

POTENTIAL MODULARITY OF CM K3 SURFACES

RIKUTO ITO

ABSTRACT. The author proves the potential modularity of K3 surfaces with complex multiplication in this paper. This is an application of the main theorem of complex multiplication for K3 surfaces by J. Rizov. As some examples, we discuss Fermat quartic and Kummer surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Main theorem	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Abelian l -adic representations	3
2.2. Mumford-Tate groups	6
2.3. K3 surfaces with CM	7
3. The proof of Theorem 1.1	8
3.1. The main theorem of complex multiplications by Rizov	8
3.2. Construction of Hecke characters	9
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an abelian variety with CM (complex multiplication) defined over a number field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ of dimension n . Shimura [19] showed that then there exist algebraic Hecke characters χ_1, \dots, χ_{2n} over k such that

$$L(s, A) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} L(s, \chi_i),$$

where $L(s, A)$ is the Hasse-Weil L function of A and $L(s, \chi_i)$ are the Hecke L functions of χ_i . [Recall that it is said an abelian variety $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ has CM over \mathbb{C} if the \mathbb{Q} algebra of endomorphisms $\text{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ contains a commutative \mathbb{Q} algebra of dimension $2n$. We say A has CM over k if $\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.] This statement is a consequence of the **modularity** of CM abelian varieties.

This paper gives a proof of original results regarding the potential modularity of **K3 surfaces** with complex multiplication (Theorem 1.1).

1.1. Main theorem. Let k be a number field and let \mathbb{A}_k^{\times} be the idele group of k . Fix an isomorphism of fields $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \cong \mathbb{C}$. By class field theory, there

exists a one-to-one correspondence (see theorem 2.12) :

$\{1 \text{ dimensional locally algebraic representation}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{algebraic Hecke character}\}$

$$(\rho_{l,\chi} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}^\times) \leftrightarrow (\chi : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times).$$

An n dimensional semisimple l -adic abelian (the image is abelian) representation ρ_l is said to be **modular** if there exist algebraic Hecke characters χ_1, \dots, χ_n such that $\rho_l \cong \rho_{l,\chi_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{l,\chi_n}$.

Let k be a number field, $k \subset \bar{k}$ be an algebraic closure of k , and X be a K3 surface over k , i.e., it is a smooth projective surface over k such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $\Omega_{X/k}^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Since the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $X_{\bar{k}} := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$, we have the 22 dimensional l -adic Galois representation

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1))).$$

Take an inclusion $\bar{k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist isomorphisms

$$H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1)) \cong H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \cong (\text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \oplus (T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l),$$

where $T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) := \text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp \subset H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1))$. Since $\text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}})$, the group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $\text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. Hence we have the $22 - \rho(X_{\bar{k}})$ dimensional Galois representation

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l),$$

where $T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) := T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$. This \mathbb{Z}_l module $T_l(X_{\bar{k}})$ is called **l -adic transcendental lattice**.

We obtain the following new result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a K3 surface defined over a number field k . Suppose that X has CM over k . Then the l -adic representation $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is modular.*

This statement says that ρ_l is diagonalized by 1 dimensional locally algebraic representations $\rho_{l,i}$, ($i = 1, \dots, 22 - \rho(X_{\bar{k}})$):

$$\rho_l \cong \rho_{l,1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{l,22-\rho(X_{\bar{k}})}.$$

Also if χ_i are the algebraic Hecke characters associated with $\rho_{l,i}$, then we have

$$(1.3) \quad L(s, T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) = \prod_{i=1}^{22-\rho(X_{\bar{k}})} L(s, \chi_i),$$

where $L(s, T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is the L function of ρ_l (this definition is given in [22] Section 2) and $L(s, \chi_i)$ are the Hecke L functions of χ_i . On the other hand, the Galois representation $\rho_l|_{\text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l}$ is Artin, i.e., the image is finite because $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} = \text{NS}(X)$. Hence there exists a finite extension K/k such that $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ trivially acts on $\text{NS}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. So we have

$$L(s, H^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1))) = \zeta_K(s)^{\rho(X_{\bar{k}})} \prod_{i=1}^{22-\rho(X_{\bar{k}})} L(s, \chi_i),$$

where $\zeta_K(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of K . This is why it is said to be potentially modular.

Gvirtz and Skorobogatov [7] explicitly gave the description of the Frobenius action for Fermat quartic $x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0$. We will give an example of algebraic Hecke characters by using their result (Example 3.11 (1)).

In addition to this, we will construct algebraic Hecke characters for Kummer surfaces by applying complex multiplication theory for abelian varieties (Example 3.11 (2)).

The key points of the proof of theorem 1.1 are to find algebraic Hecke characters realizing (1.3) and to show the Galois representation (1.2) is semisimple. In general, Zarhin and Skorobogatov have proved that the Galois representation (1.1) is semisimple for any K3 surfaces. Their result is based on the semisimplisity of abelian varieties by Faltings [6]. However, we will give another proof (lemma 3.10) for CM K3 surfaces without using Faltings's result.

Acknowledgements

This paper is based on the author's master thesis [11]. The author would like to thank his adviser, Professor Sho Tanimoto, for his supports and advices. He kindly supported the author when was taking a leave of absence. The author also would like to thank Kazuhiro Fujiwara, Kazuhiro Ito, and Alexei N Skorobogatov for their comments and suggestions..

The author was partially supported by JST FOREST program Grant number JPMJFR212Z.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Abelian l -adic representations. Let l be a prime, \mathbb{Q}_l be the l -adic field, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ be an algebraic closure.

Definition 2.1. (*abelian l -adic representation*)

Let k be a number field. An **l -adic Galois representation** is a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ continuous representation of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. An **abelian l -adic representation** is an l -adic Galois representation such that the image is commutative.

Example 2.2. Let k be a number field, \overline{k} be an algebraic cloure, and X be a smooth projective variety defined over k . Let $X_{\overline{k}} := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(\overline{k})$. Then the i -th etale cohomology $H^i(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_l vector space. If σ is an element of $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, it induces the automorphism of $X_{\overline{k}}$. Hence we have the l -adic Galois representation

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_l : \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(H^i(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)).$$

Now, we recall that global class field theory. We cite the following theorem 2.3 from theorem 3.5 in the chapter II in [20]. Let k be a number field, \mathbb{A}_k^\times be the idele group, and k^{ab} be the the maximal abelian extension of k . Let $[-, k] : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ be the reciprocity map for k . The **Artin map** $\text{art}_k : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ is $\text{art}_k := [-, k]^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let k be a number field. The Artin map art_k has the following properties :*

- (i) *The Artin map is surjective and k^\times is contained in the kernel of art_k .*
- (ii) *For any finite abelian extension K/k , the Artin map is compatible with the norm map $\text{Nm}_{K/k} : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^\times$, i.e., for all $x \in \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_K^\times & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_K(x)} & \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \\ \text{Nm}_{K/k} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_k^\times & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_k(\text{Nm}_{K/k}(x))} & \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (iii) *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of k , let $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab} \subset \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ be an inertia group of \mathfrak{p} for the extension k^{ab}/k , let $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a uniformizer at \mathfrak{p} , and let K/k be any abelian extension that is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . Then $\text{art}_k(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}|_K$ is the Frobenius for K/k at \mathfrak{p} and $\text{art}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the ring of integers of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Now, we denote the set of all finite places of k by Σ_k . Let k be a number field, $v \in \Sigma_k$ be a finite place of k , and \mathfrak{p} be the prime ideal associated with v . Put $q := |\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}|$. Then there exists the following exact sequence :

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the group $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the inertia group at \mathfrak{p} . The group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is topologically generated by **geometric Frobenius** $x \mapsto x^{q^{-1}}$. By the exact sequence (2.2), there exists an element $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that it induces the geometric Frobenius on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, up to the action of $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The element $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the **(geometric) Frobenius element** of \mathfrak{p} .

Definition 2.4. *Let $v \in \Sigma_k$ and \mathfrak{p} be the prime associated to v . An l -adic representation ρ_l is said to be **unramified** at v if $\rho_l(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{id\}$.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a smooth projective surface defined over a number field k . Suppose that the l -adic representation ρ_l is abelian, i.e., the image is abelian. Then ρ_l induces a Galois representation*

$$\rho_l^{ab} : \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H_{et}^2(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)).$$

Moreover, ρ_l is unramified at v if and only if $\rho_l^{ab}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}) = \{id\}$ where \mathfrak{p} is the prime of k associated to v .

Proof. Let q be the norm of \mathfrak{p} . Since finite extensions of \mathbb{F}_q are cyclic, we have $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q = \mathbb{F}_q^{ab}$. Consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\overline{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \downarrow & & \text{res} \downarrow & & \downarrow id \\ I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab} & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q^{ab}/\mathbb{F}_q). \end{array}$$

Suppose that $\rho_l(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{id\}$. Let $\sigma \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}$. Then there exists an element $\sigma' \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ such that $\sigma'|_{k^{ab}} = \sigma$. This implies that $\rho_l^{ab}(\sigma) = \rho_l(\sigma')$. On the other hand, since $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}$ is the kernel of $\text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ab}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q^{ab}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, it must

have $\sigma' \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by the above diagram. Hence we have $\rho_l^{ab}(\sigma) = \rho_l(\sigma') = id$. The converse is clear. \square

If an l -adic representation $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(V)$ is unramified at v , then the action of $\rho_l(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ on V is well-defined. Then we denote the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_l(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by $P_{v,\rho_l}(T)$.

Definition 2.6. (*rational representation*)

An l -adic representation ρ_l is said to be **rational** if there exists a finite subset $S \subset \sum_k$ such that

- (i) Any element of $\sum_k - S$ is unramified with respect to ρ_l .
- (ii) If $v \notin S$, the coefficients of $P_{v,\rho_l}(T)$ belong to \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 2.7. Deligne ([2], [3], [4]) proved the Galois representation (2.1) is rational. This is a part of Weil conjecture.

Definition 2.8. (*Hecke character*)

Let k be a number field. A continuous homomorphism $\chi : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is said to be **Hecke character** if χ is trivial on k^\times .

Definition 2.9. Let k be a number field. Let $v \in \sum_k$ be a finite place and \mathfrak{p} be the prime ideal associated to v . A Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is said to be **unramified** at v if it is trivial on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \subset \mathbb{A}_k^\times$.

We recall that there exists the correspondence between one dimensional locally algebraic Galois representations and algebraic Hecke characters. Let k be a number field and let v be an infinite place. Then we denote the connected component of the identity of k_v by $k_v^{\times 0}$.

Definition 2.10. (*algebraic Hecke character*)

Let k be a number field. A Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is said to be **algebraic** if for any infinite place v , there exist integers $(a_\tau)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(k_v, \mathbb{C})}$ such that

$$\chi_v(x_v) = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(k_v, \mathbb{C})} \tau(x_v)^{-a_\tau}, \quad (x_v \in k_v^{\times 0}),$$

where $\chi_v : k_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the v -component of χ .

Definition 2.11. (*locally algebraic representation*)

Let k be a number field and let $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) \rightarrow GL(V)$ be an l -adic abelian representation.

(i) Let $v|l$. Put $\rho_v := \rho_l|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{k}_v/k_v)}$ and $T := \text{Res}_{k_v/\mathbb{Q}_l}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v})$. The representation ρ_l is said to be **locally algebraic at v** if there exists an algebraic morphism $r : T \rightarrow GL(V)$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(k_v^{ab}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_v} & GL(V) \\ \text{art}_k \uparrow & \nearrow r & \\ k_v^\times & & \end{array}$$

commutes on a neighborhood of 1 in k_v^\times .

(ii) The l -adic abelian representation ρ_l is said to be **locally algebraic** if it is locally algebraic for all $v|l$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let l be a prime. Fix an isomorphism of fields $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let k be a number field. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence*

$$\{1 \text{ dimensional locally algebraic representation}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{algebraic Hecke character}\}$$

$$\rho_l \leftrightarrow \chi.$$

Under this correspondence, it holds $\chi_v = \iota \circ \rho_{l,v} \circ \text{art}_k$ for all finite places v which are not divisible by l .

Proof. Their original proofs were given by Serre (chapter III-1-proposition 2 in [18]). \square

2.2. Mumford-Tate groups. Deligne gave the stable definition and characterization for Hodge structures in [5]. Now we recall them. Let V be a rational Hodge structure of weight n . In other words, there exists a decomposition $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p,q}$ with $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$. This gives a real representation :

$$\rho : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}}), z \mapsto \rho(z) : v \mapsto (z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q})v$$

for $v \in V^{p,q}$. In particular, we have $\rho(t) = t^{-n} : v \mapsto t^{-n}v$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Conversely, if V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} vector space and $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a real representation such that $\rho(t) = t^{-n}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, then \mathbb{C} subspaces

$$V^{p,q} := \{v \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \rho(z)(v) = z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q}v, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}, \quad p+q=n$$

give a rational Hodge structure of V of weight n . Hence we have the one-to-one correspondence :

$$\{\text{rational Hodge structure of weight } n\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{real representation } \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \text{such that } t \mapsto t^{-n} \cdot (-) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{\times}\}.$$

On the other hand, we can understand a real representation $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ as a representation of Deligne torus $\mathbb{S} := \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}})$. This is the \mathbb{R} algebraic group defined by

$$\{\mathbb{R} \text{ algebras}\} \ni A \mapsto \mathbb{S}(A) := (A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times} \in \{\text{groups}\}.$$

Hence a rational Hodge structure of V of weight n corresponds to an algebraic \mathbb{R} morphism $\rho : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $\rho(t) = t^{-n}$.

Definition 2.13. (*Mumford-Tate group*)

*Let V be a rational Hodge structure and $\rho : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the algebraic \mathbb{R} representation associated with V . The **Mumford-Tate group** $\text{MT}(V)$ of V is the smallest \mathbb{Q} algebraic subgroup of $GL(V)$ such that $\rho(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})) \subset \text{MT}(V)(\mathbb{R})$.*

Example 2.14. (i) Let X be an algebraic K3 surface over \mathbb{C} . Consider the second singular cohomology $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This is a Hodge structure of weight 2. By Lefschetz (1,1) theorem (chapter III-proposition 3.3.2 in [8]), the Neron-Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$ is isomorphic to $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$. Hence the orthogonal complement $T(X)$ is a sub Hodge structure of weight 2.

(ii) Let A be an abelian surface over \mathbb{C} . Then the second cohomology $H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is a Hodge structure of weight 2. By Lefschetz (1,1) theorem, $\text{NS}(A) \cong H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(A)$. Hence the orthogonal complement $T(A)$ is a sub Hodge structure of weight 2.

2.3. K3 surfaces with CM. To explain about CM, we must define CM fields :

Definition 2.15. (*CM field*)

Let K be a number field.

- (i) K is said to be **totally real** if $\sigma(K) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for all inclusions $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- (ii) K is said to be **totally imaginary** if $\sigma(K) \not\subset \mathbb{R}$ for all inclusions $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) A **CM field** is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field.

Example 2.16. (1) An imaginary quadratic field is a CM field. In fact, \mathbb{Q} is totally real and the imaginary quadratic extension is not contained \mathbb{R} .

(2) Let p be a prime $\neq 2$, and ζ_p be a p -th root of 1. Consider extensions of fields $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Since $\zeta_p^2 - (\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})\zeta_p + 1 = 0$, the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is degree 2. If $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an inclusion, there exists an integer n such that $\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^n$, $(n, p) = 1$. This shows $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is totally imaginary. Finally, the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ is totally real because

$$\sigma(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) = \zeta_p^n + \zeta_p^{-n} = 2 \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is a CM field.

Let X be an algebraic K3 surface over \mathbb{C} . Let $E_X := \text{End}_{\text{Hdg}}(T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. If ω is a holomorphic 2 form over X such that it is nonzero everywhere, we have the homomorphism of \mathbb{Q} algebras :

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma_X : E_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}\omega = T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2,0}.$$

Note that this morphism is independent on the choice of ω .

Proposition 2.17. *Let X be a complex algebraic K3 surface. Then the homomorphism σ_X of (2.3) is injective and E_X is a number field.*

Proof. See the chapter III-cor 3.6 in [9]. □

Definition 2.18. (*CM K3 surface over \mathbb{C}*)

Let X be an algebraic K3 surface over \mathbb{C} . We say that X has **CM** over \mathbb{C} if X has following conditions :

- (i) E_X is a CM field.
- (ii) $\dim_{E_X} T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 1$.

By the condition (ii), we have $[E_X : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Remark 2.19. *Valloni [23] proved the **reflex field** of the rational Hodge structure $T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\sigma_X(E_X)$.*

Example 2.20. (1) If X is a singular K3 surface, i.e., it has the Picard rank 20, then X has CM over \mathbb{C} . Then the reflex field is an imaginary quadratic field. Their consideration are given in the remark 3.10 in the chapter 3 of [9].

(2) Let X be a K3 surfaces over \mathbb{C} . Suppose that there exists an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that it has the order N and the transcendental rank $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T(X)$ is equal to a value $\varphi(N)$ where the function φ is Euler function.

Then X has CM over \mathbb{C} . Then the reflex field is the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ where ζ_N is a primitive N -th root.

(3) Let A be an abelian surface over \mathbb{C} , and X be the Kummer surface associated to A . Then it is known that X has CM over \mathbb{C} if and only if A has CM over \mathbb{C} by Lemme 9.3 in [10].

Definition 2.21. *Let X be a K3 surface over a number field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then we say that X has CM over k if*

- (i) *the scalar extension $X_{\mathbb{C}} := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ has CM over \mathbb{C} ,*
- (ii) *$k \supset \sigma_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}(E_{X_{\mathbb{C}}})$.*

Remark 2.22. *Mukai, Nikulin, and Buskin ([14], [15], and [1]) proved the Hodge conjecture for the self product of a CM K3 surface (see the corollary of the theorem 1.1 in [1]). Using this, Valloni [23] gave an equivalent condition for X to have complex multiplication over k , analogues to the one for abelian varieties.*

Finally, we introduce to a fundamental fact for CM K3 surfaces. Let X be a K3 surface with CM over \mathbb{C} and let $G := \text{MT}(T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Zarhin proved the following fact (see the theorem 2.3.1 in [25]):

Theorem 2.23. *The algebraic group G is isomorphic to the kernel of*

$$\text{Nm} : \text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m,E} \rightarrow \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$$

as an \mathbb{Q} algebraic group, where $E = \sigma_X(E_X)$ and F is the maximal totally real subfield of E .

Hence rational points of G are given by

$$G(\mathbb{Q}) = \{x \in E^{\times} \mid x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

where $\bar{}$ is the complex conjugation $E \rightarrow E$.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. The main theorem of complex multiplications by Rizov.

Suppose that X has CM over a number field k . Let $\mathbb{A}_f := (\prod_l \mathbb{Z}_l) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ be the ring of finite adeles of \mathbb{Q} . If we fix an embedding $\bar{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have an isomorphism

$$H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \cong H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l.$$

hence

$$\left(\prod_l H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \right) \cong H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_f.$$

and

$$\left(\prod_l T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_f.$$

So we have the Galois representation $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T(X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with the image in $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$

To construct Hecke characters $\mathbb{A}_k^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we recall the main theorem of CM for $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ by Rizov. It gives the description of ρ by class field theory. Let E be the reflex field of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since X has CM over k , we have $E \subset k$

by definition 2.21. Since E is a CM field, the complex conjugation $\overline{(\)}$ is an automorphism of E . So it induces the conjugation $\overline{(\)} : \mathbb{A}_E^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_E^\times$.

The main theorem of complex multiplications was proved by Rizov. The following statement refers from theorem 2.3 in [24]. The proof is given in corollary 4.4 in [12].

Theorem 3.1. *The action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ on $T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_f$ factors through a continuous homomorphism*

$$\rho^{ab} : \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_f) = \{x \in \mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times \mid x\overline{x} = 1\}.$$

Moreover, let $t \in \mathbb{A}_k^\times$ and put $s := \text{Nm}_{k/E}(t) \in \mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$. Then there exists a unique $u \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t)) = u \cdot s/\overline{s} \in G(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

The element s/\overline{s} has the following property :

Proposition 3.2. *Let v be a finite place of k and let $t \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^\times)$. Put $s := \text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)$. Under the isomorphism $\prod_l T_l(X_{\overline{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_f$, for $(l, \mathfrak{p}_v) = 1$, the l component of $s/\overline{s} \in GL(T(X_{\mathbb{C}}))(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is equal to 1.*

Proof. The l -th component of s/\overline{s} is given by $\prod_{w|l} (s/\overline{s})_w$. On the other hand, by definition of Norm, the w -th component of $s \in \mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$ is given by

$$\prod_{v'|w} N_{k_{v'}/E_w}(t_{v'}).$$

Fix $w|l$. If $v|w$, then it must have $v|l$. This is a contradiction. Hence by assumption, we have $s_w = 1$. Similarly, $\overline{s}_w = 1$. Since w is any finite place of E such that $w|l$, $(s/\overline{s})_l = 1$. \square

3.2. Construction of Hecke characters. Our goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. Firstly, we will prove the following Lemma from algebraic number theory.

Lemma 3.3. *Let E be a CM field. Then the group*

$$G(\mathbb{Q}) = \{x \in E^\times \mid x\overline{x} = 1\}$$

is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$

Proof. Let F be the maximal totally real field of E . By Dirichlet's unit theorem, the group of units \mathcal{O}_F^\times has a finite index in \mathcal{O}_E^\times since E is a CM field. Also, under the inclusion $E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$, we have the equality :

$$\mathcal{O}_E = E \cap \left(\prod_v \mathcal{O}_v \right).$$

Since $\prod_v \mathcal{O}_v$ is open in $\mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$, \mathcal{O}_E is an open set in E too. Hence it follows that \mathcal{O}_F is open in E . On the other hand, $G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{O}_F = \{\pm 1\}$. Hence the Hausdorffness of $\mathbb{A}_{E,f}^\times$ implies $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is a discrete subgroup. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that X is a K3 surface such that it has CM over a number field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let E be the reflex field. Then there exists a unique locally constant homomorphism $u : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ satisfying the following*

condition :
For $t \in \mathbb{A}_k^\times$,

$$\rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t)) = u(t)\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)}^{-1} \in G(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

Proof. Under notations of the theorem 3.1, the correspondence $t \rightarrow u$ is a mapping of \mathbb{A}_k^\times into $G(\mathbb{Q}) = \{x \in E^\times \mid x\bar{x} = 1\}$. We denote this by u . The function u is continuous because it satisfies functional equation consisting of nonzero continuous functions ρ^{ab} and $\text{Nm}_{k/E}$. Next, we will prove u is a group homomorphism. Let $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{A}_k^\times$. From theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t_1)\text{art}_k(t_2)) &= \rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t_1))\rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t_2)) \\ &= u(t_1)\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_1)\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_1)}^{-1} u(t_2)\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_2)\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_2)}^{-1} \\ &= u(t_1)u(t_2)\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_1t_2)\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t_1t_2)}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the Artin map $\text{art}_k : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ is a homomorphism, we have $\text{art}_k(t_1)\text{art}_k(t_2) = \text{art}_k(t_1t_2)$. Hence by uniqueness, we must have $u(t_1t_2) = u(t_1)u(t_2)$. This means u is homomorphism of \mathbb{A}_k^\times into $G(\mathbb{Q})$. \square

Let $\tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an inclusion. Now we define the new character $\chi_\tau : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\chi_\tau(t) := \left(u(t) \cdot \text{Nm}_{k/E}(t) / \overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)} \right)_\tau$$

where the symbol $(-)_\tau$ means the τ component in \mathbb{A}_E^\times .

Theorem 3.5. (i) The characters χ_τ are algebraic Hecke characters of k .
(ii) Let l be a prime and let $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ be the l -adic Galois representation. Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset k$ such that $(l, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Then following conditions are equivalent :

- (a) The l -adic representation ρ_l is unramified at \mathfrak{p} , i.e., if $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the inertia group of \mathfrak{p} , then $\rho_l(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\text{id}\}$
- (b) The Hecke character χ_τ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} , i.e., if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the ring of integers of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $\chi_\tau(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = 1$.

Proof. (i) : Let $t \in k^\times$. Since k^\times is contained in the kernel of Artin map by theorem 2.3-(i), we must have $\text{art}_k(t) = \text{id}_{k^{ab}}$. And $t \in k$ implies $\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t) = N_{k/E}(t)$. Hence we have the equality :

$$\rho(\text{id}_{\bar{k}}) = u(t) \cdot N_{k/E}(t) \overline{N_{k/E}(t)}^{-1}.$$

Thus we obtain $u(t) = \overline{N_{k/E}(t)} / N_{k/E}(t)$. So we have $\chi_\tau(t) = 1$. This means that χ_τ is a Hecke character.

(ii) By Artin map, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ corresponds to $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (theorem 2.3-(iii)). Let $t \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^\times)$

(a) \Rightarrow (b) : Suppose that ρ_l is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . By the theorem 3.1, we obtain the following equality :

$$\rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t)) = u(t) \cdot \text{Nm}_{k/E}(t) / \overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)}.$$

By assumption, $\rho^{ab}(\text{art}_k(t))$ acts trivially on the l -adic transcendental lattice $T_l(X_{\bar{k}})$. On the other hand, by the prop 3.2, $\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)/\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)}$ acts trivially too. Hence we have $u(t) = 1$. By the definition of t , $(\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)/\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)})_{\tau} = 1$. This means $\chi_{\tau}(t) = 1$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Conversely, assume that $\chi_{\tau}(t) = 1$. This implies $u(t) = 1$. Take $x \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that it is the image of t by Artin map. It suffices to prove that $\rho_l(x)$ is identity on $T_l(X_{\bar{k}})$. However, this is clear from the prop 3.2. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime with $(l, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a prime element. Suppose that ρ_l is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . Then the action of $u(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1})$ on $T_l(X_{\bar{k}})$ is equal to the Frobenius action $\rho_l(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*

Proof. Artin map art_k maps $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ to $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So we have the equality :

$$\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}) = u(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})\text{Nm}_{k/E}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})/\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})}.$$

These l -components are

$$\rho_l(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}) = u(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

\square

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that $(l, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and ρ_l is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . Then the eigenvalues of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are given by*

$$\{\chi_{\tau}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}) \mid \tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ inclusions} \}.$$

Epecially, the Galois representation ρ_l is rational.

Proof. Since X has CM over k , we must have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = [E : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Hence the characteristic polynomial of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of degree $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$. By prop 3.6, the set

$$\{u(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1})^{\tau} \mid \tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ inclusions} \}$$

gives the all of eigenvalues of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. On the other hand, we have

$$(\text{Nm}_{k/E}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})/\overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})})_{\tau} = 1.$$

Hence this set coincides with

$$\{\chi_{\tau}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}) \mid \tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ inclusions} \}.$$

\square

Remark 3.8. *The rationality is contained in Weil conjecture for K3 surfaces by Deligne [2]. But we implied it for CM K3 surfaces without Deligne's result in corollary 3.7.*

Corollary 3.9. *For each $\tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $\rho_{l,\tau}$ be the 1 dimensional l -adic representation associated with the Hecke character χ_{τ} . And let ρ_l^{ss} be the semisimplification of ρ_l . Then we have*

$$\rho_l^{\text{ss}} \cong \bigoplus_{\tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \rho_{l,\tau}.$$

Proof. This follows from corollary 3.7 and Chebotarev's density theorem. \square

Next, we will prove that the l -adic abelian representation $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is semi-simple.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a K3 surface with CM over a number field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the l -adic representation $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is semisimple.*

Proof. There exists a decomposition as a \mathbb{Q}_l algebra :

$$k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l \cong \prod_{v|l} k_v.$$

Hence we can consider that the multiplicative group of $k_l := (k \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)^\times$ is in the idele group of k .

On the other hand, we define the algebraic morphism $f : \text{Res}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ over \mathbb{Q}_l by

$$\text{Res}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})(\mathbb{Q}_l) = k_l^\times \ni t \mapsto \text{Nm}_{k/E}(t) / \overline{\text{Nm}_{k/E}(t)} \in GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

By prop 3.4, the homomorphism $u : \mathbb{A}_k^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is identically 1 on a neighborhood U of 1 in \mathbb{A}_k^\times . Hence by theorem 3.1, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) & \xrightarrow{\rho_l} & GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \\ \uparrow & \nearrow f & \\ k_l^\times & & \end{array}$$

commutes on $U \cap k_l^\times$, where the map $k_l^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ is coming from class field theory. Hence ρ_l is a locally algebraic representation by the proposition of III-2.1 in [18]. So ρ_l is semisimple by the theorem 2.2 of III-2.2 and the proposition (a) of II-2.5 in [18]. \square

By lemma 3.10 and corollary 3.9, we have theorem 1.1.

Example 3.11. (1) Let X be Fermat quartic, i.e., the surface defined by the equation

$$x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0.$$

Then X is a K3 surface defined over \mathbb{Q} and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ has CM over \mathbb{C} by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Hence the surface $X_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ has CM over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Suppose that it is not divisible $2l$. Gvirtz and Skorobogatov [7] proved that $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts as multiplication by $\pi/\bar{\pi}$ on $T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$, where π is a generator of \mathfrak{p} . Then we define the locally constant function u on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times$ by

$$u(t) = t/\bar{t}.$$

By corollary 3.7, we have two algebraic Hecke characters $\chi_\tau : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, ($\tau : \sqrt{-1} \rightarrow \pm\sqrt{-1}$).

(2) As another important case, we want to discuss Kummer surfaces with CM. The following result gives the reflex field of a Kummer surface with CM.

Proposition 3.12. *Let X be a Kummer surface associated with an abelian surface A over a field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ has CM over \mathbb{C} (hence $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ also has CM over \mathbb{C}). Then the reflex field of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equal to the reflex field of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. More precisely,*

(i) *If A is isogenous to the self product of an elliptic curves E over k , then $\sigma_X(E_X) \cong \text{End}(E_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

(ii) *If A is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves E_1, E_2 over k such that they are not isogenous to each other, then $\sigma_X(E_X) \cong \text{End}(E_{1,\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}(E_{2,\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

(iii) *If A is a simple abelian variety over k , then the reflex field $\sigma_X(E_X)$ is equal to the reflex field of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. See example 9.4, 9.5, and 9.6 in [10]. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let A be an abelian surface over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the Kummer surface $X = \text{Km}(A)$ has CM over k if and only if A has CM over k .*

We begin to construct Hecke characters for a Kummer surface $X = \text{Km}(A)$. Note that the Picard rank $\rho(X_{\bar{k}})$ is $16 + \rho(A_{\bar{k}})$. Hence we must construct $22 - \rho(X_{\bar{k}}) = 6 - \rho(A_{\bar{k}})$ Hecke characters. By complex multiplication theory and semisimplicity for abelian varieties (theorem 19.11 in [19] and theorem 1 in [6] respectively), there exists algebraic Hecke characters χ_1, \dots, χ_4 over k such that $\rho_l : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(H^1(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))$ is diagonalized by $\rho_{\chi_1}, \dots, \rho_{\chi_4}$. Since $H^2(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = \bigwedge^2 H^1(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ by theorem 15.1 in [13], the Galois representation $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(H^2(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))$ is induced by $\bigwedge^2 \rho_l = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \rho_{\chi_i} \wedge \rho_{\chi_j}$. So we get $\rho_{\chi_i} \wedge \rho_{\chi_j} = \rho_{\chi_i \chi_j}$, ($i < j$) by calculating their eigenvalues of Frobenius. We can choose $6 - \rho(A_{\bar{k}})$ Hecke characters $\chi'_1, \dots, \chi'_{6-\rho(A_{\bar{k}})}$ such that they act on the l adic transcendental part $T_l(A_{\bar{k}})$ from these. But the construction of Kummer surface implies that the formula

$$H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1)) \cong H^2(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1)) \oplus V,$$

where V is a 16 dimensional \mathbb{Q}_l subspace of $\text{NS}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. Hence we have

$$T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \cong T_l(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l.$$

This means that the $22 - \rho(X_{\bar{k}}) = 6 - \rho(A_{\bar{k}})$ dimensional Galois representation $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow GL(T_l(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is diagonalized by $\rho'_{\chi_1}, \dots, \rho'_{\chi_{22-\rho(X_{\bar{k}})}}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Buskin, Every rational Hodge isometry between two K3 surfaces is algebraic. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2019 (755) : 127-150, 2019.
- [2] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3, *Inv. Math.* 15 (1972), 206-226.
- [3] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil. I. *Publ. Math. IHES* 43 (1974), 273-307.
- [4] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil. II. *Publ. Math. IHES* 52 (1980), 137-252.
- [5] P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus, and Kuang-yen Shih, *Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 900, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [6] G. Faltings, *Complements to Mordell* in : G. Faltings, G. Wüstholz et al., *Rational points*, 2nd edition, F. Viehweg & Sohn, 1986.
- [7] D. Gvirts and A. N. Skorobogatov, *Cohomology and the Brauer Groups of diagonal surfaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 171(6): 1299-1347 (15 April 2022).
- [8] D. Huybrechts, *Complex Geometry An Introduction*, Universitext, Springer, 2005.

- [9] D. Huybrechts, Lectures on K3 surfaces, volume 158 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [10] K. Ito, On the Supersingular Reduction of K3 Surfaces with Complex Multiplication, International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2020, No. 20, pp.7306-7346, Advance Access Publication September 4, 2018.
- [11] R. Ito, Potential Modularity of K3 Surfaces with complex multiplication, master thesis, 2024.
- [12] Keerthi Madapusi Pera. The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 201 (2) :625-668, 2015.
- [13] J. S. Milne, Abelian Varieties in : Arithmetic Geometry edited by G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [14] S. Mukai, On correspondences between K3 surfaces. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 11:341, 1987.
- [15] V. Nikulin, On correspondences between K3 surfaces. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 30:375, 2007.
- [16] J. Rizov, Complex Multiplication for K3 Surfaces, arXiv:math/0508018, 2005.
- [17] J. Rizov, Kuga-Satake abelian varieties of K3 surfaces in mixed characteristic. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 648 (2010) : 13-67.
- [18] J. P. Serre, Abelian l -Adic Representations and Elliptic Curves. Benjamin. 1968.
- [19] G. Shimura, Abelian Varieties with Complex Multiplication and Modular Functions, Princeton University Press, 1997.
- [20] J. H. Silverman, Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, GTM 151 Springer. 1994.
- [21] A. N. Skorobogatov and Y. G. Zarhin, A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of abelian varieties and K3 surfaces, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*. 17 (2008), 481-502.
- [22] R. Taylor, Galois representations, *Ann. de laFaculte des Sciences de Toulouse* 13 (2004), 73-119.
- [23] D. Valloni, Complex multiplication and Brauer groups of K3 surfaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 385:107772, 2021.
- [24] D. Valloni, Fields of definition of K3 surfaces with complex multiplication, *Journal of Number Theory* Volume 242, January 2023, 436-470.
- [25] Y. G. Zarhin, Hodge groups of K3 surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 341 (1983), pp. 193-220.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8601, JAPAN

Email address: m21008a@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp