# Constraints on the orbital flux phase in $AV_3Sb_5$ from polar Kerr effect

Hao-Tian Liu,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Junkang Huang,<sup>4</sup> Tao Zhou,<sup>4</sup> and Wen Huang<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, Guangdong, China

<sup>2</sup>International Quantum Academy, Shenzhen 518048, China

<sup>3</sup>Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Science and Engineering,

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

<sup>4</sup>Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Engineering and Quantum Materials,

School of Physics, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,

and Frontier Research Institute for Physics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

The  $AV_3Sb_5$  (A = K, Rb, Cs) family of Kagome metals hosts unconventional charge density wave order whose nature is still an open puzzle. Accumulated evidences point to a time-reversal symmetry breaking orbital flux phase that carries loop currents. Such an order may support anomalous Hall effect. However, the polar Kerr effect measurements that probe the a.c. anomalous Hall conductivity seem to have yielded contradictory results. We first argue on symmetry grounds that some previously proposed orbital flux order, most notably the one with Star-of-David distortion, shall not give rise to anomalous Hall or polar Kerr effects. We further take the tri-hexagonal orbital flux phase as an exemplary Kagome flux order that does exhibit anomalous Hall response, and show that the Kerr rotation angle at two relevant experimental optical frequencies generally reaches microradians to sub-miliradians levels. A particularly sharp resonance enhancement is observed at around  $\hbar\omega = 1$ eV, suggesting exceedingly large Kerr rotation at the corresponding probing frequencies not yet accessed by previous experiments. Our study can help to interpret the Kerr measurements on  $AV_3Sb_5$  and to eventually resolve the nature of their CDW order.

#### INTRODUCTION

Kagome materials whose active electronic degrees of freedom lie on the underlying Kagome lattice network offer a fertile ground for studying the intricate interplay between quantum many-body correlations, band topology and magnetic geometric frustration [1-4]. The  $AV_3Sb_5$  (A = K, Rb, Cs) family of Kagome metals is a case in point [5]. While these compounds do not display any sign of local spin moments [5, 6], they still constitute a model material system which hosts a wide variety of novel physics. In particular, their band structure features a rare combination of nontrivial band topology, Fermi surface nesting and van Hove singularity at the Fermi energy [7–9]. Exactly how electron correlations in this setting promote different electronic instabilities is a matter of considerable theoretical and experimental interest.

At lowest temperatures, all three  $AV_3Sb_5$  compounds develop superconducting pairing [7–9]. Well above the superconducting transition, some form of charge order forms at  $T_{CDW} = 78$  K, 103 K, 94 K for the A = K, Rb, Cs compounds, respectively [7–9]. The charge order exhibits an in-plane 2 × 2 spatial modulation [10– 13], with possible three-dimensional structure [14–18]. While the exact nature of the CDW phase remains unresolved [3, 4], some of its highly unconventional characters have been revealed. First, a nematic order appears to onset at a temperature intermediate between  $T_{CDW}$  and the superconducting transition, lowering the six-fold rotation symmetry of the underlying Kagome lattice to two-fold [19–21]. Second, the charge order breaks time-reversal invariance, as suggested in  $\mu$ SR studies [22–24], as well as in transport measurements of anomalous Hall effect [25–27]. The time-reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB) is further implicated by the observation of field-switchable electronic chirality in tunneling spectroscopic [11, 12] and electro-magneto chiral anisotropy [28] measurements. At this stage, the leading TRSB CDW candidate in  $AV_3Sb_5$  is the so-called orbital flux phase, which is characterized by spontaneous bond currents that form patterns of loop currents. Multiple 2 × 2 orbital flux phases have been proposed [29–33]. Among them, two receive the most attention [3, 4]: Star-of-David (SoD) and tri-hexagonal (TrH) patterns, the latter of which is also referred to as Inverse Star-of-David.

Another would-be strong evidence of TRSB is the polar Kerr effect [34], wherein a polarized probing light shone vertically on a sample is reflected with a rotated polarization angle. The majority of Kerr effect measurements have been conducted on CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>, and they have yielded conflicting results. Two studies performed at wavelength 800 nm ( $\hbar\omega = 1.55$  eV) reported lowtemperature Kerr rotations as large as tens of microradians to sub-milliradians [35, 36]. Four other measurements at 1550 nm wavelength ( $\hbar\omega = 0.8 \text{ eV}$ ) observed considerably smaller Kerr rotation, with one obtaining 2  $\mu$ rad [37] and the other three getting negligible values not exceeding 0.03  $\mu$ rad [38–40]. The latter four studies used the Sagnac interferometer setup supposedly more sensitive to TRSB. While the large discrepancy between measurements at the two wavelengths may be attributed to different resonance enhancement, Ref. 38 (1550 nm) also revealed a milliradian-size signal which curiously does not




FIG. 1. Schematics of three representative orbital flux phases on the Vanadium Kagome lattice in  $AV_3Sb_5$  literature. The arrows indicate the flow direction of the bond currents, and the bond colors encode the magnitude of the bond currents. The thin black circles with arrows sketch the overall flow direction of the current loops. The dashed lines in (a) and (c) represent the mirror reflection axis, with mirror planes normal to the Kagome lattice.

reverse sign with opposite training fields. As a consequence, it cannot be ruled out that the large signal at the two wavelengths may both have other origin, instead of a TRSB orbital flux order.

We note that, even if Kerr rotation is not observed, TRSB cannot be definitively ruled out. For example, Kerr effect is absent in TRSB antiferromagnet-like loopcurrent orders wherein the flow of current in the loops alternates in sign (see e.q. Ref. 41). Nevertheless, Kagome lattice can in principle host orbital flux phases containing more complicated bond current textures than solely closed current loops. In this case, the orbital currents cannot be directly classified as ferro- or antiferro-type of loop currents, and more systematic analyses may be required. One goal of this study is to explore the implication of the presence or absence of the Kerr effect on the nature of the orbital flux phase in  $AV_3Sb_5$ . We revisit the symmetry constraint on the anomalous Hall effect (AHE), which constitutes the microscopic origin of the polar Kerr effect. We shall see that, the Kerr effect is expected in the TrH phase but not in the SoD phase.

Under the assumption that Kerr effect is indeed present in  $AV_3Sb_5$ , we further aim to address whether the discrepancy between the 800-nm and 1550-nm measurements can be explained. Taking the TrH phase as an example, we evaluate the anomalous Hall conductivity and the corresponding Kerr rotation angle, and find that the Kerr angle at both wavelengths generally varies between microradian and sub-milliradian levels. The exact values depend rather sensitively on the microscopic details of the loop currents, and fine tuning may be needed to reach face-value agreement with existing measurements at the two wavelengths [35–40]. Interestingly, a strong resonance enhancement originating from pronounced interband Berry curvature involving the flatbands is seen at around 1240 nm ( $\hbar \omega = 1 \text{ eV}$ ). This implies large Kerr rotation around this probing wavelength, suggesting a potential future direction to pursue.

## SYMMETRY ARGUMENTS

While TRSB is a prerequisite, it alone does not constitute a sufficient condition for the occurrence of the AHE in linear response. A TRSB electronic order in twodimension that supports AHE must also break all reflection symmetries with mirror planes perpendicular to the system plane, or, the product of such vertical-plane mirror symmetry and an in-plane translation symmetry [42]. Pictorially, this is similar to having a net out-of-plane magnetization originating from either orbital currents or spin polarization. The resultant AHE gives rise to the polar Kerr effect in the backscattering geometry, wherein the polarized light is normally incident on the sample.

To understand the above symmetry constraints for orbital flux phases, let's focus on the Hall conductivity that follows from the Kubo formula,

$$\sigma_H(\omega) = \frac{i}{2\omega} [\pi_{xy}(\omega + i0^+) - \pi_{yx}(\omega + i0^+)], \quad (1)$$

where  $\pi_{\mu\nu}$  is the current-current correlation function, which is defined as follows,

$$\pi_{\mu\nu}(i\nu_m) = \frac{1}{\beta A} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\omega_n} \operatorname{tr}[\hat{V}_{\mu\mathbf{k}}G(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)\hat{V}_{\nu\mathbf{k}}G(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n+i\nu_m)],$$
(2)

where A represents the area of the system,  $G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = i\omega_n - H_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the Green's function where  $H_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the system Hamiltonian,  $\hat{V}_{\mu\mathbf{k}} = \partial_{k_{\mu}}H_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \partial_{\mu}H_{\mathbf{k}}$  stands for the  $\mu$ -th component of the velocity operator,  $\omega_n$  and  $\nu_m$  denote, respectively, the fermionic and bosonic Matsubara frequencies, and  $\omega$  is the real frequency. Notably, the Hall effect probed in the d.c. limit is related to  $\operatorname{Re}[\sigma_H(\omega=0)]$ , whereas the a.c. Hall response, as manifested for example in the polar Kerr effect, would include contributions from both the real and imaginary parts of finite-frequency  $\sigma_H$ .

For further analysis, it is informative to turn to the spectral representation of the Green's function,

$$G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = (i\omega_n - H_{\mathbf{k}})^{-1} = \sum_a \frac{|\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}|}{i\omega_n - \epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $|\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  is the cell-periodic part of the Bloch wavefunction of band-*a*, whose band dispersion is given by  $\epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}}$ . The Hall conductivity then follows as,

$$\sigma_H(\omega) = \frac{i}{2A\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k},a,b} \frac{f(\epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}}) - f(\epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}})}{\omega - \epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}} + i\eta} \Gamma^{ab}_{xy}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad (4)$$

where f(E) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function, and

$$\Gamma_{xy}^{ab}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle \psi_{a\mathbf{k}} | \hat{V}_{x\mathbf{k}} | \psi_{b\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \psi_{b\mathbf{k}} | \hat{V}_{y\mathbf{k}} | \psi_{a\mathbf{k}} \rangle - (x \leftrightarrow y)$$
$$= -(\epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}})^2 \mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}(\mathbf{k}) \,. \tag{5}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}(\mathbf{k})$  is the interband Berry curvature given by:

$$i\left(\langle\partial_x\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}|\psi_{b\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\psi_{b\mathbf{k}}|\partial_y\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}\rangle-\langle\partial_y\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}|\psi_{b\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\psi_{b\mathbf{k}}|\partial_x\psi_{a\mathbf{k}}\rangle\right)\tag{6}$$

We thus see that whether Hall response can arise is closely related to the momentum-space distribution of  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}(\mathbf{k})$ .

Consider first a two-dimensional system exhibiting a product of mirror and translation symmetries, which includes a vertical-plane mirror reflection  $\mathcal{M}_y$  that sends coordinate (x, y) to (x, -y) and a translation of half the lattice constant along the x-axis,  $\mathcal{T}_{x,\frac{a}{2}}$ . The combined operation  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{M}_y \mathcal{T}_{x,\frac{a}{2}} = \mathcal{T}_{x,\frac{a}{2}} \mathcal{M}_y$  transforms a wavefunction  $\psi(x, y)$  in real-space coordinates as  $\mathfrak{g}\psi(x, y) = \psi(x + \frac{a}{2}, -y)$ . In momentum space, this transformation yields  $\mathfrak{g}\psi_{kx,ky} = e^{ik_x a/2}\psi_{k_x,-k_y}$ , one then has  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}(k_x,k_y) = -\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}(k_x,-k_y)$ . As a consequence, the Hall conductivity in Eq. (4) vanishes after integrating over k! The above analysis can be easily generalized to the simpler scenarios where the system preserves pure vertical-plane mirror symmetries.

Previously, the  $2 \times 2$  orbital flux phases on the Kagome lattice have been classified [43] according to the magnetic point group symmetries that combine point group and time-reversal operations. However, the treatment in that classification is somewhat simplified as it assumes equalmagnitude current flowing between all nearest neighbor sites. Furthermore, it does not directly attend to sole mirror reflection operations nor its product with translation. For these reasons, we do not seek to assess the occurrence of AHE on the basis of that previous classification. Instead, we shall focus on the flux phases most frequently discussed in the  $AV_3Sb_5$  context, namely, the TrH and the SoD phases. Figure 1 sketches the patterns of  $2 \times 2$  bond currents on the Kagome lattice for both of these two phases. As one can check, the TrH phase has neither vertical mirror symmetry nor its product with translation, and is therefore expected to generate AHE.

By contrast, the SoD phase preserves mirror symmetries, indicating vanishing AHE. Also presented in Figure 1 is an example of a nematic orbital flux phase [30, 44], a descendant of the TrH phase that breaks all verticalplane mirror symmetries but respects the product of a mirror and a translation of half a lattice constant of the  $2 \times 2$  enlarged CDW unitcell. Hence, this state does not support AHE either. The lack of Hall response in the latter two can also be inferred by noting the vanishing of net orbital flux due to an exact cancellation among opposite loop currents within each  $2 \times 2$  unit cell. The above conclusions will be separately verified by our numerical calculations later. Additionally, the above two flux orders are also not supposed to display the field-switchable chirality otherwise already observed in multiple experiments [11, 12, 28].

The above analyses can be generalized to scenarios with three-dimensional modulation of the CDW order. Experimentally, there have been hints of alternate stacking of TrH and SoD layers [16], or a  $\pi$ -shifted stacking of the TrH order [17]. Both of these cases contain TrH lay-




FIG. 2. Zero-temperature AHE in the TrH phase with  $\{t, \mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} = \{0.5, 0.1, -0.005, -0.005, 0.01\}$ eV. (a) Real and imaginary parts of the anomalous Hall conductivity  $\sigma_H$  and the real part of the longitudinal conductivity  $\sigma_{xx}$ . The two vertical dashed lines indicate  $\hbar\omega = 0.8$  eV and 1.55 eV, which correspond to the existing experimental optical wavelengths 1550 nm and 800 nm, respectively. (b) Band structure and Chern number of the individual bands. (c) and (d) Momentum-space distribution of the interband Berry curvature  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}$  with  $\hbar\omega = 1$  eV and between bands whose dispersions are highlighted by thick curves in (b), namely, (c) between the thick green and thick blue bands, and (d) between the thick green and thick yellow (flat) bands.

ers and therefore will exhibit AHE. On the other hand, a  $\pi$ -shifted stacking of the SoD order will not show Hall response, as it still respects the mirror symmetry of the single-layer limit.

#### MODEL CALCULATIONS

We construct the tight-binding model of different  $2 \times 2$ orbital flux phases on the Kagome lattice, assuming that the band structure around the Fermi level is dominated by a single Vanadium *d*-orbital residing on each lattice site. As spin order has not been reported in the  $AV_3Sb_5$  family, we shall use a spinless model for simplicity, keeping in mind that the final numerical results shall be multiplied by two to account for the two spin degrees of freedom. In real space, the Hamiltonian is given by [45],

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{j}} + \text{h.c.}) - \mu \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$$
$$- i \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} \lambda_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}} (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{j}} - \text{h.c.}). \qquad (7)$$

Here, t represents the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude,  $\lambda_{ij}$  designates the bond current flowing from jth site to ith site,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential, and  $\langle ij \rangle$  denotes nearest neighbors. With  $t \simeq 0.5$  eV and proper filling fraction, and without the last term which describes the orbital flux order, the Hamiltonian is able to capture well both the normal-state band structure and the Fermi surface geometry of the low-energy Vanadium-dominated bands obtained in first principle studies [46]. The sign of  $\lambda_{ij}$  follows the arrow direction depicted for the individual flux phases in Fig. 1, with the convention that a positive  $\lambda_{ij}$  indicates a bond current flowing from i to j, and vice versa. The  $2 \times 2$  flux phase quadruple the unit cell, resulting in twelve lattice sites in the enlarged unit cell.

We transform the above Hamiltonian (7) into momentum-space formulation, after which the optical Hall conductivity can be conveniently computed according to Eqns. (1) or (4). Consistent with the above symmetry arguments, our numerical calculations confirm the vanishing of the Hall conductivity in the SoD and nematic orbital flux phases.

In the following, we focus on the TrH phase. According to the sketch in Fig. 1, each set of bonds designated the same color form a closed current loop. The bond current amplitudes on the three loops are given by  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ . The resultant model is a Chern metal, and the Chern numbers of the individual bands are labeled in Fig. 2 (b) alongside the band structure. Figure 2 (a) shows the real and imaginary parts of  $\sigma_H$  obtained at zero temperature for a representative set of parameters close to the CDW gap of order 10 meV inferred from tunneling and optical spectroscopic measurements [11, 14, 47]. According to (4), Im[ $\sigma_H$ ] is related to the interband transition spectrum and is given by,

$$\frac{1}{2A} \sum_{\mathbf{k},a,b} [f(\epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}}) - f(\epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}})](\epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{B}^{ab}_{xy}(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\hbar\omega - \epsilon_{a\mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_{b\mathbf{k}}) \,.$$
(8)

As one can see from Fig. 2 (a),  $\text{Im}[\sigma_H]$  is characterized by broad resonance features between around 0.9 eV and 1.5 eV. This resonance window varies with  $\lambda_i$ 's, but generally falls within the resonance of the longitudinal optical conductivity  $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}]$  that spans a broader frequency range (Fig. 2 (a)). Besides the difference in the resonance range, the lineshape of the two types of conductivities also differ somewhat. These differences suggests that the Hall response may be associated more prominently with some interband optical transitions than the others.

To gain further insight, we plot in Fig. 2 (c) and (d) the momentum-space distribution of the interband Berry curvature  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}$  for two different sets of bands. In most cases, we see that  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}$  is sharply peaked in very restricted areas in the Brillouin zone. We thus arrive at an interesting observation that, the CDW-induced band topology is most strongly featured by a small fraction of the Bloch electrons in each band, and this in turn limits the type of interband transitions capable of generating Hall response. Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}_{xy}^{ab}$  involving one of the flatbands




FIG. 3. Zero-temperature Kerr rotation angle in the TrH phase. (a) Estimated Kerr angle with  $\{t, \mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} = \{0.5, 0.1, -0.005, -0.005, 0.01\}$ eV. The insets show zoom-in view around the two frequencies already accessed by Kerr effect studies,  $\hbar\omega = 0.8$  and 1.55 eV. (b) and (c) Variation of the Kerr angle at the two experimental frequencies as a function of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , with  $\lambda_3 \equiv 0.01$  eV. The shaded area (same in both subfigures) roughly corresponds to  $|\theta_K(\hbar\omega = 0.8 \text{ eV})| \leq 5 \mu \text{rad}.$ 

and the band marked by thick green band dispersion is particularly large. The corresponding interband transitions (symbolized by double arrow in Fig. 2 (b)) are responsible for the sharp resonance at  $\hbar\omega \simeq 1$  eV. Notably, this feature is seen in a broad parameter range of bond currents we have considered.

#### KERR ANGLE IN TRI-HEXAGONAL PHASE

In the backscattering geometry, the Kerr rotation angle is related to the Hall conductivity as,

$$\theta_K(\omega) = \frac{4\pi}{\omega d} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\sigma_H(\omega)}{n(\omega)[n(\omega)^2 - 1]}\right],\tag{9}$$

where d is the interlayer spacing in  $AV_3Sb_5$ , and  $n(\omega)$ denotes the frequency-dependent refraction index. Using the same set of parameters as in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 (a) presents the estimated zero-temperature Kerr angle as a function of the probing frequency. Details of the computation are provided in the Supplementary [48]. Since  $\operatorname{Re}[\sigma_H(\omega)]$ also contributes in (9), the Kerr angle does not diminish beyond the resonance of  $\operatorname{Im}[\sigma_H]$ . Existing Kerr measurements on  $AV_3Sb_5$  [35–40] were performed at fixed optical frequencies  $\hbar\omega = 0.8 \text{ eV}$  (1550 nm) and 1.55 eV (800 nm). In the present calculation, both frequencies see significant Kerr rotation angle of the order of 100  $\mu$ rad (see insets of Fig. 3 (a)). At face value, this would seem to be consistent with the 800-nm measurements [35, 36] and disagree with the 1550-nm ones [37–40]. However, we note possible sizable disorder effects [49], and more importantly the strong sensitivity to the microscopic details of the bond currents on the three loops. Figure 3 (b) and (c) show representative variation of  $\theta_K$  at the two frequencies as a function of the bond currents. With one of the bond currents,  $\lambda_3$ , held fixed at 10 meV,  $\theta_K$  generally varies on the scale of microradians to sub-miliradians for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in the range of  $\pm 10$  meV. Furthermore,  $\theta_K$  exhibits a linear relation separately with each of the bond currents, and can change sign within the range of parameters considered. Highlighted in gray shading is the regime with  $|\theta_K(\hbar\omega = 0.8 \text{ eV})| \leq 5 \mu \text{rad}$ . This regime of parameters leads to similar estimates of the Kerr angle at  $\hbar\omega = 1.55$  eV (Fig. 3 (c)), in contrast to the 800-nm measurements [35, 36]. One would thus need extra fine tuning in order to reconcile the Kerr measurements done at the two wavelengths. Nonetheless, we also take note of the possible difference caused by the different experimental setup as discussed in Refs. 39 and 40.

Finally, the Kerr angle at around  $\hbar\omega = 1$  eV readily reaches the miliradians range, tracking the parameterinsensitive sharp resonance enhancement of the Hall conductivity. Hence, had the  $AV_3Sb_5$  compounds indeed developed the TrH phase, Kerr measurements at around 1240 nm would likely yield the largest outcome.

# SUMMARY

In an attempt to identify the TRSB CDW order and to interpret the multiple polar Kerr effect measurements in the  $AV_3Sb_5$  compounds, we have carried out symmetry analyses and numerical modeling of the AHE for the orbital flux phases on the Kagome lattice. The symmetry argument showed that the previously proposed SoD (Fig. 1 (a)) and nematic orbital flux phases (Fig. 1 (c)) do not support AHE nor polar Kerr effect, as they each preserves certain vertical-plane mirror symmetry or its product with a lattice translation. We further took the TrH orbital flux phase (Fig. 1 (b)) as an exemplary flux order that do exhibit Kerr effect, and evaluated its anomalous Hall conductivity as well as the related Kerr rotation angle. Our results suggest that, for TrH bond current amplitudes relevant to  $AV_3Sb_5$ , the low-temperature Kerr angle at existing experimental optical frequencies generally reach microradians to sub-miliradians. In addition, we observed a sharp resonance enhancement at around  $\hbar\omega = 1$  eV, which is related to interband Berry curvature involving the flatbands. The polar Kerr rotation, if existent, may thus be significantly larger in the regime of optical wavelengths ( $\sim 1240 \text{ nm}$ ) not yet accessed by previous measurements. Our study provides a basis for interpreting Kerr measurements on the  $AV_3Sb_5$  compounds and for deducing the nature of their CDW order.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Jun-Feng Dai, Qive Liu, Luvi Yang, and Jia-Xin Yin for helpful discussions. This work is supported by NSFC under grants No. 12374042 and No. 11904155, the Guangdong Science and Technology Department under Grant 2022A1515011948, a Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (Grant No. KQTD20200820113010023). and the Guangdong Provincial Kev Laboratory under Grant No. 2019B121203002. Computing resources are provided by the Center for Computational Science and Engineering at Southern University of Science and Technology.

\* huangw3@sustech.edu.cn

- J. X. Yin, B. Lian and M. Z. Hasan. Topological kagome magnets and superconductors. Nature **612**, 647–657 (2022).
- [2] Y. Wang, H. Wu, G. T. McCandless, J. Y. Chan and M. N. Ali. Quantum states and intertwining phases in kagome materials. Nat. Rev. Phys. 5, 635–658 (2023).
- [3] K. Jiang, T. Wu, J. X. Yin, Z. Wang, M. Z. Hasan, S. D. Wilson, X. Chen, J. Hu. Kagome superconductors AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> (A = K, Rb, Cs). National Science Review 10: nwac199 (2023).
- [4] S. D. Wilson and B. R. Ortiz. AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> kagome superconductors. Nat. Rev. Mater. 9, pages 420–432 (2024).
- [5] B. R. Ortiz, L. C. Gomes, J. R. Morey, M. Winiarski, M. Bordelon, J. S. Mangum, I. W. H. Oswald, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. R. Neilson, S. D. Wilson, E. Ertekin, T. M. McQueen, and E. S. Tobererl. New kagome prototype materials: discovery of KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>, RbV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>, and CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. Mater. **3**, 094407 (2019).
- [6] E. M. Kenney, B. R. Ortiz, C. Wang, S. D. Wilson and M. J. Graf. Absence of local moments in the kagome metal KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> as determined by muon spin spectroscopy. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **33**, 235801 (2021).
- [7] B. R. Ortiz, S. M. L. Teicher, Y. Hu, J. L. Zuo, P.M. Sarte, E. C. Schueller, A. M. M. Abeykoon, M. J. Krogstad, S. Rosenkranz, R. Osborn, R. Seshadri, L. Balents, J. He, and S. D. Wilson, CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>: A Z<sub>2</sub> Topological Kagome Metal with a Superconducting Ground State. Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 247002 (2020).
- [8] B. R. Ortiz, P. M. Sarte, E. M. Kenney, M. J. Graf, S. M. L. Teicher, R. Seshadri, and S. D. Wilson. Superconductivity in the Z<sub>2</sub> kagome metal KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. Materials 5, 034801 (2021).
- [9] Q. Yin, Z. Tu, C. Gong, Y. Fu, S. Yan and H. Lei. Superconductivity and Normal-State Properties of Kagome Metal RbV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> Single Crystals. Chin. Phys. Lett. **38**, 037403 (2021).

- [10] H. Zhao, H. Li, B. R. Ortiz, S. M. L. Teicher, T. Park, M. Ye, Z. Wang, L. Balents, S. D. Wilson and I. Zeljkovic. Cascade of correlated electron states in the kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nature **599**, 216–221 (2021).
- [11] Y. X. Jiang, J. X. Yin, M. Michael Denner, N. Shumiya, B. R. Ortiz, G. Xu, Z. Guguchia, J. He, M. S. Hossain, X. Liu, J. Ruff, L. Kautzsch, S. S. Zhang, G. Chang, I. Belopolski, Q. Zhang, T. A. Cochran, D. Multer, M. Litskevich, Z. J. Cheng, X. P. Yang, Z. Wang, R. Thomale, T. Neupert, S. D. Wilson and M. Z. Hasan. Unconventional chiral charge order in kagome superconductor KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nat. Mater. **20**, 1353–1357 (2021).
- [12] N. Shumiya, M. S. Hossain, J. X. Yin, Y. X. Jiang, B. R. Ortiz, H. Liu, Y. Shi, Q. Yin, H. Lei, S. S. Zhang, G. Chang, Q. Zhang, T. A. Cochran, D. Multer, M. Litskevich, Z. J. Cheng, X. P. Yang, Z. Guguchia, S. D. Wilson, and M. Zahid Hasan. Intrinsic nature of chiral charge order in the kagome superconductor RbV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **104**, 035131 (2021).
- [13] Z. Wang, Y. X. Jiang, J. X. Yin, Y. Li, G. Y. Wang, H. L. Huang, S. Shao, J. Liu, P. Zhu, N. Shumiya, M. S. Hossain, H. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Duan, X. Li, G. Chang, P. Dai, Z. Ye, G. Xu, Y. Wang, H. Zheng, J. Jia, M. Zahid Hasan, and Y. Yao. Electronic nature of chiral charge order in the kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **104**, 075148 (2021).
- [14] Z. Liang, X. Hou, F. Zhang, W. Ma, P. Wu, Z. Zhang, F. Yu, J. J. Ying, K. Jiang, L. Shan, Z. Wang, and X. H. Chen. Three-Dimensional Charge Density Wave and Surface-Dependent Vortex-Core States in a Kagome Superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. X **11**, 031026 (2021).
- [15] H. Li, T. T. Zhang, T. Yilmaz, Y. Y. Pai, C. E. Marvinney, A. Said, Q. W. Yin, C.S. Gong, Z. J. Tu, E. Vescovo, C.S. Nelson, R.G. Moore, S. Murakami, H. C. Lei, H. N. Lee, B. J. Lawrie, and H. Miao. Observation of Unconventional Charge Density Wave without Acoustic Phonon Anomaly in Kagome Superconductors AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> (A=Rb, Cs). Phys. Rev. X **11**, 031050 (2021).
- [16] Y. Hu, X. Wu, B. R. Ortiz, X. Han, N. C. Plumb, S. D. Wilson, A. P. Schnyder, and M. Shi. Coexistence of trihexagonal and star-of-David pattern in the charge density wave of the kagome superconductor AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **106**, L241106 (2022).
- [17] J. Frassineti, P. Bonfà, G. Allodi, E. Garcia, R. Cong, B. R. Ortiz, S. D. Wilson, R. De Renzi, V. F. Mitrović, and S. Sanna, Microscopic nature of the charge density wave in the kagome superconductor RbV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. Research 5, L012017 (2023).
- [18] Q. Stahl, D. Chen, T. Ritschel, C. Shekhar, E. Sadrollahi, M. C. Rahn, O. Ivashko, M. V. Zimmermann, C. Felser, and J. Geck. Temperature-driven reorganization of electronic order in CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **105**, 195136 (2022).
- [19] Y. Xiang, Q. Li, Y. Li, W. Xie, H. Yang, Z. Wang, Y. Yao and H. H. Wen. Twofold symmetry of c-axis resistivity in topological kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> with inplane rotating magnetic field. Nat. Commun. **12**, 6727 (2021).
- [20] H. Li, H. Zhao, B. R. Ortiz, T. Park, M. Ye, L. Balents, Z. Wang, S. D. Wilson and I. Zeljkovic. Rotation symmetry breaking in the normal state of a kagome superconductor KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nat. Phys. **18**, 265–270 (2022).
- [21] L. Nie, K. Sun, W. Ma, D. Song, L. Zheng, Z. Liang, P. Wu, F. Yu, J. Li, M. Shan, D. Zhao, S. Li, B. Kang, Z.

Wu, Y. Zhou, K. Liu, Z. Xiang, J. Ying, Z. Wang, T. Wu and X. Chen. Charge-density-wave-driven electronic nematicity in a kagome superconductor. Nature **604**, 59–64 (2022).

- [22] L. Yu, C. Wang, Y. Zhang, M. Sander, S. Ni, Z. Lu, S. Ma, Z. Wang, Z. Zhao, H. Chen, K. Jiang, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, F. Zhou, X. Dong, S. L. Johnson, M. J. Graf, J. Hu, H. J. Gao, Z. Zhao. Evidence of a hidden flux phase in the topological kagome metal CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. arXiv:2107.10714 [cond-mat.supr-con].
- [23] C. Mielke III, D. Das, J. X. Yin, H. Liu, R. Gupta, Y.-X. Jiang, M. Medarde, X. Wu, H. C. Lei, J. Chang, P. Dai, Q. Si, H. Miao, R. Thomale, T. Neupert, Y. Shi, R. Khasanov, M. Z. Hasan, H. Luetkens and Z. Guguchia. Time-reversal symmetry-breaking charge order in a kagome superconductor. Nature **602**, 245–250 (2022).
- [24] R. Khasanov, D. Das, R. Gupta, C. Mielke, III, M. Elender, Q. Yin, Z. Tu, C. Gong, H. Lei, E. T. Ritz, R. M. Fernandes, T. Birol, Z. Guguchia, and H. Luetkens. Timereversal symmetry broken by charge order in CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. Research 4, 023244 (2022).
- [25] S. Y. Yang, Y. Wang, B. R. Ortiz, D. Liu, J. Gayles, E. Derunova, R. Gonzalez-Hernandez, L. Smejkal, Y. Chen, S. S. Parkin, S. D. Wilson, E. S. Toberer, T. Mcqueen, and M. N. Ali. Giant, unconventional anomalous Hall effect in the metallic frustrated magnet candidate, KV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Sci. Adv. 6, eabb6003(2020).
- [26] F. H. Yu, T. Wu, Z. Y. Wang, B. Lei, W. Z. Zhuo, J. J. Ying, and X. H. Chen. Concurrence of anomalous Hall effect and charge density wave in a superconducting topological kagome metal. Phys. Rev. B 104, L041103 (2021).
- [27] L. Wang, W. Zhang, Z. Wang, T. F. Poon, W. Wang, C. W. Tsang, J. Xie, X. Zhou, Y. Zhao, S. Wang, K. To Lai and S. K Goh. Anomalous Hall effect and twodimensional Fermi surfaces in the charge-density-wave state of kagome metal RbV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. J. Phys. Mater. 6, 02LT01 (2023).
- [28] C. Guo, C. Putzke, S. Konyzheva, X. Huang, M. Gutierrez-Amigo, I. Errea, D. Chen, M. G. Vergniory, C. Felser, M. H. Fischer, T. Neupert and P. J. W. Moll. Switchable chiral transport in charge-ordered kagome metal CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nature **611**, 461–466 (2022).
- [29] X. Feng, K. Jiang, Z. Wang, J. Hu. Chiral flux phase in the Kagome superconductor AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Sci. Bull. 66, 1384 (2021).
- [30] M. M. Denner, R. Thomale, and T. Neupert, Analysis of Charge Order in the Kagome Metal AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> (A =K,Rb,Cs). Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 217601 (2021).
- [31] H. Tan, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, and B. Yan. Charge Density Waves and Electronic Properties of Superconducting Kagome Metals. Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 046401 (2021).
- [32] T. Park, M. Ye, and L. Balents, Electronic instabilities of kagome metals: Saddle points and Landau theory. Phys. Rev. B 104, 035142 (2021).
- [33] Y. P. Lin, R. M. Nandkishore. Complex charge density waves at Van Hove singularity on hexagonal lattices: Haldane-model phase diagram and potential realization in kagome metals AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **104**, 045122 (2021).
- [34] A. Kapitulnik. Notes on constraints for the observation of Polar Kerr Effect in complex materials. Physica B 460, 151 (2015).

- [35] Q. Wu, Z. X. Wang, Q. M. Liu, R. S. Li, S. X. Xu, Q. W. Yin, C. S. Gong, Z. J. Tu, H. C. Lei, T. Dong, and N. L. Wang. Simultaneous formation of two-fold rotation symmetry with charge order in the kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> by optical polarization rotation measurement. Phys. Rev. B **106**, 205109(2022).
- [36] Y. Xu, Z. Ni, Y. Liu, B. R. Ortiz, Q. Deng, S. D. Wilson, B. Yan, L. Balents and L. Wu. Three-state nematicity and magneto-optical Kerr effect in the charge density waves in kagome superconductors. Nat. Phys. 18, 1470–1475 (2022).
- [37] Y. Hu, S. Yamane, G. Mattoni, K. Yada, K. Obata, Y. Li, Y. Yao, Z. Wang, J. Wang, C. Farhang, J. Xia, Y. Maeno, S. Yonezawa. Time-reversal symmetry breaking in charge density wave of CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub> detected by polar Kerr effect. arXiv:2208.08036 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [38] C. Farhang, J. Wang, B. R. Ortiz, S. D. Wilson and J. Xia . Unconventional specular optical rotation in the charge ordered state of Kagome metal CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nat. Commun. 14, 5326 (2023).
- [39] D. R. Saykin, C. Farhang, E. D. Kountz, D. Chen, B. R. Ortiz, C. Shekhar, C. Felser, S. D. Wilson, R. Thomale, J. Xia, A. Kapitulnik. High Resolution Polar Kerr Effect Studies of CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>: Tests for Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking Below the Charge Order Transition. Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 016901 (2023).
- [40] J. Wang, C. Farhang, B. R. Ortiz, S. D. Wilson, J. Xia. Resolving the discrepancy between MOKE measurements at 1550-nm wavelength on Kagome Metal CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. Materials 8, 014202 (2024).
- [41] S. Tewari, C. Zhang, V. M. Yakovenko, and S. Das Sarma, Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking by a d + id Density-Wave State in Underdoped Cuprate Superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 217004 (2008).
- [42] W. Cho and S. A. Kivelson. Necessity of Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking for the Polar Kerr Effect in Linear Response. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 093903 (2016).
- [43] X. Feng, Y. Zhang, K. Jiang, J. Hu. Low-energy effective theory and symmetry classification of flux phases on Kagome lattice. Phys. Rev. B 104, 165136 (2021).
- [44] H. M. Jiang, M. Mao, Z. Y. Miao, S. L. Yu, J. X. Li. Interplay between Chiral Charge Density Wave and Superconductivity in Kagome Superconductors: A Self-consistent Theoretical Analysis. Phys. Rev. B 109, 104512 (2024).
- [45] Here, we ignore the modulations to the real part of the electron hoppings on the bonds due to the CDW order,  $\lambda'$ . Including such modulations will at best lead to  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda'/t)$  correction to the anomalous Hall conductivity.
- [46] Y. Gu, Y. Zhang, X. Feng, K. Jiang, and J. Hu, Gapless excitations inside the fully gapped kagome superconductors AV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Phys. Rev. B **105**, L100502 (2021).
- [47] M. Kang, S. Fang, J-K. Kim, B. R. Ortiz, S. H. Ryu, J. Kim, J. Yoo, G. Sangiovanni, D. Di Sante, B-G Park, C. Jozwiak, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, E. Kaxiras, S. D. Wilson, J-H. Park, and R. Comin. Twofold van Hove singularity and origin of charge order in topological kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>. Nat. Phys. **18**, 301 (2022).
- [48] See Supplementary Materials for more details of the calculation of the Kerr angle.
- [49] S. Nakazawa, R. Tazai, Y. Yamakawa, S. Onari, H. Kontani. Giant Impurity Effects on Charge Loop Current Order States in Kagome Metals. arXiv:2405.12141.
- [50] X. Zhou, Y. Li, X. Fan, J. Hao, Y. Dai, Z. Wang, Y. Yao, and H. H. Wen. Origin of charge density wave in

the kagome metal  $CsV_3Sb_5$  as revealed by optical spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B **104**, L041101 (2021).

#### Supplementary Materials

Calculation of the Kerr angle—The optical Kerr angle in SI units is give by

$$\theta_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \omega d} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_H(\omega)}{n(\omega)[n^2(\omega) - 1]} \right\}, \qquad (S1)$$

where  $\epsilon_0 \simeq 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{C}^2/(\mathrm{J\,m})$  is the vacuum permittivity, d is the interlayer spacing along c-axis, and  $n(\omega)$  is the frequency-dependent complex refractory index, given by

$$n(\omega) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{ab}(\omega)},\tag{S2}$$

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\sigma_{xx}(\omega)}{\epsilon_0}.$$
 (S3)

Here  $\epsilon(\omega)$  is the permeability tensor of the vanadium sublattice,  $\epsilon_{\infty}$  is the background permeability, and  $\sigma_{xx}(\omega)$  is the complex optical conductivity. To accurately compute the Kerr angle, it is essential to extract the exact values from experimental data in  $AV_3Sb_5$  for unknown quantities d,  $\epsilon_{\infty}$  and  $\sigma_{xx}(\omega)$ .

Drude	k = 1	k = 2
$\omega_{p,k}^2(\times 10^8 \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	3.3	3.3
$\tau_k^{-1}(\times 10^3 {\rm cm}^{-1})$	0	0.5

TABLE I. Parameters of Drude oscillators.

Lorentz	j = 1	j = 2	j = 3
$\omega_{0,j}(\times 10^3 \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	5.7	9.9	1.8
$\gamma_j( imes 10^3 \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	2.5	3	1.9
$\omega_{p,j}^2(\times 10^8 \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	2.7	3.8	6

TABLE II. Parameters of Lorentz oscillators.

Following Ref. 14 we take d = 9 Å. Following Ref. 50 we use a Drude-Lorentz model to estimate the permeability tensor:

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{p,k}^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega/\tau_k} + \sum_{j} \frac{\omega_{p,j}^2}{\omega_{0,j}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_j},$$
(S4)

where  $\epsilon_{\infty} = 10$ . In the second term,  $\omega p, k$  is the plasma frequency, and  $\tau_k$  is the lifetime of the quasiparticles of the *k*th Drude oscillator. In the third term,  $\omega_{0,j}$ ,  $\gamma_j$ ,  $\omega_{p,j}$  correspond to the resonance frequency, linewidth, and the plasma frequency of the *j*th Lorentz oscillator, respectively. All parameters are given in Table I and Table II. With these parameters and  $\sigma_H(\omega)$ , we can obtain  $\theta_K(\omega)$  from Eq.(S1). Especially, we focus on two frequencies  $\hbar\omega = 0.8eV$  and 1.55eV used in practical Kerr measurements. At  $\hbar\omega = 0.8 \ eV$ ,  $\epsilon = -692.84 + 333.14i$  and n = 6.16 + 27.03i. At  $\hbar\omega = 1.55 \ eV$ ,  $\epsilon = -185.27 + 229.88i$  and n = 7.42 + 15.5i.