

# No More Sliding-Windows: Dynamic Functional Connectivity Based On Random Convolutions Without Learning

Yongjie Duan<sup>\*1</sup> and Zhiying Long<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Artificial Intelligence, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

June 25, 2024

## Abstract

In the field of dynamic functional connectivity, the sliding-window method is widely used and its stability is generally recognized. However, the sliding-window method's data processing within the window is overly simplistic, which to some extent limits its effectiveness. This study proposes a feature expansion method based on random convolution, which achieves better and more noise-resistant results than the sliding-window method without requiring training. Experiments on simulated data show that the dynamic functional connectivity matrix and time series obtained using the random convolution method have a higher degree of fit (95.59%) with the standard answers within shorter time windows, compared to the sliding-window method (45.99%). Gender difference studies on real data also reveal that the random convolution method uncovers more gender differences than the sliding-window method. Through theoretical analysis, we propose a more comprehensive convolutional functional connectivity computation model, with the sliding-window method being a special case of this model, thereby opening up vast potential for research methods in dynamic functional connectivity.

## 1 Introduction

Functional connectivity (FC) in the brain has traditionally been studied using static models that average the entire scan duration, thus failing to capture dynamic changes in connectivity patterns over time. Recent advancements have led

---

\*Email: hg349713171@gmail.com

†Corresponding author

to the development of dynamic functional connectivity (dFC) methods, which provide a more nuanced view of brain connectivity by considering temporal fluctuations. Among these methods, the sliding window approach has been widely used, wherein the FC is computed within a short, moving time window [2, 3]. However, this method is not without limitations, such as the arbitrary choice of window size and the assumption that the brain’s state changes are captured within each window [3].

To address these limitations, we propose a novel approach called the Random Convolution (RandCon) method. This method leverages random convolutions to dynamically capture functional connectivity patterns without the need for predefined sliding windows. By using random convolution kernels applied directly to fMRI signals, we aim to generate a high-dimensional feature representation that more accurately reflects the dynamic nature of brain activity. This approach not only eliminates the arbitrary choice of window size but also enhances the stability and robustness of the connectivity analysis, especially in the presence of noise.

Our study is structured as follows: we first introduce the methodology of the RandCon approach, detailing the convolution operations and the construction of functional connectivity matrices. Next, we conduct simulated data experiments to compare the performance of the RandCon method with traditional methods such as the sliding window [1], phase synchronization, and time derivative product methods. Finally, we validate our approach using real fMRI data from the Human Connectome Project, demonstrating its effectiveness in capturing gender differences in dynamic functional connectivity [4].

## 2 Method

Suppose we have a standard fMRI signal matrix, denoted as  $X$ , with dimensions  $N \times T$ , where  $N$  represents the spatial dimension (i.e., the number of brain regions) and  $T$  represents the time steps (e.g., sampling time points). We randomly generate  $K$  convolution kernels, each of size  $1 \times M$ , where  $M$  is the length of the convolution kernel. Here, the length of the convolution kernel  $M$  is less than or equal to the time steps  $T$ . The convolution kernels are initialized with random values to capture features from different time windows. By applying the convolution operation to the input signal  $X$ , a high-dimensional feature matrix is generated. To ensure that the time steps of the output after the convolution operation are the same as the input, an appropriate padding strategy is used. If zero-padding is employed, the padding length is  $\frac{(M-1)}{2}$ . The convolution operation proceeds as follows: For each convolution kernel  $K_i$  (where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ ), perform the following steps: apply the convolution kernel  $K_i$  to each row of the input signal matrix  $X$  and perform a one-dimensional convolution operation to obtain an  $N \times T$  output matrix  $Y_i$ . Specifically, for the  $n$ -th row signal  $X_n$ , the

convolution operation is given by:

$$Y_{i,n}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} X_n(t+m) \cdot k_i(M-1-m)$$

where  $t$  is the time step,  $m$  is the index of the convolution kernel, and  $X_n(t+m)$  represents the padded input signal. Next, stack the output matrices generated by all  $K$  convolution kernels together to form a new three-dimensional tensor  $Y$ , with dimensions  $N \times T \times K$ . Each output matrix  $Y_i$  from the convolution kernels constitutes one channel of the new tensor  $Y$ . The tensor  $Y$  is the upsampled fMRI signal, with dimensions elevated from the original  $N \times T$  to  $N \times T \times K$ .




Figure 1: Architecture of RandCon Model

First, through the aforementioned method, we have upsampled the original fMRI signal from  $N \times T$  to  $N \times T \times K$ . At each time point  $t$ , we obtain an  $N \times K$  matrix. For each row of this matrix, we calculate the Pearson correlation coefficients pairwise to obtain an  $N \times N$  functional connectivity matrix  $C(t)$ . The formula is as follows:

$$C_{ij}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (Y_{i,k}(t) - \bar{Y}_i(t))(Y_{j,k}(t) - \bar{Y}_j(t))}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K (Y_{i,k}(t) - \bar{Y}_i(t))^2 \sum_{k=1}^K (Y_{j,k}(t) - \bar{Y}_j(t))^2}}$$

where  $Y_{i,k}(t)$  represents the value at the  $i$ -th row and  $k$ -th column at time point  $t$ , and  $\bar{Y}_j(t)$  represents the mean of the  $i$ -th row across all columns at time point  $t$ . To identify different brain functional states, K-means clustering is used to analyze the obtained functional connectivity matrices. The specific steps are as follows: First, flatten the functional connectivity matrices  $C(t)$  at all time points  $t$  to construct a feature matrix  $F$  with dimensions  $T \times (N^2)$ . Next, perform K-means clustering on the feature matrix  $F$  to obtain  $M$  cluster

centers, with each cluster center representing a functional state. Finally, assign a state label to each time point based on the clustering results.

### 3 Simulated Data Experiment

This study uses simulated data to evaluate and compare the Random Convolution Method, Sliding Window Method, Phase Synchronization Method, and Time Derivative Product Method.

#### 3.1 Data Generation

The simulated data in this experiment is generated using the software package `osl-dynamics` developed by Chetan Gohil et al. This tool, developed in Python, can generate simulated fMRI signals and allows adjustment of parameters such as the number of states, the number of ROIs, and the TR number. The fundamental model of this software package is the HMM generation model, which assumes that the observed data is generated by a set of hidden states, with each hidden state generating observations with a certain probability. Specifically, in an HMM, the observation at each time point is determined by a hidden state, which transitions from the previous hidden state with a certain transition probability. The core of HMM is that it captures the dynamic changes and state transition patterns of time series data, making it suitable for modeling and analyzing complex time series data. The detailed meaning of each parameter can be found in the package documentation.

#### 3.2 Data Analysis

This experiment focuses on exploring the impact of noise and different parameters of the simulated data on the model results. Specifically, noise of varying degrees is added to the simulated data to compare the robustness of each model against noise. Additionally, the number of states, the total number of ROIs, the duration of time, and other parameters of the simulated data are altered to compare the performance of different models under various parameter settings. In each experiment, data from 600 subjects are generated, divided into 30 groups with 20 subjects each. The subjects in each group are fitted using different models to obtain a certain number of functional connectivity matrices. All matrices from subjects within a group are clustered together. A total of one hundred clustering iterations are performed, with the best result being used to identify the hidden states and their label sequences. The model-derived states and label sequences are then compared with the true states and sequences to calculate accuracy for each group.

#### 3.3 Evaluation Metrics

In this experiment, the performance of the algorithms is primarily measured by the ARI and cosine similarity between the model fit results and the standard

answers.

- (i) Comparison of the similarity between the derived states and the standard states (Adjusted Rand Index, ARI).
- (ii) Comparison of the similarity between the derived state sequences at each time point and the standard sequences (cosine similarity).

Here, ARI represents the model’s fitting performance in the temporal dimension, while cosine similarity represents the model’s fitting performance in the spatial dimension.

## 4 Real Data Experiment

This experiment uses real fMRI data to evaluate and compare the Random Convolution Method, Sliding Window Method, Phase Synchronization Method, and Time Derivative Product Method.

### 4.1 Dataset Description

The real data in this study is sourced from the Human Connectome Project (HCP). The HCP dataset includes resting-state fMRI data from 1200 subjects and provides minimally preprocessed fMRI data (Glasser et al. 2013). Each subject underwent four resting-state scans, each lasting approximately 15 minutes. In this paper, we selected resting-state fMRI data from one scan of 100 subjects from the HCP dataset, including 46 male and 54 female subjects. Data information: Each scan of each subject contains 1200 time points, with a magnetic field strength of 3T, TR of 720 ms, TE of 33.1 ms, flip angle of 52°, field of view of 280 mm × 280 mm, and voxel size of 2 mm × 2 mm × 2 mm. During the scan, subjects relaxed with their eyes open, fixating on a bright cross in the center of a black screen.

### 4.2 Data Preprocessing

In this experiment, we used the ICA preprocessed data from HCP, which includes spatial normalization, head motion correction, removal of non-brain voxels, ICA denoising, etc. Using the AAL template proposed by Edmund T. Rolls et al., we extracted 90 regions of interest (ROIs), which served as the nodes of the network. These 90 nodes were then divided into eight networks: the Sensory-Motor Network (SMN), Cingulo-Opercular Network (CON), Auditory Network (AUN), Default Mode Network (DMN), Visual Network (VN), Frontoparietal Network (FPN), Salience Network (SN), and Subcortical Network (SCN).

### 4.3 Experiment Content

In real data, there are no "correct answers" for states and time series, so the experimental method used in simulated data cannot be applied to real data. The HCP1200 dataset includes gender information for each subject. In this experiment, we use the Sliding Window Method and Random Convolution Method to perform statistical tests on gender differences, thereby revealing differences in dynamic functional connectivity between genders. The specific approach is as follows: Functional connectivity matrices are obtained from 100 subjects using the models, and these matrices are clustered together using K-means clustering to identify common hidden states and the time point labels for each subject. For each subject's labels, we calculate the average duration of states, state proportions, average connection strength, and average separation, and perform statistical tests between different gender groups to observe differences and their significance.

## 5 Result

From Figures 2 and 3, we can see that our proposed random convolution model outperforms the sliding window method under different noise levels, both in temporal and spatial patterns. The superiority in temporal patterns is particularly noticeable. Meanwhile, the robustness of the random convolution model is stronger when noise is present. Compared to other models, the performance of the random convolution model decreases more slowly and remains more stable as the noise increases. This is because the multiple convolution kernels achieve higher dimensional feature representation, improving the stability of the results.




Figure 2: ARI results at different noise levels




Figure 3: Cosine Similarity results at different noise levels

## 6 Discussion

This study demonstrates that the random convolution model holds significant and remarkable potential for research on dynamic functional connectivity. In fact, the widely used sliding window method is merely a special case of convo-

lution. Specifically, for a sliding window method with a window length of  $T$ , it is equivalent to the result of  $T$  convolution kernels being convolved, where the parameters within the  $t$ -th convolution kernel have a value of 1 at the  $t$ -th position and 0 at other positions. Besides the classic sliding window method, some studies have proposed different window shapes, such as Gaussian windows, Hanning windows, and Hamming windows. However, these window shapes are essentially also equivalent to  $T$  convolution kernels, with the distinction being that the value at the  $t$ -th position is no longer 1. Regardless of the type of sliding window, within the theoretical framework of multi-dimensional convolution, there are two constraints: first, the number of convolution kernels must equal the window length; second, each convolution kernel has a value at only one position, with the other positions being zero. Viewing them in the form of multi-dimensional convolution allows us to break these two constraints: firstly, any number of convolution kernels can be used; secondly, each convolution kernel can have values at different time points. This opens up new research avenues. Within the theoretical framework of this study, the sliding window is merely a special form of multi-dimensional convolution, fully encompassed by multi-dimensional convolution theory. The random convolution proposed in this study performs well when the window length is short, but since the random convolution itself does not contain information, its effectiveness decreases with longer window lengths due to the dilution of correlations. Future research should focus on better learning the internal parameters of the convolution kernels, making the dimensionality increase within the window more meaningful and effective, and enabling it to perform well with longer window lengths.

## 7 Conclusion

In conclusion, the RandCon method represents a significant advancement in the study of dynamic functional connectivity. By leveraging random convolutions, our approach overcomes the limitations of traditional sliding window methods, offering a more flexible and robust means of capturing the dynamic nature of brain connectivity. Our simulated data experiments demonstrate that the RandCon method outperforms traditional methods in both temporal and spatial pattern recognition, particularly under varying noise conditions. The application of RandCon to real fMRI data further validates its effectiveness, revealing meaningful insights into gender differences in brain connectivity.

Overall, the RandCon method provides a powerful tool for neuroscientists, enabling more accurate and detailed analysis of brain connectivity dynamics. Future research can build upon this foundation by exploring the integration of RandCon with other machine learning techniques and expanding its application to various neurological conditions and cognitive tasks. By continuing to refine and expand this approach, we can deepen our understanding of the complex and dynamic nature of brain function. [1] [2] [3] [4]

## References

- [1] Cláudia Fonseca, Inês Esteves, Marta Xavier, Ana Fouto, Amparo Ruiz-Tagle, Nuno A Silva, Rita G Nunes, Raquel Gil-Gouveia, Joana Cabral, and Patrícia Figueiredo. Comparing sliding window correlation and instantaneous phase coherence in fmri dynamic functional connectivity analysis.
- [2] Lucrezia Liuzzi, Andrew J Quinn, George C O'Neill, Mark W Woolrich, Matthew J Brookes, Arjan Hillebrand, and Prejaas Tewarie. How sensitive are conventional meg functional connectivity metrics with sliding windows to detect genuine fluctuations in dynamic functional connectivity? *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 13:797, 2019.
- [3] Sadia Shakil, Chin-Hui Lee, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. Evaluation of sliding window correlation performance for characterizing dynamic functional connectivity and brain states. *Neuroimage*, 133:111–128, 2016.
- [4] Victor M Vergara, Anees Abrol, and Vince D Calhoun. An average sliding window correlation method for dynamic functional connectivity. *Human Brain Mapping*, 40(7):2089–2103, 2019.