

HIGHLY LOCALIZED KERNELS ON SPACE OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

YUAN XU

ABSTRACT. Highly localized kernels based on orthogonal polynomials have been studied and utilized over several regular domains. Much of the results deduced via these kernels can be treated uniformly in the framework of localizable spaces of homogeneous type. We clarify the concept of localness and provide a list of such localizable spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Highly localized kernels based on orthogonal polynomials have been instrumental in studying various problems in approximation theory and computational analysis over regular domains in the past two decades. Such kernels have near-exponential decay off the diagonal and also serve as kernels for integral operators that are polynomials of near-best approximation on the domain, which makes them ideal tools for studying several problems in approximation theory and harmonic analysis. They have been established for weighted function spaces on the interval, the unit sphere, the unit ball, and the simplex in the Euclidean spaces [3, 13, 14, 9, 10, 18, 19, 20, 21] as well as on \mathbb{R}_+^d and \mathbb{R}^d [12, 22], and more recently, on the conic domains [28, 30].

In the literature, much of the analysis based on highly localized kernels is carried out domain by domain, relying on the geometry of each domain. Recently, in [28], a framework was developed that provides a unified treatment for all compact domains that are equipped with highly localized kernels. In this expository paper, we aim to clarify the concept of localization and explain the essence of the framework.

The setup is on the space of homogeneous type, which is a measure space (Ω, μ, d) on the set Ω with a positive measure μ and a metric d such that all open balls

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \Omega : d(x, y) < r\}$$

are measurable and μ is a regular measure satisfying the doubling property

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c\mu(B(x, r)), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where c is independent of x and r . Such a measure μ is called a doubling measure. In our setup, the domain Ω will be either an algebraic surface or a domain with a non-empty interior, and $d\mu = w(x)dx$ with w being a nonnegative weight function defined on Ω that defines a well-established inner product

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_w = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x)w(x)dx$$

Date: June 25, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A10, 41A63, 42C10, 42C40.

The author was partially supported by Simons Foundation Grant #849676.

For the proceedings of the *Constructive Theory of Functions, Lozenets, Bulgaria, 2023.*

on the space of polynomials restricted to Ω . We shall write the homogeneous space as $(\Omega, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ with \mathbf{w} being a doubling weight, and we write $w(E) = \int_E d\mathbf{w}$ for the subset $E \subset \Omega$.

We need kernels defined in terms of orthogonal polynomials. Let $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbf{w})$ be the space of orthogonal polynomials of degree n with respect to this inner product. Let $P_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ be the reproducing kernel of the space $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbf{w})$. The orthogonal projection operator $\text{proj}_n : L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{w}) \mapsto \mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbf{w})$ can be written as

$$(1.2) \quad (\text{proj}_n f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} P_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) f(y) \mathbf{w}(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{w}).$$

Let \hat{a} be a cut-off function, defined as a compactly supported nonnegative function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\hat{a}(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\hat{a}(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 2$. Then our highly localized kernels are defined by

$$(1.3) \quad L_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{a}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) P_j(\mathbf{w}; x, y).$$

The kernel is regarded as highly localized if it satisfies an upper-bound estimate that contains a factor $(1 + n\mathbf{d}(x, y))^{-\kappa}$ for any given $\kappa > 0$, which means that the kernel decays faster than any polynomial power away from the diagonal $x = y$; see the next section for a precise definition.

We call $(\Omega, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ a *localizable* space of homogeneous type if it possesses highly localized kernels. For such a space, the framework in [28] allows us to establish several results in approximation theory and harmonic analysis in weighted function spaces, such as $L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{w})$, that include a characterization of best approximation by polynomials and localized tight frames, among other results. In other words, several results can be regarded as properties of localizable space of homogeneous type. The definition of highly localized kernels in [28] requires three assertions that need to hold. As we shall show in the next section, one of the assertions is redundant as it holds for every doubling weight.

At the moment, the localizable spaces of homogeneous type are known only for a few regular domains. This is not surprising given the complexity of multivariate orthogonal polynomials and, even more so, the kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$. Most highly localized kernels are established when a closed-form formula for the reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ is known, which we call an *addition formula*, taking a cue from the addition formula for the spherical harmonics. The existence of such a formula is rare and reflects something fundamental about the localizable space of homogeneous type. We shall provide a list of examples of localizable spaces of homogeneous type.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we provide a precise definition of highly localized kernels and, as a consequence, localizable space of homogeneous type, and a sketch of some results that can be established with the help of such kernels. In the third section, we provide a list of examples of known localizable spaces.

2. LOCALIZED SPACE OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

2.1. Orthogonal structure and localized kernels. Let $(\Omega, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ be a space of homogeneous type associated with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{w}}$ defined in (1.1). The dimension of the space $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbf{w})$ of orthogonal polynomials depends on the geometry of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For our purpose, we only consider two cases. The first case is when the interior of Ω

is an open set, such as the unit ball or the simplex in \mathbb{R}^d , for which

$$(2.1) \quad \dim \mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w}) = \binom{n+d-1}{n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The second case is when the domain Ω is a quadratic algebraic surface of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \phi(x) = 0\}$, where ϕ is a quadratic polynomial, such as the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} with $\phi(x) = 1 - \|x\|^2$, for which

$$(2.2) \quad \dim \mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w}) = \binom{n+d-2}{n} + \binom{n+d-3}{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We assume that \mathbf{w} is regular so that the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega, \varpi) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w}) : \quad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{proj}_n f$$

holds, where proj_n is the orthogonal projection operator. This gives the Fourier orthogonal decomposition of f since, if $P_{\nu,n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\varpi)$, then

$$\text{proj}_n f = \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w})} \hat{f}_{\nu,n} P_{\nu,n}, \quad \hat{f}_{\nu,n} := \langle f, P_{\nu,n} \rangle_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

The reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ of $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w})$ is the kernel of the operator proj_n , as shown in (1.2), which can be written as

$$P_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_n^d(\Omega, \mathbf{w})} P_{\nu,n}(x) P_{\nu,n}(y)$$

in terms of any orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\varpi)$. In the multidimensional setting, orthogonal polynomials are complicated and described by multiple indices even when they can be written down, and the kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ is a sum of multiple layers of products of polynomials. Such a sum can hardly be useful for obtaining estimates of kernels like $L_n(\mathbf{w})$ defined in (1.3).

In all cases when highly localized kernels are known, their desired upper bound is established with the help of an addition formula for the reproducing kernel. In most of the cases, the addition formula is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad P_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} Z_n(\xi(x, y; u)) d\mu(u)$$

where Z_n is a polynomial, often orthogonal polynomial, of one variable, $\xi : (x, y; z) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $d\mu$ is a measure on \mathbb{R}^m , which can degenerate to point evaluations. The simplest example is the unit sphere with orthogonal polynomials being spherical harmonics with respect to the surface measure, for which the closed-form formula is given by

$$(2.4) \quad P_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) = \frac{n+\lambda}{\lambda} C_n^\lambda(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = \frac{d-2}{2},$$

where C_n^λ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree n (see Subsection 3.2 below). This formula is called the *addition formula* for spherical harmonics, a name that we adopt for closed-formula of reproducing kernels in all other cases. If an addition formula of the form (2.3) exists, then the kernel $L_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ defined in (1.3) can be written as

$$L_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} L_n(\xi(x, y; \mu)) d\mu(u),$$

where L_n on the right-hand side is a function of one variable given by

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{a}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) Z_j(t),$$

which allows us to deduce an upper bound of the kernel $L_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$ from that of L_n .

We should emphasize that the addition formula is something special. If it is of the form (2.3), then it indicates that the reproducing kernel, hence the projection operator $\text{proj}_n f$, has an implicit one-dimensional structure, which allows us to relate the study of the Fourier orthogonal series to that of the Fourier orthogonal series in one variable. For example, it is well-known that a substantial portion of the Fourier series on the unit sphere is reduced to that of the Fourier-Gegenbauer series. The same holds for other regular domains and for any space of homogeneous type that possesses an addition formula (cf. [28, 29, 30]).

2.2. Highly localized kernels. Let $(\Omega, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ be a space of homogeneous type. The existing examples of the highly localized kernel suggests the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *The kernels $L_n(\mathbf{w}; \cdot, \cdot)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are called highly localized if they satisfy the following assertions:*

Assertion 1. *For $\kappa > 0$ and $x, y \in \Omega$,*

$$|L_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y)| \leq c_\kappa \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}(B(x, n^{-1}))} \sqrt{\mathbf{w}(B(y, n^{-1}))} (1 + n\mathbf{d}(x, y))^\kappa}.$$

Assertion 2. *For $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ with some $\delta_0 < 1$ and $x_1 \in B(x_2, \frac{\delta}{n})$,*

$$|L_n(\mathbf{w}; x_1, y) - L_n(\mathbf{w}; x_2, y)| \leq c_\kappa \frac{n\mathbf{d}(x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}(B(x_1, n^{-1}))} \sqrt{\mathbf{w}(B(x_2, n^{-1}))} (1 + n\mathbf{d}(x_2, y))^\kappa}.$$

This definition contains one less assertion in comparison with the definition given in [28]. The third assertion contained in [28] implies that the first two assertions are sharp. For example, it implies that

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |L_n(\mathbf{w}; x, y)|^p \mathbf{w}(y) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m}(y) \leq c [\mathbf{w}(B(x, n^{-1}))]^{1-p},$$

where $\mathbf{d}\mathbf{m}$ is the Lebesgue measure on Ω , which is frequently needed when we utilize the highly localized kernels. The third assertion imposes a condition on the weight function \mathbf{w} :

Assertion 3. *For sufficient large $\kappa > 0$, there is a constant $c_\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathbf{w}(y)}{\mathbf{w}(B(y, n^{-1})) (1 + n\mathbf{d}(x, y))^\kappa} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m}(y) \leq c_\kappa.$$

This assertion is stated in [28] as part of the definition for $L_n(\mathbf{w})$ being a highly localized kernel. It is, however, redundant since it holds for all doubling weight.

Proposition 2.2. *If $(\Omega, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ is a space of homogeneous type, then Assertion 3 holds.*

Proof. It is known that the doubling weight \mathbf{w} satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad (1 + n\mathbf{d}(x, y))^{-\alpha(\mathbf{w})} \leq \frac{\mathbf{w}(B(y, n^{-1}))}{\mathbf{w}(B(x, n^{-1}))} \leq (1 + n\mathbf{d}(x, y))^{\alpha(\mathbf{w})},$$

where $\alpha(w) > 0$ is a positive constant. Fix n and define $\Omega_{0,n}(x) = B(x, n^{-1})$ and

$$\Omega_{m,n}(x) = \{y : 2^{m-1}n^{-1} \leq d(x, y) \leq 2^m n^{-1}\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $\Omega = \cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m,n}(x)$. Let J_n denote the left-hand side of the inequality in Assertion 3. Using (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{m,n}(x)} \frac{w(y)}{w(B(y, n^{-1})) (1 + nd(x, y))^{\kappa}} dm(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w(B(x, n^{-1}))} \int_{\Omega_{m,n}(x)} \frac{w(y)}{(1 + nd(x, y))^{\kappa - \alpha(w)}} dm(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x, y) \geq 2^{m-1}n^{-1}$ and $\Omega_{m,n}(x) = B(x, 2^m n^{-1}) \setminus B(x, 2^{m-1}n^{-1})$, it follows that

$$J_n \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w(B(x, n^{-1}))} \frac{w(B(x, 2^m n^{-1}))}{(2^{m-1})^{\kappa - \alpha(w)}} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_w)^m}{(1 + 2^{m-1})^{\kappa - \alpha(w)}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\kappa - \alpha(w)} - c_w}$$

where we have used the doubling condition of w , with c_w denoting the smallest constant for the doubling condition, in the second inequality. Choosing κ large shows that J_n is bounded. \square

Definition 2.3. A space (Ω, w, d) of homogeneous type is called *localizable* if it possesses highly localized kernels for one doubling weight ϖ on Ω .

This definition is given in [28], where several problems are studied in a localizable space of homogeneous type, with few additional assumptions, and it provides a uniform treatment of analysis on several regular domains that are derived domain by domain in the literature. As a consequence, as soon as we can show a space of homogeneous type is localizable, a variety of results will follow right away. This is the motivation for the study in [28], where the framework is developed and applied to new spaces over conic domains.

It is worthwhile to emphasize that we need highly localized kernel only for *one* doubling weight ϖ on Ω in order for (Ω, w, d) being localizable. Indeed, most of the results in [28, 30] hold for all doubling weight in a localizable space.

2.3. Analysis in localizable space of homogeneous type. The highly localized kernels have been an important tool for several problems in approximation theory and harmonic analysis. Here we briefly outline a few of them to illustrate their usefulness.

2.3.1. Best approximation by polynomials. Let $L_n(w; \cdot, \cdot)$ be the operator defined in (1.3) via a cut-off function \hat{a} , which is a resampling of the kernel $P_{2n}(w; \cdot, \cdot)$. We can define an integral operator that has $L_n(\varpi; \cdot, \cdot)$ as its kernel. For convenience, we denote the operator by $L_n(\varpi) * f$ in the notation of a pseudo convolution and define it as

$$(2.7) \quad L_n(w) * f(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(y) L_n(w; x, y) w(y) dm(y).$$

The definition of the cut-off function \hat{a} shows that $L_n * f = f$, whenever f is a polynomial of degree at most n , and $L_n * f$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2n$. If $L_n(w)$ were highly localized, then $L_n(w) * f$ should be a good approximation to the function f . This is indeed the case. Let $E_n(f)_{p,w}$ denote the error of the best polynomial approximation of degree at most n to f ,

$$E_n(f)_{p,w} := \int_{P \in \Pi_n(\Omega)} \|f - P\|_{p,w}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p,w}$ denotes the norm of $L^p(\Omega, w)$ and $\Pi_n(\Omega)$ denote the space of polynomials restricted on Ω . Then $L_n(w) * f$ is a near-best polynomial of best approximation, as specified in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (Ω, w, d) be a localizable space of homogeneous type. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega, w)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in C(\Omega)$ for $p = \infty$. Then the operator $L_n(w) * f$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega, w)$ and*

$$\|f - L_n * f\|_{p,w} \leq c_p E_n(f)_{p,w}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

If Z_n in the addition formula (2.3) is a normalized Jacobi polynomial given by

$$Z_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \frac{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)}{h_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}},$$

where $h_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is the L^2 norm of $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, which holds for several domains as will be seen in the next section, then we can define a translation operator $S_{\theta,w}$ by

$$(2.8) \quad \text{proj}_n(w; S_{\theta,w}f) = \frac{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos \theta)}{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)} \text{proj}_n(w; f), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This is a bounded operator and satisfies, in particular, that

$$\|S_{\theta,w}f\|_{p,w} \leq \|f\|_{p,w} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \|S_{\theta,w}f - f\|_{p,w} = 0.$$

for $f \in L^p(w; \Omega)$ if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, or $f \in C(\Omega)$ if $p = \infty$. These properties allow us to define a modulus of smoothness in the localizable space of homogeneous type. For $r > 0$, we define the r -th difference operator

$$\Delta_{\theta,w}^r = (I - S_{\theta,w})^{r/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{r/2}{n} (S_{\theta,w})^n,$$

where I denotes the identity operator, in the distribution sense. Then the modulus smoothness is defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_r(f, t)_{p,w} := \sup_{0 < \theta \leq t} \|\Delta_{\theta,w}^r f\|_{p,w}, \quad 0 < t < \pi,$$

which satisfies the usual properties of the modulus of smoothness. Furthermore, it can be used to prove both direct and inverse theorems in a characterization of the best approximation $E_n(f)_{p,w}$ by polynomials. We refer to [28] for details.

2.3.2. Positive cubature rules and Christoffel functions. Let w be a doubling weight on Ω . A cubature rule of degree n for w is a finite linear combination of point evaluations that satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x)w(x)dm(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k f(x_k), \quad \forall f \in \Pi_n(\Omega),$$

where $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_k \in \Omega$. The cubature rule is called positive if all λ_k are positive. The positivity is important for numerical stability and for many applications. Positive cubature rules exist if the set of its nodes is well separated as defined below.

Definition 2.5. *Let Ξ be a discrete set in Ω .*

- (a) *A finite collection of subsets $\{S_z : z \in \Xi\}$ is called a partition of Ω if $S_z \cap S_y = \emptyset$ when $z \neq y$ and $\Omega = \bigcup_{z \in \Xi} S_z$.*

- (b) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. A discrete subset Ξ of Ω is called ε -separated if $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ for every two distinct points $x, y \in \Xi$.
- (c) Ξ is called maximal if there is a constant $c_d > 1$ such that

$$1 \leq \sum_{z \in \Xi} \chi_{B(z, \varepsilon)}(x) \leq c_d, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of the set E .

The item (c) implies $\Omega = \bigcup_{z \in \Xi} B(z, \varepsilon)$. The positive cubature rules have been studied by many authors and in various domains. It takes the following form.

Theorem 2.6. Let w be a doubling weight on Ω . Let Ξ be a maximum $\frac{\delta}{n}$ -separated subset of Ω . There is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there exist positive numbers λ_z , $z \in \Xi$, so that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x)w(x)dm(x) = \sum_{z \in \Xi} \lambda_z f(z), \quad \forall f \in \Pi_n(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the weight λ_z satisfies $\lambda_z \geq c_1 w(B(z, \frac{\delta}{n}))$.

This theorem is first proved for the unit sphere [17, 18]. The proof is standard by now and can be easily adapted to any space of homogeneous type. The existence of positive λ_z in (2.10) is based on the Farkas lemma and uses the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality. The proof latter inequality does not rely on highly localized kernels, nor is the proof of the above theorem. Thus, these results are properties for the space of homogeneous type. With such generality, they have become a useful tool for the discretization of $L^p(\Omega, w)$ for fairly general Ω and w .

In the case that the space is localizable, we can utilize the highly localized kernels to provide a matching upper bound for the coefficients λ_z via the Christoffel function, which plays an important role in studying the tight polynomial frame in the next subsection. Let w be a doubling weight on Ω . The Christoffel function $\lambda_n(w; \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_n(w; x) := \inf_{\substack{g(x)=1 \\ g \in \Pi_n(\Omega)}} \int_{\Omega} |g(x)|^2 w(x) dm(x).$$

The function is of interest in its own right and has various applications. Recall that $P_n(w; \cdot, \cdot)$ denote the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{V}_n(\Omega, w)$. It is known [5, Theorem 3.6.6.] that, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda_n(w; x) = \frac{1}{K_n(w; x, x)}, \quad K_n(w; x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(w; x, y).$$

We need to obtain lower and upper bound for $\lambda_n(w; x)$. If (Ω, w, d) is localizable, then the lower bound follows immediately from the highly localized kernel $L_n(w)$, since

$$K_n(w; x, x) \leq L_n(w; x, x) \leq c w(B(x, n^{-1}))$$

where the second inequality follows from setting $y = x$ in Assertion 1, which is equivalent to $\lambda_n(w; x) \geq c w(B(x, n^{-1}))$ by (2.12). For the upper bound, we can use (2.11) by selecting a particular function $g(y)$ that is localized at x , which we choose as a fast decaying polynomial. In one variable, fast decaying polynomials are studied in [11], see also [23], which leads to fast decaying polynomials on the unit sphere by the addition formula. For the unit ball, such polynomials are constructed in [21]. In the space of

homogeneous type, we assume that such polynomials exist by making the following assertion.

Assertion 4. *Let Ω be compact. For each $x \in \Omega$, there is a nonnegative polynomial T_x of degree at most n that satisfies*

(1) $T_x(x) = 1$, $T_x(y) \geq \delta > 0$ for $y \in B(x, \frac{1}{n})$ for some δ independent of n , and, for each $\gamma > 1$,

$$0 \leq T_x(y) \leq c_\gamma(1 + nd(x, y))^{-\gamma}, \quad y \in \Omega;$$

(2) *there is a polynomial q_n such that $q_n(x)T_x(y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most rn , for some positive integer r , in x -variable and $c_1 \leq q_n(x) \leq c_2$ for $x \in \Omega$ for some positive numbers c_1 and c_2 .*

This was formulated in [28] and used to establish the following [28, Lemma 2.1.5]:

Lemma 2.7. *Assume Assertion 4. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a positive number and let $x \in \Omega$ be fixed. For a doubling weight w on Ω , there is a polynomial Q_x of degree n such that, for all $y \in \Omega$,*

$$(2.13) \quad c_1(1 + nd(x, y))^\alpha w(B(y, \frac{1}{n})) \leq Q_x(y) \leq c_2(1 + nd(x, y))^\alpha w(B(y, \frac{1}{n})),$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constant independent of n , x and y .

The inequality (2.13) shows that Q_x is highly localized and decays near-exponentially away from $y = x$. Using this lemma, we obtain an upper bound of $\lambda_n(w)$ and, in particular, a matching upper bound for λ_z in Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.8. *Let (Ω, w, d) be a localizable space of homogeneous type and assume Assertion 4. Then*

$$\lambda_n(w; x) \sim w(B(x, \frac{1}{n})).$$

Moreover, the coefficients λ_z in the cubature rule (2.10) satisfies $\lambda_z \sim w(B(z, \frac{1}{n}))$.

It is shown in [28, 30] that this theorem holds for conic domains.

2.3.3. Localized polynomial tight frames. The study of such tight frames starts with the unit sphere in [18], where they are called *needlebits*, and then studied for several regular domains, such as (cf. [3, 4, 12, 9, 20, 21, 22]), and they have been applied in both computational analysis (cf. [1, 2, 7, 8, 15, 24]) and in decomposition of functions spaces (cf. [13, 14, 10, 19, 22]). We follow the framework in [28].

Let (Ω, ϖ, d) be a localizable homogeneous space. We consider a highly localized kernel $L_n(w; x, y)$ with its cut-off function \hat{a} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}(t) &\geq \rho > 0, & \text{if } t \in [3/5, 5/3], \\ [\hat{a}(t)]^2 + [\hat{a}(2t)]^2 &= 1, & \text{if } t \in [1/2, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Such a cut-off function can be easily constructed. The last assumption on \hat{a} implies

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\hat{a}\left(\frac{t}{2^j}\right) \right]^2 = 1, \quad t \in [1, \infty).$$

In terms of the kernel $L_n(w; \cdot, \cdot)$ defined via the above cut-off function \hat{a} , we define

$$F_0(x, z) := 1, \quad \text{and} \quad F_j(x, z) := L_{2^{j-1}}(w; x, z), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and define, accordingly, $F_j * f = L_{2^{j-1}}(w) * f$, that is,

$$F_j * f(x) := \int_{\Omega} f(y) F_j(x, y) w(y) dm(y), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The $*$ operation is associative. By the orthogonality of the reproducing kernel, it follows readily that

$$F_j * F_j * f = \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left| \widehat{a} \left(\frac{k}{2^{j-1}} \right) \right|^2 \text{proj}_k(\mathbf{w}; f).$$

Hence, the following semi-discrete Calderón type decomposition follows from (2.14),

$$(2.15) \quad f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{proj}_k(\mathbf{w}; f) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j * F_j * f, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{w}).$$

Since $F_j(x, \cdot)F_k(\cdot, y)$ is a polynomial of degree 2^j , discretization by a cubature rule of degree 2^j puts $F_j * F_k$ as a sum, which leads to a tight frame if the cubature rule has positive coefficients. We use the positive cubature rule in Theorem 2.6. For $j = 0, 1, \dots$, let $\varepsilon_j = \frac{\delta}{2^j}$ and let Ξ_j be a maximal ε_j -separated subset in Ω , where δ is chosen so that the cubature rule (2.10) holds. Thus, there are $\lambda_{z,j} > 0$ for $z \in \Xi_j$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x)\mathbf{w}(x)dm(x) = \sum_{z \in \Xi_j} \lambda_{z,j} f(z), \quad f \in \Pi_{2^j}(\Omega);$$

moreover, $\lambda_{z,j} \sim \mathbf{w}(B(z, 2^{-j}))$ since we assume that Assertion 4 holds for \mathbf{w} . We use this cubature to discretize $F_j * F_k$, which leads to a tight frame.

For $z \in \Xi_j$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we define our frame elements by

$$\psi_{z,j}(x) := \sqrt{\lambda_{z,j}} F_j(x, z).$$

Then $\Psi := \{\psi_{z,j} : z \in \Xi_j, 1 \leq j \leq \infty\}$ is a frame system [28, Theorem 2.20]:

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that Ω admits a localizable homogenous space. Let \mathbf{w} be a doubling weight satisfying Assertion 4. If $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{w})$, then*

$$(2.16) \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \Xi_j} \langle f, \psi_{z,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} \psi_{z,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{w})$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \|f\|_{2,\mathbf{w}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \Xi_j} |\langle f, \psi_{z,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{w}}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The frame element $\psi_{z,j}$ has a near exponential rate of decay away from its center with respect to the distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on Ω .

Theorem 2.10. *Let (Ω, ϖ, d) be a localizable space of homogeneous type. There, there is a constant $c_{\kappa} > 0$ depending only on κ , d , ϖ and \widehat{a} such that for $z \in \Xi_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$,*

$$(2.18) \quad |\psi_{z,j}(x)| \leq c_{\kappa} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varpi(B(z, 2^{-j}))}(1 + 2^j d(x, z))^{\kappa}}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Besides the regular domains mentioned before, these localized tight frames hold on conic domains as shown in [28].

3. EXAMPLES OF LOCALIZABLE SPACE OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

We state several examples of (Ω, \mathbf{w}, d) that are localizable spaces of homogeneous type. In each case, we also include the addition formula utilized to prove Assertions 1 and 2.

3.1. The interval $[-1, 1]$ with the Jacobi weight. For $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the Jacobi weight function is defined by

$$w_{\alpha, \beta}(t) := (1-t)^\alpha(1+t)^\beta, \quad -1 < t < 1.$$

The intrinsic distance of the interval $[-1, 1]$ is defined by

$$d_{[-1, 1]}(t, s) = \arccos \left(ts + \sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-s^2} \right),$$

which is the projection of the distance $|\theta - \phi|$ on the upper half of the unit circle if we set $t = \cos \theta$ and $s = \cos \phi$. The space $([-1, 1], w_{\alpha, \beta}, d_{[-1, 1]})$ is a localizable homogeneous space if $\alpha, \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Let $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be the usual Jacobi polynomials. Then the kernel L_n , denoted by $L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$, is of the form

$$(3.1) \quad L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{a} \left(\frac{j}{n} \right) \frac{P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)}{h_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}},$$

where \widehat{a} is an admissible cutoff function and $h_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is the norm square of $P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in the $L^2([-1, 1], w_{\alpha, \beta})$. Let the ball $B(x, r)$ be defined with respect to this distance. Then the Jacobi weight is a doubling weight and satisfies,

$$w_{\alpha, \beta}(B(x, n^{-1})) \sim n^{-1}(1-t+n^{-2})^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(1+t+n^{-2})^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} =: w_{\alpha, \beta}(n; t).$$

The first Assertion of the highly localized kernel is established in [20], which states: for any $\kappa > 0$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t, s)| \leq c_\kappa \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_{\alpha, \beta}(n; t)} \sqrt{w_{\alpha, \beta}(n; s)}} (1 + nd_{[-1, 1]}(t, s))^{-\kappa},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. The second assertion is stated in [13, Theorem 2.2].

In this one-dimensional case, there is no addition formula but a product formula that writes the product of two Jacobi polynomials of the same parameters as an integral of one Jacobi polynomial of the same parameters, which allows us to write $L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y)$ as an integral of $L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z, 1)$.

3.2. Unit sphere. For the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} of \mathbb{R}^d , we consider the space $(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}, d\sigma, d_{\mathbb{S}})$, where $d\sigma$ is the surface measure and $d_{\mathbb{S}}$ is the geodesic distance defined by

$$d_{\mathbb{S}}(\xi, \eta) = \arccos \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

The orthogonal polynomials are the classical spherical harmonics, which are homogeneous harmonic polynomials restricted to the unit sphere. The space $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}, d\sigma)$ is usually denote by \mathcal{H}_n^d and its dimension is given by (2.2). Let $\{Y_{\nu, n} : 1 \leq \nu \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_n^d\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_n^d . The classical addition formula for the spherical harmonics gives a closed-form formula for the reproducing kernel of \mathcal{H}_n^d ,

$$P_n(\xi, \eta) := \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_n^d} Y_{\nu, n}(\xi) Y_{\nu, n}(\eta) = Z_n^{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

where we use Z_n^λ to denote a multiple of the Gegenbauer polynomials C_n^λ ,

$$Z_n^\lambda(t) := \frac{n+\lambda}{\lambda} C_n^\lambda(t), \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

where the case $\lambda = 0$ holds under the limit so that $Z_n^0(t) = T_n(t)$, the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. The addition formula reduces the estimate of the highly

localized kernel to the kernel of the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\mu, \mu)}(1, z)$ with $\mu = \frac{d-3}{2}$. In this case, the Assertion 1 is of a particularly simple form

$$|L_n(\xi, \eta)| \leq c_\kappa \frac{n^{d-1}}{(1 + nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\xi, \eta))^\kappa},$$

which is also the first highly localized kernel known in the literature ([18]).

The space \mathcal{H}_n^d has another important property worth mentioning: it is the eigenspace of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_0 , which is the restriction of the Laplace operator Δ on the unit sphere; see, for example, [4, Section 1.4] for its explicit expression. More precisely, it is known [4, (1.4.9)] that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_0 Y = -n(n + d - 2)Y, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}_n^d.$$

We shall call Δ_0 the spectral operator. The two properties, the addition formula and the spectral operator, are fundamental for approximation and harmonic analysis on the unit sphere.

3.3. Unit ball. For the unit ball \mathbb{B}^d of \mathbb{R}^d , we consider the space $(\mathbb{B}^d, \mathbf{w}_\mu, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{B}})$, where \mathbf{w}_μ is the weight function defined by

$$\mathbf{w}_\mu(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mu > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the intrinsic distance on \mathbb{B}^d defined by

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{B}}(x, y) = \arccos \left(\langle x, y \rangle + u \sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|y\|^2} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

Several orthogonal basis of the space $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\mathbb{B}^d, \mathbf{w}_\mu)$ can be given explicitly. The reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}_\mu; \cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies an addition formula [25]: for $\mu \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{B}^d$,

$$P_n(\mathbf{w}_\mu; x, y) = c_{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 Z_n^{\mu + \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\langle x, y \rangle + u \sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|y\|^2} \right) (1 - u^2)^{\mu-1} du,$$

where $\mu > 0$ and it holds for $\mu = 0$ under the limit

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} c_{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) (1 - t^2)^{\mu-1} dt = \frac{f(1) + f(-1)}{2}.$$

It is known that \mathbf{w}_μ is a doubling weight on the unit ball and

$$\mathbf{w}_\mu(B(x, n^{-1})) \sim n^{-d} \left(\sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2} + n^{-1} \right)^{2\mu} =: \mathbf{w}_\mu(n; x).$$

In this case, the Assertion 1 takes the form

$$|L_n(\mathbf{w}_\mu; x, y)| \leq c_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_\mu(n; x)} \sqrt{\mathbf{w}_\mu(n; y)} (1 + n\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{B}}(x, u))^\kappa}.$$

This inequality and Assertion 2 are established in [21]. Consequently, the space $(\mathbb{B}^d, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type.

3.4. Simplex. For the simplex Δ^d of \mathbb{R}^d defined by

$$\Delta^d := \{x : x_1, \geq 0, \dots, x_d \geq 0, |x| \leq 1\}, \quad |x| := x_1 + \dots + x_d,$$

we consider the space $(\Delta, \mathbf{w}_\gamma, \mathbf{d}_\Delta)$, where \mathbf{w}_γ is the classical Jacobi weight function

$$\mathbf{w}_\gamma(x) = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_d^{\gamma_d} (1 - |x|)^{\gamma_{d+1}}, \quad \gamma_i > -1, \quad x \in \Delta^d,$$

and \mathbf{d}_Δ is the intrinsic distance on Δ^d defined by

$$\mathbf{d}_\Delta(x, y) = \arccos \left(\sqrt{x_1 y_1} + \cdots + \sqrt{x_d y_d} + \sqrt{1 - |x|} \sqrt{1 - |y|} \right).$$

Like the case of the unit ball, several orthogonal bases of the space $\mathcal{V}_n^d(\Delta^d, \mathbf{w}_\gamma)$ can be given explicitly. The reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}_\gamma; \cdot, \cdot)$ also satisfies an addition formula [5, Theorem 5.2.4]: for $\alpha_i \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $x, y \in \Delta^d$,

$$(3.4) \quad P_n(\mathbf{w}_\gamma; x, y) = c_\alpha \int_{-1}^1 Z_n^{(|\gamma|+d-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} (2\xi(x, y; t)^2 - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} (1 - t_i^2)^{\gamma_i - \frac{1}{2}} dt,$$

where $Z_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a constant multiple of the Jacobi polynomial

$$Z_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) = \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)}{h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}}$$

and $\xi(x, y, t)$ is a function defined by

$$\xi(x, y; t) = \sqrt{x_1 y_1} t_1 + \cdots + \sqrt{x_d y_d} t_d + \sqrt{x_{d+1} y_{d+1}} t_{d+1}.$$

In this case, \mathbf{w}_γ is known to be a doubling weight and it satisfies, for $\gamma_i \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d+1$,

$$\mathbf{w}_\gamma(B(x, n^{-1})) \sim n^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} (\sqrt{x_i} + n^{-1})^{2\gamma_i + 1}, \quad x \in \Delta^d,$$

which follows, for example, from [4, Lemma 11.3.6] by making a change of variables $x_j \mapsto \sqrt{x_j}$. In this case, Assertion 1 was established in [9] and Assertion 2 appeared more recently in [6] for \mathbf{w}_γ . Thus, the space $(\Delta^d, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_\Delta)$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type.

3.5. Conic surface. For the conic surface \mathbb{V}_0^{d+1} of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} defined by

$$\mathbb{V}_0^{d+1} := \{(x, t) : \|x\| = t, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

we consider the space $(\mathbb{V}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}_0})$, where the weight function $\mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}$ is the Jacobi weight function with one parameter equal to -1 ,

$$\mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}(t) = t^{-1} (1 - t)^\gamma, \quad \gamma > -1,$$

and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}_0}$ in the intrinsic distance function on the conic surface [28, Definition 4.1],

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}_0}((x, t), (y, s)) := \arccos \left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle + ts}{2}} + \sqrt{1 - t} \sqrt{1 - s} \right).$$

Orthogonal polynomials in this setting were first studied in [26], where it is shown, in particular, that the reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}; \cdot, \cdot)$ for the space $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{V}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma})$

satisfies the addition formula

$$(3.5) \quad P_n(\mathbf{w}_{-1,\gamma}; (x, t), (y, s)) = c_\gamma \int_{[-1,1]^2} Z_n^{(\gamma+d-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} (2\zeta(x, t, y, s; v)^2 - 1) \\ \times (1 - v_1^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}-1} (1 - v_2^2)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$

for $\gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$ and under the limit when $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$. The weight $\mathbf{w}_{-1,\gamma}$ is an integrable doubling weight with respect to $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}_0}$ and it satisfies [28, (4.4)]

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta,\gamma}(\mathbf{c}((x, t), n^{-1})) \sim r^d (t + n^{-2})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} (1 - t + n^{-2})^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Both Assertions 1 and 2 are established in [28] with the help of the addition formula (3.5) for $\mathbf{w}_{-1,\gamma}$, where the work of carrying out the estimates is fairly involved. Consequently, the space $(\mathbb{V}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}_0})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type.

3.6. Solid cone. For the solid cone \mathbb{V}^{d+1} of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} bounded by \mathbb{V}_0^{d+1} and the hyperplane $t = 1$,

$$\mathbb{V}^{d+1} := \{(x, t) : \|x\| \leq t, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

we consider the weight function $\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}(x, t) = (t^2 - \|x\|^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^\gamma, \quad \beta > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma > -1,$$

and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}}$ is the intrinsic distance function on the conic surface [28, Definition 4.1],

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}}((x, t), (y, s)) := \arccos \left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle + \sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|y\|^2} + ts}{2}} + \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{1-s} \right).$$

Orthogonal polynomials in this setting were first studied in [26], where it is shown, in particular, that the reproducing kernel $P_n(\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}; \cdot, \cdot)$ for the space $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{V}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu})$ satisfies the addition formula

$$(3.6) \quad P_n(\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}; (x, t), (y, s)) = c \int_{[-1,1]^3} Z_n^{2\mu+\gamma+d} (2\zeta(x, t, y, s; u, v)) \\ \times (1 - u^2)^{\mu-1} (1 - v_1^2)^{\mu+\frac{d-3}{2}} (1 - v_2^2)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} dudv.$$

where $\zeta(x, t, y, s; u, v) \in [-1, 1]$ is defined by

$$\zeta(x, t, y, s; u, v) = v_1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(ts + \langle x, y \rangle + \sqrt{t^2 - \|x\|^2} \sqrt{s^2 - \|y\|^2} u \right)} + v_1 \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{1-s}.$$

The $\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}$ is an integrable doubling weight with respect to $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}}$ and it satisfies [28, (4.4)]

$$\mathbf{w}_{\gamma,\mu}(\mathbf{B}((x, t), n^{-1})) \sim n^{-d+1} (t + n^{-2})^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1 - t + n^{-2})^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} (t^2 - \|x\|^2 + n^{-2})^\mu.$$

Both Assertions 1 and 2 are established in [28], so that the space $(\mathbb{V}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{V}})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type.

3.7. Double conic and hyperbolic surface. For $\varrho \geq 0$, we consider the quadratic surface defined by

$$\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} = \left\{ (x, t) : \|x\|^2 = t^2 - \varrho^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varrho \leq |t| \leq \sqrt{\varrho^2 + 1} \right\},$$

which is a hyperbolic surface if $\varrho > 0$ and a double conic surface if $\varrho = 0$. We consider the space $(\mathbb{X}_0, \mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}_0})$, where $\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}$ is the weight function defined by, for $d \geq 2$, $\beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}(t) = |t|(t^2 - \varrho^2)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}}(\varrho^2 + 1 - t^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varrho \leq |t| \leq \sqrt{\varrho^2 + 1},$$

and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}_0}$ is the distance function on \mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} given by

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}_0}((x, t), (y, s)) = \arccos \left(\langle x, y \rangle + \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - t^2} \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - s^2} \right).$$

When $\varrho = 0$, or on the double conic surface, the weight function becomes

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}(t) = |t|^{2\beta}(1 - t^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

The weight function $\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}$ on the hyperbolic surface is a doubling weight and satisfies

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}(B((x, t), n^{-1})) = n^{-d}(t^2 - \varrho^2 + n^{-2})^\beta (1 - t^2 + \varrho^2 + n^{-2})^\gamma.$$

The orthogonal polynomials in this setting are first studied in [27]. The space of orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ of degree n has the same dimension as the space of spherical harmonics \mathcal{H}_n^{d+1} . If the weight function \mathbf{w} is even in the t variable, this space can be factored as

$$\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{V}_n^E(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) \oplus \mathcal{V}_n^O(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}),$$

where the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n^E(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ consists of orthogonal polynomials that are even in the t variable, and the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n^O(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ consists of orthogonal polynomials that are odd in the t variable. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_n^E(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) = \binom{n+d-1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{V}_n^O(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) = \binom{n+d-2}{n-1}.$$

It turns out that a closed-form formula holds for $P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma})$ and it has the simplest form when $\beta = 0$, in which case the addition formula is given by [27, (5.11)]

$$(3.7) \quad P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{0, \gamma}; (x, t), (y, s)) = c_{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - v^2)^{\gamma - 1} \\ \times Z_n^{\gamma + \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\langle x, y \rangle + v \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - s^2} \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - t^2} \right) dv.$$

The kernel $P_n^O(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma})$ is related to $P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma})$ by a simple relation [27, (5.3)]

$$(3.8) \quad P_n^O(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}; (x, t), (y, s)) = \frac{\beta + \gamma + \frac{d+1}{2}}{\beta + \frac{d+1}{2}} st P_{n-1}^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta+1, \gamma}; (x, t), (y, s))$$

for $\beta, \gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ under the limit when $\varrho = 0$, which shows that $P_n^O(\mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}; \cdot, \cdot)$ has a simple closed formula in the form of (3.7) when $\varrho = 0$ but with a different weight function $\mathbf{w}_{-1, \gamma}$ in place of $\mathbf{w}_{0, \gamma}$.

In order to use the addition formula, we need to consider the subspace of homogeneous type $(\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d})$ defined according to the parity. Thus, instead of the kernel $L_n(\mathbf{w})$, we consider

$$L_n^E(\mathbf{w}; (x, t), (y, s)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{a}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) P_j^E(\mathbf{w}; (x, t), (y, s))$$

and the kernel $L_n^O(\mathbf{w})$ defined similarly, which uses only polynomials that are even (odd respectively) in the t variable. In this case, we can decompose the surface \mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} as an upper part and a lower part,

$$\mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} = \mathbb{X}_{0,+}^{d+1} \cup \mathbb{X}_{0,-}^{d+1} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} : t \geq 0\} \cup \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} : t \leq 0\}.$$

If a function $f(x, t)$ is even in the t variable, then we only need to consider its restriction on $\mathbb{X}_{0,+}^{d+1}$. In particular, if we consider, for example, approximation and tight polynomial frames for functions that are even in the t variable, then the framework established in [28] applies.

The above setup can also be regarded as studying a function f defined on $\mathbb{X}_{0,+}^{d+1} = \mathbb{V}_0^{d+1}$ and extends its definition to \mathbb{X}_0^{d+1} by defining $f(x, t) = f(x, -t)$. It should be noted, however, that the polynomial space $\Pi_n(\mathbb{V}_0^{d+1})$ of polynomials on \mathbb{V}_0^{d+1} and the $\Pi_n(\mathbb{X}_{0,+})^{d+1}$ are not the same and, in fact, have different dimensions.

Using $L_n^E(\mathbf{w})$, both Assertions 1 and 2 are established in [30]. Thus, if \mathbf{w} is a doubling weight even in the t variable, then $(\mathbb{X}_{0,+}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}_0})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type.

3.8. Double cone and hyperboloid. For $\varrho \geq 0$, we consider the domain defined by

$$\mathbb{X}^{d+1} = \left\{ (x, t) : \|x\|^2 \leq t^2 - \varrho^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varrho \leq |t| \leq \sqrt{\varrho^2 + 1} \right\},$$

which is the hyperboloid, bounded by the hyperbolic surface \mathbb{X}^{d+1} and two hyperplanes $t = \pm 1$, if $\varrho > 0$ and a solid double cone if $\varrho = 0$. We consider the space $(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}})$, where $\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}$ is the weight function defined by

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}(x, t) = |t|(t^2 - \varrho^2)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}}(1 + \varrho^2 - t^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}}(t^2 - \varrho^2 - \|x\|^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}}$$

and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is the distance function on \mathbb{X}^{d+1} given by

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{X}}((x, t), (y, s)) = \arccos \left(\langle x, y \rangle + \sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|y\|^2} + \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - t^2} \sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - s^2} \right).$$

The weight function $\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}$ on the hyperboloid is a doubling weight and satisfies

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}(B((x, t), n^{-1})) = n^{-d-1} (t^2 - \varrho^2 + n^{-2})^{\beta} (1 + \varrho^2 - t^2 + n^{-2})^{\gamma} (t^2 - \varrho^2 - \|x\|^2 + n^{-2})^{\mu}.$$

As in the case of hyperbolic surface, if the weight function \mathbf{w} is even in the t variable, then the space of orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ can be factored as

$$\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{V}_n^E(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}) \oplus \mathcal{V}_n^O(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}),$$

where the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n^E(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ consists of orthogonal polynomials that are even in the t variable, and the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n^O(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w})$ consists of orthogonal polynomials that are odd in the t variable. A closed-form formula holds for $P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu})$, which takes the simplest form if $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ [27, Corollary 5.6],

$$P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma, \mu}; (x, t), (y, s)) = c \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 Z_n^{\gamma + \mu + \frac{d}{2}}(\zeta(x, t, y, s; u, v))(1 - v^2)^{\gamma-1} (1 - u^2)^{\mu-1} du dv,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x, t, y, s; u, v) = & \left(\langle x, y \rangle + u\sqrt{t^2 - \varrho^2 - \|x\|^2}\sqrt{s^2 - \varrho^2 - \|y\|^2} \right) \text{sign}(st) \\ & + v\sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - s^2}\sqrt{1 + \varrho^2 - t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the kernel $P_n^O(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu})$ is related to $P_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta+1, \gamma, \mu})$ by a formula that is similar to (3.8). Notice again the shift in the subscript β by 1, which shows that the addition formula does not apply to $P_n^O(\mathbf{w}_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma, \mu})$.

Defining the kernel $L_n^E(\mathbf{w})$ that uses only $P_j^E(\mathbf{w})$ as in the case of hyperbolic surface, which uses only polynomials that are even in the t variable. Then both Assertions 1 and 2 are established in [30]. If we decompose the the domain \mathbb{X}^{d+1} as an upper part and a lower part,

$$\mathbb{X}^{d+1} = \mathbb{X}_+^{d+1} \cup \mathbb{X}_-^{d+1} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{X}^{d+1} : t \geq 0\} \cup \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{X}^{d+1} : t \leq 0\},$$

then $(\mathbb{X}_+^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_\mathbb{X})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type if \mathbf{w} is even in the t variable.

3.9. Other double domains of conic and hyperbolic type. Orthogonal structure on several solid domains, beyond the domains bounded by quadratic surfaces, is preserved under an appropriate structure transformation on the hyperboloid \mathbb{X}^{d+1} , as shown in [31]. This includes the addition formula and highly localized kernels so that we obtain localized space of homogeneous type on such domains. We state one example to give a flavor.

For $0 < \mathfrak{b} < 1$, we consider the domain inside the unit ball \mathbb{B}^{d+1} but outside the ellipsoid $\{(x, t) : \mathfrak{b}(1 - \|x\|^2) \leq t^2\} \subset \mathbb{B}^{d+1}$, which is defined by

$$\mathbb{X}^{d+1} = \left\{ (x, t) : \sqrt{1 - \frac{t^2}{\mathfrak{b}}} \leq \|x\| \leq \sqrt{1 - t^2}, |t| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We consider the space $(\mathbb{X}^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma, \mu}, \mathbf{d}_\mathbb{X})$, where the weigh function is defined by

$$\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma}(x, t) = (1 - \|x\|^2 - t^2)^\beta (t^2 - \mathfrak{b}(1 - \|x\|^2))^\gamma (t^2 - \mathfrak{b} + \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the distance function \mathbf{d}_X is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_\mathbb{X}((x, t), (y, s)) = & \arccos \left(\langle x, y \rangle + \sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2}\sqrt{1 - \|y\|^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{\frac{1 - t^2 - \|x\|^2}{1 - \mathfrak{b}}}\sqrt{\frac{1 - s^2 - \|y\|^2}{1 - \mathfrak{b}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the corresponding kernel $L_n^E(\mathbf{w}_{\beta, \gamma})$ is highly localized with respect to the distance $\mathbf{d}_\mathbb{X}$. Consequently, $(\mathbb{X}_+^{d+1}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}_\mathbb{X})$ is a localizable space of homogeneous type if \mathbf{w} is even in the t variable.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baldi, G. Kerkyacharian, D. Marinucci, and D. Picard. Asymptotics for spherical needlets, *Ann. Statist.* **37** (2009), 1150–1171.
- [2] P. Baldi, G. Kerkyacharian, D. Marinucci, and D. Picard. Adaptive density estimation for directional data using needlets, *Ann. Statist.* **37** (2009), 3362–3395.
- [3] G. Brown, F. Dai, Approximation of smooth functions on compact two-point homogeneous spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **220** (2005), 401–423.
- [4] F. Dai and Y. Xu, *Approximation theory and harmonic analysis on spheres and balls*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2013.

- [5] C. F. Dunkl and Y. Xu, *Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **155**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [6] Y. Ge and Y. Xu, Sharp Bernstein inequalities on simplex. *Constr. Approx.* (2024). arXiv:2307.01839
- [7] K. Ivanov and P. Petrushev, Fast memory efficient evaluation of spherical polynomials at scattered points, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **41** (2015), 191–230.
- [8] K. Ivanov and P. Petrushev, Highly effective stable evaluation of bandlimited functions on the sphere, *Numer. Algorithms*, **71** (2016), 585–611.
- [9] K. Ivanov, P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Sub-exponentially localized kernels and frames induced by orthogonal expansions. *Math. Z.* **264** (2010), 361–397.
- [10] K. Ivanov, P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Decomposition of spaces of distributions induced by tensor product bases. *J. Funct. Anal.* **263** (2012), 1147–1197.
- [11] K. Ivanov and V. Totik, Fast decreasing polynomials, *Constr. Approx.* **6** (1990), 1–20.
- [12] G. Kerkycharian, P. Petrushev, D. Picard and Y. Xu, Decomposition of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces in the context of Laguerre expansions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 1137–1188.
- [13] G. Kyriazis, P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Jacobi decomposition of weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces, *Studia Math.* **186** (2008), 161–202.
- [14] G. Kyriazis, P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Decomposition of weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces on the ball, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **97** (2008), 477–513.
- [15] Q. T. Le Gia, I. H. Sloan, Y. G. Wang, and R. S. Womersley, Needlet approximation for isotropic random fields on the sphere. *J. Approx. Theory* **216** (2017), 86–116.
- [16] G. Mastroianni and V. Totik, Weighted polynomial inequalities with doubling and A_∞ weights. *Constr. Approx.* **16** (2000) 37–71.
- [17] H. N. Mhaskar, F. J. Narcowich and J. D. Ward, Spherical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature, *Math. Comp.* **70** (2001), 1113 - 1130 (Corrigendum: *Math. Comp.* **71** (2001), 453 - 454).
- [18] F. J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev and J. D. Ward, Localized tight frames on spheres, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38** (2006), 574–594.
- [19] F. J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev and J. D. Ward, Decomposition of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on the sphere, *J. Funct. Anal.* **238** (2006), 530–564.
- [20] P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Localized polynomial frames on the interval with Jacobi weights, *J. Fourier Anal. and Appl.* **11** (2005), 557–575.
- [21] P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Localized polynomial frames on the ball, *Constr. Approx.* **27** (2008), 121–148.
- [22] P. Petrushev and Y. Xu, Decomposition of spaces of distributions induced by Hermite expansion, *J. Fourier Anal. and Appl.* **14** (2008), 372–414.
- [23] E. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1997.
- [24] Y. G. Wang, Q. T. Le Gia, I. H. Sloan, and R. S. Womersley, Fully discrete needlet approximation on the sphere. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **43** (2017), 292–316.
- [25] Y. Xu, Summability of Fourier orthogonal series for Jacobi weight on a ball in \mathbb{R}^d , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **351** (1999), 2439–2458.
- [26] Y. Xu, Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials on a cone of revolution, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **26** (2020), Paper No. 36, 42 pp.
- [27] Y. Xu, Orthogonal structure and orthogonal series in and on a double cone or a hyperboloid. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **374** (2021), 3603–3657.
- [28] Y. Xu, Approximation and localized polynomial frame on conic domains. *J. Functional Anal.* **281** (2021), no. 12, Paper No. 109257, 94 pp.
- [29] Y. Xu, Maximal functions and multiplier theorem for Fourier orthogonal series. *J. Functional Anal.* **283** (2022), Paper No. 109648, 33 pp.
- [30] Y. Xu, Approximation and localized polynomial frame on double hyperbolic and conic domains. *Constructive Approx.* **57** (2003), 921–976.
- [31] Y. Xu, Orthogonal polynomials on the domain of revolution, *Studies in Applied. Math.* (2024). arXiv:2311.15554