

# PARAMETRIC TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY OF POSSIBLY DISCONTINUOUS MAPS IN COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACES AND HYPERSPACES

JAN ANDRES AND PAVEL LUDVÍK

ABSTRACT. We will consider various definitions of topological entropy for multivalued nonautonomous dynamical systems in compact Hausdorff spaces. Some of them can deal with arbitrary multivalued maps, i.e. when no restrictions are imposed on them. For upper semicontinuous multivalued maps, we still investigate especially their relationship to the parametric topological entropy of the induced (possibly discontinuous) dynamical systems in hyperspaces. A comparison of various sorts of definitions can allow us, rather than direct calculations, to make easier the entropy estimates. Several illustrative examples are supplied.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical topological entropy is an important topological invariant which measures the complexity of dynamical systems behaviour. The single-valued maps under consideration in its standard definitions are at least continuous (cf. [1, 11, 16, 19, 27]). The extensions to multivalued maps concern mainly with upper semicontinuous maps (cf. [2, 3, 5, 6, 15, 17, 25, 41, 49]) or less frequently with lower semicontinuous maps (cf. [3, 5, 6]). Multivalued dynamics play with this respect an increasing role, especially in economic applications (see e.g. [40, 46, 48, 47], and the references therein).

On the other hand, as observed e.g. in [23, 28, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38], a less amount of regularity (like a piece-wise continuity or an almost continuity) can be required in the related definitions of topological entropy of single-valued maps, or they can be quite arbitrary (cf. [14, 43]). Since even multivalued maps can be arbitrary (cf. [7, 13, 43]), the following natural questions arise:

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B40, 37B55, 54B20, 54C60; Secondary 38C15, 54C70.

*Key words and phrases.* Parametric topological entropy, multivalued nonautonomous maps, compact Hausdorff spaces, hyperspaces, induced nonautonomous hypermaps.

The authors were supported by the Grant IGA\_PrF\_2024\_006 “Mathematical Models” of the Internal Grant Agency of Palacký University in Olomouc.

- (i) Are the definitions of topological entropy  $h(\varphi)$  for arbitrary (single-valued or multivalued) maps  $\varphi : X \multimap X$  correct?
- (ii) If so, can the possibly discontinuous hypermaps  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  in the hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(X) := \{K \subset X : K \text{ is a nonempty compact subset of } X\}$ , which are induced by upper semicontinuous maps  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ , satisfy the inequality  $h(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$ ?

Let us note that such an inequality was already obtained for single-valued continuous maps in e.g. [8, 9, 12, 39, 29, 30, 31, 44], and for multivalued maps in [3, 5, 6]. As far as we know, there are no such results at the absence of continuity.

Since for the calculations and estimates of values of topological entropy of (possibly arbitrary) multivalued maps and their single-valued selections the mutual relationship among various sorts of definitions can make the process easier and more transparent, we will also pay attention to the comparison of definitions.

Hence, our main aim will be to answer at least partly the problems indicated above, provided  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space and the multivalued dynamical systems are, more generally than above, nonautonomous. It means that, instead of a single multivalued map  $\varphi : X \multimap X$ , we would like to consider a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , whose compositions  $\varphi_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0$  replace the role of the  $n$ -th iterates of  $\varphi$ . Such an entropy will be called *parametric* (whence the title).

Our paper is organized as follows. After some technical preliminaries, various definitions of topological entropy will be considered for arbitrary multivalued nonautonomous maps and, separately, for semicontinuous maps. Then possibly discontinuous hypermaps induced by nonautonomous upper semicontinuous maps will be investigated with respect to the inequality in (ii). For certain subclasses of multivalued upper semicontinuous maps, some further definitions of parametric topological entropy will be still examined for the same goal like those in [13]. Some simple illustrative examples and comments will be supplied.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The topological spaces under our consideration will be at least compact Hausdorff.

A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a *cover* of  $X$  if their union is all of  $X$ , i.e.  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ . A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  is an *open cover* of  $X$  if  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  and additionally  $\mathcal{A} \subset \tau$ . If  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is also a cover of  $X$ , then we say that the cover  $\mathcal{A}$  has a *subcover*  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $X$ .

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is *compact* if every open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover. Every compact Hausdorff space is well known to be uniformizable.

More concretely, every compact Hausdorff space is a complete uniform space with respect to the unique uniformity compatible with the topology. Thus, all the properties of uniform spaces can be employed in compact Hausdorff spaces.

Let us therefore recall the basic properties of uniform spaces with their relation to compact Hausdorff spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of pseudometrics on a set  $S$  and  $p$  is a pseudometric on  $S$ , then we write  $p \ll \mathcal{P}$ , provided

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists p_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P} \exists \delta > 0 \forall x, y \in S : p_\varepsilon(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow p(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 2.2.** A *uniform structure* (or a *uniformity*) on a nonempty set  $S$  is a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of pseudometrics on  $S$  with the properties:

- (U1) If  $p_0, p_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\max\{p_0, p_1\} \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- (U2) If  $p$  is a pseudometric on  $S$  such that  $p \ll \mathcal{U}$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- (U3) If  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x \neq y$ , then there is  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $p(x, y) > 0$ .

A *uniform space*  $X$  is a nonempty set  $S$  (set of points of  $X$ ) together with a uniformity on  $S$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(X)$  denote the uniformity of  $X$  (i.e., the family of pseudometrics). Denoting, for  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$B_p(x, r) := \{y \in X : p(x, y) < r\},$$

the collection of sets  $B_p(x, r)$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ , is a base of a Hausdorff topology on the set of points of  $X$ .

The following generalization of the Lebesgue covering lemma will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [24, Theorem 33, and the paragraph before]). *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Then there exist  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that*

$$B_p(x, \delta) \subset A.$$

For more details concerning the uniform spaces, see e.g. [22, 24, 36].

It will be also convenient to recall some elementary properties of multivalued maps which will be employed in the sequel. Let  $\varphi : X \multimap Y$  be a multivalued map and  $X, Y$  be topological spaces. All multivalued maps will always have nonempty values, i.e.  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . If the space  $Y$  is compact and all the values of  $\varphi$  are closed, then we can write  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , where

$$\mathcal{K}(Y) := \{A \subset Y : A \text{ is nonempty and compact}\}.$$

The regularity of semicontinuous multivalued maps can be defined by means of the preimages of  $\varphi : X \multimap Y$ , where

$$\varphi_-^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \subset B\} \text{ (“small” preimage of } \varphi \text{ at } B \subset Y),$$

resp.

$$\varphi_+^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \text{ ("large" preimage of } \varphi \text{ at } B \subset Y).$$

**Definition 2.4.** (i)  $\varphi : X \multimap Y$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) if  $\varphi_-^{-1}(B)$  is open for every open  $B \subset Y$ , resp.  $\varphi_+^{-1}(B)$  is closed for every closed  $B \subset Y$ ;

(ii)  $\varphi : X \multimap Y$  is said to be *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.) if  $\varphi_+^{-1}(B)$  is open for every open  $B \subset Y$ , resp.  $\varphi_-^{-1}(B)$  is closed for every closed  $B \subset Y$ ;

(iii)  $\varphi : X \multimap Y$  is said to be *continuous* if it is both u.s.c. and l.s.c.

Obviously, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is single-valued u.s.c. or l.s.c., then it is continuous.

**Lemma 2.5** (cf. e.g. [4, Proposition I.3.20], [20, Corollary 1.2.20]). *Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  be u.s.c. multivalued. If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , then  $\varphi(K)$  is a compact subset of  $Y$ , i.e. if  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , then  $\bigcup_{x \in K} \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ .*

**Proposition 2.6** (cf. [20, Corollary 1.2.22 and Proposition 1.2.33]). *A compact map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  (i.e.  $\varphi(X)$  is contained in a compact subset of  $Y$ ) is u.s.c. multivalued if and only if its graph  $\Gamma_\varphi := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in \varphi(x)\}$  is closed.*

Furthermore, if  $\varphi_1 : X \multimap Y$  and  $\varphi_2 : Y \multimap Z$  are u.s.c. multivalued (resp. l.s.c., continuous), then so is their composition  $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1 : X \multimap Z$  (see e.g. [20, Proposition I.2.56]).

If, in particular,  $\varphi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  and  $\varphi_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Z)$  are u.s.c. multivalued (resp. continuous), then so is  $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Z)$  (see e.g. [4, Proposition 3.21], [20, Proposition 1.2.56 and Corollary 1.2.20]).

If  $X$  is a Hausdorff topological space and  $Y$  is a metric space, then  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  is continuous if and only if it is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric  $d^H$ , where

$$d^H(A, B) := \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} \left(\inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)\right), \sup_{b \in B} \left(\inf_{a \in A} d(a, b)\right)\right\},$$

for  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$  (see e.g. [4, Theorem I.3.64], [20, Corollary 1.2.69]).

**Proposition 2.7** ([33]). *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space and  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff topological space, where the topology  $\tau$  is induced by the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , as indicated above. Then  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , where  $\tau_V$  stands for a Vietoris topology, is a Hausdorff topological space induced by the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}^H$ , where*

$$\mathcal{U}^H := \{p^H : p \in \mathcal{U} \text{ is bounded}\}$$

and

$$p^H(A, B) := \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} \left(\inf_{b \in B} p(a, b)\right), \sup_{b \in B} \left(\inf_{a \in A} p(a, b)\right)\right\}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{K}(X), \quad p \in \mathcal{U}.$$

**Proposition 2.8** (cf. e.g. [26, Theorem 2.3.5]). *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then  $(X, \tau)$  is compact Hausdorff if and only if  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is compact Hausdorff, where  $\tau_V$  stands for a Vietoris topology.*

**Remark 2.9.** Observe that although the single-valued hypermaps  $\varphi^*$ , where  $\varphi^*(K) := \bigcup_{x \in K} \varphi(x)$  for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , induced by the u.s.c. multivalued maps  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  in compact uniform (Hausdorff) spaces  $X, Y$ , send (in view of Propositions 2.7 and 2.8) the values from compact Hausdorff spaces  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V(\mathcal{K}(X)))$  into compact Hausdorff spaces  $(\mathcal{K}(Y), \tau_V(\mathcal{K}(Y)))$ , i.e.  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , they can be discontinuous.

On the other hand, if the maps  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  are continuous, then so are the induced hypermaps  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , by means of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.10** (cf. [5, Proposition 5]). *Let  $X = (X, \tau(X))$ ,  $Y = (Y, \tau(Y))$  be compact topological spaces and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  be a continuous multivalued map with compact values. Then the induced (single-valued) hypermap  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , where  $\varphi^*(K) := \bigcup_{x \in K} \varphi(x)$ , for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , is continuous with respect to the Vietoris topologies  $\tau_V(\mathcal{K}(X))$  and  $\tau_V(\mathcal{K}(Y))$ .*

For compact topological spaces  $X = Y$ , we can consider the sets of  $n$ -orbits of  $\varphi$ , namely

$$\text{Orb}_1(\varphi) := X,$$

$$\text{Orb}_n(\varphi) := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X^n : x_{i+1} \in \varphi(x_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}, n \geq 2.$$

For a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_{0,\infty} := \{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ , we can define the  $n$ -orbits of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  as

$$\text{Orb}_1(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := X,$$

$$\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X^n : x_{i+1} \in \varphi_i(x_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}, n \geq 2,$$

and in particular

$$\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}), x_0 = x\}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

as those starting at  $x \in X$ .

### 3. TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY FOR ARBITRARY MAPS

For the correctness of some definitions of parametric topological entropy for arbitrary maps in compact Hausdorff spaces, it will be convenient to recall the one in [7] (cf. the particular cases in [25, 41, 45]), denoted by  $h_{\text{KTRT}}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $p$  a pseudometric on  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . A set  $S \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon)$ -separated if  $p(x, y) > \varepsilon$ , for every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in S$ . A set  $R \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon)$ -spanning in  $Y \subset X$  if, for every  $y \in Y$ , there is  $x \in R$  such that  $p(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $p$  be a pseudometric on  $X$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $p_n$  be a pseudometric on  $X^n$  defined as

$$(1) \quad p_n((x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}), (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})) := \max\{p(x_i, y_i) : i = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

We call  $S \subset \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{KTTRT}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  if it is a  $(p_n, \varepsilon)$ -separated subset. We call  $R \subset \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{KTTRT}}$ -spanning set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  if it is a  $(p_n, \varepsilon)$ -spanning subset in  $\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Denoting by  $s_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  the largest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{KTTRT}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , we take

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

The *topological entropy*  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined to be

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon).$$

Denoting by  $r_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  the smallest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{KTTRT}}$ -spanning set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , we take

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

The *topological entropy*  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined to be

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon).$$

**Remark 3.4.** The existence of the largest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is, in view of Definition 3.3, equivalent to the existence of the largest cardinality of a  $(p_n, \varepsilon)$ -separated subset of  $\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ . In [7], we have shown that these maximal cardinalities exist and both cardinalities  $s_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ ,  $r_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  are finite which guarantees the correctness of Definition 3.3.

Because of the equality  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  (see [7, Theorem 3.1]), we can simplify Definition 3.3 into the following form.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of multivalued maps. Then

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space,  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of arbitrary multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of selections  $\psi_j \subset \varphi_j$  of  $\varphi_j$ , i.e.  $\psi_j(x) \subset \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Then

$$h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}).$$

*Proof.* For the proof, see [7, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.5].  $\square$

The following definitions of topological entropy  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}$  and  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}$  generalize in two directions those in [13], where autonomous multivalued maps were considered in compact metric spaces.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  of multivalued maps. For  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the pseudometric

$$p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) := \inf_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0, \infty}, x), \bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0, \infty}, y)} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i), \quad x, y \in X,$$

where  $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_0, \dots, \bar{x}_{n-1})$ ,  $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_0, \dots, \bar{y}_{n-1})$ .

We call  $S \subset X$  a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  if it is a  $(p_n^{\text{CMM}}, \varepsilon)$ -separated subset. We call  $R \subset X$  a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  if it is a  $(p_n^{\text{CMM}}, \varepsilon)$ -spanning subset in  $X$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  of multivalued maps. Denoting by  $s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  the largest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ , we take

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

The *topological entropy*  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  is defined to be

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon).$$

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  of multivalued maps. Then the inequality

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$$

holds for the parametric topological entropies  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$  and  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$  in the sense of Definitions 3.3 and 3.8.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subset X$  be a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ . We define  $\bar{S} \subset \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0, \infty})$  as a set of arbitrary extensions  $\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0, \infty}, x)$  of the elements  $x \in S$ , i.e.  $\bar{S} = \{\bar{x} : x \in S\}$ .

We show that  $\bar{S}$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{KTTRT}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ . Consider  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{S}$ . Then

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) \geq p_n^{\text{CMM}}(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) = p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y).$$

Since  $\text{card } S = \text{card } \bar{S}$ , we get  $s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ , for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ , and so  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTTRT}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** Definition 3.8 generalizes its analog in [13, Definition 2.1], where  $X$  was a compact metric space and  $\varphi_j = \varphi$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

**Remark 3.11.** One can readily check from the proof of Proposition 3.9 that the number of cardinalities of  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-separated sets for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  attains in Definition 3.8 really its finite maximum, which justifies the correctness of Definition 3.8. This slightly improves the analogous particular definition in [13, Definition 2.1], where suprema can be therefore replaced without any loss of generality by maxima. In [14, Definition 3.3], maxima were correctly taken into account (in a single-valued context), but without any proof of correctness.

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Denoting by  $r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  the smallest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-spanning set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , we take

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

The *topological entropy*  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined to be

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon).$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the inequality*

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*holds for the parametric topological entropies  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  and  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.8 and 3.12.*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We will prove that  $r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ . Let  $S \subset X$  be a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-separated set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  with the maximal cardinality, i.e.  $\text{card } S = s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ .

It suffices to show that  $S$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-spanning set in  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ . If not, then there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) > \varepsilon$ , for every  $x \in S$ . Thus,  $S \cup \{y\}$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-separated set for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , which contradicts the assumption of a maximal cardinality.  $\square$

**Remark 3.14.** The existence of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ <sub>CMM</sub>-separated subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  with the finite cardinality in Definition 3.12 follows directly from the arguments of the proof of Lemma 3.13. It justifies the correctness of Definition 3.12.

**Remark 3.15.** Definition 3.12 generalizes its analog in [13, Definition 2.2], where  $X$  was a compact metric space and  $\varphi_j = \varphi$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of arbitrary multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of selections  $\psi_j \subset \varphi_j$  of  $\varphi_j$ , i.e.  $\psi_j(x) \subset \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Then  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  and  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$ .*



*Proof.* We can proceed in an analogous way as in the particular case in [13, Theorem 3.2].

Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $S \subset X$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ .

Take  $x, y \in S$  and their arbitrary extensions  $\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\psi_{0, \infty}, x)$ ,  $\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\psi_{0, \infty}, y)$ . Thanks to the inclusion  $\text{Orb}_n(\psi_{0, \infty}, x) \subset \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0, \infty}, x)$ ,  $S$  is also a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated for  $\psi_{\text{CMM}}$ . Thus,

$$s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_{\text{CMM}}(\psi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n),$$

and subsequently  $h_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}(\psi_{0, \infty})$ , as claimed.

Now, let  $R \subset X$  be a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning set for  $\psi_{0, \infty}$ . By the similar arguments as above, we can see that  $R$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning set for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ . Hence,

$$r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq r_{\text{CMM}}(\psi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n),$$

which, after accomplishing the limit processes from Definition 3.12, completes the proof.  $\square$

For a particular case of u.s.c. multivalued maps with compact values, the following two definitions were already introduced in [6].

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A set  $S \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -separated for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ , for a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , if for every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is at least one  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < n$  such that

$$p^\rho(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)) > \varepsilon,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p^\rho(A, B) &:= \inf\{p(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A, B \subset X, \\ \varphi^{[k]} &:= \varphi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0, \quad \text{for } k > 0, \text{ and } \varphi^{[0]} := \text{id}_X. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s_\rho(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  denote the maximal cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -separated subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$ , i.e.

$$s_\rho(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) := \max\{\text{card } S : S \subset X \text{ is a } (p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho\text{-separated set for } \varphi\}.$$

Then the *topological entropy*  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0, \infty}$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0, \infty}) &:= \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} s_\rho(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon), \\ \text{where } s(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon)_\rho &:= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_\rho(\varphi_{0, \infty}, p, \varepsilon, n). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.18.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A set  $R \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -spanning for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , for a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , if for every  $x \in X$  there is  $y \in R$  such that (cf. Definition 3.17)

$$p^\rho(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for every } 0 \leq k < n.$$

Let  $r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  denote the least cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -spanning subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , i.e.

$$r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) := \min\{\text{card } R : R \subset X \text{ is a } (p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho\text{-spanning set for } \varphi\}.$$

Then the *topological entropy*  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined as

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon),$$

$$\text{where } r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

**Lemma 3.19.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The inequality

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

holds for the parametric topological entropies  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  and  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.17 and 3.18.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to notice that a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -separating set with the maximal cardinality is a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -spanning set. Thus,

$$r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n),$$

and consequently,  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.20.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then

$$h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \text{ and } h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

hold for the parametric topological entropies  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  and  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.8-3.18.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Taking, for  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$p_n^\rho(x, y) = \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \left( \inf_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x), \bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) \right)$$

and (cf. Definition 3.7)

$$p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) = \inf_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x), \bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \left( \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) \right),$$

we have, by means of the well-known principle (see e.g. [42, Lemma 36.1]),

$$p_n^\rho(x, y) \leq p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y).$$

Thus, every  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated set is also  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -separated, by which  $s_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ , and so  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .

Similarly, every  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -spanning set is also  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning. Consequently  $r_\rho(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ , and so  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.21.** The existence of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -separated subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  with the maximal and finite cardinality in Definition 3.17 follows directly from the arguments of the proof of Proposition 3.20. It justifies the correctness of Definition 3.17.

Furthermore, the existence of a finite  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_\rho$ -spanning subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  in Definition 3.18 follows directly from the arguments of the proof of Lemma 3.19, which justifies the correctness of Definition 3.18.

**Lemma 3.22.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of arbitrary multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of selections  $\psi_j \subset \varphi_j$  of  $\varphi_j$ , i.e.  $\psi_j(x) \subset \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Then the following inequalities*

$$h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \text{ and } h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$$

hold for parametric topological entropies  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.17 and  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.18.

*Proof.* The statement can be done quite analogously as in [6, Proposition 9].  $\square$

We can sum up the foregoing investigation as follows.

**Theorem 3.23.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of arbitrary multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of selections  $\psi_j \subset \varphi_j$  of  $\varphi_j$ , i.e.  $\psi_j(x) \subset \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Then the following inequalities*

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \\ h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \\ \phantom{h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})} \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

hold for the parametric topological entropies  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{KTTRT}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.5,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.8,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ ,

in the sense of Definition 3.12,  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.17,  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  and  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 3.18.

*Proof.* The statement follows directly from Propositions 3.9, 3.20 and Lemmas 3.6-3.22.  $\square$

**Remark 3.24.** Since for a sequence  $f_{0,\infty}$  of arbitrary single-valued maps  $f_j : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , in a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , all the definitions of topological entropy considered in Theorem 3.23 coincide, we can correctly define the parametric topological entropy  $h(f_{0,\infty})$  of  $f_{0,\infty}$  as

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} h(f_{0,\infty}) &:= h_{\text{KTRT}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(f_{0,\infty}) \\ &= h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_\rho^{\text{span}}(f_{0,\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY OF SEMICONTINUOUS MAPS

In this section, the multivalued maps under consideration will be at least semi-continuous. We start with l.s.c. maps.

The following two definitions will be introduced especially in order to be comparable with Definitions 3.8 and 3.12. Before their formulation, it will be convenient to present two auxiliary lemmas.

Hence, let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of l.s.c. multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For  $A_i \subset X$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the set

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}) := \pi_1(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1})) \subset X,$$

where  $\pi_1$  denotes the projection of an  $n$ -orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  to its first component  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\pi_1(\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}) = x_0$ .

In other words, it is a set of points  $x_0 \in X$  such that there exists an  $n$ -orbit  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  with  $x_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Under the above assumptions,*

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0) = \pi_1(A_0),$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}) = (\varphi_0)_+^{-1}((\varphi_1)_+^{-1}(\dots(\varphi_{n-2})_+^{-1}(A_{n-1}) \cap A_{n-2} \dots) \cap A_1) \cap A_0,$$

for  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , then clearly  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0) = \pi_1(X \cap A_0) = \pi_1(A_0)$ . For  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}) &= \pi_1(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1})) \\ &= \{x_0 \in A_0 : \varphi_0(x_0) \cap (\text{Orb}_{n-1}(\psi_{0,\infty}) \cap (A_1 \times \dots \times A_{n-1}))\} \\ &= A_0 \cap (\varphi_0)_+^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\psi_{0,\infty}; A_1, \dots, A_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_j := \varphi_{j+1}$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .  $\square$

Defining, for an open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the systems of sets  $\mathcal{A}^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n)$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^n &:= \{A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1} : A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n) &:= \{\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}) : A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}\},\end{aligned}$$

we can state the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of l.s.c. maps. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an open cover of  $X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n)$  is also an open cover of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1})$  must be open by Lemma 4.1, because the large preimage of an l.s.c. map is open and an intersection of a finite number of open sets is open as well.

Moreover, the system  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n)$  is a cover of  $X$ , because

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n) &= \bigcup \{\pi_1(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1})) : A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^n\} \\ &= \pi_1(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}^n) = \pi_1(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \cap X^n) = X.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of l.s.c. maps. The *topological entropy*  $h_U(\varphi, \mathcal{A})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  on the open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  takes the form

$$h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N(\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}^n)),$$

where  $N(\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n))$  stands for the minimal cardinality of a subcover of  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n)$ .

The *topological (upper covering) entropy*  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  reads as

$$h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup\{h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is an open cover of } X\}.$$

**Remark 4.4.** The existence of a subcover with the minimal cardinality in Definition 4.3 follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n)$  is an open cover of a compact topological space  $X$  (see Lemma 4.2), which justifies the correctness of Definition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of l.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the inequality*

$$h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*holds for the topological entropies  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 4.3 and 3.5.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an open cover of  $X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subcover of  $\mathcal{A}^n$  with the minimal cardinality among those covering  $\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{B}) := \{\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is, according to Lemma 4.2, an open cover of  $X$ . Therefore,  $N(\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{B})) \leq \text{card } \mathcal{B}$ , because  $\text{card } \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{B}) \leq \text{card } \mathcal{B}$ . Consequently  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  (see [7, Definition 3.7 and Theorem 3.4]).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of l.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the inequality*

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*holds for the topological entropies  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.8 and 4.3.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A} := \{B_p(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) : x \in X\}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subset X$  be a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -separated subset of  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ . Consider  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}) \cap S$ . There exist orbits  $\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$ ,  $\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)$  such that  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Thus,  $p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) < \varepsilon$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . By the separation property of  $S$ ,  $x = y$ .

In this way,  $\text{card } S \leq N(\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n))$ . Furthermore,  $s_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq N(\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; \mathcal{A}^n))$ , which already leads to the demanded inequality  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of l.s.c. maps. For an open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) &:= \\ \pi_1\{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) : \exists \bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) \exists A_i \in \mathcal{A}, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i \in A_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1\}, \\ \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}) &:= \{\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) : x \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of l.s.c. maps. For an open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$  is nonempty and open, and  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  is an open cover of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$  is an open set by means of Lemma 4.2, jointly with the equality

$$\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) = \bigcup_{(A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{A}^n, x \in \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1})} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{0,\infty}; A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}).$$

We deduce directly from the definition that  $x \in \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$ , by which  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) \neq \emptyset$ , and at the same time  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  covers  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of l.s.c. maps. The *topological entropy*  $h_L(\varphi, \mathcal{A})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  on the open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  takes the form

$$h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})),$$

where  $N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}))$  stands for the minimal cardinality of a subcover of  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .

The *topological (lower covering) entropy*  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  reads as

$$h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup\{h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is an open cover of } X\}.$$

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of l.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the inequality

$$h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

holds for the topological entropies  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 4.8 and 3.12.

*Proof.* At first, we will prove the inequality  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an open cover of  $X$  with  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , provided by Lemma 2.3. Let  $R \subset X$  be an  $(p, \frac{\delta}{2}, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning set in  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ .

For  $x \in R$ , we take  $y \in X$  such that  $p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$  (cf. Definition 3.12). Then there exist  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  such that  $p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) < \delta$ , i.e.  $\bar{y}_i \in B_p(\bar{x}_i, \delta)$ , for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.3, there exist  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B_p(\bar{x}_i, \delta) \subset A_i$ , for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Thus,  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i \in A_i$ , for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $y \in \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$ . Since  $\{\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) : x \in R\}$  is an open subcover of  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ , we get  $N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})) \leq \text{card}\{\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) : x \in R\} \leq \text{card } R$ .

Moreover,  $N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})) \leq r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \frac{\delta}{2}, n)$ . Passing to the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and taking suprema with respect to  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we arrive at  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ , as claimed.

Now, we will prove the reverse inequality  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \geq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ . Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A} := \{B_p(x, \frac{\delta}{2}) : x \in X\}$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we take the subcover  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  with a (finite) minimal cardinality. Then there exists  $R \subset X$  such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) : x \in R\},$$

$\text{card } R = N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}))$  and  $\bigcup_{x \in R} \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x) = X$ .

Letting  $x \in R$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$ , there exist  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  such that  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i \in A_i$  where  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $p(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i) < \delta$ , for all  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , in view of Definition 3.7, it holds

$$p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) < \delta.$$

For every  $y \in X$ , there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $y \in \mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)$ . Therefore,  $p_n^{\text{CMM}}(x, y) < \delta$ , and  $R$  is a  $(p, \delta, n)_{\text{CMM}}$ -spanning in  $X$  for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , by which

$$r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \delta, n) \leq N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})).$$

After all,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_{\text{CMM}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \delta, n) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N(\mathcal{A}^n(\varphi_{0,\infty})) \\ &= h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}, \mathcal{A}) \leq h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking suprema over  $\delta > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , we arrive at the desired inequality

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}).$$

□

Summing up, we can formulate the following theorem for l.s.c. maps.

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of l.s.c. multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \multimap X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following relations*

$$h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*hold for the parametric topological entropies of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 4.8, 3.12, 3.8, 4.3 and 3.5, respectively.*

*Proof.* The statement follows directly from Lemma 3.13 and Propositions 4.5-4.9. □

Now, we will turn to u.s.c. multivalued maps. As already documented in [7, Remark 5.4], there is practically no need to verify the correctness of definitions of parametric topological entropy of u.s.c. maps with compact values.

The following definition of parametric branch entropy generalizes its analog e.g. in [21], where it was defined for the first time for the inversions of autonomous single-valued maps and in [49, Definition 2.3] for autonomous u.s.c. maps in compact metric spaces.

Taking the pseudometric  $p_n^b$  on  $X$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad p_n^b(x, y) &:= p_n^{\text{H}}(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x), \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)) \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} \inf_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} p_n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sup_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \inf_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} p_n(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_n \in \mathcal{U}^n$  is defined by (1) and  $p_n^{\text{H}}$  along the lines of Proposition 2.7, we can generalize the branch entropy as follows.



**Definition 4.11.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of u.s.c. multivalued maps. Denoting by  $s_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p_n^b, \varepsilon)$  the maximum of cardinalities of  $(p_n^b, \varepsilon)$ -separated sets of  $X$ , resp. by  $r_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p_n^b, \varepsilon)$  the smallest cardinality of a  $(p_n^b, \varepsilon)$ -spanning set in  $X$ , the *parametric branch entropy*  $h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}) &:= \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p_n^b, \varepsilon) \\ &= \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p_n^b, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

Let us also recall the following definitions in [6, Definitions 7 and 8], which will play an important role in the next section.

**Definition 4.12** (Via separated sets). Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of u.s.c. multivalued maps. A set  $S \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ -*separated* for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , for a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , if for every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is at least one  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < n$  such that

$$p^H(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)) > \varepsilon,$$

where (cf. Proposition 2.7)

$$p^H(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} p(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} p(A, b)\}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{K}(X),$$

$$\varphi^{[k]} := \varphi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0, \quad \text{for } k > 0, \text{ and } \varphi^{[0]} := \text{id}_X.$$

Let  $s_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  denote the largest cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ -separated subset of  $X$  with respect to  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , i.e.

$$s_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) := \max\{\text{card } S : S \subset X \text{ is a } (p, \varepsilon, n)\text{-separated set for } \varphi\}.$$

Then the *topological entropy*  $h_H^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined as

$$h_H^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} s_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon),$$

$$\text{where } s_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n).$$

**Remark 4.13.** Even for  $\varphi_j = \varphi$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , Definition 4.12 is new. In compact metric spaces, the nonparametric version of Definition 4.12 reduces to [5, Definition 9]. For single-valued maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the corresponding definition to Definition 4.12 was given in [45, Section 2.2]. This correspondence might not be, for the first glance, evident because of different (though equivalent) definitions of a uniform structure involved in Definition 4.12 and the one in [45, Section 2.2].

**Definition 4.14** (Via spanning sets). Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of u.s.c. multivalued maps. A set  $R \subset X$  is called  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ -spanning for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , for a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , if for every  $x \in X$  there is  $y \in R$  such that (cf. Definition 4.12)

$$p^H(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for every } 0 \leq k < n.$$

Let  $r_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$  denote the least cardinality of a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)$ -spanning subset of  $X$  with respect to  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , i.e.

$$r_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) := \min\{\text{card } R : R \subset X \text{ is a } (p, \varepsilon, n)\text{-spanning set for } \varphi\}.$$

Then the *topological entropy*  $h_H^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  is defined as

$$h_H^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon > 0} r_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon),$$

where  $r_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n)$ .

Because of the equality (cf. [6, Proposition 8])

$$h_H^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_H^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}),$$

we can define the parametric topological entropy  $h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  for u.s.c. multivalued maps  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , in a compact Hausdorff space as follows.

**Definition 4.15.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of u.s.c. multivalued maps. Then

$$h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}) := h_H^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_H^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}).$$

**Proposition 4.16.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of u.s.c. multivalued maps. The inequality

$$(4) \quad h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

holds for the parametric topological entropies  $h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 4.15 and 4.11.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then, applying [42, Lemma 36.1] (see also (3)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_n^b(x, y) &= p_n^H(\text{Orb}_n(x), \text{Orb}_n(y)) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \max_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} \min_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \max_{0 \leq k < n} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \max_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \min_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} \max_{0 \leq k < n} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \right\} \\
 &\geq \max \left\{ \max_{0 \leq k < n} \max_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} \min_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \max_{0 \leq k < n} \max_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \min_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \right\} \\
 &= \max_{0 \leq k < n} \max \left\{ \max_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} \min_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \max_{\bar{y} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, y)} \min_{\bar{x} \in \text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}, x)} p(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \right\} \\
 &= \max_{0 \leq k < n} p^H(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a usual inspection of Definitions 4.15 and 4.11 leads to the conclusion (4).  $\square$

Summing up, we can formulate the following theorem for u.s.c. maps.

**Theorem 4.17.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of u.s.c. multivalued maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following inequalities*

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*hold for the parametric topological entropies of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.18, 3.17, 4.15, 4.11, respectively.*

*Proof.* The statement follows directly from Lemma 3.19, Proposition 4.16 and [6, Theorem 3].  $\square$

**Remark 4.18.** Since for a sequence  $f_{0,\infty}$  of continuous single-valued maps  $f_j : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , in a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , all the definitions of topological entropy considered in Theorems 3.23, 4.10 and 4.17 coincide, we can define again the parametric topological entropy  $h(f_{0,\infty})$  of  $f_{0,\infty}$ , this time, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(f_{0,\infty}) &:= h_{\text{KTRT}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_U(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(f_{0,\infty}) \\
 &= h_L(f_{0,\infty}) = h_i(f_{0,\infty}) = h_H(f_{0,\infty}) = h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(f_{0,\infty}) = h_\rho^{\text{span}}(f_{0,\infty}).
 \end{aligned}$$

In this way, such a definition of  $h(f_{0,\infty})$  is equivalent with those in [45, Section 2] and [27, Section 1], where, in the latter case, the compact topological space  $X$  can be even quite arbitrary.

## 5. TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY FOR DISCONTINUOUS INDUCED HYPERMAPS

In this section, we will investigate for the first time the relationship between topological entropy of u.s.c. maps with compact values in a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and the one of the induced possibly discontinuous hypermaps in the corresponding hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . This is possible thanks to Lemma 2.5 and Propositions 2.7 and 2.8, by which we have a single-valued  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ , where  $\varphi^*(K) := \bigcup_{x \in K} \varphi(x)$ , for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , in the compact Hausdorff hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , endowed with the Vietoris topology.

Hence, for the sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  of multivalued u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , in a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , we can consider the related sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}^* := \{\varphi_j^*\}_{j=0}^\infty$ , where  $(\varphi^*)^{[k]} := \varphi_{k-1}^* \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0^*$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $(\varphi^*)^{[0]} := \text{id}|_{\mathcal{K}(X)}$ .

The crucial related inequality reads as follows.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the inequality*

$$h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$$

holds for the topological entropies  $h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  in the sense of Definition 4.15 and  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  of the sequence  $\varphi_{0,\infty}^*$  of the induced hypermaps  $\varphi_j^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , which can be defined in any way by means of the equalities (2) in Remark 3.24.

*Proof.* Since  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a compact uniform space,  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{U}^H)$  is also a compact uniform space (see Proposition 2.7). Let  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $S \subset X$  be a  $(p, \varepsilon, n)_H$ -separated for  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , i.e. for each  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,

$$\max_{0 \leq k < n} p^H(\varphi^{[k]}(x), \varphi^{[k]}(y)) > \varepsilon.$$

One can simply verify that, for every  $z \in X$ ,

$$\varphi^{[k]}(z) = (\varphi^*)^{[k]}(i(z)),$$

where  $i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  is a natural inclusion. Therefore,

$$\max_{0 \leq k < n} p^H((\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)^{[k]}(i(x)), (\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)^{[k]}(i(y))) > \varepsilon,$$

and  $i(E) \subset \mathcal{K}(X)$  is a  $(p^H, \varepsilon, n)$ -separated set. Using the standard arguments, we can conclude that  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*) \geq h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .  $\square$

Summing up Theorem 4.17 and Proposition 5.1, we arrive at the fourth main theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following inequalities*

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$$

*hold for the parametric topological entropies  $h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.18, 3.17 and 4.15, respectively, and  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}^*$ , with regard to (2) in Remark 3.24.*

**Remark 5.3.** In [5, Example 3], we have shown an example indicating that the inequality  $h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  does not hold for a certain continuous multivalued map  $\varphi = \varphi_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . As concerns the validity of the inequality  $h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$ , for the branch entropy  $h_i(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , it is an open problem.

## 6. SOME FURTHER POSSIBILITIES FOR PARTICULAR SUBCLASSES OF U.S.C. MAPS

In this section, we will show that the desired inequality  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  can be also satisfied, under certain additional restrictions imposed on suitable subclasses of u.s.c. maps, for some further definitions of topological entropy than those treated in the foregoing section.

In reply to an open problem posed in our paper [6], namely whether or not the inequality  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$  holds for at least continuous maps  $\varphi$ , we are able to answer it only in a particular way by means of the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact uniform space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If there exist single-valued selections  $f_j \subset \varphi_j$ , i.e.  $f_j(x) \in \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $x \in X$ , such that*

$$(5) \quad p^{\text{H}}(\varphi^{[j]}(x), \varphi^{[j]}(y)) = p(f^{[j]}(x), f^{[j]}(y)),$$

*holds for every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and all  $x, y \in X \setminus A$ , where  $A \subset X$  is a finite (possibly empty) subset. Then the relations*

$$(6) \quad h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*),$$

*are satisfied for the topological entropies of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definitions 3.12, 3.8 and 4.15, and  $h(f_{0,\infty})$  of  $f_{0,\infty}$ ,  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}^*$ , with regard to (2) in Remark 3.24.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.23, we have (cf. Remark 3.24),

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(f_{0,\infty})$$

jointly with

$$h(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}),$$

because

$$s_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) - \text{card } A \leq s(f_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}, p, \varepsilon, n) + \text{card } A$$

holds as a consequence of the hypotheses (5).

After all, we get

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}).$$

In view of Theorem 5.2,

$$h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$$

still holds, which already leads to (6).  $\square$

**Example 6.2.** As an illustrative example of the application of Proposition 6.1, we can consider the u.s.c. map  $\varphi = \varphi_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\varphi = f \cup f_{0,1}$ ,

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 2(1-x), & \text{for } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

is the standard tent map and

$$f_{0,1}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \in (0, 1), \\ \{0, 1\}, & \text{for } x \in \{0, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \{d^{\text{H}}(\varphi_i \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0(x), \varphi_i \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0(y))\} \\ &= \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \{d^{\text{H}}(f_i \circ \dots \circ f_0(x), f_i \circ \dots \circ f_0(y))\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $x, y \in [0, 1] \setminus \{0, 1\} = (0, 1)$ , i.e.  $\text{card } A = 2$ , the condition (5) is trivially satisfied. Hence, applying Proposition 6.1, we arrive at the inequalities (6).

Moreover, since also

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{d^{\text{H}}(\pi_i(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}; x), \pi_i(\text{Orb}_n(\varphi_{0,\infty}; y))))\} \\ &= \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \{d^{\text{H}}(f^i(x) \cup \{0, 1\}, f^i(y) \cup \{0, 1\})\} = \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $x, y \in [0, 1] \setminus \{0, 1\} = (0, 1)$ , which again leads to the equalities

$$s_i(\varphi, d, \varepsilon, n) - 2 \leq s(f, d, \varepsilon, n) \leq s_i(\varphi, d, \varepsilon, n) + 2,$$

where  $d$  is the Euclidean metric on  $[0, 1]$ , we still get that  $h_{\text{H}}(\varphi) = h_i(\varphi) = h(f)$ .

Summing up, the relations

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) \leq \log 2 = h(f) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi) = h_i(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$$

are satisfied, which partially answers also an open problem posed in Remark 5.3.

On the other hand,

$$h_\rho^{\text{span}}(\varphi) = h_\rho^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi) = h(0) = 0,$$

according to Theorem 3.23, which already trivially implies that  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$ .

**Example 6.3.** Consider the u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$  and  $\varphi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$ , where

$$\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) := \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{for } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \{0, 1\}, & \text{for } x = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2x - 1, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \varphi_1(x) := \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{for } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ [0, 1], & \text{for } x = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2x - 1, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\varphi_0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where

$$\varphi_0(x) := \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2x - 1, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

is a discontinuous (at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ ) single-valued selection of both  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_0 \subset \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \varphi_1$ , i.e.  $\varphi_0(x) \in \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \subset \varphi_1$ , for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

In [13, Examples 4.8 and 4.11] (cf. also [13, Theorem 3.2]), it was shown that

$$h(\varphi_0) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_1) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_1) = \log 2.$$

One can readily check that (cf. Lemma 3.16)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_0 \cup \{0\}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_0 \cup \{0\}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) \\ &= h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_1 \cup \{0\}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_1 \cup \{0\}) \\ &\leq \min\{h((\varphi_0 \cup \{0\})^*), h((\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^*), h((\varphi_1 \cup \{0\})^*)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will consider the nonautonomous case for  $\psi_{0,\infty}$ , where  $\bar{j} > 0$  and

$$\psi_j := \begin{cases} \varphi_1, & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{j}, \\ \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}, & \text{for } j > \bar{j}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$d^{\text{H}}(\psi^{[j]}(x), \psi^{[j]}(y)) = d(\varphi_0^j(x), \varphi_0^j(y))$$

holds for every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and all  $x, y \in [0, 1] \setminus A_{\bar{j}}$ , where the exceptional set  $A_{\bar{j}}$  takes the form

$$A_{\bar{j}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{\bar{j}}}, \frac{2}{2^{\bar{j}}}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\bar{j}}} \right\},$$

i.e.  $\text{card } A_{\bar{j}} = 2^{\bar{j}} - 1$  is finite.

Hence, applying Proposition 6.1, we obtain (6) with  $h_{\text{H}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) = h(\varphi_0) = \log 2$ , i.e. (cf. Lemma 3.16)

$$0 = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) < \log 2 \leq h(\psi_{0,\infty}^*).$$

The same results can be obtained, when replacing  $\varphi_1$  by  $\varphi_1 \cup \{0\}$  or by  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}$  in the definition of  $\psi_j$ , for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{j}$ .

If, in particular,  $\psi_j = \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\} = \varphi_0 \cup \{0\}$ , for every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then

$$d^{\text{H}}((\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^j(x), (\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^j(y)) = d(\varphi_0^j(x), \varphi_0^j(y))$$

holds for every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , i.e. even with  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and subsequently also

$$s_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}, d, \varepsilon, n) = s(\varphi_0, d, \varepsilon, n).$$

After all, again

$$0 = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) < \log 2 = h(\varphi_0) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) \leq h((\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^*),$$

i.e. the strict inequality

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) < h((\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^*).$$

Finally, we will show that the Hausdorff metric  $d^{\text{H}}$ , and subsequently the topological entropy  $h_{\text{H}}$ , can be often replaced by the Borsuk metric  $d^{\text{B}}$ , defined in [10], and the related topological entropy denoted as  $h_{\text{B}}$ , provided the values  $\varphi_j^k(x)$  of the maps  $\varphi_j^k : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , in a compact metric space  $X$ , are compact absolute neighbourhood retracts.

Let us recall that a metric space  $\varphi$  is an *absolute neighbourhood retract* (shortly, ANR) if, for every space  $Z$  and every closed subset  $A \subset Z$ , each continuous map  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is extendable over some open neighbourhood of  $A$  in  $Z$ . For more details about ANR-spaces, see e.g. [4, Chapter I.2], [20, Chapter 5.7].

Hence, let  $(Y, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $(\mathcal{K}(Y), d^{\text{H}})$  be the related hyperspace defined in Section 2. By the *Borsuk metric*  $d^{\text{B}}$ , we mean

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\text{B}}(C, D) &:= \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \exists \text{ continuous maps } f : C \rightarrow D \text{ and } g : D \rightarrow C \\ &\text{such that } d(x, f(x)) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in C, \\ &\text{and } d(y, g(y)) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for every } y \in D\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $C, D \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ .

If  $C, D$  are not necessarily compact and  $d^{\text{H}}(C, D) = 0$ , resp.  $d^{\text{B}}(C, D) = 0$ , need not imply that  $C = D$ , then we speak about the respective *pseudometrics*.

It is well known that the Borsuk continuity implies the Hausdorff continuity, but not vice versa in general. This claim follows from the inequality (see e.g. [18, p. 25])

$$d^{\text{B}}(C, D) \geq d^{\text{H}}(C, D), \text{ for any } C, D \in \mathcal{K}(Y),$$



and in particular

$$d^B(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \geq d^H(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

By the same inequality, we obtain that  $h_B(\varphi) \geq h_H(\varphi)$ , resp.  $h_B(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \geq h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ , hold for the topological entropies  $h_H(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$ , resp.  $h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , in the sense of Definition 4.15, and  $h_B(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$ , resp.  $h_B(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ , which can be simply defined when just replacing the metrics  $d^H$  by  $d^B$  in Definition 4.15.

On the other hand, if the values  $\varphi_j^k(x)$  of the maps  $\varphi_j^k : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are compact absolute neighborhood retracts, then we get the equality (see [10, Theorem 5.13])

$$d^B(C, D) = d^H(C, D), \text{ for any } C, D \in \mathcal{K}(X),$$

and subsequently  $h_B(\varphi) = h_H(\varphi)$ , resp.  $h_B(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ .

In particular, it occurs provided  $X$  consists of, for instance, finitely many points.

Summing up, we can formulate the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$  be a sequence of u.s.c. maps  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , such that the values  $\varphi_j^k(x)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are compact ANR-spaces, for all  $x \in X$ . Then the equality*

$$h_B(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

*holds for the topological entropies  $h_B(\varphi_{0,\infty})$ ,  $h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  of  $\varphi_{0,\infty}$ .*

Proposition 6.4 can be directly applied to the foregoing Examples 6.2 and 6.3.

**Example 6.5** (continued Example 6.2). Since  $\varphi = \varphi_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , are under the assumptions in Example 6.2 u.s.c., and  $\varphi^k(x) \in \{0, 1, f^k(x)\} \subset \text{ANR}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain, by means of Proposition 6.4, the relations

$$h(\varphi^*) \geq h_H(\varphi) = h_B(\varphi) = \log 2 > h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) = 0.$$

**Example 6.6** (continued Example 6.3). Since  $\psi_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$ , resp.  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\} = \varphi_0 \cup \{0\} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}([0, 1])$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , are under the assumptions in Example 6.3 u.s.c., and  $\psi_j^k(x) \in \{\{0\}, [0, 1], \varphi_0^k(x)\} \subset \text{ANR}$  as well as  $(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^k(x) \in \{\{0\}, \varphi_0^k(x)\} \subset \text{ANR}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain, by means of Proposition 6.4, the relations

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) < \log 2 = h_B(\psi_{0,\infty}) = h_H(\psi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\psi_{0,\infty}^*),$$

resp. in particular for  $\psi_j = \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\} = \varphi_0 \cup \{0\}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) < \log 2 = h_B(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) = h_H(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) \leq h((\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\})^*).$$

**Remark 6.7.** Observe that if, in Examples 6.3 and 6.6,  $\psi_j = \varphi_1 \cup \{0\}$  or  $\psi_j = \varphi_1$ , for every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then Proposition 6.1 cannot be applied. Let us note that in [50] the lower estimate  $h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_1) \geq \log 3$  was obtained and so, in view of Lemma 3.6,

$$h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_1 \cup \{0\}) \geq h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_1) \geq \log 3.$$

Furthermore, just by the same Lemma 3.6, (cf. Examples 6.3 and 6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{KTRT}}(\psi_{0,\infty}) &\geq h(\varphi_0) = \log 2, \\ h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup \{0\}) &= h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi_0 \cup \{0\}) \geq h(\varphi_0) = \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as already pointed out in Remark 5.3, the inequality  $h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$  need not be satisfied for a general  $\varphi$ .

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Proposition 6.1 can be still improved by means of [45, Theorem 2.7] (in an autonomous case, cf. [8, 29]) in the following way.

If, in particular,  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space and the single-valued selections  $f_j \subset \varphi_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , are equi-continuous, then by means of [45, Theorem 2.7],  $h(f_{0,\infty}^*) = \infty$ , provided  $h(f_{0,\infty}) > 0$ .

Thus, since the equalities  $h(f_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$  as well as  $h(f_{0,\infty}^*) = h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  are satisfied under the assumptions of Proposition 6.1, we obtain for  $h(f_{0,\infty}) > 0$  not only  $h(f_{0,\infty}^*) = \infty$ , but also  $h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*) = \infty$ .

Subsequently, since in Examples 6.2 and 6.5 we have

$$h_i(\varphi) = h_{\text{H}}(\varphi) = h_{\text{B}}(\varphi) = h(f) = \log 2,$$

despite of  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) = 0$ , we get  $h(f^*) = h(\varphi^*) = \infty$ , and trivially  $h_{\text{KTRT}}(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*)$ .

On the other hand, it is not clear whether or not the inequalities

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi^*), \text{ resp. } h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*),$$

or the inequalities

$$h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi) \leq h_{\text{H}}(\varphi), \text{ resp. } h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{H}}(\varphi_{0,\infty})$$

hold for general u.s.c. maps  $\varphi, \varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

If the latter particular case is not true, then the entropies  $h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}$  are obviously uncomparable with those of  $h_{\text{H}}$  in general.

Otherwise,  $h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$ , but not necessarily  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$ , would be also satisfied. For  $h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$ , we would even obtain that

$$h_L(\varphi_{0,\infty}) = h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\text{CMM}}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_U(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*).$$

As a conclusion, we have shown in this paper that, apart from the entropies  $h_{\text{H}}$  and  $h_{\rho}^{\text{span}}$ ,  $h_{\rho}^{\text{sep}}$ , for which the desired inequalities  $h_{\rho}^{\text{span}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h_{\rho}^{\text{sep}}(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq$

$h_H(\varphi_{0,\infty}) \leq h(\varphi_{0,\infty}^*)$  are satisfied, and so resembling such a property of the single-valued case, we can also consider for the same goal, under suitable additional restrictions, some further definitions of topological entropy like those considered in [13]. Moreover, the multivalued maps under consideration  $\varphi_j : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , can be u.s.c. and the induced single-valued hypermaps  $\varphi_j^* : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , can be even discontinuous, unlike to a single-valued case. That is why we can speak with this respect about a multivalued effect (whence the title of our paper).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors were supported by the Grant IGA\_PrF\_2024\_006 “Mathematical Models” of the Internal Grant Agency of Palacký University in Olomouc. .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. ADLER, A. G. KONHEIM, AND M. H. MCANDREW, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 114 (1965), pp. 309–319.
- [2] L. ALVIN AND J. P. KELLY, *Topological entropy of Markov set-valued functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 41 (2021), pp. 321–337.
- [3] J. ANDRES, *Chaos for multivalued maps and induced hyperspace maps*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 138 (2020), pp. 109898, 8.
- [4] J. ANDRES AND L. GÓRNIOWICZ, *Topological fixed point principles for boundary value problems*, vol. 1 of Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [5] J. ANDRES AND P. LUDVÍK, *Topological entropy of multivalued maps in topological spaces and hyperspaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 160 (2022), pp. Paper No. 112287, 11.
- [6] ———, *Parametric topological entropy of families of multivalued maps in topological spaces and induced hyperspace maps*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 125 (2023), pp. Paper No. 107395, 16.
- [7] J. ANDRES AND P. LUDVÍK, *Parametric topological entropy on orbits of arbitrary multivalued maps in compact hausdorff spaces*, 2024.
- [8] W. BAUER AND K. SIGMUND, *Topological dynamics of transformations induced on the space of probability measures*, Monatsh. Math., 79 (1975), pp. 81–92.
- [9] N. C. BERNARDES, JR. AND R. M. VERMERSCH, *On the dynamics of induced maps on the space of probability measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 368 (2016), pp. 7703–7725.
- [10] K. BORSUK, *On a metrization of the hyperspace of a metric space*, Fund. Math., 94 (1977), pp. 191–207.
- [11] R. BOWEN, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 153 (1971), pp. 401–414.
- [12] J. S. CÁNOVAS PEÑA AND G. S. LÓPEZ, *Topological entropy for induced hyperspace maps*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 28 (2006), pp. 979–982.
- [13] D. CARRASCO-OLIVERA, R. METZGER ALVAN, AND C. A. MORALES ROJAS, *Topological entropy for set-valued maps*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 20 (2015), pp. 3461–3474.
- [14] M. ČIKLOVÁ, *Dynamical systems generated by functions with connected  $G_\delta$  graphs*, Real Anal. Exchange, 30 (2004/05), pp. 617–637.

- [15] W. CORDEIRO AND M. J. PACÍFICO, *Continuum-wise expansiveness and specification for set-valued functions and topological entropy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144 (2016), pp. 4261–4271.
- [16] E. I. DINABURG, *A connection between various entropy characterizations of dynamical systems*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 35 (1971), pp. 324–366.
- [17] G. ERCEG AND J. KENNEDY, *Topological entropy on closed sets in  $[0, 1]^2$* , Topology Appl., 246 (2018), pp. 106–136.
- [18] L. GÓRNIĘWICZ, *Topological fixed point theory of multivalued mappings*, vol. 4 of Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Springer, Dordrecht, second ed., 2006.
- [19] B. M. HOOD, *Topological entropy and uniform spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 8 (1974), pp. 633–641.
- [20] S. HU AND N. S. PAPAGEORGIU, *Handbook of multivalued analysis. Vol. I*, vol. 419 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997. Theory.
- [21] M. HURLEY, *On topological entropy of maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 15 (1995), pp. 557–568.
- [22] J. R. ISBELL, *Uniform spaces*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964.
- [23] N. JAQUE AND B. SAN MARTÍN, *Topological entropy for discontinuous semiflows*, J. Differential Equations, 266 (2019), pp. 3580–3600.
- [24] J. L. KELLEY, *General topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 27, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1975. Reprint of the 1955 edition [Van Nostrand, Toronto, Ont.].
- [25] J. P. KELLY AND T. TENNANT, *Topological entropy of set-valued functions*, Houston J. Math., 43 (2017), pp. 263–282.
- [26] E. KLEIN AND A. C. THOMPSON, *Theory of correspondences*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984. Including applications to mathematical economics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [27] S. KOLYADA AND L. SNOHA, *Topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems*, Random Comput. Dynam., 4 (1996), pp. 205–233.
- [28] E. KORCZAK-KUBIAK, A. LORANTY, AND R. J. PAWLAK, *On the topological entropy of discontinuous functions. Strong entropy points and Zahorski classes*, in Monograph on the occasion of 100th birthday anniversary of Zygmunt Zahorski, Wydaw. Politech. Śl., Gliwice, 2015, pp. 109–123.
- [29] D. KWIETNIAK AND P. OPROCHA, *Topological entropy and chaos for maps induced on hyperspaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 33 (2007), pp. 76–86.
- [30] L. LIU, Y. WANG, AND G. WEI, *Topological entropy of continuous functions on topological spaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 39 (2009), pp. 417–427.
- [31] X. MA, B. HOU, AND G. LIAO, *Chaos in hyperspace system*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 40 (2009), pp. 653–660.
- [32] M. MALKIN, *On continuity of entropy of discontinuous mappings of the interval*, Selecta Mathematica Sovietica, 8 (1989), pp. 131–139.
- [33] E. MICHAEL, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 71 (1951), pp. 152–182.
- [34] M. MISIUREWICZ AND K. ZIEMIAN, *Horseshoes and entropy for piecewise continuous piecewise monotone maps*, in From phase transitions to chaos, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992, pp. 489–500.
- [35] T. NATKANIEC AND P. SZUCA, *On Pawlak’s problem concerning entropy of almost continuous functions*, Colloq. Math., 121 (2010), pp. 107–111.

- [36] J. PACHL, *Uniform spaces and measures*, vol. 30 of Fields Institute Monographs, Springer, New York; Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2013.
- [37] R. J. PAWLAK, *On the entropy of Darboux functions*, *Colloq. Math.*, 116 (2009), pp. 227–241.
- [38] R. J. PAWLAK, A. LORANTY, AND A. BĄKOWSKA, *On the topological entropy of continuous and almost continuous functions*, *Topology Appl.*, 158 (2011), pp. 2022–2033.
- [39] T. QIAN, Y. G. WANG, AND G. WEI, *Topological entropy of induced hyperspace dynamical systems equipped with the hit-or-miss topology*, *Pure Appl. Math. (Xi’an)*, 27 (2011), pp. 662–671.
- [40] B. E. RAINES AND D. R. STOCKMAN, *Fixed points imply chaos for a class of differential inclusions that arise in economic models*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364 (2012), pp. 2479–2492.
- [41] B. E. RAINES AND T. TENNANT, *The specification property on a set-valued map and its inverse limit*, *Houston J. Math.*, 44 (2018), pp. 665–677.
- [42] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex analysis*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Reprint of the 1970 original, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [43] M. M. SADR AND M. SHAHRESTANI, *Topological entropy for arbitrary subsets of infinite product spaces*, *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 29 (2023), pp. 263–279.
- [44] H. SHAO, *Induced dynamics of non-autonomous dynamical systems*, *Topology Appl.*, 326:108415 (2023), pp. 1–19.
- [45] H. SHAO, *Topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems on uniform spaces*, *J. Differential Equations*, 365 (2023), pp. 100–126.
- [46] D. R. STOCKMAN, *Chaos and capacity utilization under increasing returns to scale*, *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 77 (2011), pp. 147–162.
- [47] B. VOLNÁ, *Chaotic behaviour of continuous dynamical system generated by Euler equation branching and its application in macroeconomic equilibrium model*, *Math. Bohem.*, 140 (2015), pp. 437–445.
- [48] ———, *Existence of chaos in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and its application in macroeconomics*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 258 (2015), pp. 237–266.
- [49] X. WANG, Y. ZHANG, AND Y. ZHU, *On various entropies of set-valued maps*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 524 (2023), pp. Paper No. 127097, 37.
- [50] I. D. WOODS AND J. P. KELLY, *Chaotic dynamics in a family of set-valued functions*, *The Minnesota Journal of Undergraduate Mathematics*, 3 (2017–2018), pp. 1–19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, PALACKÝ UNIVERSITY, 17. LISTOPADU 12, 771 46 OLOMOUC, CZECH REPUBLIC

*Email address:* pavel.ludvik@upol.cz