

ENDPOINT MULTILINEAR RESTRICTED WEAK TYPE EXTRAPOLATION THEOREM

KANGWEI LI, TERESA LUQUE, AND SHELDY OMBROSI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present a generalization in the context of multilinear Muckenhoupt classes of the endpoint extrapolation theorem on restricted weights due to Carro, Grafakos and Soria [8]. Moreover, our main result is obtained on limited ranges of boundedness and to this aim we introduce a new limited range, off-diagonal extrapolation theorem in the context of restricted weights. In addition, as one of the applications, we prove endpoint estimates of certain bi-sublinear maximal functions associated with the study of return time theorems in ergodic theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Rubio de Francia extrapolation theorem [30] raises one of the most striking issues concerning weighted inequalities. This theorem posits that an estimate for an operator T on $L^{p_0}(w)$ for a single p_0 and all A_{p_0} weights w implies a similar $L^p(w)$ estimate for all p in $(1, \infty)$ and every $w \in A_p$. After this result was first proved, many other versions and improvements have appeared in the literature. A more detailed background can be found in [14]. In particular, the operator itself does not play any role in the theorem and the statement can be given in terms of families of pairs of nonnegative measurable functions (see [12]). The extrapolation can also be generalized for the off-diagonal case in which the inequalities are from $L^p(w^p)$ to $L^q(w^q)$ for possibly different values for the exponents p and q and the weight w in the appropriate classes $A_{p,q}$ (see [17, 20, 15]). Although for operators that are unbounded outside a range of the form (p^-, p^+) with $1 < p^- < p^+ < \infty$ classical extrapolation does not work, it has been extended to this scenario in [4, 15].

In the multi-variable setting, there are some Rubio de Francia's extrapolation results. In [16] it was shown that if T is bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1) \cdots \times L^{p_m}(w_m)$ into $L^p(w_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \cdots w_m^{\frac{p}{p_m}})$ for $w_i \in A_{p_i}$ and for some fixed exponents

Key words and phrases. Weighted inequalities; multilinear Muckenhoupt weights; Rubio de Francia extrapolation; restricted weak type.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through project numbers 12222114 and 12001400. The second and third authors were supported by Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación grant PID2020-113048GB-I00.

$1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ with the relation given by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}, \quad (1)$$

then the same holds for all possible values of p_j , $p_j > 1$. This result is also obtained in [15] using by iteration an off-diagonal extrapolation argument. These first results treat each variable separately with its own Muckenhoupt class of weights and do not really use the multivariable nature of the problem. In [23] is presented an extrapolation argument using the multilinear Muckenhoupt classes of weights introduced in [21]. Namely, given $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ with the relation (1), $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ is in the class $A_{\vec{p}}$ if

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_i^{1-p'_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} < \infty, \quad (2)$$

where $w = w_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \dots w_m^{\frac{p}{p_m}}$ and the exponents verify the relation (1). These classes of weights characterize the boundedness of the sub-multilinear maximal function \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_i(y)| dy \right).$$

It was also shown in [21] that this is the appropriate class of weights for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators.

In [23], the authors exploited the clever off-diagonal argument presented in [15] to prove multilinear extrapolation for the class $A_{\vec{p}}$. One of the key points there it was to understand that the multilinear condition (2) could be “linearized” by changing to a weighted measure space (see Lemma 3.2 in [23]). Subsequently, a quantitative extrapolation result for these weights was obtained in [25] via a generalization of the Rubio de Francia algorithm to the multilinear setting. The argument in [25] allows also one to reach the upper endpoint. For more results concerning the upper endpoint extrapolation in the linear and multilinear context see also [22, 24, 26].

A weakness of Rubio de Francia’s theory is that it does not allow to extrapolate up to the (lower) endpoint. More precisely, in the one-variable case it is not possible to obtain an estimate for $p = 1$. In [8], the authors present a solution for this matter introducing a slightly bigger class than A_{p_0} , named \widehat{A}_{p_0} , for which given a restricted weak-type (p_0, p_0) bounded operator $T : L^{p_0,1}(w) \rightarrow L^{p_0,\infty}(w)$, for some $p_0 > 1$ and every $w \in \widehat{A}_{p_0}$, then $T : L^{1,\frac{1}{p_0}}(w) \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}(w)$ for every $w \in A_1$. The class \widehat{A}_p is closely related to the restricted A_p class, $A_p^{\mathcal{R}}$, which characterizes the restricted weak-type boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function M (see [19] for this characterization).

Extrapolation involving the classes \widehat{A}_p and $A_p^{\mathcal{R}}$ is known as restricted weak type Rubio de Francia’s extrapolation and after the pioneering paper [8], different applications have been developed around it. In particular, in the multi-variable context this argument allows to extrapolate down to

$(1, \dots, 1)$, considering hypothesis in each variable (weight) separately. See [10] and [28].

The purpose of this paper is to establish multi-variable restricted weak type extrapolation results to down to the lower endpoint for vector weights $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ associated with restricted weak type estimates. The first result in this direction can be found in [28, Chapter 5, Theorem 5.2.2] where the $A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ class was introduced (see also [27] and [6]). We recall now the definition of these classes.

Let $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$. We say $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ if

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{\frac{p}{p_i}} \right)^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\chi_Q w_i^{-1}\|_{L^{p_i', \infty}(\frac{w_i}{|Q|})} < \infty, \quad (3)$$

where recall that when $p_i = 1$ then

$$\|\chi_Q w_i^{-1}\|_{L^{p_i', \infty}(\frac{w_i}{|Q|})} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_Q w_i^{-1}.$$

Observe that if $p_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and therefore $p = \frac{1}{m}$ the class $A_{\frac{1}{m}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ actually agrees with the class $A_{\frac{1}{m}}$ introduced in [21].

It is proven (see [27, 28]) $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} : L^{p_1, 1}(w_1) \dots \times L^{p_m, 1}(w_m) \rightarrow L^p(w_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \dots w_m^{\frac{p}{p_m}})$. Moreover, the recent preprint [29] presents the first restricted weak-type extrapolation scheme assuming multi-variable conditions (see [29, Theorem 8.21]). However, there the extrapolation is only in one component, the starting point is $(p_1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ($p_1 > 1$) to go down to $(1, \dots, 1)$. Finally in the current paper we complete the picture and we can obtain the following result, which represents one of the main contributions of this work.

Theorem 1.1. *Given measurable functions f_1, \dots, f_m and g . Suppose that for some exponents $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ with $1/p = \sum_i 1/p_i$ and for all $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ we have*

$$\|g\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, 1}(w_i)}. \quad (4)$$

Then for all $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in A_{\frac{1}{m}}$,

$$\|g\|_{L^{1/m, \infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m v_i^{1/m})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{1, 1/p_i}(v_i)}. \quad (5)$$

As it happens we are able to prove a more general theorem. In fact, a limited range version to reach the endpoint case is possible, and as a particular case, it gives us Theorem 1.1. One of the interests of having a limited range version of the previous theorem is associated with the fact that depending on the singularity of the operators, a higher local integrability of the intervening weights is necessary for their boundedness. See, for example, [18], [11] or [5] where that fact is well reflected in the multilinear case.

To state this theorem, we need to introduce some notation. We start by defining the general restricted weights class $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. We say $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, if

$$[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}} := \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} < \infty,$$

where $w = \prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i}$, $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_{m+1} < \infty$, $r_i \leq p_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $p < r'_{m+1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \frac{1}{\delta_i} := \frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{p_i} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m+1, \quad \frac{1}{p_{m+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Here if $r_i = p_i$ we have the expression

$$\|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Note that our formulation is different from (3) when $r_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m+1$. However, thanks to Lemma 3.3 below we see that these two formulations coincide (see Remark 3.4 for details).

Now with the previous notation we can state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Given measurable functions f_1, \dots, f_m and g and some exponents $1 \leq r_i \leq p_i < \infty$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq m$, with $1/p = \sum_i 1/p_i > 1/r'_{m+1}$ for some $1 \leq r_{m+1} < \infty$. Suppose that for some constants $\alpha_i \in (0, p_i]$ and for all $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ we have*

$$\|g\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, \alpha_i}(w_i)}. \quad (6)$$

Then for all $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in A_{(r_1, \dots, r_m), \vec{r}}$,

$$\|g\|_{L^{\tilde{r}, \infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m v_i^{\tilde{r}/r_i})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{r_i, \alpha_i r_i/p_i}(v_i)}, \quad (7)$$

where \tilde{r} is defined via $1/\tilde{r} = \sum_{i=1}^m 1/r_i$.

Remark 1.3. Observe that if $\alpha_i = p_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we genuinely end up with a weak type estimate.

An idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2 : As the multi-variable extrapolation presented in [23] that follows the scheme of [15], the proof of this theorem is based on two main ingredients: an off-diagonal theorem in the restricted context and a structural result concerning the $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ classes. In fact, we believe that, the result that deals with the structure of the $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ (see Proposition 3.1 in the next section) represents one of the novel points of this paper even in the particular case of $r_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m+1$. It shows the connection between the multi-components of the vector weight in $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ classes. An analogous characterization for the $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}$ classes can be found in [23, Lemma 3.2]. In that case or in the equivalent presented in [21, Theorem 3.6] (for $A_{\vec{p}}$) complete characterizations are achievable due to (among other things) each

weight $\sigma_i = w_i^{1-p'_i} \in A_\infty$. However, in our context, the $A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ classes generally do not exhibit such good property in the weights σ_i . To overcome this obstacle and obtain a useful variant, a deeper understanding of weak norms is necessary. This understanding is partially facilitated by a Sawyer-type inequality, which can be found in, for example, [13].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we provide an off-diagonal extrapolation theorem. In Section 3 we obtain a partial characterization of the classes $A_{\vec{p},\vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, in the last section we present several applications.

2. A GENERAL OFF-DIAGONAL EXTRAPOLATION THEOREM

This section is devoted to proving a general off-diagonal extrapolation theorem. First, we need to introduce some notation.

Let μ be a doubling measure. For $1 \leq r < \infty$ we will say that $v \in \widehat{A}_r(\mu)$ if and only if there exist $u_1 \in A_1(\mu)$ and a function g with $M_\mu g(x) < \infty$ a.e x such that $v := u_1 M_\mu g^{1-r}$. Observe that the class $\widehat{A}_1(\mu)$ agrees with $A_1(\mu)$. Moreover, if $0 < q < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$ we will say that $v \in \widehat{A}_{r,q}(\mu)$ if and only if $v^q \in \widehat{A}_{1+\frac{q}{r}}(\mu)$.

We will need the following result.

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). *Let μ be a weight satisfying A_∞ condition. If $u \in A_1(\mu)$ and $w \in A_\infty(\mu)$ then*

$$\left\| \frac{M_\mu f}{v} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}(wv\mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(u\mu)}.$$

This result appeared first in [13] in the particular case $\mu = 1$, but using the A_∞ condition the same proof there also works.

Now, we state the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.2. *Let μ a weight satisfying the A_∞ condition, and let $1 \leq r_0 \leq p_0 < \infty$, $0 < q_0 < s_0$ and $\alpha \in (0, p_0]$. Assume that for certain measurable functions f and g and for all $w \in \widehat{A}_{\frac{p_0}{r_0}, \frac{s_0}{r_0}}(\mu)$, where δ_0 is defined via $1/\delta_0 = 1/q_0 - 1/s_0$, there holds*

$$\|g\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(w^{\frac{q_0}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q_0}{\delta_0}})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_0,\alpha}(w^{\frac{p_0}{r_0}} \mu^{1-\frac{p_0}{r_0}})}.$$

Then for $0 < q < s_0$ with

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{1}{p_0}$$

and all $w \in \widehat{A}_{1, \frac{s_0}{r_0}}(\mu)$, where $1/\delta_1 = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s_0}$, we have

$$\|g\|_{L^{q,\infty}(w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}.$$

Before providing a proof of this result, we observe that in the particular case $r_0 = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ the previous theorem is contained in [29, Theorem 8.10].

Proof. Let $H = |f|^{r_0} w^{1-\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \mu^{-1}$. Then set

$$E = \left\{ w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0} \cdot \frac{q}{s_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{s_0}} M_\mu H > (\gamma y)^{r_0} \right\}, \quad F = \left\{ w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0} \cdot \frac{q}{s_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{s_0}} M_\mu H \leq (\gamma y)^{r_0}, |g| > y \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\{|g|>y\}} w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} \leq \int_E w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} + \int_F w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}}.$$

For the first term, we have

$$\int_E w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} = \int_E w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \left(w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0} \cdot \frac{q}{s_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{s_0}} \right)^{-1} \mu.$$

Since $w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \in A_1(\mu)$ and

$$w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \left(w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0} \cdot \frac{q}{s_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{s_0}} \right)^{-1} = \left(w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \right)^{1-\frac{q}{s_0}} (\mu^{-1})^{\frac{q}{s_0}} \in A_\infty(\mu),$$

by Lemma 2.1 and $\alpha \leq p_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} &\lesssim (\gamma y)^{-r_0} \int H w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}} \mu = (\gamma y)^{-r_0} \int |f|^{r_0} w \\ &\lesssim (\gamma y)^{-r_0} \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}^{r_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It remains to estimate the integral over F . Let

$$\beta = \frac{q_0/r_0}{(p_0/r_0)'}.$$

Then it is easy to check that $1 + \beta = q_0/q$ and therefore

$$1 - \frac{q}{s_0}(1 + \beta) = \frac{q_0}{\delta_0}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} &\leq (\gamma y)^{r_0 \beta} \int_{\{|g|>y\}} \frac{w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}}}{(M_\mu H)^\beta \left(w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0} \cdot \frac{q}{s_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{s_0}} \right)^\beta} \\ &= (\gamma y)^{r_0 \beta} \int_{\{|g|>y\}} w^{\frac{\delta_1}{r_0}(1-\frac{q}{s_0}(1+\beta))} \mu^{1-\frac{q}{s_0}(1+\beta)} (M_\mu H)^{-\beta} \\ &= (\gamma y)^{r_0 \beta} \int_{\{|g|>y\}} \left[w^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_0}} (M_\mu H)^{-\frac{1}{(p_0/r_0)'}} \right]^{\frac{q_0}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q_0}{\delta_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed we need

$$v := w^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_0}} (M_\mu H)^{-\frac{1}{(p_0/r_0)'}} \in \widehat{A}_{p_0/r_0, \delta_0/r_0}(\mu).$$

This is indeed the case since

$$\left[w^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_0}} (M_\mu H)^{-\frac{1}{(p_0/r_0)'}} \right]^{\delta_0/r_0} = w^{\delta_1/r_0} (M_\mu H)^{-\frac{\delta_0/r_0}{(p_0/r_0)'}} \in \widehat{A}_{1+\frac{\delta_0/r_0}{(p_0/r_0)'}}(\mu).$$

Thus by the assumption and $M_\mu H \geq H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_F w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} \\
& \leq (\gamma y)^{r_0 \beta} \int_{\{|g|>y\}} v^{\frac{q_0}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q_0}{\delta_0}} \\
& \lesssim \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \|f\|_{L^{p_0, \alpha}(v^{\frac{p_0}{r_0}} \mu^{1 - \frac{p_0}{r_0}})}^{q_0} \\
& \sim \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_{\{|f|>s\}} w^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_0} \cdot \frac{p_0}{r_0}} (M_\mu H)^{1 - \frac{p_0}{r_0}} \mu^{1 - \frac{p_0}{r_0}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_0}} ds \right)^{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}} \\
& \leq \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_{\{|f|>s\}} w^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_0} \cdot \frac{p_0}{r_0}} H^{1 - \frac{p_0}{r_0}} \mu^{1 - \frac{p_0}{r_0}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_0}} ds \right)^{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}} \\
& = \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_{\{|f|>s\}} w |f|^{r_0 - p_0} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_0}} ds \right)^{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}} \\
& \leq \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{\frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0} - 1} \left(\int_{\{|f|>s\}} w \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_0}} ds \right)^{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}} \\
& \sim \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}^{\frac{q_0 r_0}{p_0}}. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining estimates (8) and (9) we have

$$\int_{\{|g|>y\}} w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} \lesssim (\gamma y)^{-r_0} \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}^{r_0} + \gamma^{r_0 \beta} y^{r_0 \beta - q_0} \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}^{\frac{q_0 r_0}{p_0}}.$$

Optimizing the choice of γ we get

$$\int_{\{|g|>y\}} w^{\frac{q}{r_0}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\delta_1}} \lesssim y^{-q} \|f\|_{L^{r_0, \frac{\alpha r_0}{p_0}}(w)}^q$$

and the result follows. \square

3. THE CLASSES $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We recall the definition of the class $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. We say $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, if

$$[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}} := \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} < \infty,$$

where $w = \prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i}$, $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_{m+1} < \infty$, $r_i \leq p_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $p < r'_{m+1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \frac{1}{\delta_i} := \frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{p_i} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m+1, \quad \frac{1}{p_{m+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

For notational convenience, we also set $\frac{1}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{r_i}$ and the following expressions

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} := \frac{1}{r_m} - \frac{1}{r'_{m+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \mu := \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} w_i^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \right)^{\varrho}.$$

We now supply the sufficient understanding of the classes $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ to prove Theorem 1.2.

- Proposition 3.1.** (a) *Let $1 \leq r_i \leq p_i < \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $p_m = r_m$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_i \frac{1}{p_i} > \frac{1}{r_{m+1}}$. Then $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ if and only if $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $w_m^{\varrho/r_m} \in A_1(\mu)$.*
- (b) *Let $1 \leq r_i \leq p_i < \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_i \frac{1}{p_i} > \frac{1}{r_{m+1}}$. Assume that $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{(p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, r_m), \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $W^{\delta_{m+1}/r_m} \in \widehat{A}_{1+\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{\delta_m}}(\mu)$. If we set $w_m = W^{\frac{p_m}{r_m}} \mu^{-\frac{p_m}{\delta_m}}$, then $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$.*

Assuming Proposition 3.1 we can give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof Theorem 1.2. If necessary, we may reindex the subscript so that we always have $p_m > r_m$ (if $p_i = r_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ then there is nothing to prove). Thus by part (b) of Proposition 3.1 and the assumption we know that for every fixed $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{(p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, r_m), \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and all $W \in \widehat{A}_{\frac{p_m}{r_m}, \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{r_m}}(\mu)$ (i.e. $W^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{r_m}} \in \widehat{A}_{1+\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{\delta_m}}(\mu)$),

$$\|g\|_{L^{p, \infty}(W^{\frac{p}{r_m}} \mu^{\frac{p}{\delta_{m+1}}})} \lesssim \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, \alpha_i}(w_i)} \right) \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m, \alpha_m}(W^{\frac{p_m}{r_m}} \mu^{1-\frac{p_m}{r_m}})}.$$

Then applying Theorem 2.2 with

$$r_0 = r_m, \quad p_0 = p_m, \quad \delta_0 = \delta_{m+1}, \quad q_0 = p, \quad s_0 = r'_{m+1}, \quad \delta_1 = \varrho$$

for all $V \in \widehat{A}_{1, \varrho/r_m}(\mu)$ (in other words $V^{\varrho/r_m} \in A_1(\mu)$), we have

$$\|g\|_{L^{q, \infty}(V^{\frac{q}{r_m}} \mu^{\frac{q}{\varrho}})} \lesssim \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, \alpha_i}(w_i)} \right) \|f_m\|_{L^{r_m, \frac{\alpha_m r_m}{p_m}}(V)}, \quad (10)$$

where q is defined via $1/q = 1/r_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1/p_i$. Now, by part (a) of Proposition 3.1 we have that (10) holds for all

$$(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, V) \in A_{(p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, r_m), \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Repeating the above process will send us to the desired estimate. \square

The rest of this section will be dedicated to proving Proposition 3.1. With the previous notation, first we show the regularity that the class $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ provides to the weight w .

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. Then $w^{\delta_{m+1}/p} \in A_{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. In particular, if $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{(p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, r_m), \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, then $\mu \in A_{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\varrho}^{\mathcal{R}} \subset A_\infty$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\delta_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_{m+1}} - \frac{1}{p} = \left(\frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}.$$

Let

$$s_i = \delta_i \left[\left(\frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}} \right].$$

Then by Hölder's inequality for weak type Lebesgue spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \chi_Q w^{-\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}} \right\|_{L^{((\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1})', \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p_i} \cdot \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}} \right\|_{L^{s_i((\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1})', \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p_i} \cdot \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}} \cdot (\frac{1}{r}-1)} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})}^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{r}-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})}^{\frac{r}{1-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \chi_Q w^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}} \right\|_{L^{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \chi_Q w^{-\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1}}} \right\|_{L^{((\frac{1}{r}-1)\delta_{m+1})', \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}} \cdot \frac{r}{1-r}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})}^{\frac{r}{1-r}} \\ & \leq ([\bar{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}}^{\mathcal{R}})^{\frac{r}{1-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof Proposition 3.1. We start with (a). Suppose that $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $w_m^{\varrho/r_m} \in A_1(\mu)$. Then by definition,

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_Q \frac{\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q w_m^{\varrho/r_m} \mu}{\text{ess inf}_Q w_m^{\varrho/r_m}} < \infty.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_m^{\varrho/r_m} \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \text{ess sup}_Q w_m^{-\frac{1}{r_m}} \\ & = \sup_Q \left[\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left\| \chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \right] \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q w_m^{\varrho/r_m} \mu}{\text{ess inf}_Q w_m^{\varrho/r_m}} \right)^{1/\varrho} \right] \\ & \leq [(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1)]_{A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}}^{\mathcal{R}} [w_m^{\varrho/r_m}]_{A_1(\mu)}^{1/\varrho}. \end{aligned}$$

On the contrary, suppose that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. Then since

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \gtrsim 1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q w_m^{\frac{\delta}{r_m}} \mu}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q w_m^{\frac{\delta}{r_m}}} \right)^{1/\delta} \geq 1.$$

By the same computation we get that $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1) \in A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $w_m^{\frac{\delta}{r_m}} \in A_1(\mu)$ immediately.

Next we prove part (b). We may without loss of generality assume that $p_m > r_m$ since otherwise it is already included in part (a). Then we have that $W^{\delta_{m+1}/r_m} = u_m (M_\mu g)^{-\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{\delta_m}}$ with some $u_m \in A_1(\mu)$. We will prove that

$$\|\chi_Q w_m^{-\frac{1}{p_m}}\|_{L^{\delta_m, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q (M_\mu g)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q u_m^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}}} \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}}. \quad (11)$$

In fact, if we prove (11), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mu W^{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{r_m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q (M_\mu g)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q u_m^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}}} \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mu u_m \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \frac{1}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q u_m^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}}} \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m} + \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{\delta_i, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ & \leq [(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 1)]_{A_{(p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, r_m), \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it remains to prove (11). By definition,

$$w_m^{-\frac{1}{p_m}} = u_m^{-\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}} (M_\mu g)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}} \mu^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_Q w_m^{-\frac{1}{p_m}}\|_{L^{\delta_m, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} & \lesssim \|\chi_Q \mu^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}} (M_\mu g)^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}}\|_{L^{\delta_m, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \frac{1}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q u_m^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}}} \\ & = \|\chi_Q \mu M_\mu g\|_{L^{1, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})}^{\frac{1}{\delta_m}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q u_m^{\frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 we know that μ is doubling. It is well-known that

$$\chi_Q M_\mu g \sim \chi_Q \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q M_\mu g + \chi_Q M_\mu (g\chi_{3Q}).$$

Hence by applying Lemma 2.1 to $f = g\chi_{3Q}$ and $v = \mu^{-1} \in A_\infty(\mu)$ and $u = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_Q \mu M_\mu g\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|})} &\lesssim \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|}\right) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q M_\mu g + |Q|^{-1} \|\chi_Q \mu M_\mu (g\chi_{3Q})\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|}\right) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q M_\mu g + |Q|^{-1} \int_{3Q} |g| \mu \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|}\right) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q M_\mu g, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used again that μ is doubling. The proof is now complete. \square

Now, to finish this section we prove the following result which, in particular, shows that in the case $r_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ then $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}} \equiv A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $q \geq 1$ and v be a weight. For fixed $0 < a < 1$ and $0 \leq b < 1$, we have that*

$$\|\chi_Q v^{-1}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(v)} \sim \|\chi_Q v^{-a}\|_{L^{kq,\infty}(v^b)}^k, \quad (12)$$

where $k = \frac{1}{aq'} + \frac{b}{aq}$.

Proof. Let $S = \sup_{t>0} t v(\{x \in Q : t < v^{-1}(x) \leq 2t\})^{1/q}$. Since $1/q \leq 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} t v(\{x \in Q : t < v^{-1}(x)\})^{1/q} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t v(\{x \in Q : 2^k t < v^{-1}(x) \leq 2^{k+1} t\})^{1/q} \\ &\leq S \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2S. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\chi_Q v^{-1}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(v)} \sim S$. Now with this estimate, equivalence (12) follows directly. In fact, since $0 < a < 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_Q v^{-1}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(v)} &\sim \sup_{t>0} t v(\{x \in Q : v^{-1}(x) \sim t\})^{1/q} \\ &\sim \sup_{t>0} t [t^{(b-1)} v^b(\{x \in Q : v^{-a}(x) \sim t^a\})]^{1/q} \\ &\sim \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{q'} + \frac{b}{q}} v^b(\{x \in Q : v^{-a}(x) \sim t^a\})^{1/q} \\ &\sim \sup_{t>0} \left(t v^b(\{x \in Q : v^{-a}(x) \sim t\})^{1/kq} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{1}{aq'} + \frac{b}{aq}$. \square

Remark 3.4. Observe that if we apply the previous lemma systematically with $q = p'_i$, $a = \frac{1}{p_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $b = 0$ (so that $k = 1$) we have

$$\|\chi_Q w_i^{-1}\|_{L^{p'_i, \infty}\left(\frac{w_i}{|Q|}\right)} \sim \|\chi_Q w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L^{p'_i, \infty}\left(\frac{dx}{|Q|}\right)}.$$

With this at hand it is obvious to see that if $r_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ then $A_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\mathcal{R}} \equiv A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$.

4. APPLICATIONS

To show the first application, we need to introduce some notation. For brevity, we will present it in the bilinear case, though the same arguments can be extended to the multilinear case. Before stating the theorem, we will define an operator as bi-sublinear if it simultaneously satisfies the following conditions:

$$|T(f_1 + f_2, g)| \leq |T(f_1, g)| + |T(f_2, g)|$$

and

$$|T(f, g_1 + g_2)| \leq |T(f, g_1)| + |T(f, g_2)|,$$

where we assume that $|T|$ is increasing in each coordinate, and $\text{supp } f_1 \cap \text{supp } f_2 = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp } g_1 \cap \text{supp } g_2 = \emptyset$, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a bi-sublinear operator. Assume that there exists $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that for every measurable Lebesgue sets E and F in \mathbb{R}^n (with finite measure) and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ we have that*

$$|T(\lambda_1 \chi_E, \lambda_2 \chi_F)(x)| \lesssim (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^\alpha \mathcal{M}(\chi_E, \chi_F)(x)^\alpha, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (13)$$

Then

$$\| |T(f_1, f_2)|^{1/\alpha} \|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}, \infty}(v_1^{1/2} v_2^{1/2})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{1, \frac{q}{\alpha}}(v_i)},$$

for all $(v_1, v_2) \in A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}}$ and $q > 2\alpha$.

Proof. Observe that even that if T is sublinear, in general $S(f_1, f_2) = |T(f_1, f_2)|^{1/\alpha}$ could not be for $\alpha < 1$. We fix $q > 2\alpha$ and we take $p = \frac{q}{2}$ and $p_1 = p_2 = q$. We claim that for every pair of weights $(w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ the operator S satisfies that

$$\|S(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, \alpha}(w_i)}. \quad (14)$$

Obtaining that estimate the conclusion will follow by applying Theorem 1.2 Fix non-negative functions f_1 and f_2 . For $j, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will use the notation $E_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^j \leq f_1(x) < 2^{j+1}\}$, $F_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^i \leq f_2(x) < 2^{i+1}\}$.

Then using the hypothesis of T it is not difficult to check that

$$|T(f_1, f_2)| \lesssim \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\alpha j} 2^{\alpha i} \mathcal{M}(\chi_{E_j}, \chi_{F_i})^\alpha.$$

Then, using that $\frac{p}{\alpha} > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})}^\alpha &= \|T(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\alpha j} 2^{\alpha i} \|\mathcal{M}(\chi_{E_j}, \chi_{F_i})^\alpha\|_{L^{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})}. \end{aligned}$$

But since

$$\|\mathcal{M}(\chi_{E_j}, \chi_{F_i})^\alpha\|_{L^{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})} = \|\mathcal{M}(\chi_{E_j}, \chi_{F_i})\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})}^\alpha,$$

and since $(w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ we can obtain that

$$\|S(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w_1^{1/2} w_2^{1/2})}^\alpha \lesssim \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\alpha j} 2^{\alpha i} w_1(E_j)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_1}} w_2(F_i)^{\frac{\alpha}{p_2}}.$$

From that using standard arguments it is not difficult to check that the previous sum is essentially bounded by $\left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i, \alpha}(w_i)}\right)^\alpha$ and claim (14) follows. □

Now, we will apply the previous theorem to the following particular operator

$$N(f, g)(x) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \frac{|f(x+y)||g(x+y)|}{|y|^{2n}} > \lambda\}|^2$$

We observe that the operator N can be regarded as an specific instance, considering the additive group, of a bilinear variant of the (sublinear) maximal operators discussed in [9]. In that work, intriguing applications to ergodic theory were derived employing an extension of the restricted extrapolation theorem within the linear setting, applied to weights belonging to one-sided Sawyer's classes. In fact using similar ideas as in [9] (see also [1] and [7]) we could deduce endpoint estimates for a discrete variant of N . However, for the sake of brevity and since we can already show the potential of Theorem 4.1 with the operator N , we remain in that particular continuous context. We would like also mention that other bilinear variants (in the discrete setting) with negative results already were considered in [3].

Corollary 4.2. *Let $q > 1$. Then*

$$\|N(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}, \infty}(v_1^{1/2} v_2^{1/2})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{1, \frac{1}{2q}}(v_i)},$$

Proof. Observe that if we denote

$$T(f_1, f_2) = N(f_1, f_2)^{1/2}.$$

It is not difficult to check that T is a bi-sublinear operator. On the other hand for fixed measurable sets E and F

$$T(\lambda_1 \chi_E, \lambda_2 \chi_F)(x) \lesssim \sup_{\lambda} \lambda \int_{|y| < \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/2n}}{\lambda^{1/n}}} \chi_E(x+y) \chi_F(x+y).$$

So, rescaling and using Hölder we can check that T satisfies (13) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, T verifies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1. \square

Finally, we will describe another abstract result focussing on the natural fact that if $p > 1$ then the space $L^{p,\infty}(\mu)$ is a normable space, while at the endpoint, only if some $p_i = 1$ in the relationship $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ will imply that $p < 1$, and therefore arguments using duality cannot be directly applied.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that for each $j = 1, \dots, m$ we have an operator $T_j(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ defined for functions $f_i \in L^{p_i}(w_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$. Such that for some $p > 1$ and for every vector weight $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ we have*

$$\|T_j(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i})} \leq c_j(\vec{w}) \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i,1}(w_i)},$$

where the non-negative scalars $c_j(\vec{w})$ satisfy that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(\vec{w}) \leq C(\vec{w}) < \infty$. Then, the operator $T = \sum_j T_j$ satisfies

$$\|T(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^{1/m,\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m v_i^{1/m})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{1,1/p_i}(v_i)},$$

for all $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in A_{\frac{1}{m}}$,

The previous theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the fact that for $p > 1$, $L^{p,\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^m w_i^{p/p_i})$ satisfies Minkowski's inequality. Of course, we could apply the previous theorem in the case that we have only an operator T .

We finish this presentation with a last remark.

Remark 4.4. The operator N in Corollary 4.2 also shows the sharpness of Theorem 1.2, in the sense that in general the right hand side of (7) it is not possible to change the $L^{1,1/p_i}(w_i)$ norm by $L^1(w_i)$ norm. In fact, assuming $n = 1$, N does not satisfy an estimate $L^1 \times L^1 \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}$. If that is the case choosing $f = g$ we could deduce that the operator $N^*(f) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{|f(x+y)|}{|y|} > \lambda\}|$ is weak type (1,1). And it is well known that this is not the case, see for instance [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The three authors express profound gratitude to M. J. Carro. Her invaluable assistance, insightful comments, and engaging discussions were indispensable for the completion of this work. In particular, we are indebted to her for generously sharing with us a preliminary version of Theorem 2.2 and also the preprint [10], and for bringing a variant of Remark 4.4 to our attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Assani, *Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem, in brief*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (2022), no. 2, 198–209.
- [2] I. Assani, Z. Buczolich, and D. Mauldin, *An L^1 counting problem in ergodic theory*, J. Anal. Math. **95** (2005), 221–241.
- [3] I. Assani, Z. Buczolich, *The (L^1, L^1) bilinear Hardy-Littlewood function and Furstenberg averages*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **26** (2010), no.3, 861–890.
- [4] P. Auscher, J. M. Martell, *Weighted norm inequalities, off-diagonal estimates and elliptic operators. I. General operator theory and weights*, Adv. Math. **212** (2007): 225–276.
- [5] C. Benea and C. Muscalu, *Multiple vector-valued, mixed-norm estimates for Littlewood-Paley square functions*, Publ. Mat. 66 (2022), **2**, 631–681.
- [6] A. Bernardis, R. Crescimbeni and F. J. Martín-Reyes, *Multilinear Cesàro maximal operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **397** (2013), no.1, 191–204.
- [7] M. J. Carro and C. Domingo-Salazar, *The return times property for the tail on logarithm-type spaces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 38 (2018), no. 4, 2065–2078.
- [8] M. J. Carro, L. Grafakos, and J. Soria, *Weighted weak-type $(1, 1)$ estimates via Rubio de Francia extrapolation*, J. Funct. Anal., **269** (5) (2015): 1203–1233.
- [9] M. J. Carro, M. Lorente, and F. J. Martín-Reyes, *A counting problem in ergodic theory and extrapolation for one-sided weights*, J. Anal. Math. **134** (2018), no. 1, 237–254.
- [10] M. J. Carro, E. Roure, *End-point weighted estimates for bilinear Fourier multipliers via restricted bilinear extrapolation*. Unpublished manuscript.
- [11] A. Culiuc, F. Di Plinio and Y. Ou, *Domination of multilinear singular integrals by positive sparse forms*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **98** (2018), no.2, 369–392.
- [12] D. Cruz-Uribe, C. Pérez, *Two weight extrapolation via the maximal operator*, J. Funct. Anal., **174** (2000), 1–17.
- [13] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, *Weighted weak-type inequalities and a conjecture of Sawyer*, Int. Math. Res. Not.(2005), no.30, 1849–1871.
- [14] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 215 Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. xiv+280 pp.
- [15] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Extrapolation of weights revisited: new proofs and sharp bounds*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (6) (2011), 1886–1901.
- [16] L. Grafakos, J. M. Martell, *Extrapolation of weighted norm inequalities for multivariable operators and applications*, J. Geom. Anal. **14** (1) (2004): 19–46.
- [17] E. Harboure, R. Macías, C. Segovia, *Extrapolation results for classes of weights*, Amer. J. Math., **110** (1988), 383–397.
- [18] C. Hoang and K. Moen, *Weighted estimates for bilinear fractional integral operators and their commutators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **67** (2018), no.1, 397–428.
- [19] R. Kerman, A. Torchinsky, *Integral inequalities with weights for the Hardy maximal function*, Studia Math., **71** (2) (1982), 277–284.
- [20] M. T. Lacey, K. Moen, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, *Sharp weighted bounds for fractional integral operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 1073–1097.

- [21] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, R. Trujillo-González, *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **220** (4) (2009): 1222–1264.
- [22] K. Li, J. M. Martell, H. Martikainen, S. Ombrosi and E. Vourinen, *End-point estimates, extrapolation for multilinear Muckenhoupt classes, and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no.1, 97–135.
- [23] K. Li, J. M. Martell and S. Ombrosi, *Extrapolation for multilinear Muckenhoupt classes and applications*, Adv. Math., **373** (2020), 107286, 43 pp.
- [24] K. Li, S. Ombrosi and I. Rivera-Ríos *Upper endpoint estimates and extrapolation for commutators*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **66** (2023), no.1, 213–228. <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4362>
- [25] Z. Nieraeth, *Quantitative estimates and extrapolation for multilinear weight classes*, Math. Ann., **375**:1-2 (2019), 453–507.
- [26] Z. Nieraeth and G. Rey, *Weighted BMO estimates for singular integrals and endpoint extrapolation in Banach function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **521** (2023), no.1, Paper No. 126942, 18 pp.
- [27] C. Pérez, E. Roure-Perdices, *Sawyer-type inequalities for Lorentz spaces*, Math. Ann. **383**: 1-2 (2022) 493–528.
- [28] E. Roure-Perdices, *Restricted Weak Type Extrapolation of Multi-Variable Operators and Related Topics* (2019), Thesis (Ph.D.)-Universitat de Barcelona.
- [29] E. Roure-Perdices, *Extrapolation via Sawyer type-inequalities*, preprint. Available on arXiv [2404.09351](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09351).
- [30] J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Factorization theory and A_p weights*, Am. J. Math., **106** (3) (1984), 533–547.

(K. Li) CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, WEIJIN ROAD 92, 300072 TIANJIN, CHINA

Email address: kli@tju.edu.cn

(T. Luque) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO Y MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE (SPAIN)

Email address: t.luque@ucm.es

(S. Ombrosi) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO Y MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE (SPAIN)& INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA. UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR - CONICET ARGENTINA

Email address: sombrosi@ucm.es