover, if  $n > 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains a supercommutative ideal

$$\mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^{n-1}(\xi) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1.$$

Thanks to Theorem 4.2, any irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in the superspace  $V$  is obtained as follows: take an irreducible representation (character  $\chi$ ) of an ideal  $\mathfrak{n}_i$ , the stabilizer  $\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\chi)$ , and an irreducible representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\chi)$  whose restriction to  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  is a multiple of  $\chi$ . Then,

$$\rho = \text{ind}_{\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\chi)}^{\mathfrak{k}}(\tilde{\rho}) \quad \text{for some } \tilde{\rho}.$$

Let us show that if  $\dim V < \infty$ , then  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , this would contradict faithfulness of  $\rho$ . Indeed,  $\dim V < \infty$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\chi) \supset \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{0}}$ .

1)  $n = 2k + 1$ . For any  $f \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $g = h \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \otimes 1$ , we have

$$0 = \chi([g, f \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n]) = \chi([h, f] \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n),$$

implying that

$$\chi|_{[\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_1] \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} \stackrel{\text{(Lemma 4.3.9)}}{=} \chi|_{(\mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n)} = 0.$$

Since  $\chi$  is a character, it follows that  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0$ , hence  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0$ .

2)  $n = 2k > 2$ . For any pair

$$\begin{aligned} g &= h \otimes l_1(\xi) \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi) \subset \mathfrak{k}_0, \\ g_1 &= h_1 \otimes l_{n-1}(\xi) \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \Lambda^{n-1}(\xi) \subset (\mathfrak{n}_2)_0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$0 = \chi([g, g_1]) = \pm \chi([h, h_1]) \otimes l_1(\xi) l_{n-1}(\xi),$$

*i.e.*,

$$\chi|_{[\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1] \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = \chi|_{\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0.$$

But  $\chi$  is a character; therefore,

$$\chi|_{\mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0, \text{ and, finally, } \chi|_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} = 0.$$

3)  $n = 2$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{k}$  contains a commutative ideal  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2$ ; let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

If  $\chi$  generates a finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , then  $\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\chi) \supset \mathfrak{k}_0$ , *i.e.*,

$$(148) \quad \chi([f, g \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2]) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in \mathfrak{h}_0, g \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

If  $g \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ , then eq. (148) holds automatically by the parity considerations. Let  $g \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ . Then, nevertheless,

$$\chi([f, g \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2]) = \chi([f, g] \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2) = 0,$$

and therefore  $\chi|_{[\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_0] \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2} = 0$ .

Set

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{h} \otimes (\Lambda^1(\xi) \oplus \Lambda^2(\xi)).$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , and  $\mathfrak{st}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\chi) = \mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore, the irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  given by  $\chi$  is such that  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is a multiple of  $\chi$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 := \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi) \oplus ([\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_0] \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1) \otimes \Lambda^2(\xi).$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and

$$[\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{m}] \subset ([\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_0] \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1) \otimes \Lambda^2(\xi) \subset \ker \chi.$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{n}_1 \subset \ker \rho$  since the subspace  $V^{\rho(\mathfrak{n}_1)} = \{v \in V \mid \rho(\mathfrak{n}_1)v = 0\}$  is  $\rho(\mathfrak{n}_1)$ -invariant and non-zero. But  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{n}_1$  is a solvable, and by the super analog of *Lie's theorem* (for its formulation and proof over  $\mathbb{C}$ , see [S0]) any of its irreducible finite-dimensional representations is of the same form as  $\chi$ . Let it be  $\chi$ , for definiteness sake.

This means that  $\chi|_{[\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1] \otimes \Lambda^2(\xi)} = 0$ , *i.e.*,  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^2(\xi)} = 0$ , and since  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \xi_1 \xi_2$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , the representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  given by  $\chi$  cannot be faithful. Lemma is proved.  $\square$

**4.4. Central extensions of semi-simple Lie superalgebras.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie superalgebra, and let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be its radical of dimension 1. Then,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$  is semi-simple by definition.

First, consider the case where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{i \leq k} \mathfrak{s}_i$  with each  $\mathfrak{s}_i$  being almost simple and  $k > 1$ . Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the natural projection. The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{k} := \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{k} > 1$ .

**4.4.1. Lemma** (No type C irreducible representations). *If  $k > 1$ , then  $\rho$  cannot be irreducible of type C with respect to  $\mathfrak{k} = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (\mathfrak{s}_i)_{\bar{0}}$ . Clearly,  $[\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{g}_+] = 0$ . Since  $\rho(\mathfrak{r})$  acts by scalar operators and  $\rho$  is a finite-dimensional representation, it follows that  $[\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_+), \mathfrak{k}] = 0$ , i.e.,  $\rho(\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_+))$  consists of intertwining operators of  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , i.e.,  $\rho(\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_+)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$  and, since  $\rho$  is faithful,  $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_+) = \mathfrak{r}$  implying  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = 0$ .  $\square$

**4.4.2. The case where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  contains an ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$  of the form described in eq. (147).** The central extension is defined by a cocycle  $c: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The cocycle condition reads

$$c(f, [g, h]) = c([f, g], h) + (-1)^{p(f)p(g)} c(g, [f, h]) \quad \text{for any } f, g, h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

As earlier, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a faithful finite-dimensional representation; so the restriction of  $c$  to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$  is zero. Moreover,  $c|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{1}}} = 0$ , by parity considerations. Therefore,

$$(149) \quad c|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0.$$

**4.4.2a. Lemma (Technical).** *In the notation of Lemma 4.3.6, set  $\mathfrak{L}^m := \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Then,  $c|_{\mathfrak{L}^n \times \mathfrak{L}^n} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 2k + 1$ , the condition (149) means that

$$c|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \Lambda^n(\xi) \times \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \Lambda^n(\xi)} = 0.$$

Let  $g_{\bar{1}}, h_{\bar{1}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $h_{\bar{0}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c(f_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [g_{\bar{1}}, h_{\bar{1}}] \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n) &= -c(f_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [g_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, h_{\bar{1}}]) = \\ &= -c([f_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, g_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n], h_{\bar{1}}) - c(g_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [f_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, h_{\bar{1}}]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} = [\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}]$  (by Lemma 4.3.9), it follows that  $c|_{\mathfrak{L}^n \times \mathfrak{L}^n} = 0$ .

If  $n = 2k$ , the condition (149) means that

$$c|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \Lambda^n(\xi) \times \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \Lambda^n(\xi)} = 0.$$

Let  $f_{\bar{1}}, h_{\bar{1}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $g_{\bar{0}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c(f_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [g_{\bar{0}}, h_{\bar{1}}] \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n) &= -c(f_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [g_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, h_{\bar{1}}]) = \\ &= -c([f_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, g_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n], h_{\bar{1}}) - c(g_{\bar{0}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, [f_{\bar{1}} \otimes \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n, h_{\bar{1}}]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} = [\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}]$  (by Lemma 4.3.9), it follows that  $c|_{\mathfrak{L}^n \times \mathfrak{L}^n} = 0$ .  $\square$

**4.4.2b. Lemma (Technical).** *For any  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = n - m, n - m + 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $c|_{\mathfrak{L}^k \times \mathfrak{L}^m} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We will argue by reverse double induction on  $k$  and  $m$ . For  $k = m = n$ , Lemma 4.4.2a gives the statement.

Suppose the statement is true for all  $k > k_0$  and  $k_0 + m \geq n$ . Let us show that it is true for  $k = k_0$  as well. Observe that, see Subsection 2.4,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  contains  $n$  elements  $\eta_i$  such that

$$\text{ad}_{\eta_i}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \partial_{\xi_i} + D_i, \quad \text{where } D_i \in \mathbf{vect}(0|n) \text{ and } \deg D_i > 0$$

in the standard grading of  $\mathbf{vect}(0|n)$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \Lambda^{k_0+1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $\psi \in \Lambda^m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ; let  $g, h \in \mathfrak{h}$  be such that  $p(g \otimes \psi) = \bar{0}$  and  $p(h \otimes \psi) = \bar{1}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c([\eta_i, g \otimes \varphi], h \otimes \psi) &= (-1)^{p(g)} c(g \otimes \eta_i(\varphi), h \otimes \psi) = \\ &= (-1)^{p(g)} \left( c(g \otimes \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i}, h \otimes \psi) + c(g \otimes D_i \varphi, h \otimes \psi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The term  $D_i \varphi \in (\bigoplus_{s \geq k_0+1} \Lambda^s(\xi))$  from the last summand vanishes by the induction hypothesis.



On the other hand,

$$(150) \quad c([\eta_i, g \otimes \varphi], h \otimes \psi) = c(\eta_i, [g \otimes \varphi, h \otimes \psi]) + c([\eta_i, h \otimes \psi], g \otimes \varphi).$$

Since  $\deg \varphi + \deg \psi = k_0 + 1 + m > n$ , we see that  $[g \otimes \varphi, h \otimes \psi] = 0$ . The second summand in the right-hand side of (150) vanishes thanks to condition (149). So

$$c(g \otimes \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i}, h \otimes \psi) = 0 \quad \text{for any } g \otimes \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i} \in \mathfrak{L}_1^{k_0} \text{ and } h \otimes \psi \in \mathfrak{L}_1^m. \quad \square$$

**4.4.2c. Lemma** (Technical). *The ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$  has no faithful irreducible finite-dimensional representations.*

*Proof* reproduces the proof of Lemma 4.3.6 word-for-word with the help of Lemmas 4.4.2a and 4.4.2b.  $\square$

**4.4.3. Corollary** (No type C representations). *The representation  $\rho$  cannot be of type C with respect to the ideal  $\mathfrak{k}$ .*

Lemmas A, B, C (i.e., 4.3.1a, 4.3.1b, 4.3.3a) combined with Lemmas 4.3.2a and 4.3.4 prove Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

## 5. STEP 4. PROLONGATION OF THE INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

In this step we describe all the simple infinite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of depth 1 for which the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is induced from a representation of a subsuperalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

But, first of all, we describe certain universal Lie superalgebras.

**5.1. Universal property of  $\mathfrak{vect}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded transitive Lie superalgebra, and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module. The following universal properties are immediate consequences of the definition of Cartan prolongations.

- 1) If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = (m|n)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(m|n)$ .
- 2) If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} = \mathfrak{hei}(2m|n)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)$ .
- 3) If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} = \mathfrak{ba}(n)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{m}(n)$ .

The following are all the Lie superalgebras with “big” nonpositive part:

1° Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = (m|n)$ . Moreover, let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \infty$ . If  $m > 1$ , then,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vect}(m|n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{svect}(m|n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}(m|n)) := \mathfrak{svect}(m|n) \times \mathbb{C}E$ , where  $E := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+n} x_i \partial_{x_i}$ .

If  $m = 1$ , two more possibilities appear:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)$  or  $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)) := \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n) \times \mathbb{C}E$ .

2° Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)$  be such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} = \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)_{\leq 0}$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \infty$ . Let either  $m \neq 0$  or  $m = 0$  but  $n \neq 6$ ; then,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)$  or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{po}(2m|n)) := \mathfrak{po}(2m|n) \times \mathbb{C}E$ , where  $E := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2m+n} x_i \partial_{x_i}$ , where the  $x_i$  are all indeterminates except  $t$ . If  $m = 0$  and  $n = 6$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kas}$ .

3° If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{m}(n)$  is such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} = \mathfrak{ba}(n)$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \infty$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}(n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_{\infty}(n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_{\lambda}(n)$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

**5.2. Lemma** (Technical). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded transitive Lie superalgebra, and let  $W \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be a subsuperspace. Let  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ , where  $k > 0$ , be such that  $[w, F] = 0$  for any  $w \in W$ . Then, for any  $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , the element  $[u, F]$  commutes with any  $w \in W$ .*

*Proof.* By the Jacobi identity

$$[w, [u, F]] = (-1)^{p(w)p(u)} [u, [w, F]] + [[w, u], F] = 0.$$

More explicitly, Lemma 5.2 says that if the expression of  $F$  does not involve certain indeterminates, then  $[\partial_i, F]$  does not involve those indeterminates either, for any  $i$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Theorem** (On 3 prolongations: cases a), b), c)). *Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  and  $\text{sdim } V = m|n$ , where  $(m|n) \neq (1|0)$  or  $(0|1)$ . Then,*

- a)  $(\Lambda(\xi), \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi))_* = \mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)$ .
- b)  $(\Pi(\Lambda(\xi)), \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi))_* = \mathfrak{m}(k; k)$ .
- c)  $(V \otimes \Lambda(\xi), \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi))_* = \mathbf{vect}(m|n + k; k)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the Lie superalgebras in the right-hand sides of the isomorphisms a)–c) are subalgebras of the respective Lie superalgebras in the left-hand side.

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq l} \mathfrak{g}_l$  be one of the superalgebras in the left-hand sides of the isomorphisms a)–c). Let

$$(151) \quad \begin{aligned} y &= -\text{id}_V \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes \Lambda(\xi), \\ x &= \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\text{ad}_y$  determines the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we consider in cases a)–c), while  $\text{ad}_x$  determines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of each component  $\mathfrak{g}_l$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}_{l,i} = \{g \in \mathfrak{g}_l \mid \text{ad}_x(g) = i \cdot g\}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$ . Set

$$W := \mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1} = (V \otimes 1) \oplus (V \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi)).$$

We see that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  does not contain non-zero elements that commute with  $W$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.2, none of the  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  for  $l > 0$  contains non-zero elements that commute with  $W$ . But if  $\mathfrak{g}_l = \bigoplus_{i \geq -s} \mathfrak{g}_{l,i}$ , then the components  $\mathfrak{g}_{l+1,t}$  with  $t < -s - 1$  should commute with  $W$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{l+1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -s-1} \mathfrak{g}_{l+1,i}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_l = \bigoplus_{i \geq -l-1} \mathfrak{g}_{l,i}$ .

**5.3.1. Cases a) and b) of Theorem 5.3.** Consider the operator  $T = \text{ad}_{2y+x}$ , see eq. (151). Its eigenvalues are integers and, in each  $\mathfrak{g}_l$ , there are  $\geq l - 1$  linearly independent eigenvectors. Set

$$\mathfrak{g}_s^T = \{g \in \mathfrak{g} \mid T(g) = s \cdot g\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{g}_s^T \subset \bigoplus_{-1 \leq l \leq s+1} \mathfrak{g}_l$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_s^T < \infty$ . Hence, we obtain a new  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading:  $\mathfrak{g}^T = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq s} \mathfrak{g}_s^T$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The negative part of this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is as follows, where  $\pi$  is a natural projection, see Subsection 4.4:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-2}^T = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot 1, & \text{in case a),} \\ \mathbb{C} \cdot \pi(1), & \text{in case b);} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0,-1} &= \begin{cases} \text{Span}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_k}), & \text{in case a),} \\ \text{Span}(\pi(\xi_1), \dots, \pi(\xi_k), \partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_k}), & \text{in case b).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  is transitive, *i.e.*,

$$(152) \quad \text{if } g \in \mathfrak{g}_l^T \text{ with } l \geq 0 \text{ and } [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T, g] = 0, \text{ then } g = 0.$$

Indeed, for  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying (152), we have  $[W, g] = 0$ ; hence,  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . But only the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}^T = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  commutes with the  $\partial_{\xi_i}$  for all  $i$ .

Due to the universal property of the Lie superalgebras of series  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  (see Subsection 5.1) this means that

$$\mathfrak{g}^T \subset \begin{cases} \mathfrak{k}(1|k), \text{ and thus } \mathfrak{g}^T = \mathfrak{k}(1|k), & \text{in case a),} \\ \mathfrak{m}(k), \text{ and thus } \mathfrak{g}^T = \mathfrak{m}(k), & \text{in case b).} \end{cases}$$

**5.3.2. Case c) of Theorem 5.3.** Set  $W_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} = V \otimes 1$ .

**5.3.2a. Lemma** (Technical). *If  $\text{sdim } V \neq (1|0)$  or  $(0|1)$  and  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is such that  $[F, W_0] = 0$ , then  $F = 0$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-2} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2, the element  $[F, v \otimes \varphi] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  commutes with  $W_0$  for any  $v \in V$  and  $\varphi \in \Lambda(\xi)$ . Hence,  $[F, v \otimes \varphi]$  belongs to  $\mathbf{vect}(0|k) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

Denote  $D_{v,\varphi} := [F, v \otimes \varphi]$ . By transitivity,  $D_{v,\varphi}\psi \neq 0$  for some  $v \in V$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in \Lambda(\xi)$ . Take  $u \in V$  linearly independent with  $v$ . Then (the symbol  $\pm$  stands for a sign inessential to us here),

$$(153) \quad [[F, v \otimes \varphi], u \otimes \psi] \pm [v \otimes \varphi, [F, u \otimes \psi]] = \pm u \otimes D_{v,\varphi}\psi \pm v \otimes D_{u,\psi}\varphi \neq 0.$$

By the Jacobi identity, the expression (153) should vanish. This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3.2a together mean that none of the components  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  with  $l > 0$  contains elements that commute with  $W_0$ . Hence, the least degree of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading with respect to  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{l+1}$  cannot be less than same in  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  for  $l \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}_l = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_{l,i}$ .

Consider the operator  $T = \text{ad}_{y+x}$ , see eq. (151). Its eigenvalues are integers and, in each  $\mathfrak{g}_l$ , there are  $\geq l - 1$  linearly independent eigenvectors. So, as in cases a) and b) of Theorem 5.3,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_s^T = \dim\{g \in \mathfrak{g} \mid T(g) = s \cdot g\} < \infty.$$

Hence, in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we get a new  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\mathfrak{g}^T$ . The negative part of this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0,-1} = V \otimes 1 \oplus \text{Span}(\partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_k}).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5.3.2a, the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that commute with  $W_0 = V \otimes 1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T$  form the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathbf{vect}(0|k)$ . We can directly verify that the elements of this subspace that commute with all the  $\partial_{\xi_i}$  span  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  is transitive. Due to the universality property of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n+k)$ , see Subsection 5.1, this means that  $\mathfrak{g}^T \subset \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k)$ ; thus,  $\mathfrak{g}^T = \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k)$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Corollary** (Technical). *Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ .*

1) *If  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)_{-1} = \Lambda(\xi)$  is such that  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  does not contain non-zero elements that commute with all elements of  $W_0 = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{vect}(1|k; k)$ .*

2) *If  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{m}(k; k)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{m}(k; k)_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(\xi))$  is such that  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  contains no non-zero elements that commute with all elements of  $W_0 = \mathbb{C} \cdot \Pi(1) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{vect}(0|k+1; k)$ ; hence,  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ .*

*Proof* is the same as that in case c) of Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**5.5. Back to the classification.** Let, as above,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  and let  $V$  be an  $m|n$ -dimensional linear superspace with coordinates  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{n+m})$ .

**5.5.1. Theorem** (3 more cases). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a simple infinite-dimensional transitive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = V \otimes \Lambda(\xi)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \ltimes \mathbf{vect}(\xi)$ .*

1) *If  $\dim V > 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathbf{vect}(1|n+k; k)$ , or  $\mathbf{svect}(m|n+k; k)$  for  $m > 1$ , or  $\mathbf{svect}'(1|n+k; k)$ ;*

- 2) if  $\text{sdim } V = (1, 0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathbf{vect}(1|k; k)$ , or  $\mathbf{svect}'(1|k; k)$ , or  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)$ , or  $\mathfrak{kas}(\cdot; 3\xi)$ .  
 3) if  $\text{sdim } V = (0, 1)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathfrak{m}(k; k)$ , or  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(k; k)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Case 1). By Theorem 5.3 we see that  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)$ . Thus, we can use the realization of the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by vector fields in indeterminates  $u, \xi$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading with respect to  $\xi$  in each degree- $l$  component  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_l$  determines a filtration in  $\mathfrak{g}_l \subset \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq l} (\bigoplus_i \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{l,i})$  be the corresponding bigraded Lie superalgebra, *i.e.*,

$$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{l,i} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}_l \cap \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{l,j}}{\mathfrak{g}_l \cap \bigoplus_{j > i} \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{l,j}}.$$

By Lemma 5.3.2a, the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is irreducible. This gives the following conditions on  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,*} := \bigoplus_i \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,i}$ :

$$(154) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,-1} &= \text{Span}(\partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_k}) = \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{0,-1}, \\ \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0} &\subset \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{0,0} = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(\xi) = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(0|k), \\ \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0} &\text{ acts irreducibly in } V \otimes 1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$(155) \quad \text{the } \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0}\text{-module } \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,-1} = \text{Span}(\partial_{\xi_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, k) \text{ is irreducible.}$$

Indeed, if it is reducible and, *e.g.*,  $\text{Span}(\partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_s})$  is a submodule, then

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset \left( (\mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes \Lambda(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s)) \otimes \Lambda(\xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_k) \right) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_k).$$

So we set  $\tilde{V} = V \otimes \Lambda(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s)$  and  $\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_k)$ . Now, use the condition  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$ . As we saw in the proof of Theorem 5.3,

$$\mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_1 = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{1,i},$$

and  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,-1}$  can be obtained only by bracketing

$$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{-1,0} = V \otimes 1 = \text{Span}(\partial_{u_1}, \dots, \partial_{u_{m+n}})$$

with

$$\mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{1,-1} = \text{Span}(u_i \partial_{\xi_j} \mid \text{all possible } i, j).$$

By condition (154), for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , there exist elements  $u_\alpha \partial_{\xi_j} \in \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-1}$  of the form

$$u_\alpha \partial_{\xi_j} \text{ for any } \alpha = 1, \dots, m+n \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, k,$$

and  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0}$  irreducibly acts on  $V \otimes 1$ , see assumption (155).

Hence,  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-1} = \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{1,-1}$ .

Thus, for any  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m+n$  and  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ , we have

$$[\xi_i \partial_{u_\beta}, u_\alpha \partial_{\xi_j}] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \xi_i \partial_{\xi_j} - (-1)^{(p(u_\alpha)+1)(p(u_\beta)+1)} \delta_{ij} u_\alpha \partial_{u_\beta} \in \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0}.$$

Consequently,

$$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0} \supset (\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(\xi)) \cap \mathbf{svect}(m|n+k).$$

Now, consider the regrading  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  of  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})$  into the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n+k)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^T &= \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{-1,0} \oplus \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,-1} = \mathbf{vect}(m|n+k; k)_{-1}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0^T &= \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{-1,1} \oplus \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,0} \oplus \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-1} \supset \mathfrak{sl}(m|n+k). \end{aligned}$$

Next, by the universality property 1 $^\circ$ ), see Subsection 5.1, we see that

$$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{vect}(m|n), \text{ or } \mathbf{svect}(m|n), \text{ or } \mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{svect}(m|n)), \text{ where } m > 1.$$

If  $m = 1$ , then there are two more possibilities:

$$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n) \text{ and } \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)) := \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n) \times \mathbb{C}E \text{ for } E := \sum x_i \partial_{x_i}.$$

Case 2). If  $\text{sdim } V = (1|0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(\xi)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathfrak{vect}(0|k)$ .

By Corollary 5.4, if the component  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  does not contain non-zero elements that commute with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(1|k; k)$  and, by the above arguments, either  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vect}(1|k; k)$  or  $\mathfrak{svect}'(1|k; k)$ .

Suppose the component  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  contains non-zero elements that commute with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)$ .

In terms of the standard indeterminates  $t, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$  for  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k}_{-1} &= \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(\xi), \\ \Lambda(\xi) \otimes (t - \sum \xi_i \eta_i) &\simeq \Lambda(k) \subset \mathfrak{k}_0, \\ \Lambda(\xi) \otimes \Lambda^1(\eta) &\simeq \mathfrak{vect}(0|k) \subset \mathfrak{k}_0; \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 &= t \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \bigoplus t \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \otimes \Lambda^1(\eta) \bigoplus \Lambda(\xi) \otimes \Lambda^2(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

The subspace  $W_1 \subset \mathfrak{k}_1$  of elements that commute with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1,0}$  coincides with  $\Lambda(\xi) \otimes \Lambda^2(\eta)$ .

Consider  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})$ . By conditions (154),  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,-1} = \text{Span}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)$ , and  $\eta_i$  acts in  $W_1$  as  $\partial_{\xi_i}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap W_1 \neq 0$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{k}_{1,-2} \neq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{k}_{1,-2} = \Lambda^2(\eta) \otimes 1$ . Hence,  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-2} \neq 0$ . Set

$$(156) \quad \mathfrak{h} := \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq k-1} \mathfrak{h}_i, \text{ where } \mathfrak{h}_i = \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,*} \cap \Lambda^1(\eta) \otimes \Lambda(\xi).$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{h} \supset [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-2}]$ .

**5.5.1a. Lemma** (Technical). *The component  $\mathfrak{h}_{k-2}$ , see eq. (156), is not contained in  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|k)_{k-2}$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{h}_{k-2} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ X, \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} \eta_i \eta_j \right] &= (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_i} \eta_j - \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_j} \eta_i \right) \mapsto \\ D &:= (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_j} \partial_{\xi_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\text{div } D = 2(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j}$ . So  $\text{div } D = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . Since  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{1,-2} \neq 0$ , this proves the lemma.  $\square$

We have established that:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(0|k)$ ,
- 2)  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \mathfrak{vect}(0|k)_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module,
- 3)  $\mathfrak{h}_{k-2}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|k)_{k-2}$ .

Comparing these properties 1)–3) with the list of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{vect}(0|k)$  for  $k > 3$ . If  $k = 3$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$  can also be equal to  $\mathfrak{sl}(1|3) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$ .

Anyway,  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,*} \supset \Lambda^1(\eta) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(k)$ . Thus, by the irreducibility of the exterior square of the tautological  $\mathfrak{gl}(k)$ -module,  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_1 \supset \Lambda^2(\eta)$ .

The irreducibility of the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  means that  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,*}$  should contain at least some elements of  $\Lambda(\xi) \otimes (t - \sum \xi_i \eta_i)$ . Hence,  $t \in \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})_{0,*}$ .

Now we are able to consider the regrading  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  of  $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{g})$  into the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k)$ . By the above,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}^T = \mathfrak{k}(1|2k)_{\leq 0}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  is either  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k)$  or  $\mathfrak{kas} \subset \mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ , and therefore either  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}(1|2k; k)$  or  $\mathfrak{kas}(\cdot; 3\xi)$ , respectively.

Case 3). If  $\text{sdim } V = 0|1$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(\xi))$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi)$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{m}(k; k)$ . The rank-1 operators with even covector acting in  $\Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi)$  are of the following form for  $n$  even (resp., odd)

$$g_i = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \partial_i, \quad (\text{resp.}, h_i = \xi_1 \cdots \widehat{\xi_i} \cdots \xi_n \partial_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Theorem 3.1, the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains at least one of them. Assumption (155) implies that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains either all the  $g_i$  or all the  $h_i$ .

a) Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \text{Span}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . Then, from conditions (154) we deduce that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathbf{vect}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Since the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is irreducible, it follows that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{vect}(\xi)$ .

b) For the same reason as in case a), if  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \text{Span}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathbf{svect}(\xi)$ , and the following cases are possible:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathbf{vect}(\xi)$  realized as  $\{v + \lambda \cdot \text{div}(v) \mid v \in \mathbf{vect}(\xi) \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0\}$ ,
- 2)  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \text{Vol}_0(0|n) \times \mathbf{svect}(\xi)$ ,
- 3)  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \Lambda(\xi) \times \mathbf{svect}(\xi)$ .

In all these cases,  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by powers of  $\xi$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0} \supset \mathfrak{sl}(0|k) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(k)$ . Due to Corollary 5.4,  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-2} \neq 0$ ; hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-2} = S^2(\text{id})$ .

Consider the regrading  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{m}(k)$ . We get  $\mathfrak{g}_{< 0}^T = \mathfrak{m}_{< 0}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{spe}(k)$ . Then, by the universality property 3<sup>o</sup>), see Subsection 5.1,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathfrak{m}(k)$  or  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(k)$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**5.6. Corollary** (Solvable  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ). *For the simple vectorial Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $W$ -filtration, the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is solvable only in the following cases:<sup>11</sup>*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{vect}(1|1), \quad \mathbf{svect}'(1|2; 1), \quad \mathbf{vect}(1|2; 1)$$

and the respective  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{gl}(1|1), \quad \mathfrak{sl}(1|1) \otimes \Lambda(1) \times \mathbf{vect}(0|1), \quad \mathfrak{gl}(1|1) \otimes \Lambda(1) \times \mathbf{vect}(0|1).$$

## 6. STEP 5. PROLONGATIONS OF ALMOST SIMPLE LIE SUPERALGEBRAS AND THEIR CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

In this step, we consider two essentially different cases: vectorial and non-vectorial Lie superalgebras (Steps 5B and 5A, respectively).

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an almost simple Lie superalgebra or a central extension of an almost simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra (they are listed in table (264)). Let  $T$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $V$ . Our goal is to describe all the collections  $(\mathfrak{g}, T, V)$  for which there exists an element  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $T(g) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a rank-1 operator with an even covector.

Irreducible representations of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras and their “relatives” — central extensions of almost simple Lie superalgebras connected to them — are completely described, see Subsection 12.5. From this description we easily deduce the list of admissible collections  $(\mathfrak{g}, T, V)$ .

For non-vectorial Lie superalgebras, there is a complete description of the typical (*i.e.*, generic) representations (see [S1], where the pioneering results of F. Berezin and general ones

<sup>11</sup>Note that if  $p = 2$ , there are examples of simple Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with solvable  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ , see [BGL, BGLLS].

due to V. Kac are presented with corrections and more lucidly; for a modern treatment of the problem, see [SeVe]). But (bar a couple of exceptions) the rank-1 operators occur, if ever, in atypical representations whose description was only conjectural when this paper was being written (for reviews covering various aspects of the problem, see [L2], [KW], [Se5]).

Though we believed in the validity of the remarkable general character formula due to Penkov and Serganova (at that time conjectural, now proved), our proof in Step 5A did not appeal to the description of irreducible representations. Inevitably then, the proof is rather lengthy, it occupies the rest of this Section.

Our method of description and of search for such collections  $(\mathfrak{g}, T, V)$  is based on pretty straightforward considerations which, nevertheless, provide us with essential restrictions on the weight diagram of  $T$ .

**6.1. Proposition** (List of rank-1 operators: Non-vectorial Lie superalgebras). *Let  $T$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $V$ . For the almost simple non-vectorial Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  or any central extension of such, the representations  $(T, V)$  with a rank-1 operator  $T(g)$ , where  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , with an even covector are exactly those from Table (157), where the action of the generator  $z$  of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is normalized to be equal to  $-\text{id}$ .*

$V = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$
id or id*	$\mathfrak{sl}(m n)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{svect}(m n)$ and $\mathfrak{vect}(m n)$
$\Pi(\text{id})$ or $\Pi(\text{id}^*)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n m)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(n m)$	$\mathfrak{svect}(n m)$ and $\mathfrak{vect}(n m)$
id	$\mathfrak{osp}^\alpha(m 2n)$ $\mathfrak{cosp}^\alpha(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{h}(2n m)$ $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h}(2n m))$
id	$\mathfrak{spe}^\alpha(n)$ and $\mathfrak{pe}^\alpha(n)$	$\mathfrak{sl}\mathfrak{e}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{le}(n)$
id	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{spe}^\alpha(n))$ and $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{pe}^\alpha(n))$	$\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{sl}\mathfrak{e}(n))$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{le}(n))$
$\text{spin}_\lambda, \lambda \neq 0$	$\mathfrak{as}$	$\mathfrak{vle}(4 4)$

*Proof* of Step 5A. We only have to test the simplicity of the prolongs  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ . □

**6.1.1. Proposition** (On rank-1 operators). *Let  $T$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $V$ . If  $T(\mathfrak{g})$  contains an odd operator of rank 1, then either*

- (1)  $T(\mathfrak{g})$  contains an even operator of rank  $(1, 0)$  and an even operator of rank  $(0, 1)$ , or
- (2)  $T(\mathfrak{g})$  contains an even operator of rank  $(1, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  be such that  $\text{rk} T(\xi) = 1$ , i.e.,  $T(\xi)v = \alpha(v)\partial_\xi$ , where  $\alpha \in V^*$ . In other words,  $T(\xi) = \alpha \otimes v_\xi$ . Let  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ , where  $v_\xi \in V$  is a fixed non-zero vector. Then,

$$T([\eta, \xi]) = T(\eta)T(\xi) + T(\xi)T(\eta).$$

Consider the two operators

$$(158) \quad \begin{aligned} A_\eta(v) &= T(\eta)T(\xi)v = \alpha(v) \cdot T(\eta)v_\xi, \\ B_\eta(v) &= T(\xi)T(\eta)v = \alpha(T(\eta)v)\partial_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, for each fixed  $\eta$ , both  $A_\eta$  and  $B_\eta$  are of rank  $\leq 1$ ; besides, they act in the spaces of opposite parity.

**6.1.2. Lemma** (Technical). *Let  $T$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $V$ . Let  $W = \bigcap_{\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}} \ker T(\eta)$  and  $U = T(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})V$ . Then, the subspaces  $U$  and  $W$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is irreducible, it follows that  $U = V$  and  $W = 0$ . Now, the  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ -invariance is obvious. Let  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $u = \xi v \in U$ . We claim that  $gu \in U$ . Indeed,

$$gu = g\xi v = \xi gv + [g, \xi]v \in T(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})V = V,$$

because  $gv \in V$  and  $[g, \xi] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ .

Let  $w \in W$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ . Let us show that  $gw \in W$ . Indeed,

$$\eta(gw) = g(\eta w) + [\eta, g]w = 0$$

because  $\eta w = 0$  and  $[\eta, g] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ . Hence,  $gw \in W$ .  $\square$

**6.1.2a. Corollary** (On rank-1 operators). *There exist  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  such that  $\text{rk } A_{\eta_1} = 1$  and  $\text{rk } B_{\eta_2} = 1$ , see (158).*

To complete the proof of Proposition 6.1.1, note that if  $\text{rk } B_{\eta_1} = 1$  (resp.,  $\text{rk } A_{\eta_2} = 1$ ), then

$$\text{rk } T([\eta_1, \xi]) = \text{rk}(A_{\eta_1} + B_{\eta_1}) = (1, 1) \quad (\text{resp.}, \text{rk } T([\eta_2, \xi]) = (1, 1),$$

whereas if  $\text{rk } B_{\eta_1} = \text{rk } A_{\eta_2} = 0$ , then the operators  $T([\eta_1, \xi])$  and  $T([\eta_2, \xi])$  are of rank 1 and act on the spaces of opposite parity.  $\square$

**6.1.2b. Corollary** (On infinite prolongation of depth 1). *Let  $T$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . If  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* = \infty$ , then there exists an element  $g_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that  $\text{rk}(T(g_0))|_{(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}} = 1$  and  $\text{rk}(T(g_0))|_{(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{1}}} \leq 1$ .*

**6.2. Proposition** (On rank-1 operators in reductive algebras). *Let  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  be simple Lie algebras (not superalgebras), let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be a solvable Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus (\bigoplus \mathfrak{G}_j)$  be their direct sum. Let  $T$  be a (perhaps, reducible) representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a space  $V$  with a rank-1 operator. Then, either*

1) *there exists a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , or*

2) *up to renumbering,  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$  and  $V = W \oplus U$ , where  $U = \ker T(\mathfrak{G}_1)$  and  $W$  is isomorphic, as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, to either  $\text{id} \otimes \chi_0 \otimes \lambda$  or  $\text{id}^* \otimes \chi_0 \otimes \lambda$ , where  $\text{id}$  is the tautological representation of  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  and  $\chi_0$  is the zero 1-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{G}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_k$ , and  $\lambda$  is a character of  $\mathfrak{r}$ .*

*Proof.* For any semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} := \mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_k$ , any of its finite-dimensional representations is completely reducible, and hence the sum of  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  with  $\mathfrak{r}$  is direct; therefore,  $V$  can be represented in the form  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ , where  $T|_{V_i} = \tau_1^i \otimes \dots \otimes \tau_k^i \otimes \tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^i$  and  $\tau_j^i$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  and  $\tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^i$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

Since  $T$  contains a rank-1 operator, so does the restriction  $T|_{V_{i_0}}$  for some  $i_0$ . Therefore, at most one of the modules given by  $\tau_1^{i_0}, \dots, \tau_k^{i_0}, \tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^{i_0}$  can be of dimension  $> 1$ .

If  $\dim \tau_1^{i_0} = \dots = \dim \tau_k^{i_0} = \dim \tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^{i_0} = 1$ , then  $\dim V_{i_0} = 1$  and possibility 1) of Proposition 6.2 is realized.

If  $\dim \tau_1^{i_0} = \dots = \dim \tau_k^{i_0} = 1$ , but  $\dim \tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^{i_0} > 1$ , then, since  $\mathfrak{r}$  is solvable,  $V_{i_0}$  contains a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{r}$ -submodule  $V_0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}V_0 = 0$ , it follows that  $V_0$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule, *i.e.*, once again the same possibility 1) of Proposition 6.2 is realized.



Finally, let  $\dim \tau_{j_0}^{i_0} > 1$  for some  $j_0$ . Let us show that in this case possibility 2) or Proposition 6.2 is realized. Indeed, up to a renumbering of the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{G}_j$ , we can assume that  $j_0 = 1$ . Then,

$$\dim \tau_2^{i_0} = \cdots = \dim \tau_k^{i_0} = \dim \tau_{\mathfrak{r}}^{i_0} = 1$$

and the image  $T(\mathfrak{g})|_{V_{i_0}}$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  or to its trivial central extension  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ . Moreover,  $T(\mathfrak{G}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_k)|_{V_{i_0}} = 0$  and  $T(\mathfrak{r})|_{V_{i_0}} = \lambda$  is a character.

Since there are only three series of simple infinite-dimensional Lie algebras with W-filtration of depth one, it follows that a *faithful irreducible* representation  $\tau$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  contains a rank-1 operator if and only if for a character  $\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  we have one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{sl}(n) & \text{and } \tau &= \text{id or id}^*, \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{gl}(n) & \text{and } \tau &= \text{id} \otimes \lambda \text{ or } \text{id}^* \otimes \lambda, \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{sp}(2n) & \text{and } \tau &= \text{id} \simeq \text{id}^*, \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{osp}(2n) & \text{and } \tau &= \text{id} \otimes \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In our case of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the role of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is played by the image  $T(\mathfrak{G}_1)|_{V_{i_0}}$  and we see that  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ , while  $T(\mathfrak{G}_1)|_{V_{i_0}}$  is either  $\text{id}$  or  $\text{id}^*$ .

Thus, we take  $V_{i_0}$  for the role of  $W$ . To complete the proof, it suffices to observe that the rank of any operator  $T(g)$  is calculated by the formula

$$\text{rk } T(\mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{rk } T(\mathfrak{g})|_{V_i},$$

and therefore  $T(\mathfrak{g})|_{V_i} = 0$  for  $i \neq i_0$ . □

**6.3. The cases of Proposition 6.2: two regular and two exceptional subcases.** Now, let us return to the situation of interest to us:  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an almost simple Lie superalgebra or a central extension thereof, and  $T$  its a faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite-dimensional superspace  $V$  such that among the operators  $T(g)$  with  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there is a rank-1 operator with an even covector.

Consider the restriction  $T|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ . Thanks to Proposition 6.2, the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in the subspace  $V_0$  must have a rank-1 operator, whereas the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in the whole space  $V$  has either a rank  $(1, 0)$  operator or a rank  $(1, 1)$  operator. Moreover, from Table (264) we see that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is always of the form  $\mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_k \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ , where the  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  are simple and  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a solvable Lie algebra ( $\mathfrak{r}$  can be zero and is almost always commutative). Hence, Proposition 6.2 is applicable to the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -action in the spaces  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ .

Let, as in Proposition 6.2,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_k$ . Consider, separately, the two cases corresponding to the possibilities of Proposition 6.2:

- 1) among the operators  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{ss})$ , there are no operators of rank  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$ ;
- 2) among the operators  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{ss})$ , there is an operator of rank  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$ .

**6.3.1. Case 1) of Proposition 6.2.** As is clear from the above discussion of the possible cases of this Proposition, if we are in the setting of case 1), then the operator of rank  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$  is in this case of the form  $T(h)$ , where  $h \in \mathfrak{r}$ . Moreover, since  $T$  is irreducible, then  $h$  cannot belong to the center of the whole algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

This possibility 1) is realized in the following regular subcases (as follows from the classification of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras and their central extensions, see Table (264)):

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n) \text{ for } m \neq n, \quad \mathfrak{gl}(m|n), \quad \mathfrak{osp}(2|2n), \quad \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2n))$$

and also in the *exceptional subcases*, to be considered separately:

- a)  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$  and  $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \leq 2$ ,
- b) the same as in a), but with  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{psl}(2|2))$  instead of  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ .

6.3.1a. **The regular subcases.** In these subcases,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ . Then, under a proper normalization, the operator  $h$  acts as the grading operator:

$$[h, g] = \pm g \quad \text{for any } g \in \mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}.$$

Let  $W_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}w_0$  be a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant subspace of  $V_{\bar{0}}$  and  $h \cdot w_0 = \lambda w_0$ . Then,

$$h(gw_0) = g(hw_0) + [h, g]w_0 = \lambda(gw_0) \pm (gw_0) = (\lambda \pm 1)(g \cdot w_0),$$

*i.e.*, on each of the subspaces  $W_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1}W$  and  $W_1 = \mathfrak{g}^1W$  the operator  $h$  acts as multiplication by a scalar.

Consider the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  on  $W_{\pm 1}$ . If  $g_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}$ , then

$$g_0(\xi w_0) = \xi(g_0 w_0) + [g_0, \xi] \cdot w_0 = [g_0, \xi]w_0.$$

Hence, the subspaces  $W_{\pm 1}$  are  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant and the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  in  $W_{\pm 1}$  is isomorphic to the quotient representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}$ .

Since in all the cases considered the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}$  is irreducible, we see that either  $W_{\pm 1} = 0$  or  $\dim W_{\pm 1} = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1} > 1$ .

To ensure that  $\text{rk } T(h) = 1$  or  $(1, 1)$ , we should have  $\lambda = \pm 1$ ; moreover, if  $\lambda + k \neq 0$ , then  $W_k = 0$  for  $k = \pm 1$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = W_{-\lambda}$  and  $h|_{W_{-\lambda}} = 0$ . Then,  $h|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}W_{-\lambda}} = \pm \text{id}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}W_{-\lambda} \subset V_{\bar{0}}$ .

But since  $\text{rk } T(h)|_{V_{\bar{0}}} = 1$ , we get

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}W_{-\lambda} = W_{\bar{0}}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}W_{-\lambda} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}.$$

Therefore,  $W_{\lambda} \oplus W_{\bar{0}}$  is invariant under the whole  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; hence,  $V = W_{\lambda} \oplus W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $\text{sdim } V = (1, n)$ , where  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ .

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(1|n) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = 2n = \dim \mathfrak{gl}(1|n)_{\bar{1}}.$$

Therefore, either  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(1|n)$  or  $\mathfrak{gl}(1|n)$ , and either  $T = \text{id}$  or  $T = \text{id}^*$  (recall how the action of the center is normalized, see Proposition 6.1).

6.3.1b. **The exceptional subcases.** These cases are related to the simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$  whose Lie superalgebra of outer derivations is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ .

Subcase a):  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$  and  $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \leq 2$ .

Subcase b):  $\mathfrak{cpsl}(2|2) \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{cpsl}(2|2)$  and  $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{cpsl}(2|2) \leq 2$ . Let  $z$  be the central element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{r}_0 \subset \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  be a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of outer derivations of  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$  such that  $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{r}_0 \leq 2$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{r} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{r}_0, & \text{in subcase a),} \\ \mathfrak{r}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}z, & \text{in subcase b).} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $R_0 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}|_{\mathfrak{r}_0}$  the restriction of the tautological representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  to  $\mathfrak{r}_0$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) \simeq \mathfrak{o}(4)$ , where the indices label copies of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$

and — in what follows shortly — their tautological modules. Let  $\chi_0$  be the trivial character of  $\mathbb{C}z$ ; then, as  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{id}_1 \boxtimes \text{id}_2 \boxtimes R$ , where

$$R = \begin{cases} R_0, & \text{in subcase a),} \\ R_0 \otimes \chi_0, & \text{in subcase b).} \end{cases}$$

Set  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  and  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Clearly, up to the choice of a basis in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ , there are three essentially distinct possibilities for  $\mathfrak{r}_0$ :

- 1)  $\mathfrak{r}_0 = \text{Span}(X, Y)$ ;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{r}_0 = \mathbb{C}X$ ;
- 3)  $\mathfrak{r}_0 = \mathbb{C}Y$ .

Recall that we are looking for an irreducible representation  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a superspace  $V$  such that  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$  contains an operator of rank  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$  whereas  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{ss})|_{V_{\bar{0}}}$  has no such operators.

Then, the operator of rank  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$  is  $T(r)$ , where  $r \in \mathfrak{r}_0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that either  $r = X$  or  $r = Y$ .

If  $r = X$ , then the arguments used in the regular case are applicable and we conclude that  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no such representations.

Let  $r = Y$ . Let, as in the general case,  $W_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}w_0 \subset V_{\bar{0}}$  be a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant subspace. Then,  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{ss})w_0 = 0$ , and the representation  $T$  determines a character  $\chi_{\lambda, \alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  via the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} T(z)w_0 &= \chi_{\lambda, \alpha}(z)w_0 = \lambda w_0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0, \\ T(Y)w_0 &= \chi_{\lambda, \alpha}(Y)w_0 = \alpha w_0. \end{aligned}$$

(Clearly, if  $\dim \mathfrak{r}_0 = 2$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ .)

Consider the  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -submodule  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} \subset V_{\bar{1}}$ . It is isomorphic to a quotient of the  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}} = \text{id}_1 \boxtimes \text{id}_2 \boxtimes (R \otimes \chi_{\lambda, \alpha}).$$

Therefore, the following three cases are possible:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ . Then,  $W_{\bar{0}}$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant. Hence,  $V = W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $T$  is not faithful.
- 2)  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$ . Then,

$$\text{rk } T(Y)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}} = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ 8, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Both these possibilities contradict our assumption on the rank.

3)  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} \simeq_1 \boxtimes \text{id}_2 \boxtimes \chi_{\lambda, \alpha}$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\text{rk } T(Y)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}} = 4$ . Hence,  $\alpha = 0$ , in other words,  $T(Y)|_{W_{\bar{0}}} = T(Y)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}} = 0$ . Set

$$(159) \quad \mathfrak{g}_Y := \text{Im } \text{ad}_Y \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}.$$

Then,

$$T(Y)W_{\bar{0}} = T(Y)\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} - \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}T(Y)W_{\bar{0}} = 0.$$

Thus,  $w_0$  is the highest weight vector in  $T$ .

In subcase a) this means that  $T$  is 1-dimensional, and therefore not faithful.

In subcase b), let  $c$  be the cocycle that determines the central extension of  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$  to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$ . As is clear from Table (264),

$$(160) \quad c|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \times \mathfrak{g}_Y} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-Y} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -invariant subspace complementary to  $\mathfrak{g}_Y$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{g}_{-Y}W_{\bar{0}}$  by definition (159). Take some non-zero  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_Y$  and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{-Y}$ . Then,

$$\xi\eta w_0 - \eta\xi w_0 = [\xi, \eta]w_0 = c(\xi, \eta) \cdot \lambda w_0.$$

By condition (160), both  $Y$  and all the elements  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_Y$  annihilate the space  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$  generates a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant subspace in  $V$  which does not contain  $W_{\bar{0}}$ . This contradicts irreducibility of  $T$ .

Conclusion: in none of the exceptional subcases  $\mathfrak{g}$  has irreducible representations we are looking for.

**6.3.2. Case 2) of Proposition 6.2.** Due to Proposition 6.2,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{comp}$ , where  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$  and the complementary Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{comp}$  is either semi-simple or zero. So  $V = W \oplus U$  for (decomposable)  $\mathfrak{G}_1$ -module  $W$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{comp}$ -module  $U$ , where  $T(\mathfrak{G}_1)|_U = 0$ , the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module  $W_{\bar{0}}$  is isomorphic to either  $\text{id} \otimes \chi_0$  or  $\text{id}^* \otimes \chi_0$  and the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module  $W_{\bar{1}}$  is either zero or isomorphic to either  $\text{id} \otimes \chi_0$  or  $\text{id}^* \otimes \chi_0$ .

Consider the weight diagrams of  $V_{\bar{0}}$  and  $V_{\bar{1}}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ . Denote by  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  the standard vectors that span the space dual to the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{G}_1$ , see [OV], and by  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N$  the similar vectors for  $\mathfrak{g}_{comp}$ . (Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  is simple, and therefore  $\mathfrak{g}_{comp} = 0$ , these complementary vectors  $\delta_i$  are absent.) Then, the collection of weights (with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ ) in  $W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $W_{\bar{1}}$  is as follows (with multiplicity one):

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{sl}(n) : & \text{either } \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \text{ or } -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n; \\ \mathfrak{sp}(2n) : & \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \text{ and } -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n. \end{cases}$$

In the spaces  $U_{\bar{0}}$  and  $U_{\bar{1}}$ , all the weights are of the form  $\varphi(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N)$ , *i.e.*, depend only on the  $\delta$ 's. The weight diagram of such a form will be referred to as a *desirable* one. Let  $\alpha$  be an odd root of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\xi_\alpha$  the corresponding root vector; let  $\lambda$  be a weight of  $V$  and  $v_\lambda$  a corresponding weight vector. Then,  $\xi_\alpha v_\lambda$  is a weight vector of weight  $\lambda + \alpha$ .

**6.3.3. The scheme of our further considerations. Case-by-case study.** 1) We start with a  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant subspace  $W_{\bar{0}}$  whose weights we know.

2) We apply  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  to this subspace and get a subspace of  $V_{\bar{1}}$ . By comparing the desirable weight diagram of  $V_{\bar{1}}$  with weights  $\lambda'$  of the form  $\lambda + \alpha$  we get an information about possible weight diagrams of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$ .

3) By applying item 2) to a  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$ , we deduce that:

- a)  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2 W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$ , and therefore  $V = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$ ;
- b) a description of the weight diagram of  $V$ .

4) Since the dimension of  $V$  is very low and its homogeneous components are irreducible with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ , a direct verification and dimension considerations quickly bring about the result. If these considerations are not conclusive, we compare the superspace  $V$  obtained, the highest weights with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  of its homogeneous components we know, with the irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with the same highest weights. Since all such modules are quotients of the induced modules, it suffices to investigate only what Rudakov called *singular vectors* (see the review [GLS3]) of two induced modules.

**6.3.3a.  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ .** In this case,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$  or  $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}z$ . The weights  $\lambda$  of  $W_{\bar{0}}$  are  $\pm\varepsilon_1, \dots, \pm\varepsilon_n$ .

$m \geq 2$ . For  $\mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n)$ , the odd roots are of the form  $\alpha = \pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j$ . For  $\mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n)$ , to the roots of this form we must add the roots  $\alpha = \pm\varepsilon_i$ .

Thus, the only sums  $\lambda + \alpha$  that are desirable weights are

$$\lambda' = \begin{cases} \pm\delta_j, & \text{for } \mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n), \\ \pm\delta_j \text{ and } 0, & \text{for } \mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n). \end{cases}$$

By considering the sums  $\lambda''$  of the form  $\lambda' + \alpha$ , we see that, among them, the only desirable weights are those of the form  $\pm\varepsilon_i$ . Since they are of multiplicity 1 in  $V_{\bar{0}}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2 W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$ ; hence,  $V = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}}$ .

Consider the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}}$  more closely. Clearly, it is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$ . As  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{o}(m)}$  and  $W_{\bar{0}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \otimes \chi_0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$  is the quotient of  $(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{o}(m)}$  by the zero  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -action.

Now, observe that the tensor square of the tautological  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module is reducible:

$$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} = S^2(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}).$$

Moreover,  $S^2(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}) \simeq \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}$  (hence, irreducible) and  $\Lambda^2(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)})$  is the direct sum of the trivial 1-dimensional module  $\mathbb{1}$  and the irreducible submodule complementary to it. Therefore, the subspace of invariants in the tensor square  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}$  is 1-dimensional. Therefore, the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$  can be either trivial or isomorphic to  $\chi_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{o}(m)}$ .

Thus,  $\text{sdim } V = (2n|1)$  or  $(2n|m)$ . Thanks to the faithfulness of the  $\mathfrak{o}(m)$ -action, dimension considerations show that only the second possibility can be realized; the representation  $T$  is, actually,  $\Pi(\text{id})$ .

$m = 2$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ . The weights  $\lambda$  of  $W_{\bar{0}}$  are  $\pm\varepsilon_1, \dots, \pm\varepsilon_n$  and the odd roots are also  $\pm\varepsilon_1, \dots, \pm\varepsilon_n$ . Each root is of multiplicity 2, and the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  separates the odd roots into the negative and positive ones:  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ ; the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -action in  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$  is  $\text{id}$ , the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  is  $\text{id}^*$ .

The desirable weight  $\lambda'$  is of the form  $\alpha + \lambda$  only for  $\lambda' = 0$ . The subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} W_{\bar{0}}$  is the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \otimes W_{\bar{0}} \simeq \text{id}^* \otimes \text{id}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^1 W_{\bar{0}}$  is the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes W_{\bar{0}} \simeq \text{id} \otimes \text{id}$ . As already noted, each of the modules  $\text{id}^* \otimes \text{id}$  and  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id}$  contains only a 1-dimensional subspace of invariants. Thus,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}} = 0, 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

The desirable weights of the form  $\lambda' + \alpha$  are equal to  $\pm\varepsilon_i$ . Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2 W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}} \text{ and } V = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}}.$$

As above, dimension considerations imply that  $T(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) = \mathfrak{gl}(2n|1)_-$  in the standard format. Hence,

$$\text{sdim } V = (2n|2) \text{ and } T = \Pi(\text{id}).$$

$m = 1$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \simeq \text{id}$ , as a  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module. The same arguments as above show that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}} = 1$  and  $V = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} W_{\bar{0}}$ . Thus,  $T = \Pi(\text{id})$ .

6.3.3b.  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ . This case splits into the following subcases A) – F).

A)  $\mathfrak{g} = \underline{\mathfrak{spe}(n), \mathfrak{spe}(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}z, \mathfrak{as}, \mathfrak{pe}(n), \mathfrak{pe}(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$ . We will assume that  $n > 3$ : as  $\mathfrak{spe}(3) \simeq \mathfrak{svect}(0|3)$ , this case and all its relatives are considered in the study of vectorial Lie superalgebras.

For these Lie superalgebras,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and the weights of  $W_{\bar{0}}$  are either  $\lambda = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  or  $\lambda = -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n$ . The odd roots are  $\alpha = \pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $2\varepsilon_i$ . Observe that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  naturally splits into the sum of a positive and a negative parts:  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ .

The roots in  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$  are  $\alpha = -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  while those in  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  are  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j, 2\varepsilon_i$ .

The weights  $\lambda'$  of the form  $\lambda + \alpha$  that occur in the desirable diagram are as follows.

If  $\lambda = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ , then  $\lambda' = -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^1 W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ .

If  $\lambda = -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n$ , then  $\lambda' = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ .

Recall that the multiplicity of the roots of the form  $\pm\varepsilon_i$  in  $V_{\bar{1}}$  is necessarily equal to 1. Therefore,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = n$ .

Similarly, the desirable weights  $\lambda''$  of the form  $\lambda' + \alpha$  are as follows.

If  $\lambda' = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ , then  $\lambda' + \alpha = -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$ .

If  $\lambda' = -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n$ , then  $\lambda' + \alpha = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$ .

Thus,  $V = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $\text{sdim } V = (n|n)$ . Hence, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{spe}(n)$  or  $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ , then either  $T = \text{id}$  or  $T = \text{id}^*$ . Both these representations contain (odd) operators of rank 1, but, for  $T = \text{id}^*$ , the covector is odd.

For the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{spe}(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}z$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{pe}(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}z$ , we see that  $T = \text{id} \otimes \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is an arbitrary character of the center, and, for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{as}$ , there is the one-parameter family  $T_\lambda$  of  $(4|4)$ -dimensional representations that differ from each other by the value on the center.

B)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psq}(n), \mathfrak{sq}(n), \mathfrak{pq}(n), \mathfrak{q}(n)$ . In these cases,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ .

The odd roots are  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ . Let, as above,  $\lambda$  denote a weight of  $W_{\bar{0}}$ , let  $\lambda' = \lambda + \alpha$  run over the weights of desirable form from  $V_{\bar{1}}$ , let  $\lambda'' = \lambda' + \alpha$  be the weights of desirable form in  $V_{\bar{0}}$ . Then,

$$(161) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \lambda & \lambda' & \lambda'' \\ \hline \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n & \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n & \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \\ \hline -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n & -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n & -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = n$ . Hence,  $\text{sdim } V = (n|n)$ . But, for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psq}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{pq}(n)$ , there are no such representations at all (as follows from Fact 2.5), while for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sq}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$  this is the tautological representation which has no rank-1 operators.

C)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|m), \mathfrak{gl}(n|m), \mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ . Observe that, for  $n = m = 1$ , the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$  is solvable; hence, all its irreducible representations are induced [S0]; the case considered in Step 4, see Section 5. Due to the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1) \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(0|2)$ , we can and will consider this case in Step 5B, see Subsection 6.5.

$m > 1$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(m)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ , where the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$  are of the form  $\alpha_{-1} = -\varepsilon_i + \delta_j$  and those of  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  are of the form  $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_i - \delta_j$ .

First, suppose  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $\lambda'_{\pm 1}$  the desirable weights of the form  $\lambda + \alpha_{\pm 1}$  and by  $\lambda''_{\pm 1}$  the desirable weights of the form  $\lambda'_{\pm 1} + \alpha_{\pm 1}$ . We get:

$$(162) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \lambda & \lambda'_{-1} & \lambda'_1 & \lambda''_{-1} & \lambda''_1 \\ \hline \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n & \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n & \emptyset & \emptyset & \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \\ \hline -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n & \emptyset & \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n & -\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_n & \emptyset \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Thus, we see that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2W_{\bar{0}} = W_{\bar{0}}$ . Let us consider the  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$  in more detail. First, let  $W_{\bar{0}}$ , as  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module, be  $\text{id} \otimes \chi_0$  (the weights are  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ ). Then, as is clear from table (162),  $\mathfrak{g}^1W_{\bar{0}} = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}$ ; hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}W_{\bar{0}}$  is isomorphic to a quotient of the tensor product

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \otimes W_{\bar{0}} \simeq (\text{id} \otimes \text{id}^*) \otimes (\text{id} \otimes \chi_0).$$

But the  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id}^*$  is the sum of the adjoint module and the trivial 1-dimensional module. Since the  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$  is trivial, we deduce that  $\text{sdim } \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \otimes W_{\bar{0}} = (0|m)$  and  $\text{sdim } V = (n|m)$ .

Therefore,

$$T = \begin{cases} \text{id}, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|m) \text{ and } \mathfrak{gl}(n|n), \\ \text{id} \otimes \chi, \text{ where } \chi \text{ is an arbitrary character,} & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n|m). \end{cases}$$

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ , there are no such representations, as follows from dimension considerations and Fact 2.5.

The case where  $W_{\bar{0}} = \text{id}^* \otimes \chi_0$  is considered in the same manner. We obtain:

$$T = \begin{cases} \text{id}^*, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|m) \text{ and } \mathfrak{gl}(n|n), \\ \text{id}^* \otimes \chi, \text{ where } \chi \text{ is an arbitrary character,} & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n|m). \end{cases}$$

$n = 2$ . In this case, by studying only the weight diagram we cannot conclude that either  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}} = 0$  or  $\mathfrak{g}^1W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ . We will consider this case in Lemma 6.3.4.

$m = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$ , where the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$  are  $\alpha_{-1} = -\varepsilon_i$  and the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  are  $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_i$ . The list of desirable weights in this case is obtained from table (162) by replacing  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  with 0. The remaining arguments are the same as in the above subcase.

**6.3.4. Lemma** (Technical, on  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -modules). *Let the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$  be such that  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)})^*$ , as  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module. Let  $V$  be an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module and  $W \subset V$  its  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -invariant subspace isomorphic to  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$ . Let, moreover,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}W$  be a 1-dimensional trivial  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module. Then,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}^2W = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $W$  can be considered as dual linear spaces. Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be a basis of  $W$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ . As already noted, the  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}W$  is the quotient of the tensor product  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W$  by the adjoint submodule. The adjoint submodule is singled out as the kernel of the natural bilinear form  $B(h \otimes w) = h(w)$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \otimes W$ . Then, the generator  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}W$  can be expressed as  $u = h_1w_1 = \dots = h_nw_n$ . For  $i \neq j$ , we get

$$h_iu = h_ih_jw_j = -(-1)^{p(h_i)p(h_j)}h_jh_iw_j = 0. \quad \square$$

**6.3.4a. Corollary** (Particular cases of Lemma 6.3.4). 1) *The statement of Lemma 6.3.4 holds also if  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} = \text{id}$  and  $W = \text{id}^*$ .*

2) *For  $n = 2$ , the modules  $\text{id}$  and  $\text{id}^*$  are isomorphic, so Lemma 6.3.4 holds also if  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \simeq W \simeq \text{id}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} \simeq W \simeq \text{id}^*$ .*

**6.4. Continuation of the story.** Thus, let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{gl}(2|m)$  for  $m > 2$  or let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any almost simple Lie superalgebra related to  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ , except for  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}_0(4|2)$ , see eqs. (265). Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(m)$ , and it remains to consider the case where  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , i.e., the subspace  $W_{\bar{0}} \subset V$  is isomorphic, as  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ -module, to  $\text{id} \otimes \chi_0$ .

Observe that the submodules  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^1W_{\bar{0}}$  cannot vanish simultaneously. Let, for example,  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}} \neq 0$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}} = \chi_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}$  and, thanks to Lemma 6.3.4,  $(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})^2W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ . But then  $\mathfrak{g}^1(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}) \neq 0$  due to irreducibility of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  and our usual arguments based on the weight diagram show that  $\mathfrak{g}^1(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}) = W_{\bar{0}}$ . Moreover,  $W_{\bar{0}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes \chi_0$  arises as the quotient module of the tensor product

$$\mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}) \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}^*) \otimes (\chi_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}).$$

Applying Lemma 6.3.4 once again we deduce that  $(\mathfrak{g}^1)^2(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}W_{\bar{0}}) = \mathfrak{g}^1W_{\bar{0}} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{sdim } V = (2|m)$  and  $T(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{sl}(2|m)$  or  $\mathfrak{gl}(2|m)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(4|2n)$  for  $n > 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} &= \mathfrak{o}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2n) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2n), \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} &\simeq (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Our routine arguments yield

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 W_0 \simeq (\chi_0(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}) \bigotimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_1^2 W_0 = W_0.$$

This implies that  $V = W_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 W_0$  and  $\text{sdim } V = (2|4n)$ .

Let us show that there are no such  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. To this end, in the space dual to the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{o}(4)$ , select basis elements  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ ; for the roots of  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  take  $\pm 2\varepsilon_1$  and let the roots of the complementary to  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{o}(4)$  be  $\pm 2\varepsilon_2$ ; let  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  be the standard basis of the dual space to the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ . Then, the weight basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_1 W_0$  consists of the vectors  $v_\lambda$  of weight  $\lambda = \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i$ , whereas the weight basis of  $W_0$  consists of  $v_{\pm \varepsilon_1}$ . Let  $\xi_{\pm \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i}$  be an odd root vector of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then,

$$v_{\pm \varepsilon_1} = \xi_{\pm \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i} v_{\mp \varepsilon_2 \mp \delta_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\alpha v_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha + \lambda \neq \pm \varepsilon_1.$$

For  $i \neq k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i} v_{\varepsilon_1} &= 0, \\ \xi_{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i} v_{\varepsilon_1} &= \xi_{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i} (\xi_{\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_k} v_{\mp \varepsilon_2 \mp \delta_k}) = -\xi_{\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_k} \cdot \xi_{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i} v_{\mp \varepsilon_2 \mp \delta_k} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The penultimate equality holds because  $[\xi_{\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_k}, \xi_{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \delta_i}] = 0$  since  $\pm 2\varepsilon_2 \pm (\delta_k + \delta_i)$  is not a root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 v_{\varepsilon_1} = 0$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 v_{-\varepsilon_1} = 0$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 W_0 = 0$  and  $V = W_0$ . This is a contradiction.

D)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ab}(3)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(7)$ . For this case, we modify our notation somewhat. Let the roots of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  be  $\pm 2\varepsilon$ . Then, the weights of  $W_0$  are  $\lambda = \pm \varepsilon$ ; the odd roots are  $\alpha = \pm \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}(\pm \delta_1 \pm \delta_2 \pm \delta_3)$  and, as  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes \text{spin}_7$ .

The desirable weights are

$$\lambda' = \lambda + \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\pm \delta_1 \pm \delta_2 \pm \delta_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda'' = \lambda' + \alpha = \pm \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{g}_1^2 W_0 = W_0$  and  $V = W_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 W_0$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 W_0$  is the quotient of the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes W_0 \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}) \bigotimes (\text{spin}_7 \otimes \chi_0).$$

The dimension of the trivial  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -submodule in the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id}$  is equal to 1 and, since the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action in  $\mathfrak{g}_1 W_0$  should be trivial, we deduce that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 W_0 = 1 \cdot \dim(\text{spin}_7) = 8$ , *i.e.*,  $\text{sdim } V = (2|8)$ , but  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ab}(3)$  has no such irreducible representations, see Fact 2.5.

E)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ag}(2)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(2)$  and, as  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes R(\pi_1)$ , where  $R(\pi_1)$  is the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}(2)$  with highest weight  $\pi_1$ , see [OV]. Recall that  $\dim R(\pi_1) = 7$ . Arguments similar to those in the above case show that the desirable representation should be of superdimension  $(2|7)$ ; but  $\mathfrak{ag}(2)$  has no such irreducible representations, see Fact 2.5.

F)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  and arguments similar to those used in the above two subcases yield that  $\text{sdim } V = (2|4)$ ; there are no such irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules for  $\alpha \neq 1$ , except for the equivalent to  $a = 1$  values  $a = -\frac{1}{2}, -2$ , see formulas (43).

**6.5. Step 5B. Vectorial Lie superalgebras. The four cases.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. Let  $z$  be either its outer derivation or a generator of the trivial center. Set  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes \mathbb{C} \cdot z$  (if  $z$  is central, this sum is direct).

**6.5.1. Lemma** (Cartan prolongs with the same negative part). *Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be an irreducible finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module. Consider the two Cartan prolongs:*

$$\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{h}_i = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*.$$



Either  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1$ , and then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ , or  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ , direct sum of vector spaces.

*Proof.* For any  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , the decomposition

$$[F, v] = \alpha_F(v)z + h,$$

where  $h \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ , determines a linear form  $\alpha_F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ . By the definition of Cartan prolongation,  $\alpha_F = 0$  if and only if  $F \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ . Hence, as a  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module, the space  $\mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{h}_1$  is a quotient of the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$  which is irreducible together with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

6.5.1a. **1)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{vect}(0|n)$ , where  $n \geq 3$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{cvect}(0|n) := \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot z$ .** (Recall, see Table (264), that  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  has no outer derivations or nontrivial central extensions.) The rank-1 operator with even covector exists only for the following  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -modules  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ :

1a) $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1) \implies \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \mathfrak{le}(n; n);$
1b) $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \text{Vol}_0(0 n) \implies \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \mathbf{svect}'(1 n; n);$
1c) $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \Pi(\text{Vol}_0(0 n)) \implies \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \mathfrak{b}'_1(n; n);$
1d) $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(n)) \implies \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n) \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0, 1.$

The element  $z$  acts in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  as a non-zero scalar. We normalize  $z$  so that  $\text{ad}_z|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} = -\text{id}$  and use for such  $\mathbf{cvect}(0|n)$ -modules the same notation as for  $\mathbf{vect}(0|n)$ -modules.

**6.5.1b. Proposition** (Prolongations with  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{cvect}(0|n)$ ). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{cvect}(0|n)$ , where  $n \geq 3$ , let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module of type 1a) – 1d) below. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$  be the Cartan prolongation. Then, in the respective cases, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{1a) if } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(n)/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1), \text{ then } \mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{vle}(4|3), & \text{for } n = 3, \\ \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{le}(n; n)), & \text{for } n > 3; \end{cases} \\
 & \text{1b) if } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \text{Vol}_0(0|n), \text{ then } \mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{kas}(; 3\eta), & \text{for } n = 3, \\ \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{svect}'(1|n; n)), & \text{for } n > 3; \end{cases} \\
 & \text{1c) if } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\text{Vol}_0(0|n)), \text{ then } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; n)); \\
 & \text{1d) if } \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(n)), \text{ then } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)) \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0, 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is bigraded: each component  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by powers of  $\xi$  (call it  $\xi$ -grading) so that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$ ; we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{k \leq i \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ , where

$$k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{in cases 1b) – 1d),} \\ 1, & \text{in case 1a);} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } m = \begin{cases} n, & \text{in cases 1a), 1d),} \\ n-1, & \text{in cases 1b), 1c).} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 6.5.1, if  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ , then the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  contains the components of degree from  $-m$  to  $-k$  with respect to the  $\xi$ -grading. In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-m} \neq 0$ .

But, in each case, we have a subspace  $W = \bigoplus_{k \leq i \leq s} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  does not contain operators commuting with the whole  $W$ . By Lemma 5.2, this means that  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,i} = 0$  for all  $i < -1 - s$ , i.e., the necessary condition for  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$  is  $-m \geq -1 - s$ , i.e.,  $m \leq 1 + s$ . Specifically,

$$(163) \quad \begin{array}{|l|l|l|} \hline \text{1a)} & s = 2, m = n & \implies n \leq 3; \\ \hline \text{1b), 1c)} & s = 1, m = n - 1 & \implies n \leq 3; \\ \hline \text{1c)} & s = 1, m = n & \implies n \leq 2. \\ \hline \end{array}$$

In cases 1a), 1b) for  $n = 3$ , we get the exceptional simple Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{vle}$  and  $\mathfrak{kas}$ .

The case 1c) for  $n = 3$  calls for subtler arguments. We have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{i=0,1,2} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_{-1,0} &= \mathbb{C}\Pi(1), \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1} &= \Pi(\text{Span}(\xi_j \mid j = 1, 2, 3)), \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1,2} &= \Pi(\text{Span}(\xi_j \xi_l \mid j, l = 1, 2, 3)).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$  and let  $F = \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)^* \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , i.e.,

$$[F, \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)] = z + h, \text{ where } h \in \mathfrak{g}_{0,0}, \text{ i.e., } h = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \xi_i \partial_{\xi_j}.$$

Then,  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,-2}$ , and thus,  $[F, \Pi(1)] = 0$ . By the Jacobi identity,

$$0 = [[F, \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)], \Pi(1)] = (z + h)\Pi(1) = -\Pi(1) + h(\Pi(1)).$$

Therefore,  $h(\Pi(1)) = \Pi(1)$  and

$$(164) \quad \text{div } h = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} a_{ii} = 1.$$

Since  $p(\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)) = \bar{1}$ , we have

$$0 = [[F, \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)], \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)] = (z + h)\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2) = -\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2) + h(\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)).$$

Hence,  $h(\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)) = \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)$  and  $a_{11} + a_{22} + \text{div } h = 1$  or

$$(165) \quad a_{11} + a_{22} = 0.$$

Clearly,  $[F, \Pi(\xi_3)] \in \mathfrak{g}_{0,-1}$ , i.e.,  $[F, \Pi(\xi_3)] = \sum b_j \partial_{\xi_j}$ . By the Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [[F, \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2)], \Pi(\xi_3)] - [\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2), [F, \Pi(\xi_3)]] = \\ &= -\Pi(\xi_3) + h(\Pi(\xi_3)) - (b_1 \Pi(\xi_2) - b_2 \Pi(\xi_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a_{33} + \text{div } h = 1$ , i.e.,  $a_{33} = 0$ . The last equality contradicts conditions (164) and (165).  $\square$

**6.5.1c. 2)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{svect}(0|n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ .** A rank-1 operator with even covector exists only for the  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \Pi(T_0^0(\bar{0}))$ , hence,  $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \mathfrak{sl}'(n; n)$ .

From Table (264) we know that  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|n)$  has no nontrivial central extensions, but it has an outer derivation,  $D = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ . So we have to consider the following cases:

2a)	$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}z$ , $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ as spaces and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1} \otimes (-1)$ as $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module;
2b)	$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes \mathbb{C}D$ , $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ as spaces, where $D$ acts as $\sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} + \lambda \cdot 1$ ;
2c)	$\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}z) \ltimes \mathbb{C}D$ , $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ as spaces, where $z$ acts as multiplication by $-1$ and $D$ as $\sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i}$ ;
2d)	$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes \mathbb{C}(az + bD)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ .

**6.5.1d. Proposition** (Prolongations of relatives of  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{svect}(0|n)$ ). *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  be one of the pairs 2a) – 2c) of cases (166) and  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$  its Cartan prolong. Then, in case 2b) for  $n = 3$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{le}(3)$ . In all other cases,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ .*

*Proof.* In all cases,  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ . By Lemma 6.5.1, if  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-n+1} \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq n-2} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains no elements that commute with the subspace  $W = \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1,2}$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.2,  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,s} = 0$  for all  $s$  such that  $s + 2 < -1$ . Thus,  $-n + 1 \geq -3$ , i.e.,  $n \leq 4$ .

(Note that  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|3) \simeq \mathfrak{spe}(3)$ , and therefore this case of Proposition is a particular case of a case already considered.)

Let us examine the case where  $n = 4$  more carefully. We have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_{-1,1} &= \text{Span}(\Pi(\xi_i))_{i=1}^4, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1,2} &= \text{Span}(\Pi(\xi_i \xi_j))_{i=1}^4, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1,3} &= \text{Span}(\Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3)).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq \mathfrak{h}_1$ . In the cases 2a) – 2d) of table (166), there should exist elements  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,-3}$  and  $\alpha z + \beta D \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that

$$g = [F, \Pi(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3)] = -\alpha \cdot 1 + \beta D + h,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}_{0,0} = \mathfrak{sl}(4)$ .

By grading considerations,  $[F, \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1}] = 0$ . Further, by the Jacobi identity,

$$h|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1,1}} = \alpha \cdot 1 - \beta D|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1,1}} = (\alpha - \beta) \cdot 1.$$

Since  $h \in \mathfrak{sl}(4)$ , it follows that  $\alpha - \beta = 0$  and  $h = 0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . Then,  $\text{ad}_g|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1,2}} = -1 + D|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1,2}} = 1$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0} = \mathfrak{gl}(4) \simeq \mathfrak{co}(6)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1,2} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathfrak{o}(6)} \otimes 1$  as  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$ -modules. Note that  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1,2}, \mathfrak{g}_{1,-2}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$ . Set

$$V = \{F \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,-2} \mid [F, \mathfrak{g}_{-1,2}] = 0\}.$$

Then, the quotient  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-2}/V$  naturally embeds into the first component of Cartan prolong  $(\Pi(\text{id}), \mathfrak{co}(6))_* = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h}(0|6))$ . Due to Lemma 6.5.1 this contradicts the assumption  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq \mathfrak{h}_1$ .  $\square$

6.5.1e. **3)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ .** A rank-1 operator with even covector exists only for the  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \frac{\Pi(\text{Vol}(0|n))}{\mathbb{C}(b + \alpha\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n) \text{vol}(\xi)}$ . Hence, with  $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{b}$ , we have

$$(167) \quad \mathfrak{h} = \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_{\mu}(2^{n-1} - 1|2^{n-1}) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_{\mu}(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1} - 1) & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

From Table (264) we know that  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  has no nontrivial central extensions or outer derivations.

**6.5.1f. Proposition** (Prolongs of relatives of  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|n)$ ). *For  $\mathfrak{h}$  as in formula (167), let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}z$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ , as  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -modules; let  $z$  act on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  as  $-\text{id}$ . Then,  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ .*

*Proof.* The components  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  are filtered by powers of  $\xi$ . Consider the corresponding bigraded Lie superalgebra  $\text{gr } \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq \mathfrak{h}_1$ , then, by Lemma 6.5.1,

$$(\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_1 = (\text{gr } \mathfrak{h})_1 \oplus (\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_{-1}^*.$$

But  $(\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_{-1} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq 2n-1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ . Hence,  $(\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_{1,-2n+1} \neq 0$ .

On the other hand,  $(\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_0 = \bigoplus_{-1 \leq i \leq 2n-2} \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$  and  $\text{gr } \mathfrak{g}_0$  contains no elements that commute with the subspace  $W = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1,i}$ . Hence,  $(\text{gr } \mathfrak{g})_{1,s} = 0$  for all  $s$  such that  $s + 1 < -1$ . Therefore,  $-2n + 1 + 1 \geq -1$  or  $2n \leq 3$ . This contradicts the fact that  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

6.5.1g. **4)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}'(0|n)$  for  $n \geq 5$  and related subcases.** As follows from the description of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{h}'(0|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(0|n)$ , see [Sha1, Sha2], no induced representation has a rank-1 operator.

## 7. STEP 6. A PARTICULAR CASE: CASE 3) OF COROLLARY 4.2.1

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  or  $\Pi(\Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2))$ ; let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{hei}(0|4) \ltimes \mathfrak{o}(4)$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}(4) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(2)$  with the isomorphic copies of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  numbered for convenience:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{hei}(0|4) &= \text{Span}(1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \partial_1, \partial_2), \text{ where } \partial_i := \partial_{\xi_i}, \\ \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) &= \text{Span}(\xi_1\partial_2, \xi_2\partial_1, \xi_1\partial_1 - \xi_2\partial_2), \\ \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) &= \text{Span}(\partial_1\partial_2, \xi_1\xi_2, \xi_1\partial_1 + \xi_2\partial_2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We realize the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$  by vector fields, *i.e.*, we embed  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$  into  $\mathbf{vect}(2|2)$ . Redenote the respective vectors of the monomial basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(2))$ :

$$\pi(\xi_1) \mapsto \partial_x, \quad \pi(\xi_2) \mapsto \partial_y; \quad \pi(1) \mapsto \partial_\eta, \quad \pi(\xi_1\xi_2) \mapsto \partial_\zeta.$$

To the basis vectors from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  we assign the following vector fields of degree 0 that act in the space of functions in the indeterminates  $x, y, \eta, \zeta$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{hei}(0|4) : & -1 & \mapsto \quad x\partial_x + y\partial_y + \eta\partial_\eta + \zeta\partial_\zeta, \\ & \xi_1 & \mapsto \quad \eta\partial_x - y\partial_\zeta, \\ & \xi_2 & \mapsto \quad \eta\partial_y + x\partial_\zeta, \\ & \partial_1 & \mapsto \quad \zeta\partial_y - x\partial_\eta, \\ & \partial_2 & \mapsto \quad -\zeta\partial_x - y\partial_\eta, \\ \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) : & \xi_1\partial_2 & \mapsto \quad -y\partial_x, \quad \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) : \quad \partial_2\partial_1 & \mapsto \quad -\zeta\partial_\eta, \\ & \xi_2\partial_1 & \mapsto \quad -x\partial_y, \quad \xi_1\xi_2 & \mapsto \quad -\eta\partial_\zeta, \\ & \xi_1\partial_1 - \xi_2\partial_2 & \mapsto \quad y\partial_y - x\partial_x, \quad \xi_1\partial_1 + \xi_2\partial_2 - 1 & \mapsto \quad -\eta\partial_\eta - \zeta\partial_\zeta. \end{array}$$

We see that this realization of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is invariant under the reversal of parity in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . So, both  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Lambda(2)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(2))$  bring about the same result (isomorphic algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ ).

Consider the following subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_0 &= \mathfrak{hei}(0|4) \ltimes \mathfrak{sl}_1(2) \\ &= \text{Span}(1, \xi_1, \xi_2) \ltimes \text{Span}(\partial_1, \partial_2, \xi_1\partial_2, \xi_2\partial_1, \xi_1\partial_1 - \xi_2\partial_2) \\ &\simeq (\Lambda(2) \setminus \mathbb{C} \cdot \xi_1\xi_2) \ltimes \mathbf{svect}(0|2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\infty(2; 2).$$

Direct calculations show that

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* \simeq (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_* \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) \text{ and } (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{hei}(0|4))_* \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{hei}(0|4).$$

So a necessary condition for simplicity, *i.e.*,  $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$ , implies that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{a,a}(2; 2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\infty(2; 2).$$

8. STEP 7.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -GRADINGS OF VECTORIAL LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

**8.1. Weisfeiler regradings.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebra. By a (*Weisfeiler*) *regrading* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we understand a transition from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the Lie superalgebra

$\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -D} \mathfrak{h}_i$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$  as abstract Lie superalgebra and such that

- (168) a)  $\mathfrak{h}$  is transitive, *i.e.*, for any non-zero  $x \in \mathfrak{h}_k$  where  $k \geq 0$ , there is  $y \in \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  such that  $[x, y] \neq 0$ ;  
 b)  $\mathfrak{h}_{\geq 0} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_i$  is a maximal Lie subsuperalgebra of finite codimension;  
 c) the  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is irreducible;  
 d)  $D < \infty$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is of finite depth.

In what follows in this section,  $\mathfrak{h}$  denotes a Lie superalgebra obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}$  by a regrading. Clearly, not every two gradings on the same algebra determine a bigrading; fortunately, for our purposes it suffices to consider only *compatible gradings* for which (169) is well defined thanks to the **hypothesis**: *all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings of the Cartan prolongations are induced by the degrees of indeterminates* proved for  $p > 0$  by Skryabin, see [Sk91, Sk95]. So, we consider only gradings induced by the degrees of the indeterminates.

The proofs of the next three Lemmas were first published in [Sh14]; since the article was not put in arXiv, let us reproduce the proofs here.

**8.1.1. Lemma** (On the number of homogeneous components of prolongs). *Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -D} \mathfrak{h}_i$  be a  $W$ -regarding of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_j$  that determines a bigrading:*

$$(169) \quad \mathfrak{h}_i = \bigoplus_{m(i) \leq j \leq M(i)} \mathfrak{h}_{i,j}, \text{ where } \mathfrak{h}_{i,j} = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \mathfrak{g}_j.$$

*Let  $\text{sdim}(\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_-) = 0|k$ . Then, the number  $M := M(-1) - m(-1) + 1$  of the summands  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1,j}$  in the decomposition (169) of  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  does not exceed the number of homogeneous components with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_-)$  induced by the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ .*

*In particular, if  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_-$  is homogeneous with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \subset \mathfrak{g}_j$  for some  $j$ , then  $M \leq k + 1$ .*

*Proof.* The component  $V = \mathfrak{h}_{-1, M(-1)}$  of the maximal degree is invariant with respect to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_{0, \geq 0} := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_{0,j}$ . Since the  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is irreducible, it must be a quotient of the induced module: as spaces,

$$\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{h}_{0, \geq 0}}^{\mathfrak{h}_0} V = \Lambda(\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_-) \otimes V. \quad \square$$

**8.1.2. Lemma** (When  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is homogeneous). *If  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is homogeneous.*

*Proof.* The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_{0,0}$  transforms  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1,j}$  into itself and the operators in  $\mathfrak{h}_{0,k}$  send  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1,j}$  into  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1, j+k}$ . Therefore, if the representation of  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is irreducible, then  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is homogeneous with respect to the grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1, j_0}$  for some  $j_0$ . But then  $\mathfrak{h}_{0,k}$  for  $k > 0$  sends  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  to 0. Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is transitive,  $\mathfrak{h}_{0,k} = 0$  for all  $k > 0$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_{0,0}$ .  $\square$

**8.1.3. Lemma** (When the gradings coincide). *If  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 0$  and there exists a non-zero  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \cap \mathfrak{h}_-$ , then the gradings of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1, j_0} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{j_0}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{h}_{-k} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k \cdot j_0}$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{-k}$ , then  $-1 = k \cdot j_0$ , implying  $k = 1$  and  $j_0 = -1$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

**8.1.4. How to describe all the W-regradings.** Given a W-graded Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , construct its W-regraded Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ ; namely, let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie (super)algebra obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}$  by a regrading. First of all, we should list all the regradings that are not forbidden; our task is to select the ones that can be realized.

1) Determine  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

2) Construct a “minimal” (better, though informally, saying: “most tightly compressed”) regrading with the given intersection, *i.e.*, such that preserves in  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  all the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  except for those that are eliminated by the condition on the intersection.

3) If the “minimal” regrading is of Weisfeiler type, then with the help of Lemmas 8.1.2 and 8.1.3 we prove that any other W-regrading with the given intersection coincides with the minimal regrading.

4) If the “minimal” regrading is not of Weisfeiler type, then with the help of Lemma 8.1.1 we prove that there are no W-regradings with the given intersection.

*Proof* of Theorem 2.15.1. Let  $1 \leq r \leq m$ , let  $\varphi(r) = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  be a subset of distinct indices in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . Permuting, if necessary, the indeterminates we can and will assume that  $\varphi(r) = \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Set  $\deg \xi_1 = \dots = \deg \xi_r = 0$  and define the remaining degrees as in Tables (100) and (99). In Tables (131), (132), (136) and (125), the terms  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  are indicated for the regraded algebras  $\mathfrak{h}$  for the serial and the exceptional algebras. Now, consider various cases.

1) Let either  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{vect}(n|m) = \mathbf{vect}(x, \xi)$  or  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbf{svect}(n|m) = \mathbf{svect}(x, \xi)$ . Then,

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \text{Span}(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{\xi_1}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_n}).$$

Since the depth of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is finite,  $\deg x_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence,  $\partial_{x_i} \in \mathfrak{h}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  for all  $i$ .

If  $\deg \xi_j \neq 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$  by Lemma 8.1.3.

Let  $\deg \xi_i = 0$  for  $i \leq r$  and  $\deg \xi_i \neq 0$  for  $i > r \geq 0$ . Denote the thus regraded superalgebras by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbf{vect}(n|m; \tilde{r})$  or  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbf{svect}(n|m; \tilde{r})$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} = \text{Span}(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}; \partial_{\xi_j} \mid j > r) \otimes \Lambda(\xi_i \mid i \leq r).$$

Let us show that  $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Since  $\partial_{x_i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$  for all  $i$ , then by Lemma 8.1.3 it suffices to check that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \cap \mathfrak{h}_0 = 0$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$(\text{Span}(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{\xi_j}) \otimes 1) \cap \mathfrak{h}_0 = 0 \text{ for } j > r.$$

For  $i < r$ , we have  $\partial_{\xi_i} \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  is a subalgebra, the following implication holds:

$$(170) \quad \text{if some element of } \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \text{ belongs to } \mathfrak{h}_0, \text{ then all the elements of } \text{Span}(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{\xi_j} \mid j > r) \text{ belong to } \mathfrak{h}_0.$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \cap \mathfrak{h}_0 = 0$ .

2) For the other series, take into account that the corresponding preserved (perhaps, conformally) form  $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \text{ and so on})$  should be homogeneous.

For the series  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ , it is convenient to split the indeterminates into dual pairs: the even ones,  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  and the odd ones:  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$  and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$ ; the remaining odd coordinates are the self-dual  $\theta$ 's, see eq. (63). The corresponding modifications for contact series are obvious.

Observe that the cases of  $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(n; n)$  are obtained from the general considerations given above by “compressing” the grading to eliminate the zero components.

The case of the exceptional grading of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2)$  was considered in Subsection 2.12.3.  $\square$

8.1.5. **W-regradings of  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6)$ .** The W-regrading  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|11)$  is the only one overlooked in [Sh14]. Though the description of all possible regradings of  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6)$  in [CK2] is correct, only an outline of the idea and the final result are given and one W-regrading of  $\mathfrak{kas}$  was overlooked. Let us give a detailed proof of the completeness of the list of regradings of  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6)$ .

There are two “least-codimension realizations” for  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6)$ . It is more convenient to describe regradings starting with the realization where  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{svect}(5)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \Pi(d\Omega^1(5))$ , cf. Subsection 2.17.

Set  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \text{Span}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_5)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \text{Span}(dx_i dx_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 5)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a regrading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, clearly,  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \subset \mathfrak{h}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

Since, for any permutation  $\sigma \in S_5$ , we have

$$[dx_{\sigma(1)} dx_{\sigma(2)}, dx_{\sigma(3)} dx_{\sigma(4)}] = (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \partial_{x_{\sigma(5)}},$$

it follows that  $dx_{\sigma(1)} dx_{\sigma(2)}$  and  $dx_{\sigma(3)} dx_{\sigma(4)}$  cannot simultaneously appear in  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  after the regrading. Hence,  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \leq 4$ .

So we have to consider the following cases:

- 1)  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 1$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \simeq \text{Span}(dx_1 dx_2)$ .
- 2)  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 2$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \simeq \text{Span}(dx_1 dx_2, dx_1 dx_3)$ .
- 3)  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 3$ . There are the two subcases:

$$(171) \quad \begin{aligned} 3a) \quad & \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \simeq \text{Span}(dx_1 dx_2, dx_1 dx_3, dx_1 dx_4), \\ 3b) \quad & \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \simeq \text{Span}(dx_1 dx_2, dx_1 dx_3, dx_2 dx_3). \end{aligned}$$

- 4)  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- = 4$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_- \simeq \text{Span}(dx_1 dx_2, dx_1 dx_3, dx_1 dx_4, dx_1 dx_5)$ .

The standard arguments (either based on Lemma 7.1.3 from [Sh14], or see Subsection 8.1.4) show that in the cases 2) and 3a)  $\mathfrak{h}$  is not a W-regrading, whereas in case 1) we obtain  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|11)$ , in case 3b) we obtain  $\mathfrak{kle}(11|9)$ , and in case 4) we obtain  $\mathfrak{kle}(9|6)$ . The corresponding W-gradings listed in [CK2] are:

- 1)  $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = 3, \quad \deg x_3 = \deg x_4 = \deg x_5 = 2; \quad \deg d = -3;$
- 3b)  $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = 1, \quad \deg x_3 = \deg x_4 = \deg x_5 = 2; \quad \deg d = -2;$
- 4)  $\deg x_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4, \quad \deg x_5 = 2; \quad \deg d = -\frac{3}{2}.$

## 9. THE DEFORMATIONS

For a (more or less) conventional theory, see [BLW, BLLS]; for the subtleties that arise over fields of positive characteristic, see [BGL1].<sup>12</sup>

9.1. **The tools to compute (co)homology.** There are not many general theorems which help one to calculate Lie algebra (co)homology. There are less than a half dozen general theorems plus the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, see [Fu]. Superization of these theorems is immediate.

The simplest and most powerful theorem states that

$$(172) \quad \text{“Any Lie superalgebra } \mathfrak{g} \text{ trivially acts on its own cohomology } H^i(\mathfrak{g}; M) \text{ and homology } H_i(\mathfrak{g}; M) \text{ for any } i.”$$

<sup>12</sup>For Lie **superalgebras**, there is a new type of (co)homology — the divided power one, depending on a shearing vector — introduced in [BGLL].

If  $p = 2$ , there are 2 types of (co)homology theories for Lie algebras: with the usual (alternating) cocycles and of new type: with non-alternating cocycles; accordingly, there are 6 types of (co)homology theories for Lie superalgebras with non-zero odd part, see [LZ]. See also [BGL1] with partial answers for  $p = 2$  and **open problems** for  $p = 3$ .

This theorem is particularly useful when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded and contains a grading operator. Most of the results on Lie algebra (co)homology are obtained by scrutinizing the “zero mode space” of the grading operator in the space of cycles of the (co)homology to compute.

Observe also that

$$(173) \quad \begin{aligned} H^*(\mathfrak{gl}(1)) &= \Lambda^*(c_1) \text{ for the element } c_1 := 1^* \in (\mathfrak{gl}(1))^*; \\ H^n(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) &\simeq \bigoplus_{a+b=n} H^a(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes H^b(\mathfrak{g}_2), \\ H^n(\mathfrak{g}; M_1 \oplus M_2) &\simeq H^n(\mathfrak{g}; M_1) \oplus H^n(\mathfrak{g}; M_2). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra, then  $H^n(\mathfrak{g}; M) = 0$  for any finite-dimensional irreducible module  $M$  and any  $n$ ; the cohomology  $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$  with coefficients in the trivial module, are also known. The published proofs known to us reduce the computation to compact forms of the complex Lie groups with the given Lie algebra, but using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and induction on rank one can easily obtain the answer algebraically; the base of induction being  $\mathfrak{gl}(1)$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ; for them, the computations can be easily performed by hand.

**9.1.1. (Co)homology with coefficients in the (co)induced modules.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie superalgebra, let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be its subalgebra, let  $M$  be an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module. We construct the induced and coinduced  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules from  $M$  by setting

$$(174) \quad \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h})} M, \quad \text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M) := \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M).$$

Clearly,  $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$  and  $\text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

For any  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module  $M$ , we have ([Fu])

$$(175) \quad H^q(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M)) \simeq H^q(\mathfrak{h}; M), \quad H_q(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M)) \simeq H_q(\mathfrak{h}; M).$$

In particular, for any vectorial Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  considered with a Weisfeiler filtration, the  $L_0$ -module  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_1 M = 0$ , and the  $\mathcal{L}$ -module  $T(M)$  of tensor fields (see Subsection 2.10), we have

$$(176) \quad H^*(\mathcal{L}; T(M)) \stackrel{(175)}{\simeq} H^*(\mathcal{L}_0; M) \stackrel{[\text{Fu}]}{\simeq} H^*(L_0) \otimes (H^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes M)^{L_0},$$

where  $N^{L_0}$  denotes the space of  $L_0$ -invariants of the  $L_0$ -module  $N$ .

For the proof of the second isomorphism in (176), see Theorem 2.2.8 (due to Losik) in Fuchs' book [Fu]. It is given there for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{vect}(n|0)$  only, but it can be directly translated to any Lie (super)algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of vector fields. We use the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the algebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and its ideal  $\mathcal{L}_1$ ; according to [Fu],  $E_{\infty} = E_2$ .

Anyway, we always obtain an estimate of  $H^i(\mathcal{L}_0; M)$  from above by just looking at

$$(177) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &:= H^p(\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1; H^q(\mathcal{L}_1; M)) = H^p(L_0; (H^q(\mathcal{L}_1; M))^{L_0}) \text{ since } \underline{\mathcal{L}_1 M} = 0 \\ &= H^p(L_0) \otimes (H^q(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes M)^{L_0} \text{ for } p+q=i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the computation of  $H^2(\mathcal{L}; \text{Coind}_{L_0}^{\mathcal{L}}(M))$  is reduced to computation (description) of the following spaces:

- (1)  $H^p(L_0)$  for  $p = 0, 1, 2$ ,
- (2)  $L_0$ -modules  $H^q(\mathcal{L}_1)$  for  $q = 2, 1, 0$ , see [GLP],
- (3)  $L_0$ -invariants in the space  $H^q(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes M$  for  $q = 2, 1, 0$ .

Computing cohomology of Lie **superalgebras**  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a more involved business than computing cohomology of Lie algebras, even if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, because (except for  $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$  whose properties startlingly resemble those of  $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ , as was first observed by Scheunert and expounded in [DLZ]) there are many nontrivial irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $M$  for which  $H^n(\mathfrak{g}; M) \neq 0$  for various



values of  $n$ . Therefore, if possible, we have to reduce the computation to studying cohomology of the reductive part of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ .

**9.2. Cohomology of finite-dimensional classical Lie superalgebras.** For completeness and references, we recall the results of calculation of the cohomology of the finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras and their “close” relatives. The known results with trivial coefficients are announced in [FuLe] (for proofs in certain cases, see [Fu] and [Kz]; for a summary with corrections of earlier claims, see [BoKN]). Nothing is known about cohomology with nontrivial coefficients, except for the case where the module of coefficients is “typical” and  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (in which case the arguments from Lie algebra theory are applicable).

$$\begin{aligned}
(178) \quad H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)) &\simeq \begin{cases} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}(m)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}], & \text{if } m \geq n, \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2n+1}], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[h_2], \quad \text{where } h_2 \text{ represents the extension} \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n|n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{pgl}(n|n) \longrightarrow 0. \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)) &\simeq \begin{cases} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(m)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}], & \text{if } m \geq n, \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2n+1}], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[h_2] \text{ for } n > 2, \text{ where } h_2 \text{ represents the extension} \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n|n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{psl}(n|n) \longrightarrow 0. \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)) &\simeq \mathbb{C}[y_2, h_2, x_2, \xi_3]/(x_2 y_2 - h_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the odd cocycle  $\xi_3$  in the bottom line of (178) was missed in the original calculations of [FuLe] and the answer was rectified when, at our request, A. Shapovalov used Grozman’s *SuperLie* ([Gr]), see also [Kor]. The 2-cocycles representing the central extensions in the 1st line of (263) are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{cases} y_2 : & \text{tr } C_1 C_2, \\ h_2 : & \text{tr}(B_1 C_2 + C_1 B_2), \\ x_2 : & \text{tr } B_1 B_2. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the *degrees* of the cocycles are:

$$\deg y_2 = -2, \quad \deg h_2 = 0, \quad \deg x_2 = 2,$$

and therefore they are precisely the weights of the basis elements of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ .

Having defined an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -structure on the space of these cocycles, we cook from it, together with  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ , the superalgebra  $\mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)$  for  $a = 0$ , see eq. (265) and [BGLL1]. The other results of [FuLe] we might need are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(179) \quad H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(1|1)) &\simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \eta_1]/(\xi_1 \eta_1). \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{pe}(n)) &\simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \xi_5, \dots, \xi_{4k+1 \leq 2n+1}; \xi_{2n-1}] \text{ for } n > 1. \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{spe}(2n+3)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{pe}(2n+3)) \text{ for } n \geq 0. \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{spe}(2n+4)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{pe}(2n+4)) \otimes \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}[x_{n+2}], & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{K}[\xi_{n+2}], & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \text{ for } n \geq 0. \\
H^\bullet(\mathfrak{spe}(2)) &\simeq \mathbb{K}[x_1, y_2]/(x_1^2 - y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, only  $\mathfrak{spc}(4)$  has a non-trivial central extension, see Subsection 2.11 and [BGLL1].

$$(180) \quad H^\bullet(\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)) \simeq \begin{cases} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{o}(m)), & \text{for } m > 2n, \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For cohomology of series  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its relatives, see [BoKN]; we will not need it in this paper.

The cohomology of exceptional simple Lie superalgebras with trivial coefficients are computed by a remarkably ingenious method due to Gruson [Gr1]–[Gr3]:

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{ag}(2)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}(2)), \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{ab}(3)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{o}(7)), \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)) &\simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{o}(4)) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)). \end{aligned}$$

Gruson's method has, however, limited applicability (at least, at the moment): sometimes we need various *nontrivial* coefficients in which case Gruson's method does not work. To calculate (co)homology of the exceptional superalgebras by hands is hardly possible, but fortunately, for every given algebra and module, we have Grozman's *SuperLie* code package, see [Gr].

**9.3. Preliminary calculations.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie superalgebra and let

$$(181) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C \xrightarrow{\partial_1} B \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } p(\partial_0) = \bar{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 \text{ is either even or odd,}$$

be a short exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Let  $d$  be the differential in the standard cochain complex of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , cf. [Fu].

Consider the following long cohomology sequence induced by the sequence (181):

$$(182) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} H^i(\mathfrak{g}; A) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} H^i(\mathfrak{g}; C) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} H^i(\mathfrak{g}; B) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{i+1}(\mathfrak{g}; A) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \dots$$

where the  $\partial_i$  are the differentials induced by the namesake differentials in (181), and  $\partial = d \circ \partial_1^{-1}$ .

Since  $\partial_0$  and  $\partial_1$  commute with  $d$ , the sequence (181) is well-defined and the same arguments as for Lie algebras ([Fu]) demonstrate that the long cohomology sequence (182) induced by (181) is exact ([LPS]).

Let  $\mathbb{1}$  be the 1-dimensional trivial  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module and let  $\mathbb{1}[k]$  be the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module trivial on the semi-simple part while the distinguished central element acts on it by the scalar  $k$ . Then,

$$(183) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{vect}(m|n) &= \begin{cases} T(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}) & \text{as } \mathbf{vect}(m|n)\text{-module,} \\ T(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)}) & \text{as } \mathbf{svect}(m|n)\text{-module;} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n) &= \begin{cases} T(\mathbb{1}[-2]) & \text{as } \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)\text{-module,} \\ T(\mathbb{1}) & \text{as } \mathfrak{po}(2m|n)\text{-module;} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{m}(n) &= T(\Pi(\mathbb{1}[-2])) \text{ as } \mathfrak{m}(n)\text{- and } \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n)\text{-module;} \\ \mathfrak{po}(2m|n) &= T(\mathbb{1}) \text{ as an } \mathfrak{h}(2m|n)\text{-module;} \\ \mathfrak{b}(n) &= T(\Pi(\mathbb{1})) \text{ as a } \mathfrak{le}(n)\text{-, } \mathfrak{sl}(n)\text{- and } \mathfrak{sl}'(n)\text{-modules.} \end{aligned}$$

We also denote by  $\mathcal{F} := T(\mathbb{1}) = T(\vec{0})$  the space of functions.

The isomorphism (176) yields

$$(184) \quad H^2(\mathcal{L}; T(M)) = H^2(L_0) \bigoplus (H^1(L_0) \otimes (H^1(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes M)^{L_0}) \bigoplus (H^0(L_0) \otimes (H^2(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes M)^{L_0}).$$

Denote for brevity:  $\mathfrak{v} := \mathbf{vect}(m|n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} := \mathbf{svect}(m|n)$ . Thus, we see that

$$(185) \quad H^i(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n); \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)}) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } (m|n) \neq (1|1);$$

$$(186) \quad H^i(\mathfrak{svect}(m|n); \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

So, from Subsection 9.1, the above formulas (183)—(186), and Section 9.2 we get (for  $(m|n)$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}(2m|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)$  are simple)

$$(187) \quad H^i(\mathfrak{h}(2m|n); \mathfrak{po}(2m|n)) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{osp}(n|2m); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}(n|2m)}) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$(188) \quad H^2(\mathfrak{le}(n); \mathfrak{b}(n)) \simeq H^2(\mathfrak{pe}(n); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}(n)}) = 0 \text{ for } n > 1;$$

$$(189) \quad H^i(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathfrak{sb}(n)) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{spe}(n); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{spe}(n)}) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } n > 1;$$

$$(190) \quad H^2(\mathfrak{po}(2m|n); \mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)) \simeq H^2(\mathfrak{osp}(n|2m); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}(n|2m)}) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2;$$

$$(191) \quad H^i(\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n); \mathfrak{m}(n)) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n)}) = 0 \text{ for } n > 1 \text{ and } i = 1, 2.$$

Recall that for any (finite-dimensional) Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and any irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$ , we have

$$(192) \quad H^0(\mathfrak{g}; M) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M \text{ is non-trivial,} \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall also that for any simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(193) \quad H^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}, \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^* = 0.$$

Now, let us apply the above preliminary calculations and Subsection 9.2.

**9.4. Theorem** (Rigidity of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras). *The simple infinite-dimensional serial vectorial Lie superalgebras are rigid, except for  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}(2m|n)$  for  $(m|n) \neq (1|2)$  with  $H^2(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{le} := \mathfrak{le}(n)$  for  $n \neq 2$  with  $H^2(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{le}) \simeq \Pi\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n)$  whose deformations, together with those of  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  and  $\mathfrak{le}(2)$ , are listed in Theorem 2.12.1 and Section 10. The exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras have no filtered deformations, see [GLS2].*

It is instructive to compare the above theorem with the description of deformations of Lie superalgebras and their desuperisations over fields of characteristic 2, see [BGLLS].

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vect}(m|n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{k}(2m+1|n)$ , or  $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ . In these cases, we deduce from eq. (184) with the help of [GLP], Subsection 9.2, and [OV, Table 5] that  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ . Shmelev [Sm2] (resp., Kotchetkov [Ko1]) had already proved that  $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ ) are rigid by painstaking calculations (repeated application of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence) at the time the results of [GLP] were not obtained yet.

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{svect}(m|n)$ . Recall the abbreviations  $\mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{vect}(m|n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{svect}(m|n)$ .

1°:  $m \neq 0, 1$ . The short exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{svect}(m|n)$ -modules

$$(194) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{v} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the long exact sequence

$$(195) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Note that, for any  $(m|n) \neq (1|1)$ ,  $m+n > 2$ , we have

$$(196) \quad \begin{aligned} H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) &= H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)) = 0; \\ H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) &= H^2(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This and (186) imply that  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) = 0$ .

2°:  $m = 0$ . In this case (considered in [Ld]) the divergence is not a surjective mapping onto  $\mathcal{F}$ , its image is the space  $\text{Vol}_0(0|n)$  of functions with integral 0:

$$(197) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{v} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Vol}_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the associated long exact sequence

$$(198) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

we have  $H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) = 0$  and  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{v}) = 0$  by (196), so  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}; \mathfrak{s}) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0)$ . To compute it, consider the short exact sequence

$$(199) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Vol}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

which gives rise to the long exact sequence

$$(200) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and since

$$H^0(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0) \simeq \mathbb{C}, \quad H^0(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}; \quad H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) = 0,$$

it follows that  $H^1(\mathfrak{s}; \text{Vol}_0) \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . Since we already know one global deformation, there are no other deformations.

3°:  $m = 1$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)$  for  $n > 1$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}(1|n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \partial_t \longrightarrow 0$$

we derive the exact sequence (here  $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{svect}'(1|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{svect}(1|n)$ )

$$(201) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}') \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}') \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}') \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{s}') \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^1(\mathfrak{s}') = 0$  by (193) and  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}') = 0$ , see Subsection 12.3, we have  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}') \simeq H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s})$ . To compute  $H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s})$  we use the exact sequence (197) of  $\mathfrak{s}'$ -modules leading to the exact sequence

$$(202) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{s}'; \text{Vol}_0) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{v}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $H^0(\mathfrak{s}'; \text{Vol}_0) = 0$  by (192) and  $H^1(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{v}) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}) = 0$ , it follows that

$$H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}') \simeq H^2(\mathfrak{s}'; \mathfrak{s}) = 0.$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n).$$

1°:  $\lambda$  generic. From the short exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ -modules

$$(203) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}(n) \xrightarrow{\text{div}_\lambda} T(\vec{0}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{div}_\lambda$  is given by formula (110), we derive the long exact sequence

$$(204) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{m}(n)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); T(\vec{0})) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{m}(n)) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for  $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we have

$$(205) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); T(\vec{0})) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{sp}_{a,b}(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

Together with (191), *i.e.*, the fact that  $H^1(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{m}(n)) = 0$  and  $H^2(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{m}(n)) = 0$ , this implies that  $H^2(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n); \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

2°: the exceptional  $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$  (and extra exceptional values  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$  if  $n = 2$ ), see §10.

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(2n|m)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{po} := \mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(2n|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}(2n|m)$ , and  $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$ .

1°: Generic case:  $(n|m) \neq (1, 2)$ ,  $(n|m) \neq (0, m)$  for  $m > 4$ . From the short exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$(206) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) \xrightarrow{\partial_t} \mathcal{F}(2n|m) \longrightarrow 0$$

we derive the long exact sequence

$$(207) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{po}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{k}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{po}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{po}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{k}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{po}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

From (190) we have  $H^i(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{k}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $H^1(\mathfrak{po}; \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{po}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . Hence,  $H^2(\mathfrak{po}; \mathfrak{po}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

**9.4.1. Remark** (Different cohomology for different function spaces). The above is the shortest known to us proof of the uniqueness of quantization of the Poisson Lie superalgebra realized on the space of polynomials or formal series. Warning: for other types of functions, say for Laurent polynomials or functions with compact support, the answer is different, cf. [KT1, KT].

Let  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}(2n|m)$  and  $\mathfrak{po} := \mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ . From the exact sequence

$$(208) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow 0$$

we derive the long exact sequence

$$(209) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{po}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{po}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{po}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow H^4(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega$  be the form preserved by  $\mathfrak{h}$ , let  $k = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$  and

$$1_{n+k} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+k) = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \subset \mathfrak{h}_0 = S^2(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus S^2(V^*) \text{ for } m = 2k$$

and similarly for  $m = 2k + 1$ . Note that  $H^3(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[\omega_0^* \wedge 1_{n+k}^*]$ , where

$$\omega_0^* \wedge 1_{n+k}^* = \left( \sum p_i^* \wedge q_i^* + \sum \xi_j^* \wedge \eta_j^* \right) \wedge \left( \sum p_i q_i + \sum \xi_j \eta_j \right)^*.$$

Then, from (187) we have  $H^i(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{po}) = H^i(\mathfrak{osp}; \text{id}_{\mathfrak{osp}}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , so  $H^2(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . Clearly, this deformation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the restriction of the quantization of  $\mathfrak{po}$ .

2°:  $(2n|m) = (2|2)$ . See Section 10 where this case is considered separately: the above argument fails, because  $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(0|2)$ :

$$(210) \quad H^3(\mathfrak{h}(2|2); \mathfrak{po}(2|2)) \simeq H^3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)) = H^3(\mathfrak{vect}(0|2)) \simeq H^3(\mathfrak{gl}(2)) = \mathbb{C}.$$

3°:  $(n|m) = (0|m)$  for  $m > 4$ , see [Tyut]. There is only one class of deformations. Clearly, this deformation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the restriction of the quantization of  $\mathfrak{po}$ .

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{le}(n)$ ,  $n > 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{b}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{le} := \mathfrak{le}(n)$ . From the exact sequence

$$(211) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{le}(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

we derive (similarly to the case of Hamiltonian Lie (super)algebras) the long exact sequence

$$(212) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow H^4(\mathfrak{le}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

From (188) we have  $H^i(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{b}) = H^i(\mathfrak{pe}; \text{id}_{\mathfrak{pe}}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $H^3(\mathfrak{le}) \simeq \Pi\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[\omega_1^* \wedge 1_n^*]$  by the same arguments as in the case where  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$ , it follows that  $H^2(\mathfrak{le}; \mathfrak{le}) \simeq \Pi\mathbb{C}$ . Clearly, this deformation of  $\mathfrak{le}$  is the restriction of the quantization of  $\mathfrak{b}$ , see Subsection 2.12.

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}'(n)$ ,  $n > 2$ . The answer is checked via computer for  $n$  small:  $\mathfrak{sl}'(n)$  is rigid.  $\square$

**9.5. Conjecture** (Rigidity of exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras). *The exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras are rigid.*

### 10. DEFORMATIONS OF $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n)$ (PROOF OF CLAIMS IN [LSh3])

In the journal where [LSh3] was published, the technical mathematical details were out of place. Let us give them here. Recall that  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n) = (\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda), \mathbf{vect}(0|n))_*$  and the  $\mathbf{vect}(0|n)$ -action (47) on the space of  $\lambda$ -densities. For  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , the action preserves the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$(a\sqrt{\text{vol}}, b\sqrt{\text{vol}}) = \int ab \text{ vol} = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int ba \text{ vol}.$$

Hence, on  $\Pi(\sqrt{\text{Vol}})$ , the form

$$(\Pi(a\sqrt{\text{vol}}), \Pi(b\sqrt{\text{vol}})) = \int ab \text{ vol}$$

is non-degenerate and **anti**-symmetric.

10.1. **If  $n = 2$ .** Due to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2) \simeq \mathbf{vect}(0|2)$ , we deduce that  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2; 2) \simeq \mathfrak{h}(2|2)$ . The elements of  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  are given in terms of generating functions as  $H_f$ , and our first step in the description of the main deformation of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$  is a realization of the elements of  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$  as deformations of the fields  $H_f$ , *i.e.*, we construct a map

$$f \longmapsto H_f + \hbar(\lambda)W_f \in \mathfrak{b}_\lambda$$

such that  $\hbar(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda = \text{Span}(H_f + \hbar(\lambda)W_f \mid f \in \mathcal{F})$ .

Here is the description of the map  $\mathbf{vect}(0|2) \longrightarrow \mathbf{vect}(2|2)_0 \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(2|2)$ . Let a basis in  $\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda)$  be

$$(213) \quad \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \alpha \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \beta \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \alpha\beta \text{vol}^\lambda,$$

*i.e.*, as space,  $\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda)$  is isomorphic to the Grassmann algebra generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Let  $\mathbf{vect}(2|2) = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi, \eta]$ . Let us identify  $\Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda)$  with  $\mathbf{vect}(2|2)_{-1}$  by setting

$$(214) \quad \partial_\xi := \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \partial_p := \alpha \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \partial_q := \beta \text{vol}^\lambda, \quad \partial_\eta := \alpha\beta \text{vol}^\lambda.$$

Here is the representation of  $\mathbf{vect}(0|2) = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{C}[\alpha, \beta]$  in terms of  $p, q, \xi, \eta$ :

$$(215) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} &= -p \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} &= -q \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} &= -q \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} &= -p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \\ \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} &= \lambda \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + (\lambda - 1)p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \lambda q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (\lambda - 1)\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\ \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} &= \lambda \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \lambda p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + (\lambda - 1)q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (\lambda - 1)\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\ \alpha\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} &= \lambda \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (\lambda - 1)p \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\ \alpha\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} &= -\lambda \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - (\lambda - 1)q \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the explicit basis of  $(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda)_0$ , we see that the *linear* operator

$$D = P \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + X \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \in \mathbf{vect}(2|2)$$

belongs to  $(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda)_0$  if it satisfies the following system of differential equations (here  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} + h$ )

$$(216) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad (1) \\ \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad (2) \\ \frac{\partial X}{\partial p} + (-1)^{p(D)} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad (3) \\ \frac{\partial X}{\partial q} - (-1)^{p(D)} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad (4) \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} = (-1)^{p(D)} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \eta} \right) \quad (5) \\ (1 + 2h) \frac{\partial Y}{\partial p} = (2h - 1) (-1)^{p(D)} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi} \quad (6) \\ (1 + 2h) \frac{\partial Y}{\partial q} = -(2h - 1) (-1)^{p(D)} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi} \quad (7) \\ (1 + 2h) \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \right) = 4h (-1)^{p(D)} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi} \quad (8) \end{array} \right.$$

By dimensions considerations, any *linear* operator  $D$  satisfying conditions (1)–(8) of (216) belongs to  $(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda)_0$ . By the definition of the Cartan prolongation, *any*  $D$  satisfying conditions (1)–(8) belongs to  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$ .

The explicit solution of the system (1)–(8) of (216) (here we omit a page of obvious transformations) is given in terms of one function  $f \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi, \eta]$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} + P_0(p, q), \\ Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}, \\ X = -(-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta}, \\ Y = (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{2h-1}{1+2h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}, \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$(217) \quad \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial p} = -\frac{4h}{1+2h} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}.$$

The relation (217) determines  $P_0$  up to a term that depends only on  $q$ . It remains to observe that adding to  $f$  such a term does not affect the values of  $Q, X, Y$ . Therefore, we can set

$$(218) \quad P_0 = -\frac{4h}{1+2h} \int_0^p \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi \eta} dp = \frac{4h}{1+2h} \int_0^p \frac{\partial^2 f}{\eta \xi} dp.$$

Now let

$$\hbar = \frac{4h}{1+2h} = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0 \iff \lambda \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}.$$

To summarize:

**10.1.1. Theorem** (Deformation of the Buttin bracket). *Set  $\hbar(\lambda) = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda}$ .*

*Then,  $D \in \mathbf{vect}(2|2)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$  if and only if*

$$(219) \quad D = D_f := H_f + \hbar(\lambda) W_f, \text{ where } W_f = \left( \int_0^p \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} dp \right) \partial_p + (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \partial_\eta$$

for some  $f \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi, \eta]$ . Then,

$$(220) \quad [D_f, D_g] = D_{\{f, g\}} + \hbar(\lambda) D_{c(f, g)} \text{ for any } f, g \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \xi, \eta],$$

where

$$(221) \quad c(f, g) = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \int_0^p \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} dp + \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \int_0^p \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} dp + \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} \right) \Big|_{p=0, q=0} + \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \int_{(0,0)}^{(p,q)} \left( (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \right) dp + \int_{(0,0)}^{(0,q)} \left( (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q} \right) \Big|_{p=0} dq \right).$$

**10.1.2.  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  has more deformations than  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2)$ .** Note that the formula

$$[H_f, H_g]_{new} = H_{\{f, g\}_{P.B.}} + \hbar(\lambda) \cdot H_{c(f, g)}$$

defines a deformation of  $\mathfrak{h}(2|2)$  (which is the main deformation of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(2)$ ), but (and this agrees with an unpublished preprint by Batalin and Tyutin) the formula

$$(222) \quad \{f, g\}_{new} = \{f, g\}_{P.B.} + \hbar(\lambda) \cdot c(f, g)$$

does not define a deformation of  $\mathfrak{po}(2|2)$  because the expression (222) does not satisfy the Jacobi identity.

**10.2. Deformations of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n)$ .** Clearly,  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is isomorphic to  $\Pi(\sqrt{\text{Vol}})$ . Therefore, there is an embedding

$$(223) \quad \mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n) \subset \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}(2^{2k-1}|2^{2k-1}), & \text{for } n = 2k, \\ \mathfrak{le} := \mathfrak{le}(2^{2k}), & \text{for } n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

It is tempting to determine quantizations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in addition to those considered by Kotchetkov, as the composition of the embedding (223) and subsequent quantization.

For  $n = 2$ , when (223) is not just an embedding, but an isomorphism, this idea certainly works and we get the following extra quantization of the antibracket described in Theorem 2.12.1: we first deform the antibracket to the point  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  along the main deformation, and then quantize it as the quotient of the Poisson superalgebra. Let us show that this scheme fails to give new algebras for  $n = 2k > 2$ .

**10.2.1. Theorem** (Deformations of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n)$ ). *For  $n = 2k > 2$ , the image of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n)$  under the embedding (223) is rigid under the quantization of the ambient.*

*Proof.* Consider the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(n; n) \subset \mathfrak{m}(n; n)$ . Recall that, in this grading, the depth of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal to 1, and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\sqrt{\text{Vol}}), \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbf{vect}(0|n).$$

Consider the case of embedding into  $\mathfrak{h}$ , see (223); the case of embedding into  $\mathfrak{le}$  is similar. Denote the indeterminates corresponding to  $\Pi(\Lambda \cdot \sqrt{\text{vol}}) \simeq \Pi(\oplus \Lambda^i)$  as follows:

- the ones corresponding to  $i \leq k - 2$  by  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_N)$ ,
- the ones corresponding to  $i = k - 1$  by  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_M)$ ,
- the ones corresponding to  $i = k$  by  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_L)$ ,
- the ones corresponding to  $i = k + 1$  by  $P = (P_1, \dots, P_M)$ ,
- the ones corresponding to  $i > k + 1$  by  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ .

The symplectic form determines the pairing (of the differentials) of  $p$  with  $q$ ,  $P$  with  $Q$  and the  $x$ 's with themselves splitting them into either two dual groups for  $k = 2l$  (call them  $y$  and  $z$ ), or into three groups (two dual ones and one, consisting of one element  $x_0$ , self-dual) for  $k = 2l + 1$ .



If all types of indeterminates are present, then  $n \geq 4$ .

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} V_i$  be the projection of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the space of vector fields generated by polynomials in  $p, P, x, Q, q$ . Of course,  $V$  must not be a subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , but it is invariant with respect to the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \frac{\partial}{\partial P}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial Q}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$  because  $V_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ .

Consider  $V_0$ . The  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is such that  $\Lambda^s$  goes into  $\bigoplus_{i \geq s-1} \Lambda^i$ , so we deduce the following 1)–6):

1) The indeterminates  $(p, P)$  can go only into  $(p, P, x)$ , but never into  $(Q, q)$ . In turn, this means that  $V_0$  does not contain monomials of the form  $q_i q_j$ ,  $q_i Q_j$  and  $Q_i Q_j$ .

Therefore, the subspace  $V_0$  contains only the monomials of degree  $\leq 1$  in  $q, Q$ .

2) The indeterminates  $x$  can go only into  $(p, P)$ , into  $x$ , and  $Q$ , but never into  $q$ . In turn, this means that  $V_0$  can contain monomials of the form  $x_i x_j$  and  $x_i Q_j$  but not  $x_i q_j$ .

Consider a copy  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading given by

$$\deg \xi_i = \deg \tau = 1, \quad \deg u_i = 0 \text{ for the remaining indeterminates } u_i.$$

Consider the bigrading of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{i, j \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_{i, j}, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_{i, j} = \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_j.$$

The monomials of the form  $x_i x_j$  enter the decomposition of elements from  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$ , whereas the monomials of the form  $x_i Q_j$  enter the decomposition of elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,-1}$ .

Does  $V_0$  contain degree-3 monomials in  $x$ ? If yes, they correspond to the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,t}$ , where  $k-1+t=0$ , *i.e.*,  $k=1-t$ , and hence  $k \leq 2$  since  $t \geq -1$ .

Therefore, if  $k > 2$ , and hence  $n > 4$ , then the subspace  $V_0$  contains only the monomials of degree  $\leq 2$  in  $x$ .

3) Similarly, for  $k > 1$ , and hence  $n > 2$ , the subspace  $V_1$  does not contain monomials of the form  $x_i x_j Q_s$ .

Therefore, if  $n > 4$ , then the monomials belonging to  $V$  can be only of degree  $\leq 2$  in  $x, Q, q$ , and monomials of degree 2 in  $x, Q, q$  should be of the form  $f(p, P)x_i x_j$  or  $f(p, P)x_i Q_j$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} V \subset \mathbb{C}[p, P] \bigoplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[p, P]x_i) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[p, P]Q_i) \bigoplus \\ (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[p, P]q_i) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[p, P]x_i x_j) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[p, P]x_i Q_j). \end{aligned}$$

Denote the summands above by  $W, W_x, W_Q, W_q, W_{xx}$  and  $W_{xQ}$ , respectively.

Consider the quantization of  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &\longmapsto p_i, & P_i &\longmapsto P_i, & y_i &\longmapsto y_i, \\ q_i &\longmapsto -(-1)^{p(p_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, & Q_i &\longmapsto -(-1)^{p(P_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_i}, & z_i &\longmapsto -(-1)^{p(y_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $W$  goes into operators of multiplication by functions, whereas  $W_x, W_Q$  and  $W_q$  turn into vector fields. Therefore, the commutators of the elements of  $W \oplus W_x \oplus W_Q \oplus W_q$  do not change under the quantization.

Since the space  $W \oplus W_Q \oplus W_q$  does not depend on  $x$ , the commutators of its elements with the elements of  $W_{xx} \oplus W_{xQ}$  do not change, either.

Thus, all deformations (“quantizations”) that change the commutators concern only the brackets of  $W_{xQ}$  with elements of  $W_x \oplus W_{xx} \oplus W_{xQ}$ .

4) Since the monomials  $x_i x_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$  and  $Q_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1, k-2}$  and since  $\{P_s x_i x_j, Q_s\}_{P.b.} = \pm x_i x_j$ , it follows that the monomials  $P_s x_i x_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,l}$ , where  $l+k-2=0$  and  $l \geq -1$ . Hence,  $k=2-l$  and for  $k > 3$  (*i.e.*, for  $n > 6$ ) the subspace  $V_1$  does not contain elements of the form  $P_s x_i x_j$ , and hence  $W_{xx}$  is of the form  $\bigoplus_{i, j} \mathbb{C}[p]x_i x_j$ .

5) Since the monomials  $x_i Q_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,-1}$  and since  $\{P_s x_i Q_j, Q_s\}_{P.b.} = \pm x_i Q_j$ , it follows that the monomials  $P_s x_i Q_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,l}$ , where  $l + k - 2 = -1$  and  $l \geq -1$ . Hence,  $k = 1 - l$  and for  $k > 2$  (*i.e.*, for  $n > 4$ ) the subspace  $V_1$  does not contain elements of the form  $P_s x_i Q_j$ , and hence  $W_{xQ}$  is of the form  $\bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{C}[p] x_i Q_j$ .

6) Since the monomials  $x_i P_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{0,1}$  and since  $\{P_s P_i x_j, Q_s\}_{P.b.} = \pm P_i x_j$ , it follows that the monomials  $P_s P_i x_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,l}$ , where  $l + k - 2 = 1$  and  $l \geq -1$ . Hence,  $k = 3 - l$  and for  $k > 4$  (*i.e.*, for  $n > 8$ ) the subspace  $V_1$  does not contain elements of the form  $P_s P_i x_j$ , and hence  $W_x$  is of the form  $\bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}[p] \bigoplus (\bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[p] P_j) x_i)$ .

Thus, for  $n > 8$ , the space  $W_x \oplus W_{xx} \oplus W_{xQ}$  has only elements of degree  $\leq 2$  in  $P, x, Q$ :

$$W_x \oplus W_{xx} \oplus W_{xQ} = \mathbb{C}[p] \otimes \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C} x_i \bigoplus_{I,j} \mathbb{C} P_j x_i \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C} x_i x_j \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C} x_i Q_j \right).$$

The quantization preserves the brackets of embedded vector fields.

Let  $n = 8$ . Then,  $W_{xx} = \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{C}[p] x_i x_j$  and  $W_{xQ} = \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{C}[p] x_i Q_j$ , so commutators of the elements of these spaces do not change under quantization.

Consider brackets of elements of  $W_{xQ}$  and  $W_x$ . The effect of quantization can appear only in brackets of order-2 differential operators with functions, but in the case under consideration the coefficients of order-2 differential operators are constant with respect to  $x, P, S$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial P_k}, f(P) x_j \right] &= (-1)^{p(x_j)} \frac{\partial f(P)}{\partial P_k} x_j \partial_{x_j} + f(P) \partial_{P_k} + \frac{\partial f(P)}{\partial P_k} = \\ \{x_j Q_k, \widehat{f(P) x_j}\} &\stackrel{\text{under quantization}}{=} \\ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left( (-1)^{p(x_j)} \frac{\partial f(P)}{\partial P_k} x_j \partial_{x_j} + f(P) \partial_{P_k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, it is important that  $W_{xQ}$  does not depend on  $P$ , *i.e.*, the coefficients of order-2 differential operators are constant with respect to  $x, P$  and **the degree of functions is immaterial**, so for  $n = 6$  the brackets of elements of  $W_{xQ}$  and  $W_x$  do not change under quantization, either.

Let now  $n = 6$ . Then,

$$W_{xx} = \left\{ \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{C}[p, P] x_i x_j \mid \text{the degree with respect to } P \text{ is } \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Indeed, the elements  $P_s x_i x_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{1,-1}$  and  $Q_t \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1,1}$ , and since  $\{P_t P_s x_i x_j, Q_t\}_{P.b.} = \pm P_s x_i x_j$ , it follows that the elements  $P_t P_s x_i x_j$  go to  $\mathfrak{g}_{2,l}$ , where  $l + 1 = -1$ , which is a contradiction.

The elements of the form  $\mathbb{C}[p] x_i x_j$  and  $\mathbb{C}[p] x_i x_j$  lie in  $\widetilde{V}_{-1}$ .  $\square$

## 11. THE EXCEPTIONAL SIMPLE VECTORIAL LIE SUPERALGEBRA $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$

In this section, already used in [BGLLS], we illustrate the algorithm presented in detail in [Shch] and rectify one formula from [CCK]. This algorithm allows one to describe vectorial Lie superalgebras by means of differential equations. In [Sh5, Sh14] the algorithm was used to describe the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras of depth 1.

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  has three realizations as a transitive and primitive (*i.e.*, not preserving invariant foliations on the space it is realized by means of vector fields) vectorial Lie superalgebra. Speaking algebraically, the requirement to be a transitive and primitive vectorial Lie superalgebra is formulated as having a W-filtration, so  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  has three such filtrations.

Two of these W-filtrations are of depth 2, and one is of depth 3. In this section, we consider the latter case (the grading  $K$ ), *i.e.*, we explicitly solve the differential equations that single out

our Lie superalgebra. We thus explicitly obtain the expressions for the elements of  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)$ . In particular, we verify and rectify the formulas for the brackets given in [CCK].

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  first appeared in [Sh3]. The construction was performed in two steps:

1) Take  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$  with the natural action  $T^{1/2}$  on the space  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) \otimes \text{vol}^{1/2})$  of semidensities with the inverted parity, see formula (124). The Cartan prolong of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$  forms the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3)$ .

2) Let us describe an embedding of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  we wish to define into  $\mathfrak{m}(4)$ . We work in terms of generating functions and sometimes, by abuse of notation, write  $f$  instead of  $M_f$ .

The exceptional simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  is the complete prolong in  $\mathfrak{m}(4)$  of the trivial central extension of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3)$  considered as the Lie subsuperalgebra  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3)) \subset \mathfrak{m}(4)$ , the central element  $\tau \in \mathfrak{m}$  being added to the 0th component of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3)$ .

Formally speaking,  $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$  is defined. To explicitly describe its elements, let us work a bit more. To avoid confusion, we assume that the elements of  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))$  are generated by functions of  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, u_1, u_2, u_3$ , whereas the elements of  $\mathfrak{m}(4)$  are generated by functions of  $\xi_i$  and  $q_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\tau$ .

**Recapitulation from [Shch].** Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the nilpotent Lie superalgebra; we wish realize  $\mathfrak{n}$  by vector fields, *i.e.*, embed  $\mathfrak{n}$  into  $\mathfrak{vect}(\dim \mathfrak{n})$  so that the image of  $\mathfrak{n}$  is spanned by all partial derivatives modulo the vector fields that vanish at the origin. Such an embedding determines a nonstandard grading of certain depth  $d$  on  $\mathfrak{vect}(\dim \mathfrak{n})$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{vect}(\dim \mathfrak{n})$  endowed with this nonstandard grading by  $\mathfrak{v} = \bigoplus_{-d \leq k} \mathfrak{v}_k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  be the image of  $\mathfrak{n}$  in  $\mathfrak{v}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  be spanned by the left-invariant vector fields  $X_i$  on the supergroup  $N$  whose Lie superalgebra is  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Let the  $Y_i$  be the right-invariant vector fields on the supergroup  $N$  that also span  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  and such that  $X_i(e) = Y_i(e)$ , where  $e$  is the unit of  $N$ . We have

$$M_{\xi_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}; \quad M_{q_i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau},$$

and the operators  $Y$  are (as follows from [Shch]) of the form:

$$Y_{q_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}; \quad Y_{\xi_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}.$$

According to [Shch], the equations for generating functions should be expressed in terms of the operators  $Y_{q_i}$  and  $\hat{Y}_{\xi_i} := Y_{\xi_i} \circ \text{Pty}$ , *i.e.*,  $\hat{Y}_{\xi_i}(f) = (-1)^{p(f)} Y_{\xi_i}(f)$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{mb}_- = \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))_- \simeq \mathfrak{m}(4)_-.$$

Here is the explicit correspondence between elements of degree  $-1$ :

$$(224) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \mathfrak{mb}_{-1} & \mathfrak{m}_{-1}(4) \\ \hline \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 & \xi_0 \\ \hline \eta_2 \eta_3, \eta_3 \eta_1, \eta_1 \eta_2 & q_1, q_2, q_3 \\ \hline \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 & \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \\ \hline 1 & q_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The 0th component of  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$  and its action on the  $(-1)$ st component is the same as on the space of semidensities. It is easy to see that the supertrace of any such operator is equal to 0. Thus, we get embeddings  $(\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3)))_0 \subset \mathfrak{spe}(4)$  and  $\mathfrak{mb} \subset \mathfrak{b}_{1,0}(4)$ .

Comparing the actions of various elements of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3;3)_0 \simeq \mathbf{vect}(0|3)$  and  $\mathbf{spe}(4) \subset \mathfrak{m}(4)_0$  we get the following identifications (here  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ , the group of even permutations):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &\longleftrightarrow -q_0 q_i + \xi_j \xi_k, & \eta_i(\eta_j \partial_j - \eta_k \partial_k) &\longleftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} q_i^2 - q_j q_k, \\ \eta_i \partial_i &\longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}(-q_0 \xi_0 - q_i \xi_i + q_j \xi_j + q_k \xi_k), & \eta_i(\sum_j \eta_j \partial_j) &\longleftrightarrow \xi_0 \xi_i, \\ \eta_i \partial_j &\longleftrightarrow -q_j \xi_i \text{ for } i \neq j, & \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \partial_i &\longleftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \xi_0 q_i. \\ \eta_j \eta_k \partial_i &\longleftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} q_i^2, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim \mathfrak{m}(4)_0 = 17|16$  and  $\dim \mathbf{mb}(4|5)_0 = 13|12$ , we need 4|4 equations to single out  $\mathbf{mb}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_0$ .

Note that we have a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$  induced by the identification  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1} \simeq \Pi(\Lambda(\eta))$ ; this grading induces a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  as well. Let  $\deg$  be the degree of a given operator with respect to this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading; let  $N$  be the number of even|odd equations; let  $i$  and  $j$  run over the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ; let the triple  $(ijk)$  be a permutation of  $(123)$ . We get

deg	$\mathfrak{m}_0$	$\mathbf{mb}_0$	$N$	equations
-3	$q_0^2$	—	0 1	$Y_{q_0}^2(f) = 0$
-2	$q_0 \xi_i$ ,	—	3 0	$Y_{q_0} \widehat{Y}_{\xi_i}(f) = 0$
-1	$q_0 q_i, \xi_i \xi_j$	$-q_0 q_i + \xi_j \xi_k$	0 3	$(Y_{q_0} Y_{q_i} + \widehat{Y}_{\xi_j} \widehat{Y}_{\xi_k})(f) = 0$
0	$q_i \xi_j$ $q_0 \xi_0$	$q_i \xi_j, i \neq j$ $-q_0 \xi_0 - q_i \xi_i + q_j \xi_j + q_k \xi_k$	1 0	$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} (Y_{q_i} \widehat{Y}_{\xi_i} + \widehat{Y}_{\xi_i} Y_{q_i})(f) = 0$
1	$\xi_i \xi_0, q_i q_j$	$\xi_i \xi_0, q_i q_j$	0	
2	$\xi_0 q_i$	$\xi_0 q_i$	0	
3	—	—	0	

The equations are in such a form that we can discard the hats over the operators  $Y_{\xi_i}$ . After that, we see that the functions that generate elements of the subalgebra  $\mathbf{mb}$  are solutions of the following system of 4|4 equations (here  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{q_0}^2(f) &= 0, & Y_{q_0} Y_{\xi_i}(f) &= 0, \\ (Y_{q_0} Y_{q_i} - Y_{\xi_j} Y_{\xi_k})(f) &= 0, & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} (Y_{q_i} Y_{\xi_i} + Y_{\xi_i} Y_{q_i})(f) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$(226) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0^2} - 2\xi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0,$$

$$(227) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0 \partial \xi_i} + \left( q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} + \xi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0,$$

$$(228) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0 \partial q_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} - \left( \xi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} - q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} + q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0,$$

$$(229) \quad \Delta f + E \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0.$$

The last equation means precisely that  $\mathbf{mb}$  lies inside of  $\mathfrak{b}_{1,0}(4)$ .

Set  $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ . Each of the equations (226)–(229) is of the form

$$D(f) = (D_0 + D_1\partial_\tau)(f) = 0,$$

where the operators  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  do not depend on  $\tau$ . On the space of functions of the form  $f = f_0 + \tau f_1$ , where  $f_0, f_1 \in \mathbb{C}[q, q_0, \xi, \xi_0]$ , each of these equations is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} D_0 f_1 = 0, \\ D_0 f_0 + D_1 f_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the solutions of the system (226)–(229) independent of  $\tau$  (they generate the image of  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))$  in  $\mathfrak{m}(4)$ ), as well as the coefficients of  $\tau$  in all the solutions of the system (226)–(229), are solutions of the following system (here  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ ):

$$(230) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0 \partial \xi_i} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_0 \partial q_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} = 0, \quad \Delta f = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first two groups of equations in (230) imply that

$$f = \varphi(q, \xi, \xi_0) + q_0 \varphi_1(q, \xi_0).$$

Differentiating the equations of the third group in (230) with respect to  $\xi_i$ , and taking into account the second group we get

$$\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2 \partial \xi_3} = 0.$$

Moreover, the third group of equations (230) implies that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k},$$

*i.e.*,

$$(231) \quad f = \varphi_0(q, \xi, \xi_0) - \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi_1) + q_0 \varphi_1(q, \xi_0), \quad \text{where } \deg_\xi \varphi_0 \leq 1.$$

Conversely, any function of the form (231) such that  $\Delta f = \Delta \varphi_0 + \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \xi_0} = 0$  is a solution of eq. (230), and hence of the system (226)–(229).

Explicit solutions are:

$$(232) \quad f(q), \quad f(q)\xi_0,$$

$$(233) \quad F_f = f - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta f + \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \Delta f), \quad \text{where } f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i,$$

$$(234) \quad \xi_0 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i, \quad \text{where } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial q_i} = 0,$$

$$(235) \quad q_0 f(q) - \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 f).$$

Now let us find the solutions for which the coefficient of  $\tau$  is of the form (232)–(235). Set

$$(236) \quad \Phi = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} q_i \xi_i.$$

The solutions of (232) are obviously given by

$$\xi_0 \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i + \frac{1}{3}(\tau - \Phi) \Delta f \right), \text{ where } f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i,$$

and

$$k q_0 \xi_0 f(q) - (k+1) \xi_0 \Delta(f \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) - \tau f, \text{ where } k = \deg f.$$

Combining this last solution with  $F_f$  from (233) we get a solution of the form

$$\tilde{F}_f = f + q_0 \xi_0 \Delta f + \frac{1}{2}(\tau - \Phi - q_0 \xi_0) \Delta f, \text{ where } f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i.$$

The functions  $F_f$  and  $\tilde{F}_f$  determine two embeddings of  $\mathbf{vect}(3|0)$  into  $\mathbf{mb}$ . From general considerations the embedded algebras should be obtained from one another by regradings of the ambient algebra.

Now, let us find solutions with coefficient of  $\tau$  of the form (233) with  $\Delta f = 0$ . Then,  $f$  can be represented as  $f = \Delta \tilde{f}$  for a function  $\tilde{f}$  of the form

$$\tilde{f} = \sum f^i(q) \xi_j \xi_k, \quad \text{where } (i, j, k) \in A_3.$$

Take

$$\hat{f} = q_0 \xi_0 \Delta \tilde{f} + \tau \Delta \tilde{f}.$$

Then,  $\hat{f}$  satisfies the system (226)–(227), and (228) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \hat{f}}{\partial q_0 \partial q_i} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{f}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} - \left( \xi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} - q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} + q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial \tau} = \\ & \xi_0 \frac{\partial \Delta \tilde{f}}{\partial q_i} - \xi_0 \frac{\partial \Delta \tilde{f}}{\partial q_i} + q_j \frac{\partial \Delta \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi_k} - q_k \frac{\partial \Delta \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi_j} = \\ & q_j \left( \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial q_j} - \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial q_i} \right) - q_k \left( \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial q_k} \right) = \\ & q_i \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial q_i} + q_j \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial q_j} + q_k \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial q_k} - q_i \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial q_i} - q_j \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial q_i} - q_k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial q_i} = \\ & E(f^i) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} (q_i f^i + q_j f^j + q_k f^k) + f^i = \\ & E(f^i) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( \left( \int_{\xi} \Phi \right) \cdot \tilde{f} \right) + f^i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is easy to fix up  $\hat{f}$  to get a solution:

$$F = \tilde{f} - E(\tilde{f}) + q_0 \left( \int_{\xi} \Phi \tilde{f} \right) + q_0 \xi_0 \Delta \tilde{f} + \tau \Delta \tilde{f}.$$

It is subject to a direct verification that  $F$  satisfies also (229).

We similarly see that, starting with  $\hat{f} = \tau \xi_0 \Delta f$ , where  $f = \sum f^i(q) \xi_j \xi_k$ , we arrive at the function

$$F_1 = -q_0 \xi_0 \left( \int_{\xi} \Phi f \right) + \xi_0 (E - 1)(f) + \tau \xi_0 \Delta f,$$

which satisfies the system (226)–(228). However,

$$\Delta F_1 + E \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \tau} = - \int_{\xi} (\Phi f).$$

Adding to  $F_1$  the function  $\varphi = \sum \varphi_i \xi_i$  such that  $\Delta\varphi = \int_{(\xi)}(\Phi f)$  we get a solution of the system (226)–(229) which, by using the obtained solutions, can be simplified to

$$F = \xi_0 \left( -\Delta \left( \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \left( \int_{\xi} \Phi f \right) \right) + (E - 1)(f) - \tau \Delta f \right).$$

So we have obtained a solution in which the coefficient of  $\tau$  is of the form (234).

Similarly, if we want to get a solution in which the coefficient of  $\tau$  is of the form (235), *i.e.*, equal to

$$q_0 \varphi(q) - \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi),$$

we take

$$F_1 = q_0^2 \xi_0 \varphi + \tau (q_0 \varphi(q) - \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi))$$

to satisfy (226), then pass to

$$F_2 = F_1 - q_0 \Phi \varphi - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi)$$

to satisfy (227), and, finally, we pass to

$$F = q_0(q_0 \xi_0 - \Phi) \varphi - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi) + \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 (E + 2) \varphi + \tau (q_0 \varphi(q) - \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \varphi))$$

to satisfy (228). Now, one can verify that condition (229) is satisfied.

Finally, let us obtain a solution in which the coefficient of  $\tau$  is an arbitrary function of the form (235). We start with the function

$$F_0 = \tau(f - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta f + \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \Delta f)), \text{ where } f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(q) \xi_i.$$

This  $F_0$  satisfies (226), and

$$\left( q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} + \xi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \tau} = -q_i \xi_0 \Delta f + \xi_0 f_i.$$

To satisfy (227) we pass to

$$F_1 = q_0 \xi_0 (f - \Phi \Delta f) + F_0 = q_0 \xi_0 (f - \Phi \Delta f) + \tau (f - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta f + \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \Delta f)).$$

Next, to satisfy (228), we pass to

$$F_2 = \xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 (E + 2)(\Delta f) - \Phi f + F_1,$$

and check that (229) is also satisfied. Finally, we get the solution

$$F = F_2 = \xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 (E + 2)(\Delta f) - \Phi f + q_0 \xi_0 (f - \Phi \Delta f) + \tau (f - q_0 \xi_0 \Delta f + \xi_0 \Delta(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \Delta f)).$$

**11.1. The  $\mathbf{W}$ -gradings of  $\mathbf{mb}(3|8)$ .** We will again assume that the elements of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))$  that we have started with in order to define the Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{mb}(3|8)$  are given by generating functions in  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, p_1, p_2, p_3$ , whereas the basis element of the added center is  $z$ . The regrading of  $\mathbf{mb}(3|8)$  is obtained by setting

$$\deg p_i = 2, \quad \deg \zeta_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \deg_{Lie}(f) = \deg(f) - 3.$$

This regrading is naturally extended to the whole Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{mb}(3|8)$  and is of depth 3. The component  $\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$  is spanned by  $1 \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{b}_{1/2}(3; 3))$  and the added center  $z$ .

In this realization, the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{mb}(3|8)$  is the complete prolong of its negative part  $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-3} = \text{Span}(1, z), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \text{Span}(\zeta_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \text{Span}(p_i, \zeta_j \zeta_k \mid i, j, k = 1, 2, 3),$$

and therefore

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-3} = 0|2, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = 3|0, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = 0|6.$$

The non-zero brackets are as follows (here  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ ):

$$\{p_i, \zeta_i\} = -1, \quad \{\zeta_i \zeta_j, \zeta_k\} = -z, \quad \{p_i, \zeta_i \zeta_j\} = -\zeta_j, \quad \{p_i, \zeta_k \zeta_i\} = \zeta_k.$$

In particular, the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$ .

We use this Lie superalgebra to demonstrate how to work with generating functions.

First of all, we embed  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  into the Lie superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathbf{vect}(3|8) = \mathbf{der} \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2, u_3 \mid \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \chi_1, \chi_2]$$

considered with the grading (for any  $i, j$ )

$$\deg \eta_i = \deg \zeta_i = 1, \quad \deg u_i = 2, \quad \deg \chi_j = 3.$$

In accordance with [Shch], we find in  $\mathfrak{v}_-$  two mutually commuting families of elements:  $X$ -vectors and  $Y$ -vectors. The table of correspondences reads

$k$	$\mathfrak{mb}_{-k}$	$X$	$Y$
-1	$q_1, q_2, q_3$	$X_{\eta_i}$	$Y_{\eta_i}$
	$\xi_2 \xi_3, \xi_3 \xi_1, \xi_1 \xi_2$	$X_{\zeta_i}$	$Y_{\zeta_i}$
-2	$\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$	$X_{u_i}$	$Y_{u_i}$
-3	$1, \widehat{1}$	$X_{\chi_j}$	$Y_{\chi_j}$

The non-zero commutation relations for the  $X$ -vectors, where  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ , are

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{\eta_i}, X_{u_i}] &= -X_{\chi_1}, & [X_{\zeta_i}, X_{u_i}] &= -X_{\chi_2}, \\ [X_{\eta_i}, X_{\zeta_k}] &= -X_{u_j}, & [X_{\eta_i}, X_{\zeta_j}] &= X_{u_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero commutation relations for the  $Y$ -vectors correspond to the negative of the above structure constants:

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{u_i}] &= Y_{\chi_1}, & [Y_{\zeta_i}, Y_{u_i}] &= Y_{\chi_2}, \\ [Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{\zeta_k}] &= Y_{u_j}, & [Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{\zeta_j}] &= -Y_{u_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us represent an arbitrary vector field  $X \in \mathbf{vect}(3|8)$  in the form

$$(238) \quad X = F_1 Y_{\chi_1} + F_2 Y_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (f_{\xi_i} Y_{\xi_i} + f_{\eta_i} Y_{\eta_i} + f_{u_i} Y_{u_i}).$$

As it was shown in [Shch], any  $X \in \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$  is completely determined by a pair of functions  $F_1, F_2$  via the equations, where  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ ,

$$(239) \quad Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = -(-1)^{p(f_{u_i})} f_{u_i} = Y_{\xi_i}(F_2), \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0,$$

$$(240) \quad Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_i}) = Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_i}) = 0,$$

$$(241) \quad Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_j}) = (-1)^{p(f_{\eta_k})} f_{\eta_k}, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_j}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{\xi_k})} f_{\xi_k},$$

$$(242) \quad Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_k}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{\eta_j})} f_{\eta_j}, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_k}) = (-1)^{p(f_{\xi_j})} f_{\xi_j}.$$

Therefore, the functions  $F_1, F_2$  must satisfy the following three groups of equations:

$$(243) \quad Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = Y_{\xi_i}(F_2), \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$



The relations (239), (241) determine the remaining coordinates, while the relations (240), (242) follow from (239), (241) and the commutation relations that  $Y$  obey. Indeed,

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_i}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{u_i})}Y_{\xi_i}Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = 0$$

and

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_j}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{u_j})}Y_{\xi_i}Y_{\xi_j}(F_2) = (-1)^{p(f_{u_i})}Y_{\xi_j}Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = -Y_{\xi_j}(f_{u_i}),$$

since  $p(f_{u_j}) = p(f_{u_i}) = p(X)$ . Moreover,

$$f_{\xi_k} = -(-1)^{p(f_{\xi_k})}Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_j}) = -Y_{\eta_i}Y_{\xi_j}(F_2) = Y_{\xi_j}Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) + Y_{u_k}(F_2) = Y_{u_k}(F_2).$$

We similarly get the expressions for the remaining coordinates:

$$f_{\eta_k} = Y_{u_k}(F_1).$$

Therefore, an arbitrary element  $X \in \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$  is of the form

$$(244) \quad X = X^F = F_1Y_{\chi_1} + F_2Y_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (Y_{u_i}(F_2)Y_{\xi_i} + Y_{u_i}(F_1)Y_{\eta_i} - (-1)^{p(X)}Y_{\xi_i}(F_2)Y_{u_i}),$$

where the pair of functions  $F = \{F_1, F_2\}$  satisfies the system of equations (243).

We select the  $Y$ -vectors so that the equations (243) that the functions  $F_1, F_2$  should satisfy are as simple as possible. For example, take the following  $Y$ -vectors, where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $s = 1, 2$ , and  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\eta_i} &= \partial_{\eta_i} + \xi_k \partial_{u_j} - \xi_j \partial_{u_k} + (\xi_k \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_k) \partial_{\chi_1} - \xi_j \xi_k \partial_{\chi_2}, & Y_{\xi_i} &= \partial_{\xi_i}, \\ Y_{u_i} &= \partial_{u_i} + \eta_i \partial_{\chi_1} + \xi_i \partial_{\chi_2}, & Y_{\chi_s} &= \partial_{\chi_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the  $X$ -vectors are of the form

$$(245) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{\xi_i} &= \partial_{\xi_i} - \eta_j \partial_{u_k} + \eta_k \partial_{u_j} - \eta_j \eta_k \partial_{\chi_1} + u_i \partial_{\chi_2}, & X_{\eta_i} &= \partial_{\eta_i} + u_i \partial_{\chi_1}, \\ X_{\chi_1} &= \partial_{\chi_1}, & X_{\chi_2} &= \partial_{\chi_2}, & X_{u_i} &= \partial_{u_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$  consists of the vector fields that preserve the distribution determined by the following equations for the vector field  $D$  of the form (238):

$$(246) \quad f_{u_1} = f_{u_2} = f_{u_3} = F_1 = F_2 = 0.$$

Let us express the coordinates  $f$  of the field  $D$  in the  $Y$ -basis in terms of the standard coordinates in the basis of partial derivatives:

$$D = g_{\chi_1} \partial_{\chi_1} + g_{\chi_2} \partial_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (g_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_i} + g_{\eta_i} \partial_{\eta_i} + g_{u_i} \partial_{u_i}).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{u_i} &= g_{u_i} + g_{\eta_j} \xi_k - g_{\eta_k} \xi_j, \\ F_1 &= g_{\chi_1} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} g_{u_i} \eta_i, \\ F_2 &= g_{\chi_2} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} g_{u_i} \xi_i - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} g_{\eta_i} \xi_j \xi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the standard coordinates, the distribution (246) is of the form ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ):

$$(247) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{u_i} + g_{\eta_j} \xi_k - g_{\eta_k} \xi_j &= 0, \\ g_{\chi_1} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} g_{u_i} \eta_i &= 0, \\ g_{\chi_2} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} g_{u_i} \xi_i - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} g_{\eta_i} \xi_j \xi_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the first two relations we rewrite the third one as

$$g_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} g_{\eta_i} \xi_j \xi_k = 0.$$

Assuming that the pairing between vectors and covectors is given by the formula

$$\langle f \partial_\xi, g d\xi \rangle = (-1)^{p(g)} fg,$$

we see that the distribution is specified by the Pfaff equations given by the 1-forms

$$(248) \quad \begin{aligned} du_i + \xi_j d\eta_k - \xi_k d\eta_j, \\ d\chi_1 - \sum \eta_i du_i, \\ d\chi_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} \xi_j \xi_k d\eta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now solve the system (243).

Since  $Y_{\xi_i} = \partial_{\xi_i}$ , the equality  $Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0$  implies that  $F_1 = F_1(u, \eta, \chi)$ . Further, the equality

$$Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = 0$$

takes the form

$$\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \eta_i} + \left( \xi_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_j} - \xi_j \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_k} \right) + (\xi_k \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_k) \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} - \xi_j \xi_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_2},$$

whence (since  $F_1$  does not depend on  $\xi$ )

$$(249) \quad F_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \xi_i \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \eta_i} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} \xi_i \xi_j \left( \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_k} + \eta_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} \right) - \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_2} + \alpha_2,$$

where  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(u, \eta, \chi)$ , *i.e.*, does not depend on  $\xi$ .

Let us expand the last group of equations

$$Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0$$

in power series in  $\xi$ . Observe that the coefficient of  $\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$  vanishes automatically.

The terms of degree-0 in  $\xi$  are of the form

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \eta_i} = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(u, \chi).$$

The terms of degree-1 in  $\xi$  are of the form

$$\xi_k \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_j} - \xi_j \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_k} + (\xi_k \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_k) \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_1} = \xi_j \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} + \xi_k \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_k},$$

implying that

$$(250) \quad F_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, (i,j,k) \in A_3} \eta_i \eta_j \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_k} + \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_1} + \alpha_1(u, \chi) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_i(u, \chi) \eta_i.$$

Therefore, the generating functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are completely determined by the 5 functions

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, f_1, f_2, f_3 \text{ which depend only on } u, \chi.$$

The terms of degree-2 in  $\xi$  lead to the equation (the coefficient of  $\xi_j \xi_k$ ):

$$(251) \quad \sum_{1 \leq s \leq 3} \left( \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial u_s \partial \eta_s} - \eta_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_s} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} \right) + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_2} = 0.$$

Consider this equation by degrees in  $\eta$ . The degree 0 yields the equation

$$(252) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (-1)^{p(f_i)} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_2} = 0.$$

In degrees 1, 2, 3 in  $\eta$  the equation (251) is automatically satisfied.

Let us express eq. (252) in the following more transparent form. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= f_i^0 + f_i^1 \chi_1 + f_i^2 \chi_2 + f_i^{12} \chi_1 \chi_2, \\ \alpha_s &= \alpha_s^0 + \alpha_s^1 \chi_1 + \alpha_s^2 \chi_2 + \alpha_s^{12} \chi_1 \chi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, equation (252) is equivalent to the system

$$(253) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i^{12}}{\partial u_i} = 0, \quad \alpha_1^{12} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial u_i} = 0, \quad \alpha_2^{12} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i^1}{\partial u_i} = 0, \quad \alpha_1^1 + \alpha_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i^0}{\partial u_i} = 0.$$

To describe the commutation relations in  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$  more explicitly, let us express the vector field (244) as

$$(254) \quad X^F = x^F + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (f_{\xi_i} Y_{\xi_i} + f_{\eta_i} Y_{\eta_i} - (-1)^{p(X)} f_{u_i} Y_{u_i}), \text{ where } x^F = F_1 \partial_{\chi_1} + F_2 \partial_{\chi_2},$$

and observe that, in view of relations (239) and (241), we have

$$[X^F, X^G] = X^H,$$

where

$$(255) \quad \begin{aligned} H_1 &= [x^F, x^G]_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \left( f_{u_i} g_{\eta_i} - (-1)^{p(X^G)} f_{\eta_i} g_{u_i} \right), \\ H_2 &= [x^F, x^G]_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \left( f_{u_i} g_{\xi_i} - (-1)^{p(X^G)} f_{\xi_i} g_{u_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that it suffices to compute only the **defining** components of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , *i.e.*, when the pair  $F$  is determined by the set  $\alpha_s$ , where  $s = 1, 2$ , and  $f_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the pair  $G$  is determined by the set  $\beta_s$ , where  $s = 1, 2$ , and  $g_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and the pair  $H$  is determined by the set  $\gamma_s$ , where  $s = 1, 2$ , and  $h_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then, with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $(i, j, k) \in A_3$ , we get

$$(256) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \left( -f_i \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial u_i} + (-1)^{p(X^G)} \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial u_i} g_i \right) + \\ &+ \left( \alpha_1 \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial \chi_2} \right) - (-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)} \left( \beta_1 \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \beta_2 \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \chi_2} \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$(257) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \left( -f_i \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial u_i} + (-1)^{p(X^G)} \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_i} g_i \right) + \\ &+ \left( \alpha_1 \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial \chi_1} + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial \chi_2} \right) - (-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)} \left( \beta_1 \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_1} + \beta_2 \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_2} \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(258) \quad h_i &= - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq 3} f_r \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial u_r} + \sum_{1 \leq r \leq 3} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_r} g_r - \\
&(-1)^{p(X^G)} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial u_k} - \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_k} \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial u_j} - \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial u_k} + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial u_k} \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial u_j} \right) + \\
&+ \sum_{s=1,2} \alpha_s \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \chi_s} - (-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)} \sum_{s=1,2} \beta_s \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \chi_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we identify the vector field  $X^F$  with the collection

$$\{\alpha_s, f_i \mid s = 1, 2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

The bracket of vector fields corresponds to the bracket of such collections given by eqs. (256)–(258).

Consider now the even part  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$  of our algebra. Since  $p(F_1) = p(F_2) = \bar{1}$ , it follows that  $p(\alpha_s) = \bar{1}$ ,  $p(f_i) = \bar{0}$ . The component  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$  admits a decomposition into three subspaces described below

$$\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3.$$

The subspace  $V_1$  is determined by the collection

$$\{\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0, \quad f_i = f_i(u)\chi_1\chi_2 \mid \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} = 0\}.$$

Eqs. (256)–(258) imply that the vector fields generated by such functions form a commutative ideal in  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$ ; we will identify this ideal with  $d\Omega^1(3)$  via the mapping

$$\{0, 0, f_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\} \longmapsto - \sum_i f_i du_j du_k.$$

Next, the subspace  $V_2$  is determined by the collection  $\{f_i = 0 \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ . We will identify this space with  $\Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  via the mapping

$$\{\alpha(u)(a\chi_1 + b\chi_2), \alpha(u)(c\chi_1 - a\chi_2), 0, 0, 0\} \longmapsto \alpha(u) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eqs. (256)–(258) imply that the elements of the subspaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  commute, whereas the bracket of two collections from  $V_2$  is, in our notation, of the form

$$[f \otimes A, g \otimes B] = fg \otimes [A, B] + df \wedge dg \cdot \text{tr } AB.$$

Finally, for  $V_3$  we have the following three natural possibilities: we take  $f_i = f_i(u)$  for any  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , while for the  $\alpha$  we make the following choices:

- (a):  $\alpha_1 = - \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_1, \quad \alpha_2 = 0,$
- (b):  $\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = - \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_2,$
- (c):  $\alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_1, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_2.$

In the cases (a) and (b), we get two embeddings:  $\mathfrak{vect}(3) \subset \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$ .

The case (c) is, however, more convenient to simplify the brackets. Thus, we identify  $V_3$  with  $\mathfrak{vect}(3)$  via

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_1, -\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_2, f_1(u), f_2(u), f_3(u) \right\} \longmapsto D_f = - \sum_i f_i(u) \partial_{u_i}.$$

The action of  $D_f$  on the subspaces  $V_1$  (as on the space of 2-forms) and  $V_2$  (as on the space of vector-valued functions  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ) is natural. The bracket of the operators  $D_f$  is, however,

manifestly different from the usual bracket thanks to the presence of an extra term:

$$[D_f, D_g] = D_f D_g - D_g D_f - \frac{1}{2} d(\operatorname{div} D_f) \wedge d(\operatorname{div} D_g).$$

Consider now the odd part  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{1}}$ . We have  $p(F_1) = p(F_2) = \bar{0}$ , and hence

$$p(\alpha_s) = \bar{0}, \quad p(f_i) = \bar{1}.$$

Let  $V_4$  consist of the collections with  $f_i = 0$ . We identify  $V_4$  with  $\Omega^0(3) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  via

$$\{\alpha(u)w_1, \alpha(u)w_2, 0, 0, 0\} \mapsto \alpha(u) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ -w_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $V_5$  consist of the collections of the form

$$(259) \quad f_i = f_i(u)(v_1\chi_1 + v_2\chi_2) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha_1 = v_2 \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_1 \chi_2, \quad \alpha_2 = -v_1 \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} \chi_1 \chi_2.$$

We identify  $V_5$  with  $\Omega^2(3) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , by assigning to the collection (259) the element

$$\omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \omega = - \sum f_i du_j \wedge du_k.$$

Having identified  $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{1}} = V_4 \oplus V_5$ , let us summarize. Table (260) contains a description of the spaces  $V_i$  and their elements.

The space	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$f_i$	
$V_1 \simeq d\Omega^1(3)$	0	0	$f_i(u)\chi_1\chi_2,$ $\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} = 0$	$\omega = \sum f_i du_j \wedge du_k,$ $d\omega = 0$
$V_2 \simeq \Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$	$\alpha(u)(a\chi_1 + b\chi_2)$	$\alpha(u)(c\chi_1 - a\chi_2)$	0	$\alpha(u) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$
$V_3 \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(3)$	$-\frac{1}{2}f(u)\chi_1$	$-\frac{1}{2}f(u)\chi_2$	$f_i(u)$ $f(u) = \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}$	$D = - \sum f_i(u) \partial_{u_i}$ $\operatorname{div} D = -f(u)$
$V_4 \simeq \Omega^0 \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$	$\alpha(u)w_1$	$\alpha(u)w_2$	0	$\alpha(u) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ -w_1 \end{pmatrix}$
$V_5 \simeq \Omega^2 \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$	$v_2 f(u)\chi_1\chi_2$	$-v_1 f(u)\chi_1\chi_2$	$f_i(u)(v_1\chi_1 + v_2\chi_2)$ $f(u) = \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}$	$\omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\omega = \sum f_i du_j \wedge du_k$

Having explicitly computed the brackets using expressions (256)–(258) and presenting the result by means of correspondences (260), we obtain formulas almost identical to those provided in [CCK].

We have already given the brackets of the even elements. The brackets of elements of  $\mathfrak{mb}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{mb}_{\bar{1}}$  are as follows:

$$[V_1, V_4] : [\omega, \alpha \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v] = \alpha \cdot \omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v \in V_5;$$

$$[V_2, V_4] : [f \otimes A, \alpha \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v] = (f\alpha - df \wedge d\alpha) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes Av \in V_4 \oplus V_5;$$

$$[V_3, V_4] : [D, \alpha \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v] = (D(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} D \cdot \alpha + \frac{1}{2} d(\operatorname{div} D) \wedge d\alpha) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v \in V_4 \oplus V_5;$$

$$[V_1, V_5] = 0;$$

$$[V_2, V_5] : [f \otimes A, \omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v] = f\omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes Av \in V_5;$$

$$[V_3, V_5] : [D, \omega \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v] = (L_D \omega - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} D \cdot \omega) \operatorname{vol}^{-1/2} \otimes v \in V_5.$$

To describe in these terms the bracket of two odd elements, we resort to the following natural identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega^2(3)}{\text{vol}} &\simeq \mathbf{vect}(3) : \frac{\omega}{\text{vol}} \longleftrightarrow D_\omega : \\ i_{D_\omega}(\text{vol}) &= \omega, \text{ i.e., } \sum f_i dx_j \wedge dx_k \longleftrightarrow \sum f_i \partial_{i_i}; \\ \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^2 &\simeq \mathbb{C} : v \wedge w \longleftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 \\ v_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}; \\ S^2(\mathbb{C}^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2) : v \cdot w \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1 & 2v_1 w_1 \\ -2v_2 w_2 & v_1 w_2 + v_2 w_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The bracket of two odd elements is of the form:

$$(261) \quad \begin{aligned} [V_4, V_4] &: \left[ \frac{f}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes v, \frac{g}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes w \right] = \frac{df \wedge dg \otimes v \wedge w}{\text{vol}} \in \mathbf{vect}(3) = V_3; \\ [V_5, V_5] &: \left[ \frac{\omega_1}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes v, \frac{\omega_2}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes w \right] = (D_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) - \text{div } D_{\omega_1} \cdot \omega_2) v \wedge w \in V_1; \\ [V_4, V_5] &: \left[ \frac{f}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes v, \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes w \right] = \\ &\quad \frac{f\omega}{\text{vol}} \otimes v \wedge w - \frac{1}{2}(fd\omega - \omega \wedge df) \otimes v \cdot w + df \wedge d(\text{div } D_\omega) \otimes v \wedge w. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line above, the first summand lies in  $V_3$ , the second one in  $V_2$ , and the third one in  $V_1$ . **The difference as compared with [CCK]:** the coefficient of the third summand in the last line on (261) should be 1, whereas in [CCK] it is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

To verify, compute the Jacobi identity (it holds for 1 and not for  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) for the triple

$$u_3 du_2 \wedge du_3 \in V_1 \text{ and } \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes e_1, \frac{u_2}{\sqrt{\text{vol}}} \otimes e_2 \in V_4, \text{ where } e_1, e_2 \text{ span } \mathbb{C}^2. \quad \square$$

## 12. MISCELLENEA

12.1. **Odd roots of simple Lie superalgebras of non-vectorial type.** For this description for simple Lie superalgebras and their relatives, see table (262).

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$R_{\bar{1}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n 1)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}h$	$\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta)$	$(\text{id} \boxtimes \chi_0) \oplus (\text{id} \boxtimes \chi_0)^*$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n m)$ $n \neq m$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(m) \oplus \mathbb{C}h$	$\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j)$	$(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}^* \boxtimes \chi_0) \oplus$ $\oplus (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(m)}^* \boxtimes \chi_0)^*$
$\mathfrak{psl}(n n)$ $n > 2$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n)$	$\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j)$	$(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}^*) \oplus$ $\oplus (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}^*)^*$
$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2n)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$	$\pm\delta_j$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)}$
$\mathfrak{osp}(2 2n)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}h$	$\pm\varepsilon \pm \delta_j$	$(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \boxtimes \chi_1) \oplus (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n)}^* \boxtimes \chi_{-1})$
$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$ $m > 2$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(m)$	$\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j$ for $m$ even $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j$ and $\pm\delta_j$ for $m$ odd	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n)} \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{o}(m)}$
$\mathfrak{osp}_a(4 2)$	$\mathfrak{sl}_1(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_3(2)$	$\pm\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3$	$\text{id}_1 \boxtimes \text{id}_2 \boxtimes \text{id}_3$
$\mathfrak{psq}(n)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n)$	$\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)$ and 0	ad
$\mathfrak{ag}_2$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$	$\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta; \pm\delta$ $i = 1, 2, 3; \sum \varepsilon_i = 0$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes R(\pi_1)$
$\mathfrak{ab}_3$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}_7$	$\frac{1}{2}(\pm\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3 \pm \delta)$	$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \boxtimes \text{spin}_7$

**12.2. Theorem** (Central extensions of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras ([L3])). *The following list exhausts the central extensions of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras:*

$\mathfrak{g}$	Cocycle	Name of extended superalgebra
$\mathfrak{psl}(2 2)$ $\simeq \mathfrak{h}'(0 4)$	$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{cases} \text{tr } BB' \\ \text{tr}(BC' + CB') \\ \text{tr } CC' \end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{po}'(0 4)$ $\mathfrak{sl}(2 2)$ $\mathfrak{po}'(0 4)$
(263) $\mathfrak{psl}(n n)$ for $n > 2$	$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{tr}(BC' + CB')$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n n)$
$\mathfrak{psq}(n)$	$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ B' & A' \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{tr } BB'$	$\mathfrak{sq}(n)$
$\mathfrak{spe}(4)$	$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & -A'^t \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{tr } CC'$	$\mathfrak{as}$
$\mathfrak{h}'(0 n), n > 4$	$H_f, H_{f'} \mapsto \sum \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \Big _{\theta=0}$ , see (62)	$\mathfrak{po}'(0 n)$

For, sometimes unexpected, analogs of these results over fields of positive characteristic, see [BGL1, BGLL1].

*Proof* (Union of strewn results and ideas). The above table and some of the results from [FuLe], backed up by Gruson, take care of the superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with reductive  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ; for the corrected previous results concerning non-vectorial Lie superalgebras, see [BoKN].

For vectorial Lie superalgebras, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence with respect to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in the standard grading does the trick. Grozman used his *SuperLie* code package to confirm these calculations for small values of the rank, where extra cocycles might have occurred. See also [BLS], where the case of the ground field of characteristic  $p > 0$  is mainly considered and the notion of *double extension* is thoroughly studied.  $\square$

**12.3. Theorem** (Central extensions of simple infinite-dimensional vectorial Lie superalgebras). *The following list exhausts the central extensions of simple infinite-dimensional vectorial superalgebras:*

Algebra	Cocycle	Name of the extended algebra
$\mathfrak{h}(2n m)$ for $(n, m) \neq (1, 2)$	$f, g \mapsto \{f, g\}_{P.B.} \Big _{p=q=\theta=0}$	$\mathfrak{po}(2n m)$
$\left. \begin{matrix} \mathfrak{le}(n) \\ \mathfrak{sl}'(n) \\ \mathfrak{sl}'(3; 3) \end{matrix} \right\}$	$\{f, g\}_{B.B.} \Big _{q=\xi=0}$ 2 non-cohomologous cocycles, see [ShP]	$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathfrak{b}(2n m) \\ \mathfrak{sb}'(n) \\ \mathfrak{sb}'(3; 3) \end{matrix} \right\}$

*Proof* (the ideas of). The Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}'(3)$  has two non-cohomologous (odd) central extensions producing isomorphic Lie superalgebras, see [ShP].

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a grading operator  $z$ , then it suffices to consider the space of  $z$ -invariant cocycles, i.e., elements of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \wedge \mathfrak{g}_1)^*$  which constitute, moreover, a trivial  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module. This case covers the series  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vect}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}$  and the exceptional algebras  $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3; K), \mathfrak{kas}, \mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)$ .

From the explicit description of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  for  $i \leq 1$  we see that such elements only exist for  $\mathfrak{vect}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  (when  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  contains a direct summand isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ ), but they do not contribute to cohomology.  $\square$

12.4. The extensions  $\mathfrak{eg}$ , and algebras  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{g}$  for simple “symmetric”  $\mathfrak{g}$  ([BGLL1]).

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{psl}(n n), n > 2$	$\mathfrak{psl}(2 2)$	$\mathfrak{psq}(n)$
$\mathfrak{eg}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n n)$	$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{sl}(2 2) \\ \mathfrak{po}'(0 4) \\ \mathfrak{osp}_0(4 2) \end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sq}(n)$
$\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{eg}$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{gl}(2 2) \\ \mathfrak{po}(0 4) \times \mathbb{C}E \end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$
$\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{pgl}(2 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{-1}(4 2)$	$\mathfrak{pq}(n)$

(264)

One of the Cartan matrices of  $\mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , as we know (*e.g.*, from [BGL1]), so  $\mathfrak{osp}_1(4|2) = \mathfrak{osp}(4|2)$ , and  $\mathfrak{osp}_a(4|2)$  is simple except for the cases where  $a = 0$  and  $-1$ , where we have exact sequences

$$(265) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{osp}_{-1}(4|2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2) \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{osp}_0(4|2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $\mathfrak{der} \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}_{-1}(4|2)$  and on the space of three nontrivial central extensions of  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ , as well on the space of outer derivations of  $\mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ , one can define a structure of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ .

**12.5. Finite-dimensional irreducibles over simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be either  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|m)$ , or its subsuperalgebra  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$ , or  $\mathfrak{h}'(0|m)$  with their standard filtrations.

Let us prepare a supply of finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathcal{L}$ -modules. Take an irreducible  $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1$ -module  $M^\chi$  with highest weight  $\chi$ ; assume that the highest weight vector (as well as the whole  $M^\chi$ ) is even. Construct the induced  $\mathcal{L}$ -module by setting

$$I(\chi) := \text{ind}_{\mathcal{L}_0}^{\mathcal{L}}(M^\chi) = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}_0)} M^\chi.$$

It turns out that, for  $\chi$  generic, the modules  $I(\chi)$  are irreducible; the exceptional — reducible — modules line up into a sequence which is exact for  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|m)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|m)$  and “almost exact” for  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$ , see [BL3].

To formulate the answer graphically, let us pass from the induced modules  $I(\chi)$  to their duals, the coinduced modules  $T(\chi) := I(\chi^* \otimes (-\text{tr}))$ , where  $\text{tr}$  is the 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}(m) = \mathcal{L}_0$ -module determined by the trace also denoted by  $\text{tr}$ , and  $(-\text{tr})(X) = -\text{tr}(X)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ .

**12.5.1. Theorem** (Irreducibles over  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|m)$ , after [BL3]). *Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{vect}(0|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$ , or  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|m)$ . Then, the  $\mathcal{L}$ -modules  $T(\chi)$  are irreducible, except for the cases where  $M^\chi$  is isomorphic to  $S^k(\text{id})$  or  $S^k(\text{id}^*) \otimes (-\text{tr})$ , where  $\text{id}$  is the tautological representation of  $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1$ . These exceptional modules, denoted by  $\Omega^k$  and  $\Sigma_{-k}$ , respectively, are interpreted as the spaces of  $k$ th degree differential forms and, respectively,  $(-k)$ th degree integral<sup>13</sup> forms on the  $(0|m)$ -dimensional supermanifold. The exterior differential and the*

<sup>13</sup>Having discovered them, Bernstein and Leites called them *integrateble* since they can be integrated. P. Deligne, however, calls them *integral*, cf. [Del, Ch.3, §3.12]; perhaps to rhyme with differential or after the incorrect (since the authors' interpretation was lost) English translation of the Russian version of [BL1].



Berezin integral unite the exceptional modules in one — infinite in both directions — exact sequence:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Sigma_{-k} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Sigma_{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Sigma_0 \xrightarrow{f} \Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^k \xrightarrow{d} \cdots,$$

and therefore the kernels and the images of the above maps are the only irreducible submodules of the exceptional modules if  $\mathcal{L}$  is of series  $\mathbf{vect}$  or  $\widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}$ .

For  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{svect}(0|m)$ , since  $\Sigma_0 \simeq \Omega^0$ , the module of functions,  $\Omega^0$ , has the additional submodule  $\text{Vol}_0$  consisting of the functions with integral zero.

We see that, except for  $m = 2$  (since  $\mathbf{vect}(0|2) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(1|2) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ , this case was already considered), a rank-1 operator can occur only in the modules  $T(\chi)$  coinduced from the 1-dimensional module, or in a quotient of such module, or in the modules obtained from such module by reversing their parity. The corresponding cases are listed in Table (157). For example, the corresponding rank-1 operators from  $\mathbf{vect}(0|m)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}(0|m)$  are

$$\xi_1 \dots \xi_m \partial_{\xi_i} \text{ for any } i \text{ realized in } \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} := \Lambda(\xi) \text{ and } \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi), & \text{for } m \text{ even and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \Pi(\mathcal{F}) := \Pi(\Lambda(\xi)) \text{ or } \Pi(\text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi)), & \text{for } m \text{ odd and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

In the case of  $\mathbf{svect}(0|m)$ , the operators  $(\prod_{j \neq i,t} \xi_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$  acting on  $\mathcal{F} := \Lambda(\xi)$  have rank 1.

Several of our exceptional vectorial superalgebras exist due to the fact that adding the trivial center to the above-considered  $\mathcal{L}$  we get, in certain small dimensions, new nontrivial Cartan prolongs. For details and such new (in 1996) examples, see [Sh14].

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{h}(0|m)$  preserve the symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ . Then, the exceptional modules are still realized in the spaces of differential forms (since  $\Sigma_{-i} \simeq \Omega^i$  thanks to nondegeneracy of  $\omega$ ). The complete description of irreducible modules over  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{h}'(0|m)$  and all its relatives — central extensions (*i.e.*, finite-dimensional Poisson Lie superalgebras) and superalgebras of derivations is due to Shapovalov [Sha1, Sha2]. Except for the cases of small dimension where an occasional isomorphism occurs (such as  $\mathfrak{h}'(0|4) \simeq \mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$ ), and which, therefore, are already considered, there are no operators of rank 1.

**12.6. On primitive Lie superalgebras.** Recall that a filtered Lie (super)algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or an associated graded algebra) is called *primitive* if it has a maximal subalgebra of finite codimension which does not contain a homogeneous ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let

$$(266) \quad \mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbf{vect}(\xi) \text{ be a subalgebra such that } \text{pr}_{-1}(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathbf{vect}_{-1}(\xi),$$

where  $\text{pr}_{-1}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{vect}(\xi)$  to  $\mathbf{vect}_{-1}(\xi) = \text{Span}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -d} \mathfrak{h}_i$  be a *primitive* Lie superalgebra (with  $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_i$  being its maximal subalgebra) such that  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ -module and  $\mathfrak{h}_-$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ . Set:

$$(267) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{min} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda(n) \ltimes \mathfrak{r}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{max} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1} \otimes \Lambda(n), \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \Lambda(n) \ltimes \mathfrak{r})_*,$$

where  $(V, \mathfrak{g})_*$  is the Cartan prolong of  $(V, \mathfrak{g})$ . It is not difficult to see that any Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{min} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{max}$ , is primitive.

**12.7. Conjecture** (On primitive Lie superalgebras). *Every primitive Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{g}_{min}$  for a suitable  $\mathfrak{h}$ .*

Regardless of whether this Conjecture is true or not, “describe primitive Lie superalgebras” is a wild problem because there are indescribably many Lie superalgebras satisfying condition (266).

**12.8. On the Lie superalgebra  $E(2, 2)$  from [K3].** While this paper was being written and [Sh5, Sh14] refereed, there appeared a proof of our classification in the case of compatible grading, see [Klc]. In it, V. Kac states, among other things, that there exist six exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras. In addition to the five (considered as abstract Lie superalgebras) exceptions already described in [Sh5, Sh14], Kac distinguished one more “exception”,  $E(2, 2)$ . Actually, it belongs to a series.

**12.8.1. Lemma.**  $E(2, 2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2; 2)$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, by definition,  $E(2, 2)$  is the Cartan prolong  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ , where “the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  gives rise to the linear Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{spin}_4$ ”. This means that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is the semidirect sum  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{ei}(0|4) \ltimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is the space of the unique irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{ei}(0|4)$  for which the central element corresponds to the identity operator. Hence, we may identify  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  either with  $\Lambda(2) = \Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  or (due to uniqueness) with  $\Pi(\Lambda(2)) = \Pi(\Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2))$ . The second scenario is more convenient for our purposes.

The action of  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{ei}(0|4)$  is given by the operators  $(1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2})$ , while  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  acts via the tautological representation on the even component of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and via the trivial representation on the odd component of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Now, what is  $\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(2; 2)$  or, in Kac’s notation, “ $SKO(2, 3; 1)$  with subprincipal grading”? It is the Cartan prolong  $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_0)_*$ , where

$$\mathfrak{h}_{-1} = \Pi(\Lambda(2)) = \Pi(\Lambda(\eta_1, \eta_2));$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \text{Span}(1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \ltimes \mathfrak{svect}(0|2) \simeq (\text{Span}(1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \ltimes \text{Span}(\partial_{\eta_1}, \partial_{\eta_2})) \ltimes \mathfrak{sl}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_0.$$

On  $\Pi(\text{Span}(\eta_1, \eta_2))$ , *i.e.*, on  $(\mathfrak{h}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}$ , the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  acts via the tautological representation, and on  $\Pi(\text{Span}(1, \eta_1, \eta_2))$ , *i.e.*, on  $(\mathfrak{h}_{-1})_{\bar{1}}$ , it acts via the trivial representation.

We get an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0$ , and hence an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

In the paper [CK2] which corrects several statements of [K3], the result of this lemma is briefly stated, but the description of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in [CK2] should still be corrected. The paper [CCK], in its turn, corrects [CK2], but not completely: see eq. (261).

### 13. ON HISTORY

Lie superalgebras first appeared in topology, in the 1940s, over finite fields, in (co)homology theory. The collection of elements of homotopy groups with the Whitehead multiplication is an example of the Lie superring. These examples drew so little attention of researchers that the difference between “Lie superalgebras” and “graded Lie algebras” was clearly formulated only after [WZ] introduced super terminology.

Since the 1960s, Berezin tried to describe Bose and Fermi particles in a parallel manner. He conjectured that to do so one has to consider anticommuting indeterminates on equal footing with commuting coordinates. To see that the classical differential and algebraic geometries are particular cases of a wider area of mathematics, one had to define the object on which these indeterminates play the role of functions. This problem (proof of Berezin’s conjecture) was solved in [L0], where what is now called *superscheme* was defined. For further mathematical development in smooth and analytic settings, see [B3, LSoS, MaG, Ld]. The vital inspirational role of Berezin in several areas of mathematics and physics is described in [Shi, N, KNV, KMLT].

Meanwhile physicists arrived at the new fundamental philosophical paradigm transcending the limits of pure physics: “**We live on a supermanifold**”. This idea is still being developed; it became contagious thanks to a work by Wess and Zumino [WZ] where the term “SUSY” was introduced in the currently accepted sense, different from the now abandoned Wheeler’s usage of it, see [Whe]. In [WZ], perspectives of *supersymmetry* were outlined more clearly than in

several pioneering works on the subject, see introductions in [DSB], and also [Del, Shi] written decades after [WZ] was published. Note that applications of SUSY to high energy physics are still conjectural, whereas results in solid state physics are already handable, see [Ef].

**Simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ .** After [WZ] was published, the perspectives in theoretical physics and manifest mathematical beauty elucidating certain classical facts encouraged several researchers to tackle the classification of simple Lie superalgebras. Various *particular* types (with non-degenerate even form, with reductive even part) were classified by Djoković (with Hochschild) [DH, Dj1, Dj2, Dj3], Scheunert, Nahm, and Rittenberg [SNR], I. Kaplansky ([FrK], [Kap, Kapp]). Note that there was no understanding of Lie superalgebras as algebras of operators until mid-1970s when  $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$  was defined ([Lber, Kapp, SNR]) and the space of superderivations of the Grassmann algebra was interpreted as a (vectorial) Lie superalgebra. In the talk [K0], see Kac's preface to [DSB], V. Kac gave the first examples of (relatives of) simple finite-dimensional vectorial Lie superalgebras. Kac called them "some algebras"; we now identify them as  $\mathfrak{vect}(0|m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(0|m)$ . Several years later, Kac considered *all* types of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras. Kaplansky's preprints-1975 [Kapp] helped Kac to repair gaps in his classification ([K1], [K1C]). Various types of simple Lie superalgebras have to be treated separately, so it is instructive to compare different techniques of [SNR] and [FrK, Kapp, Kap], with [K2], where earlier partial results, later disregarded, are cited. For a 2-page-long summary of Kac's ideas ([K1.5, K2]), see [Ld, §8]. None of these researchers considered deformations with odd parameters classified, at last, in [Ld].

**Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrices.** Kac was the first to attribute analogs of Cartan matrices to certain Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and to observe that one Lie superalgebra can have several inequivalent Cartan matrices. The definition of Cartan matrices and analogs of Dynkin diagrams was later improved in [CCLL]. For the classification of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras with indecomposable Cartan matrix in characteristic  $p > 0$ , see [BGL].

Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the classification of all Cartan matrices of a given Lie superalgebra is due to Serganova, see [Se2, HS]. Lebedev extended Serganova's method to the case of characteristic  $p > 0$ , see [Leb], where this place in [BGL] is corrected. For the classification of Lie superalgebras of GLAPG type with indecomposable Cartan matrix, see [Se2, vdL, HS]. For the classification of hyperbolic Lie algebras and their superizations, see [CCLL].

**Simple loop Lie superalgebras.** For their conjectural list, see [LSS]. To prove the completeness of this list à la [M] is an **Open problem**; for a partial result, see [HS]; for Cartan matrices of loop superalgebras, see [BLS].

**Stringy Lie superalgebras.** For the classification of simple stringy Lie superalgebras (with Laurent coefficients, based on the classification of vectorial, i.e. with polynomial coefficients), see [GLS1]; for the classification of their central extensions, see [KvdL]. Note that there is a mysterious difference between presentations of  $\mathfrak{kas}$  generated by polynomials and those of  $\mathfrak{kas}^L$  generated by Laurent polynomials as the superscript indicates, see [GLS4]: we **conjecture** that there are infinitely many relations between "natural" (from a certain point of view) generators of  $\mathfrak{kas}$ , whereas  $\mathfrak{kas}^L$  is finitely presented.

**Vectorial Lie superalgebras.** It took a while to distinguish a reasonable classification problem. A classification of **primitive** Lie superalgebras was claimed in [K1.5, K2], but was obviously wrong; Theorem 10 and even Conjecture 1 in [K2] dealing with **simple** Lie superalgebras, were also wrong. After [L1] appeared, it became clear that the list of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras "doubles" Cartan's list at least twice: by superdimension and by the "odd" counterparts such as  $\mathfrak{le}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , together with  $\mathfrak{sl\epsilon}$  and  $\mathfrak{sm}$ . Leites dubbed the new algebras by the next letters after  $\mathfrak{k}$  ( $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ ); soon  $\mathfrak{l}$  was changed to  $\mathfrak{le}$  to eliminate confusions

of  $\mathfrak{slt}$  with  $\mathfrak{sl}$ . Grozman found  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  in 1978, see [G], but was not understood;  $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$  was rediscovered in [ALSh].

In [ALSh], we claimed that **one vectorial Lie superalgebra has finitely many distinct non-isomorphic realizations as a  $W$ -filtered or  $W$ -graded Lie superalgebra**; for a proof, see Subsection 8.1.4. This phenomenon was totally missed in [K2], and in Kac's later papers it was stated that to describe all filtered vectorial superalgebras is "probably impossible". Over fields of positive characteristic, the non-standard gradings were appreciated at approximately the same time as in [ALSh], but not as much as they deserve, see [KLS].

Observe that, as early as in [ALSh], we wrote that the problem "classify primitive Lie superalgebras" is wild, cf. [K2]; for details, see Subsection 12.6. In 1988, we had almost all examples of simple  $W$ -graded vectorial Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [L1, ALSh, Sh3, LSh1]). The adjective "exceptional", first used in [Sh3], implies a claim for classification of "serial" examples. Shchepochkina discovered all the exceptional examples [Sh3, Sh5, Sh14].

In 1996–97, we have explicitly announced the classification of  $W$ -graded simple complex vectorial Lie superalgebras at various conferences, *e.g.*, at a seminar of E. Ivanov, JINR, Dubna (July, 1996), Voronezh Winter School (Jan. 12–18, 1997), and at the conference in honor of D. Buchsbaum, Northeastern Univ., Boston (October 1997), see [LSh2'], as an aside remark to another, **still open**, problem "how to superize representations of quivers?", cf. [LSh2, BZ]. The proof of classification of simple  $W$ -graded Lie superalgebras, already outlined in [LSh1], was completed by that time, **except for  $W$ -gradings compatible with parity**. Getting our confirmation that the classification is announced (November 1996), Kac, sometimes together with Cheng, considered the case of compatible  $W$ -gradings ([CK]) complementing our proof, and published partial results on filtered deformations [CK1, CK1a, CK2, Klc, K2, Kcf, Kga, Kbj]. Cantarini helped Cheng and Kac with corrections, cf. [CCK] with §11 and Subsection 12.8.

The papers [Sh14] and [Sh5] were being refereed for about two years each. When the details of the talk [Klc] were published in [K3] (accepted 42 days after submission) we saw, to our amazement, that Kac's method is very similar to ours, published and lectured about. However, Kac considered *the case of compatible gradings* we left out. The crucial contribution of [K3] to our approach is the proof of existence and description of a certain remarkable grading of every simple vectorial Lie superalgebra. These particular gradings help to prove the completeness of our list of  $W$ -graded simple vectorial Lie superalgebras (conjectural for compatible gradings).

In this article, we classified deformations of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.

**Related results** 1) The description of the irreducible continuous representations of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras was started in [BL3] for the general series  $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$  in its standard realization following the pioneering works by A. Rudakov ([R1], [R2]). For a review of results on representations for other series of vectorial Lie superalgebras obtained by 2000, see [GLS3]. Some of these results can explain and simplify proof of the results of [BKL1].

For a description of irreducible representations of 11 of the 15 exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, and the related description of invariant differential operators, see [GLS3]. In [KR1, KR2, KR3], the representations of 3 of the 15 exceptions are further studied; to explain the discrepancies between answers in [GLS3] and in [CaCK, R3, CaCK1] is an **open problem**.

2) For the classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{R}$ , see the original and unexpected result [CaKa1]. Serganova classified real and quaternionic forms of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras, see [Se2].

3) For a conjectural list of simple stringy (resp., loop) Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , see [GLS1] (resp., [LSS]). Serganova classified real forms of loop and stringy superalgebras, see [Se3]; to classify their quaternionic forms remains an **open problem**.

4) For the classification of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , see [Kj, HK]. For the same approach in the infinite-dimensional case, see [Lint] and references in it.

**13.1. Comparison of notation.** Strangely, not all of the results from [ALSh] or [Ko1, LSh3] are reproduced in [K3]. Cheng and Kac considered the parameter  $r$  only for exceptional Lie superalgebras. In this way, they missed 34 types of  $W$ -gradings, and most of the occasional isomorphisms, cf. Subsection 1.10.2a; confusing notation obscured “drop-outs” listed in Subsubsection 1.7.1a. Kac and his co-authors often changed, probably for non-mathematical reasons, the notation of simple Lie superalgebras previously discovered by other researchers; some of Kac’s notation confused finite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras with their root systems.

We concede that our notation for exceptional simple  $W$ -filtered vectorial superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$  is rather long, though it reflects the way they are constructed and the geometry preserved ( $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{e}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$  related to  $\mathfrak{sl}\mathfrak{e}$ , and so on). But to write  $\mathfrak{e}(\text{sdim}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)^*)$ , as we once suggested, is to create confusion:  $\text{sdim}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)^*$  can coincide for non-isomorphic superalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The half-page-long review Zbl 0929.17026 of [K3] is succinct and (unlike MR99m:17006) void of emotions: “The main result of the paper is a complete classification of simple infinite-dimensional linearly compact Lie superalgebras. The list of these superalgebras contains 8 series of completed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras, 2 series of filtered deformations, and 6 exceptional Lie superalgebras.” However, the correct answer is quite different, see statements in Subsections 1.10 and 12.8. In their papers, Cantarini, Cheng, and Kac considered only a *part* of problems A to C.

our notation	Kac’s notation
$\mathbf{vect}(m n; r)$	$W(m n)$
$\mathbf{svect}(m n; r)$	$S(m n)$
$\mathbf{svect}'(1 n; r)$	$S(1 n)$
$\widetilde{\mathbf{svect}}(0 m), \text{ any } m \geq 3$	$\widetilde{S}(0 n)$ for $n \geq 4$ even
$\mathfrak{k}(2m+1 n; r)$	$K(2m+1 n)$
$\mathfrak{h}(2m n; r)$	$H(2m n)$
$\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(2 2) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(2; 2)$	not singled out
$\mathfrak{h}'(0 n)$	$H(0 n)$
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}-1 2^{n-1})$ for $n$ even	appears as a filtered deform; not recognized as $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded

  

our notation	Kac’s notation
$\mathbf{m}(n; r)$	$KO(n n+1)$
$\mathbf{sm}(n; r)$	$SKO(n n+1)$
$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; r) = \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; r)$ for $\lambda := \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$	$SKO(n n+1; \beta)$ for $\beta (= \frac{b}{a} = 1 - \frac{2}{n\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$
$\mathfrak{b}'_1(n; r)$	$SKO(n n+1; \frac{n-2}{n})$
$\mathfrak{b}'_\infty(n; r)$	$SKO(n n+1; 1)$
$\mathfrak{le}(n; r)$	$HO(n n)$
$\mathfrak{sl}\mathfrak{e}'(n; r)$	$SHO(n n)$
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1} 2^{n-1}-1)$ for $n$ odd	not considered
singular deformations of $\mathfrak{b}_\mu(n)$	not considered

**Acknowledgements.** We are thankful to D. Alekseevsky, J. Bernstein, P. Grozman, I. Kantor, Yu. Kotchetkov, A. Krutov, A. Lebedev, G. Post and A. Rudakov for help. Thanks are due to M. Kontsevich and A. Lebedev for shrewd comments.

Financial support of D.L. by NFR (1987–96), and of I.Shch. by INTAS grant 96-0538 is thankfully acknowledged. D.L. also thanks the Mittag–Leffler Institute and Harvard University (1986–87), IHES (a month each summer during 1987–2003); IAS (1989), and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and Tel-Aviv University (1990–91), where the main results and ideas were presented (1986–2003) and MPIM-Bonn, where the first ([LSh1], 1987) and final, but without classification of deformations, draft ([LSh5], 2003) were typed, for hospitality.

## REFERENCES

- [ALSh] Alekseevsky D., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Examples of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, v. 34, no. 9, (1980), 1187–1190 (in Russian)
- [AM] Avetisyan M. Y., Mkrtchyan R. L., Uniqueness of universal dimensions and configurations of points and lines. *Proceedings of Science (PoS) Regio2020 (2021) 005*; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.10860>
- [Bat] Batchelor M., The structure of supermanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **253** (1979), 329–338.
- [BE] Benkart, G., Elduque, A., The Tits construction and the exceptional simple classical Lie superalgebras. *Q. J. Math.*, v. 54 (2003), no. 2, 123–137
- [BGP] Benkart G., Gregory Th., Premet A., The recognition theorem for graded Lie algebras in prime characteristic. American Mathematical Society, 2009, 145 pp.
- [B3] Berezin F., *Introduction to superanalysis*. 2nd edition, revised and expanded. Edited by D. Leites. With Appendices by D. Leites, V. Shander, I. Shchepochkina. MCCME, Moscow, 2013. 432 pp. (in Russian) <https://staff.math.su.se/mleites/books/berezin-2013-vvedenie-2nd-ed.pdf>
- [BL1] Bernstein J.N., Leites D.A., Integral forms and Stokes’s formula on supermanifolds. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **11** (1977), no. 1, 55–56
- [BL2] Bernstein J.N., Leites D.A., How to integrate differential forms on supermanifolds. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, v. 11 (1977), 219–221.
- [BL3] Bernstein J.N., Leites D.A., Irreducible representations of Lie superalgebras of type  $W$  and  $S$ , *C. R. de l’Acad. de Sci. Bulgare*, v. 32 (1979), 277–278. (in Russian; English translation in: *Selecta Math. Soviet.*, v. 3 (1983/84), no. 1, 63–68)
- [BL4] Bernstein J., Leites D., Invariant differential operators and irreducible representations of Lie superalgebras of vector fields. *Sel. Math. Sov.*, v. 1, no. 2, (1981), 143–160
- [BL5] Bernstein J.N., Leites D.A., Irreducible representations of type  $Q$ , odd trace and odd determinant. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **35** (1982), no. 3, 285–286
- [BoKN] Boe B. D., Kujawa J. R., Nakano D. N., Cohomology and support varieties for Lie superalgebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010), 6551–6590
- [Bbk] Bourbaki N., *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4 – 6*. Translated from the 1968 French original by A. Pressley. *Elements of Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2002. xii+300 pp.
- [BGLL] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Divided power (co)homology. Presentations of simple finite-dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, v. 12 (2010), no. 1, 237–278; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0911.0243>
- [BGLL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D. (with Appendix by A. Krutov), Derivations and central extensions of simple modular Lie algebras and superalgebras. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* 19 (2023), 59 pages; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.1858>
- [BGLLS] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Simple vectorial Lie algebras in characteristic 2 and their superizations. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* 16 (2020), 089, 101 pages; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.07255>
- [BGL] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Classification of finite-dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable Cartan matrix. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)*, v. 5 (2009), 060, 63 pages; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0710.5149>
- [BGL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Deformations of symmetric simple modular Lie superalgebras. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* 19 (2023), 63 pages; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0807.3054>
- [BGLS] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Minkowski superspaces and superstrings as almost real-complex supermanifolds. *Theor. and Mathem. Physics*, v. 173(3): 1687–1708 (2012); <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1010.4480>
- [BKLS] Bouarroudj S., Krutov A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Non-degenerate invariant (super)symmetric bilinear forms on simple Lie (super)algebras. *Algebras and Repr. Theory*, 21(5) (2018), 897–941; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.05505>
- [BLW] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Wagemann F., Deformations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(5)$  in characteristics 3 and 2. *Math. Notes*, (2011), v. 89, no. 5–6, 777–791; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0909.3572>
- [BLLS] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Lie algebra deformations in characteristic 2. *Math. Research Letters*, v. 22 (2015) no. 2, 353–402; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1301.2781>

- [BLLS1] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Classifications of simple Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. *Internat. Math. Res. Not.* (2021) Iss. 1, (2023), 54–94; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1407.1695>
- [BoLe] Bouarroudj S., Leites D., Invariant differential operators in positive characteristic. *J. Algebra*. V. 499, (2018), 281–297; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.09500>
- [BLS] Bouarroudj S., Leites D., Shang J., Computer-aided study of double extensions of restricted Lie superalgebras preserving the non-degenerate closed 2-forms in characteristic 2. *Experimental Math.* **31** (2019) no. 2, 676–688 (2022); <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.09579>
- [BZ] Bovdi V. A., Zubkov A.N.: Super-representations of quivers and related polynomial semi-invariants. *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* 30(04): (2020) 883–902; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.00627>
- [BKL1] Boyallian, C., Kac, V., Liberati, J., Irreducible modules over finite simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type  $K$ . *J. Math. Phys.*, v. 51 (2010) 063507, 37 pp.; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1003.4420>
- [Bu] Buttin C., Théorie des opérateurs différentiels gradué sur les formes différentielles. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, v. 102, 1974, no. 1, 49–73
- [Ca1] Cantarini N.,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of infinite depth and finite growth. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 1 (2002), no. 3, 545–568
- [Ca2] Cantarini N., A classification of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of infinite depth. *J. Algebra Appl.* 1 (2002), no. 4, 425–449
- [CCK] Cantarini, N.; Cheng, S.-J.; Kac, V. Errata to: “Structure of some  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields” [*Transform. Groups*, v. 4 (1999), no. 2-3, 219–272; MR1712863 (2001b:17037) by Cheng and Kac]. *Transform. Groups*, v. 9 (2004), no. 4, 399–400.
- [CaCK] Cantarini, N., Caselli, F., Kac, V., Classification of degenerate Verma modules for  $E(5, 10)$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* 385 (2021), no. 2, 963–1005
- [CaCK1] Cantarini N., Caselli F., Kac V., A Lie conformal superalgebra and duality of representations for  $E(4, 4)$ ; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2303.17450>
- [CaKa1] Cantarini N., Kac V. G., Automorphisms and forms of simple infinite dimensional linearly compact Lie superalgebras, *J. of Geom. Methods in Phys.*, v. 3, nos. 5 and 6 (2006), 1–23. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.QA/0601292>
- [CaKa2] Cantarini N., Kac V. G., Infinite-dimensional primitive linearly compact Lie superalgebras. *Adv. in Math.*, v. 207, no. 1, (2006) 328–419; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.QA/0511424>
- [CaKa3] Cantarini N., Kac V. G., Classification of linearly compact simple rigid superalgebras. *IMRN* 17 (2010), 3341–3393; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0909.3100>
- [Car] Carles R., Un exemple d’algèbre de Lie résolubles rigides, au deuxième groupe de cohomologie non nul et pour lesquelles l’application quadratique de D. S. Rim est injective. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris* 300 (1985), no. 14, 467–469
- [Cart] Cartan É., Œuvres complètes. Partie I. (French) [Complete works. Part I] *Groups de Lie. [Lie groups]* Second edition. Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Paris, 1984. xxx+1356 pp.
- [CCLL] Chapovalov D., Chapovalov M., Lebedev A., Leites D. The classification of almost affine (hyperbolic) Lie superalgebras. v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, (2010) 103–161; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0906.1860>
- [Che] Cheng Sh.-J. Differentiable simple Lie superalgebras and representations of semi-simple Lie superalgebras. *J. Algebra*, v. 173, (1995) no 1. 1–43
- [CK] Cheng Shun-Jen, Kac V., A new  $N = 6$  superconformal algebra. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, v. 186 (1997), 219–231
- [CK1] Cheng Sh.-J., Kac V., Generalized Spencer cohomology and filtered deformations of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, v. 2 (1998), no. 5, 1141–1182; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/9805039>
- [CK1a] Cheng, Shun-Jen; Kac, V., Addendum: “Generalized Spencer cohomology and filtered deformations of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras” [*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, v. 2 (1998), no. 5, 1141–1182; MR1688484 (2000d:17025)]. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, v. 8 (2004), no. 4, 697–709
- [CK2] Cheng Sh.-J., Kac V., Structure of some  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields, *Transformation groups*, v. 4, (1999), 219–272
- [Co] Coleman A. J., The greatest mathematical paper of all time. *Math. Intelligencer* 11 (1989), no. 3, 29–38; <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03025189>

- [CSS] Cushing D. Stewart D., Stagg G., A Prolog assisted search for new simple Lie algebras; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2207.01094>
- [Del] Deligne P., Etingof P., Freed D., Jeffrey L., Kazhdan D., Morgan J., Morrison D., Witten E., (eds.). *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Princeton, NJ, 1999. Vol. 1: xxii+723, Vol. 2: xxiv+727–1501.
- [DLZ] Deligne P., Lehrer G. I., Zhang R. B., The first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic super group. *Adv. Math.* 327 (2018), 4–24.
- [DWN] DeWitt B., van Nieuwenhuizen P., Explicit construction of the exceptional superalgebras  $F(4)$  and  $G(3)$ . *J. Math. Phys.*, v. 23 (1982), no. 10, 1953–1963
- [Di] Dixmier J. *Enveloping algebras*. Revised reprint of the 1977 translation. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, v. 11. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. xx+379 pp.
- [Dj1] Djoković, D. Ž., Semisimplicity of 2-graded Lie algebras. II. *Illinois J. Math.*, v. 20 (1976), no. 1, 134–143.
- [Dj2] Djoković, D. Ž., Classification of some 2-graded Lie algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, v. 7 (1976), no. 2, 217–230.
- [Dj3] Djoković, D. Ž., Isomorphism of some simple 2-graded Lie algebras. *Canad. J. Math.*, v. 29 (1977), no. 2, 289–294.
- [DH] Djoković, D. Ž., Hochschild, G., Semisimplicity of 2-graded Lie algebras, *Illinois J. Math.*, vol. 20 (1976), 107–123
- [DGS] Donin J., Gurevich D., Shnider S., Double quantization on some orbits in the coadjoint representations of simple Lie groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, v. 204 (1999), no. 1, 39–60
- [DSB] Duplij S., Siegel W., Bagger J. (eds.) *Concise Encyclopedia of Supersymmetry and Noncommutative Structures in Mathematics and Physics*, 2nd edition, Berlin, New York: Springer, 2005, 561pp.
- [Ef] Efetov K.B., *Supersymmetry in Disorder and Chaos*, Cambridge University Press. (1999) xiii + 441.
- [Eg] Egorov G., *How to superize  $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$* . In: Mickelsson J., Pekonen O. (eds.) *Topological and geometrical methods in field theory*. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium held in Turku, May 26–June 1, 1991, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992, 135–146; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.07046>
- [El3] Elduque, A. Models of some simple modular Lie superalgebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, v. 240 (2009), no. 1, 49–83; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0805.1304>
- [FaKa] Fattori D., Kac V. Classification of finite simple Lie conformal superalgebras. Special issue in celebration of Claudio Procesi’s 60th birthday. *J. Algebra*, v. 258 (2002), no. 1, 23–59.
- [FF] Feigin B., Fuchs D., Cohomologies of Lie groups and Lie algebras. In: *Lie groups and Lie algebras. II. Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and cohomologies of Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2000) 125–223
- [FL] Feigin B. L., Leites D. A., New Lie superalgebras of string theories In: M. A. Markov, V. I. Man’ko and A. E. Shabad (eds.) *Group theoretical methods in physics*, Vol. 1–3 (Zvenigorod, 1982), 623–629 (in Russian), Harwood Academic Publ., Chur, (1985)
- [FLS] Feigin B. L., Leites D. A., Serganova V. V., Kac-Moody superalgebras. In: *Group theoretical methods in physics*, vv. 1–3 (Zvenigorod, 1982), Harwood Academic Publ., Chur, 1985, 631–637
- [FiFu] Fialowski, A., Fuchs, D. Singular deformations of Lie algebras. Example: deformations of the Lie algebra  $L_1$ . *Topics in singularity theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, v. 180, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, 77–92; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/q-alg/9706027>
- [FSS] Frappat L., Sciarrino, A., Sorba, P., *Dictionary on Lie algebras and superalgebras*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2000. xxii+410 pp.
- [FPS] Frenkel I., Penkov I., Serganova V., A categorification of the boson-fermion correspondence via representation theory of  $\mathfrak{sl}(8)$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* 341 (2016), no. 3, 911–931; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1405.7553>
- [FrK] Freund P., Kaplansky I., Simple supersymmetries. *J. Math. Phys.*, v. 17 (1976), no. 2, 228–231
- [FuLe] Fuchs D., Leites D., Cohomology of Lie superalgebras. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, v. 37 (1984), no. 12, 1595–1596
- [Fu] Fuks [Fuchs] D., *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Consultants Bureau, NY, 1986
- [FLSf] Fulp R., Lada T., Stasheff J., Noether’s variational theorem II and the BV formalism, Proceedings of the 22nd Winter School “Geometry and Physics” (Srní, 2002). *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* no. 71 (2003), 115–126; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.QA/0204079>
- [Ga] Gawędzki K., Supersymmetries — mathematics of supergeometry, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. a (N.S.)*, 27 (1977), 335–366.



- [GoHo1] Golod P., A deformation of the affine Lie algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$  and Hamiltonian systems on the orbits of its subalgebras, In: *Group-theoretical methods in physics*. Markov M. et al (eds.) Proc. of the 3rd seminar, Yurmala, 1985, v.1, Moscow, Nauka, 1986, 368–376 (in Russian; English translation by VNU Sci. Press, Utrecht, (1986).)
- [GPS] Gomis J., Paris J., Samuel S., Antibracket, Antifields and Gauge-Theory Quantization, Phys. Rept., v. 259 (1995) 1–191
- [GOV] Gorbatsevich, V. V., Onishchik, A. L., Vinberg, E. B., *Foundations of Lie theory and Lie transformation groups*. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., v. 20, Springer, Berlin, 1993; MR 95f:22001]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. vi+235 pp.
- [GSW] Green M., Schwarz J., Witten E. *Superstring theory*, vv.1, 2. Second edition. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. x+470 pp., xii+596 pp.
- [GN] Gritsenko V., Nikulin V., On classification of Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 57 (2002) no.5, 921–979; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0201162>
- [G] Grozman P., Classification of bilinear invariant operators on tensor fields. Functional Anal. Appl., v. 14 (1980), no. 2, 127–128; for proofs, see Grozman P., Invariant bilinear differential operators. Communications in Mathematics, vol 30 no 3 (2022), 129–188; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0509562>
- [Gr] Grozman P., (2013) <http://www.equaoonline.com/math/SuperLie>
- [GL1] Grozman P., Leites D., Defining relations for Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix. Czech. J. Phys., v. 51, (2001), no. 1, 1–22; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9702073>
- [GL2] Grozman P., Leites D., Lie superalgebras of supermatrices of complex size. Their generalizations and related integrable systems. In: E. Ramírez de Arellano, M. V. Shapiro, L. M. Tovar and N. L. Vasilevski (eds.) *Proc. Internatnl. Symp. Complex Analysis and related topics*, Mexico, 1996, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1999, 73–105; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202177>
- [GL3] Grozman P., Leites D., Link invariants and Lie superalgebras. J. Nonlin. Math. Phys., v. 12 (2005), Suppl. 1, 372–379; <https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2005.12.s1.30>
- [GL4] Grozman P., Leites D., From supergravity to ballbearings. In: J. Wess, E. Ivanov (eds.), *Supersymmetries and quantum symmetries*, (SQS'97, 22–26 July, 1997), Lecture Notes in Phys., v. 524, 1999, 58–67.
- [GL5] Grozman P., Leites D., Structures of  $G(2)$  type and nonholonomic distributions in characteristic  $p$ . Lett. Math. Phys. **74** (2005), no. 3, 229–262; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0509400>
- [GLP] Grozman P., Leites D., Poletaeva E., Defining relations for simple Lie superalgebras. Lie superalgebras without Cartan matrix. In: E. Ivanov et. al. (eds.) *Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries (SQS'99, 27–31 July, 1999)*, Dubna, JINR, 2000, 387–396; Homology, Homotopy and Applications, vol 4 (2), 2002, 259–275; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202152>
- [GLS1] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Lie superalgebras of string theories. Acta Mathematica Vietnamica, v. 26, 2001, no. 1, 27–63; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9702120>
- [GLS2] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., The analogs of the Riemann tensor for exceptional structures on supermanifolds. In: S. K. Lando, O. K. Sheinman (eds.) *Proc. International conference "Fundamental Mathematics Today" (December 26–29, 2001) in honor of the 10th Anniversary of the Independent University of Moscow*, IUM, MCCME 2003, 89–109; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0509525>
- [GLS3] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Invariant differential operators on supermanifolds and The Standard Model. In: Olshanetsky M., Vainshtein A., (eds.) *M. Marinov memorial volume*, World Sci., (2002), 508–555; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202193>
- [GLS4] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Defining relations for the exceptional Lie superalgebras of vector fields pertaining to The Standard Model. In: C. Duval, L. Guieu and V. Ovsienko (eds.) *The orbit method in geometry and physics* (A. A. Kirillov Festschrift), Progress in Mathematics, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2003, 101–146; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math-ph/0202025>
- [Gr1] Gruson C., Finitude de l'homologie de certains modules de dimension finie sur une super algèbre de Lie. (French) [Finiteness of the homology of certain finite-dimensional modules over a Lie superalgebra] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), v. 47 (1997), no. 2, 531–553
- [Gr2] Gruson C., Sur la cohomologie des super algèbres de Lie étranges. (French) [Cohomology of strange Lie superalgebras] Transform. Groups, v. 5 (2000), no. 1, 73–84
- [Gr3] Gruson C., Sur l'idéal du cone autocommutant des super algèbres de Lie basiques classiques et étranges. (French) [On the ideal of the self-commuting cone of basic classical and strange Lie superalgebras] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), v. 50 (2000), no. 3, 807–831

- [GQS] Guillemin V., Quillen D., Sternberg S., The classification of the complex primitive infinite pseudogroups. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., v. 55, (1966), 687–690;  
id., The classification of the irreducible complex algebras of infinite type. J. Analyse Math., v. 18, (1967), 107–112
- [Gu] Guillemin, V., Infinite-dimensional primitive Lie algebras. J. Differential Geometry, v. 4, (1970), 257–282
- [GoHo2] Holod P., Hidden symmetry of the Landau-Lifshitz equation, hierarchy of higher equations, and the dual equation for an asymmetric chiral field. Theor. and Mathem. Phys., v. 70, no. 1, (1987) 11–19
- [HP] Hoyt C., Penkov I., *Classical Lie algebras at infinity*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2022, ISBN 978-3-030-89659-1
- [HS] Hoyt, C.; Serganova, V. Classification of finite-growth general Kac-Moody superalgebras. Comm. Algebra, v. 35 (2007), no. 3, 851–874
- [Hm] Humphreys J, E. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*. Cambridge University Press, (1992) 204 pp.
- [HK] Högben L., Kac V., Erratum: “Classification of simple  $Z$ -graded Lie superalgebras and simple Jordan superalgebras” [Comm. Algebra, v. 5 (1977), no. 13, 1375–1400; MR 58 #16806] by Kac V. Comm. Algebra, v. 11 (1983), no. 10, 1155–1156
- [IoMa] Iohara K., Mathieu O., A global version of Grozman’s theorem, Math. Z. 274 (3) (2013) 955–992; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1204.0695>
- [J] Joseph A., Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras in mathematics and physics. *Groups theoretical methods in Physics* (Proc. Third Internat. Colloq., Centre Phys. Théor., Marceille, 1974), v. 2, 582–665
- [K] Kac, V. G. Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth. Math. USSR-Izv., v. 2 (1968), 1271–1311.
- [K0] Kac V. G., Some algebras related to the quantum field theory, XI-th All-Union [National USSR] Algebr. Coll., Kishinev, 1971, 140–141 (in Russian)
- [KfD] Kac V.G., Description of filtered Lie algebras with which graded lie algebras of Cartan type are associated. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, v. 8(4) (1974), 801–835
- [K1] Kac V. G., Classification of simple Lie superalgebras. Functional Anal. Appl., v. 9 (1975), no. 3, 263–265
- [K1C] Kac V. G., Letter to the editors: “Classification of simple Lie superalgebras” (Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen., v. 9 (1975), no. 3, 91–92). (in Russian) Funct. Anal. Appl., 10:2 (1976), 163
- [K1.5] Kac V. G., A sketch of Lie superalgebra theory. Comm. Math. Phys., v. 53 (1977), no. 1, 31–64
- [K2] Kac V. G., Lie superalgebras. Adv. Math., v. 26, 1977, 8–96
- [Kj] Kac V., Classification of simple  $Z$ -graded Lie superalgebras and simple Jordan superalgebras, Comm. Algebra, v. 5 (1977), no. 13, 1375–1400; For a correction, see [HK].
- [Kch] Kac V., Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras. Comm. Algebra, v. 5 (1977), no. 8, 889–897
- [Kcl] Kac V., Representations of classical Lie superalgebras. In: *Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics*, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1977), pp. 597–626, Lecture Notes in Math., 676, Springer, Berlin, 1978
- [Kpr] Kac V., Some remarks on Lie superalgebra theory (Preprint ca. 1978)
- [Kb3] Kac V. G., *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*. 3rd ed. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992
- [Kcf] Kac V., Superconformal algebras and transitive group actions on quadrics. Comm. Math. Phys., v. 186 (1997), no. 1, 233–252; For a correction, see [Kcfe].
- [Klc] Kac V., Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras. Talk at a Conference dedicated to S. Novikov’s 60-th birthday, Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow, May, 1998; ESI-preprint 605 (withdrawn, see [K3])
- [K3] Kac V., Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras. Adv. Math., v. 139 (1998), no. 1, 1–55; see MR99m:17006
- [Kga] Kac V., Classification of infinite-dimensional simple groups of supersymmetry and quantum field theory. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999). Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part I, 162–183; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.QA/9912235>
- [Kcfe] Kac V., Erratum [Superconformal algebras and transitive group actions on quadrics]: Comm. Math. Phys., v. 217 (2001), no. 3, 697–698
- [Kbj] Kac V. Classification of supersymmetries. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, v. I (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, 319–344; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math-ph/0302016>
- [KvdL] Kac V. G., van de Leur J. W. On classification of superconformal algebras. In: S. J. Gates, Jr., C. R. Preitschopf and W. Siegel (eds.) *Strings ’88* Proceedings of the workshop held at the University of

- Maryland, College Park, Maryland, May 24–28, 1988. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989, 77–106
- [KR1] Kac, V. G., Rudakov A., Representations of the exceptional Lie superalgebra  $E(3,6)$ : I. Degeneracy conditions. *Transform. Groups*, v. 7 (2002), no. 1, 67–86; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math-ph/0012049>;
- [KR2] Kac, V. G., Rudakov A., Representations of the exceptional Lie superalgebra  $E(3,6)$ : II. Four series of degenerate modules. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, v. 222 (2001), no. 3, 611–661; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math-ph/0012050>;
- [KR3] Kac, V. G., Rudakov A., Complexes of modules over exceptional Lie superalgebras  $E(3,8)$  and  $E(5,10)$ . *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2002, no. 19, 1007–1025
- [KW] Kac V., Wakimoto M., Integrable highest weight modules over affine superalgebras and number theory. In: *Lie theory and geometry*. *Progr. Math.*, v. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, 415–456
- [Kapp] Kaplansky I., Newsletters: Graded Lie algebras I, II, preprints, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1975, see <https://web.osu.cz/~Zusmanovich/links/files/kaplansky/>
- [Kap] Kaplansky I., Superalgebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, v. 86 (1980), no. 1, 93–98
- [KNV] Karabegov A., Neretin Yu., Voronov Th., Felix Alexandrovich Berezin and his works; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1202.3930>
- [KMLT] Karpel E., Minlos R. (compilers), Leites D., Tyutin I. (eds.) *Recollections on Felix Alexandrovich Berezin — the founder of supermathematics*. MCCME, Moscow, 382 pp. (in Russian)
- [Ki] Killing W., Die Zusammensetzung der stetigen endlichen Transformations-gruppen, *Math. Ann.* 31 (1888) 252–290, *Math. Ann.* 33 (1888) 1–48, *Math. Ann.* 34 (1889) 57–122, *Math. Ann.* 36 (1890) 161–189
- [Kir] Kirillov S.A., Sandwich algebras in simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras. Ph.D. thesis, Nizhny Novgorod, (1992) (in Russian); <https://web.osu.cz/~Zusmanovich/files>
- [KT] Konstein S. E., Tyutin I., General form of the deformation of the Poisson superbracket on a  $(2, n)$ -dimensional superspace, *Theor. and Mathem. Physics*, 155(2): (2008) 734–753; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/0610308>
- [KT1] Konstein S. E., Tyutin I.V., The number of independent traces and supertraces on symplectic reflection algebras, *J. of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 21:3, (2014) 308–335; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.3190>
- [KV] Konstein S. E., Vasiliev M. A. Supertraces on the algebras of observables of the rational Calogero model with harmonic potential. *J. Math. Phys.*, v. 37 (1996), no. 6, 2872–2891;
- [Kon] Kontsevich M., Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, v. 66 (2003), 157–216.
- [Kor] Korniyak V. V., A method for splitting cochain complexes in computing the cohomology of Lie (super) algebras. *Program. Comput. Software*, v. 28 (2002), no. 2, 76–80
- [KD] Kostrikin, A. I., Dzhumadildaev A. S., Modular Lie algebras: new trends In: Yu. Bahturin (ed.), *Algebra. Proc. of the International Algebraic Conference on the Occasion of the 90th Birthday of A.G. Kurosh (May, 1998. Moscow)*, de Gruyter, Berlin (2000) 181–203
- [Kz] Koszul J.-L., Connections and splittings of supermanifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, v. 4 (1994), no. 2, 151–161
- [Ko1] Kotchetkoff [Kochetkov] Yu., Déformations des superalgèbres de Buttin et quantification. (French) [Deformations of Buttin superalgebras and quantization] *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 299 (1984), no. 14, 643–645.
- [KN] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P., Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and structures of the theory of solitons. *Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen.* 21, No.2 (1987), 46–63.
- [KrLe] Krutov A., Lebedev A., On Gradings Modulo 2 of Simple Lie Algebras in Characteristic 2. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications SIGMA* 14 (2018), 130, 27 pp. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.00638>
- [KLLS] Krutov A., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms on simple Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. Oberwolfach preprint OWP 2020-02 <http://publications.mfo.de/handle/mfo/3697>
- [KLLS1] Krutov A., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms on simple Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. *Linear Algebra and Its Appl.* (2022) <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.11653>
- [KLS] Krutov A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Non-integrable distributions with an infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebra of symmetries; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.16370>
- [Leb] Lebedev A., On reflections of roots in positive characteristic; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.02710>

- [LR] Lecomte P.A.B., Roger C., Rigidity of current Lie algebras of complex simple Lie type, *J. London Math. Soc.*, v. **37** (1988), 232–240
- [L0] Leites D., Spectra of graded commutative rings. *Uspehi Matem. Nauk*, v. 30, 1974, no. 3, 209–210 (in Russian)
- [Lber] Leites D., A certain analog of the determinant. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **35** (1975), no. 3, 156–157
- [L1] Leites D., New Lie superalgebras and mechanics. *Soviet Math. Doklady*, v. 18, no. 5, 1977, 1277–1280
- [L2] Leites D., Lie superalgebras. In: *Modern Problems of Mathematics. Recent developments*, v. 25, VINITI, Moscow, 1984, 3–49 (in Russian; English translation in: *J. Soviet Math.*, v. 30 (6), 1985, 2481–2512)
- [L3] Leites D., *Supermanifold theory*, Karelia Branch of the USSR Acad. Sci., Petrozavodsk, 1983, 200 pp. (in Russian; expanded in [L5] and [LSoS])
- [L5] Leites D. (ed.) *Seminar on Supermanifolds*, Reports of Stockholm University, nos. 1–34 (1987–89); <https://staff.math.su.se/mleites/sos.html>
- [L6] Leites D., *Quantization. Supplement 3*. In: F. Berezin, M. Shubin. *Schrödinger equation*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991, 483–522
- [L7] Leites D., Selected problems of supermanifold theory, *Duke Math. J.*, v. 54, (1987) no. 2, 649–656
- [L8] Leites D., The Riemann tensor for nonholonomic manifolds. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, v. 4 (2), (2002), 397–407; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202213>
- [LSoS] Leites D. (ed.) *Seminar on supersymmetry v. 1. Algebra and Calculus: Main chapters*, (J. Bernstein, D. Leites, V. Molotkov, V. Shander), MCCME, Moscow, 2012, 410 pp (in Russian)
- [Lq] Leites D. New simple Lie superalgebras as queerified associative algebras. *Adv. Theor. and Mathem. Physics*. V. 26, (2022) No. 9, 3189–3206; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.06917>
- [Ld] Leites D. On odd parameters in geometry. *J. Lie theory*, Volume 33, no. 4 (2023) 965–1004; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.17096>
- [Lint] Leites D. On unconventional integration on supermanifolds and cross ratio on classical superspaces. *J. of Lie Theory*. Volume 33, no. 2 (2023) 527–546; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202194>
- [LPS] Leites D., Poletaeva E., Serganova V., On Einstein equations on manifolds and supermanifolds, *J. Non-linear Math. Physics*, v. 9, (2002), no. 4, 394–425; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.DG/0306209>
- [LSS] Leites D., Saveliev M., Serganova V., Embeddings of  $\mathfrak{osp}(N|2)$  and completely integrable systems. In: M. Markov, V. Man'ko (eds.) *Proc. International Conf. Group-theoretical Methods in Physics*, Yurmala, May, 1985. Nauka, Moscow, 1986, 377–394 MR 89h:17042 (English translation: VNU Sci Press, 1987, 255–297).
- [LS] Leites D., Serganova V., Metasymmetry and Volichenko algebras. *Phys. Lett. B* 252 (1990), no. 1, 91–96. id., Symmetries wider than supersymmetries. In: S. Duplij and J. Wess (eds.) *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics*, Proc. NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Kiev, 2000. Kluwer, 13–30
- [LS1] Leites D., Serganova V., Defining relations for classical Lie superalgebras. I. Superalgebras with Cartan matrix or Dynkin-type diagram. In: J. Mickelsson, O. Pekonen (eds.) *Topological and geometrical methods in field theory* (Turku, 1991), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992, 194–201
- [LSe] Leites D., Sergeev A., Orthogonal polynomials of discrete variable and Lie algebras of complex size matrices. In: *Proceedings of M. Saveliev memorial conference*, MPI, Bonn, February, 1999; *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, v. 123 (2000), no. 2, 582–608; MPI-1999-36, 49–70 (<http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de>)
- [LSh1] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Toward classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras. In: [L5], 31/1988–14, 235–278;  
Leites D., Toward classification of classical Lie superalgebras. In: Nahm W., Chau L. (eds.) *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics* (Davis, CA, 1988), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., v. 245, Plenum, New York, (1990), 633–651
- [LSh2] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Quivers and Lie superalgebras, *Czech. J. Phys.*, v. 47, no. 12, (1997), 1221–1229;
- [LSh2'] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Quivers and Lie superalgebras. In: Eisenbud D., Martsinkovsky A., Weyman J., (eds.) *Commutative Algebra, Representation theory and Combinatorics. Conference in honor of D. Buchsbaum*, Northeastern U., Boston, October 18–20, 1997, 67
- [LSh3] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., How should the antibracket be quantized? *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, v. 126 (2001), no. 3, 281–306; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math-ph/0510048>
- [LSh4] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., The Howe duality and Lie superalgebras. In: S. Duplij and J. Wess (eds.) *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics*, Proc. NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Kiev, 2000. Kluwer, 2001, 93–112; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0202181>.

- [LSh5] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Classification of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields, preprint MPIM-Bonn 2003-28 (<http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/preblob/2178>)
- [LZ] Lopatkin V., Zusmanovich P., Commutative Lie algebras and commutative cohomology in characteristic 2. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 23 (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 2050046, 20 pp.; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.03690>
- [MaG] Manin Yu., *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997) xii+346 pp.
- [MaAG] Manin Yu., *Introduction to the Theory of Schemes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2018) 221 pp.
- [M] Mathieu O., Class of simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth, *Inv. Math.*, 108, 1992, 455–519
- [My] Montgomery S., Constructing simple Lie superalgebras from associative graded algebras. *J. Algebra* 195 (1997), no. 2, 558–579.
- [N] Neretin Yu., Roger C. (traducteur), “La méthode de la second quantification” de F.A.Berezin. Regards quarante ans plus tard; <https://hal.science/hal-00478476>
- [O] Ochiai T., Classification of the finite nonlinear primitive Lie algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 124, 1966, 313–322
- [OV] Onishchik A., Vinberg E., *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. xx+328 pp.
- [PS] Penkov I., Serganova V., Categories of integrable  $\mathfrak{sl}(\infty)$ -,  $\mathfrak{o}(\infty)$ -,  $\mathfrak{sp}(\infty)$ -modules, In: J. Adams, B. Lian., and S. Sahi (eds.), “Representation Theory and Mathematical Physics”, *Contemporary Mathematics* 557, AMS (2011), 335–357
- [PU] Pinczon G., Ushirobira R., Supertrace and superquadratic Lie structure on the Weyl algebra, and applications to formal inverse Weyl transform. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, v. 74(3), (2005), 263–291.
- [Po1] Poletaeva E., Structure functions on the usual and exotic symplectic and periplectic supermanifolds. In: *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics* (Rapallo, 1990), *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, v. 375, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 390–395
- [Po2] Poletaeva E., Semi-infinite cohomology and superconformal algebras. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 51 (2001), no.3, 745–768; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0012195>
- [Po3] Poletaeva E., Analogs of Riemann and Penrose tensors on supermanifolds; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0510165>
- [Ri] Richardson R. W., On the rigidity of semi-direct products of Lie algebras. *Pacific J. Math.* 22 (1967), no. 2, 339–344
- [Ru] Rudakov A. N., Deformations of simple Lie algebras. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* (1971), 5(5), 1120–1126
- [R1] Rudakov A.N., Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of the Cartan type, *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestia*, v. 8 (1974), 835–866.
- [R2] Rudakov A.N., Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of type  $S$  and  $H$ , *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestia*, v. 9 (1975), 465–480.
- [R3] Rudakov A.N., Morphisms of Verma modules over exceptional Lie superalgebra  $E(5,10)$ ; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1003.1369>
- [SV] Saveliev M. V., Vershik A. M., Continuum analogues of contragredient Lie algebras (Lie algebras with a Cartan operator and nonlinear dynamical systems). *Comm. Math. Phys.*, v. 126 (1989), no. 2, 367–378
- [SNR] Scheunert M., Nahm W., Rittenberg V., Classification of all simple graded Lie algebras whose Lie algebra is reductive. I, II. Construction of the exceptional algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, v. 17 (1976), no. 9, 1626–1639, 1640–1644
- [Sch] Scheunert M., *The theory of Lie superalgebras. An introduction*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 716. Springer, Berlin, 1979. x+271 pp.
- [Se1] Serganova V., Classification of simple real Lie superalgebras and symmetric superspaces. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, v. 17 (1983), no. 3, 200–207
- [Se2] Serganova V., Automorphisms of simple Lie superalgebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, v. 48 (1984), no. 3, 585–598; (in Russian) English translation: *Math. USSR-Izv.*, v. 24 (1985), no. 3, 539–551
- [Se3] Serganova V., Automorphisms of the Lie superalgebras of string theory, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 19:3 (1985), 226–228
- [Se4] Serganova V., On generalizations of root systems, *Comm. in Algebra* 24: 13 (1996) 4281–4299
- [Se5] Serganova V., Characters of irreducible representations of simple Lie superalgebras. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). *Doc. Math.* 1998, Extra Vol. II, 583–593 (electronic)

- [S0] Sergeev A. N., Irreducible representations of solvable Lie superalgebras, In: [L5], 22/1988, 1–12 and Represent. Theory, v. 3 (1999), 435–443; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/9810109>
- [S1] Sergeev A. The invariant polynomials on simple Lie superalgebras. Represent. Theory, v. 3 (1999) 250–280.
- [SeVe] Sergeev A. N., Veselov A. P. Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras. Ann. Math, v. 173, (2011) no. 2. 663–703; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0704.2250>
- [Shan] Shander V.N. Analogues of the Frobenius and Darboux theorems for supermanifolds. Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des sciences. 36 (1983) 309–311
- [Sha1] Shapovalov A.V., Finite-dimensional irreducible representations of Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik, v. 35, (1979), 541–554
- [Sha2] Shapovalov A. V., Invariant differential operators and irreducible representations of finite-dimensional Hamiltonian and Poisson Lie superalgebras. Serdica Math. J., v. 7, (1981), no. 4, 337–342 (in Russian)
- [Sh3] Shchepochkina I., Exceptional simple infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., v. 36 (1983), no. 3, 313–314 (in Russian)
- [Sh5] Shchepochkina I., The five simple exceptional Lie superalgebras of vector fields. Funct. Anal. Appl., v. 33 (1999), no. 3, 208–219 (a preliminary version of [Sh14]); <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9702121>
- [Sh14] Shchepochkina I., Five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings. Represent. Theory, v. 3, (1999), 373–415
- [ShM] Shchepochkina I., Maximal subalgebras of classical Lie superalgebras. In: C. Duval, L. Guieu and V. Ovsienko (eds.) *The orbit method in geometry and physics* (A. A. Kirillov Festschrift), Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, (2003) 445–472 (a shorter version: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9702122>)
- [Shch] Shchepochkina I., How to realize Lie algebras by vector fields. Theoret. and Math. Phys., v. 147 (2006) no. 3, 821–838; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.RT/0509472>
- [ShP] Shchepochkina I., Post G., Explicit bracket in an exceptional simple Lie superalgebra. Internat. J. Algebra Comput., v. 8 (1998), no. 4, 479–495; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/physics/9703022>
- [ShS] Sherman A., Silberberg L., A queer Kac-Moody construction; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.09559>
- [Shi] Shifman M. (ed.), *Felix Berezin. The Life and Death of the Mastermind of Supermathematics*. World Scientific, (2007) 256 pp.
- [Shei] Sheinman O., *Current algebras on Riemann surfaces*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 58, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co, Berlin–Boston, (2012), 150 pp.
- [Sm2] Shmelev Yu. The contact algebras are rigid. (in Russian) C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., v. 36 (1983), no. 5, 569–570.
- [Sk] Skryabin S., The normal shapes of symplectic and contact forms over algebras of divided powers. (1985) VINITI deposition 8504-B86 (in Russian; for an edited translation into English, see <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.11496>)
- [Sk91] Skryabin S. M., Modular Lie algebras of Cartan type over algebraically non-closed fields.I. Communications in Algebra, V. 19 (1991) 6, 1629–1741
- [Sk95] Skryabin S. M., Modular Lie algebras of Cartan type over algebraically non-closed fields.II. Communications in Algebra, V. 23 (1995) 4, 1403–1453
- [St] Sternberg S., *Lectures on differential geometry*, Chelsey, 2nd edition, (1985)
- [S] Strade H., Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic. I–III. Structure theory, *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*, Vol. 38, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (2004), viii+540 pages, (2009) vi+385 pages, (2012) x+239 pages.
- [Sud] Sudbery A., Octonionic description of exceptional Lie superalgebras. J. Math. Phys., v. 24 (1983), no. 8, 1986–1988
- [T] Tanaka N., On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudogroups. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., v. 10, (1970), 1–82;  
id., On the equivalence problems associated with simple graded Lie algebras. Hokkaido Math. J., v. 8 (1979), no. 1, 23–84
- [Tyut] Tyutin I. V., The general form of the \*-commutator on the Grassmann algebra. Theor.Math.Phys. 128 (2001) 1271–1292; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/0101068>
- [vdL] van de Leur J., A classification of contragredient Lie superalgebras of finite growth. Comm. Algebra, v. 17 (1989), no. 8, 1815–1841
- [V] Vey J., Déformations du crochet de Poisson d'une variété symplectique. Comm. Math. Helv., v. 50, (1975), 421–454

- [Vo] Voronov F.F., Quantization on supermanifolds and an analytic proof of the Atiyah–Singer index theorem, *J. Soviet Math.*, 64:4 (1993), 993–1069
- [W] Weisfeiler B., Infinite-dimensional filtered Lie algebras and their connection with the graded Lie algebras. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 2:1 (1968), 88–89
- [WZ] Wess, J., Zumino, B., Supergauge transformations in four dimensions. *Nuclear Physics B.* 70 (1) (1974) 39–50
- [Whe] Wheeler J. A., *Einstein's Vision*, Springer, Berlin et al (1968), 108 pp.
- [Y] Yamaguchi K., Differential systems associated with simple graded Lie algebras. *Progress in differential geometry*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., v. 22, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (1993), 413–494

<sup>a</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, ALBANOVÄGEN 28, SE-114 19 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN; DIMLEITES@GMAIL.COM; \*THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR, <sup>b</sup>INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOLSHOJ VLASIEVSKY PER, DOM 11, RU-119 002 MOSCOW, RUSSIA; IRINA@MCCME.RU