

SHARP FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV AND RELATED INEQUALITIES ON H-TYPE GROUPS

YAOJUN WANG AND QIAOHUA YANG

ABSTRACT. We determine the sharp constants for the fractional Sobolev inequalities associated with the conformally invariant fractional powers $\mathcal{L}_s (0 < s < 1)$ of the sublaplacian on H-type groups. From these inequalities we derive a sharp log-Sobolev inequality by considering a limiting case and a sharp Sobolev trace inequality. The later extends to this context the result of Frank, González, Monticelli and Tan (Adv. Math, 2015).

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Sobolev inequalities and their sharp constants have a wide range of applications in analysis and geometry because they contain geometric and probabilistic information. By using symmetrization arguments, Lieb [34] (see also [10, 35, 19]) showed the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality

$$\left| \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)\overline{g(y)}}{|x-y|^\lambda} dx dy \right| \leq \pi^{\lambda/2} \frac{\Gamma((n-\lambda)/2)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda/2)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/2)} \right)^{1-\lambda/n} \|f\|_p \|g\|_p, \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \lambda < n$ and $p = \frac{2n}{2n-\lambda}$. The extremal functions in (1.1) are of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-(2n-\lambda)/2},$$

up to the action of the conformal group of \mathbb{R}^n . By a duality argument (see e.g. [4, 6, 35]), (1.1) is equivalent to the sharp fractional Sobolev inequalities

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|^2 \geq 2^{2s} \pi^s \frac{\Gamma((n+2s)/2)}{\Gamma((n-2s)/2)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(n)} \right)^{2s/n} \|u\|_q^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where $0 < s < n/2$ and $q = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$. Obviously, for $s = 1$, (1.2) is the classical Sobolev inequality.

There is an analogous Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n . Recall that \mathbb{H}^n can be parameterized by $(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \circ)$ with the group law

$$(z, t) \circ (z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2\text{Im}z \cdot z'),$$

where $z \cdot z' = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{z}'_j$. The homogeneous norm on \mathbb{H}^n is given by

$$|(z, t)| = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46E35; 35R03; 22E25.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev inequality; conformally invariant fractional powers; H-type group; best constant.

The second author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11201346).

The associated homogeneous dimension is $Q = 2n + 2$. In a celebrated paper [18], Frank and Lieb determined the sharp constants and extremal functions of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{H}^n . We state the result as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Frank-Lieb). *Let $0 < \lambda < Q$ and $p = \frac{2Q}{2Q-\lambda}$. Then for any $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$,*

$$\left| \int \int_{\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n} \frac{f(\xi) \overline{g(\eta)}}{|\xi^{-1} \circ \eta|^\lambda} d\xi d\eta \right| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^{n+1}}{2^{n-1} n!} \right)^{\lambda/Q} \frac{n! \Gamma(\frac{Q-\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{Q-2\lambda}{4})} \|f\|_p \|g\|_p, \quad (1.3)$$

with equality if and only if, up to group translations and dilations,

$$f = c((1 + |z|^2)^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4}}, \quad g = c'((1 + |z|^2)^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4}}$$

for some $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$.

By differentiating (1.3) at the endpoints $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = Q$, respectively, they obtained a sharp logarithmic Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see also [9]) and a sharp logarithmic Sobolev inequality. In particular, choosing $\lambda = Q - 2$ in Theorem 1.1 yields the Jerison-Lee inequality (see [28, 29, 30]).

We remark that the Frank-Lieb argument consists of two major steps. One is to show the existence of extremal functions; the other is to evaluate the optimizer via the second variation inequality with test functions provided by the moment zero condition. Recently, Hang and Wang [26] presented a shorter proof of the Frank-Lieb inequality, in which they bypassed the subtle proof of existence via a subcritical approximation.

In the same paper, Frank and Lieb [18] conjectured that their method could be applied to groups of Heisenberg type (in short, H-type groups) introduced by Kaplan [31] (see [24] for the conjecture of the Jerison-Lee inequality on H-type groups). In fact, partial results of Theorem 1.1 have been generalized to the cases of quaternionic Heisenberg groups (see [27, 11]) and octonionic Heisenberg group (see [12]) via Frank-Lieb approach. We note these groups (i.e. Heisenberg groups, quaternionic Heisenberg groups and octonionic Heisenberg group) are known as the nilpotent component in the Iwasawa decomposition of simple groups of rank one (in short, groups of Iwasawa type). They are the subclasses of H-type groups. In fact, most of H-type groups are not groups of Iwasawa type (see [14]).

Very recently, the second author [40] determined the sharp constant of the L^2 Folland-Stein inequality on any H-type group, which extended the Jerison-Lee inequality to this context. The proof relied on combining these two methods, the Frank-Lieb approach and the subcritical approximation method given by Hang and Wang [26].

The aim of this paper is to look for the sharp constants of fractional Sobolev inequalities associated with the conformally invariant fractional powers \mathcal{L}_s ($0 < s < 1$) of the sublaplacian on H-type groups. In addition to the two methods above, the proof relies on the ground state representation of \mathcal{L}_s , which has been studied by Roncal and Thangavelu (see [36, 37]). For more information about \mathcal{L}_s , we refer to Branson, Fontana, and Morpurgo [9] and Garofalo and Tralli [21, 22, 23].

To state our main result, we introduce some notations. Recall that an H-type group G is a Carnot group of step two with the following properties (see Kaplan [31]): the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G is endowed with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that, if

\mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{g} , then $[\mathfrak{z}^\perp, \mathfrak{z}^\perp] = \mathfrak{z}$. Moreover, for every fixed $z \in \mathfrak{z}$, the map $J_z : \mathfrak{z}^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^\perp$ defined by

$$\langle J_z(v), \omega \rangle = \langle z, [v, \omega] \rangle, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathfrak{z}^\perp,$$

is an orthogonal map whenever $\langle z, z \rangle = 1$. This implies that the dimension of \mathfrak{z}^\perp is even. For simplicity, we set

$$\dim \mathfrak{z}^\perp = 2n, \quad \dim \mathfrak{z} = m.$$

One can fix on G a system of coordinates (z, w) such that the group law on G has the form (see [8])

$$(z, w) \circ (z', w') = \left(\begin{array}{l} z_j + z'_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n \\ w_k + w'_k + \frac{1}{2} \langle z, U^{(k)} z' \rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where the matrices $U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}, \dots, U^{(m)}$ have the following properties:

- (1) $U^{(j)}$ is a $2n \times 2n$ skew symmetric and orthogonal matrix, for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$;
- (2) $U^{(j)}U^{(k)} + U^{(k)}U^{(j)} = 0$ for every $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $j \neq k$.

For $(z, w) \in G$, we denote by the homogeneous norm of (z, w)

$$|(z, w)| = \left(\frac{|z|^4}{16} + |w|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

The associated homogeneous dimension is

$$Q = 2n + 2m.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the sublaplacian on G associated with an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{z}^\perp and ∇_G be the corresponding horizontal gradient. Via the spectral formula, the conformally invariant fractional powers \mathcal{L}_s ($0 < s < 1$) can be defined by (see [9, 36, 37, 21, 22]),

$$\mathcal{L}_s = 2^s (-\Delta_w)^s \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{2(-\Delta_w)^{1/2}} + \frac{1+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{2(-\Delta_w)^{1/2}} + \frac{1-s}{2}\right)}, \quad \Delta_w = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_j^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

The Sobolev space $W^{s,2}(G)$ is defined as the closure of the space of functions $u \in C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,2}(G)} = \left(\int_G u(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s u(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

To this end, we have

Theorem 1.2. *It holds that, for $0 < s < 1$,*

$$\int_G u(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s u(\xi) d\xi \geq S_{n,m,s} \left(\int_G |u(\xi)|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{Q-2s}{Q}}, \quad u \in W^{s,2}(G), \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$S_{n,m,s} = 4^{s\frac{Q+2n}{Q}} \pi^{s\frac{2n+m}{Q}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1+s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+m+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1-s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+m-s}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{m}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2n+m)} \right)^{2s/Q}. \quad (1.7)$$

The inequality is sharp and an extremal function is

$$U(z, w) = \left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-\frac{Q-2s}{4}}. \quad (1.8)$$

By an analogous duality argument to the Euclidean case and using the following Green's function of \mathcal{L}_s (see Lemma 2.2):

$$\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} = c_{n,m,s} \frac{1}{|(z,w)|^{Q-2s}}, \quad c_{n,m,s} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})}{2^{n+1+s}\pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}\Gamma(s)}, \quad (1.9)$$

we obtain the following sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on G .

Corollary 1.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $p = \frac{2Q}{Q+2s}$. Then for any $f, g \in L^p(G)$,*

$$\left| \int \int_{G \times G} \frac{f(\xi)\overline{g(\eta)}}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} d\xi d\eta \right| \leq S_{n,m,s}^{-1} c_{n,m,s}^{-1} \|f\|_p \|g\|_p, \quad (1.10)$$

where $S_{n,m,s}$ and $c_{n,m,s}$ are given in (1.7) and (1.9). The inequality is sharp and the equality holds if

$$f(z,w) = g(z,w) = \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right)^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}}.$$

Remark 1.1. *Let*

$$\mathbb{S}^{2n+m} = \{(z', w', t') \in \mathfrak{z}^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathbb{R} : |z'|^2 + |w'|^2 + |t'|^2 = 1\}.$$

The Cayley transform $\mathcal{C} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+m}$ is given by (see e.g. [2, 14])

$$\mathcal{C}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(z,w)} \left(\mathcal{A}(z,w)z, 2w, -1 + \frac{|z|^4}{16} + |w|^2 \right), \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(z,w)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z,w)$ denote the linear maps $1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4} + J_w$ and $1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4} - J_w$ on \mathfrak{z}^\perp , and the real number $\mathcal{B}(z,w)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(z,w) = \left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2.$$

Via the Cayley transform (1.11), there is an equivalent version of (1.10) on \mathbb{S}^{2n+m} . However, the expression of $|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n+m} is very cumbersome unless G is a group of Iwasawa type (see [2, Lemma 2.2]).

By differentiating (1.10) at the endpoint $s = 0$, we obtain the following sharp logarithmic Sobolev inequality (see Beckner [3, 5] for logarithmic Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{S}^n).

Theorem 1.3. *For any nonnegative f with $\int_G f^2(\xi) \ln f^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{C}(\xi) d\xi < \infty$ and*

$$\int_G f^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{C}(\xi) d\xi = \int_G J_\mathcal{C}(\xi) d\xi = 4^n \pi^{n+\frac{m}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(2n+m)}, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$J_\mathcal{C}(z,w) = \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right)^{-\frac{Q}{2}} \quad (1.13)$$

is the Jacobian determinant of Cayley transform (1.11), one has

$$\int_G \int_G \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^Q} J_\mathcal{C}^{1/2}(\xi) J_\mathcal{C}^{1/2}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \geq \frac{2^{n+3} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})} \int_G f^2(\xi) \ln f^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{C}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Furthermore, the constant $\frac{2^{n+3}\pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})}$ is sharp.

Finally, we obtain the following Sobolev trace inequality which generalizes the result of Frank, González, Monticelli and Tan (see [17]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $\widetilde{W}_0^{s,2}(G \times [0, \infty))$ be the completion of $u \in C_0^\infty(G \times \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \int_G \left(|\partial_\rho u|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\rho^2 |\nabla_w u|^2 + |\nabla_G u|^2 \right) \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then we have, for $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^{s,2}(G \times [0, \infty))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_G \left(|\partial_\rho u|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\rho^2 |\nabla_w u|^2 + |\nabla_G u|^2 \right) \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ & \geq 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} S_{n,m,s} \left(\int_G |u(z, w, 0)|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{\frac{Q-2s}{Q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

where $S_{n,m,s}$ is given in (1.7). The inequality is sharp and an extremal function is given by the Poisson integral of $U(z, w)$ (details of this integral will be explained in Section 5), where $U(z, w)$ is given in (1.8).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will review some preliminary facts that will be needed in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we give another proof of Hardy's inequality via the ground state representation of \mathcal{L}_s . The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 4. In Section 5, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

We begin by quoting some preliminary facts which will be needed in the sequel.

2.1. Sublaplacian on H-type groups. In the rest of paper, we let G be an H-type group with group law given by (1.4). The vector field in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} that agrees at the origin with $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, 2n$) is given by

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{l=1}^{2n} U_{l,j}^{(k)} z_l \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k}$$

and \mathfrak{g} is spanned by the left-invariant vector fields

$$X_1, \dots, X_{2n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_m}.$$

The horizontal gradient on G is $\nabla_G = (X_1, \dots, X_{2n})$ and the sublaplacian on G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} X_j^2 = - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{l=1}^{2n} U_{l,j}^{(k)} z_l \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \right)^2 \\ &= -\Delta_z - \frac{1}{4}|z|^2 \Delta_w - \sum_{k=1}^m \langle z, U^{(k)} \nabla_z \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\Delta_z = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right)^2, \quad \Delta_w = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \right)^2, \quad \nabla_z = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2n}} \right).$$

The nonisotropic dilation δ_μ on G is

$$\delta_\mu(z, w) = (\mu z, \mu^2 w), \quad \mu > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to check, for $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(\delta_\mu(z, w)) &= \mu^2 (\mathcal{L}f)(\delta_\mu(z, w)); \\ \Delta_w f(\delta_\mu(z, w)) &= \mu^4 (\Delta_w f)(\delta_\mu(z, w)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of \mathcal{L}_s (see (1.5)), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_s f(\delta_\mu(z, w)) = \mu^{2s} (\mathcal{L}_s f)(\delta_\mu(z, w)). \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. Representation theory on H-type groups. In this subsection we shall review the representation theory on H-type groups (see e.g. [1]). We remark that it is similar to that on the Heisenberg group (see [15, 38, 39]). For more representation theory on nilpotent groups, we refer to [13, 33].

Let \mathfrak{z}^* be the dual of \mathfrak{z} . Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ and define the skew symmetric linear mapping $B(\lambda)$ on \mathfrak{z}^\perp by

$$\langle B(\lambda)X, Y \rangle = \lambda([X, Y]), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{z}.$$

Let z_λ be the unique element of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ such that

$$\langle B(\lambda)X, Y \rangle = \lambda([X, Y]) = \langle J_{z_\lambda}(X), Y \rangle.$$

Denote the kernel of $B(\lambda)$ by \mathfrak{r}_λ and let \mathfrak{m}_λ be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{r}_λ in \mathfrak{z}^\perp . Denote by Λ the Zariski-open subset of \mathfrak{z}^* of the vectors λ for which $\dim \mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ is maximum. Since G is an H-type group, we have $\dim \mathfrak{m}_\lambda = \dim \mathfrak{z}^\perp = 2n$, $\mathfrak{r}_\lambda = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\Lambda = \mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \{0\}$.

For $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \{0\}$, we put

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle = \langle z_\lambda, z_{\lambda'} \rangle, \quad |\lambda| = \sqrt{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}.$$

We denote by $\text{Sym}_m B(\lambda)$ the symmetric function of degree $2n$ in the roots of $B(\lambda)$. Fixing $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \{0\}$, there are orthogonal vectors $E_1(\lambda), \dots, E_n(\lambda), \bar{E}_1(\lambda), \dots, \bar{E}_n(\lambda)$ in \mathfrak{m}_λ such that

$$B(\lambda)E_j(\lambda) = |\lambda|\bar{E}_j(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad B(\lambda)\bar{E}_j(\lambda) = -|\lambda|E_j(\lambda),$$

and $\text{Sym}_{2n} B(\lambda) = |\lambda|^{2n}$.

Denote by

$$\mathfrak{r}_\lambda = \text{span}\{E_1(\lambda), \dots, E_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \mathfrak{r}_\lambda = \text{span}\{\bar{E}_1(\lambda), \dots, \bar{E}_n(\lambda)\}.$$

Then we may write V in \mathfrak{z}^\perp as $X + Y$ with $X \in \mathfrak{r}_\lambda$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{r}_\lambda$. The irreducible unitary representations parameterized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \{0\}$ may be described as follows:

$$(\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\phi)(y') = e^{i\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j w_j + i|\lambda|\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \xi_j + \frac{1}{2}x_j y_j)} \phi(y' + y), \quad x \in \mathfrak{r}_\lambda, \quad y, y' \in \mathfrak{r}_\lambda$$

for all $\phi \in L^2(\mathfrak{r}_\lambda)$. For $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \{0\}$, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(\lambda)$ is the operator-valued function defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \int_{\mathfrak{r}_\lambda} \int_{\mathfrak{r}_\lambda} f(x, y, w) \pi_\lambda(x, y, w) dx dy dw,$$

which means that for each $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathfrak{h}_\lambda)$,

$$(\widehat{f}(\lambda)\phi, \psi) = \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \int_{\mathfrak{h}_\lambda} \int_{\mathfrak{r}_\lambda} f(x, y, w) (\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\phi, \psi) dx dy dw.$$

The representation π_λ of G determines a representation π_λ^* of its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on the space of C^∞ vectors. Recall that ϕ is said to be a C^∞ vector for the representation π_λ if $(x, y, w) \mapsto \pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\phi$ is a C^∞ function from G into the Hilbert space. The representation π_λ^* is defined by

$$\pi_\lambda^*(X)\phi = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \pi_\lambda(\exp tX)\phi \right|_{t=0}$$

for every X in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We can then extend π_λ^* to the universal enveloping algebra of left-invariant differential operators on G . If A is any such operator, then a simple computation shows that

$$A(\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\phi, \psi) = (\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\pi_\lambda^*(A)\phi, \psi).$$

Therefore, one way of obtaining entry functions that are eigenfunctions of A is to take ϕ to be an eigenfunction of the operator $\pi_\lambda^*(A)$. One can calculate

$$H(\lambda) = \pi_\lambda^*(\mathcal{L}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2} + |\lambda|^2 |\xi|^2,$$

which is nothing but the Hermite operator in \mathbb{R}^n . The eigenfunctions of $H(\lambda)$ are given by

$$\Phi_\alpha^\lambda(\xi) = |\lambda|^{\frac{n}{4}} \Phi_\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|}\xi), \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

where $\Phi_\alpha(\xi)$ is the product $\psi_{\alpha_1}(\xi_1) \cdots \psi_{\alpha_n}(\xi_n)$ and $\psi_{\alpha_j}(\xi_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) is the eigenfunction of $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2} + \xi_j^2$ with eigenvalue $2\alpha_j + 1$ (see [15, 38, 39]). Noticing that

$$H(\lambda)\Phi_\alpha^\lambda = (2|\alpha| + n)|\lambda|\Phi_\alpha^\lambda, \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\Phi_\alpha^\lambda, \Phi_\beta^\lambda) = (2|\alpha| + n)|\lambda|(\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\Phi_\alpha^\lambda, \Phi_\beta^\lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus the entry functions $(\pi_\lambda(x, y, w)\Phi_\alpha^\lambda, \Phi_\beta^\lambda)$ as α, β ranging over \mathbb{N}^n give a family of eigenfunctions for the sublaplacian.

Let $P_k(\lambda)$ be the orthogonal projection defined by

$$P_k(\lambda)\phi = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} (\phi, \Phi_\alpha^\lambda)\Phi_\alpha^\lambda,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathfrak{h}_\lambda)$. By using (2.4), we have

$$(\mathcal{L}f)^\wedge = \widehat{f}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n + 2k)|\lambda|P_k(\lambda), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(G).$$

On the other hand, $(-\Delta_w f)^\wedge = |\lambda|^2 \widehat{f}(\lambda)$. Therefore, the fractional powers \mathcal{L}_s can be defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{L}_s f)^\wedge = \widehat{f}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2|\lambda|)^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2k+n+1+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2k+n+1-s}{2})} P_k(\lambda), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(G), \quad (2.5)$$

provided the right side of (2.5) exists. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{-s}.$$

2.3. Green's function of \mathcal{L}_s ($0 < s < n + 1$). Let

$$\varphi_{s,\rho}(z, w) = \left(\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right)^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}}, \quad \rho > 0.$$

It has been shown by Roncal and Thangavelu (see [37, Theorem 3.7]) (see also [22] for $0 < s < 1$) that

$$\mathcal{L}_s \varphi_{-s,\rho}(z, w) = (4\rho)^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \varphi_{s,\rho}(z, w), \quad 0 < s < n + 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Furthermore, we have the following lemma (see [36, Proposition 3.2] for the case of Heisenberg group):

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < s < n + 1$. We have*

$$\widehat{\varphi_{s,\rho}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,\rho}^\lambda(s) P_k(\lambda), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$c_{k,\rho}^\lambda(s) = \frac{2^{n+1} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}} |\lambda|^s}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})} L\left(\rho|\lambda|, \frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}, \frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

and the function $L(a, b, c)$ is given by

$$L(a, b, c) = \int_0^\infty e^{-a(2x+1)} x^{b-1} (1+x)^{-c} dx.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{s,\rho}(z, w)$ is radial in the w variable, we have

$$\widehat{\varphi_{s,\rho}}(\lambda) = \widehat{\varphi_{s,\rho}}(|\lambda|e_1),$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Writing $w = (w_1, w') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\varphi_{s,\rho}}(|\lambda|e_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}} \pi_{|\lambda|e_1}(z, w) dz dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}} dw' \right\} \pi_{|\lambda|}(z, w_1) dz dw_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\pi_{|\lambda|}(z, w_1) = \pi_{|\lambda|}(x, y, w_1)$ is defined by

$$(\pi_{|\lambda|}(x, y, w_1)\phi)(y') = e^{i|\lambda|w_1 + i|\lambda|\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j y'_j + \frac{1}{2} x_j y_j)} \phi(y' + y), \quad \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which is nothing but the irreducible unitary representation on \mathbb{H}^n .

We compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}} dw'$$

$$= \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w_1|^2 \right]^{-\frac{n+1+s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} (1 + |w'|^2)^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}} dw'.$$

By using (see [24, (4.51)])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (1 + |x|^2)^{-a} dx = \pi^{k/2} \frac{\Gamma(a - k/2)}{\Gamma(a)}, \quad a > \frac{k}{2}, \quad (2.10)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-\frac{Q+2s}{4}} dw' \\ &= \pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w_1|^2 \right]^{-\frac{n+1+s}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.11) into (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi_{s,\rho}}(\lambda) &= \pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w_1|^2 \right]^{-\frac{n+1+s}{2}} \pi_{|\lambda|}(z, w_1) dz dw_1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,\rho}^\lambda(s) P_k(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

To get the last equality, we use the fact (see [36, Proposition 3.2])

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + w_1^2 \right]^{-\frac{n+1+s}{2}} \pi_{|\lambda|}(z, w_1) dz dw_1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi)^{n+1} |\lambda|^s}{\Gamma^2(\frac{n+1+s}{2})} L \left(\rho|\lambda|, \frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}, \frac{2k+n+1-s}{2} \right) P_k(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

Now we can compute the Green's function of \mathcal{L}_s ($0 < s < n+1$) (see [36, (3.10)] for the case of Heisenberg group and [21, Theorem 1.2] for $0 < s < 1$).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $0 < s < n+1$. We have*

$$\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} f = \mathcal{L}_{-s} f = c_{n,m,s} f * |(z, w)|^{-Q+2s}, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(G),$$

in the sense of

$$(\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} f)^\wedge(\lambda) = c_{n,m,s} (f * |(z, w)|^{-Q+2s})^\wedge(\lambda),$$

where $c_{n,m,s}$ is given in (1.9) and $*$ is the convolution on G defined by

$$f * g(\xi) = \int_G f(\xi \circ \eta^{-1}) g(\eta) d\eta.$$

Proof. By analytic continuation of s , (2.7) is also valid for $-n-1 < s < 0$. Therefore,

$$(f * \varphi_{-s,\rho})^\wedge(\lambda) = \widehat{f}(\lambda) \widehat{\varphi_{-s,\rho}}(\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2^{n+1+s} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}} (2|\lambda|)^{-s}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \times \\
&\quad \widehat{f}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L\left(\rho|\lambda|, \frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}, \frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}\right) P_k(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\rho|\lambda|} \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-2\rho|\lambda|x} - 1) x^{\frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}-1} (1+x)^{-\frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}} dx &\rightarrow 0, & \rho \rightarrow 0; \\
(e^{-\rho|\lambda|} - 1) \int_0^{\infty} x^{\frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}-1} (1+x)^{-\frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}} dx &\rightarrow 0, & \rho \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

are both uniformly in k , so does

$$\begin{aligned}
&L\left(\rho|\lambda|, \frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}, \frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}\right) \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho|\lambda|(2x+1)} x^{\frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}-1} (1+x)^{-\frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}} dx \\
&\rightarrow L\left(0, \frac{2k+n+1-s}{2}, \frac{2k+n+1+s}{2}\right) \\
&= \Gamma(s) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2k+n+1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2k+n+1+s}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} (f * \varphi_{-s, \rho})^{\widehat{}}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{n+1+s} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}} \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} (\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} f)^{\widehat{}}(\lambda),$$

which implies

$$(\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} f)^{\widehat{}}(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})}{2^{n+1+s} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}} \Gamma(s)} (f * |(z, w)|^{-Q+2s})^{\widehat{}}(\lambda).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

3. GROUND STATE REPRESENTATION OF \mathcal{L}_s AND HARDY'S INEQUALITIES

In [36, Lemma 5.1], Roncal and Thangavelu proved the following ground state representation of \mathcal{L}_s on \mathbb{H}^n :

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s f(\xi) d\xi = C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta, \quad f \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{H}^n). \quad (3.1)$$

Using the heat equation approach in [21, 22, 23], one sees that (3.1) can be extended to any H-type group. For readers' convenience, we describe it as follows. It has been proved by Garofalo and Tralli (see [23, Theorem 4.1]) that the heat kernel with pole at the origin of the operator $\partial_{\rho\rho} + \frac{1-2s}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} + \frac{1}{4} \rho^2 \Delta_w - \mathcal{L} - \partial_t$ for $0 < s < 1$ is given by

$$\mathbf{q}_{(s)}((z, w), t, \rho) = \frac{2^m}{(4\pi t)^{n+m+1-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-\frac{i}{t}\langle w, \lambda \rangle} \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\sinh |\lambda|} \right)^{n+1-s} e^{-\frac{|z|^2 + \rho^2}{4t} - \frac{|\lambda|}{\tanh |\lambda|}} d\lambda.$$

Set

$$K_s^t(z, w) = (4\pi t)^{1+s} \mathbf{q}_{(-s)}((z, w), t, 0).$$

By using (see [37, 22, 23])

$$\mathcal{L}_s f(\xi) = \frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty (f(\xi) - f * K_s^t(\xi)) t^{-s-1} dt$$

and (see [22, (1.17) and (1.19)])

$$\int_0^\infty K_s^t(z, w) t^{-s-1} dt = (4\pi)^{1+s} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{q}_{(-s)}((z, w), t, 0) dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{2^{n+1-s}\pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}} |(z, w)|^{-Q-2s},$$

one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s f(\xi) d\xi &= \frac{1}{2|\Gamma(-s)|} \int_G \int_0^\infty \int_G (f(\xi) - f(\eta)) f(\xi) K_s^t(\eta^{-1} \circ \xi) t^{-s-1} dt d\xi d\eta + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2|\Gamma(-s)|} \int_G \int_0^\infty \int_G (f(\eta) - f(\xi)) f(\eta) K_s^t(\xi^{-1} \circ \eta) t^{-s-1} dt d\xi d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Gamma(-s)|} \int_G \int_G \int_0^\infty |f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2 K_s^t(\eta^{-1} \circ \xi) t^{-s-1} dt d\xi d\eta \\ &= a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$a_{n,m,s} = 2^{-n-2+s} \pi^{-n-\frac{m+1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|}. \quad (3.3)$$

To get the second equality above, we use the fact $K_s^t(\xi) = K_s^t(\xi^{-1})$.

By using the ground state representation technique given by Frank, Lieb and Seiringer [20] (see [36, Theorem 5.2] for the case of Heisenberg group), we can give another proof of Hardy's inequality associated with \mathcal{L}_s (see Roncal and Thangavelu [37, Corollary 1.4]). We remark that such technique also plays an important role in the proof of our main results (see Lemma 4.4).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$. It holds that*

$$\int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f(z, w) dz dw \geq N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{|f(z, w)|^2}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2\right]^s} dz dw, \quad \forall f \in W^{s,2}(G), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$N_{n,m,s} = 4^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \quad (3.5)$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$f = c \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right)^{-\frac{Q-2s}{4}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Set, for $\rho > 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_s[f] = \int_G f(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s f(\xi) d\xi - (4\rho)^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \int_G \frac{|f(z, w)|^2}{\left[(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4})^2 + |w|^2\right]^s} dz dw.$$

We first show

$$\mathcal{H}_s[f] = a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{|G(\xi) - G(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \varphi_{-s,\rho}(\xi) \varphi_{-s,\rho}(\eta) d\xi d\eta, \quad (3.6)$$

where $G(\xi) = f(\xi)\varphi_{-s,\rho}(\xi)^{-1}$ and $a_{n,m,s}$ is given in (3.3). Without loss of generality, we assume $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$.

By polarizing (3.2), we have, for any $f, g \in W^{s,2}(G)$,

$$\int_G g \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi = a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{(f(\xi) - f(\eta))(g(\xi) - g(\eta))}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \quad (3.7)$$

By applying (3.7) to $g(\xi) = \varphi_{-s,\rho}(\xi)$ and $h(\xi) = |f(\xi)|^2 g(\xi)^{-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G g \mathcal{L}_s h d\xi &= a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{(h(\xi) - h(\eta))(g(\xi) - g(\eta))}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \\ &= a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left(|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2 - \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{g(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{g(\eta)} \right|^2 g(\xi)g(\eta) \right) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \\ &= \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi - a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{g(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{g(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{g(\xi)g(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, by using (2.6), we have

$$\int_G g \mathcal{L}_s h d\xi = \int_G h \mathcal{L}_s g d\xi = (4\rho)^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \int_G \frac{|f(\xi)|^2}{\varphi_{-s,\rho}(\xi)} \varphi_{s,\rho}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (3.9)$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.8) and using

$$\frac{\varphi_{s,\rho}}{\varphi_{-s,\rho}} = \left[\left(\rho + \frac{|z|^2}{4} \right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^{-s},$$

we obtain (3.6). The desired result follows by using (3.6). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

By an interpolation of Hardy's inequality (3.4) and fractional Sobolev inequalities (see [32, Theorem 2])

$$\int_G u(\xi) \mathcal{L}_s u(\xi) d\xi \geq C \left(\int_G |u(\xi)|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{Q-2s}{Q}}, \quad \forall u \in W^{s,2}(G), \quad (4.1)$$

we obtain the following fractional Sobolev inequalities with weights.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for each $f \in W^{s,2}(G)$,*

$$\int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f dz dw \geq C \left(\int_G |f|^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $U(z, w)$ is the one given in (1.8).

Next we shall show that the embedding map

$$W^{s,2}(G) \hookrightarrow L^p(G, U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw), \quad 2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}, \quad (4.3)$$

is compact.

For simplicity, we define the ball centered at origin with radius R by

$$B_R(0) = \{(z, w) \in G : |(z, w)| < R\}.$$

Set $\Sigma = \partial B_1(0) = \{(z, w) \in G : |(z, w)| = 1\}$. We have the following polar coordinates on G (see [16]):

$$\int_G f(z, w) dz dw = \int_0^\infty \int_\Sigma f(\rho z^*, \rho^2 w^*) \rho^{Q-1} d\sigma d\rho, \quad f \in L^1(G), \quad (4.4)$$

where $z^* = \frac{z}{|(z, w)|}$ and $w = \frac{w}{|(z, w)|^2}$.

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a cut-off C_0^∞ function which is equal to one in $B_1(0)$ and zero outside of $B_2(0)$. For $f \in W^{s,2}(G)$, we let

$$I_R(f)(\xi) = f(\xi) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in G. \quad (4.5)$$

By fractional Sobolev inequalities (4.1), we have

$$I_R(f) \in L^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}}(B_{2R}(0)) \subset L^p(B_{2R}(0)), \quad 1 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$. The embedding map*

$$I_R : W^{s,2}(G) \hookrightarrow L^p(B_{2R}(0)),$$

defined by (4.5), is compact.

Proof. We first show that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $\forall u \in W^{s,2}(G)$, it holds

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|I_R(u)(\xi) - I_R(u)(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \leq C_1 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \quad (4.6)$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|I_R(u)(\xi) - I_R(u)(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|u(\xi) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)) - u(\eta) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\eta))|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|u(\xi) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)) - u(\eta) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi))|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|u(\eta) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)) - u(\eta) \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\eta))|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \right) \\ &\leq 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + \\ &\quad 2 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| > 1\}} \frac{|u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + \\ &\quad 2 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| \leq 1\}} \frac{|u(\eta)|^2 |\phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)) - \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\eta))|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

By Taylor formula on G (see [7, Theorem 2]), there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\xi)) - \phi(\delta_{\frac{1}{R}}(\eta)) \right| \leq C_2 |\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in G. \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|I_R(u)(\xi) - I_R(u)(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + 2 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| > 1\}} \frac{|u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + \\ &2C_2 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| \leq 1\}} \frac{|u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s-2}} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By polar coordinates (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| \leq 1\}} \frac{1}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s-2}} d\xi \leq C_3, \\ &\int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi| > 1\}} \frac{1}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi \leq C_4, \end{aligned}$$

where C_3 and C_4 are positive constants independent of η . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \frac{|I_R(u)(\xi) - I_R(u)(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + C_5 \|u\|_{L^2(B_{2R}(0))}^2 \\ &\leq 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta + C_6 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}}(B_{2R}(0))}^2 \\ &\leq C_7 \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where C_5 , C_6 and C_7 are positive constants independent of u . This proves (4.6).

By Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for fractional Sobolev spaces (see [25, Theorem 7]), one sees that I_R is compact. The proof of Lemma 4.1 is thereby completed. \square

Now we can show the embedding map (4.3) is compact.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$. The embedding map (4.3) is compact.*

Proof. By Hölder's equality and fractional Sobolev inequalities (4.1), we have, for $2 < p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$ and $\theta = 1 - \frac{2Q-p(Q-2s)}{4s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |u|^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw &\leq \left(\int_G |u|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^\theta \left(\int_G |u|^2 U^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_G u \mathcal{L}_s u dz dw \right)^{\theta \frac{Q}{Q-2s}} \left(\int_G |u|^2 U^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

So we need only to show the case $p = 2$.

By Lemma 4.1, the imbedding map $I_R : W^{s,2}(G) \hookrightarrow L^2(B_{2R}(0))$ is compact. On the other hand, we have, by Hölder's inequality and fractional Sobolev inequalities

(4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G |f - I_R(f)|^2 U(z, w)^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} dz dw \\
& \leq \int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} |f|^2 U(z, w)^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} dz dw \\
& \leq \left(\int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} |f|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{\frac{Q-2s}{Q}} \left(\int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\frac{Q-2s}{Q}} \\
& \leq C \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f dz dw \left(\int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\frac{Q-2s}{Q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using polar coordinates (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw & \leq \int_{G \setminus B_R(0)} \frac{1}{|(z, w)|^{2Q}} dz dw \\
& = |\Sigma| \frac{1}{QR^Q} \rightarrow 0, \quad R \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\Sigma|$ is the volume of Σ . Therefore, the embedding map (4.3) is compact because it is a limit of compact operators. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Since the embedding map $W^{s,2}(G) \hookrightarrow L^2(G, U(z, w)^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} dz dw)$ is compact, the spectrum of

$$\mathcal{L}_s v = \mu U^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} v, \quad v \in W^{s,2}(G), \quad (4.9)$$

is discrete. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1. *Let μ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, be the eigenvalues of (4.9) given in increasing order. Then $\mu_1 = N_{n,m,s}$ is simple with eigenfunction U where U and $N_{n,m,s}$ are given by (1.8) and (3.5).*

Define

$$U_{\mu,\eta}(\xi) = \mu^{\frac{Q-2s}{2}} U(\delta_\mu(\eta^{-1} \circ \xi)), \quad \eta \in G, \quad \mu > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

By (2.3) and (2.6), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_s U_{\mu,\eta} = N_{n,m,s} U_{\mu,\eta}^{\frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s}}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $N_{n,m,s}$ is given in (3.5). If we set $\eta = (z', w') \in G$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_s \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial z'_j} &= N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} U_{\mu,\eta}^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial z'_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n; \\
\mathcal{L}_s \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial w'_r} &= N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} U_{\mu,\eta}^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial w'_r}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m; \\
\mathcal{L}_s \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial \mu} &= N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} U_{\mu,\eta}^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial \mu}.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_j &= \frac{4}{Q-2s} U(\xi)^{-1} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial z'_j} \Big|_{\mu=1,\eta=0}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n; \\ \omega_{2n+r} &= \frac{4}{Q-2s} U(\xi)^{-1} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial w'_r} \Big|_{\mu=1,\eta=0}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m; \\ \omega_{2n+m+1} &= \frac{2}{Q-2s} U(\xi)^{-1} \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\eta}}{\partial \mu} \Big|_{\mu=1,\eta=0},\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Then we have (see [40])

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \omega_j^2 = 1\tag{4.14}$$

and by (4.12),

$$\mathcal{L}_s(U\omega_j) = N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} U^{\frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s}} \omega_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+m+1.\tag{4.15}$$

By Lemma 4.2, the minimization problem

$$\Lambda_p = \inf \left\{ \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f \, dzdw : \int_G |f|^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} \, dzdw = 1 \right\}\tag{4.16}$$

for $2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$ has a solution u . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G |u| \mathcal{L}_s |u| \, d\xi &= a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{||u(\xi)| - |u(\eta)||^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \, d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \frac{|u(\xi) - u(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \, d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_G u \mathcal{L}_s u \, d\xi,\end{aligned}$$

we have that if u is a solution of (4.16), so does $|u|$. So we may assume $u \geq 0$.

Next we show that such u satisfies a moment zero condition.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 < s < 1$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$ and u be a nonnegative solution of (4.16). Then we have*

$$\int_G u^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} \omega_j \, dzdw = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+m+1,\tag{4.17}$$

where ω_j ($1 \leq j \leq 2n+m+1$) are given by (4.13).

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_p(u) = \frac{\int_G u \mathcal{L}_s u \, dzdw}{\left(\int_G u^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} \, dzdw \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}};$$

$$u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}}(\xi) = \mu^{-\frac{Q-2s}{2}} u(\delta_{\mu^{-1}}(\eta \circ \xi)),$$

where $\mu > 0$ and $\eta = (z', w') \in G$. By using (2.3), we have

$$\int_G u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}} \mathcal{L}_s u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}} \, dzdw = \int_G u \mathcal{L}_s u \, dzdw;$$

$$\int_G u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}}^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw = \int_G u^p U_{\mu, \eta}(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw,$$

where $U_{\mu, \eta}$ is given by (4.10). Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_p(u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}}) = \frac{\int_G u \mathcal{L}_s u dz dw}{\left(\int_G u^p U_{\mu, \eta}(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Since u is a nonnegative solution of (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z'_j} \mathcal{F}_p(u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}})|_{\mu=1, \eta=0} &= 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w'_r} \mathcal{F}_p(u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}})|_{\mu=1, \eta=0} &= 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, m; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathcal{F}_p(u_{\mu^{-1}, \eta^{-1}})|_{\mu=1, \eta=0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

The desired result follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *It holds that for any $f \in W^{s,2}(G)$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \int_G f \omega_j \mathcal{L}_s(f \omega_j) d\xi \leq \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi + \frac{4s}{Q-2s} N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{f^2}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^s} d\xi.$$

Proof. By choosing $g = U$ and $h = f^2 U^{-1}$ in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f^2 U^{-1} \mathcal{L}_s U d\xi &= \int_G U \mathcal{L}_s(f^2 U^{-1}) d\xi \\ &= \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi - a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{U^2(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{U^2(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{U(\xi)U(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Similarly, choosing $g = U \omega_j$ and $h = f^2 \omega_j U^{-1}$ in (3.8) yields, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+m+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f^2 \omega_j U^{-1} \mathcal{L}_s(U \omega_j) d\xi &= \int_G U \omega_j \mathcal{L}_s(f^2 \omega_j U^{-1}) d\xi \\ &= \int_G f \omega_j \mathcal{L}_s(f \omega_j) d\xi - a_{n,m,s} \times \\ &\quad \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{U^2(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{U^2(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{U(\xi)U(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \omega_j(\xi) \omega_j(\eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi &= N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{f^2}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^s} d\xi \\ &\quad + a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{U^2(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{U^2(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{U(\xi)U(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

On the other hand, summing (4.21) over j and using (4.14) and (4.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \int_G f \omega_j \mathcal{L}_s(f \omega_j) d\xi \\
&= N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} \int_G \frac{f^2}{[(1+\frac{|z|^2}{4})^2 + |w|^2]^s} dz dw + \\
& \quad a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{U^2(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{U^2(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{U(\xi)U(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \omega_j(\xi) \omega_j(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} \int_G \frac{f^2}{[(1+\frac{|z|^2}{4})^2 + |w|^2]^s} dz dw + \\
& \quad a_{n,m,s} \int_G \int_G \left| \frac{f(\xi)}{U^2(\xi)} - \frac{f(\eta)}{U^2(\eta)} \right|^2 \frac{U(\xi)U(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q+2s}} d\xi d\eta. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

To get (4.23), we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \omega_j(\xi) \omega_j(\eta) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \omega_j^2(\xi) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \omega_j^2(\eta) \right)^{1/2} = 1.$$

The desired result follows by combining (4.22) and (4.23). \square

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea is due to Frank and Lieb [18, 19] and Hang and Wang [26].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < s < 1$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$ and u_p be a nonnegative solution of (4.16). The 2nd variation of the functional \mathcal{F}_p around u_p shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f dz dw - \int_G u_p^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw - \\
& \quad (p-1) \int_G u_p \mathcal{L}_s u_p dz dw - \int_G u_p^{p-2} U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} f^2 dz dw \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for any f with

$$\int_G u_p^{p-1} U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} f dz dw = 0.$$

Choosing $f_j = u_p \omega_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+m+1$ and summing over j , we obtain, in view of (4.14) and Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
(p-1) \int_G u_p \mathcal{L}_s u_p dz dw &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n+m+1} \int_G u_p \omega_j \mathcal{L}_s(u_p \omega_j) dz dw \\
&\leq \int_G u_p \mathcal{L}_s u_p dz dw + \frac{4s}{Q-2s} N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{u_p^2}{[(1+\frac{|z|^2}{4})^2 + |w|^2]^s} dz dw.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(p-2) \left(\int_G u_p \mathcal{L}_s u_p dz dw - N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{u_p^2}{[(1+\frac{|z|^2}{4})^2 + |w|^2]^s} dz dw \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq N_{n,m,s} \left(\frac{2Q}{Q-2s} - p \right) \int_G \frac{u_p^2}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^s} dz dw \\
&\leq N_{n,m,s} \left(\frac{2Q}{Q-2s} - p \right) \left(\int_G u_p^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_G U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= N_{n,m,s} \left(\frac{2Q}{Q-2s} - p \right) \left(\int_G U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad p \nearrow \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}.
\end{aligned}$$

To get the last equality, we use the fact that $\int_G u_p^p U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}-p} dz dw = 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\int_G u_p \mathcal{L}_s u_p dz dw - N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{u_p^2}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right]^s} dz dw \rightarrow 0, \quad p \nearrow \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}.$$

Closely following the proof given in [40, Lemma 3.5], one can choose a sequence $\{p_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $p_k \nearrow \frac{2Q}{Q-2s}$ and u_{p_k} converges to a nonzero function $c_0 U$. Thus $c_0 U$ is an extremal function of

$$\Lambda_p = \inf \left\{ \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f dz dw : \int_G |f|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw = 1 \right\}.$$

Now we compute the sharp constant $S_{n,m,s}$. Since U is an extremal function, we have

$$S_{n,m,s} = \frac{\int_G U \mathcal{L}_s U dz dw}{\left(\int_G U^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{(Q-2s)/Q}} = N_{n,m,s} \left(\int_G U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw \right)^{2s/Q}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_G U(z, w)^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} dz dw &= \int_G J_{\mathcal{L}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^2 + |w|^2 \right)^{-Q/2} dz dw \\
&= 4^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (1 + |z|^2)^{-(Q-m)} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (1 + |w|^2)^{-Q/2} dw \\
&= 4^n \pi^{n+\frac{m}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(2n + m)}. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

To get the last equality, we use (2.10). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{n,m,s} &= N_{n,m,s} \left(\int_G J_{\mathcal{L}}(\xi) d\xi \right)^{2s/Q} \\
&= 4^{s\frac{Q+2n}{Q}} \pi^{s\frac{2n+m}{Q}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1+s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m-s}{2})} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(2n + m)} \right)^{2s/Q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 AND 1.4

We first give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Corollary 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G \int_G \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
&= 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{f^2(\xi)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta - 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{f(\xi)f(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
&\geq 2 \int_G \int_G \frac{f^2(\xi)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta - \frac{2}{S_{n,m,s}c_{n,m,s}} \left\| f J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}} \right\|_{\frac{2Q}{Q+2s}}^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{S_{n,m,s}c_{n,m,s}} \left(\frac{S_{n,m,s}}{N_{n,m,s}} \int_G f^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi - \left\| f J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}} \right\|_{\frac{2Q}{Q+2s}}^2 \right). \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

To get the last equality, we use the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q-2s}{2Q}}(\xi) &= U(\xi) = \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \mathcal{L}_s U(\xi) = c_{n,m,s} N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{U^{\frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s}}(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} d\eta \\
&= c_{n,m,s} N_{n,m,s} \int_G \frac{J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} d\eta. \tag{5.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $s \searrow 0$ in (5.1) and using

$$\lim_{s \searrow 0} \frac{S_{n,m,s} c_{n,m,s}}{s} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})}{2^{n+1} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G \int_G \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{1/2}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{1/2}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
&\geq \frac{2^{n+2} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})} \lim_{s \searrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{S_{n,m,s}}{N_{n,m,s}} \int_G f^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi - \left\| f J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}} \right\|_{\frac{2Q}{Q+2s}}^2 \right). \tag{5.3}
\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we let

$$|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}| = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}} d\sigma = \int_G J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi.$$

By using the Cayley transform (see Remark 1.1) and (4.25) and following the proof given in [18, Corollary 2.5], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{s \searrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{S_{n,m,s}}{N_{n,m,s}} \int_G f^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi - \left\| f J_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}} \right\|_{\frac{2Q}{Q+2s}}^2 \right) \\
&= \lim_{s \searrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|^{\frac{Q+2s}{Q}} - \|f \circ \mathcal{C}^{-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2Q}{Q+2s}}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+m})}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{Q} \int_G f^2(\xi) \ln f^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.4) into (5.3), we get

$$\int_G \int_G \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^Q} J_{\mathcal{C}}^{1/2}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}^{1/2}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \geq \frac{2^{n+3} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})} \int_G f^2(\xi) \ln f^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Next we show the sharpness. Following [18], we let

$$F_\varepsilon(\xi) = C_\varepsilon \left(\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon\omega_1 \right), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2},$$

where ω_1 is given in (4.13) and C_ε is such that $\int_G |F_\varepsilon(\xi)|^2 J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi = |\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|$. A simple calculation shows

$$C_\varepsilon = |\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|^{1/2} \left(\int_G |\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon\omega_1|^2 J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi \right)^{-1/2} \rightarrow 1, \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0. \quad (5.5)$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \int_G \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\xi) - F_\varepsilon(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= C_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \int_G \int_G \frac{|\omega_1(\xi) - \omega_1(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= 2C_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \int_G \int_G \frac{\omega_1^2(\xi)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta - \\ & \quad 2C_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \int_G \int_G \frac{\omega_1(\xi)\omega_1(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

By (4.15) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q-2s}{2Q}}(\xi)\omega_1(\xi) &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \mathcal{L}_s \left(U(\xi)\omega_1(\xi) \right) \\ &= c_{n,m,s} N_{n,m,s} \frac{Q+2s}{Q-2s} \int_G \frac{J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta)\omega_1(\eta)}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Substituting (5.2) and (5.7) into (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \int_G \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\xi) - F_\varepsilon(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^{Q-2s}} J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{\frac{Q+2s}{2Q}}(\eta) d\xi \\ &= \frac{8C_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 s}{c_{n,m,s} N_{n,m,s} (Q+2s)} \int_G \omega_1^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \int_G \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\xi) - F_\varepsilon(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1} \circ \xi|^Q} J_\mathcal{G}^{1/2}(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}^{1/2}(\eta) d\xi \\ &= \lim_{s \searrow 0} \frac{8C_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 s}{c_{n,m,s} N_{n,m,s} (Q+2s)} \int_G \omega_1^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{2^{n+4} \pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})} \varepsilon^2 \int_G \omega_1^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) \ln F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) J_\mathcal{G}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= C_\varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(1 + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}\omega_1 - \varepsilon^2(1 - \omega_1^2) \right) \left[\ln \left(1 + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}\omega_1 - \varepsilon^2(1 - \omega_1^2) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \ln \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|}{\int_G |\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon\omega_1|^2 J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi} \Big] J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (5.9)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\omega_1 - \varepsilon^2(1-\omega_1^2) &= 1 + 2\varepsilon\omega_1 + O(\varepsilon^2); \\ \ln \left(1 + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\omega_1 - \varepsilon^2(1-\omega_1^2) \right) &= 2\varepsilon\omega_1 - \varepsilon^2(1+\omega_1^2) + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Noticing that $U(\xi)$ and $U(\xi)\omega_1(\xi)$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues of (4.9), we have

$$\int_G \omega_1(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_G \omega_1(\xi) U(\xi) \cdot U(\xi) \cdot U^{\frac{4s}{Q-2s}}(\xi) d\xi = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

By using (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|}{\int_G |\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon\omega_1|^2 J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi} &= - \ln \frac{\int_G (1-\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2\omega_1^2) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi}{|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|} \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{2n+m}|} \int_G \omega_1^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi \right) + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Substituting (5.10) and (5.12) into (5.9) and using (5.11), we obtain

$$\int_G F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) \ln F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi = 2\varepsilon^2 C_\varepsilon^2 \int_G \omega_1^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi + O(\varepsilon^3). \quad (5.13)$$

By using (5.5), (5.8) and (5.13), we get

$$\frac{\int_G \int_G \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\xi) - F_\varepsilon(\eta)|^2}{|\eta^{-1}\circ\xi|^Q} J_{\mathcal{G}}^{1/2}(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}^{1/2}(\eta) d\xi d\eta}{\int_G F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) \ln F_\varepsilon^2(\xi) J_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi) d\xi} \rightarrow \frac{2^{n+3}\pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})}, \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

This proves that the constant $\frac{2^{n+3}\pi^{n+\frac{m+1}{2}}}{Q\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2})}$ is sharp. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is thereby completed.

Before the proof of Theorem 1.4, we first recall the following extension problem on G :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\rho\rho} u + \frac{1-2s}{\rho} \partial_\rho u + \frac{1}{4}\rho^2 \Delta_w u - \mathcal{L}u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega = G \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lim_{\rho \searrow 0} u(z, w, \rho) = f(z, w) \in W^{s,2}(G), & \text{on } \partial\Omega \simeq G, \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

where $0 < s < 1$. Let $1 < p < \infty$. It has been shown by Roncal and Thangavelu [37] (see also Garofalo and Tralli [21, 22] for a complete self-contained approach to these construction via heat equation methods) that if

$$\int_G |u(z, w, \rho)|^p dz dw \leq C$$

uniformly in ρ , then the solution of (5.14) is given by the Poisson integral

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = C_1(n, m, s) \rho^{2s} f * \varphi_{s, \frac{1}{4}\rho^2}, \quad (5.15)$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}_s f \in L^p(G)$, then we have

$$- \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \rho^{1-2s} \partial_\rho \mathcal{P}(f) = 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \mathcal{L}_s f, \quad (5.16)$$

where $C_1(n, m, s) = 2^{-2n-2s} \pi^{-n-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+s)\Gamma(\frac{n+m+s}{2})}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\frac{n+s}{2})}$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first show (1.14). Without loss of generality, we assume $u \in C_0^\infty(G \times \mathbb{R})$ and let $f(z, w) = u(z, w, 0)$. For simplicity, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \left(\partial_\rho u, \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla_w u, \nabla_G \right), \\ \Delta u &= \partial_{\rho\rho} u + \frac{1-2s}{\rho} \partial_\rho u + \frac{1}{4} \rho^2 \Delta_w u - \mathcal{L}u, \end{aligned}$$

such that, for $g, h \in C_0^\infty(G \times (0, \infty))$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_G \langle \nabla g(z, w, \rho), \nabla h(z, w, \rho) \rangle \cdot \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_G g(z, w, \rho) \Delta h(z, w, \rho) \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(f) = 0, \quad (u - \mathcal{P}(f))|_{\rho=0} = 0, \quad (5.17)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is the Poisson integral given by (5.15).

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ &= 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi + \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla(u - \mathcal{P}(f))|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

In fact, through integrating by parts, we obtain, by using (5.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u - \nabla \mathcal{P}(f)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho - \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla \mathcal{P}(f)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^\infty \int_G \langle \nabla(u - \mathcal{P}(f)), \nabla \mathcal{P}(f) \rangle \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u(z, t, \rho)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho - \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla \mathcal{P}(f)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^\infty \int_G (u - \mathcal{P}(f)) \Delta \mathcal{P}(f) \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho - \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla \mathcal{P}(f)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

On the other hand, by using (5.17) and (5.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla \mathcal{P}(f)|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho &= - \int_G f \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \rho^{1-2s} \partial_\rho \mathcal{P}(f) dz dw \\ &= 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f dz dw. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Substituting (5.20) into (5.19), we obtain (5.18). This proves the claim.

By using (5.18) and Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_G |\nabla u|^2 \rho^{1-2s} dz dw d\rho &\geq 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \int_G f \mathcal{L}_s f d\xi \\ &\geq 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} S_{n,m,s} \left(\int_G |f(\xi)|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2s}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{Q-2s}{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.14).

On the other hand, by (5.20) and Theorem 1.2, one sees an extremal function of (1.14) is given by

$$u = \mathcal{P}(U) = C_1(n, m, s) \rho^{2s} U * \varphi_{s, \frac{1}{4}\rho^2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is thereby completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Astengo, M. Cowling, B. Di Blasio, M. Sundari, Hardy's uncertainty principle on certain Lie groups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **62** (2000), 461-472. [6](#)
- [2] F. Astengo, M. Cowling and B. Di Blasio, The Cayley transform and uniformly bounded representations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 213 (2004), 241-269. [4](#)
- [3] W. Beckner, Sobolev inequalities, the Poisson semigroup, and analysis on the sphere \mathbb{S}^n , *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89 (11) (1992), 4816-4819. [4](#)
- [4] W. Beckner, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 138 (1993), 213-242. [1](#)
- [5] W. Beckner, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the existence of singular integrals, *Forum Math.* 9 (3) (1997), 303-323. [4](#)
- [6] W. Beckner, On the Grushin operator and hyperbolic symmetry, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2000) 1233-1246. [1](#)
- [7] A. Bonfiglioli, Taylor formula for homogenous groups and applications, *Math. Z.* 262(2009), 255-279. [13](#)
- [8] A. Bonfiglioli, F. Uguzzoni, Nonlinear Liouville theorems for some critical problems on H-type groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 207(2004), 161-215. [3](#)
- [9] T. P. Branson, L. Fontana, and C. Morpurgo, Moser-Trudinger and Beckner-Onofri's inequalities on the CR sphere, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 177 (2013), 1-52. [2, 3](#)
- [10] E. A. Carlen, M. Loss, Extremals of functionals with competing symmetries, *J. Funct. Anal.* 88 (1990), 437-456. [1](#)
- [11] M. Christ, H. Liu, A. Zhang, Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on quaternionic Heisenberg groups, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 130(2016), 361-395. [2](#)
- [12] M. Christ, H. Liu, A. Zhang, Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on octonionic Heisenberg group, *Calc. Var.* 55, Article number: 11 (2016). [2](#)
- [13] L. J. Corwin, F. P. Greenleaf, Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part I. Vol. 18. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Basic theory and examples. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. [6](#)
- [14] M. Cowling, A. H. Dooley, A. Korányi, F. Ricci, H-type groups and Iwasawa decompositions, *Adv. Math.*, 87 (1991), 1-41. [2, 4](#)
- [15] G.B. Folland, Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, vol. 122, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. [6, 7](#)
- [16] G.B. Folland, E.M. Stein, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1982. [13](#)
- [17] R.L. Frank, M.d.M. González, D.D. Monticelli, J. Tan, An extension problem for the CR fractional Laplacian, *Adv. Math.* 270 (2015) 97-137. [5](#)
- [18] R. L. Frank, E. Lieb, Sharp constants in several inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *Ann. Math. (2)* 176 (2012), no. 1, 349-381. [2, 18, 20, 21](#)

- [19] R. L. Frank, E. Lieb, A new, rearrangement-free proof of the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. (English summary) *Spectral theory, function spaces and inequalities*, 55-67, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 219, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012. [1](#), [18](#)
- [20] R. L. Frank, E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operators, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21 (2008), 925-950. [11](#)
- [21] N. Garofalo, G. Tralli. Feeling the heat in a group of Heisenberg type, *Adv. Math.* 381 (2021), Paper No. 107635. [2](#), [3](#), [9](#), [10](#), [22](#)
- [22] N. Garofalo, G. Tralli. A heat equation approach to intertwining, *J. d'Anal. Math.*, 149 (2023), 113-134. [2](#), [3](#), [8](#), [10](#), [11](#), [22](#)
- [23] N. Garofalo G. Tralli. Heat kernels for a class of hybrid evolution equations, *Potential Anal.* 59 (2023): 823-856. [2](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [24] N. Garofalo, D. Vassilev, Symmetry properties of positive entire solutions of Yamabe type equations on groups of Heisenberg type, *Duke Math. J.*, 106 (2001), 411-449. [2](#), [9](#)
- [25] S. Ghosh, V. Kumar, M. Ruzhansky, Compact Embeddings, Eigenvalue Problems, and subelliptic Brezis-Nirenberg equations involving singularity on stratified Lie groups, *Math. Ann.*, 388(2024), 4201-4249. [14](#)
- [26] F. Hang, X. Wang, A simpler proof of Frank and Lieb's sharp inequality on the Heisenberg Group, arXiv:2211.10301v2 [math.AP]. [2](#), [18](#)
- [27] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev, The optimal constant in the L^2 Folland-Stein inequality on the quaternionic Heisenberg group, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. PisaCl. Sci. XI* (2012), no. (5), 635-652. [2](#)
- [28] D. Jerison, J. M. Lee, The Yamabe problem on CR manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 25 (1987), no. 2, 167-197. [2](#)
- [29] D. Jerison, J. M. Lee, Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1988), no. 1, 1-13. [2](#)
- [30] D. Jerison, J. M. Lee, Intrinsic CR normal coordinates and the CR Yamabe problem, *J. Diff. Geom.* 29 (1989), 303-343. [2](#)
- [31] A. Kaplan, Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 258 (1) (1980) 147-153. [2](#)
- [32] A. Kassymov, D. Suragan. Lyapunov-type inequalities for the fractional p -sub-Laplacian. *Advances in Operator Theory*, 5(2)(2020), 435-452. [12](#)
- [33] A. A. Kirillov. Lectures on the orbit method. Vol. 64. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [6](#)
- [34] E. H. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 118 (1983), 349-374. [1](#)
- [35] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss. *Analysis. Second. Vol. 14.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. [1](#)
- [36] L. Roncal, S. Thangavelu, Hardy's inequality for fractional powers of the sublaplacian on the Heisenberg group, *Adv. Math.* 302 (2016), 106-158. [2](#), [3](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [37] L. Roncal, S. Thangavelu, An extension problem and trace Hardy inequality for the sublaplacian on H-type groups, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2020(2020), Issue 14, 4238-4294. [2](#), [3](#), [8](#), [11](#), [22](#)
- [38] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Progress in Mathematics 159. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. [6](#), [7](#)
- [39] S. Thangavelu, *An introduction to the uncertainty principle. Hardy's theorem on Lie groups.* With a foreword by Gerald B. Folland, Progress in Mathematics 217. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2004. [6](#), [7](#)
- [40] Q. Yang, The optimal constant in the L^2 Folland-Stein inequality on the H-type group, *J. Funct. Anal.* 286 (2024), Paper No. 110209. [2](#), [16](#), [19](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES/SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: yaojunwang22@m.fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, PEOP-
PLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: qhyang.math@whu.edu.cn