

Construction of gravitational instantons with non-maximal volume growth via codimension-1 collapse

Willem Adriaan Salm

June 25, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we construct families of gravitational instantons of type ALG, ALG*, ALH and ALH* using a gluing construction. Away from a finite set of exceptional points, the metric collapses with bounded curvature to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^3 by \mathbb{Z}_2 and a lattice of rank one or two. Depending on whether the gravitational instantons are of type ALG/ALG* or ALH/ALH*, there are either two or four exceptional points respectively that are modelled on the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. The other exceptional points are modelled on the Taub-NUT metric. There are at most four, respectively eight, of these points in each case.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Results	3
2	The approximate solution	5
2.1	The bulk space	8
2.2	The interpolation of the Kähler forms	15
3	The deformation problem	21
3.1	Weighted analysis of functions	24
3.2	The constant part	29

3.3	The linearised equation	29
3.4	The non-linear part and existence	39
4	Global properties $M_{B,n}$	42
4.1	The moduli space	47

1 Introduction

Gravitational instantons are complete, non-compact hyperkähler manifolds of dimension four with L^2 bounded curvature. In the late 70's, Eguchi & Hanson (1978) and Yau (1978) gave the first non-trivial examples of these spaces. However, it took more than 40 years before Sun & Zhang (2021) classified all gravitational instantons.

According to Chen & Chen (2019), there are four classes of gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay. These classes are called ALE(Asymptotically Locally Euclidean), ALF(Asymptotically Locally Flat), ALG and ALH and they are distinguished by their *volume growth*. That is, in a gravitational instanton of type ALE, ALF, ALG or ALH, a ball of radius r will have volume of order r^4 , r^3 , r^2 or r on respectively. If the curvature of a gravitational instanton decays quadratically, it can only be in one of two classes: ALG* or ALH*. In this case, the volume growth will be of order r^2 or $r^{4/3}$ respectively.

Over the years, many different constructions of gravitational instantons were found: For example, Kronheimer (1989) constructed all ALE-gravitational instantons using hyperkähler quotients. Ivanov & Roček (1996), Lindström & Roček (1988), Cherkis & Kapustin (1999) and Cherkis & Hitchin (2005) constructed ALF gravitational instantons using twistor methods. M. Atiyah & Hitchin (1988) constructed an ALF gravitational instanton using gauge theory and in the thesis of Hein (2010), there is a construction of gravitational instantons on the complement of an elliptic fibre in a rational elliptic surface using perturbation methods.

Although there are now many different constructions, each construction is tailored to a specific class of gravitational instantons. In this paper we show that the gluing construction carried out by Schroers & Singer (2021) for ALF

gravitational instantons, can be extended to gravitational instantons of type ALG, ALG*, ALH and ALH*. Moreover, we show that this can be done in an explicit, systematic and uniform way, even if, although from a geometric and analytic viewpoint, these gravitational instantons behave quite different.

This construction will also be useful in understanding the boundary of the moduli space of gravitational instantons. As this moduli space is not closed, it is possible that families of gravitational instantons degenerate. Like in the work of Foscolo (2019), we will focus on the limit where a family of gravitational instantons collapse to a flat 3-dimensional space. In order to describe this process explicitly, we will construct an 4-manifold which has the structure of a circle bundle almost everywhere. We will equip it with a metric that is approximately hyperkähler and we will show that when the circle fibres are sufficiently small, this metric can be perturbed into a genuine hyperkähler metric.

1.1 Results

The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a lattice of rank one or two and consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 action on \mathbb{R}^3/L that is induced by the antipodal map on \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $\{p_i\}$ be a configuration of n distinct points in $(\mathbb{R}^3/L - \text{Fix}(\mathbb{Z}_2))/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Suppose that $n \leq 4$ when $\mathbb{R}^3/L \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ and $n \leq 8$ when $\mathbb{R}^3/L \simeq \mathbb{R} \times T^2$. Then, there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ there exist a gravitational instanton $(M_{\mathbb{R}^3/L,n}, g_\epsilon)$ with the following properties:*

1. *For each fixed point of the \mathbb{Z}_2 action on \mathbb{R}^3/L , there is a compact set $K \subset M_{\mathbb{R}^3/L,n}$, such that $\epsilon^{-2}g_\epsilon$ approximates the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on K as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.*
2. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there is a compact set $K_i \subset M_{\mathbb{R}^3/L,n}$ such that $\epsilon^{-2}g_\epsilon$ approximates the Taub-NUT metric on K_i as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.*
3. *Away from the singularities, the manifold collapses to $(\mathbb{R}^3/L)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ with bounded curvature as ϵ converges to zero.*

We show this theorem in two steps. In Chapter 2, we will construct a 1-parameter family of Riemannian 4-manifolds using the data specified in Theorem 1.1. We construct this family such that conditions 1 to 3 are satisfied.

We show that it is hyperkähler outside some small annular regions and for each annular region, we give an explicit error estimate in Theorem 2.17.

In Chapter 3, we set up the deformation problem and show that, using the analysis done in Salm (2024), the approximate solution can be perturbed into a gravitational instanton. For this, we have to set up the inverse function theorem: the largest part of this section will be proving that the linearized operator has a uniform bounded inverse.

Finally, in Chapter 4, we study the global properties of our gravitational instantons. Namely, we will calculate the topology of our manifolds, and show

Proposition 1.2. *The homology of $M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}$ is given by*

$$H_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} & \text{if } k = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the intersection matrix is given by the negative Cartan matrix of the extended Dynkin diagram of type D_n .

Proposition 1.3. *The homology of $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ is given by*

$$H_k(M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{n+3} & \text{if } k = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the second part of Chapter 4, we determine the type of our gravitational instantons. Using the explicit description given by Sun & Zhang (2021), we conclude that

Theorem 1.4. *Let $M_{\mathbb{R}^3/L, n}$ be the gravitational instanton given in Theorem 1.1. Then, depending on the lattice and n , the asymptotic metric can be classified as*

- $ALG^*-I_{4-n}^*$ when $\dim L = 1$ and $n < 4$,

- $ALG_{\frac{1}{2}}$ when $\dim L = 1$ and $n = 4$,
- ALH^*_{8-n} when $\dim L = 2$ and $n < 8$,
- ALH when $\dim L = 2$ and $n = 8$.

Finally, we count the degrees of freedom in our construction, and compare it with the dimension of the moduli spaces. We also compare our construction of ALH^* gravitational instantons with the generalised Tian-Yau construction, where we conclude

Proposition 1.5. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a lattice of rank two, $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and let $M_{\mathbb{R}^3/L, n}$ be the ALH^* -gravitational instanton given in Theorem 1.1.*

1. *For $1 \leq n < 8$, the space $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ is diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of the blowup of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $8 - n$ points.*
2. *The space $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}$ is diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of $S^2 \times S^2$.*

Acknowledgements. This paper contains the geometric results found in my PhD thesis "Construction of gravitational instantons with non-maximal volume growth", funded by the Royal Society research grant RGF\R1\180086. A special thanks goes to the author's supervisor Lorenzo Foscolo, without whom his work would not have been possible.

2 The approximate solution

Before we continue, let us briefly revisit the gluing construction: This is a tried and tested method for creating manifolds with special holonomy. The idea is that we start with a non-complete, non-compact manifold with special holonomy that has explicit models near the non-complete regions. Then, for each model, we choose a complete manifold with special holonomy that near infinity has the same topology and approximately share the same metric as the model. Using a partition of unity, one creates a complete, differentiable manifold that satisfies the required conditions outside some small annuli.

Instead of constructing one approximate solution, we carry out this gluing procedure for a 1-parameter family. This extra parameter ϵ , which we call

the collapsing or degeneration parameter, will measure the quality of our first approximation. We set up the gluing such that, in the limit, the error of our approximation vanishes. In the limit where ϵ is zero, the manifold will degenerate. To solve this issue, we set ϵ sufficiently small and use a separate perturbation argument to turn the space into a genuine solution.

One ingredient we need in our case, is a construction due to Gibbons & Hawking (1978): Namely, they showed that given a flat 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold U with three parallel 1-forms $\{e_i\}$, a principal S^1 -bundle P over U , a connection η on P , and a harmonic function $h: U \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying $*^{g_U} d h = d \eta$, the metric

$$g^{GH} := h \cdot g_U + h^{-1} \eta^2$$

with the 2-forms

$$\omega_i^{GH} := e_i \wedge \eta + h *^{g_U} e_i$$

is hyperkähler.

This construction is known as the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz. Some of the requirements above are redundant. Namely, if $H^2(U, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion, then P is fully determined by the cohomology class of $[d \eta] = [* d h]$. Moreover, every principal bundle admits a connection η and this connection can be chosen such that $d \eta = * d h$. In summary, for the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz we only need U and a positive harmonic function that satisfies $* d h \in H^2(U, \mathbb{Z})$.

One important example of the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz is the Taub-NUT metric: For this let $c > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the positive harmonic function $h(x) = c + \frac{1}{2|x|}$ on $U = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Because $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the integral $-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} * d h$ is an integer and hence $* d h \in H^2(U, \mathbb{Z})$. Even more, $* d h = \frac{k}{2} \text{Vol}_{S^2}$ does not depend on the radial parameter in \mathbb{R}^3 and hence P is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^+ times a degree k circle bundle over S^2 . This also enables us to pick a connection η that is independent of the radial connection.

The Taub-NUT metric with mass k is the 1-point completion of the Gibbons-Hawking metric for $h(x) = c + \frac{k}{2|x|}$. It is a smooth hyperkähler manifold if $k = 1$. (Hence, if the mass is not specified, one assumes that $k = 1$.) This metric was found by Taub and extended by Newman, Unti and Tamburino.

It is called the Taub-NUT space and it is an example of a gravitational instanton.

Another important example of a gravitational instanton is due to M. Atiyah & Hitchin (1988). They considered the moduli space of centred magnetic monopoles of charge two. By choosing suitable decay conditions they showed that this moduli space is a complete 4-dimensional manifold. Using an infinite dimensional version of the hyperkähler quotient construction they made it into a gravitational instanton.

This space can be viewed as a cohomogeneity-one manifold¹. Namely, the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold has a natural $SO(3)$ action and its quotient with this $SO(3)$ action is the half line $[\pi, \infty)$. A generic orbit is of the form $SU(2)/\langle i, j, k \rangle$, while the orbit over the endpoint of the half line can be identified by $SU(2)/\langle e^{k\phi}, j \rangle \simeq \mathbb{R}P^2$. Hence topologically, the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold retracts to an $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

To understand its asymptotic metric, one has to consider its branched double cover. With the above identifications of the fibres, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action of this branched double cover is given by the j -multiplication. A generic fibre on this branched double cover is of the form $SU(2)/\langle i \rangle$, which is a degree negative 4 circle bundle over S^2 . When the radial parameter is sufficiently large, the metric of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold on this branched double cover approximates the Taub-NUT metric with mass -4 with exponential small error. Also, on this circle bundle over S^2 , the j -action descends to the antipodal map on the base space and it identifies the fibres by reversing the orientation, i.e. for any x in this circle bundle, $e^{i\phi} \cdot j \cdot x = j \cdot e^{-i\phi} \cdot x$.

For our gluing construction we will follow a method proposed by Sen (1997): Namely, he started with the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz on a punctured \mathbb{R}^3 with the harmonic function

$$h(x) = 1 + \frac{-4}{2|x|} + \sum_i \frac{1}{2|x + p_i|} + \frac{1}{2|x - p_i|},$$

where $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ are distinct. Near each singularity p_i the Gibbons-Hawking metric approximates the Taub-NUT metric and near the origin it

¹A good exposition about this can be found in Schroers & Singer (2021).

approximates the Taub-NUT metric with mass -4 .

Secondly, he considered the antipodal map on \mathbb{R}^3 and he lifted this involution such that near the origin it coincides with \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the branched double cover of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. After taking the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient, he claimed that this hyperkähler space can be made complete by gluing in the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold near the origin and the Taub-NUT space near each p_i . Although Sen never did this gluing explicitly, Schroers & Singer (2021) formalised his argument in their quest of finding geometric models of matter².

2.1 The bulk space

We will follow the construction of Sen, but instead of considering \mathbb{R}^3 , we consider \mathbb{R}^3 modulo a non-maximal lattice. So fix once and for all a non-maximal lattice L on \mathbb{R}^3 and call the quotient $B := \mathbb{R}^3/L$, endowed with the flat metric, the base space. We refer to the map $\tau: B \rightarrow B$ that is induced from the map $x \mapsto -x$ on \mathbb{R}^3 as the antipodal map. We denote the fixed point set of τ by $\{q_j\}$ and we call q_j a fixed point or a fixed point singularity. Unless specified otherwise, we call the action induced by τ on B , the \mathbb{Z}_2 action on B .

Remark 2.1. Because L is non-maximal, the base space B can only be diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 , $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $\mathbb{R} \times T^2$. As explained in Salm (2024), each case yields different kinds of gravitational instantons and will require different kinds of analysis. To distinguish these cases we often will write $B = \mathbb{R}^3$, $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$.

Next, fix a finite set of points $\{p_i\} \in (B \setminus \{q_j\})/\mathbb{Z}_2$. We call an element p_i a non-fixed point or a non-fixed singularity. Now let $B(q_j) > 0$ be small balls centred around q_j and denote

$$B' = B \setminus \cup_i \{\pm p_i\} \setminus \cup_j \overline{B}(q_j). \quad (1)$$

We call B' the punctured base space. Compared to Sen (1997), we have an extra condition on the number of non-fixed points. Namely, when $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$, the maximum number of non-fixed points must not exceed four or eight respectively. In Lemma 2.3 we see the necessity of these

²See M. F. Atiyah et al. (2012)

requirements. This lemma will also explain the need of removal of the balls $B(q_j)$.

Remark 2.2. The exact choice of radius for $B(q_j)$ in B' will be determined later when we study the gluing in more detail. For now it is sufficient that the radius is small enough such that the balls $B(q_j)$ are pairwise disjoint and B' is connected.

Harmonic function

Our goal is to apply the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz over B' that approximates the Taub-NUT metric near the p_i 's and the branched double cover of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric near the fixed points q_j . For this we need to construct a positive harmonic function h with the correct asymptotics near the singularities. For the Taub-NUT metric this requires that the harmonic function must diverge as $\frac{1}{2|x-p_i|}$ at $\pm p_i$. For the Atiyah-Hitchin metric this requires that the harmonic function must diverge as $\frac{-2}{|x-q_j|}$ at q_j . Recall that $G(x, x') = \frac{1}{4\pi|x-x'|}$ is the Greens function on \mathbb{R}^3 . Hence, viewing the harmonic function h as a distribution we need that $\Delta h = 2\pi\delta(x - p_i)$ near all p_i and $\Delta h = -8\pi\delta(x - q_j)$ near all q_j . A \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of Greens functions will satisfy all these conditions. It turns out that this is the only solution up to constant:

Lemma 2.3. *Write $\#\{p_i\}$ for the number of pairs p_i in $(B - \{q_i\})/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let G be the Green's function on B and consider*

$$h = -4 \sum_j G(x - q_j) + \sum_i (G(x - p_i) + G(x + p_i)).$$

Let r be the Euclidean distance from the origin on \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R} when $B = \mathbb{R}^3$, $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$ respectively.

(a) *Near infinity,*

$$h = \begin{cases} \frac{2 \cdot \#\{p_i\} - 4}{2r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \beta \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot \#\{p_i\}) \cdot \log(r) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \\ \beta \cdot (16 - 2\#\{p_i\}) \cdot r + \mathcal{O}(e^{-r}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2 \end{cases}$$

for some $\beta > 0$, and β only depends on the lattice L .

(b) Near the fixed points q_j , $h(x) = \alpha_j - \frac{2}{|x-q_j|} + \mathcal{O}(|x - q_j|^2)$ for some $\alpha_j > 0$. Near the non-fixed points $\pm p_i$, $h(x) = \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2|x \mp p_i|} + \mathcal{O}(|x \mp p_i|^2)$ for some $\alpha_i > 0$.

(c) Denote the ball of radius r centred at x as $B_r(x)$. There exists an $\delta > 0$ such that $\epsilon^{-1} + h$ is a harmonic function on $B' = B \setminus \cup_i \{\pm p_i\} \setminus \cup_j \overline{B}_{4\epsilon}(q_j)$ which is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ for all $0 < \epsilon < \delta$.

(d) The only maps that satisfy

1. $\Delta \tilde{h} = -8\pi \sum_j \delta(x - q_j) + 2\pi \sum_i \delta(x - p_i) + \delta(x + p_i)$,
2. \tilde{h} is bounded below on B' ,

are the maps $\tilde{h} = h + c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.4. The choice if B' can be explained from part (b) and (c) of this lemma. According to part (b), the function h diverges to $-\infty$ near q_j , degenerating the Gibbons-Hawking metric. In part (c) we show that this can be remedied by removing small balls around the fixed-point singularities.

Proof. Part (a): These estimates follow from the expansion³ of the Greens function near infinity. When $B = \mathbb{R}^3$ or $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$, the leading error term, $\mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$ or $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$ respectively, disappears due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariance.

Part (b): These estimates follow from the expansion of the Greens function in spherical harmonics. For the fixed-point singularities q_j the linear term will vanish due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariance of h .

Part (c): We consider $\epsilon^{-1} + h$. By the maximum principle any harmonic function attains its minimum on the boundary, where we have explicit estimates. Near the point p_i , the function h diverges to $+\infty$ with rate $\frac{1}{r}$. On the boundary near the fixed point q_j we have the estimate $\epsilon^{-1} + h = \alpha_j + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$, which is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ for ϵ sufficiently small. Lastly, we consider the boundary at infinity. At this boundary, the function h can only attain 0 or $\pm\infty$, and using the condition on $\#\{p_i\}$, the case $h|_\infty = -\infty$ is discarded.

Part (d): We only show uniqueness. Suppose that \tilde{h} satisfies

³For example, for $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ an expansion can be found in Gross & Wilson (2000), Lemma 3.1.

1. $\Delta \tilde{h} = -8\pi \sum_j \delta(x - q_j) + 2\pi \sum_i \delta(x - p_i) + \delta(x + p_i)$, and
2. \tilde{h} is bounded below on B' .

Then, $u := \tilde{h} - h$ is a harmonic function on B which can be lifted to a harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^3 . We claim that $u = \mathcal{O}(r)$. Indeed, due to part (a) the lower bound of u diverges at most linearly to $-\infty$ and hence we only need to study the upper bound. For this, fix $r > 0$ sufficiently large and consider the map $u_r^+(x) := u(x) + 1 - \inf_{y \in B_{2r}(0)} u(y)$. This is strictly positive on $B_{2r}(0)$ and hence the Harnack inequality implies for all $x \in B_r(0)$, $u_r^+(x) \leq 6 u_r^+(0)$. For sufficiently large r , this can be rewritten as $u(x) \leq C + 5 \sup_{y \in B_{2r}(0) \cap B'} h(y)$ for some constant $C > 0$. This proves the claim. The only harmonic functions that satisfy this are the affine functions, but the only affine function that makes $\tilde{h} = h + u$ bounded below is the constant function. Therefore, u must be constant. \square

Remark 2.5. Although in Lemma 2.3(a) we used the supremum norm, estimates for the derivatives can be obtained using elliptic regularity estimates. For example, when $B = \mathbb{R}^3$, the map $h(x) - \alpha - \frac{2|p_i| - 4}{2r}$ is a harmonic function on the asymptotic region. According to the weighted Schauder estimate from Bartnik (1986) Proposition 1.6, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| r^{k+2} \nabla^k \left(h(x) - \alpha - \frac{2|p_i| - 4}{2r} \right) \right\|_{C^0} \leq C \left\| r^2 \left(h(x) - \alpha - \frac{2|p_i| - 4}{2r} \right) \right\|_{C^0} < \infty.$$

This implies that $\nabla^k \left(h(x) - \alpha - \frac{2|p_i| - 4}{2r} \right) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-k})$ for all k .

Circle bundle and involution

In the next step, we need to find a circle bundle P over B' such that $c_1(P) = [*dh] \in H^2(B', \mathbb{Z})$. Using Mayer-Vietoris one can calculate the second homology of B' . Most elements of $H_2(B')$ are given by the 2-spheres centred around the singularities $\pm p_i$ and q_j . When $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$, there is one extra cycle which is the 2-torus at infinity. The space $H_2(B')$ has no torsion. Therefore the map $H^2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{dR}^2(B')$ is injective and its image contains all $[\sigma] \in H_{dR}^2(B')$ such that⁴ $\frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. So to uniquely determine P , it is sufficient to show

⁴The factor $-1/2\pi$ is due to the identification of $u(1) = i\mathbb{R}$ with \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 2.6. *For all $\Sigma \in H_2(B')$, we have that $\frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} *dh \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3(b) we have explicit estimates for $*dh$ near the singularities. Using this and the fact that $\int_{S^2(\pm p_i)} *dh$ must be radially independent, we conclude that

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2(\pm p_i)} *dh = 1, \quad \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2(q_j)} *dh = -4.$$

Finally, we need to calculate $\int_{T^2} *dh$ over the 2-torus for the case $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$. We use a similar idea as in Charbonneau & Hurtubise (2011) Proposition 3.5: Pick some $x > 0$ sufficiently large and consider the integral $\frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{[-x, x] \times T^2} d *dh$. This integral must vanish due to the harmonicity of h . The boundary of $[-x, x] \times T^2 \subset B'$ decomposes into

$$\{\pm x\} \times T^2 \sqcup \bigsqcup_i S^2(\pm p_i) \sqcup \bigsqcup_j S^2(q_j),$$

and hence Stoke's theorem implies

$$0 = \int_{\{x\} \times T^2} *dh + \int_{\{-x\} \times T^2} *dh - \sum_i \int_{S^2(\pm p_i)} *dh - \sum_j \int_{S^2(q_j)} *dh.$$

When we impose the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariance of h ,

$$2 \cdot \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\{x\} \times T^2} *dh = 4|q_i| - 2|p_i| = 16 - 2|p_i| \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Given the harmonic function h defined in Lemma 2.3, there exists a unique principal circle bundle P over B' that satisfies $c_1(P) = [*dh]$. In the rest of this paper P will be referred as *the* principal bundle.

Following the construction of Sen (1997), we lift the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action τ , that is induced by the antipodal map on \mathbb{R}^3 , to a free \mathbb{Z}_2 action $\tilde{\tau}$ on P . In order for our gluing around the fixed-points q_j to work, we need that $\tilde{\tau}$ coincide with the branched covering map defined for the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold, i.e $\tilde{\tau}(e^{i\phi} \cdot p) = e^{-i\phi} \cdot \tilde{\tau}(p)$ for all $p \in P$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the explicit bijection between principal S^1 -bundles and $H^2(B', \mathbb{Z})$ in Chern (1977), one can show $\tilde{\tau}$ exists if and only if

$$\tilde{\tau} * c_1(P) = -c_1(P).$$

Because the harmonic function h is invariant under τ , this is always satisfied. Unless specified otherwise, we refer to $\tilde{\tau}$ as the \mathbb{Z}_2 action on P .

The connection

In order to apply the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz, we need to have a connection η on the circle bundle P such that $*dh = d\eta$. Such connection can always be found. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, $H^1(B') = H^1(B)$, and so η is determined by $H^1(B, \mathbb{R})/H^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ up to gauge transformation. For the gluing to work, we do need to work in a certain gauge, which we explain now.

According to Lemma 2.3, there is some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d\eta = *dh = \begin{cases} -\frac{c}{2} \cdot \text{Vol}_{S^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ c \cdot \text{Vol}_{T^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \\ c \cdot \text{Vol}_{T^2} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-r}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2. \end{cases}$$

The closed 2-forms $-\frac{c}{2} \cdot \text{Vol}_{S^2}$ and $c \cdot \text{Vol}_{T^2}$ are representatives of elements in $H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively and hence there is a connection η_∞ on an circle bundle over S^2 or T^2 such that

$$d\eta = d\eta_\infty + \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \\ \mathcal{O}(e^{-r}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2. \end{cases}$$

Because $d\eta$ and $d\eta_\infty$ represent the same element in H^2 , there is a 1-form $\tilde{\eta}_\infty$ on the asymptotic region of B , such that $d\eta = d\eta_\infty + d\tilde{\eta}_\infty$. By the following version of the Poincaré lemma, we can pick $\tilde{\eta}_\infty$ with an explicit decay rate:

Lemma 2.7. *Let Σ be a compact n -dimensional manifold and consider $U = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$. Let τ be a closed k -form such that at some $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau|_{\{r_0\} \times \Sigma} = 0$. Then the radial integrand*

$$\tilde{\eta} = \int_{s \in (r_0, r)} (\iota_{\partial_r} \tau) ds$$

satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = \tau$.

This lemma can be proved by calculating $d\eta$ in local coordinates and by applying fundamental theorem of calculus. Using remark 2.5 with the explicit integration in Lemma 2.7, we conclude

Lemma 2.8. *Let r be the Euclidean distance from the origin on \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R} when $B = \mathbb{R}^3$, $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$ respectively. Far away from the singularities, there exists an r -independent connection η_∞ on a S^1 -bundle over a compact set and a 1-form $\tilde{\eta}_\infty$ on the asymptotic region of the base space such that*

$$\eta = \eta_\infty + \tilde{\eta}_\infty$$

up to gauge transformation. With respect to g_B ,

$$\nabla^k \tilde{\eta}_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(r^{-3-k}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-k}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \\ \mathcal{O}(e^{-r}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2 \end{cases}$$

for all $k \geq 0$.

Fix once and for all a connection η on the principal bundle P that satisfies $*d h = d\eta$. Moreover assume that η is antisymmetric under the involution $\tilde{\tau}$. (This enables us to project the Gibbons-Hawking metric to P/\mathbb{Z}_2) Finally, use Lemma 2.8 to fix a gauge on η . This will be the connection we will use in the rest of this paper.

The collapsing parameter and metric

Finally, we equip the bulk space P/\mathbb{Z}_2 with an hyperkähler metric. For the gluing construction, we also introduce a collapsing parameter $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ in this step. From the Gibbons-Hawking construction there are two obvious parameters to choose: The constant in the harmonic function h or the global scale of the metric. Although these parameters look independent, they are actually related by a rescaling of the lattice and a translation of the singularities.

Because of this, we pick our collapsing parameter as a combination of them. We choose our metric such that for any point on B' , the length of the fibre converges to $2\pi\epsilon$ as our collapsing parameter ϵ tends to zero. Explicitly, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and a fixed choice for h , we define the harmonic function

$$h_\epsilon = 1 + \epsilon h$$

Next, we consider the metric that is induced by the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz for the harmonic function $\epsilon^{-1} + h$ and connection η , and we rescale it by a

factor of ϵ . Explicitly, it is given by

$$g^{GH} := h_\epsilon g_B + \frac{\epsilon^2}{h_\epsilon} \eta^2$$

and its Kähler forms are

$$\omega_i^{GH} := \epsilon dx_i \wedge \eta + h_\epsilon *^B dx_i.$$

The hyperkähler space $(P/\mathbb{Z}_2, g^{GH}, \omega_i^{GH})$ will be called the bulk space.

2.2 The interpolation of the Kähler forms

Following the method by Sen (1997), we make the bulk space complete and equip it with an almost hyperkähler metric. To do this, we have to identify the asymptotic regions of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds with the neighbourhoods of the fixed points q_j and the Taub-NUT spaces to a neighbourhood of the non-fixed points p_i . Topologically, these neighbourhoods already coincide. For example, on the tubular neighbourhood of P near a fixed point singularity q_j , the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz yields a circle bundle over $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ of degree -4 . On this region the involution $\tilde{\tau}$ also coincides with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the the branched double cover of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. Hence topologically, the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold can be glued into the bulk space near each q_j .

We only need to define a global metric. Instead of interpolating the metrics we will interpolate the Kähler forms. In order to get the correct error estimates, we have to modify the diffeomorphism between the bulk space P/\mathbb{Z}_2 and the asymptotic regions of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds and Taub-NUT spaces using a suitable gauge transformation. We explain our choice of gauge transformation and we give the interpolated forms explicitly.

Because the Taub-NUT metric with negative mass is a suitable model for the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold, we will use it to measure the errors on the gluing region. Similarly, we will use the standard Taub-NUT metric as the model metric for the non-fixed singularities. These metrics will be used throughout this paper and hence we will fix them once and for all:

Definition 2.9. Let p_i be a non-fixed singularity and let r_i be the distance to p_i on B . Let $\alpha_i > 0$ be such that, near p_i , $h(x) = \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2|x-p_i|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-p_i|)$. For the model metric near p_i define

$$\begin{aligned} h^{p_i} &:= \alpha_i + \frac{2}{r_i}, & \rho_{p_i} &:= \log r_i, \\ h_\epsilon^{p_i} &:= 1 + \epsilon h^{p_i}, & \Omega_{p_i} &:= r_i^{-1} (h_\epsilon^{p_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U^{p_i} \subseteq B'$ be a punctured neighbourhood of p_i homotopic to S^2 and let η^{p_i} be an r_i -invariant connection of $P|_{U^{p_i}}$ satisfying the Bogomolny equation

$$*d h^{p_i} = d \eta^{p_i}.$$

Define g^{p_i} to be the Gibbons-Hawking metric induced by h^{p_i} and η^{p_i} , i.e.

$$g^{p_i} := h_\epsilon^{p_i} g_{U^{p_i}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{h_\epsilon^{p_i}} (\eta^{p_i})^2.$$

We call the hyperkähler manifold $(P|_{U^{p_i}}, g^{p_i})$ the model space near p_i . Also define the conformally rescaled model metric

$$g_{cf}^{p_i} := \Omega_{p_i}^2 g^{p_i} = d \rho_{p_i}^2 + g_{S^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{(h_\epsilon^{p_i})^2} (\eta^{p_i})^2.$$

Definition 2.10. Let q_j be a fixed point singularity and let r_j be the distance to q_j on B . Let $\alpha_j > 0$ be such that, near q_j , $h(x) = \alpha_j - \frac{2}{|x-q_j|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-q_j|^2)$. For the model metric near q_j define

$$\begin{aligned} h^{q_j} &:= \alpha_j - \frac{2}{r_j}, & \rho_{q_j} &:= \log r_j, \\ h_\epsilon^{q_j} &:= 1 + \epsilon h^{q_j}, & \Omega_{q_j} &:= r_j^{-1} (h_\epsilon^{q_j})^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U^{q_j} \subseteq B'$ be a punctured neighbourhood of q_j homotopic to S^2 and let η^{q_j} be an r_j -invariant connection of $P|_{U^{q_j}}$ satisfying the Bogomolny equation

$$*d h^{q_j} = d \eta^{q_j}.$$

Define g^{q_j} to be the Gibbons-Hawking metric induced by h^{q_j} and η^{q_j} , i.e.

$$g^{q_j} := h_\epsilon^{q_j} g_{U^{q_j}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{h_\epsilon^{q_j}} (\eta^{q_j})^2.$$

We call the hyperkähler manifold $(P|_{U^{q_j}}, g^{q_j})$ the model space near q_j . Also define the conformally rescaled model metric

$$g_{cf}^{q_j} := \Omega_{q_j}^2 g^{q_j} = d\rho_{q_j}^2 + g_{S^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{(h_\epsilon^{q_j})^2} (\eta^{q_j})^2.$$

Remark 2.11. The choice and use of the conformal rescaling is explained in Salm (2024). In short, studying an second-order, elliptic differential operator Δ on g^{p_i} is equivalent of studying $\Omega^{-2}\Delta$ on $g_{cf}^{p_i}$. The same is true for g^{q_j} . The conformally rescaled metric however, has uniform bounded geometry on local universal covering spaces and the curvature estimates can be made uniform in the collapsing parameter ϵ . Therefore, all elliptic regularity estimates are automatically uniform in ϵ when using $g_{cf}^{p_i}$ and $g_{cf}^{q_j}$.

Remark 2.12. When using $g_{cf}^{p_i}$ and $g_{cf}^{q_j}$, higher derivatives will have the same growth/decay rate as the functions itself. For example, according to Remark 2.5, $\nabla^k(h - h^{q_j}) = \mathcal{O}(r_j^{2-k})$ with respect to the Euclidean metric on the base space. Converting this to the conformal metric, one concludes

$$\nabla_{cf}^k(h - h^{q_j}) \sim r^k \nabla_{g_B}^k(h - h^{q_j}) = \mathcal{O}(r_j^2).$$

Therefore, higher order estimates follow automatically from the C^0 estimate and we can omit them in our calculations.

The difference between the Kähler forms on the bulk space $(P/\mathbb{Z}_2, g^{GH}, \omega_i^{GH})$ and the model metrics are

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i^{GH} - \omega^{p_i} &= \epsilon d x_i \wedge (\eta - \eta^{p_i}) + (h_\epsilon - h_\epsilon^{p_i}) d x_j \wedge d x_k, \\ \omega_i^{GH} - \omega^{q_j} &= \epsilon d x_i \wedge (\eta - \eta^{q_j}) + (h_\epsilon - h_\epsilon^{q_j}) d x_j \wedge d x_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we need to estimate the difference between the connection η and the connection on the model spaces. For this we use the same argument as in Lemma 2.8. For example, to estimate the closed 2-form $d(\eta - \eta^{q_j}) = *^B d(h - h^{q_j})$, we use Remark 2.5 to conclude $d(\eta - \eta^{q_j})$ and its derivatives are of order r_j^3 w.r.t. $g_{cf}^{q_j}$. Therefore, we can integrate $d(\eta - \eta^{q_j})$ from $r_0 = 0$ using Lemma 2.7. This yields a 1-form $\tilde{\eta}^{q_j}$ such that $d\eta = d\eta^{q_j} + d\tilde{\eta}^{q_j}$. Because $H^1(S^2) = 0$, the form $\eta - \eta^{q_j} - \tilde{\eta}^{q_j}$ is exact and hence we have:

Lemma 2.13. *On a small annulus around each fixed point singularity q_j , there exists a gauge transformation which identifies η with $\eta^{q_j} + \tilde{\eta}^{q_j}$, where*

$\tilde{\eta}^{q_j}$ and all its derivatives are of order r_j^3 with respect to $g_{cf}^{q_j}$.

Similarly, on a small annulus around each non-fixed singularity p_i , there exists a gauge transformation which identifies η with $\eta^{p_i} + \tilde{\eta}^{p_i}$, where $\tilde{\eta}^{p_i}$ and all its derivatives are of order r_i^2 with respect to $g_{cf}^{p_i}$.

Using the estimates from Lemmas 2.3 and Lemma 2.13 the difference between the Kähler forms of g^{GH} and g^{q_j} is given by $\epsilon \, dx_i \wedge \mathcal{O}(r_j^3) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^2) \, dx_j \wedge dx_k$. Because $r^{-1} \, dx_i$ and its derivatives are bounded in $g_{cf}^{q_j}$,

$$\|\nabla^k(\omega^{GH} - \omega^{q_j})\|_{g_{cf}^{q_j}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^4)$$

for all $k \geq 0$. Similarly, one can estimate $\|\nabla^k(\omega^{GH} - \omega^{p_i})\|_{g_{cf}^{p_i}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_i^3)$ near a non-fixed singularity p_i . This enables us to apply the radial integration from Lemma 2.7 again to find:

Lemma 2.14. *On a small annulus around the non-fixed singularity p_i , there exists a smooth triple of 1-forms, which we denote by $\sigma^{p_i, GH}$, such that*

$$\omega^{GH} = \omega^{p_i} + d\sigma^{p_i, GH}.$$

The 1-forms $\sigma^{p_i, GH}$ and all its derivatives are of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^3)$ with respect to $g_{cf}^{p_i}$.

On a small annulus around the fixed point singularity q_j , there exists a smooth triple of 1-forms, which we denote by $\sigma^{q_j, GH}$, such that

$$\omega^{GH} = \omega^{q_j} + d\sigma^{q_j, GH}.$$

The 1-forms $\sigma^{q_j, GH}$ and all its derivatives are of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^4)$ with respect to $g_{cf}^{q_j}$.

Next we compare the Atiyah-Hitchin metric to the Taub-NUT metric with negative mass -4 explicitly. According to M. Atiyah & Hitchin (1988), the Atiyah-Hitchin metric has a radial parameter r_{AH} and for large values of r_{AH} the metric on the branched double cover is

$$g^{AH} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{r_{AH}}\right) (d r_{AH}^2 + r_{AH}^2 g_{S^2}) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{r_{AH}}\right)^{-1} (\eta^{q_j})^2 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-r_{AH}}).$$

By identifying $r_j := \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j} r_{AH}$, where α_j is defined in Lemma 2.3 and applying the radial integration from Lemma 2.7, one can show

Lemma 2.15. *On the asymptotic region of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold, there exists a triple of 1-forms $\sigma^{q_j, AH}$ such that*

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon\alpha_j} \omega^{AH} = \omega^{q_j} + d\sigma^{q_j, AH}$$

and $\sigma^{q_j, AH}$ and all its derivatives are $\mathcal{O}(r_j^2 e^{-\frac{1+\epsilon\alpha}{\epsilon} r_j})$ with respect to $g_{cf}^{q_j}$.

In a similar manner we can compare the Kähler forms for the model metric near p_i with a rescaled version of a fixed Taub-NUT space. In this case, there is no exponentially decaying error term and we get the result:

Lemma 2.16. *By identifying $r_i := \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_i} r_{TN}$, where α_i is defined in Lemma 2.3,*

$$g^{p_i} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon\alpha_i} g^{TN}, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{p_i} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon\alpha_i} \omega^{TN},$$

where g^{TN} is the fixed Taub-NUT space

$$g^{TN} := \left(1 + \frac{1}{2r_{TN}}\right) (dr_{TN}^2 + r_{TN}^2 g_{S^2}) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2r_{TN}}} (\eta^{p_i})^2.$$

With these ingredients we finally construct a complete manifold and equip it with a definite triple that is almost hyperkähler: Let n be the number of non-fixed points p_i and m be the number of fixed point singularities q_j . Identify the asymptotic region of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and the neighbourhoods of q_j on the bulk space P/\mathbb{Z}_2 with the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of the model space defined in Definition 2.10. Similarly, identify the asymptotic region of the Taub-NUT space and the neighbourhoods of p_i on P/\mathbb{Z}_2 with the the model space defined in Definition 2.9. Consider the connected sum of P/\mathbb{Z}_2 with m copies of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and n copies of the Taub-NUT space. We call this space the global space and we denote it as $M_{B,n}$.

In order to equip $M_{B,n}$ with a definite triple, let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $R_0, R_1 \in (0, \infty)$ be small. Assume that the gluing in the connected sum construction happens on the region $\bigcup_i B_{R_1}(p_i) \setminus B_{R_0}(p_i)$ and $\bigcup_j B_{R_1}(q_j) \setminus B_{R_0}(q_j)$. For each point p_i and q_j , pick a family of smooth step functions $\chi_\epsilon(x)$ on B such that $\chi_\epsilon(x) = 0$

when $\|x - p_i\|_{g^B}, \|x - q_j\|_{g^B} \leq R_0$ and $\chi_\epsilon(x) = 1$ when $\|x - p_i\|_{g^B}, \|x - q_j\|_{g^B} \geq R_1$. We pick the following triple on the connected sum:

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon\alpha_i} \omega^{TN} & \text{if } \|x - p_i\|_{g^B} \leq R_0 \\ \frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j} \omega^{AH} & \text{if } \|x - q_j\|_{g^B} \leq R_0 \\ \omega^{p_i} + d \left(\chi_\epsilon \sigma^{p_i, GH} \right) & \text{if } R_0 \leq \|x - p_i\|_{g^B} \leq R_1 \\ \omega^{q_j} + d \left[(1 - \chi_\epsilon) \sigma^{q_j, AH} + \chi_\epsilon \sigma^{q_j, GH} \right] & \text{if } R_0 \leq \|x - q_j\|_{g^B} \leq R_1 \\ \omega_i^{GH} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We need to find χ_ϵ , R_0 and R_1 such that ω_i is hyperkähler outside $r \in [R_0, R_1]$ and behaves well enough inside. Assume that $R_0 = C_0 \epsilon^\kappa$ and $R_1 = C_1 \epsilon^\kappa$ for some $C_0, C_1 > 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to balance the following factors:

- For the approximations of $\sigma^{p_i, GH}$ and $\sigma^{q_j, GH}$ we need the radial distance to the singularity to be small. This is satisfied when $\kappa > 0$.
- At the same time we need that $h_\epsilon > 0$ and so r_j cannot be too small. This is satisfied when $C_0 = 4$ and $\kappa < 1$, because Lemma 2.3 implies $h_\epsilon > 0$ if $4\epsilon^\kappa > 4\epsilon$.
- For the approximation of $\sigma^{q_j, AH}$ we need r_{AH} to be large. Combining $r_j = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^\kappa)$ and $r_{AH} = \frac{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}{\epsilon} r_j$, it follows $r_{AH} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\kappa-1})$. This is large when $\kappa < 1$.
- Finally we need that $R_0 < R_1$. This happens when $C_0 < C_1$.

From Lemma 2.14 and 2.15, we have decay estimates for $\sigma^{p_i, GH}$, $\sigma^{q_j, GH}$ and $\sigma^{q_j, AH}$. It is sufficient if we assume $\sigma^{p_i, GH}, \sigma^{q_j, GH} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^3)$ and $\sigma^{q_j, AH} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3 r_j^{-1})$. When we pick

$$R_0 = 4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}} \text{ and } R_1 = 5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$$

all the above requirements are satisfied. By estimating χ_ϵ , one notices that $d\chi_\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and may conclude.

Theorem 2.17. *There exists an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$:*

1. ω_i is a closed 2-form in $M_{B,n}$.
2. Outside the gluing region (i.e. $r_i \in [4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}, 5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}]$ or $r_j \in [4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}, 5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}]$), ω_i is an hyperkähler triple.

3. Inside the gluing region near the fixed point q_j , $\omega_i - \omega_i^{q_j}$ and all its derivatives are of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3 r_j^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^3)$ w.r.t. $g_{cf}^{q_j}$. In particular, inside this gluing region ω_i is a definite triple of closed 2-forms such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = (\text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5}))_{ij} \otimes \text{Vol}^{g^{q_j}}.$$

4. Inside the gluing region near the non-fixed point p_i , $\omega_i - \omega_i^{p_i}$ and all its derivatives are of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon r_j^3)$ w.r.t. $g_{cf}^{p_i}$. In particular, inside this gluing region ω_i is a definite triple of closed 2-forms such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = (\text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5}))_{ij} \otimes \text{Vol}^{g^{p_i}}.$$

3 The deformation problem

To perturb the approximate solution, we phrase the hyperkähler conditions as an elliptic PDE which we solve it using the inverse function theorem. To do this, we introduce an alternative definition of hyperkähler manifolds in terms of its Kähler forms. We use this alternative definition to set up the deformation problem. The perturbation argument explained here is a slightly modified version of that used in Schroers & Singer (2021).

Given a hyperkähler 4-manifold (M, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) , consider its Kähler forms ω_i . The quaternion relations imply

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \text{Vol}^g \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Therefore the Kähler forms are an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^+(M)$ with respect to g . According to Donaldson (2006), the converse is also true, i.e. for each triple of closed 2-forms ω_i and volume form μ that satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \mu \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \tag{2}$$

there exists a unique hyperkähler metric g with volume form μ and Kähler forms ω_i .

In Theorem 2.17 we found a triple of closed 2-forms ω_i that are approximately orthonormal. Assume there exists a triple of 1-forms a_i such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_i = \omega_i + d a_i$$

solve equation 2. Then the expression $\tilde{\omega}_i \wedge \tilde{\omega}_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \sum_k \tilde{\omega}_k \wedge \tilde{\omega}_k$ is a traceless, symmetric 3×3 matrix with values in $\Omega^4(M)$. Therefore, we consider the projection map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tf}: \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega^4(M) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \Omega^4(M) \\ P \otimes \mu &\mapsto \left(\frac{1}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}P^* - \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(P) \text{Id} \right) \otimes \mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and our goal is to find $a \in \Omega^1(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\text{Tf}((\omega + da)^2) = \text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega) + 2 \text{Tf}(da \wedge \omega) + \text{Tf}(da \wedge da) = 0. \quad (4)$$

This does not have a unique solution, because $\Omega^1(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ has rank 12, but $\text{Sym}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \Omega^4(M)$ is only a rank 5 vector bundle. In order to solve this issue, we first remove the gauge freedom $a \mapsto a + df$: According to Donaldson (2006), there is a unique metric g such that ω_i span $\Omega^+(M)$ and $\text{Vol}^g = \frac{1}{3} \sum_k \omega_k \wedge \omega_k$. We fix the gauge by assuming $d^*a = 0$. In order to fix all remaining 9 degrees of freedom, we also assume that a satisfies

$$da \wedge \omega = d^+ a \wedge \omega = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega + da \wedge da). \quad (5)$$

Recall that ω_i span $\Omega^+(M)$ and the wedge product is a non-degenerate pairing on Ω^+ . Therefore, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda: \Omega^+(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega^4(M) \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma \wedge \omega \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

is a bijection and Equation 5 is equivalent to

$$d^+ a = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega + da \wedge da). \quad (7)$$

Combining Equation 5 with the gauge fix $d^*a = 0$, we conclude a must satisfy

$$(d^* + d^+) a = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega + da \wedge da).$$

Our choice of gauge is convenient, because the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}: \Omega^0(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M) \oplus \Omega^\mp(M) &\rightarrow \Omega^0(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M) \oplus \Omega^\pm(M) \\ f &\mapsto df & f \in \Omega^0(M) \\ a &\mapsto (d^* + d^\pm)a & a \in \Omega^1(M) \\ \sigma &\mapsto 2d^* \sigma & \sigma \in \Omega^\mp(M) \end{aligned}$$

is a Dirac operator and \mathcal{D}^2 equals the Hodge Laplacian.

Next, we assume that a lies in the image of $\mathcal{D}: (\Omega^0(M) \oplus \Omega^+(M)) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1(M_{B,n}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. This has the advantage that the linearized version of Equation 7 is the Hodge Laplacian and that a can be described by a section of a trivial bundle. Moreover, if we write $a = \mathcal{D}(u + \zeta)$ with $u \in \Omega^0(M_{B,n}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\zeta \in \Omega^+(M_{B,n}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$, then u and ζ must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \zeta &= -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega) - 2\Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(d d^* \zeta \wedge d d^* \zeta), \\ \Delta u &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We fix the gauge $d^* a = 0$ by setting $u = 0$. We will solve Equation 8 using the version of the inverse function theorem given in Lemma 6.15 in Foscolo (2019):

Theorem 3.1 (Inverse function theorem). *Let $F(x) = F(0) + L(x) + N(x)$ be a smooth function between Banach spaces such that there exist $r, q, C > 0$ satisfying*

1. L is an invertible linear operator with $\|L^{-1}\| < C$,
2. $\|N(x) - N(y)\| \leq q \cdot \|x + y\| \cdot \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in B_r(0)$, and
3. $\|F(0)\| < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4qC^2}, \frac{r}{2C} \right\}$.

Then, there exists a unique x in the domain of F such that $F(x) = 0$ and $\|x\| \leq 2C\|F(0)\|$.

We need to find suitable Banach spaces such that the Hodge Laplacian on $\Omega^+(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ is invertible with bounded inverse. A small calculation will show it is sufficient to study the Laplacian acting on functions instead. Indeed, trivialize $\zeta \in \Omega^+(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ into $\zeta_i = u_{ij} \omega_j$ and use Riemann normal coordinates $\{x_k\}$. By the Weitzenböck formula (Roe (1998) Equation 3.8),

$$\Delta(u_{ij}\omega_j) = \mathcal{D}^2(u_{ij}\omega_j) = -\nabla^k \nabla_k(u_{ij}\omega_j) + \mathcal{R}(u_{ij}\omega_j),$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Clifford contraction of the Riemann curvature tensor. Using the trivialisation of ζ and the fact that the Clifford contraction is C^∞ -linear,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_{ij}\omega_j) &= (\Delta_{g_\epsilon} u_{ij}) \omega_j - 2\nabla_{\nabla u_{ij}} \omega_j + u_{ij} \cdot \mathcal{D}^2(\omega_j) \\ &= (\Delta_{g_\epsilon} u_{ij}) \omega_j - 2\nabla_{\nabla u_{ij}} \omega_j. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The term $\mathcal{D}^2(\omega_j)$ vanishes, because ω_j is closed and self-dual. When ω is a hyperkähler triple, $\nabla\omega = 0$ and hence $\Delta(u_{ij}\omega_j) = (\Delta u_{ij})\omega_j$. We expect that, when ω is sufficient close to being hyperkähler, the Hodge Laplacian on $\Omega^+(M)$ and the Laplacian on functions define equivalent operators.

3.1 Weighted analysis of functions

Next, we need to set up the correct Banach spaces on which the Laplacian is invertible with uniform bounded inverse. We will use weighted Hölder spaces for this and in this section we will determine the suitable weight functions. The first step will be to set up the analysis on the asymptotic region of $M_{B,n}$. This is already done in Salm (2024). To summarize these results, one considers strictly positive, smooth functions Ω and ρ on $M_{B,n}$ such that outside some large compact set

$$\Omega := \begin{cases} h_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2 \\ r^{-1}h_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \rho := \begin{cases} r & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2 \\ \log r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Here r is the Euclidean distance from the origin on \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R} when $B = \mathbb{R}^3$, $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ or $B = \mathbb{R} \times T^2$ respectively. Next, one defines the conformally rescaled norm $g_{cf} := \Omega^2 g$ and considers the standard Hölder norm $C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}$, that uses the norms, Levi-Civita connection and parallel transport induced by g_{cf} . According to Salm (2024) Theorem 1.4, there are uniform elliptic regularity estimates for the Laplacian when one uses the weighted Hölder norm

$$\|u\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} = \|e^{-\delta\rho}u\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}.$$


Even more, according to Theorem 1.6 in Salm (2024), for any $\phi \in C^\infty(M_{B,n})$ that equals ρ near infinity and vanishes on the interior compact set, the operator

$$\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g: \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \mathbb{C}_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$$

is an isomorphism if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\delta < 0$ and $|\delta| \ll 1$.

If one is only interested in the Fredholm properties of the Laplacian, it is sufficient to understand the weighted Hölder norms on the asymptotic region

of the manifold. In our case however, we also need a bound on the inverse of the Laplacian which, if not careful, can blow up as the collapsing parameter ϵ goes to zero. Therefore, we need to define g_{cf} , Ω , ρ and ϕ on the interior of $M_{B,n}$ explicitly. The correct choices for these functions are summarised in Definition 3.2. Before we state this definition, we give an heuristic argument for each choice for each part of the interior region.




First we consider the metric near the gluing region, i.e. the standard radial coordinate on Taub-NUT or Atiyah-Hitchin, r_{TN} or r_{AH} , is larger than a fixed constant $R_3 \gg 1$, and the radial coordinate on the model space, r_i or r_j , is less than a fixed constant $R_2 \ll 1$. Up to double cover and depending on the kind of singularity, the metric g approximates the model metrics

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{p_i} &:= h_\epsilon^{p_i} (dr_i^2 + r_i^2 g_{S^2}) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{h_\epsilon^{p_i}} (\eta^{p_i})^2, & h_\epsilon^{p_i} &= 1 + \epsilon \left(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2r_i} \right), \\
g^{q_j} &:= h_\epsilon^{q_j} (dr_j^2 + r_j^2 g_{S^2}) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{h_\epsilon^{q_j}} (\eta^{q_j})^2, & h_\epsilon^{q_j} &= 1 + \epsilon \left(\alpha_j - \frac{2}{r_j} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which are given in Definition 2.9 and 2.10. The elliptic regularity theory given in Salm (2024), can be applied to these model metrics. For this we need $\Omega := r_i^{-1} (h_\epsilon^{p_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ or $\Omega := r_j^{-1} (h_\epsilon^{q_j})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\rho := \log r_i$ or $\rho := \log r_j$ and $g_{cf} = g_{cf}^{p_i}$ or $g_{cf} = g_{cf}^{q_j}$ respectively. The function ϕ is only needed for the analysis on the asymptotic geometry and so we pick $\phi := 0$ on this region.

There is another reason why we measure this part with respect to the model metrics. Namely, there are two ways to view the complete manifold $M_{B,n}$. Normally we view $(M_{B,n}, g)$ as a fixed manifold where the circle fibres decay and very small regions are replaced by the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and Taub-NUT spaces. Alternatively, if we conformally rescale by $\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$, we can view it as fixed Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds and Taub-NUT spaces and the gluing is done ϵ^{-1} far away. For this second picture we use that g^{AH} is approximately the Taub-NUT metric $g^{TN'}$ with mass -4 . To use our asymptotic

analysis from before, we want to measure our function spaces with respect to $g_{cf}^{TN'} := \frac{1}{r_{AH}^2(1-2r_{AH}^{-1})}g^{TN'}$. Using the identification $r_i = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}r_{AH}$, one can prove $g_{cf}^{TN'} = g_{cf}^{q_j}$. Similarly, one can show $g_{cf}^{TN} = g_{cf}^{p_i}$.



Secondly, consider large, fixed, ϵ -invariant, compact regions inside the Taub-NUT spaces and the Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds. In these regions $g = \frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon\alpha_i}g^{TN}$ or $g = \frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}g^{AH}$ respectively. To make our estimates independent of ϵ , we conformally rescale back to g^{TN} and g^{AH} , and hence we want Ω to be $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{1+\epsilon\alpha_i}$ or $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}$ respectively. Because on compact sets all weighted norms are equivalent, we pick ρ to be constant and again we pick $\phi = 0$.

Given these choices of g_{cf} , we now interpolate these metrics. For this we keep two things in mind. First, we pick the boundary of each region on places for which we have explicit control of the metric. For example, we interpolate g_{AH} and $g_{cf}^{q_j}$ only in the asymptotic region of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold, because we have the approximation $g^{AH} = g^{TN'} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-r_{AH}})$ only at infinity. Secondly, we want the transition between the regions to happen on fixed compact sets, so that we can practically ignore them in our analysis. Therefore, we define g_{cf} as follows:

Definition 3.2. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow B'$ be the circle bundle covering the bulk space. Let $R_1 > 0$ be such that $P_\infty := \pi^{-1}(B' \setminus B_{R_1}(0))$ describes the asymptotic region of $M_{B,n}$. Pick $R_2, R_3 > 0$ such that $R_2 \ll 1$ and $R_3 \gg 1$. Consider $M_{B,n}$ as the disjoint union of the regions*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{r_{TN} < R_3\}, && \{r_{AH} < R_3\}, \\ &\{r_{TN} > R_3 \text{ and } r_i < R_2\}, && \{r_{AH} > R_3 \text{ and } r_j < R_2\}, \\ &\{r_i, r_j > R_2 \text{ and } r < R_1\}, \text{ and} && \{r > R_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where r_{TN} , r_{AH} , r_i , r_j and r are the radial parameters induced by g^{TN} , g^{AH} , g^{p_i} , g^{q_j} and g^{GH} respectively. On the interior of each region, define the metric

g_{cf} and the functions $\Omega, \rho, \phi \in C^\infty(M_{B,n})$ as shown in the following tables and interpolate the metric and functions on the overlap:

		<i>Near singularity p_i</i>		<i>Asymptotic region</i>	
		$r_{TN} = R_3$		$r_j = R_2$	
		0		$r \rightarrow \infty$	
		$4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$		$5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$	
g_{cf}	$\frac{g^{TN}}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon\alpha_i}}$	$g_{cf}^{p_i} = g_{cf}^{TN}$	$r_i^{-1}(h_\epsilon^{p_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	g^{GH}	g_{cf}^{GH}
Ω	$\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_i}$	$\log\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_i}R_3\right)$	$\log(r_i)$	1	$(Eq. 10)$
ρ	0	0	0	1	$(Eq. 10)$
ϕ	0	0	0	0	$\phi = \rho$
		<i>Near singularity q_j</i>		<i>Asymptotic region</i>	
		$r_{AH} = R_3$		$r_j = R_2$	
		0		$r \rightarrow \infty$	
		$4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$		$5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$	
g_{cf}	$\frac{g^{AH}}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}}$	$g_{cf}^{q_j} = g_{cf}^{TN'}$	$r_j^{-1}(h_\epsilon^{q_j})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	g^{GH}	g_{cf}^{GH}
Ω	$\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}$	$\log\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}R_3\right)$	$\log(r_j)$	1	$(Eq. 10)$
ρ	0	0	0	1	$(Eq. 10)$
ϕ	0	0	0	0	$\phi = \rho$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the weighted Hölder norms on $M_{B,n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} &= \|e^{-\delta\rho}u\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}, \\ \|u + \lambda\phi\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi} &= \|u\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} + |\lambda|. \end{aligned}$$

As a sanity check, one can consider $\Omega^{-2}\Delta g: C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$ and calculate its operator norm. Using the same argument as in Proposition 2.5 in Salm (2024), one can calculate the norm on each region and show

Proposition 3.3. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g$ is a linear map between $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$ and $C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$, bounded uniformly with respect to the collapsing parameter ϵ .*

We return to the inverse function theorem stated in Theorem 3.1. Our goal is to apply it to Equation 8. Instead, for $\zeta \in \Omega_{g_\epsilon}^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ let

$$F(\zeta) := \Omega^{-2}\Delta\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega) + 2\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(\text{d d}^*\zeta \wedge \text{d d}^*\zeta), \quad (11)$$

measuring the hyperkählerness of $\omega_i + 2\text{d d}^*\zeta_i$. We identify the constant, linear and non-linear parts as

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega) \\ L(\zeta) &= \Omega^{-2}\Delta\zeta \\ N(\zeta) &= 2\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(\text{d d}^*\zeta \wedge \text{d d}^*\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the Hodge Laplacian with respect to g_ϵ . Using the decomposition $\zeta_i = \sum_j u_j \omega_j$ and the Weitzenböck formula from Equation 9,

$$L(u_{ij}\omega_j) = (\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g u_{ij}) \omega_j - 2\Omega^{-2}\nabla_{\nabla u_{ij}}\omega_j, \quad (12)$$

According to Salm (2024), Theorem 1.6, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta < 0$, the operator

$$\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g: \begin{cases} C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) & \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \quad (13)$$

is an isomorphism if $|\delta|$ is sufficiently small. With this in mind we pick the domain of F to be $(C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \subseteq \Omega^+(M_{B,n}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ or $((C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ when $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$. That is, we use the above decomposition of $\zeta_i = u_{ij}\omega_j$ and assume that $u_{ij} \in C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$ or $C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi$ respectively. Similarly, for the codomain we pick $(C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$.

Having defined F as a smooth map between Banach spaces, we check the three conditions of Theorem 3.1. It turns out we only need to check these conditions for the C^0 -norm. Namely, the error functions we will get are exponential with respect to the radial parameter ρ that is given in Definition 3.2. Therefore, all higher regularity estimates will have the same growth and decay behaviour.

3.2 The constant part

Let us examine the constant term $F(0) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega)$ with respect to the norm $(C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. We restrict our attention to the gluing regions, because outside of these regions, our manifold is hyperkähler, which implies $\text{Tf}(\omega \wedge \omega) = 0$. To study $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \text{Tf}$ in local coordinates, let $\mu \in \Omega^4(M_{B,n})$ be a volume form and define $P: M_{B,n} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$ by $\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = P_{ij}\mu$. By unpacking the definitions of Tf and Λ , one can show that

$$\Lambda^{-1}\text{Tf}(P \otimes \mu) = \sum_{ij} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(P)P^{-1} \right)_{ij} \omega_j \otimes e_i$$

where e_i is the standard orthonormal basis on \mathbb{R}^3 . According to Theorem 2.17,

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = (\text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5}))_{ij} \otimes \text{Vol}^{g^{q_j}}$$

on the gluing regions. Setting $\mu = \text{Vol}^{g^{q_j}}$ and $P = 2\text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5})$, we conclude that $F(0) = \mathcal{O}(\Omega^{-2}\epsilon^{7/5})$ with respect to $g_{cf}^{q_j}$. By definition $\Omega^{-2} = r_i^2 h_\epsilon^{p_i}$ or $\Omega^{-2} = r_j^2 h_\epsilon^{p_j}$ depending on the type of singularity, but in both cases $\Omega^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(r_i^2) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4/5})$ on the gluing region.

We conclude that $F(0) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{11/5})$ on the gluing region. These errors are measured with respect to the unweighted norm g_{cf} . Using Definition 3.2 one can reintroduce the weights and conclude:

Proposition 3.4. *The constant term $F(0)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{\frac{11-2\delta}{5}}\right)$ with respect to $(C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$.*

3.3 The linearised equation

Next we study the linearized part of F , which is given in Equation 12. In order to apply the inverse function theorem, we need that

1. the operator in Equation 13 is invertible,
2. the operator in Equation 13 has a uniform bounded inverse, and
3. The error term $2\Omega^{-2}\nabla_{\nabla^g u_{ij}}^g \omega_j$ in Equation 9 is sufficiently small.

According to Salm (2024), Theorem 1.6, the first condition is satisfied if $\delta < 0$ and $|\delta|$ sufficiently small. The last condition can be checked explicitly using the Koszul formula. Indeed, using the estimates in Theorem 2.17 — i.e. $g = g^{p_i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{11/5})$, $\omega_i = \omega_i^{p_i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{11/5})$ and $\Omega^2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4/5})$ with respect to g_{cf} — and the fact that $\nabla^{g^{p_i}} \omega^{p_i} = 0$, one can write $\Omega^{-2} \nabla^g u_{ij}$ and $\nabla^g \omega_j$ in local coordinates, and get expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-2} \nabla^g u_{ij} &= \sum_{\mu\nu} (\Omega^2 g)_{\mu\nu}^{-1} \frac{\partial u_{ij}}{\partial x^\mu} \partial_\nu = \mathcal{O}(1) \\ 2\nabla_{\partial_\mu}^g \omega_j(\partial_\nu, \partial_\rho) &= 2 \frac{\partial \omega_j(\partial_\nu, \partial_\rho)}{\partial x_\mu} + 2\omega_j(\nabla_{\partial_\mu}^g \partial_\nu, \partial_\rho) + \dots \\ &= \frac{\partial \omega_j(\partial_\nu, \partial_\rho)}{\partial x_\mu} + \sum_{\sigma\tau} (g^{-1})_{\sigma\tau} \omega_j(\partial_\sigma, \partial_\rho) \frac{\partial g_{\mu\tau}}{\partial x^\nu} + \dots \\ &= 2\nabla_{\partial_\mu}^{g^{p_i}} \omega_j^{p_i}(\partial_\nu, \partial_\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5}) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7/5}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we only need to show that the linearized operator has a uniform bounded inverse, for which we will spend the rest of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\delta \in (-1, 0)$ (with $|\delta|$ sufficiently small if $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$), $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exist $\epsilon_0, C > 0$ such that for any collapsing parameter $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ and $u \in C_\delta^{k, \alpha}(M_{B, n})$ (or $u + \lambda\phi \in C_\delta^{k, \alpha}(M_{B, n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi$ when $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$),*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C_\delta^{k, \alpha}(M_{B, n})} &\leq C \|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g u\|_{C_\delta^{k-2, \alpha}} && \text{if } B = \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \|u\|_{C_\delta^{k, \alpha}(M_{B, n})} + |\lambda| &\leq C \|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g (u + \lambda\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2, \alpha}} && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem can be split into the following steps.

1. Assume that there is no uniform bounded inverse. There must be a sequence of functions u_i and a sequence $\epsilon_i > 0$, such that u_i has norm one, but Δu_i and ϵ_i converge to zero⁵.
2. Using regularity estimates, construct a sequence of points x_i at which the functions $|u_i|$ are uniformly bounded below, away from zero.

⁵When ϵ does not tend to zero, the operator $\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g$ is continuous in ϵ and hence, the existence of the uniform bounded inverse can be done by taking limits. We only need to consider the non-trivial case, when the collapsing parameter ϵ tends to zero.

3. Modify the functions u_i , such that their domain is on a fixed limiting space.
4. Use the Arzela–Ascoli theorem to find a subsequence that converges to a non-zero harmonic function u .
5. Argue that the limiting space has no non-zero harmonic functions, and reach a contradiction.

Depending on whether the x_i will concentrate near one of the singularities, we will pick different limiting spaces and apply different transformations to u_i . But for each case, we will follow the above steps.

Remark 3.6. The proof for the case $B = \mathbb{R}^3$ will be a simplified version of the proof for the case $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence the rest of this section we only consider the latter case.

Step 1.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that Theorem 3.5 is false. Then there exists sequences $u_i \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon_i \in (0, 1)$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} + |\lambda_i| &= 1, & \|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} &> c \\ \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(u_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} &\rightarrow 0, \text{ and} & \epsilon_i &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The conditions on the left follow directly from the negation of Theorem 3.5. We only need to show $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ is bounded below. Suppose not, and assume that $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ converges to zero. Because $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$, there must be a converging subsequence with limit λ . Because $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} + |\lambda_i| = 1$ and $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ converges to zero, the limit λ must be equal to ± 1 .

At the same time, $\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(u_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\Delta^g\phi = 0$. The function ϕ is not a harmonic function, which yields a contradiction. Hence, $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ is uniformly bounded away from zero. \square

Step 2. Next we study the property $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} > c$ in more detail. Notice


that for any Riemannian metric, its $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm can be written as

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sup_{x \in U} \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla^j u(x)\| + \sum_{\substack{y \in U \\ d(x,y) < \text{InjRad}(x)}} \frac{\|\nabla^k u(x) - \nabla^k u(y)\|}{d(x,y)^\alpha} \right]$$

which enables us to define a 'pointwise norm':

$$\|u_i\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\{x_i\})} := \sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla^j u(x_i)\| + \sum_{\substack{y \in U \\ d(x_i,y) < \text{InjRad}(x_i)}} \frac{\|\nabla^k u(x_i) - \nabla^k u(y)\|}{d(x_i,y)^\alpha}.$$

With this in mind, we define a weighted 'pointwise norm' with respect to g_{cf} . The condition $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} > c$ implies there is a sequence $x_i \in M_{B,n}$ such that $\|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(\{x_i\})} > \frac{c}{2} > 0$. The sequence of points x_i can behave in two different ways:



1. The sequence x_i **concentrates near a singularity**. That is, there is a subsequence of x_i such that the radial coordinate r_i or r_j at x_i converges to zero.
2. The sequence x_i **is bounded away from the singularities**. That is, there is a subsequence of x_i such that the radial coordinate at x_i is uniformly bounded below.

At least one of these cases must happen, and we study them separately.

Remark 3.8. Normally, one also considers a third case when x_i concentrate on the gluing region. We however view this as a special situation of the first case.

Remark 3.9. The case when x_i concentrate near a non-fixed point singularity p_i , is similar to the case when x_i concentrate near a fixed point singularity q_j . Therefore, we only explain the latter case.

Case 1: x_i concentrates near a singularity.

Step 3. We consider the case when x_i concentrates near a singularity. In this case $u_i|_{\{r_j \leq 2r_j(x_i)\}}$ is uniformly bounded away from zero in the $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}$ norm. At the same time, $\{r_j \leq 2r_j(x_i)\}$ can be viewed as a subset of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. Therefore, we use the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold as our limiting space.

To make our contradiction argument work, we need the norms, operators and weights on the limiting space to be invariant with respect to ϵ . We constructed g_{cf} such that this is true. We also chose Ω such that $\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g$ is ϵ -invariant. However, the radial parameter ρ does depend on ϵ . To solve this we define a new ϵ -invariant radial parameter $\rho_{AH} := \rho - \log\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}\right)$ and we equip the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold with the weighted norm

$$\|u\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} = \|e^{-\delta\rho_{AH}}u\|_{C_{g_{cf}}^{k,\alpha}(AH)}.$$

Luckily, the weighted operator L_δ is the same whether we use ρ or ρ_{AH} .

Next, we will restrict u_i such that it is fully supported on the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. For this we consider the family of smooth step functions χ_i on $M_{B,n}$ that are equal to 1 when $r_j \leq 2r_j(x_i)$ and equal to 0 when⁶ $r_j \geq R_2$. Then $u_i \cdot \chi_i$ are compactly supported functions on the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. Because $u_i \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$ is equivalent to $e^{-\delta\rho}u_i \in C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$, we get

$$e^{-\delta\rho}u_i = e^{-\delta\rho_{AH}}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}\right)^{-\delta}u_i \in C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}).$$

With this insight, we consider a new sequence of functions $\tilde{u}_i := \chi_i \cdot \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}\right)^{-\delta}u_i$ defined on the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. In the following lemma, we show that \tilde{u}_i has the same properties as u_i :

⁶ R_2 is defined in Definition 3.2.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that Theorem 3.5 is false and that x_i concentrate near a singularity q_j . Let AH be the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. Then the sequence $\tilde{u}_i := \chi_i \cdot \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}\right)^{-\delta} u_i \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{c}{2} < \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} \leq 1, \text{ and } \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(AH)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Firstly, we only modified u_i outside of the region where x_i concentrates and hence $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)}$ is bounded below by $\frac{c}{2}$.

Secondly, The step function χ_i is chosen such that $d\chi_i$ and its derivatives are of order $(\log(R_2) - \log(2r_j(x_i)))^{-1}$ with respect to g_{cf} and this converges to zero. Hence,

$$\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} \leq \|\chi_i\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \cdot \|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \leq 1.$$

Finally, to estimate $\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(AH)}$, notice that on the support of χ_i the function ϕ is identically zero and

$$\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\chi_i \cdot u_i) = \chi_i \cdot \Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(u_i) + u_i \cdot \Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\chi_i) - 2\Omega^{-2}\langle d\chi_i, du_i \rangle_g.$$

Using that g and g^{q_j} are equivalent norms and that $d\chi_i$ is decaying, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i)\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} &\leq \|\chi_i\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \cdot \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(u_i)\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \\ &\quad + \|u_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \cdot \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\chi_i)\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{-\log(r_j(x_i))}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3, $\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g\chi_i$ is uniformly bounded by $\|d\chi_i\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ and so $\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(AH)}$ converges to 0. \square

Step 4. Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence of \tilde{u}_i which converges to some $\tilde{u} \in C_\delta^0(K)$ for any compact set K . We restrict \tilde{u}_i to this subsequence. According to Theorem 1.5 in Salm (2024), there is a uniform constant $C > 0$ and a compact set $K \subset AH$ such that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} \leq C \left[\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(AH)} + \|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_\delta^0(K)} \right].$$

Therefore, \tilde{u}_i is a Cauchy sequence in $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)$ and its limit is $\tilde{u} \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)$.

Step 5. This limiting function \tilde{u} is harmonic, because $\Omega^{-2}\Delta$ is a continuous operator. By assumption, $\delta < 0$, and hence \tilde{u} must be decaying. By the maximum principle \tilde{u} must vanish everywhere. We conclude $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)}$ converges to zero, which contradicts the fact that $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(AH)} > c/2 > 0$. Therefore, the sequence x_i cannot concentrate near the singularities.

Case 2: x_i is bounded away from the singularities

Step 3. Next we consider the case in which x_i is bounded away from the singularities. Again we need to modify u_i , such that their domain is defined on a fixed limiting space. The points $\{x_i\}$ lie inside the circle bundle P , on which the Gibbons-Hawking metric is defined. The radius of the fibres of P are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, and hence we expect that, in the limit $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, P collapses to its base space B' . At the same time, the non-complete regions of B' shrink at rate $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/5})$, and hence we pick the flat space B as our limiting space.

Next we modify u_i such that they are well-defined on the limiting space. Because the points $\{x_i\}$ are bounded away from the singularities, there is a constant R_B such that $r_j(x_i) > R_B$. Therefore, consider the family of smooth step functions χ_i on $M_{B,n}$ that are equal to one when $r_i, r_j \geq R_B$ and equal to zero when $r_i, r_j \leq 5\epsilon^{2/5}$. We consider a new sequence of functions \tilde{u}_i , that is the S^1 -invariant of $u_i \cdot \chi_i$ on the circle bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow B'$, i.e.

$$\tilde{u}_i(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} u_i \chi_i \cdot \eta$$

where η is the connection on P . In the following lemma, we show that \tilde{u}_i has the same properties as u_i :

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose that Theorem 3.5 is false and x_i are bounded away from the singularities. Then, the sequence \tilde{u}_i satisfy*

$$c < \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(\{x_i\})} + |\lambda_i| \leq 2, \text{ and } \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P)} \rightarrow 0.$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Proof. Using the same arguments given in Lemma 3.10, one can show

$$\frac{c}{2} \leq \|u_i \chi_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(P)} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(u_i \chi_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(P)} \rightarrow 0.$$

To compare these results with those stated in the Lemma, recall that g_{cf} is constructed from S^1 -invariant metrics. Therefore, the operator that projects any function to its S^1 -invariant component, is a bounded operator on $C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P)$ and commutes with the Laplacian. This implies that $\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P)}$ must converge to zero and that $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(\{x_i\})} + |\lambda_i| \leq 2$.

For the last part, assume that both $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(\{x_i\})}$ and $|\lambda_i|$ converge to zero. According to the local Schauder estimate given⁷ by Proposition 2.10 in Salm (2024), there exists some constants $C, r > 0$, independent of x_i and ϵ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{2} \leq \|u_i\chi_i\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(B_r(x_i))} &\leq C \left[\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^{GH}(u_i\chi_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(B_{2r}(x_i))} \right. \\ &\quad + |\lambda_i| \cdot \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^{GH}\phi\|_{C_\delta^{k-2,\alpha}(B_{2r}(x_i))} + \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(B_{2r}(x_i))} \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_i\chi_i - \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(B_{2r}(x_i))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Except for $\|u_i\chi_i - \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(B_{2r}(x_i))}$, all terms on the right hand side converge to zero. So we conclude $\|u_i\chi_i - \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(B_{2r}(x_i))}$ is bounded below.

We can estimate $\tilde{u}_i^f := u_i\chi_i - \tilde{u}_i$ explicitly. Indeed, the function \tilde{u}_i^f has no S^1 -invariant part, and so for each $x \in B_{2r}(x_i)$ there exists a $t_x \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $\tilde{u}_i^f(e^{it_x} \cdot x) = 0$. Using that \tilde{u}_i is S^1 -invariant, the fundamental theorem of calculus implies

$$\tilde{u}_i^f(x) = - \int_{t=0}^{t=t_x} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}_i^f(e^{it} \cdot x) dt = - \int_{t=0}^{t=t_x} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i(e^{it} \cdot x) \cdot \chi_i(x) dt.$$

With respect to g_{cf} , the fibre at x_i has length of order ϵ and so

$$|\tilde{u}_i^f(x_i)| \leq \int_{t=0}^{t=2\pi} |du_i(\partial t)| dt = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \cdot \|du_i\|.$$

We conclude that $\|u_i\chi_i - \tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(B_{2r}(x_i))}$ converges to zero and is bounded below at the same time. Therefore, the assumption that both $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(P)}$ and $|\lambda_i|$ converge to zero is false. \square

⁷Proposition 2.10 in Salm (2024) only proves this estimate for the asymptotic region of P . However, its proof is based on the fact that up to some local universal cover, the asymptotic region of P has uniform bounded geometry with respect to g_{cf} . In our case this is true everywhere away from the singularities.

Step 4. Next we want to find a subsequence of $\tilde{u}_i + \lambda_i \phi$ which converges to some harmonic function on B . First we need to determine what the limiting metric will be. For this, notice that on the support of \tilde{u}_i the metric g_{cf} is an interpolation of metrics that can be decomposed into some uniform metric \tilde{g}_B on the base space and a part that is of order ϵ . For example, the metric

$$g_{cf}^{q_j} = r_j^{-2} dr_j + g_{S^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r_j h_\epsilon^2} \eta_j^2$$

can be written in the form $\tilde{g}_B + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ and the limiting metric is $\tilde{g}_B = r_j^{-2} dr_j + g_{S^2}$. We conclude that in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the metric g_{cf} degenerates to a metric on $B \setminus \cup\{p_i, q_j\}$. Therefore, for any compact sets $K \subset K' \subset B \setminus \cup\{p_i, q_j\}$, we have the Schauder estimate

$$\|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_{\tilde{g}_B}^{k,\alpha}(K)} \leq C \left[\|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j)\|_{C_{\tilde{g}_B}^{k-2,\alpha}(K')} + \|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_{\tilde{g}_B}^0(K')} \right].$$

This estimate does not change significantly, if we introduce $\lambda_i \phi$ on the right hand side. Therefore, according to Arzela-Ascoli there is a subsequence of \tilde{u}_i which converges in $C_{\tilde{g}_B}^{k,\alpha}(K)$. By exhausting the punctured base space by compact sets, applying Arzela-Ascoli on each of them, and taking the diagonal sequence, we conclude:

Lemma 3.12. *There exists a twice differentiable function \tilde{u} on $B \setminus \cup\{p_i, q_j\}$ and a $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$, such that for any compact set $K \subset B \setminus \cup\{p_i, q_j\}$,*

$$\tilde{u}_i \rightarrow \tilde{u} \in C_{\tilde{g}_B}^{2,\alpha}(K) \qquad \lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda$$

and

$$\Delta^B(\tilde{u} + \lambda \phi) = \Delta^g(\tilde{u} + \lambda \phi) = 0.$$

In the last part of the lemma, one has to notice that on the support of u the metric g is g^{GH} and $\Delta^{GH} = \frac{1}{h_\epsilon} \Delta^B$ for S^1 -invariant functions.

Before we can make any qualitative statement about $\tilde{u} + \lambda \phi$, we need to consider its behaviour near the boundary of $B \setminus \cup\{p_i, q_j\}$. According to Theorem 1.5 in Salm (2024), there is a uniform constant $C > 0$ and there

are large compact sets $K \subset K' \subset P$ covering the singularities such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_\delta^{2,\alpha}(P \setminus K')} \leq C & \left[\|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i + \lambda_i \phi)\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P \setminus K)} \right. \\ & + \|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g(\tilde{u}_j + \lambda_j \phi)\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P \setminus K)} \\ & + |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \cdot \|\Omega^{-2} \Delta^g \phi\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P \setminus K)} \\ & \left. + \|\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_j\|_{C_\delta^0(K' \setminus K)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because the right hand side converges to zero, \tilde{u}_i is a Cauchy sequence in $C_\delta^{2,\alpha}(P \setminus K')$, which implies its limits decays with order $e^{\delta\rho}$ near infinity.

Next we study the behaviour near the punctures, where g_{cf} is just the conformal metric g_{cf}^{TN} or $g_{cf}^{TN'}$. We show that \tilde{u}_b can be smoothly extended over the singularities, by applying the removable singularity theorem. For this we need to show that \tilde{u} has some slow polynomial divergence near each singularity.

Lemma 3.13. *On any compact neighbourhood K of p_i or q_j inside B and any $\tilde{\delta} < \delta$, $r_j^{-2\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{u} \in C^0(K)$.*

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that K is a compact neighbourhood of q_j . Let $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$ be arbitrary. There is some small open ball $B(q_j)$, such that on this ball $|r_j^{\delta-\tilde{\delta}}| < \tilde{\epsilon}$. Hence, for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_j^{-\tilde{\delta}}(\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_l)\|_{C^0(K)} & \leq \|r_j^{\delta-\tilde{\delta}}\|_{C^0(B(q_j))} \cdot (\|r_j^{-\delta} \tilde{u}_k\|_{C^0(B(q_j))} + \|r_j^{-\delta} \tilde{u}_l\|_{C^0(B(q_j))}) \\ & \quad + \|r_j^{\delta-\tilde{\delta}}\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))} \cdot \|r_j^{-\delta}(\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_l)\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))} \\ & \leq 2\tilde{\epsilon} + \|r_j^{\delta-\tilde{\delta}}\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))} \cdot \|r_j^{-\delta}(\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_l)\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the upper bound of $r_j^{-\delta} \tilde{u}_k$ from Lemma 3.11.

Next we apply Lemma 3.12 on the compact set $K \setminus B(q_j)$ and this implies there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all $k, l > N$,

$$\|r_j^{-\delta}(\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_l)\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))} < \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{\|r_j^{\delta-\tilde{\delta}}\|_{C^0(K \setminus B(q_j))}}.$$

We conclude $\|r_j^{-\delta}(\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_l)\|_{C^0(K)} \leq 3\tilde{\epsilon}$ and so $r_j^{-\delta} \tilde{u}_k$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0(K)$ with limit $r_j^{-\delta} \tilde{u}$. \square

We conclude that $\tilde{u} + \lambda\phi$ is an harmonic function on B and \tilde{u} decays with order $e^{\delta\rho}$ near infinity.

Step 5. With the asymptotic behaviour of \tilde{u} understood, we can show $\tilde{u} = \lambda\phi = 0$. The only harmonic functions on B that are of order $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ are the harmonic polynomials of degree 1. Using that $\tilde{u} + \lambda\phi$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant, we conclude $\tilde{u} + \lambda\phi$ is constant. Because the map ϕ is unbounded, λ must be equal to zero. Finally, the function \tilde{u} is decaying, and the only constant that is decaying is the constant zero function. Therefore, $\tilde{u} = \lambda\phi = 0$.

Finally, we prove Theorem 3.5. If this theorem is false, then the sequence x_i cannot have a converging subsequence in P , due to the lower bound in Lemma 3.11. When x_i diverges, Theorem 1.5 in Salm (2024) and Lemma 3.11 imply

$$c < \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^{2,\alpha}(\{x_i\})} \leq C \left[\|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g(\tilde{u}_i + \lambda_i\phi)\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P)} + |\lambda_i| \cdot \|\Omega^{-2}\Delta^g\phi\|_{C_\delta^{0,\alpha}(P)} + \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(K)} \right],$$

for some compact set K and constants $c, C > 0$. At the same time, the right hand side will converge to zero as $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_{C_\delta^0(K)}$ converges to $\|\tilde{u}\|_{C_\delta^0(K)} = 0$.

3.4 The non-linear part and existence

Finally, we study the non-linear part $N(\zeta) = 2\Omega^{-2}\text{Tf}((\text{d d}^*\zeta)^2)$ and prove the existence of the hyperkähler triple. We do this in multiple steps: First, we estimate $\text{d d}^*\zeta$ in terms of $C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(\Omega^2(M_{B,n}))$. Secondly, we work out $N(\zeta) - N(\xi)$ using the product rule for Hölder norms, which yields an explicit error. Finally, we calculate this error on each region separately.

Lemma 3.14. *Let ζ in de domain of F . There exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of ζ and ϵ , such that*

$$\|\text{d d}^*\zeta\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(\Omega^2(M_{B,n}))} \leq C \cdot \|\zeta\|.$$

Proof. Expand ζ into $\zeta_i = \sum_j u_{ij} \cdot \omega_j$. In any local coordinates $\{x_i\}$, $\text{d d}^*\zeta_i$ can be written as

$$\text{d d}^*\zeta_i = - \sum_j \text{d} \iota_{\nabla^g u_{ij}} \omega_j. = - \sum_{jkl} \text{d} \left[g_{kl}^{-1} \frac{\partial u_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \omega_j(\partial_k, \dots) \right]$$

According to Theorem 2.17, ω is of order $\mathcal{O}(\Omega^{-2})$, while g^{-1} is of order $\mathcal{O}(\Omega^2)$, making $d d^* \zeta_i = \mathcal{O}(1)$. \square

For any $f, g \in C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$, the product rule of Hölder norms implies $f \cdot g \in C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})$ and $\|f \cdot g\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})} \cdot \|g\|_{C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n})}$ for some uniform constant C . This implies that the wedge product can be viewed as the bounded linear map

$$\wedge: C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(\Omega^2(M_{B,n})) \times C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(\Omega^2(M_{B,n})) \rightarrow C_{cf}^{k,\alpha}(\Omega^4(M_{B,n})).$$

With this version of the Hölder product rule, we can prove the non-linear condition for the inverse function theorem.

Proposition 3.15. *Let $N(\zeta) = 2\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(d d^* \zeta \wedge d d^* \zeta)$. There exists a $q > 0$ of order $\mathcal{O}(e^{\delta-2})$ such that for any $\zeta, \xi \in (C_{\delta}^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ (or $(C_{\delta}^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ when $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$),*

$$\|N(\zeta) - N(\xi)\|_{(C_{\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3} \leq q \cdot \|\zeta + \xi\| \cdot \|\zeta - \xi\|,$$

where $\|\zeta \pm \xi\|$ is measured with the $(C_{\delta}^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ or $(C_{\delta}^{k+2,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ norm respectively.

Proof. Using the ‘identity’ $a^2 - b^2 = (a + b)(a - b)$, the expression of for $N(\zeta) - N(\xi)$ can be rewritten as

$$N(\zeta) - N(\xi) = 2\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(d d^*(\zeta + \xi) \wedge d d^*(\zeta - \xi)).$$

Using Lemma 3.14 and the product rule, $N(\zeta) - N(\xi)$ can be estimated by

$$N(\zeta) - N(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(2e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1} \text{Tf}(\text{Vol}^{g_{cf}})) \cdot \|\zeta + \xi\| \cdot \|\zeta - \xi\|.$$

Recall that the map Tf projects the space of 3 by 3 matrices to its symmetric traceless subspace. This projection is uniformly bounded, and hence

$$N(\zeta) - N(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(2e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^{-2}\Lambda^{-1}(\text{Vol}^{g_{cf}})) \cdot \|\zeta + \xi\| \cdot \|\zeta - \xi\|.$$

Using Theorem 2.17 and that g is hyperkähler outside the gluing region, we estimate the inverse of Λ , which yields

$$N(\zeta) - N(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^2) \cdot \omega \cdot \|\zeta + \xi\| \cdot \|\zeta - \xi\|.$$

We conclude that q must be of order $\mathcal{O}(e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^2)$. We calculate $\mathcal{O}(e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^2)$ explicitly for each region of $M_{B,n}$, which are given in Definition 3.2. We summarise the estimates in the following table:

	Near singularity q_j		Asymptotic region	
	$r_{AH} = R_3$		$r_j = R_2$	
	0		$r \rightarrow \infty$	
	$4\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$		$5\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}}$	
Ω	$\frac{\sqrt{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}}{\epsilon}$	$r_j^{-1}(h_\epsilon^{q_j})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1	(Eq. 10)
ρ	$\log\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\alpha_j}R_3\right)$	$\log(r_j)$	1	(Eq. 10)
$\mathcal{O}(e^{\delta\rho}\Omega^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\delta-2})$	$\mathcal{O}(r_j^{\delta-2}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\delta-2})$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$

The parameter q attains its largest value inside the bubbles, and hence $q = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\delta-2})$. \square

Recall that our goal is to find a zero for Equation 11 using the inverse function theorem given in Theorem 3.1. According to Proposition 3.4, the constant part $F(0)$ of this equation is of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\frac{11-2\delta}{5}})$. In Section 3.3 we have shown that the linearised operator is invertible with uniform bounded inverse. Proposition 3.15 implies that the non-linear part satisfies

$$\|N(\zeta) - N(\xi)\|_{(C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3} \leq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\delta-2}) \cdot \|\zeta + \xi\| \cdot \|\zeta - \xi\|.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 can be applied if $\epsilon^{\frac{11-2\delta}{5}} \leq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2-\delta})$. This is indeed true for sufficiently small ϵ , and hence:

Proposition 3.16. *For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a triple of $\zeta_i \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega$ (or $\zeta \in (C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi) \cdot \omega$ when $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$), such that*

$$\omega_i + 2 \, \text{d} \, \text{d}^* \, \zeta_i$$

is an orthonormal triple of closed 2-forms, and the norm of ζ_i is of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\frac{11-2\delta}{5}})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given the data in the theorem, we constructed in Chapter 2 a 4-manifold $M_{B,n}$ and a 1-parameter family of closed definite triples ω that are approximately hyperkähler. By theorem 3.16, there exists a triple of $\zeta_i \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \cdot \omega$ (or $\zeta \in (C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M_{B,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\phi) \cdot \omega$ when $B \neq \mathbb{R}^3$), such that $\omega_i + 2 \, \text{d} \, \text{d}^* \, \zeta_i$ is an orthonormal triple of closed 2-forms. Using an elliptic bootstrap argument, one can show that $\omega_i + 2 \, \text{d} \, \text{d}^* \, \zeta_i$ is smooth and

thus induces a hyperkähler metric on $M_{B,n}$. Moreover, our genuine gravitational instanton differs from our approximate solution with an error of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\frac{11-2\delta}{5}})$. Hence, for sufficiently small ϵ , properties 1 to 3 of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. \square

4 Global properties $M_{B,n}$

Before we finish this paper, we study the topology of our constructed hyperkähler manifold and we compare the number of parameters in our construction to the dimension of the respective moduli spaces.




Figure 1: *The multi-Taub-NUT space retracts to a wedge sum of 2-spheres.*

In order to understand the topology of $M_{B,n}$ and its intersection form, we first revisit the topology of the multi-Taub-NUT space and the work by Sen (1997). Namely, consider the multi-Taub-NUT space that has the ordered set of points $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ as its singularities. On \mathbb{R}^3 one can find a path that goes through each point p_i once. The Taub-NUT space retracts to the total space over this path, and using the explicit nature of the metric, one can show that the total space over this path is a chain of wedge sums of $n - 1$ copies of S^2 . According to Sen (1997), the intersection matrix for these spheres is the negative Cartan matrix of a A_{n-1} -type Dynkin diagram.

Similarly, Sen (1997) argued that the intersection matrix for $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$ is the negative Cartan matrix for a D_n Dynkin diagram. In order to extend his argument to the other gravitational instantons, we first need to understand the homology groups of $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$:




Figure 2: The underlying manifold $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n}$ can be seen as the union of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and the Multi-Taub-NUT space.

Decompose the underlying base-space \mathbb{R}^3 into two regions as shown in Figure 2, and apply the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Namely, let $X := (-\delta, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be a thin plate inside B that does not contain any of the non-fixed singularities $\pm p_i$. Using rotation, X can always be found. The complement of the base space B' and the plate X has two connected components, which can be identified using the antipodal map. Denote one of these connected components as Y . The antipodal map sends X onto itself and therefore the bulk space P/\mathbb{Z}_2 can be written as

$$P/\mathbb{Z}_2 = (P|_X)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cup P|_Y.$$

From the gluing construction we identify $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n}$ with $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2} \cup \widetilde{P|_Y}$, where $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the connected sum of $P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2$ with the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and $\widetilde{P|_Y}$ is the connected sum of $P|_Y$ with n copies of Taub-NUT. The space $P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2$ retracts to its boundary at the origin, which, after the connected sum construction, will be identified with the asymptotic region of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. Because the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold retracts to $\mathbb{R}P^2$, $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ must also retract to $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

Like for the multi-Taub-NUT space, $\widetilde{P|_Y}$ is homotopic to the wedge sum of $n - 1$ copies of S^2 . In order to apply the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we need to calculate $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2} \cap \widetilde{P|_Y}$. Because the two connected components $\{\pm\delta\} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ of the boundary of X are identified by the antipodal map, $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2} \cap \widetilde{P|_Y}$ is diffeomorphic to a circle bundle over \mathbb{R}^2 . Therefore, $\check{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n})$ is given by the following exact sequence:

$$\dots \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}) \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(\mathbb{R}P^2) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^2) \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^1) \longleftarrow \dots$$

The only non-trivial step in this sequence, is the map $\partial: \tilde{H}_1(S^1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(\mathbb{R}P^2)$. This map is the embedding of a fibre over a point into the $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$. As explained in Schroers & Singer (2021), this fibre is homotopic to the generator of H_1 inside the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and so $\partial(1) = [1]$. With this in mind, one can show that the homology groups of $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$ are

$$H_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^n & \text{if } k = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$




Figure 3: *Depiction of the 2-cycles with self-intersection -2 inside $\widetilde{P|_X} \cup \widetilde{P|_Y} \cup \mathbb{Z}_2 \cdot \widetilde{P|_Y}$. The grey planes depict the boundary between these regions. The dark-blue and green spheres form a basis of $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,5})$ such that its intersection matrix is the negative Cartan matrix of D_5 . The light-blue spheres are the \mathbb{Z}_2 images of the other spheres.*

In order to show that the intersection matrix can be given as the negative Cartan matrix for a D_n Dynkin diagram, Sen (1997) started with the generators of $H_2(\widetilde{P|_Y})$ such that the intersection matrix is given by the negative Cartan matrix of A_{n-1} . In Figure 3 these are depicted by dark-blue spheres. Inside $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n})$, there is one extra generator and is given by a 2-cycle that intersects the boundary between $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\widetilde{P|_Y}$ by two generators of $H_1(S^1)$. According to Sen (1997), this extra generator can be represented by a 2-sphere with self-intersection -2 and this sphere can be similarly constructed as one of the spheres inside the multi-Taub-NUT. In Figure 3 this extra representative is coloured green. Taking account of the orientation, Sen (1997) showed that for this basis of two-spheres the intersection matrix

is the negative Cartan matrix of type D_n .

The argument given by Sen (1997) only works for $n \geq 4$. In Table 1 we calculate the intersection matrices for the other values of n by hand. For the cases $2 \leq n \leq 4$, the intersection matrix for $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n}$ still corresponds to a D_n -Dynkin diagram. The case $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 1}$ does not fit into this framework. To find its self-intersection one notices that the generator for $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 1}$ is equivalent to the sum of the generators in $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 2}$ in Table 1. Because the intersection matrix for $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 2}$ is diagonal, the self-intersections add up.






n	2-cycles	Intersection matrix	Diagram	Type
4		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & -2 & \\ & 1 & & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		D_4
3		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		A_3
2		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		$A_1 + A_1$
1		(-4)	N/A	N/A.

Table 1: *Intersection matrices for $M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n}$ with $n \leq 4$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Again let $X := (\mathbb{R}^2 \times (-\delta, \delta)) \cap B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ be a thin plate that does not contain any of the non-fixed singularities $\pm p_i$, but only contains one of the fixed-point singularities q_j . Again denote Y as the complement of X inside B' . Different to the $B = \mathbb{R}^3$ case, both X and Y are \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant under the antipodal map, and hence the bulk space P/\mathbb{Z}_2 can be written as

$$P/\mathbb{Z}_2 = P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cup P|_Y/\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Like before, we consider $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\widetilde{P|_Y/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ as the completions of $P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $P|_Y/\mathbb{Z}_2$. From the construction of $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$, we identify the topology of $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\widetilde{P|_Y/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ with the topology of $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,0}$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$ respectively. The intersection $\widetilde{P|_X/\mathbb{Z}_2} \cap \widetilde{P|_Y/\mathbb{Z}_2}$ must be an S^1 -bundle over a plane, which retracts to a circle. Therefore, $\tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n})$ is given by the following exact sequence:

$$\dots \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}) \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 0}) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n}) \longleftarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^1) \longleftarrow \dots$$

The only non-trivial step in this sequence, is the map $\partial: \tilde{H}_1(S^1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 0}) \oplus$

$\tilde{H}_1(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n})$. This map again sends of a fibre over a point into the generator of H_1 inside the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. We conclude that

$$\partial(1) = \begin{cases} ([1], [1]) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ [1] & \text{if } n \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and with this one can calculate the homology groups of $M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}$ explicitly.

Using Sen's method, one can construct the generators of $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n})$ and calculate their intersection matrix. In table 2 these generators are explicitly given. \square








n	2-cycles	Intersection matrix	Diagram	Type
4		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & -2 & & \\ 1 & & & -2 & \\ 1 & & & & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		\tilde{D}_4
3		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		\tilde{A}_3
2		$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		$A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$
1		$\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 4 \\ 4 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$	N/A	N/A.

Table 2: *Intersection matrices for $M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}$. The dark-blue spheres are the generators of $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^n, n})$ given in Table 1. The green spheres is the extra 2-cycle that are induced by the kernel of $\partial: H_1(S^1) \rightarrow H_1(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, 0}) \oplus H_1(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n})$. The light-blue spheres are the images of the dark-blue and green spheres under the antipodal map and the action of the lattice on \mathbb{R}^3 . The gray planes depict the boundary of the fundamental domain of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ inside its universal cover.*

Proof of Proposition 1.3. The argument is identical to the argument given in Proposition 1.2, except for the fact that we view $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ as the union of $M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, 0}$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n}$ along an S^1 -bundle over $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. \square

4.1 The moduli space

We compare $M_{B, n}$ with the known classifications of gravitational instantons. All gravitational instantons are classified by Sun & Zhang (2021). They classified all gravitational instantons into six classes: ALE, ALF, ALG, ALG*,

ALH and ALH*. In Section 6.4 of their paper, they gave an explicit model at infinity for each class and they described the asymptotic metric using the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz. Comparing these with the metric g^{GH} and using the estimates found in Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $M_{B,n}$ is of the following type:

Space	Class	Definition in Sun & Zhang (2021)	Remarks
$M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n}$	ALF- D_n	Definition 6.8(2)	
$M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1,n}$	ALG*- I_{4-n}^*	Definition 6.12(2)	$0 \leq n < 4$
$M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1,4}$	ALG $_{\frac{1}{2}}$	Definition 6.11	
$M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2,n}$	ALH*- I_{8-n}	Definition 6.16(1)	$0 \leq n < 8$
$M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2,8}$	ALH	Definition 6.15	

Table 3: *Classification of $M_{B,n}$ using the descriptions given in Sun & Zhang (2021).*

Remark 4.1. The suffix D_n in ALF- D_n is not arbitrary: According to Chen & Chen (2019) Remark 6.3, gravitational instantons of type ALF- D_n have an intersection matrix is related to the D_n Dynkin diagram. This is exactly what Sen (1997) found.

Remark 4.2. To understand the suffix for ALG* and ALH* manifolds, we refer to the work of Chen & Viaclovsky (2021) and Collins et al. (2020). Namely, they showed that the these gravitational instantons can be compactified by added a singular Kodaira fibre of type I_k^* or I_k respectively.

Remark 4.3. Near infinity, ALG gravitational instantons approximate the metric of a flat torus bundle over a 2-dimensional cone. The suffix in the ALG case is, up to a factor of 2π , the cone angle. For example, the cone angle for an ALG $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ manifold is $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi$.

Chen & Chen (2021) found a Torelli theorem for ALF-type gravitational instantons: Up to triholomorphic isometries all ALF-type gravitational instantons can be uniquely classified by their model at infinity and their periods. For ALF spaces the model at infinity is fully determined by the degree of the circle bundle at infinity and the length of its fibre at infinity. These parameters correspond to the number of non-fixed singularities p_i and ϵ respectively. To calculate the period one has to integrate the hyperkähler triple over a basis of $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^3,n})$ where each element has self-intersection -2 . There are 3

Kähler triples and the dimension of $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^3, n})$ is n . Hence, the moduli space of ALF metrics with a fixed model space is $3n$. This number corresponds with the n possible positions of the nuts in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In Chen & Viaclovsky (2021), there is a Torelli Theorem for ALG* gravitational instantons. Here, the model at infinity is determined by the lattice and a global scale. Up to rotation, a one-dimensional lattice is only determined by the length of its generator and so the model at infinity is determined by two parameters.

In their paper they argue that the period map over count the moduli space. This is because $H_2(M_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1, n})$ has a 2-cycle that is represented by a torus at infinity. This 2-cycle can only reveal information of the model space, which is fixed. Therefore, the dimension of the moduli space of ALG* gravitational instantons with fixed model at infinity is $3(\beta_2 - 1)$. Using Proposition 1.2 we see that the dimension is $3n$. Again this corresponds to the n possible positions of the non-fixed singularities in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

Chen & Viaclovsky (2021) also found a Torelli theorem for ALG-type gravitational instantons. For this case, the model metric is determined by the length of the circle in the base space, the size of the circle fibre and the choice of connection. The space of connections is determined by $H^1(B, \mathbb{R})/H^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$, which is 1-dimensional for $B = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$. Hence, the model metric is determined by three parameters. With the model metric fixed, Chen & Viaclovsky (2021) argued that the dimension of the moduli space is $3(\beta_2 - 1) = 12$. Again we expect this, because we have 12 degrees of freedom in choosing the location of the nuts.

According to Hein et al. (2021) any ALH* gravitational instanton arises from the generalized Tian-Yau construction on the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of some weak del Pezzo surface. Given the degree of the anticanonical divisor, one can relate the Tian-Yau construction with $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$. Indeed, according to Collins et al. (2021), the complement of a del Pezzo surface of a smooth anticanonical divisor D with $D^2 = d$ can be compactified to a rational elliptic surface by adding an I_d fibre after performing a hyperkähler rotation. Using the classification described in Table 3, we conclude that $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ can only be compactified into a weak del Pezzo surface with anticanonical divisor of degree $8 - n$ for $0 \leq n < 8$.

Up to diffeomorphism there are 10 different weak del Pezzo surfaces: $\mathbb{C}P^2$, the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at up to 8 points and $S^2 \times S^2$. The degree of the anticanonical divisor is $9 - k$ for $\text{Bl}_k \mathbb{C}P^2$ and 8 for $S^2 \times S^2$. As the monodromy never allows us to glue in an I_9 fibre we conclude

Proposition 4.4. *For $0 \leq n \leq 8$, the space $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ is not diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of $\mathbb{C}P^2$*

For the $1 \leq k \leq 7$, there is a unique del Pezzo surface with anticanonical divisor of degree k . From this we immediately conclude

Proposition 4.5. *For $1 \leq n < 8$, the space $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, n}$ is diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of the blowup of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $8 - n$ points.*

Up to diffeomorphism, there are two del Pezzo surfaces of degree 8, namely $S^2 \times S^2$ and $\text{Bl}_1 \mathbb{C}P^2$. We claim that $\text{Bl}_1 \mathbb{C}P^2$ cannot be used to construct $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}$.

Proposition 4.6. *The space $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}$ is not diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor of the blowup of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at one point.*

Proof. Assume the opposite. Then $M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}$ can be compactified to the blowup of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ by gluing the disk bundle D at infinity. The boundary ∂D is an S^1 -bundle over T^2 of degree 8. These identifications yields the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(\partial D) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(D) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(\text{Bl}_1 \mathbb{C}P^2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let $\partial: H_2(\text{Bl}_1 \mathbb{C}P^2) \rightarrow H_1(\partial D)$ be the boundary map. Our goal is to reach a contradiction by showing that

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \partial \rightarrow H_2(\text{Bl}_1 \mathbb{C}P^2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{im } \partial \rightarrow 0$$

cannot be exact.

First we study $\text{im } \partial = \ker \iota_1: H_1(\partial D) \rightarrow H_1(M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0})$. From the Gysin sequence it follows that the free part of $H_1(\partial D)$ is generated by the homology of the base space of D . Therefore the map α is of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (x, 0) \mapsto (x, \dots).$$

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence also implies ι_1 is surjective and so $\iota_1(0, 1) = (0, 1)$. This concludes $\text{im } \partial = \ker \iota_1$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Secondly, we study $\ker \partial = \text{im } \iota_2: H_2(D) \oplus H_2(M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}) \rightarrow H_2(Bl_1 \mathbb{C}P^2)$. Using Proposition 1.3 and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, one can show that $\text{im } \iota_2$ is generated by two 2-cycles: The first generator is the smooth anti-canonical divisor K^{-1} of $Bl_1 \mathbb{C}P^2$ to which D retracts. The second generator has to be some $C \in \iota_2(H_2(M_{\mathbb{R} \times T^2, 0}))$ that does not intersect K^{-1} .

Using that $H_2(Bl_1 \mathbb{C}P^2)$ is generated by K^{-1} and the generator $H \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$, the short exact sequence induced by the boundary map ∂ simplifies to

$$0 \rightarrow \langle K^{-1}, C \rangle \rightarrow \langle K^{-1}, H \rangle \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies $C = 4H + c \cdot K^{-1}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, recall that on $Bl_1 \mathbb{C}P^2$, H as self-intersection 1 and $H \cdot K^{-1} = 3$. Because K^{-1} and C do not intersect, the constant c has to satisfy

$$K^{-1} \cdot C = 12 + 8c = 0.$$

This implies $c = -\frac{3}{2}$, which is not an integer. □

References

- Atiyah, M., & Hitchin, N. (1988). *The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Atiyah, M. F., Manton, N. S., & Schroers, B. J. (2012). Geometric models of matter. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 468(2141), 1252–1279.
- Bartnik, R. (1986). The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(5), 661–693.
- Charbonneau, B., & Hurtubise, J. (2011). Singular Hermitian-Einstein monopoles on the product of a circle and a Riemann surface. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*(1), 175–216.

- Chen, G., & Chen, X. (2019). Gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay (II). *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 756, 259–284.
- Chen, G., & Chen, X. (2021). Gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay. I. *Acta Math.*, 227(2), 263–307.
- Chen, G., & Viaclovsky, J. (2021). Gravitational instantons with quadratic volume growth. *arXiv:2110.06498*.
- Cherkis, S. A., & Hitchin, N. J. (2005). Gravitational instantons of type D_k . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 260(2), 299–317.
- Cherkis, S. A., & Kapustin, A. (1999). Singular monopoles and gravitational instantons. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 203(3), 713–728.
- Chern, S. S. (1977). Circle bundles. In *Geometry and topology (Proc. III Latin Amer. School of Math., Inst. Mat. Pura Aplicada CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, 1976)* (pp. 114–131). Springer, Berlin.
- Collins, T. C., Jacob, A., & Lin, Y.-S. (2020). The syz mirror symmetry conjecture for del pezzo surfaces and rational elliptic surfaces. *arXiv:2012.05416*.
- Collins, T. C., Jacob, A., & Lin, Y.-S. (2021). Special Lagrangian submanifolds of log Calabi-Yau manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 170(7), 1291–1375.
- Donaldson, S. K. (2006). Two-forms on four-manifolds and elliptic equations. In *Inspired by S. S. Chern* (Vol. 11, pp. 153–172). World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ.
- Eguchi, T., & Hanson, A. J. (1978). Asymptotically Flat Selfdual Solutions to Euclidean Gravity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 74, 249–251.
- Foscolo, L. (2019). ALF gravitational instantons and collapsing Ricci-flat metrics on the $K3$ surface. *J. Differential Geom.*, 112(1), 79–120.
- Gibbons, G. W., & Hawking, S. W. (1978). Gravitational Multi - Instantons. *Phys. Lett.*, 78B, 430.
- Gross, M., & Wilson, P. M. H. (2000). Large complex structure limits of $K3$ surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 55(3), 475–546.

- Hein, H.-J. (2010). *On gravitational instantons*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI. (Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University)
- Hein, H.-J., Sun, S., Viaclovsky, J., & Zhang, R. (2021). Gravitational instantons and del pezzo surfaces. *arXiv:2111.09287*.
- Ivanov, I. T., & Roček, M. (1996). Supersymmetric σ -models, twistors, and the Atiyah-Hitchin metric. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, *182*(2), 291–302.
- Kronheimer, P. B. (1989). The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients. *J. Differential Geom.*, *29*(3), 665–683.
- Lindström, U., & Roček, M. (1988). New hyper-Kähler metrics and new supermultiplets. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, *115*(1), 21–29.
- Roe, J. (1998). *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods* (Second ed., Vol. 395). Longman, Harlow.
- Salm, W. A. (2024). Elliptic analysis on collapsing gravitational instantons modelled using the gibbons-hawking ansatz. *arXiv:2406.15008*.
- Schroers, B. J., & Singer, M. A. (2021). D_k gravitational instantons as superpositions of Atiyah-Hitchin and Taub-NUT geometries. *Q. J. Math.*, *72*(1-2), 277–337.
- Sen, A. (1997). A note on enhanced gauge symmetries in M- and string theory. *J. High Energy Phys.*(9), Paper 1, 10.
- Sun, S., & Zhang, R. (2021, 8). Collapsing geometry of hyperkähler 4-manifolds and applications. *arXiv:2108.12991*.
- Yau, S. T. (1978). On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, *31*(3), 339–411.