

PRESCRIBED MEAN CURVATURE PROBLEMS ON HOMOGENEOUS VECTOR BUNDLES

EDER M. CORREA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide a detailed and systematic study of weak (singular) Hermite-Einstein structures on homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles over rational homogeneous varieties. We use standard tools from spectral geometry, Harmonic analysis, and Cartan's highest weight theory to provide a sufficient condition in terms of Fourier series and intersection numbers under which an L^2 -function can be realized as mean curvature of a singular Hermitian structure on an irreducible homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle. We prove that the condition provided is necessary and sufficient for functions that belong to certain interpolation spaces. In the particular case of line bundles over irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type, we describe explicitly in terms of representation theory the solutions of the underlying geometric PDE. Also, we establish a sufficient condition in terms of Fourier coefficients and intersection numbers for solvability and convergence of the weak Hermite-Einstein flow on irreducible homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles. As an application of our methods, we describe the first explicit examples in the literature of solutions to several geometric flows, including Donaldson's heat flow, Yang-Mills heat flow, and the gradient flow of Donaldson's Lagrangian, on line bundles over irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type. Additionally, we show that every polynomial central charge function gives rise to a weak Donaldson's Lagrangian \mathcal{M} . In the particular case of irreducible homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles, we prove that the gradient flow of \mathcal{M} converges to a Hermitian structure which is, up to a gauge transformation, a Z -critical Hermitian structure in the large volume limit.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgments.	10
2. Generalities on flag varieties	10
2.1. Basic structure of simple Lie algebras	10
2.2. Simple Lie groups and flag varieties	12
2.3. Highest weight modules	14
2.4. Representations of parabolic Lie subalgebras	18
3. Homogeneous vector bundles	21
3.1. Mean curvature of homogeneous Hermitian vector bundles	27
3.2. Degree and slope of homogeneous vector bundles	31
4. Spectral geometry on flag varieties	33
4.1. Proof of Theorem A	38
5. Prescribed mean curvature problems	43
5.1. Line bundle case (proof of Theorem B)	43
5.2. Weak Hermite-Einstein flow on line bundles (proof of Theorem C)	52
5.3. Higher rank case (Proof of Theorem D and Theorem E)	56
Appendix A. Gradient flow approach	59
A.1. Weak Donaldson's Lagrangian (proof of Theorem F)	59
A.2. Z -critical Hermitian structures (proof of Theorem G)	61
Data Availability	63
Conflict of interest statement	63
References	63

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an indecomposable holomorphic vector bundle \mathbf{E} over a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , it is known that \mathbf{E} admits an Hermitian Yang-Mills (in short HYM) connection if, and only if, \mathbf{E} is stable in the sense of Mumford-Takemoto. This result, also known as Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence, was independently conjectured in the 1980s by Kobayashi [Kob82] and Hitchin [Hea79]. The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence was proved for complex curves by Narasimhan and Seshadri [NS65], and then in full generality by Donaldson [Don85] and Uhlenbeck-Yau [UY86]. In this paper, we investigate two problems concerned with the existence of Hermitian structures on homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles over rational homogeneous varieties with prescribed mean curvature. In order to state the general problems that we are interested in, let us introduce some terminology and context. Given a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) over a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , we have (locally) $F(\mathbf{h}) = (F(\mathbf{h})_{ij})$, such that

$$F(\mathbf{h})_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R^i_{j\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\alpha, \quad (1.1)$$

where $F(\mathbf{h})$ is the curvature of the underlying Chern connection. From this, we can define the ω -trace of $F(\mathbf{h})$ as being the endomorphism $\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h})) = (\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h})_{ij}))$, such that

$$\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h})_{ij}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R^i_{j\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad (1.2)$$

here we denote by $g^{-1} = (g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ the inverse matrix of the associated Kähler metric $g = \omega(1 \otimes J)$. From above, we can define the following notions of curvature (e.g. [Kob87]):

- (1) we define the mean curvature of (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) as being $K(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) := \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h}))$,
- (2) the scalar curvature of (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) is defined by $\sigma(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) := \sqrt{-1} \text{tr}(\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h})))$.

In the above setting, if

$$K(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \varphi 1_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (1.3)$$

for some smooth function $\varphi \in C^\infty(X)$, we say that (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) is weak Hermite-Einstein. As it can be seen, if (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) is weak Hermite-Einstein, then $\sigma(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = r\varphi$, where $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})$. Also, if $\varphi \equiv c$, such that $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) satisfying Eq. (1.3) is said to be Hermite-Einstein. In this last case, the underlying Chern connection is called Hermitian Yang-Mills. In Riemannian geometry, a classical problem that can be traced back to the work of Kazdan-Warner [KW75a], [KW75b], [KW75c], consists of finding admissible functions as the scalar curvature of Riemannian metrics. More precisely, the problem is as follows: given a closed smooth manifold M and a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, construct a Riemannian metric on M whose scalar curvature equals f . Based on this idea, in this paper we study the following Kazdan-Warner-type problem in the setting of homogeneous Hermitian vector bundles over rational varieties.

Problem 1.1. For some prescribed $f \in L^2(X, \mu_\omega)$, find conditions under which there exists a (singular) Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow (X, \omega)$, such that

$$K(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (1.4)$$

in the sense of distributions.

In this work a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{E} is a measurable map from the base space X to the space of non-negative Hermitian forms on the fibers. Although it is not immediate how to make sense of the curvature of a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h} , it is nevertheless still possible to define what it means in some cases, see for instance [Dam92], [CAT98, §2.2], [Rau15, §3]. In the above setting, if $f \in L^2(X, \mu_\omega)$ satisfies Eq. (1.4), then

$$\int_X f d\mu_\omega = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{E})} \int_X \sigma(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) d\mu_\omega = \frac{2\pi \mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})}{(n-1)!}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})$ is the $[\omega]$ -slope of \mathbf{E} . In particular, if X is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension 1, \mathbf{E} is its holomorphic tangent bundle, and $f \in C^\infty(X)$, we obtain

$$\int_X f d\mu_\omega = 2\pi\chi(X), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler characteristic of X , i.e., we recover the problem of prescribed Gaussian curvature on surfaces, cf. [KW74], [WW70]. As we see, the equality provided by Eq. (1.5) is a necessary condition involving a topological invariant for finding admissible functions as mean curvature of Hermitian structures. The second problem which we investigate in this work is based on the idea of construct a weak version of Donaldson's heat flow [Don85] which encompass the Kazdan-Warner-type problem for (smooth) Hermitian vector bundles. More precisely, we study the following problem in the homogeneous setting:

Problem 1.2. Let $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$ be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold. Given $\varkappa_0 > 0$, $\varkappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in C^\infty(X)$, does the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}}) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

admit a solution? If so, what is its limiting behavior?

As it can be seen, for $\varkappa_0 = 1$ and $f \equiv c$, the flow above is the classical Donaldson's heat flow [Don85, p. 8]. Besides the study of conditions to solve the above flow on Hermitian homogeneous vector bundles, we also present a constructive method to describe explicitly the solutions in certain cases. Our approach to tackle Problem 1.1 and Problem 1.2 in the homogeneous setting is based on two classical geometric interpretations of the representation theory of compact Lie groups. On the one side we have a treatment related with the aspects of algebraic geometry of flag varieties, this approach encompass the classical Borel-Weil theorem and Cartan's highest weight theory (e.g. [LB18], [Bri05]). On the other side, we have a treatment based on harmonic analysis on Riemannian reductive homogeneous spaces, this second approach encompass the study of invariant differential operators and the classical Peter-Weyl theorem (e.g. [Tak94], [Wal18], [Hel01]). In order to state our main results, let us recall some basic generalities on rational homogeneous varieties. A rational homogeneous variety can be described as a quotient $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P$, where $G^\mathbb{C}$ is a semisimple complex algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \text{Lie}(G^\mathbb{C})$, and P is a parabolic Lie subgroup (e.g. [BR62]). Considering $G^\mathbb{C}$ as a complex analytic space, without loss of generality, we assume that $G^\mathbb{C}$ is a connected simply connected complex simple Lie group. Fixed a compact real form $G \subset G^\mathbb{C}$, we can also consider the underlying reductive homogeneous G -space $X_P = G/K$, where $K = G \cap P$. Fixed a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ and a simple root system $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, up to conjugation, we have the underlying parabolic Lie subgroup $P \subset G^\mathbb{C}$ completely determined by some $I \subset \Delta$, e.g. [Akh95, §3.1]. Considering the associated fundamental weights $\varpi_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\alpha \in \Delta$, it follows that

$$\text{Pic}(X_P) \cong H^{1,1}(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_P := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_\alpha, \quad (1.8)$$

see for instance [Sno89, Theorem 6.4]. From the aforementioned isomorphisms, we have a map

$$[\omega] \in H^{1,1}(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) \mapsto \phi([\omega]) \in \Lambda_P. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, we can set $\phi(\mathbf{E}) := \phi(c_1(\mathbf{E})) \in \Lambda_P$, for every holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$. As it can be expected from the line bundle case, see for instance [Kob87], the study of Problem 1.1 in the homogeneous setting relies on the study of the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_\omega u + \rho = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

on (X_P, ω) , here we consider some fixed G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P . In this last setting, denoting by $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ the root system of $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, and considering $\Phi_I^\pm := \Phi^\pm \setminus \langle I \rangle^\pm$, we prove the following result of independent interest.

Theorem A. Let ω be a G -invariant Kähler metric on $X_P = G/K$. Then, the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_ω satisfies the following:

(1) Considering $\Delta_\omega \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C})$ as an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant element, we have

$$\Delta_\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{4\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \left(A_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha + J(A_\alpha) \otimes J(A_\alpha) \right), \quad (1.11)$$

such that $\phi([\omega]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$;

(2) The eigenvalues of Δ_ω satisfies $\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_\omega) \geq \epsilon([\omega])$, such that

$$\epsilon([\omega]) = 4\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\}, \quad (1.12)$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, m_{[\Pi]}$, and every isomorphism class of spherical representation $[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K$.

Since it is not known a general formula for the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_ω of an arbitrary G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P , with exception of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type (see for instance Remark 4.2), the result above provides a concrete formula for a lower bound of the first nonzero eigenvalue of Δ_ω which can be obtained from a straightforward computation using Koszul numbers. Also, we obtain the following result.

Corollary A. In the setting of the previous theorem, we have

$$\Delta_\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{8\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \left(C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} H_\alpha \otimes H_\alpha \right), \quad (1.13)$$

where $C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)}$ is the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, H_\alpha\}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Phi_I^+$.

The corollary above provides a new formula for the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_ω of an arbitrary G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P in terms of \mathfrak{su}_2 -triples. Further, it relates the Laplacian Δ_ω with the Laplacian of $\omega|_{\mathbb{P}^1_\alpha}$, where $\mathbb{P}^1_\alpha = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})\mathfrak{o} \subset X_P$ (rational curve), $\alpha \in \Phi_I^+$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\omega|_{\mathbb{P}^1_\alpha}} = \frac{8\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \left(C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} H_\alpha \otimes H_\alpha \right), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi_I^+, \quad (1.14)$$

see for instance Example 4.7. In the setting of Problem 1.1, our next result provides a sufficient condition under which an L^2 -function can be realized as mean curvature of a singular Hermitian structure on a holomorphic line bundle $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem B. Let $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\text{deg}_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (1.15)$$

then there exists a sequence of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n) \xrightarrow{L^2} f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_\infty, \quad (1.16)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f, \quad (1.17)$$

in the sense of distributions.

It is worth to point out that the notion of curvature for singular Hermitian structures considered in the above theorem is according to [Dam92]. By taking an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ for the space of square integrable real-valued measurable functions $L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$, consisting of smooth eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\omega_0}$, see for instance [Cha84], [Li12], the sufficient condition in the above theorem relates the zero coefficient of the Fourier expansion of f on this eigenbasis with the

intersection number provided by the $[\omega_0]$ -degree of \mathbf{L} , more precisely, the Fourier expansion of f needs to be a pointwise absolutely convergent series of the form

$$f = \frac{2\pi \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varphi_0 + (f, \varphi_1)_{L^2} \varphi_1 + \dots \quad (1.18)$$

Based on the results provided in [Pee67] on absolute convergence of eigenfunction expansions, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary B. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P and $s > n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$, if $f \in (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{n,1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (1.19)$$

then there exists a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_{sing} on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}) = f, \quad (1.20)$$

in the sense of distributions.

In the above result we consider the interpolation space

$$(H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{\theta,1} = \left\{ f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0}) \mid \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-\frac{\theta}{s}} K(t, f) \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty \right\}, \quad (1.21)$$

such that $0 < \theta < s$, for more details on this subject we suggest [Pee66], [Pee67]. As it can be seen, for every $f \in (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{n,1}$, $s > n$, the condition given in Eq. (1.19) is necessary and sufficient for the solvability of Problem 1.1. We notice that, since

$$\text{Pic}(X_P) = \text{Div}(X_P), \quad (1.22)$$

the last result also has a formulation in terms of Cartier divisor as follows.

Corollary C. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $D \in \text{Div}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(D)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (1.23)$$

then there exists a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_{sing} on $\mathcal{O}(D)$, such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{O}(D), \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}) = f, \quad (1.24)$$

in the sense of distributions.

In the setting of Problem 1.2, we prove the following result.

Theorem C. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , given a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{L} , $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in C^{\infty}(X_P)$, if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (1.25)$$

then the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\alpha_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h} \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

admits a solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_{\infty}$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_{∞} is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\infty}) = f$.

As one could expect from the ideas introduced in [Don85], see also [Kob87], the the study of the flow of Hermitian structures in the above theorem can be reduced to the study of the Poisson equation (Eq. 1.10) and the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} u(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in X_P \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x), \quad \forall x \in X_P, \end{cases} \quad (1.27)$$

on (X_P, ω_0) . In this last setting, we can describe the solutions of Eq. (1.26) in terms of the heat semigroup (e.g. [Gri09], [Cha84]) of (X_P, ω_0) . As a consequence of the previous theorem, considering the topological constant

$$v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}) := (2\pi)n \left(\int_{X_P} [\omega_0]^n \right)^{-1} \mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}), \quad (1.28)$$

we recover the following result.

Corollary D. Let $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P and a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{L} , then the associated Donaldson's heat flow

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L}) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (1.29)$$

admits a unique solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a smooth Hermite-Einstein structure on \mathbf{L} , i.e., $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L})$.

In the setting of the above corollary, it is worth to point out that the existence, uniqueness, and convergence of the flow $\mathbf{h}(t)$ are guaranteed by [Don85], see also [Sim88]. However, it is not known in the literature a constructive method to describe explicit examples of non-trivial solutions of the evolution equation 1.29. In this direction, the proof of Corollary D as a consequence of Theorem C is constructive, i.e., it provides a concrete method to obtain explicit solutions to the Donaldson heat flow and, consequently, to the Yang-Mills heat flow. In order to illustrate this fact, one can take X_P as being an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type, and ω as being the associated G -invariant normal Kähler metric (Example 4.2). In this particular case the Chern connection $\nabla^t \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{A}(t)$ of $\mathbf{h}(t)$ solving the evolution equation 1.29 can be described explicitly in terms of elements of representation theory. More precisely, we have

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \partial \log \left(\frac{\exp \left(-2 \left(\sum_{[\Pi], i} e^{-\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle t} \left[\int_{X_P} g \varphi_{i[\Pi]} d\mu_\omega \right] \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right) \right)}{\left\| \Pi_{\omega_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\omega_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \alpha^\vee \rangle}} \right), \quad (1.30)$$

for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$, such that $g = \frac{1}{2} \log(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}_0^{-1})$, where \mathbf{h}_0 is some suitable reference Hermitian structure fixed on \mathbf{L} . In the last expression above we have that $\{\varphi_{i[\Pi]}\}$ defines an orthonormal basis for $L^2(X_P, \mu_\omega)$, such that

$$\varphi_{i[\Pi]} \in C^\infty(X_P), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\omega \varphi_{i[\Pi]} + \langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle \varphi_{i[\Pi]} = 0, \quad (1.31)$$

for every¹ $i = 1, \dots, d_{[\Pi]}$, and every isomorphism class of spherical representation $[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K$, see for instance [Tak94]. From Eq. (1.30) we obtain an explicit solution to the Yang-Mills heat flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}(t) = -\delta_{\mathbf{A}(t)} F(\mathbf{h}(t)), \\ \mathbf{A}(0) = \mathbf{h}^{-1} \partial \mathbf{h}. \end{cases} \quad (1.32)$$

¹Here $d_{[\Pi]}$ denotes the dimension of the spherical representation Π .

As expected from [Don85] and [UY86], the flow of connections ∇^t converges to a Hermite-Einstein connection ∇^∞ as $t \uparrow +\infty$. A concrete application of the above ideas allows one describing explicitly the solutions of Donaldson's heat flow on any holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(m) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ in terms of spherical harmonics (Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. Visual array of real spherical harmonics for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

In fact, fixed any initial condition

$$\mathbf{h}^{\text{loc}} = \frac{e^{-2g} w \bar{w}}{(1 + |z|^2)^m}, \quad (1.33)$$

such that $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$, and fixed an orthonormal basis $\{Y_k^\ell\}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu_{\omega_{\text{FS}}})$ consisting of real spherical harmonics, i.e.,

$$Y_k^\ell \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\omega_{\text{FS}}} Y_k^\ell + \ell(\ell + 1)Y_k^\ell = 0, \quad (1.34)$$

such that $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k = -\ell, \dots, 0, \dots, \ell$, we have the solution of the Donaldson heat flow with initial condition \mathbf{h} (Eq. 1.33) given explicitly by

$$\mathbf{h}(t)^{\text{loc}} = \frac{\exp\left(-2\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} e^{-\ell(\ell+1)t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} g Y_k^\ell \omega_{\text{FS}}\right] Y_k^\ell(z)\right)\right) w \bar{w}}{(1 + |z|^2)^m}. \quad (1.35)$$

To the best of author's knowledge, none explicit example of solution of Donaldson's heat flow on homogeneous vector bundles is known in the literature. The results obtained in Theorem B can be extended to higher rank homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles as follows.

Theorem D. Given a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$, defined by some irreducible P -module, fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (1.36)$$

then there exists a sequence of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ on \mathbf{E}_ρ , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n) \xrightarrow{L^2} f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_\infty, \quad (1.37)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{E}_ρ . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (1.38)$$

in the sense of distribution.

The key point to establish the above result is that every finite dimensional irreducible P -module $\rho : P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ corresponds to an irreducible L_P -module, where L_P is the Levi subgroup of P . Since L_P is reductive, e.g. [Hum75], we have (Lemma 2.21) the following decomposition

$$V = V_0 \otimes L, \quad (1.39)$$

such that V_0 is a trivial extension of a finite dimensional irreducible $[L_P, L_P]$ -module and L is a trivial extension of an 1-dimensional T_P -module, where $T_P \subset L_P$ is a complex torus, see for instance [Akh95, §3], [Hum75]. From the above decomposition, the result follows applying Theorem B on the holomorphic line bundle defined by the 1-dimensional P -module L . The decomposition given in Eq. (1.39) also provides the following higher-rank version of Theorem C.

Theorem E. Let $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$ be a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle defined by some irreducible P -module. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{E}_ρ , $\varkappa_0 > 0$, such that $\varkappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \mathrm{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})^\circ) = 0, \quad (1.40)$$

then the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (1.41)$$

admits a unique solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{E}_ρ , such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}$.

In the above theorem $F(\mathbf{h})^\circ$ denotes the trace-free part of $F(\mathbf{h})$, i.e.,

$$F(\mathbf{h})^\circ := F(\mathbf{h}) - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(F(\mathbf{h}))}{\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (1.42)$$

Although Theorem E implies Theorem C as a particular case, its proof relies on the result provided by Theorem C. In fact, we observe that the decomposition given in Eq. (1.39) induces a decomposition on \mathbf{E}_ρ of the form $\mathbf{E}_\rho = \mathbf{E}_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}$, such that $c_1(\mathbf{E}_0) = 0$, see for instance [Bis04, Lemma 2.2], and [Bis08, Lemma 3.1]. From this, under the assumption that $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})^\circ) = 0$, the problem of solving the evolution equation 1.41 on \mathbf{E}_ρ reduces to the study of the Problem 1.2 on \mathbf{L} . Thus, the result follows from Theorem C. In addition to the results presented above, we provide in Appendix A.1 a variational formulation for Theorem E in terms of the gradient flow of a weak version of Donaldson's Lagrangian. More precisely, considering the functional $\mathcal{M}_f = 2 \int_X \mathcal{L}_f(-, \mathbf{k})$ defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) := \left(R_2(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) - \frac{f}{n} R_1(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) \omega_0 \right) \wedge \frac{\omega_0^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad \forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho), \quad (1.43)$$

such that $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, for some fixed $\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$, cf. [Don85], [Kob87], we prove the following variational version of Theorem E.

Theorem F. Let $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$ be a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle defined by some irreducible P -module. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , a Hermitian structure $\mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$, and $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \mathrm{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})^\circ) = 0, \quad (1.44)$$

then the gradient flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}(t)), \quad \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \quad (1.45)$$

of the weak Donaldson's Lagrangian \mathcal{M}_f is defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where $\mathbf{h}_\infty \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$ minimizes \mathcal{M}_f .

In the particular case that $f \equiv v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$, from the previous ideas and the above theorem, we obtain an explicit description for the gradient flow of the classical Donaldson's Lagrangian in terms of elements of representation theory (e.g. Eq. (1.35)). The last theorem also allows us to realize Z -critical Hermitian structures (see [DMS20]) as the limit of gradient flows (Appendix A.2). In a nutshell, given a polynomial central charge function $Z_{\Theta,k} : K(X_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[k]$ (see [?]), i.e.,

$$Z_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{X_P} \text{tr}(\widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h})), \quad (1.46)$$

such that $\widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{A}^{n,n}(\text{End}(\mathbf{E}))$, for some $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$, we show that solutions of the fully non-linear partial differential equation on $\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$

$$\text{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E})} \widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h})\right) = 0, \quad (1.47)$$

which is known as Z -critical equation [DMS20], can be realized as the limit of a gradient flow defined by a suitable weak Donaldson's Lagrangian. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem G. Let $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$ be an irreducible homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , and a polynomial central charge function $Z_{\Theta,k} : K(X_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[k]$, then there exists a gradient flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}(t)), \quad \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \quad (1.48)$$

defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$, where $\mathcal{M}_\Theta : \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a weak Donaldson's Lagrangian, such that the following holds

$$\lim_{t,k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(t))\right)}{k^{2n-1}} = 0. \quad (1.49)$$

Moreover, up to a gauge transformation, $\{\mathbf{h}(t)\}_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ converges to a Z -critical Hermitian metric.

It is worth to point out that the existence of solutions to the Z -critical equation in the large volume limit, i.e., considering $(X, k\omega)$, $k \gg 0$, for slope stable holomorphic vector bundles was established in [DMS20]. In order to prove Theorem G, we combine [DMS20, Lemma 2.28] with Theorem F to obtain

$$\frac{\text{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h})\right)}{k^{2n-1}} = (\text{const.}) \mathbf{h}^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \quad (1.50)$$

for some suitable choice of weak Donaldson's Lagrangian $\mathcal{M}_\Theta : \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, in the large volume limit $k \gg 0$, we have

$$\text{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \widehat{Z}_{\Theta,k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h})\right) \approx k^{2n-1} (\text{const.}) \mathbf{h}^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}), \quad (1.51)$$

in other words, in the large volume limit the solutions of the Z -critical equation are in correspondence with stationary solutions of the gradient flow equation of \mathcal{M}_Θ . In particular, considering the central charge underlying the deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills equation, i.e.,

$$Z_{\text{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) := (\sqrt{-1})^{n+1} \int_X e^{-k\sqrt{-1}[\omega]} \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n!} \int_X \text{tr} \left(k\omega \otimes 1_{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^n, \quad (1.52)$$

see for instance [CY18, §8.1], [DMS20, Example 2.25], it follows that the associated Lagrangian $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dHYM}}$ turns out to be the classical Donaldson's Lagrangian $\mathcal{M}_{v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}$. In view of Theorem G,

since \mathbf{E}_ρ is stable (e.g. [Ram66], [Ume78], [Kob86]), for $k \gg 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathrm{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{HYM}})\right) \approx 0, \quad (1.53)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{HYM}}$ is the Hermite-Einstein structure obtained via Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence.

Acknowledgments. E. M. Correa is supported by São Paulo Research Foundation FAPESP grant 2022/10429-3.

2. GENERALITIES ON FLAG VARIETIES

In this section, we review some basic generalities about flag varieties. For more details on the subject presented in this section, we suggest [Akh95], [LB18], [Hum75], [BR62], [KK96].

2.1. Basic structure of simple Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra. By fixing a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and a simple root system $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, we have a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, here we denote by $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ the root system associated with the simple root system $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let us denote by κ the Cartan-Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$. From this, for every $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, we have $t_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, such that $\alpha = \kappa(t_\alpha, \cdot)$, and $[x, y] = \kappa(x, y)t_\alpha$. Moreover, we can choose $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $y_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, such that

$$[x_\alpha, y_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha, \quad [h_\alpha, x_\alpha] = 2x_\alpha, \quad [h_\alpha, y_{-\alpha}] = -2y_{-\alpha}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$h_\alpha = \frac{2}{\kappa(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)} t_\alpha, \quad (2.2)$$

see for instance [Hum75]. Hence, we have $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\alpha) := \mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{x_\alpha, y_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha\} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, for every $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. The set $\{x_\alpha, y_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha\}$ is called standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -triple associated with $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Now we recall the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{R} is said to be compact if its Killing form κ is negative definite.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . A real subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a real form of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ if $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$.

Given a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$, we can construct a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ in the following manner: for each $\alpha \in \Phi$, it is possible to choose a root vector $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, such that

- (1) $\kappa(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = 1$, $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \Phi^+$,
- (2) $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = m_{\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}$, if $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$,
- (3) $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = 0$, if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$,

such that $m_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m_{-\alpha-\beta} = -m_{\alpha\beta}$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, see for instance [KK96]. From above, it follows that the set $\{e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}, t_\beta \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, \beta \in \Delta\}$ defines a basis for $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ (a.k.a. Weyl basis). By means of the above data we define:

- (i) $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} := \mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{R}\{t_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$,
- (ii) $A_\alpha := e_\alpha - e_{-\alpha}$, $B_\alpha = \sqrt{-1}(e_\alpha + e_{-\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

From above, we set

$$\mathfrak{g} := \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \underbrace{\mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{R}\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha\}}_{\mathfrak{u}_\alpha} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

By construction, it can be shown that the structure constants of \mathfrak{g} defined above lie in \mathbb{R} . Thus, $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ is a real Lie subalgebra. Further, we have $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$. Now

we observe that the restriction of κ to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive definite, so κ restricted to $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is negative definite. By construction, since $\kappa(e_{\beta}, e_{-\beta}) = 1$, it follows that

$$\kappa(A_{\beta}, A_{\beta}) = \kappa(B_{\beta}, B_{\beta}) = -2, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Moreover, since $\kappa(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}) = 0$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, such that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\kappa(\sqrt{-1}t_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}) = \kappa(\sqrt{-1}t_{\alpha}, B_{\beta}) = \kappa(A_{\alpha}, B_{\beta}) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, we conclude that \mathfrak{g} defined in Eq. (2.3) is a compact real form of \mathfrak{g} . By taking an orthonormal basis $\{T_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, with respect to $\kappa|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}}$, we obtain an orthogonal basis for \mathfrak{g} , with respect to $-\kappa|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$, given by

$$\{A_{\beta}, B_{\beta}, Z_{\alpha} := \sqrt{-1}T_{\alpha}; \beta \in \Phi^+, \alpha \in \Delta\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Further, for every $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, the elements of $\{A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha} := \sqrt{-1}t_{\alpha}\}$ satisfy the following relations

$$[A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}] = 2H_{\alpha}, \quad [B_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}] = \alpha(t_{\alpha})A_{\alpha}, \quad [H_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha}] = \alpha(t_{\alpha})B_{\alpha}. \quad (2.7)$$

From above, we have $\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha) := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\} \cong \mathfrak{su}_2$. In this last case, the set $\{A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\}$ is called standard \mathfrak{su}_2 -triple associated with $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Let $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , where \mathfrak{g} is given as in Eq. (2.3). Considering an orthonormal basis x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathfrak{g} , w.r.t. $-\kappa|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$, we set

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x_i \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}). \quad (2.8)$$

The element $C_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is called Casimir element of \mathfrak{g} . From above, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.3. In the above setting, $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Given another orthonormal basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , we have

$$y_j = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij}x_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $A = (A_{ij})$ satisfies $A^T = A^{-1}$, we obtain the following

$$\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \otimes y_j = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n A_{ij}A_{kj}x_i \otimes x_k \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n A_{ij}(A^{-1})_{jk}x_i \otimes x_k = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x_i. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, given any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that

$$[x, x_j] = - \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa([x, x_j], x_i)x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{ad}(x)_{ij}x_i. \quad (2.11)$$

From above, we have $\text{ad}(x)_{ij} = -\kappa([x, x_j], x_i) = \kappa([x, x_i], x_j) = -\text{ad}(x)_{ji}$. Thus, we obtain

$$[x, C_{\mathfrak{g}}] = \sum_{j=1}^n \left([x, x_j] \otimes x_j + x_j \otimes [x, x_j] \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\text{ad}(x)_{ij} + \text{ad}(x)_{ji})x_i \otimes x_j = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, we conclude that $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Remark 2.4. From the result above, considering the orthogonal basis described in Eq. (2.6), after a normalization, we can describe $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ as follows

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \frac{1}{2} (A_{\beta} \otimes A_{\beta} + B_{\beta} \otimes B_{\beta}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} Z_{\alpha} \otimes Z_{\alpha}. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, considering $C_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$, it follows that

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} = - \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \left(e_{\beta} \otimes e_{-\beta} + e_{-\beta} \otimes e_{\beta} \right) - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_{\alpha} \otimes T_{\alpha}, \quad (2.14)$$

recall that $A_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha} - e_{-\alpha}$, $B_{\alpha} = \sqrt{-1}(e_{\alpha} + e_{-\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $Z_{\alpha} = \sqrt{-1}T_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta$.

2.2. Simple Lie groups and flag varieties. From now on, we fix a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+, \quad (2.15)$$

induced by a triple $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}, \Delta)$, where \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra, and Δ is a simple root system. Further, we will refer to a compact real form \mathfrak{g} of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as being a compact real form as described in Eq. (2.3). Let $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a connected, simply connected, and complex Lie group, such that $\text{Lie}(G^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. In this setting, we consider the following definitions.

Definition 2.5. A Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a Borel subalgebra if \mathfrak{b} is a maximal solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 2.6. A Lie subgroup $B \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a Borel subgroup if $\text{Lie}(B) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Borel subalgebra.

Associated with the decomposition given in Eq. (2.15) we can define a Borel subalgebra by setting $\mathfrak{b}(\Delta) := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$. In this last case, $\mathfrak{b}(\Delta)$ is called standard Borel subalgebra relative to \mathfrak{h} . Now we have the following result (see for instance [LB18], [Hum75], [Hum72]):

Theorem 2.7. *Any two Borel (subgroups) subalgebras are conjugate.*

From the result above, given a Borel subgroup $B \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$, up to conjugation, we can always suppose that $B = \exp(\mathfrak{b})$, with $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}(\Delta)$. Consider now the following definition.

Definition 2.8. A Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called parabolic if \mathfrak{p} contains some Borel subalgebra. A Lie subgroup $P \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called parabolic if P contains some Borel subgroup.

Given $I \subset \Delta$, we can construct a parabolic Lie subalgebra by setting

$$\mathfrak{p}_I := \mathfrak{b}(\Delta) \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \langle I \rangle^-} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right). \quad (2.16)$$

A Lie subalgebra as above is called standard parabolic Lie subalgebra relative to Δ . Let $P_I \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the Lie subgroup, such that $\text{Lie}(P_I) = \mathfrak{p}_I$. By construction, P_I is a parabolic Lie subgroup. We can show that $P_I = N_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}_I)$, where $N_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}_I)$ is the normalizer in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_I \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, see for instance [Akh95, §3.1]. From Theorem 2.7, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *Every parabolic Lie subalgebra is conjugated to one and only one standard parabolic Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_I relative to Δ , for some $I \subset \Delta$.*

From the result above, given a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$, up to conjugation, we can always suppose that $P = P_I$, for some $I \subset \Delta$. It is worth to observe that

$$\mathfrak{p}_I = \underbrace{\left(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \langle I \rangle} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)}_{\mathfrak{l}_P} \oplus \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)}_{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}. \quad (2.17)$$

In the above decomposition (direct sum of vector spaces), \mathfrak{l}_P is called the Levi factor and \mathfrak{u}_P^+ is called the unipotent radical (maximal solvable ideal) of \mathfrak{p}_I . Notice that \mathfrak{l}_P is a reductive Lie subalgebra, thus

$$\mathfrak{l}_P = [\mathfrak{l}_P, \mathfrak{l}_P] \oplus \mathfrak{c}_P, \quad (2.18)$$

where $[\mathfrak{L}_P, \mathfrak{L}_P]$ is semisimple and \mathfrak{c}_P is the center of \mathfrak{L}_P . Moreover, we have

$$\mathfrak{c}_P = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{h_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I\}. \quad (2.19)$$

At the level of Lie groups, we have the Levi decomposition

$$P_I = L_P R_u(P_I)^+ = L_P \ltimes R_u(P_I)^+, \quad (2.20)$$

such that

$$L_P = [L_P, L_P]T(\Delta \setminus I)^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $T(\Delta \setminus I)^{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(\mathfrak{c}_P)$. Further, since $R_u(P_I)^+ \subset [P_I, P_I]$, we obtain

$$P_I = [P_I, P_I]T(\Delta \setminus I)^{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (2.22)$$

In the above setting, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.10. A homogeneous variety $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$, where $P \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a parabolic subgroup, is called a flag variety (of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$).

Remark 2.11. Given $I \subset \Delta$, we shall denote $\Phi_I^\pm := \Phi^\pm \setminus \langle I \rangle^\pm$, such that $\langle I \rangle^\pm = \langle I \rangle \cap \Phi^\pm$. In particular, we have $\Phi_I := \Phi_I^+ \cup \Phi_I^-$.

Let $G \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the compact connected and simply connected Lie subgroup, such that $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ (compact real form). Given a parabolic Lie subgroup $P \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $P = P_I$, for some $I \subset \Delta$, considering $\mathfrak{p}_I = \text{Lie}(P)$, it follows from Eq. (2.3) and Eq. (2.17) that $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{p}_I \cap \mathfrak{g}$ can be written in the following way

$$\mathfrak{k} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \langle I \rangle^+} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{A_\alpha, B_\alpha\} \right) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \langle I \rangle^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha \right). \quad (2.23)$$

Considering the closed connected Lie subgroup $K \subset G$, such that $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$, we obtain the following characterization

$$X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P = G/P \cap G = G/K. \quad (2.24)$$

From above, we notice that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0, \quad \text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0, \quad \forall k \in K, \quad (2.25)$$

such that $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$. We should also observe that

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \quad (2.26)$$

Denoting $\mathfrak{o} = eK \in X_P$, it follows that

$$T_{\mathfrak{o}}X_P \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{m}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha. \quad (2.27)$$

Since X_P is a homogeneous space of a complex Lie group, it has a natural structure of a complex manifold. The associated G -invariant integrable almost complex structure J commutes with the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{m}_0 , and is completely determined at $\mathfrak{o} \in X_P$ by $J : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$, such that

$$J(e_{-\alpha}) = \sqrt{-1}e_{-\alpha}, \quad J(e_\alpha) = -\sqrt{-1}e_\alpha, \quad (2.28)$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, see for instance [SMN03]. In particular, we have $J : \mathfrak{m}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_0$, such that

$$J(A_\alpha) = -B_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad J(B_\alpha) = A_\alpha, \quad (2.29)$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi_I^+$. The above integrable almost complex structure defines a holomorphic structure on X_P , such that the projection $\pi : G^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_P$ is a holomorphic map. Considering

$$(T_{\mathfrak{o}}X_P)_{\mathbb{C}} = T_{\mathfrak{o}}^{1,0}X_P \oplus T_{\mathfrak{o}}^{0,1}X_P, \quad (2.30)$$

we have the isomorphism of complex vector spaces $(T_0 X_P, J) \cong T_0^{1,0} X_P$, defined by

$$A_\alpha \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}(A_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}J(A_\alpha)) = e_{-\alpha}, \quad (2.31)$$

for every $\alpha \in \Phi_I^+$. Notice that

$$T_0^{1,0} X_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad T_0^{0,1} X_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \quad (2.32)$$

Remark 2.12. Throughout this work we shall consider only the integrable almost complex structure defined in Eq. (2.28) on X_P . For more details concerned with almost complex structures on flag varieties, we suggest [SMN03].

2.3. Highest weight modules. Let us recall some basic facts about the representation theory of complex simple Lie algebras, a detailed exposition on the subject to be presented below can be found in [Hum72]. By keeping the previous notation, for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, we set

$$\alpha^\vee := \frac{2}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha.$$

Remark 2.13. In what follows, $\forall \phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \kappa(t_\phi, t_\psi), \quad (2.33)$$

where $t_\phi, t_\psi \in \mathfrak{h}$ are, respectively, the dual of ϕ and ψ with respect to the Killing form κ .

In this setting, the fundamental weights $\{\varpi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ of $(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h})$ are defined by requiring that $\langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. We denote by

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_\alpha, \quad \Lambda^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_\alpha, \quad \Lambda^{++} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \varpi_\alpha, \quad (2.34)$$

respectively, the set of weights, the set of integral dominant weights, and the set of strongly dominant weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$. From above, given $\alpha \in \Delta$, it follows that

$$\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} C_{\alpha\beta} \varpi_\beta, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad C_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Delta. \quad (2.35)$$

In other words, the Cartan matrix $C = (C_{\alpha\beta})$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ express the change of basis defined by simple roots and fundamental weights. Let $\Pi : \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an arbitrary finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ -module. By considering its weight space decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Phi(V)} V_\mu,$$

such that $V_\mu = \{v \in V \mid \Pi(h)v = \mu(h)v, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \neq \{0\}, \forall \mu \in \Phi(V) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.14. A highest weight vector (of weight λ) in a $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ -module V is a non-zero vector $v_\lambda^+ \in V_\lambda$, such that

$$\Pi(x)v_\lambda^+ = 0, \quad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{n}^+).$$

A weight $\lambda \in \Phi(V)$ associated with a highest weight vector is called highest weight of V .

From above, we consider the following Cartan's highest weight theory results (e.g. [Hum72]):

- (A) Every finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ -module V admits a highest weight vector v_λ^+ . Moreover, v_λ^+ is the unique highest weight vector of V , up to non-zero scalar multiples.
- (B) Let V and W be finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ -modules with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then, V and W are isomorphic. We will denote by $\Pi_\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V(\lambda))$ an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ -module with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.
- (C) In the above setting, the following hold:

- (C1) If V is an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -module with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.
- (C2) If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then there exists an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -module V , such that $V = V(\lambda)$.

From item (C), it follows that the map $\lambda \mapsto V(\lambda)$ induces an one-to-one correspondence between Λ^+ and the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules.

Remark 2.15. In what follows, it will be useful also to consider the following facts:

- (i) For all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, we have $V(\lambda) = \Pi_\lambda(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}))v_\lambda^+$, where $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$;
- (ii) The fundamental representations are defined by $V(\varpi_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Delta$;
- (iii) For all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, we have the following equivalence of induced irreducible representations

$$\Pi_\lambda : G^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V(\lambda)) \iff (d\Pi_\lambda)_e : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V(\lambda)),$$

such that $\Pi_\lambda(\exp(x)) = \exp((d\Pi_\lambda)_e(x))$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, notice that $G^{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) \rangle$. For the sake of simplicity, we denote $(d\Pi_\lambda)_e$ also by Π_λ .

Considering the compact real form $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the compact connected and simply connected Lie subgroup $G \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$, let us recall that a linear representation $\Pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is said to be unitary if $(V, \langle \langle -, - \rangle \rangle)$ is a complex Hilbert space and

$$\langle \langle \Pi(g)v, \Pi(g)w \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle v, w \rangle \rangle, \quad (2.36)$$

for all $g \in G$, and for all $v, w \in V$. Let dg be the normalized bi-invariant Haar measure on G (e.g. [Fol16]), that is, it has the property that

$$\int_G dg = 1. \quad (2.37)$$

We shall denote by $L^2(G) = L^2(G, dg)$ the space of square integrable complex-valued measurable functions on G , i.e., the space of measurable functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\|f\|_2 = \left(\int_G |f(g)|^2 dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \quad (2.38)$$

For us it will be important to consider the following known results (e.g. [Tak94], [KK96], [Fol16]):

- (U1) Every finite-dimensional unitary representation (Π, V) is a direct sum of unitary irreducible representations;
- (U2) Given a finite-dimensional irreducible representation $\Pi_\lambda : G^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V(\lambda))$ with highest weight λ , then the restriction $\Pi_\lambda := \Pi_\lambda|_G$ is an irreducible unitary representation;
- (U3) The map

$$(\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda)) \mapsto (\Pi_\lambda|_G, V(\lambda)),$$

induces a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules onto the set of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional unitary irreducible representations of G ;

- (U4) (Peter-Weyl) Let us denote by \widehat{G} the set of all equivalence class of irreducible, finite-dimensional, unitary representations of G . Then,

$$L^2(G) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}} V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^*}, \quad (2.39)$$

such that $[\Pi_\lambda]$ denotes the equivalence class of $(\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda))$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Remark 2.16. If $\{\mathcal{H}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ are Hilbert spaces with inner products $\langle \langle -, - \rangle \rangle_s$, recall that the Hilbert space direct sum is

$$\widehat{\bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{H}_s} = \left\{ (v_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mid v_s \in \mathcal{H}_s, \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \|v_s\|_s < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.40)$$

The Hilbert space direct sum is a Hilbert space with inner product defined by

$$\langle\langle (v_s), (v'_s) \rangle\rangle = \sum_s \langle\langle v_s, v'_s \rangle\rangle_s. \quad (2.41)$$

Further, it contains $\bigoplus_s \mathcal{H}_s$ as a dense subspace with $\mathcal{H}_a \perp \mathcal{H}_b$, for all distinct $a, b \in S$.

In the above setting, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.17. Given a closed Lie subgroup $K \subset G$ and an irreducible representation (Π, V) , considering

$$V^K := \left\{ v \in V \mid \Pi(k)v = v, \forall k \in K \right\}, \quad (2.42)$$

we say that (Π, V) is a spherical representation for the pair (G, K) if $V^K \neq \{0\}$.

Given a flag variety $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P = G/K$, such that $K = P \cap G$, let us denote by \widehat{G}_K the subset of \widehat{G} of all equivalence classes of spherical representation for the pair (G, K) . Fixed a normalized bi-invariant Haar measure dk on K , since G and K are both unimodular, we have a unique (up to scale) G -invariant Radon measure μ on X_P , such that

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \int_{X_P} \underbrace{\left(\int_K f(gk)dk \right)}_{:=\wp_K(f)} d\mu, \quad (2.43)$$

for all continuous complex-valued functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, see for instance [Fol16]. In the above equation, denoting by $C_0(G)$ and $C_0(X_P)$ the space of continuous complex-valued functions, we have a well-defined surjective the map $\wp_K : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_0(X_P)$. Consider the space of square integrable complex valued functions $L^2(X_P, \mu)$. If ν is another G -invariant Radon measure on X_P , it follows that $d\nu = c d\mu$, for some constant $c > 0$, so $L^2(X_P, \nu) = L^2(X_P, \mu)$, see for instance [Fol16]. Therefore, fixed some G -invariant Radon measure on X_P , we shall simply denote $L^2(X_P) := L^2(X_P, \nu)$. In this setting, we have the following result (e.g. [Tak94]).

Theorem 2.18. Given a flag variety $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P = G/K$, such that $K = P \cap G$, for some parabolic Lie subgroup $P \subset G^\mathbb{C}$, then

$$L^2(X_P) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}_K} V(\lambda) \otimes (V(\lambda)^*)^K}, \quad (2.44)$$

where $\widehat{G}_K = \{[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G} \mid (\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda)) \text{ is spherical for the pair } (G, K)\}$.

Consider now the action of G on $C_0(X_P)$, such that

$$(g \cdot f)(aK) = f(g^{-1}aK), \quad (2.45)$$

$\forall f \in C_0(X_P)$, $\forall g \in G$, and $\forall aK \in X_P$. In the setting of the above theorem, from the linear inclusion

$$\iota_\lambda : V(\lambda) \otimes (V(\lambda)^*)^K \hookrightarrow C_0(X_P), \quad v \otimes \phi \mapsto f_{v \otimes \phi}, \quad (2.46)$$

such that $f_{v \otimes \phi}(aK) := \phi(\Pi_\lambda(a^{-1})v) = (\Pi_\lambda^*(a)\phi)(v)$, $\forall aK \in X_P$, we have that

$$\iota_\lambda((\Pi_\lambda(g)v) \otimes \phi) = g \cdot f_{v \otimes \phi}, \quad (2.47)$$

$\forall v \otimes \phi \in V(\lambda) \otimes (V(\lambda)^*)^K$, i.e., ι_λ is a G -equivariant map, here G acts on the first factor of $V(\lambda) \otimes (V(\lambda)^*)^K$ through Π_λ . By considering the isomorphism $(V(\lambda)^*)^K \cong V(\lambda)^K$, induced by some G -invariant inner product $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle$ on $V(\lambda)$, we have $\phi = \langle\langle -, w_\phi \rangle\rangle$, such that $w_\phi \in V(\lambda)^K$, for every $\phi \in (V(\lambda)^*)^K$. Thus, we obtain

$$\Pi_\lambda^*(g)\phi = \phi \circ \Pi_\lambda(g^{-1}) = \langle\langle \Pi_\lambda(g^{-1})(-), w_\phi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle -, \Pi_\lambda(g)w_\phi \rangle\rangle. \quad (2.48)$$

In conclusion, G acts on $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^K$, as a subspace of $C_0(X_P)$, in the following way

$$(g \cdot f_{v \otimes w_\phi})(aK) = f_{v \otimes w_\phi}(g^{-1}aK) = \langle \langle \Pi_\lambda(g^{-1}a)v, w_\phi \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Pi_\lambda(a)v, \Pi_\lambda(g)w_\phi \rangle \rangle = f_{v \otimes (\Pi_\lambda(g)w_\phi)}(aK), \quad (2.49)$$

$\forall v \otimes w_\phi \in V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^K$, and $\forall aK \in X_P$. Now we consider the following result.

Theorem 2.19. *Considering the Casimir element $C_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then we have*

- (1) $\text{Ad}(g)C_{\mathfrak{g}} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}$, for every $g \in G$;
- (2) $\Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbf{c}(\lambda)1_{V(\lambda)}$, such that

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda) = -\langle \lambda + 2\rho^+, \lambda \rangle, \quad (2.50)$$

with $\rho^+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \beta$, for every finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $(\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda))$.

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} w.r.t. $-\kappa|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$. Since $-\kappa|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$ is Ad-invariant, for every $g \in G$, it follows that $y_1 = \text{Ad}(g)x_1, \dots, y_n = \text{Ad}(g)x_n$ also is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} . Thus, since $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ does not depend on the orthonormal basis, we have

$$\text{Ad}(g)C_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Ad}(g) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{Ad}(g)x_i) \otimes (\text{Ad}(g)x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes y_i = C_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (2.51)$$

which proves item (1). Now let $(\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda))$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -module. From item (1), we have $\Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ \Pi_\lambda(g) = \Pi_\lambda(g) \circ \Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}})$, $\forall g \in G$. Thus, by Schur's lemma, it follows that $\Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbf{c}(\lambda)1_{V(\lambda)}$, for some constant $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$. From Remark 2.4, considering $C_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$, we have the following characterization

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} = - \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} (e_\beta \otimes e_{-\beta} + e_{-\beta} \otimes e_\beta) - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha \otimes T_\alpha. \quad (2.52)$$

Since $\Pi_\lambda(e_\beta)v_\lambda^+ = 0$, for every $\beta \in \Phi^+$ (Definition 2.14), it follows that

$$\Pi_\lambda(e_\beta \otimes e_{-\beta} + e_{-\beta} \otimes e_\beta)v_\lambda^+ = \left(\Pi_\lambda(e_\beta) \circ \Pi_\lambda(e_{-\beta}) \right)v_\lambda^+. \quad (2.53)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\left(\Pi_\lambda(e_\beta) \circ \Pi_\lambda(e_{-\beta}) \right)v_\lambda^+ = \underbrace{\left(\Pi_\lambda(e_\beta) \circ \Pi_\lambda(e_{-\beta}) - \Pi_\lambda(e_{-\beta}) \circ \Pi_\lambda(e_\beta) \right)}_{\Pi_\lambda([e_\beta, e_{-\beta}]) = \Pi_\lambda(t_\beta)} v_\lambda^+ = \Pi_\lambda(t_\beta)v_\lambda^+, \quad (2.54)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Observing that $\Pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda^+ = \lambda(h)v_\lambda^+$, $\forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$, it follows that

$$\Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}})v_\lambda^+ = - \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \lambda(t_\beta) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \lambda(T_\alpha)^2 \right)v_\lambda^+. \quad (2.55)$$

Since $\lambda(h_\beta) = \kappa(h_\lambda, h_\beta) = \langle \lambda, \beta \rangle$, $\forall \beta \in \Phi^+$, with $h_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \lambda(T_\alpha)T_\alpha$, and

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = \kappa(h_\lambda, h_\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \lambda(T_\alpha)^2, \quad (2.56)$$

recall that $\{T_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to $\kappa|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda) = - \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \langle \lambda, \beta \rangle + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \right) = - \left(\langle \lambda, \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \beta \rangle + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \right) = -\langle \lambda + 2\rho^+, \lambda \rangle, \quad (2.57)$$

with $\rho^+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \beta$, which concludes the proof. \square

Considering the action of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C_0(X_P)$ induced from the infinitesimal action of G on $C_0(X_P)$ defined in Eq. (2.45), from Eq. (2.49), and from the above theorem, we have that $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^K$ (as a subspace of $C_0(X_P)$) in the following way

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (f_{v \otimes w}) = f_{v \otimes (\Pi_\lambda(C_{\mathfrak{g}})w)} = \mathbf{c}(\lambda)f_{v \otimes w}, \quad (2.58)$$

for every $v \otimes w \in V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^K$, and every $[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}_K$.

Remark 2.20. In general, given $[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}_K$, considering the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0$, such that $\mathfrak{m}_0 = T_0 X_P$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$, for every $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant symmetric tensors $Q \in S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K^{\mathbb{C}} = S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $S(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ denotes the symmetric tensor algebra of the vector space \mathfrak{m}_0 , we have

$$\Pi_\lambda(k) \circ \Pi_\lambda(Q) \circ \Pi_\lambda(k)^{-1} = \Pi_\lambda(\text{Ad}(k)Q) = \Pi_\lambda(Q), \quad (2.59)$$

for every $k \in K$. Thus, by Schur's lemma, it follows that Q acts on $V(\lambda)^K$ as a diagonal operator.

2.4. Representations of parabolic Lie subalgebras. The main purpose of this subsection is to introduce some basic concepts related with the representation theory of parabolic subalgebras (subgroups), for more details on this subject, we suggest [BE16], [Hum72], [KK96], [Hum75]. By keeping the previous notation, let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a flag variety. Considering the parabolic Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Lie}(P) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, since

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I := \mathfrak{h}(\Delta) \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in (I)^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \right), \quad (2.60)$$

for some $I \subset \Delta$, it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, so weights also have a meaning for \mathfrak{p} . Considering the underlying Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}_P \oplus \mathfrak{u}_P^+$, see Eq. (2.17), it follows that \mathfrak{u}_P^+ acts trivially on finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \mathfrak{p} . In fact, let $\rho: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an irreducible \mathfrak{p} -module. Since \mathfrak{u}_P^+ is a maximal solvable ideal of \mathfrak{p} , by Engel's theorem (e.g. [Hum75]) there exists a non-zero vector $v_0 \in V$, such that

$$\rho(x)v_0 = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_P^+. \quad (2.61)$$

Therefore, we have $V' := \{v \in V \mid \rho(x)v = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_P^+\} \neq \{0\}$. Since \mathfrak{u}_P^+ is an ideal of \mathfrak{p} , $\forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_P^+, \forall y \in \mathfrak{p}$, and $\forall v \in V'$, we obtain

$$\rho(x)(\rho(y)v) = \rho([x, y])v + \rho(y)(\rho(x)v) = 0, \quad (2.62)$$

i.e., $V' \subset V$ is \mathfrak{p} -invariant. Since V is irreducible and $V' \neq \{0\}$, we conclude that $V' = V$. From this, it follows that an irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{p} -module corresponds to an irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{l}_P -module. The converse is certainly true, since we can extend trivially an irreducible \mathfrak{l}_P -module to \mathfrak{p} by defining the action of \mathfrak{u}_P^+ as being trivial. Observing that $\mathfrak{l}_P = [\mathfrak{l}_P, \mathfrak{l}_P] \oplus \mathfrak{c}_P$ (i.e., \mathfrak{l}_P is reductive), we have the following fundamental result.

Lemma 2.21. Let $\rho: \mathfrak{l}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{l}_P -module. Then, we have the following isomorphism of \mathfrak{l}_P -modules

$$V \cong V_0 \otimes L, \quad (2.63)$$

such that V_0 is a trivial extension to \mathfrak{l}_P of a finite-dimensional irreducible $[\mathfrak{l}_P, \mathfrak{l}_P]$ -module and L is a trivial extension to \mathfrak{l}_P of a 1-dimensional \mathfrak{c}_P -module.

Proof. From Lie's theorem (e.g. [Hum75]), we have $\phi \in \mathfrak{c}_P^*$, such that

$$W_\phi = \left\{ v \in V \mid \rho(z)v + \phi(z)v = 0, \forall z \in \mathfrak{c}_P \right\} \neq \{0\}. \quad (2.64)$$

Since \mathfrak{c}_P is the center of \mathfrak{l}_P , it follows that W_ϕ is \mathfrak{l}_P -invariant. Therefore, since V is irreducible, we have that $W_\phi = V$, so $\rho(z) = -\phi(z)1_V, \forall z \in \mathfrak{c}_P$. Now we extend the functional $\phi: \mathfrak{c}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to a functional $\widehat{\phi}: \mathfrak{l}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{gl}_1(\mathbb{C})$, by setting $\widehat{\phi}|_{\mathfrak{c}_P} = \phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}|_{[\mathfrak{l}_P, \mathfrak{l}_P]} \equiv 0$. This extension gives rise to a 1-dimensional representation $\rho_\phi: \mathfrak{l}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})$, such that $\mathbb{C}_{-\phi} = \mathbb{C}$ as a vector space, and

$$\rho_\phi(x)a = -\widehat{\phi}(x)a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \forall x \in \mathfrak{l}_P. \quad (2.65)$$

By construction, it follows that $\mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$ is a trivial extension of a \mathfrak{c}_P -module to \mathfrak{l}_P . From above, we define $V_0 := V \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^*$ and consider the \mathfrak{l}_P -module

$$\rho_0 := \rho \otimes \rho_\phi^*: \mathfrak{l}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^*). \quad (2.66)$$

Given $z \in \mathfrak{c}_P$ and $u \otimes f \in V_0$, it follows that

$$(\varrho \otimes \varrho_\phi^*)(z)(u \otimes f) = (\varrho(z)u) \otimes f + u \otimes (\varrho_\phi^*(z)f) = (\widehat{\phi}(z) - \widehat{\phi}(z))(u \otimes f) = 0. \quad (2.67)$$

Therefore, the action of \mathfrak{c}_P on V_0 is trivial, so we can consider V_0 as a trivial extension of a $[\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P]$ -module to \mathfrak{I}_P . Consider now the \mathfrak{I}_P -module

$$\varrho_\phi^* \otimes \varrho_\phi : \mathfrak{I}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}((\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}). \quad (2.68)$$

It follows that the action of \mathfrak{I}_P on $(\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$ is trivial, since

$$(\varrho_\phi^* \otimes \varrho_\phi)(x)(f \otimes a) = (\varrho_\phi^*(x)f) \otimes a + f \otimes (\varrho_\phi(x)a) = (\widehat{\phi}(x) - \widehat{\phi}(x))(f \otimes a) = 0, \quad (2.69)$$

$\forall x \in \mathfrak{I}_P$, and $\forall f \otimes a \in (\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$. From above, defining $L := \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$ we obtain the following isomorphisms of \mathfrak{I}_P -modules

$$V \cong V \otimes ((\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}) \cong (V \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi} = V_0 \otimes L. \quad (2.70)$$

The isomorphism above can be constructed explicitly as follows. Consider $\theta_1 \in (\mathbb{C}_{-\phi})^*$, such that

$$\theta_1(a) = a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}_{-\phi} = \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.71)$$

Now we define $h : V \rightarrow V_0 \otimes L$, such that

$$h(v) = (v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (2.72)$$

By construction, we have that h is a linear isomorphism. Moreover, $\forall y \in [\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P]$ and $\forall z \in \mathfrak{c}_P$, we have

$$h(\varrho(y+z)v) = ((\varrho(y)v) \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1 + ((\varrho(z)v) \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1. \quad (2.73)$$

Observing that

$$(i) \quad (\varrho(y)v) \otimes \theta_1 = (\varrho(y)v) \otimes \theta_1 + v \otimes (\varrho_\phi^*(y)\theta_1) = (\varrho \otimes \varrho_\phi^*)(y)(v \otimes \theta_1) = \varrho_0(y)(v \otimes \theta_1),$$

$$(ii) \quad ((\varrho(z)v) \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1 = ((-\widehat{\phi}(z)v) \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1 = (v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes (-\widehat{\phi}(z)1) = (v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes (\varrho_\phi(z)1),$$

it follows that Eq. (2.73) can be rewritten as follows

$$h(\varrho(y+z)v) = \varrho_0(y)(v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1 + (v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes (\varrho_\phi(z)1). \quad (2.74)$$

Since $\varrho_0(y+z) = \varrho_0(y)$ and $\varrho_\phi(y+z) = \varrho_\phi(z)$, from above we conclude that

$$h(\varrho(y+z)v) = \underbrace{(\varrho_0(y+z)(v \otimes \theta_1)) \otimes 1 + (v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes (\varrho_\phi(y+z)1)}_{(\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_\phi)(y+z)((v \otimes \theta_1) \otimes 1)} = (\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_\phi)(y+z)h(v). \quad (2.75)$$

Hence, $h : V \rightarrow V_0 \otimes L$ is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{I}_P -modules. Now it remains to show that V_0 is irreducible. Suppose that $W_0 \subsetneq V_0$ is a $[\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P]$ -invariant subspace. Define

$$W' = \{v \otimes 1 \in V_0 \otimes L \mid v \in W_0\} \subsetneq V_0 \otimes L. \quad (2.76)$$

Given $v \otimes 1 \in W'$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{I}_P$, we have

$$(\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_\phi)(x)(v \otimes 1) = (\varrho_0(x)v) \otimes 1 + v \otimes (\varrho_\phi(x)1) = (\varrho_0(x)v - \widehat{\phi}(x)v) \otimes 1. \quad (2.77)$$

Since that action of \mathfrak{c}_P on V_0 is trivial, it follows that $\varrho_0(x)v - \widehat{\phi}(x)v \in W_0$. Thus, we have that $W' \subsetneq V_0 \otimes L$ is a \mathfrak{I}_P -invariant subspace. Since $V_0 \otimes L \cong V$ is irreducible, we have that $W' = \{0\}$, which implies that $W_0 = \{0\}$. Hence, $\varrho_0 : \mathfrak{I}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_0)$ is a trivial extension of finite-dimensional irreducible $[\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P]$ -module to \mathfrak{I}_P . \square

Denoting $\tau_{ss} : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h} \cap [\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P])^*$ the natural projection, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.22. A weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a dominant weight for \mathfrak{p} if $\tau_{ss}(\lambda)$ is a dominant weight for $[\mathfrak{I}_P, \mathfrak{I}_P]$, i.e., if $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall \alpha \in I$.

From the previous lemma and the above definition, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.23. *The finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{p} -modules are in one-to-one correspondence with weights $\lambda \in \Lambda$ which are dominant for \mathfrak{p} .*

In the context of the above theorem we have that set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{p} -modules is parameterized by that set

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} := \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_{\alpha} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_{\alpha} \right), \quad (2.78)$$

notice that $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. From above we shall denote

$$\Lambda_{[P, P]}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_P = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_{\alpha}. \quad (2.79)$$

Given a finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{p} -module $\rho : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, in view of the above decomposition, and from Lemma 2.21, we have (up to isomorphism)

$$V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}, \quad (2.80)$$

i.e., $\rho = \rho_0 \otimes \rho_{\phi}$, such that

- (A) $W(\lambda_0) =$ irreducible $[L_P, L_P]$ -module, with highest weight $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_{[P, P]}^+$,
- (B) $\mathbb{C}_{-\phi} =$ irreducible one-dimensional \mathfrak{c}_P -module,

with $\langle \phi, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. In other words, the irreducible \mathfrak{p} -module V corresponds to the integral weight of $\lambda_V \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ defined by

$$\lambda_V := \lambda_0 - \phi. \quad (2.81)$$

Notice that $\langle \lambda_V, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \langle \lambda_0, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall \alpha \in I$, and $\tau_{ss}(\lambda_V) = \lambda_0$, such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I$. We shall refer to $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$ as a \mathfrak{p} -module with highest weight $\lambda_V = \lambda_0 - \phi$. In this setting, considering the weight space decomposition

$$W(\lambda_0) = \bigoplus_{\mu_0 \in \Delta(W(\lambda_0))} W(\lambda_0)_{\mu_0}, \quad (2.82)$$

it follows that

$$\mu_0 = \lambda_0 - \sum_{\alpha \in I} m_{\mu_0 \alpha} \alpha, \quad (2.83)$$

such that $m_{\mu_0 \alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\forall \alpha \in I$, and $\forall \mu_0 \in \Delta(W(\lambda_0))$, see for instance [Hum75]. Hence, we conclude that

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu_0 \in \Delta(W(\lambda_0))} (W(\lambda_0)_{\mu_0} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}). \quad (2.84)$$

From above, given $h \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, and $v \otimes a \in W(\lambda_0)_{\mu_0} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, it follows that

$$\rho(h)(v \otimes a) = (\rho_0(h)v) \otimes a + v \otimes (\rho_{\phi}(h)a) = (\mu_0(h) - \phi(h))(v \otimes a). \quad (2.85)$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.24. Let $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\phi}$ be a \mathfrak{p} -module with highest weight $\lambda_V = \lambda_0 - \phi$. Then, the set of weights $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(V)$ of V is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(V) = \{ \mu := \mu_0 - \phi \mid \mu_0 \in \Delta(W(\lambda_0)) \}. \quad (2.86)$$

At the level of Lie groups, considering the Levi decomposition

$$P = L_P R_u(P)^+ = L_P \ltimes R_u(P)^+, \quad (2.87)$$

such that $L_P = [L_P, L_P]T(\Delta \setminus I)^{\mathbb{C}}$. From the ideas described above, we have the following identification between sets of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations

$$\text{Rep}(P)_{\text{irred.}} \cong \text{Rep}(L_P)_{\text{irred.}} \cong \text{Rep}([L_P, L_P])_{\text{irred.}} \otimes \text{Rep}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^{\mathbb{C}})_{\text{irred.}}. \quad (2.88)$$

Remark 2.25. Observing now that $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$, given an irreducible finite-dimensional representation $\Pi_\lambda : G^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V(\lambda))$, with highest weight λ , consider the subspace of \mathfrak{u}_P^+ -invariants

$$V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+} = \left\{ v \in V(\lambda) \mid \Pi_\lambda(x)v = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_P^+ \right\}. \quad (2.89)$$

Since $\mathfrak{u}_P^+ \subset \mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal, $\forall y \in \mathfrak{I}_P, \forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_P^+$, and $\forall v \in V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$, it follows that

$$\Pi_\lambda(x)(\Pi_\lambda(y)v) = \Pi_\lambda(y)(\Pi_\lambda(x)v) + \Pi_\lambda([x, y])v = 0. \quad (2.90)$$

Therefore, we have that $V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$ defines a \mathfrak{I}_P -module. Moreover, in this setting, the following hold (e.g. [KK96]):

- (R1) The \mathfrak{I}_P -module $W = V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$ is irreducible with highest weight given by $\lambda_W = \lambda$;
- (R2) The map $V(\lambda) \mapsto V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$ induces an injective map

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G^{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathrm{irred.}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}(L_P)_{\mathrm{irred.}} \quad (2.91)$$

between the sets of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations;

- (R3) If a finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{I}_P -module W is given whose the highest weight λ_W belongs to $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists an irreducible finite-dimensional representation $V(\lambda_W)$ of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $W \cong V(\lambda_W)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$.

Notice that $v_\lambda^+ \in V(\lambda)$ is the highest weight vector of the \mathfrak{I}_P -module $V(\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}_P^+}$.

3. HOMOGENEOUS VECTOR BUNDLES

We start this section recalling some generalities on Hermitian vector bundles which can be found in [Kob87], [WGP80], [Huy05], [RS17], [GH14]. Let X be a complex manifold. Given a C^∞ -complex vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$, we denote

- (1) $\mathcal{A}^k(\mathbf{E}) := \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^k(TX)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{E}$ -valued complex k -differential forms;
- (2) $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E}) = \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{E})$ and $\mathcal{A}^k(\mathbf{E}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(\mathbf{E})$.

A connection ∇ on $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{E}), \quad (3.1)$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f\nabla s, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E}). \quad (3.2)$$

By extending the connection ∇ to a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}(\mathbf{E})$, satisfying

$$\nabla(\eta \otimes s) = \eta \wedge (\nabla s) + d\eta \otimes s, \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^p(X; \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E}), \quad (3.3)$$

we define the curvature F_∇ of ∇ as being

$$F_\nabla := \nabla \circ \nabla : \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbf{E}). \quad (3.4)$$

It is straightforward to show that F_∇ is $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$ -linear, so we can consider

$$F_\nabla \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{E})). \quad (3.5)$$

A holomorphic vector bundle is defined by a C^∞ -complex vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$ together with an operator (a.k.a. holomorphic structure)

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}(\mathbf{E}), \quad (3.6)$$

satisfying that following conditions²:

- (1) $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}} \circ \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}} = 0$;
- (2) $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}(fs) = \bar{\partial}f \otimes s + f\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}s, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E})$.

²A section $s : U \subseteq X_p \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is said to be holomorphic if $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}s \equiv 0$. The space of global holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle is denoted by $H^0(X_p, \mathbf{E})$.

In the presence of a holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}$ on $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$, we say that a connection ∇ is compatible with $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}$ if

$$\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (3.7)$$

here we consider the decomposition $\mathcal{A}^1(\mathbf{E}) = \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(\mathbf{E}) \oplus \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(\mathbf{E})$. In this work we are concerned with C^∞ -complex vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$ endowed with a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} (i.e., a \mathbb{C} -anti-linear isomorphism $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^*$). We shall refer to a pair (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) as a Hermitian vector bundle. In this setting, we have the following standard result (e.g. [Kob87], [RS17]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle. Then, there exists a unique connection ∇ (Chern connection), such that*

- (h1) $\nabla \mathbf{h} \equiv 0$;
- (h2) $\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{E}}$.

Remark 3.2. In the setting of the above theorem, a connection satisfying condition (h1) is said to be compatible with \mathbf{h} . It is worth mentioning that, locally, from the conditions (h1) and (h2), we have the Chern connection $\nabla = \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1}$ given by

$$\nabla^{1,0} = \partial + A \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}, \quad (3.8)$$

such that $A = \mathbf{h}^{-1} \partial \mathbf{h}$ is a matrix of $(1, 0)$ -forms on X_P . From the conditions (h1) and (h2), we also have that the curvature $F_\nabla \in \mathcal{A}^2(\text{End}(\mathbf{E}))$ of the Chern connection ∇ is skew-Hermitian w.r.t. \mathbf{h} , and it is given locally by

$$F_\nabla = dA + A \wedge A, \quad (3.9)$$

i.e., we have $F_\nabla \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End}(\mathbf{E}))$. We shall denote the curvature of the Chern connection by $F(\mathbf{h})$ in order to emphasize the dependence on the underlying Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} .

Consider now the holomorphic P -principal bundle $P \hookrightarrow G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_P$. From above, for every finite-dimensional representation $\rho : P \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ we can form an associated (homogeneous) holomorphic vector bundle

$$\mathbf{E}_\rho := G^\mathbb{C} \times_P V = (G^\mathbb{C} \times V) / \sim, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (gp, v) \sim (g, \rho(p)v). \quad (3.10)$$

By choosing a trivializing good open covering $X_P = \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$ for the holomorphic P -principal bundle $P \hookrightarrow G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_P$, in terms of Čech cocycles we can write

$$G^\mathbb{C} = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, \psi_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow P \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

From above, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_\rho = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, (\rho \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

The construction above defines an equivalence between the category of homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles over X_P and the category of finite-dimensional representations of P . In the particular case that (ρ, V) is a 1-dimensional representation, considering $(V = \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}, \rho = \rho_\phi)$, for some $\phi \in \Lambda_P$, we denote by $\vartheta_\phi \in \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ the character satisfying

$$(d\vartheta_\phi)_e = \hat{\phi}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\hat{\phi}$ is the trivial extension of $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ to \mathfrak{p} , it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\rho_\phi} = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P \mathbb{C}_{-\phi} = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, (\vartheta_\phi^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}) \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

In fact, since $P = [P, P]T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}$ (see Eq. (2.22)), given $p \in P$, we have $p = a \exp(h)$, such that $a \in [P, P]$ and $\exp(h) \in T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}$, thus $\vartheta_\phi(p) = \exp(\hat{\phi}(h)) = \exp(\phi(h))$. On the other hand, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\rho_\phi(p) = \rho_\phi(\exp(h)) = \exp(\rho_\phi(h)) = \exp(-\phi(h)) = \exp(\phi(h))^{-1} = \vartheta_\phi(p)^{-1}. \quad (3.15)$$

Remark 3.3. The choice of minus sign in the definition of $\mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$ in the proof of Lemma 2.21 was made so that the concept of "positivity" for Chern classes is compatible for the sheaf-theoretic and differential-geometric definitions of Chern classes.

From above, when (ρ, V) is irreducible, one can consider $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, i.e. $\rho = \rho_0 \otimes \rho_\phi$ (see Eq. (2.80)), so we have

$$\mathbf{E}_\rho := \mathbf{E}_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}, \quad (3.16)$$

such that $\mathbf{E}_0 = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P W(\lambda_0)$ and $\mathbf{L} = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$.

Remark 3.4. It is worth to point out the following, since $R_u(P)^+ \triangleleft P$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_P(L_P) := G^\mathbb{C} \times_P (P/R_u(P)^+), \quad (3.17)$$

defines a principal L_P -bundle over X_P [Gün11, Page 27]. Considering a finite-dimensional irreducible representation $\rho: P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, since $R_u(P)^+$ acts trivially on V , it follows from Eq. (2.20) that

$$\mathbf{E}_\rho = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P V = \mathbf{E}_P(L_P) \times_{L_P} V. \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly, since $T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C} \triangleleft L_P$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_{L_P}([L_P, L_P]) = \mathbf{E}_P(L_P) \times_{L_P} (L_P/T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}), \quad (3.19)$$

defines a principal $[L_P, L_P]$ -bundle over X_P . Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.21, considering the description $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, from a similar argument as above, since $T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}$ acts trivially on $W(\lambda_0)$, we have that

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}_P(L_P) \times_{L_P} W(\lambda_0) = \mathbf{E}_{L_P}([L_P, L_P]) \times_{[L_P, L_P]} W(\lambda_0). \quad (3.20)$$

As we shall see afterwards, the above description implies that the first Chern class of $\mathbf{E}_\rho := \mathbf{E}_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}$ depends only on the first Chern class of \mathbf{L} .

Now we observe that, since a 1-dimensional representations of $[P, P]$ is trivial, it follows from Eq. (2.22) that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathrm{Rep}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{irred}}. \quad (3.21)$$

Also, since every holomorphic line bundle over X_P is homogeneous (e.g. [Sno89]), considering the group of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles $\mathrm{Pic}(X_P) = H^1(X_P, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*)$, from above we obtain the following characterization

$$\mathrm{Rep}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{irred}} = \mathrm{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X_P). \quad (3.22)$$

Let us describe precisely the isomorphism in the right-hand side above. Notice that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \langle \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I \rangle, \quad (3.23)$$

such that $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}(\exp(\mathbf{t})) = e^{\langle \varpi_\alpha, \mathbf{t} \rangle}$, $\forall \exp(\mathbf{t}) \in T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}$, and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Given $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, we can consider $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha} \in \mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ as being the trivial extension of $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}$ to P , i.e., $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}|_{[P, P]} \equiv 1$. From the homomorphism $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ one can equip \mathbb{C} with a structure of P -space, such that $pz = \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}(p)^{-1}z$, $\forall p \in P$, and $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. Denoting by $\mathbb{C}_{-\varpi_\alpha}$ this P -space³, we can form an associated holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1) = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P \mathbb{C}_{-\varpi_\alpha}$, which can be described in terms of Čech 1-cocycles as

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1) := \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, (\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \right\} \in H^1(X_P, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*). \quad (3.24)$$

The isomorphism given in Eq. (3.22) can be understood in terms of the following map from $\mathrm{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ to $H^1(X_P, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*)$:

$$\vartheta \mapsto \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, (\vartheta^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}, \quad (3.25)$$

³ $\mathbb{C}_{-\varpi_\alpha}$ also can be seen as the 1-dimensional P -module defined by the character $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1}$.

for every $\vartheta \in \text{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. In particular, in terms of generators, we have $\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, so

$$\text{Pic}(X_P) = H^1(X_P, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*) = \langle \mathcal{O}_\alpha(1) \mid \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I \rangle, \quad (3.26)$$

Moreover, from the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_P} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^* \longrightarrow 0$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^1(X_P, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X_P, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where δ is the Bockstein operator (e.g. [WGP80]). From above, through the isomorphism provided by Eq. (3.25), we can define

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)) := \delta(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)) = \delta\{\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}\}, \quad (3.27)$$

for every $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$ (e.g. [GH14]). In order to describe the $(1, 1)$ -form which represents the cohomology class $\delta\{\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}\} \in H^2(X_P, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, we consider the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 (Azad-Biswas, [AB03]). *Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$ be a closed invariant real $(1, 1)$ -form, then we have*

$$\pi^* \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi,$$

where $\pi : G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_P$ is the natural projection, and $\varphi : G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} c_\alpha \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\| \right), \quad (\forall g \in G^\mathbb{C})$$

with $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Conversely, every function φ as above defines a closed invariant real $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega_\varphi \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$. Moreover, ω_φ defines a G -invariant Kähler form on X_P if and only if $c_\alpha > 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$.

Remark 3.6. It is worth pointing out that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ considered in the above theorem is a norm induced from some fixed G -invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ on $V(\varpi_\alpha)$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$.

Given $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1) \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, for some $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, consider a good cover $X_P = \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$ which trivializes both $P \hookrightarrow G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_P$ and $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1) \rightarrow X_P$. Taking a collection of local sections $(s_i)_{i \in J}$, such that $s_i : U_i \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}$, we can define $q_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, such that

$$q_i(p) := \frac{1}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(s_i(p)) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2}, \quad (3.28)$$

$\forall p \in U_i$, and $\forall i \in J$. Since

- (1) $s_j = s_i \psi_{ij}$ on $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$,
- (2) $\Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(a) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ = \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}(a) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+$, $\forall a \in P$, and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$,

the collection of functions $(q_i)_{i \in J}$ satisfies

$$q_j = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}|^2} q_i = |\vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}|^2 q_i, \quad (3.29)$$

on $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, $\forall i, j \in J$. From this, we can define a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)$ by taking on each trivialization $\tau_i : U_i \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)$ the metric defined by

$$\mathbf{h}(\tau_i(p, v), \tau_i(p, w)) = \frac{v \bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(s_i(p)) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2}, \quad (3.30)$$

for every $(p, v), (p, w) \in U_i \times \mathbb{C}$. The Hermitian metric above induces a Chern connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)),$$

such that

$$\nabla \sigma_i = \partial(\log q_i) \otimes \sigma_i, \quad (3.31)$$

where $\sigma_i(-) = \tau_i(-, 1), \forall i \in J$. From this, the curvature of the Chern connection ∇ described above is given by

$$\nabla(\nabla \sigma_i) = \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\left\| (\Pi_{\varpi_\alpha} \circ \mathbf{s}_i) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2 \right) \otimes \sigma_i = F(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \sigma_i. \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore, by considering the closed G -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form $\Omega_\alpha \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$, which satisfies $\pi^* \Omega_\alpha = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_{\varpi_\alpha}$, where $\pi : G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}/P = X_P$ is the canonical projection map, and

$$\varphi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2 \right), \quad (3.33)$$

$\forall g \in G^\mathbb{C}$, we have $\Omega_\alpha^{\text{loc}} = (\pi \circ \mathbf{s}_i)^* \Omega_\alpha^{\text{loc}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h})$, i.e.,

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)) = \delta \{ \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij} \} = [\Omega_\alpha] = \left[\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right], \quad (3.34)$$

for every $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$ (e.g. [GH14], [WGP80]). Consider now the following result.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathbb{P}_\beta^1 = \overline{\exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta})\mathfrak{o}} \subset X_P$, such that $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_\beta^1} \Omega_\alpha = \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I. \quad (3.35)$$

A proof for the above result can be found in [Cor23], see also [FW01] and [AB03]. From the above lemma and Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following fundamental result.

Proposition 3.8 ([Cor23]). Let X_P be a complex flag variety associated with some parabolic Lie subgroup $P = P_I$. Then, we have

$$\text{Pic}(X_P) = H^{1,1}(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z}[\Omega_\alpha]. \quad (3.36)$$

From the above ideas we make the following remarks.

Remark 3.9 (Harmonic 2-forms on X_P). Given any G -invariant Riemannian metric g on X_P , let us denote by $\mathcal{H}^2(X_P, g)$ the space of real harmonic 2-forms on X_P with respect to g , and let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_G^{1,1}(X_P)$ the space of closed invariant real $(1, 1)$ -forms. Combining the result of Proposition 3.8 with [Tak78, Lemma 3.1], we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_G^{1,1}(X_P) = \mathcal{H}^2(X_P, g). \quad (3.37)$$

Therefore, the closed G -invariant real $(1, 1)$ -forms described in Theorem 3.5 are harmonic with respect to any G -invariant Riemannian metric on X_P .

Remark 3.10 (Kähler cone of X_P). It follows from Eq. (3.36) and Theorem 3.5 that the Kähler cone (e.g. [Laz17]) of a complex flag variety X_P is given explicitly by

$$\mathcal{K}(X_P) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{R}^+[\Omega_\alpha]. \quad (3.38)$$

Remark 3.11 (Cone of curves of X_P). It is worth observing that the cone of curves (e.g. [Laz17])

$$\text{NE}(X_P) := \left\{ \sum_i [C_i] \mid C_i \subset X_P \text{ is irreducible, } a_i \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (3.39)$$

of a flag variety X_P is generated by the rational curves $[\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \in \pi_2(X_P)$, $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, see for instance [Tim11, §18.3] and references therein.

From Proposition 3.8, we shall refer to elements of

$$\Lambda_P = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_\alpha,$$

as being the weights of P . Notice that $\Lambda_P \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (see Eq. (2.78)), and the equality hold iff $I = \emptyset$, i.e., iff $P = B$. The previous result shows that

$$\Lambda_P \cong \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \text{Pic}(X_P),$$

such that

$$(1) \quad \phi = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} k_\alpha \varpi_\alpha \mapsto \vartheta_\phi = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{k_\alpha} \mapsto \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)^{\otimes k_\alpha};$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{L} \mapsto \vartheta_{\mathbf{L}} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \vartheta_{\varpi_\alpha}^{\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle} \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{L}) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \varpi_\alpha.$$

Thus, $\forall \mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, we have $\phi(\mathbf{L}) \in \Lambda_P$. In general, $\forall \xi \in H^{1,1}(X_P, \mathbb{R})$, we can attach $\phi(\xi) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\phi(\xi) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \xi, [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \varpi_\alpha. \quad (3.40)$$

From above, for every holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$, we can define $\phi(\mathbf{E}) \in \Lambda_P$, such that

$$\phi(\mathbf{E}) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle c_1(\mathbf{E}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \varpi_\alpha, \quad (3.41)$$

where $c_1(\mathbf{E}) = c_1(\bigwedge^r \mathbf{E})$, such that $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})$. In the particular case that $\mathbf{E} = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P V$, such that $\rho : P \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional P -module, associated with a prescribed weight $\lambda_V \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$, such that

$$\lambda_V = \lambda_0 - \phi, \quad (3.42)$$

with $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_{[P, P]}$, and $\langle \phi, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}, \quad (3.43)$$

such that $\mathbf{E}_0 = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P W(\lambda_0)$ and $\mathbf{L} = G^\mathbb{C} \times_P \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, with $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, see Eq. (3.16). From this, we have

$$c_1(\mathbf{E}) = c_1(\mathbf{E}_0) + r c_1(\mathbf{L}), \quad (3.44)$$

see for instance [Kob87]. Therefore, by definition of $\phi(\mathbf{E})$, we obtain

$$\phi(\mathbf{E}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle c_1(\mathbf{E}_0), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \varpi_\alpha + r \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \varpi_\alpha. \quad (3.45)$$

Notice that, since

$$\mathbf{L} = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, (\vartheta_\phi^{-1} \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \right\} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(1)^{\otimes \langle \phi, \alpha^\vee \rangle}, \quad (3.46)$$

it follows that

$$\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle = \langle \phi, \alpha^\vee \rangle, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I. \quad (3.47)$$

Hence, in order to describe $\phi(\mathbf{E})$ completely in terms of λ_V , it remains to compute the integer numbers

$$\langle c_1(\mathbf{E}_0), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I. \quad (3.48)$$

As in the proof of [Bis04, Lemma 2.2] and [Bis08, Lemma 3.1], since $c_1(\mathbf{E}_0) = c_1(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \mathbf{E}_0)$, where

$$\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}_{L_P}([L_P, L_P]) \times_{[L_P, L_P]} (\bigwedge^{\text{top}} W(\lambda_0)), \quad (3.49)$$

see Eq. (3.20), and observing that the action of $[L_P, L_P]$ on $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} W(\lambda_0)$ is trivial, we conclude that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \mathbf{E}_0$ is trivial, i.e., $c_1(\mathbf{E}_0) = 0$, so $\langle c_1(\mathbf{E}_0), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle = 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\phi(\mathbf{E}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}) \phi = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}) \phi(\mathbf{L}). \quad (3.50)$$

The above ideas can be summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\rho : P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional representation, such that $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\phi$, with $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_{[P,P]}$, $\phi \in \Lambda_P$, and let $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$ be the associated homogeneous vector bundle. Then, we have

$$c_1(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W(\lambda_0)) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \phi, \alpha^\vee \rangle [\Omega_\alpha]. \quad (3.51)$$

In particular, $\phi(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W(\lambda_0))\phi$.

Proof. Observing that

$$c_1(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle c_1(\mathbf{E}_\rho), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle [\Omega_\alpha], \quad (3.52)$$

the desired result follows from Eq. (3.41), Eq. (3.47), and Eq. (3.50). \square

3.1. Mean curvature of homogeneous Hermitian vector bundles. Given a Kähler manifold (X, ω) , in what follows we consider the Hodge $*$ -operator defined by ω and the associated codifferential $\delta := - * d *$, such that

$$(\eta, \varphi) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \eta \wedge * \bar{\varphi}, \quad (3.53)$$

for all $\eta, \varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}(X)$. From the decomposition $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, we have $\delta := \partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*$, such that

$$\partial^* := - * \bar{\partial} *, \quad \bar{\partial}^* := - * \partial *. \quad (3.54)$$

Consider the Lefschetz operator $L_\omega : \Omega^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1,q+1}(X)$, such that

$$L_\omega = \omega \wedge (-), \quad (3.55)$$

its dual $\Lambda_\omega : \Omega^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1,q-1}(X)$, and the Laplacian operators

$$\Delta_\omega = -(d\delta + \delta d), \quad \square_\omega := -(\partial\bar{\partial}^* + \partial^*\bar{\partial}), \quad \bar{\square}_\omega := -(\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}). \quad (3.56)$$

In this setting, we have the following well-known result (e.g. [WGP80], [Huy05], [GH14]).

Theorem 3.13 (Kähler identities). *Let (X, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Then the following identities hold true:*

- (K1) $[\partial, L_\omega] = [\bar{\partial}, L_\omega] = 0$ and $[\partial^*, \Lambda_\omega] = [\bar{\partial}^*, \Lambda_\omega] = 0$;
- (K2) $[\partial^*, L_\omega] = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}$ and $[\bar{\partial}^*, L_\omega] = \sqrt{-1}\partial$;
- (K3) $[\Lambda_\omega, \partial] = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*$ and $[\Lambda_\omega, \bar{\partial}] = -\sqrt{-1}\partial^*$;
- (K4) $\Delta_\omega = 2\square_\omega = 2\bar{\square}_\omega$ and Δ_ω commutes with $\partial, \bar{\partial}, \partial^*, \bar{\partial}^*, L_\omega$, and Λ_ω .

Remark 3.14 (Big cell in X_P). In order to perform some local computations we shall consider the open set $U^-(P) \subset X_P$ defined by the ‘‘opposite’’ big cell in X_P . This open set is a distinguished coordinate neighbourhood $U^-(P) \subset X_P$ of $\mathfrak{o} := eP \in X_P$ defined as follows

$$U^-(P) = B^-\mathfrak{o} = R_u(P_I)^-\mathfrak{o} \subset X_P, \quad (3.57)$$

where $B^- = \exp(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-)$, and

$$R_u(P_I)^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} N_\alpha^-, \quad (\text{opposite unipotent radical})$$

with $N_\alpha^- = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})$, $\forall \alpha \in \Phi_I^+$, e.g. [LR08, §3], [Akh95, §3.1]. It is worth mentioning that the opposite big cell defines a contractible open dense subset in X_P . Therefore, the restriction of any vector bundle (principal bundle) over this open set is trivial.

Proposition 3.15. Let X_P be a flag variety and let ω_0 be a G -invariant Kähler metric on X_P . Then, for every closed G -invariant real $(1, 1)$ -form ψ , the eigenvalues of the endomorphism $\omega_0^{-1} \circ \psi$ are given by

$$\mathbf{q}_\beta(\omega_0^{-1} \circ \psi) = \frac{\langle \phi([\psi]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad \beta \in \Phi_I^+, \quad (3.58)$$

such that $\phi([\psi]), \phi([\omega_0]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Given a closed G -invariant real $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\omega = \omega_\varphi$, such that

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} c_\alpha \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\| \right),$$

for every $g \in G$, where $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Let \mathcal{H}_φ be the Hermitian form induced by ω_φ on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}X_P$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi(Y, Y) := -\sqrt{-1}\omega_\varphi(Y, \bar{Y}),$$

for all $Y \in T^{1,0}X_P$. Considering the underlying canonical complex structure J on X_P (Eq. (2.28)), a straightforward computation shows that

$$\omega_\varphi(v, Jv) = \mathcal{H}_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(v - \sqrt{-1}Jv), \frac{1}{2}(v - \sqrt{-1}Jv)\right), \quad (3.59)$$

for all $v \in TX_P$. By considering the coordinate neighborhood $U^-(P) \subset X_P$ of $o \in X_P$ defined by the opposite big cell (Eq. (3.57)), we obtain a suitable basis for $T_o^{1,0}X_P$, given by

$$E_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0} \exp(ze_{-\beta})o,$$

$\beta \in \Phi_I^+$. The vectors E_β^* , $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, are orthogonal relative to any $(T^\mathbb{C} \cap G)$ -invariant Hermitian form. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi(E_\beta, E_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle, \quad (3.60)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, see for instance [AB03]. From this, considering the associated dual basis θ_β , $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, at $o \in X_P$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \otimes \bar{\theta}_\beta. \quad (3.61)$$

Since, $\omega_\varphi = -\text{Im}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$, it follows that

$$\omega_\varphi = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \wedge \bar{\theta}_\beta. \quad (3.62)$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 3.36, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\omega_\varphi = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \pi c_\alpha \Omega_\alpha, \quad (3.63)$$

such that $c_\alpha = \frac{\langle [\omega_\varphi], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{\pi}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Joining the above descriptions, we conclude that the eigenvalues of the endomorphism associated with ω_φ at $o \in X_P$ are given by

$$\mathbf{q}_\beta(\omega_\varphi) = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{\langle [\omega_\varphi], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle = - \frac{\langle \phi([\omega_\varphi]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}, \quad (3.64)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, such that $\phi([\omega_\varphi]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (see Eq (3.40)). Since ω_φ is G -invariant, it follows that the eigenvalues of the endomorphism $\omega_\varphi : T^{1,0}X_P \rightarrow T^{1,0}X_P$ are constant. Therefore, given some G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 , for every closed G -invariant real $(1, 1)$ -form ψ , it follows that the eigenvalues of the endomorphism $\omega_0^{-1} \circ \psi : T^{1,0}X_P \rightarrow T^{1,0}X_P$ are given by

$$\mathbf{q}_\beta(\omega_0^{-1} \circ \psi) = \frac{\mathbf{q}_\beta(\psi)}{\mathbf{q}_\beta(\omega_0)} = \frac{\langle \phi([\psi]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (3.65)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.16. In the setting of the last proposition, since $n\psi \wedge \omega_0^{n-1} = \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\psi)\omega_0^n$, such that $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$, and $\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\psi) = \text{tr}(\omega_0^{-1} \circ \psi)$, it follows that

$$\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\Omega_\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (3.66)$$

for every $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. In particular, for every $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, we have a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h}_0 on \mathbf{L} , such that the curvature $F(\mathbf{h}_0)$ of the Chern connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0} \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} d + \partial \log(\mathbf{h}_0)$, satisfies

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}. \quad (3.67)$$

From this, we have that ∇ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills (HYM) connection (e.g. [Kob87]). Notice that, from Eq. (3.30) and Proposition 3.8, it follows that

$$\mathbf{h}_0 \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \frac{w\bar{w}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}, \quad (3.68)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is some local section.

Let us recall some terminology. Fixed a Kähler class $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(X_P)$, the *slope* $\mu_\xi(\mathbf{E})$ of a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$, with respect to ξ (or ξ -slope for short), is defined by

$$\mu_\xi(\mathbf{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{E})} \int_{X_P} c_1(\mathbf{E}) \wedge \xi^{n-1}. \quad (3.69)$$

see for instance [Kob87]. From above, a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$ is said to be ξ -(semi)stable if

$$\mu_\xi(\mathbf{E}) \geq \mu_\xi(\mathcal{F}), \quad (3.70)$$

for every subbundle $0 \neq \mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathbf{E}$. Further, we say that \mathbf{E} is ξ -polystable if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of stable vector bundles of the same slope, and we say that \mathbf{E} is ξ -unstable if it is not ξ -semistable. Given a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) over X_P , we have locally

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = (F(\mathbf{h})_{ij}), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad F(\mathbf{h})_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R_{j\alpha\beta}^i dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\alpha. \quad (3.71)$$

where $F(\mathbf{h})$ is the curvature of the associated Chern connection. From this, fixed some G -invariant Kähler metric $\omega_0 \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$, we can define the ω_0 -trace of $F(\mathbf{h})$ as being the endomorphism

$$\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})) = (\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})_{ij})), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})_{ij}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R_{j\alpha\beta}^i, \quad (3.72)$$

here we denote by $g_0^{-1} = (g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ the inverse matrix of the underlying Kähler metric $g_0 = \omega_0(1 \otimes J)$.

Definition 3.17. We define the mean curvature of $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow (X_P, \omega_0)$ as being the endomorphism $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{E}))$ given by

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) := \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})), \quad (3.73)$$

where $F(\mathbf{h})$ is the curvature of the associated Chern connection.

Given a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) over a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , it follows that the associated Chern connection $\nabla \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} d + \mathbf{A}$ induces a covariant exterior derivative $d_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*+1}(\mathbf{E})$, such that

$$d_{\mathbf{A}}(\eta \otimes \mathbf{s}) = d\eta \otimes \mathbf{s} + (-1)^{\deg(\eta)} \eta \wedge \nabla \mathbf{s}, \quad (3.74)$$

notice that $d_{\mathbf{A}} = \nabla$ on $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{E})$. Observing that $d_{\mathbf{A}} = \partial_{\mathbf{A}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}}$, and considering the extension of first-order Kahler identities to the complex \mathbf{E} -valued differential forms, it follows that

$$\partial_{\mathbf{A}}^* = \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_{\omega}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}}], \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}}^* = -\sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_{\omega}, \partial_{\mathbf{A}}], \quad (3.75)$$

e.g. [Bal06]. Since $F(\mathbf{h})$ is of $(1, 1)$ -type and $d_{\mathbf{A}}F(\mathbf{h}) = 0$, it follows that $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}}F(\mathbf{h}) = \partial_{\mathbf{A}}F(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. Thus, following [Bes07, Eq. 2.34], from the Kahler identities above, we conclude that

$$\delta_{\mathbf{A}}F(\mathbf{h}) = -\sqrt{-1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}} - \partial_{\mathbf{A}})\Lambda_{\omega}(F(\mathbf{h})) = -J(d_{\mathbf{A}}\Lambda_{\omega}F(\mathbf{h})), \quad (3.76)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\delta_{\mathbf{A}}F(\mathbf{h}) = 0 \iff d_{\mathbf{A}}K(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = 0, \quad (3.77)$$

see for instance [Don85, p. 6]. Now we consider the following definition.

Definition 3.18. A Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow (X, \omega)$ is Hermite-Einstein (or Hermitian-Yang-Mills) if

$$K(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = v_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})1_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (3.78)$$

such that $v_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E}) := (2\pi)n(\int_X[\omega]^n)^{-1}\mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})$.

As we see from Eq. (3.77), the Chern connection of a Hermite-Einstein structure is a Yang-Mills connection. In the homogeneous setting we have the following general result, see for instance [Ram66], [Ume78], [Kob86].

Theorem 3.19. *Let $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex simply connected and simple Lie group. Given a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_{\rho} \rightarrow X_P$, such that $\rho: P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is an irreducible P -module, and fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , then have that \mathbf{E}_{ρ} is $[\omega_0]$ -stable. In particular, we have that \mathbf{E}_{ρ} admits a Hermite-Einstein structure.*

In the above context, regarding

$$\mathbf{E}_{\rho} = \mathbf{E}_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}, \quad (3.79)$$

such that $\mathbf{E}_0 = G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_P W(\lambda_0)$ and $\mathbf{L} = G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_P \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, by considering $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_{\phi}$, where \mathbf{h}_{ρ_0} is some Hermitian structure on \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{h}_{ϕ} is some Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , we obtain

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = F(\mathbf{h}_{\rho_0}) \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}} + 1_{\mathbf{E}_0} \otimes F(\mathbf{h}_{\phi}). \quad (3.80)$$

see for instance [Kob87]. Hence, it follows that

$$K(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}) = K(\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0}) \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}} + 1_{\mathbf{E}_0} \otimes K(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\phi}) = K(\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0}) \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}} + K(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\phi})(1_{\mathbf{E}_0} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}}), \quad (3.81)$$

Since $1_{\mathbf{E}_{\rho}} = 1_{\mathbf{E}_0} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}}$ and $c_1(\mathbf{E}_0) = 0$, by choosing \mathbf{h}_{ρ_0} which solves the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on \mathbf{E}_0 , we have the following

$$K(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}) = (v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_0) + K(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\phi}))1_{\mathbf{E}_{\rho}} = K(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\phi})1_{\mathbf{E}_{\rho}}. \quad (3.82)$$

From the relation above we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.20. Given a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_{\rho} \rightarrow X_P$, defined by some irreducible P -module, and fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , then for every $u \in C^{\infty}(X_P)$ we have that \mathbf{E}_{ρ} admits a Hermitian structure $\mathbf{h}(u)$, such that

$$K(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}(u)) = (v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}) - \square_{\omega_0} u)1_{\mathbf{E}_{\rho}}. \quad (3.83)$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.21, we can suppose that $\mathbf{E}_{\rho} = \mathbf{E}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{L}$, see Eq. (3.16). Let $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_{\phi}$ be the Hermitian structure solving the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation provided by Theorem 3.19. From this, given $u \in C^{\infty}(X_P)$, we define

$$\mathbf{h}(u) := \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes (e^u \mathbf{h}_{\phi}). \quad (3.84)$$

From Eq. (3.83), since $v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L})$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(u)) = \left(\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}_\phi, \mathbf{h}_\phi) - \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial \bar{\partial} u) \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} = \left(v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) - \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial \bar{\partial} u) \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (3.85)$$

Observing that $\Lambda_{\omega_0}(u) = 0$, $\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial u) = 0$, and $\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\bar{\partial} u) = 0$, from the Kähler identity $[\Lambda_{\omega_0}, \partial] = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}^*$, it follows that

$$\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial \bar{\partial} u) = [\Lambda_{\omega_0}, \partial] \bar{\partial} u = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} u = -\sqrt{-1} \bar{\square}_{\omega_0} u - \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* u = -\sqrt{-1} \bar{\square}_{\omega_0} u. \quad (3.86)$$

From above, since $\square_{\omega_0} = \bar{\square}_{\omega_0}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(u)) = \left(v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) - \square_{\omega_0} u \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (3.87)$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.21. Notice that, if we set $\mathbf{h}(u) := \mathbf{h}_0 \otimes (e^{2u} \mathbf{h}_\phi)$ in Eq. (3.84), it follows that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(u)) = \left(v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) - \Delta_{\omega_0} u \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (3.88)$$

Our main purpose is to investigate the above equation with the aim of establishing conditions for the existence of (possible singular) solutions of the weak Hermite-Einstein equation

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(u)) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (3.89)$$

for some prescribed $f \in L^2(X_P)$, using tools of highest-weight theory and harmonic analysis. As it can be seen, this problem boils down to investigate the PDE (Poisson's equation)

$$\Delta_{\omega_0} u = v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) - f, \quad (3.90)$$

on the flag variety X_P . In this last setting, we have available the spectral theory of Δ_{ω_0} . Before we introduce some tools from spectral geometry to tackle the problem, we will recall some basic facts about degree and slope of homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles.

3.2. Degree and slope of homogeneous vector bundles. In this subsection, we shall introduce some identities for geometric quantities and geometric invariants in terms of elements of Lie theory. Let X_P be a complex flag variety associated with some parabolic Lie subgroup $P = P_I \subset G^\mathbb{C}$. By considering the identification $T_0^{1,0} X_P \cong \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

we can realize $T^{1,0} X_P$ as being a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle, associated with the holomorphic principal P -bundle $P \hookrightarrow G^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_P$, see Eq. (3.11), such that

$$T^{1,0} X_P = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, \underline{\text{Ad}} \circ \psi_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{m}) \right\},$$

where $\underline{\text{Ad}} : P \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ is the isotropy representation. From this, we obtain

$$\mathbf{K}_{X_P}^{-1} = \det(T^{1,0} X_P) = \left\{ (U_i)_{i \in J}, \det(\underline{\text{Ad}} \circ \psi_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}. \quad (3.91)$$

Since $P = [P, P]T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}$ (see Eq. (2.22)), considering $\det \circ \underline{\text{Ad}} \in \text{Hom}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, we have

$$\det \underline{\text{Ad}}(\exp(\mathfrak{t})) = e^{\text{tr}(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{t})|_{\mathfrak{m}})} = e^{-\langle \delta_P, \mathfrak{t} \rangle}, \quad (3.92)$$

$\forall \mathfrak{t} \in \text{Lie}(T(\Delta \setminus I)^\mathbb{C})$, such that $\delta_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \alpha$. Considering $\vartheta_{\delta_P}^{-1} = \det \circ \underline{\text{Ad}}$, it follows that

$$\vartheta_{\delta_P} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \vartheta_{\omega_\alpha}^{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \implies \mathbf{K}_{X_P}^{-1} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(\ell_\alpha), \quad (3.93)$$

such that $\ell_\alpha = \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle, \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$. Notice that $\phi(\mathbf{K}_{X_P}^{-1}) = \delta_P$, see Eq. (3.41). If we consider the invariant Kähler metric $\rho_0 \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$ defined by

$$\rho_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} 2\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \Omega_\alpha^{\text{loc}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\omega_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\omega_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2 \right), \quad (3.94)$$

for some local section $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows that

$$c_1(X_P) = \left[\frac{\rho_0}{2\pi} \right]. \quad (3.95)$$

By the uniqueness of G -invariant representative of $c_1(X_P)$, we have $\text{Ric}(\rho_0) = \rho_0$, in other words, $\rho_0 \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_P)^G$ defines a G -invariant Kähler-Einstein metric on X_P (cf. [Mat72]).

Remark 3.22. Given any G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P , we have $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \rho_0$. Thus, it follows that the smooth function $\frac{\det(\omega)}{\det(\rho_0)}$ is pluriharmonic, so it is constant. From this, we obtain

$$\text{Vol}(X_P, \omega) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{X_P} \omega^n = \frac{\det(\rho_0^{-1} \circ \omega)}{n!} \int_{X_P} \rho_0^n = \frac{(2\pi)^n \det(\rho_0^{-1} \circ \omega)}{n!} \int_{X_P} c_1(X_P)^n. \quad (3.96)$$

Since

$$\det(\rho_0^{-1} \circ \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \delta_P, \beta^\vee \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n!} \int_{X_P} c_1(X_P)^n = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \delta_P, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (3.97)$$

see Eq. (3.65) and [Tim11, Example 18.13], we conclude that⁴

$$\text{Vol}(X_P, \omega) = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (3.98)$$

where $\rho^+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$. Combining the above formula with the ideas introduced in Remark 3.16 we obtain the following expression for the degree of a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$ with respect to some G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P :

$$\text{deg}_\omega(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{X_P} c_1(\mathbf{E}) \wedge [\omega]^{n-1} = (n-1)! \left[\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{E}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle} \right] \left[\prod_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle} \right], \quad (3.99)$$

such that $\phi(\mathbf{E}) \in \Lambda_P$, and $\phi([\omega]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

From above, we have the following general formula for the slope of any homogeneous (irreducible) holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X_P$.

Proposition 3.23. Let $\rho : P \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional representation, such that $V = W(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\phi}$, with $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_{[P,P]}$, $\phi \in \Lambda_P$, and let \mathbf{E}_ρ be the homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle defined by ρ . Fixed some G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , then have that

$$\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = (n-1)! \left[\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle} \right] \left[\prod_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle} \right]. \quad (3.100)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Eq. (3.50) and Eq. (3.99). \square

Remark 3.24. In view of Eq. (3.78) and the above proposition, we notice that

$$\nu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = (2\pi)n \left(\int_{X_P} [\omega_0]^n \right)^{-1} \mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = 2\pi \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\langle \phi, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}. \quad (3.101)$$

⁴cf. [AB03].

Hence, in order to solve the equation $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(u)) = f1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}$, for some prescribed $f \in L^2(X_P)$, we need to deal with the equation

$$-\Delta_{\omega_0} u = f - 2\pi \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{\langle \phi, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}. \quad (3.102)$$

To this aim, in the next section we will collect and introduce some basic facts related with the spectral geometry of flag varieties.

4. SPECTRAL GEOMETRY ON FLAG VARIETIES

In this section, we review some basic results related with the spectral geometry of flag varieties. For more details on the subject, we suggest [Cha84], [Li12], [Gri09], [Aub98], [Tak94], [Hel01].

On a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , we have the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g acting on smooth functions in the following way

$$\Delta_g f = \operatorname{div}(\nabla f) = -\delta df, \quad (4.1)$$

for every smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. In local coordinates, we have Δ_g described as follows

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sqrt{\det(g)} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

such that $g = (g_{ij})$. Let us suppose from now on that M is compact. In this setting, considering the underlying weighted manifold (M, g, μ_g) , where μ_g denotes the induced Riemannian measure, there exists a complete orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ for the space of square integrable real-valued measurable functions $L^2(M) = L^2(M, \mu_g)$, consisting of smooth eigenfunctions of Δ_g , i.e., such that $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(M)$, and

$$\Delta_g \varphi_j + \lambda_j(\Delta_g) \varphi_j = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

for every $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with corresponding eigenvalues arranged in increasing order

$$0 = \lambda_0(\Delta_g) < \lambda_1(\Delta_g) \leq \lambda_2(\Delta_g) \leq \dots \uparrow +\infty, \quad (4.4)$$

with each eigenvalue repeated according to its multiplicity, notice that $\varphi_0 = \operatorname{Vol}(M, g)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, see for instance [Cha84], [Li12]. In particular, for every $f \in L^2(M)$, we have the Parseval identities

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (f, \varphi_j) \varphi_j, \quad \|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (f, \varphi_j)^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Since the operator Δ_g is non-positive definite, it is frequently more convenient to work with the Dirichlet Laplace operator

$$\mathcal{L}_g := -\Delta_g. \quad (4.6)$$

The Dirichlet Laplace operator \mathcal{L}_g is self-adjoint non-negative definite operator in $L^2(M)$, such that

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_g) = \{ \lambda_j(\Delta_g) \mid j = 1, 2, \dots \}. \quad (4.7)$$

Let us consider the classical Cauchy problem on (M, g, μ_g) , i.e., the problem of finding $u(x, t) \in C^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta_g u, & \forall t > 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = f. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

where f is a given continuous function on M and the initial data is understood in the sense that $u(x, t) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ locally uniform in x . Since \mathcal{L}_g is a self-adjoint non-negative definite operator the Cauchy problem can be solved by means of the family of operators (heat semigroup)

$$P_t : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M), \quad t \geq 0, \quad P_t := e^{t\Delta_g}, \quad (4.9)$$

such that $P_0 = \text{id}$, and

$$P_t(f)(x) = e^{t\Delta_g}(f)(x) = \int_M p_t(x, y)f(y)d\mu_g(y), \quad (4.10)$$

for all $t > 0$, where the function $p_t(x, y) \in C^\infty(M \times M \times (0, \infty))$ is the heat kernel on M

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_j(\Delta_g)t} \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y). \quad (4.11)$$

From above, one can solve the initial-value problem given in Eq. (4.8) by taking

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} P_t(f)(x), & \text{if } \forall t > 0, \\ f(x), & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}, \quad (4.12)$$

for all $(x, t) \in M \times [0, \infty)$, for more details on the above subject we suggest [Gri09] and [Cha84]. The standard elliptic theory asserts that there exists a Green's function $G(x, y)$ for the Laplacian Δ_g , which can be defined as being the unique function satisfying the condition

$$\Delta_g G(x, y) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} - \delta_x(y), \quad \int_M G(x, y)d\mu_g(x) = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\delta_x(y)$ is the delta function at x . It is well-known that $G(x, y) = G(y, x)$ and that $G(x, y)$ is smooth on $(M \times M) \setminus \Delta(M)$, such that $\Delta(M) = \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid y = x\}$. Moreover, we have that⁵

$$\varphi(x) = \int_M \varphi(y)d\mu_g(y) - \int_M G(x, y)\Delta_g \varphi(y)d\mu_g(y), \quad (4.14)$$

for every $\varphi \in C^2(M)$, see for instance [Aub98]. By means of the Green function $G(x, y)$ a solution of the Poisson's equation

$$-\Delta_g u = f, \quad (4.15)$$

for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$, such that $\int_M f d\mu_g = 0$, can be described (up to some constant) by

$$u(x) = \int_M G(x, y)f(y)d\mu_g(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} (f, \varphi_j)\varphi_j(x), \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (4.16)$$

From above, we consider the operator $(-\Delta_g)^{-1} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$, such that

$$(-\Delta_g)^{-1} f := \int_M G(x, y)f(y)d\mu_g(y), \quad (4.17)$$

for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Let us suppose now that M is a flag variety, i.e., $M = X_P$, such that

$$X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P = G/P \cap G = G/K. \quad (4.18)$$

By keeping the notation of Subsection 2.2, let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$, and $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0. \quad (4.19)$$

From above, it follows that \mathfrak{m}_0 can be identified with the tangent space $T_o(X_P)$ at $o = eK$. In this last setting, considering the algebra of $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant symmetric tensors $S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K$, and taking its complexification $S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K^\mathbb{C}$, we have an isomorphism (e.g. [Tak94])

$$\Upsilon : S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X_P), \quad (4.20)$$

where $\mathbf{D}(X_P)$ is the algebra of invariant differential operators acting on $C^\infty(X_P, \mathbb{C})$. Choosing a basis A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathfrak{m}_0 , for every $P(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in S(\mathfrak{m}_0)_K^\mathbb{C}$ and every $f \in C^\infty(X_P, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$[\Upsilon(P(A_1, \dots, A_n))f](aK) = \left[P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_n}\right) f\left(a \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n s_k A_k\right) K\right) \right](0), \quad (4.21)$$

⁵Notice the \int_- stands for $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(-\mathfrak{g})} \int_-$

see for instance [Tak94], [Hel01]. In particular, if g is some G -invariant Riemannian metric on X_P , since Δ_g is a (real) invariant differential operator of order 2, and \mathfrak{g} is an unimodular Lie algebra, under the isomorphism given in Eq. (4.20), it follows that

$$\Delta_g = \Upsilon \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} A_i \otimes A_j \right), \quad (4.22)$$

such that (g^{ij}) is the inverse of (g_{ij}) , with $g_{ij} = g_0(A_i, A_j)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, see for instance [Hel77], [MU80, Theorem 1], and [Tak94]. Given a finite-dimensional G -module (Π, V) , let us denote by V^K the subspace of K -fixed vectors of V (Definition 2.17). The Laplace operator Δ_g can be extended to a self-adjoint operator on

$$L^2(X_P) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}_K} V(\lambda) \otimes (V(\lambda)^*)^K}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\widehat{G}_K = \{[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G} \mid (\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda)) \text{ is spherical for the pair } (G, K)\}$. Moreover, under the identification $(V(\lambda)^*)^K \cong V(\lambda)^K$, provided by some G -invariant inner product $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle$ on $V(\lambda)$, from Eq. (4.23), we obtain the following

$$\Delta_g(f_{v \otimes w})(aK) = \left[\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \right) f_{v \otimes w} \left(a \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n s_k A_k \right) K \right) \right](0), \quad (4.24)$$

for every $v \otimes w \in V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^K$, and every $[\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{G}_K$. Denoting $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and setting

$$M(\mathbf{s}) = s_1 A_1 + \dots + s_n A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k A_k, \quad (4.25)$$

we notice that

$$f_{v \otimes w} \left(a \exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) K \right) = \left\langle \left\langle \Pi_\lambda \left(\exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right)^{-1} a^{-1} \right) v, w \right\rangle \right\rangle. \quad (4.26)$$

Since

$$\left\langle \left\langle \Pi_\lambda \left(\exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right)^{-1} a^{-1} \right) v, w \right\rangle \right\rangle = \left\langle \left\langle \Pi_\lambda(a^{-1})v, \Pi_\lambda \left(\exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right) w \right\rangle \right\rangle, \quad (4.27)$$

by using the following facts

- (1) $\Pi_\lambda \left(\exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right) = \exp \left(\Pi_\lambda \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right)$,
- (2) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \exp \left(\Pi_\lambda \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right) = \underbrace{\Pi_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \circ \Pi_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \circ \Pi_\lambda \left(\exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right)}_{\Pi_\lambda(A_i \otimes A_j)}$,

we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \exp \left(\Pi_\lambda \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{s}=0} = \Pi_\lambda(A_i \otimes A_j). \quad (4.28)$$

Thus, we have

$$\left[\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \right) f_{v \otimes w} \left(a \exp \left(M(\mathbf{s}) \right) K \right) \right](0) = \left\langle \left\langle \Pi_\lambda(a^{-1})v, \Pi_\lambda \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} A_i \otimes A_j \right) w \right\rangle \right\rangle, \quad (4.29)$$

in other words, it follows that

$$\Delta_g(f_{v \otimes w}) = f_{v \otimes (\Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta_g)w)} = \Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta_g) \cdot (f_{v \otimes w}), \quad (4.30)$$

cf. [BLP22, §2]. From the above equation, we shall consider the action of Δ_g on $V(\lambda)^K$ as the action of the $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant element $\Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta_g) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$, such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\text{Ad}(k)\Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta_g) = \Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta_g), \quad (4.31)$$

for every $k \in K$, we have from Remark 2.20 and the above ideas the following result.

Lemma 4.1. The set of all eigenvalues of Δ_g on $L^2(X_P)$ coincides with the set of all eigenvalues of Δ_g on $V(\lambda)^K$, where $(\Pi_\lambda, V(\lambda))$ runs over the representative set of all the equivalence classes of spherical representations for the pair (G, K) .

Given a spherical representation (Π, V) for (G, K) , such that $X_P = G/K$, considering $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^K) = m_{[\Pi]}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = d_{[\Pi]}$, it follows from the above lemma that

$$\text{Spec}(-\Delta_g) = \bigcup_{[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K} \underbrace{\left\{ \lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g), \dots, \lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g) \mid 1 \leq k \leq m_{[\Pi]} \right\}}_{d_{[\Pi]}}, \quad (4.32)$$

for every G -invariant Riemannian metric g on X_P . As a consequence of the above description, we have an orthonormal basis for $L^2(X_P, \mu_g)$ given by

$$\varphi_{ik[\Pi]} \in C^\infty(X_P), \quad \text{such that} \quad \Delta_g \varphi_{ik[\Pi]} + \lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g) \varphi_{ik[\Pi]} = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, d_{[\Pi]}$, every $k = 1, \dots, m_{[\Pi]}$, and every $[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K$. In particular, denoting

$$\Psi_{k[\Pi]}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} \varphi_{ik[\Pi]}(x) \varphi_{ik[\Pi]}(y), \quad (4.34)$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, m_{[\Pi]}$, and every $[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}$, the heat kernel on (X_P, g) can be described as

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K \cup \{0\}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_{[\Pi]}} e^{-\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g)t} \Psi_{k[\Pi]}(x, y) \right), \quad (4.35)$$

for every $x, y \in X_P$, and every $t \in (0, +\infty)$. Notice that, in the above setting, we have the spectral partition function

$$Z_{(X_P, g)}(t) = \text{tr}(e^{t\Delta_g}) := \int_{X_P} p_t(x, x) d\mu_g(x) = 1 + \sum_{[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_{[\Pi]}} d_{[\Pi]} e^{-\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g)t} \right), \quad (4.36)$$

see for instance [BGM06], [CPR13]. Further, we obtain the following description for the spectral zeta function of $-\Delta_g$ (a.k.a. Minakshisundaram–Pleijel zeta function [MP49])

$$\zeta_{(X_P, g)}(s) = \text{tr}\left((-\Delta_g)^{-s}\right) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} (Z_{(X_P, g)}(t) - 1) t^{s-1} dt = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_{[\Pi]}} \frac{d_{[\Pi]}}{\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_g)^s} \right), \quad (4.37)$$

such that $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(s) > \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$, where $\Gamma(s)$ is the gamma function (e.g. [Cha04, §3]).

Example 4.2 (Normal metric on X_P). Given a flag variety $X_P = G/K$, by considering the G -invariant Riemannian metric \hat{g} obtained from the $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant inner product

$$\hat{g}_o(u, v) = -\kappa(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{m}_0 = T_o X_P, \quad (4.38)$$

a.k.a. normal metric, it follows from Eq. (4.22) and Eq. (2.13) that

$$\Upsilon(\Delta_{\hat{g}}) = C_{\mathfrak{g}} - \sum_{\beta \in \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{1}{2} (A_\beta \otimes A_\beta + B_\beta \otimes B_\beta) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} Z_\alpha \otimes Z_\alpha. \quad (4.39)$$

From above, since

$$\mathfrak{k} = \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \langle I \rangle^+} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha\} \right), \quad (4.40)$$

for every spherical representation (Π, V) for the pair (G, K) we have

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}}(f_{v \otimes w}) = C_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (f_{v \otimes w}), \quad (4.41)$$

for every $v \otimes w \in V \otimes V^K$. Therefore, it follows that the spectrum of $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ is completely determined by the spectrum of $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$, i.e., in this case we have

$$\text{Spec}(-\Delta_{\hat{g}}) = \bigcup_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K} \underbrace{\left\{ \lambda_{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{g}}) = -\frac{1}{d_{[\Pi]}} \text{tr}(\Pi(C_{\mathfrak{g}})), \dots, \lambda_{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{g}}) = -\frac{1}{d_{[\Pi]}} \text{tr}(\Pi(C_{\mathfrak{g}})) \right\}}_{d_{[\Pi]} m_{[\Pi]} \text{-times}}, \quad (4.42)$$

Explicitly, denoting by $\lambda_{[\Pi]}$ the highest weight of (V, Π) , we have from Theorem 2.19 that

$$\lambda_{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{g}}) = \langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle, \quad (4.43)$$

for every $[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K$. Notice that each eigenvalue $\lambda_{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{g}})$ appears with multiplicity $d_{[\Pi]} m_{[\Pi]}$, such that

$$d_{[\Pi]} = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \frac{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle}. \quad (4.44)$$

The heat kernel in this case can be described as follows

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K \cup \{0\}} \exp\left(\frac{\text{tr}(\Pi(C_{\mathfrak{g}}))t}{d_{[\Pi]}}\right) \Psi_{[\Pi]}(x, y), \quad (4.45)$$

for every $x, y \in X_P$, and for every $t \in (0, +\infty)$, where

$$\Psi_{[\Pi]} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_{[\Pi]}} \Psi_{k[\Pi]}, \quad \forall [\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K. \quad (4.46)$$

see Eq. (4.34). From above, the solution of the Cauchy problem Eq. (4.8) on (X_P, \hat{g}) is given by

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K \cup \{0\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{[\Pi]}} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} (f, \varphi_{ik[\Pi]}) \exp\left(\frac{\text{tr}(\Pi(C_{\mathfrak{g}}))t}{d_{[\Pi]}}\right) \varphi_{k[\Pi]}(x) \right), \quad (4.47)$$

for every $(x, t) \in X_P \times (0, +\infty)$. In this particular case, we have the spectral partition function given by

$$Z_{(X_P, \hat{g})}(t) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K \cup \{0\}} d_{[\Pi]} m_{[\Pi]} \exp\left(\frac{\text{tr}(\Pi(C_{\mathfrak{g}}))t}{d_{[\Pi]}}\right), \quad (4.48)$$

cf. [Yam79, Proposition 3], and the spectral zeta function of $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ described by

$$\zeta_{(X_P, \hat{g})}(s) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K} \frac{d_{[\Pi]} m_{[\Pi]}}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle^s}, \quad (4.49)$$

such that $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(s) > \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$.

Remark 4.3 (Hermitian symmetric spaces). In the previous example, considering the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0$ (Eq. (2.25)), if we suppose additionally that

$$[\mathfrak{m}_0, \mathfrak{m}_0] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad (4.50)$$

it follows that X_P is an irreducible symmetric space of compact type [Tak94], [Hel01], [BR06]. Moreover, once (G, K) is a compact symmetric pair, it follows that $m_{[\Pi]} = 1$, $\forall [\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K$, see for instance [Tak94, Theorem 5.5]. Therefore, under the assumption that Eq. (4.50) holds, the expressions presented in the previous example are substantially simplified, e.g.

$$\zeta_{(X_P, \hat{g})}(s) = \sum_{[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K} \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \frac{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle} \right) \frac{1}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle^s}, \quad (4.51)$$

see for instance [CW76]. Further, in the case that X_P is an irreducible symmetric space of compact type we have that the connection induced on TX_P by the canonical connection coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} , see for instance [Nom54], [BR06], and [Hel01], so the homogeneous normal metric is a Kähler metric with respect to the canonical G -invariant complex structure defined in Eq. (2.29), i.e., X_P is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type. In particular, we have in this case that

$$\pi_2(X_P) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \quad (4.52)$$

where $\alpha \in \Delta$ is a long⁶ root of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$, see for instance [BR06]. Hence, from Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.8, we conclude that

$$\hat{\omega} = \hat{g}(J \otimes 1) = c_0 \mathbf{\Omega}_\alpha, \quad (4.53)$$

for some constant $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. In order to obtain the precise value of c_0 , we observe that

- $\text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}$, see for instance [KN96];
- $\text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}) = 2\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \mathbf{\Omega}_\alpha$, see Eq. (3.94).

From above we conclude that $c_0 = 4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle$, i.e., $\hat{\omega} = 4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \mathbf{\Omega}_\alpha$.

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. Back to the general context, for every G -invariant Kähler metric ω on a flag variety X_P , we have an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant element $\mathbf{\Delta}_\omega \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C})$ defined by the Laplacian of the underlying Riemannian metric $g = \omega(1 \otimes J)$ (Remark 2.12). It is worth mentioning that, considering holomorphic local coordinates, we have from Theorem 3.13 that

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_\omega f = 2\Box_\omega f = -2\partial^* \partial f = 2\Lambda_\omega(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f) = \sum_{i,j} 2g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad (4.54)$$

where $(g^{i\bar{j}})$ is the inverse of the matrix $(g_{i\bar{j}})$, such that $g_{i\bar{j}} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j})$. In the setting of flag varieties, the following result allows us to estimate $\lambda_1(\mathbf{\Delta}_\omega)$ by means of basic elements of Lie theory (e.g. Koszul numbers).

Lemma 4.4. Let ω be a G -invariant Kähler metric on X_P . Then, for every $x \in X_P$ and every $v \in T_x X_P$, such that $\omega(v, Jv) = 1$, the following holds

$$\text{Ric}(\omega)(v, Jv) \geq 2\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\}, \quad (4.55)$$

such that $\phi([\omega]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By keeping the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.15, since $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \rho_0$, it follows that

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \wedge \bar{\theta}_\beta, \quad (4.56)$$

at $\mathfrak{o} \in X_P$, see for instance Eq. (3.94) and Eq. (3.63). From above, we have

$$\text{Ric}(\omega)(1 \otimes J) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \odot \bar{\theta}_\beta, \quad (4.57)$$

where $\theta_\beta \odot \bar{\theta}_\beta = \theta_\beta \otimes \bar{\theta}_\beta + \bar{\theta}_\beta \otimes \theta_\beta$, $\forall \beta \in \Phi_I^+$. Since

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \mathbf{\Omega}_\alpha, \quad (4.58)$$

⁶For the definition of long root, see for instance [SMN03]

such that $\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, see Lemma 3.8, Proposition 3.7, and Remark 3.10, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\omega = \omega_\varphi$, where

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{\pi} \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\| \right), \quad (4.59)$$

for every $g \in G^\mathbb{C}$. Denoting $c_\alpha = \frac{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{\pi}, \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, we have

$$\omega(1 \otimes J) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \odot \bar{\theta}_\beta. \quad (4.60)$$

Now we observe that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq 2 \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{c_\alpha} \right\} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle, \quad (4.61)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi_1^+$. Therefore, from Eq. (4.57), Eq. (4.60), and Eq. (3.40), we conclude that

$$\text{Ric}(\omega)(v, Jv) \geq 2\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\} \omega(v, Jv), \quad (4.62)$$

for all $v \in T_0 X_P$. Since ω_φ is G -invariant, we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let ω be a G -invariant Kähler metric on $X_P = G/K$. Then, the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_ω satisfies the following:*

(1) *Considering $\Delta_\omega \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C})$ as an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant element, we have*

$$\Delta_\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{4\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \left(A_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha + J(A_\alpha) \otimes J(A_\alpha) \right), \quad (4.63)$$

such that $\phi([\omega]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$;

(2) *The eigenvalues of Δ_ω satisfies $\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_\omega) \geq \epsilon([\omega])$, such that*

$$\epsilon([\omega]) = 4\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\}, \quad (4.64)$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, m_{[\Pi]}$, and every isomorphism class of spherical representation $[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K$.

Proof. Given a G -invariant Kähler metric on X_P , it follows that

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle \Omega_\alpha^{\text{loc}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{2\pi} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^2 \right), \quad (4.65)$$

for some local section $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\omega = \omega_\varphi$, such that

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{\pi} \log \left(\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(g) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\| \right), \quad (4.66)$$

for every $g \in G^\mathbb{C}$, see Lemma 3.8, Proposition 3.7, and Remark 3.10. By keeping the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.15, from Eq. (3.40), we have

$$\omega = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \frac{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}{2\pi} \langle \varpi_\alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle \right) \theta_\beta \wedge \bar{\theta}_\beta = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left(\frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{2\pi} \right) \theta_\beta \wedge \bar{\theta}_\beta, \quad (4.67)$$

at $\mathfrak{o} \in X_P$. Considering the G -invariant metric $g = \omega(1 \otimes J)$, we obtain from above that

$$g = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{2\pi} \right) \theta_\beta \odot \bar{\theta}_\beta, \quad (4.68)$$

at $\mathfrak{o} \in X_P$. From the identification

$$T_0^{1,0}X_P \cong \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^-} \mathfrak{g}_\beta, \quad (4.69)$$

see Eq. (2.32), we have $E_\beta \leftrightarrow e_{-\beta}$, $\forall \beta \in \Phi_I^+$, such that $E_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}|_{z=0} \exp(ze_{-\beta})\mathfrak{o}$, $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$. On the other hand, we observe that $T_0X_P \cong \mathfrak{m}_0$, such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \mathfrak{u}_\beta = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\beta, B_\beta\} = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\beta, J(A_\beta)\}, \quad (4.70)$$

see for instance Eq. (2.27) and Eq. (2.29). Since

$$e_{-\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}(A_\beta - \sqrt{-1}J(A_\beta)), \quad (4.71)$$

for every $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, considering the basis $\{A_\beta, J(A_\beta)\}_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+}$ of T_0X_P as a real vector space, and the identification $E_\beta \leftrightarrow e_{-\beta}$, $\forall \beta \in \Phi_I^+$, we obtain

$$g_0(J(A_\beta), J(A_\beta)) = g_0(A_\beta, A_\beta) = \omega_0(A_\beta, J(A_\beta)) = \mathcal{H}_\omega(E_\beta, E_\beta), \quad (4.72)$$

for all $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$, such that

$$\mathcal{H}_\omega = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \left(\frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{4\pi} \right) \theta_\beta \otimes \overline{\theta}_\beta, \quad (4.73)$$

at $\mathfrak{o} \in X_P$, see for instance Eq. (3.59). Therefore, we conclude that

$$g_0(J(A_\beta), J(A_\beta)) = g_0(A_\beta, A_\beta) = \frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{4\pi}, \quad (4.74)$$

for all $\beta \in \Phi_I^+$. Notice that

$$\theta_\beta = -(A_\beta^* + \sqrt{-1}J(A_\beta)^*), \quad \beta \in \Phi_I^+, \quad (4.75)$$

where $\{A_\beta^*, J(A_\beta)^*\}_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+}$ is the associated dual basis of $\{A_\beta, J(A_\beta)\}_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+}$. From above, it follows that, as an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant element, $\Delta_\omega \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\Delta_\omega = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{4\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle} (A_\beta \otimes A_\beta + J(A_\beta) \otimes J(A_\beta)), \quad (4.76)$$

see for instance [MU80, Theorem 1]. In order to prove item (2), we observe that, from Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\text{Ric}(g) \geq 2\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\}. \quad (4.77)$$

Therefore, from Lichnerowicz's theorem [Lic58], we conclude that

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_\omega) \geq 4\pi \min_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right\}. \quad (4.78)$$

Since $\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_\omega) \geq \lambda_1(\Delta_\omega)$, $\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, m_{[\Pi]}$, $\forall [\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K$, we conclude the proof. \square

Given a \mathfrak{su}_2 -triple associated to some $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, it follows that

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_\alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}B_\alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}}H_\alpha \right\}, \quad (4.79)$$

defines an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)$ with respect to $-\kappa|_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)}$. By means of this basis we can express the Casimir element $C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)}$ as follows

$$C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha + B_\alpha \otimes B_\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} H_\alpha \otimes H_\alpha. \quad (4.80)$$

Combining the above fact with the result of the last theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. In the setting of the previous theorem, we have

$$\Delta_\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^+} \frac{8\pi}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} \left(C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} H_\alpha \otimes H_\alpha \right), \quad (4.81)$$

where $C_{\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha)}$ is the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{su}_2(\alpha) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, H_\alpha\}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Phi_I^+$.

We summarize all the results presented in this subsection through a detailed classical example.

Example 4.7. Consider $G^{\mathbb{C}} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and fix the following standard data:

- $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, s.t. $\mathfrak{h} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ (Cartan subalgebra);
- $\Delta = \{\alpha\}$ (simple root system), $\Phi = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ (root system), and

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \underbrace{\left\langle x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}}_{\mathfrak{n}^+} \oplus \underbrace{\left\langle h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \underbrace{\left\langle y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}}_{\mathfrak{n}^-},$$

such that $[h, x] = 2x$, $[y, h] = 2y$, and $[x, y] = h$;

- By taking $I = \emptyset$, we have $\mathfrak{p}_\emptyset = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$ (Borel subalgebra);
- Thus, $B := N_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{b}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ (Borel subgroup).

From above, w.r.t. the basis x, h, y , we have

$$\text{ad}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{ad}(h) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{ad}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.82)$$

Thus, the Killing form κ can be described as follows

$$\kappa(X, Y) = 4\text{tr}(XY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad (4.83)$$

Now we observe that, since $\kappa(x, y) = 4$, it follows that

$$e_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.84)$$

defines a Weyl basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. From the above basis, we set

$$A = e_\alpha - e_{-\alpha}, \quad B = \sqrt{-1}(e_\alpha + e_{-\alpha}), \quad H = \sqrt{-1}t_\alpha. \quad (4.85)$$

By construction, it follows that $[A, B] = 2H$, $[B, H] = 2A$, $[H, A] = 2B$. Thus, we have the compact real form $\mathfrak{su}_2 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A, B, H\}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{su}_2. \quad (4.86)$$

From above, we can realize the flag variety X_B as a reductive space as follows

$$X_B = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/B = \text{SU}(2)/\text{U}(1). \quad (4.87)$$

Let J be the $\text{SU}(2)$ -invariant complex structure on X_B defined by $J(A) = -B$ and $J(B) = A$. Given a $\text{SU}(2)$ -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_B , since

$$H^2(X_B, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\Omega_\alpha], \quad (4.88)$$

it follows that $\omega = c\Omega_\alpha$, such that $c = \langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle$, notice that

$$X_B = \overline{\exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})\mathfrak{o}} = \mathbb{P}_\alpha^1, \quad (4.89)$$

see for instance Lemma 3.7. From the previous theorem, we obtain

$$\Delta_\omega = \frac{4\pi}{c} (A \otimes A + J(A) \otimes J(A)) = \frac{4\pi}{c} (A \otimes A + B \otimes B). \quad (4.90)$$

Since $\kappa(X, Y) = 4\text{Tr}(XY)$, $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}_2$, it follows that

$$\kappa(A, A) = \kappa(B, B) = -2, \quad \kappa(A, B) = \kappa(A, H) = \kappa(B, H) = 0. \quad (4.91)$$

Observing that $T_0 X_B = \mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A, B\}$, and considering the normal Riemannian metric $\hat{g}_0 = -\kappa|_{\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}}$, it follows that

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}(A \otimes A + B \otimes B). \quad (4.92)$$

Hence, we obtain the following relation between the Laplacians of ω and \hat{g}

$$\Delta_{\omega} = \frac{8\pi}{c} \Delta_{\hat{g}}. \quad (4.93)$$

Further, from Eq. (4.80), it follows that

$$\Delta_{\omega} = \frac{4\pi}{c}(A \otimes A + B \otimes B) = \frac{8\pi}{c}\left(C_{\mathfrak{su}_2} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} H \otimes H\right). \quad (4.94)$$

Given an irreducible representation $\Pi_{\lambda}: \mathfrak{su}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V(\lambda))$, it follows from Theorem 2.19 that

$$\Pi_{\lambda}(C_{\mathfrak{su}_2}) = -\langle \lambda + 2\rho^+, \lambda \rangle 1_{V(\lambda)}. \quad (4.95)$$

Since $\Pi_{\lambda}(H)f = 0$, $\forall f \in V(\lambda)^{U(1)}$, we conclude that Δ_{ω} acts on $V(\lambda)^{U(1)}$ as the operator

$$-\frac{8\pi}{c} \langle \lambda + 2\rho^+, \lambda \rangle 1_{V(\lambda)^{U(1)}}. \quad (4.96)$$

Since $\lambda = m\varpi_{\alpha}$, such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\lambda)) = m + 1$, $\alpha = 2\varpi_{\alpha}$, and $\rho^+ = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, it follows that

$$\langle \lambda + 2\rho^+, \lambda \rangle = \langle (m+2)\varpi_{\alpha}, \frac{m}{2}\alpha \rangle = \frac{m(m+2)}{4} \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \frac{m(m+2)}{4} \kappa(t_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}) = \frac{m(m+2)}{8}. \quad (4.97)$$


Hence, we conclude that Δ_{ω} acts on $V(\lambda)^{U(1)}$ as the operator

$$-\frac{\pi}{c} m(m+2) 1_{V(\lambda)^{U(1)}}. \quad (4.98)$$

Denoting $V(m) := V(m\varpi_{\alpha})$, $\forall m \geq 0$, and observing that

$$V(m) = \bigoplus_{\mu} V(m)_{\mu}, \quad (4.99)$$


such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V(m)_{\mu}) = 1$, $\mu = m - 2j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, we have the following diagram



The above diagram illustrates the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on the weight spaces of a typical representation $V(m)$, see for instance [Hum72]. From above, it follows that

$$V(m)^{U(1)} = \begin{cases} V(m)_0 = \mathbb{C}v_0, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.100)$$

i.e., schematically, we have the following



(A) If m is even, then $V(m)^{U(1)} = V(m)_0$.

(B) If m is odd, then $V(m)^{U(1)} = \{0\}$.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$L^2(X_B) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} V(2\ell) \otimes (V(2\ell)^*)^{\cup(1)}}. \quad (4.101)$$

Considering $m = 2\ell$ in Eq. (4.98), we obtain the following description for the spectrum of $-\Delta_\omega$

$$\text{Spec}(-\Delta_\omega) = \left\{ \underbrace{\lambda_\ell(\Delta_\omega) = \frac{4\pi\ell(\ell+1)}{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}_{\text{repeated } (2\ell+1)\text{-times}} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}. \quad (4.102)$$

Notice that $\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle = \langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle$ and $\langle \delta_B, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$, thus

$$\lambda_\ell(\Delta_\omega) \geq \lambda_1(\Delta_\omega) = \frac{8\pi}{\langle [\omega], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle} = \frac{4\pi\langle \delta_B, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} = \epsilon([\omega]), \quad (4.103)$$

for every $\ell \geq 1$, cf. Eq. (4.64). In the particular case that $\omega = \hat{\omega}$ (normal metric), we have

$$\langle [\hat{\omega}], [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1} \Omega_\alpha = 4\pi \implies \lambda_\ell(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}) = \ell(\ell+1), \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (4.104)$$

see for instance Remark 4.3. In the above setting, choosing an orthonormal basis (e.g. a basis of real spherical harmonics) satisfying

$$\varphi_{k\ell} \in C^\infty(X_B), \quad \Delta_{\hat{\omega}}\varphi_{k\ell} + \ell(\ell+1)\varphi_{k\ell} = 0, \quad (4.105)$$

such that $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k = -\ell, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \ell$, it follows that the heat kernel of $\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}$ is given by

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} e^{-\ell(\ell+1)t} \varphi_{k\ell}(x) \varphi_{k\ell}(y) \right). \quad (4.106)$$

The spectral partition function and the spectral zeta function of $-\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}$ are given, respectively, by

$$Z_{(X_B, \hat{\omega})}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} (2\ell+1)e^{-\ell(\ell+1)t}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{(X_B, \hat{\omega})}(s) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{(\ell(\ell+1))^s}, \quad (4.107)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\Re(s) > \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P) = 1$.

5. PRESCRIBED MEAN CURVATURE PROBLEMS

5.1. Line bundle case (proof of Theorem B). In this subsection, we will prove Theorem B. To this aim, we shall establish some preliminary results concerned with the applications of representation theory of Lie algebras to solve certain geometric PDE's. In particular, we illustrate our main ideas with explicit and detailed examples. These examples are new in the literature.

Lemma 5.1. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a flag variety, $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, and $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , then there exists a unique Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{L} with mean curvature

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f, \quad (5.1)$$

if, and only if,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{L}) \in \Lambda_P$ and $\phi([\omega_0]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let \mathbf{h}_0 be the Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that $\sqrt{-1}F(\mathbf{h}_0) = 2\pi\chi_{\mathbf{L}}$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{L}} \in c_1(\mathbf{L})$ is the unique G -invariant representative, see Remark 3.16. Since ω_0 and $\chi_{\mathbf{L}}$ are both G -invariant, it follows that (e.g. Eq. (3.67))

$$\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\chi_{\mathbf{L}}) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{L}) \in \Lambda_P$ and $\phi([\omega_0]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$, e.g. Remark 3.16. From this, we have

$$\deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L}) = \int_{X_P} \chi_{\mathbf{L}} \wedge \omega_0^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{X_P} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\chi_{\mathbf{L}}) \omega_0^n = (n-1)! \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\chi_{\mathbf{L}}) \text{Vol}_{\omega_0}(X_P). \quad (5.4)$$

Given $u \in C^\infty(X_P)$, consider $\mathbf{h} := \exp(4\pi u)\mathbf{h}_0$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\sqrt{-1}F(\exp(4\pi u)\mathbf{h}_0) = \sqrt{-1}F(\mathbf{h}_0) - 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u = 2\pi\chi_{\mathbf{L}} - 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})) = 2\pi \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle} - \Delta_{\omega_0}u \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f \iff \underbrace{\Delta_{\omega_0}u}_{-\rho(\mathbf{L}, f)} = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle} - \frac{f}{2\pi} \implies \int_{X_P} \rho(\mathbf{L}, f)(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

On the other hand, if $\int_{X_P} \rho(\mathbf{L}, f)(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = 0$, defining

$$\hat{u} := (-\Delta_{\omega_0})^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) = \sum_{[\Pi], i, k} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\omega_0})} (\rho(\mathbf{L}, f), \varphi_{ik}^{[\Pi]}) \varphi_{ik}^{[\Pi]}, \quad (5.8)$$

it follows that $\Delta_{\omega_0}\hat{u} = -\rho(\mathbf{L}, f)$. Hence, by setting $\mathbf{h} := \exp(4\pi\hat{u})\mathbf{h}_0$, we have $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f$. \square

Combine the above Lemma with the result of Lemma 3.20, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. Given a homogeneous homlomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$, defined by some irreducible P -module, $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, and some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , then there exists a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{E}_ρ with mean curvature

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (5.9)$$

if, and only if,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{E}_\rho), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{E}_\rho) \in \Lambda_P$ and $\phi([\omega_0]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 5.3. In the setting of Lemma 5.1, considering the Fourier expansion of $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$ with respect to the orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\omega_0}$, i.e.,

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{c}_j(f) \varphi_j, \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathbf{c}_j(f) = \int_{X_P} f \varphi_j d\mu_{\omega_0}, \quad (5.11)$$

$\forall j = 0, 1, \dots$, the necessary and sufficient condition given in Eq. (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{c}_0(f) \varphi_0 = \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = 2\pi \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle} = \frac{2\pi \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.12)$$

see Eq. (3.98) and Eq. (3.99), i.e., it is a condition on the zero Fourier coefficient of f . From above, notice that

$$\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) := \frac{f}{2\pi} - \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle \Gamma \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle} = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\langle f, \varphi_j \rangle}{2\pi} \varphi_j. \quad (5.13)$$

Example 5.4 (Hermitian symmetric space). Let X_P be a flag variety which is also an irreducible symmetric, recall that $H^2(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{Q}_\alpha]$, see Remark 4.3. Fixed the normal Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ on X_P , for every $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$ and every $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, such that

$$\int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\hat{\omega}}(x) = 2\pi \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\hat{\omega}]), \alpha^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.14)$$

we can describe the solution of the problem $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f$ in the following way. Let \mathbf{h}_0 the Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that $\sqrt{-1}F(\mathbf{h}_0) = 2\pi\chi_{\mathbf{L}}$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{L}}$ is the unique G -invariant representative in the cohomology class $c_1(\mathbf{L})$. By following Remark 3.16, we have \mathbf{h}_0 described (locally) as

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{\text{loc}} = \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}, \quad (5.15)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}$ is some local section. From Lemma 5.1 and the ideas presented in Example 4.2, we have the solution for the mean curvature problem $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f$ given by

$$\mathbf{h}^{\text{loc}} = \exp \left(\sum_{[\Pi]} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} \frac{4\pi \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(\rho(\mathbf{L}, f))}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle} \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right) \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}, \quad (5.16)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}$ is some local section and

$$\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) = \frac{f}{2\pi} - \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\hat{\omega}]), \alpha^\vee \rangle}. \quad (5.17)$$

Denoting by $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0}$, respectively, the Chern connection of \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{h}_0 , we have $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{g}_f(\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0})$, such that

$$\mathbf{g}_f = \exp \left(\sum_{[\Pi]} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} \frac{4\pi \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(\rho(\mathbf{L}, f))}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle} \varphi_{i[\Pi]} \right) \in \text{Gau}(\mathbf{L}) = C^\infty(X_P, \mathbb{C}^\times). \quad (5.18)$$

Therefore, the solution of $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f$ is completely determined by the gauge transformation $\mathbf{g}_f \in \text{Gau}(\mathbf{L})$ described above.

Example 5.5. In the particular case that $G^\mathbb{C} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $P = B \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the Borel subgroup defined by the upper triangular matrices (Example 4.7), we have $X_B = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/P \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. By considering the open dense subset

$$U(B)^- := N^- B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix} B \mid z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (5.19)$$

we obtain the local section $\mathbf{s}_{U(B)^-} : U(B)^- \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, such that $\mathbf{s}_{U(B)^-}(nB) = n, \forall nB \in U(B)^-$. In this case, we have $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{Q}_\alpha]$, such that (up to isomorphism)

$$V(\varpi_\alpha) = \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ = e_1 = (1, 0).$$

From above, considering the norm $\|\cdot\|$ induced by the standard $\text{SU}(2)$ -invariant inner product in $V(\varpi_\alpha) = \mathbb{C}^2$, we obtain the following (local) description for the Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_0 solving the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{O}_\alpha(n) \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{\text{loc}} = \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_{U(B)^-}) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n)), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}} = \frac{w\bar{w}}{(1 + |z|^2)^n}, \quad (5.20)$$

Fixed the normal Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , given $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} f(x) d\mu_{\hat{\omega}}(x) = 2\pi \frac{\langle \phi(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n)), \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\hat{\omega}]), \alpha^\vee \rangle} = 2\pi \frac{n}{8\pi} = \frac{n}{4}, \quad (5.21)$$

notice that $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1, \hat{\omega}) = 8\pi$, the solution of the equation

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), \mathbf{h}) = f, \quad (5.22)$$

is given explicitly by

$$\mathbf{h}^{\text{loc}} = \exp \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{4\pi \mathbf{c}_{k\ell}(\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), f))}{\ell(\ell+1)} \varphi_{k\ell}(z) \right) \right) \frac{w\bar{w}}{(1+|z|^2)^n}, \quad (5.23)$$

where $\mathbf{c}_{k\ell}(\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), f)) = \int_{X_B} \rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), f) \varphi_{k\ell} d\mu_{\hat{\omega}}$, $k = -\ell, \dots, \ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and

$$\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(f - \frac{n}{4} \right). \quad (5.24)$$

In this last case we obtain the Chern connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{g}_f(\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0})$, such that $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0}$ a Yang-Mills connection and

$$\mathbf{g}_f = \exp \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{4\pi \mathbf{c}_{k\ell}(\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n), f))}{\ell(\ell+1)} \varphi_{k\ell} \right) \right) \in \text{Gau}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(n)). \quad (5.25)$$

As it can be seen, the solution of Eq. (5.22) is completely determined by the above gauge transform.

Example 5.6. Given $G^\mathbb{C} = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, fixing the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$ of the diagonal matrices, we consider the simple root system $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, such that $\alpha_j = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, where $\epsilon_i : \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto a_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \end{array} \quad (5.26)$$

In this case, we have the positive root system

$$\Phi^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_5 := \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_6 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}. \quad (5.27)$$

Notice that the Killing form κ can be described in this case as

$$\kappa(X, Y) = 8\text{tr}(XY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C}), \quad (5.28)$$

so we obtain $\alpha_i = \kappa(h_{\alpha_i}, -) \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, such that

$$h_{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{8} (E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (5.29)$$

here $\{E_{ij}\}$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Choosing the subset $I = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_2\}$ of Δ , i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \end{array} \quad (5.30)$$

and considering the parabolic Lie subgroup $P = P_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_2\}}$, we have

$$X_P = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})/P = \text{SU}(4)/\text{S}(\text{U}(2) \times \text{U}(2)) \cong \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4) \quad (\text{Klein quadric}). \quad (5.31)$$

Similarly to the previous computations, we consider the open set defined by the opposite big cell

$$U(P)^- := N^- P = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1 & z_3 & 1 & 0 \\ z_2 & z_4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) P \mid z_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \right\} \subset \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4), \quad (5.32)$$

and the local section $\mathbf{s}_{U(P)^-} : U(P)^- \rightarrow \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, such that $\mathbf{s}_{U(P)^-}(nP) = n$, $\forall nP \in U(P)^-$. In this particular case we have $H^2(\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\Omega_{\alpha_2}]$ and (up to isomorphism)

$$V(\varpi_{\alpha_2}) = \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{C}^4) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\varpi_{\alpha_2}}^+ = e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

see for instance [GW⁺09, §5.5.2]. From this, we obtain the following (local) description for the Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_0 solving the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n) \in \text{Pic}(\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{\text{loc}} = \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \prod_{\varpi_{\alpha}} (\mathbf{s}_{U(P)^-}) v_{\varpi_{\alpha_2}}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n)), [\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_2}^1] \rangle}} = \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_j|^2 + \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \right)^n}. \quad (5.33)$$

Fixed the normal Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ on $\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$, and given $f \in C^\infty(\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4))$, such that

$$\int_{\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4)} f(x) d\mu_{\hat{\omega}}(x) = 2\pi \frac{\langle \phi(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n)), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\hat{\omega}]), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle} = 2\pi \frac{n}{4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle} = 2\pi \frac{n}{16\pi} = \frac{n}{8}, \quad (5.34)$$

the solution of the equation

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n), \mathbf{h}) = f, \quad (5.35)$$

can be described explicitly as follows. Firstly, we observe that

$$\widehat{\text{SU}}(4)_{\text{S}(\text{U}(2) \times \text{U}(2))} = \left\{ [\Pi_\lambda] \in \widehat{\text{SU}}(4) \mid \lambda = k(\varpi_{\alpha_1} + \varpi_{\alpha_3}) + 2\ell \varpi_{\alpha_2}, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}, \quad (5.36)$$

see for instance [GW⁺09, §12.3.2]. Let us denote

$$\lambda_{k\ell} := k(\varpi_{\alpha_1} + \varpi_{\alpha_3}) + 2\ell \varpi_{\alpha_2} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k\ell} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\lambda_{k\ell})) = \prod_{j=1}^6 \frac{\langle \lambda_{k\ell} + \rho^+, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle}. \quad (5.37)$$

From the Cartan matrix $C = (C_{ij})$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (5.38)$$

we obtain the change of basis

$$\varpi_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{4}(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \quad \varpi_{\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \quad \varpi_{\alpha_3} = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3). \quad (5.39)$$

Thus, we have

- (i) $\lambda_{k\ell} = k(\varpi_{\alpha_1} + \varpi_{\alpha_3}) + 2\ell \varpi_{\alpha_2} = (k + \ell)\alpha_1 + (k + 2\ell)\alpha_2 + (k + \ell)\alpha_3$,
- (ii) $\rho^+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha = \varpi_{\alpha_1} + \varpi_{\alpha_2} + \varpi_{\alpha_3} = \frac{1}{2}(3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3)$.

From above, since $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle = \kappa(h_{\alpha_i}, h_{\alpha_i}) = \frac{1}{4}, \forall i = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that

- (iii) $\langle \lambda_{k\ell} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{k\ell} \rangle = \frac{(2k + \ell)(k + 2\ell) + 4(3k + 4\ell)}{8}$,
- (iv) $d_{k\ell} = (1 + k) \left(1 + \frac{k + 2\ell}{2} \right)^2 (1 + 2\ell) \left(1 + \frac{2k + 2\ell}{3} \right) \left(1 + \frac{k}{3} \right)$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$\text{Spec}(-\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}) = \left\{ \underbrace{\lambda_{k\ell}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}) = \frac{(2k + \ell)(k + 2\ell) + 4(3k + 4\ell)}{8}}_{\text{repeated } d_{k\ell} \text{-times}} \mid k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}. \quad (5.40)$$

Considering (iii) and (iv), from the ideas of Example 5.4, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{h}^{\text{loc}} = \frac{\exp \left(\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{k\ell}} \frac{32\pi \mathbf{c}_{ik\ell}(\rho(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n), f))}{(2k + \ell)(k + 2\ell) + 4(3k + 4\ell)} \varphi_{ik\ell} |_{U(P)^-} \right)}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_j|^2 + \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \right)^n} w\bar{w}, \quad (5.41)$$

defines an explicit solution for the geometric PDE described in Eq. (5.35), notice that

$$\rho(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n), f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(f - \frac{n}{8} \right). \quad (5.42)$$

As before, we have the Chern connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{g}_f(\nabla^{\mathbf{h}_0})$, such that

$$\mathbf{g}_f = \exp \left(\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{k\ell}} \frac{32\pi \mathbf{c}_{ik\ell}(\rho(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n), f))}{(2k+\ell)(k+2\ell)+4(3k+4\ell)} \varphi_{ik\ell} \right) \in \text{Gau}(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}(n)). \quad (5.43)$$

The above gauge transformation determines completely the solution of Eq. (5.35).

In order to prove our next result, let us introduce some basic terminology, for more details we suggest [Gri09], [Aub98]. Given a compact weighted manifold (M, g, μ_g) , let $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ denotes the set of all distributions on the space $C^\infty(M)$ and let $L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$ denotes the space of locally integrable functions on M . In this setting, every $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$ defines a distribution $(\psi, -) \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$, such that

$$(\psi, \varphi) = \int_M \psi(x)\varphi(x)d\mu_g(x), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(M). \quad (5.44)$$

In particular, we have

$$L^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(M) \hookrightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(M). \quad (5.45)$$

From above, we define the distributional Laplacian of $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$ by means of the identity

$$(\Delta_g \psi, \varphi) = (\psi, \Delta_g \varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(M). \quad (5.46)$$

By definition, we have $\Delta_g \psi \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$, for every $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$. In particular, we obtain the self-adjoint extension $\Delta_g : H^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$, where $H^2(M)$ is the Sobolev space defined by the completion of $C^\infty(M)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^2} = \int_M uv d\mu_g + \int_M \langle \nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v \rangle_g d\mu_g. \quad (5.47)$$

The Sobolev space $H^2(M)$ also can be characterized in terms of $\text{Spec}(-\Delta_g)$, namely, we have

$$H^2(M) = \left\{ f \in L^2(M, \mu_g) \mid \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j(\Delta_g)^2(f, \varphi_j)^2 < +\infty \right\}, \quad (5.48)$$

see for instance [LM12, Remark 7.6], [CPR13, p. 134-139]. Now we consider the following definition.

Definition 5.7 (Demailly, [Dam92]). Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$. We say that \mathbf{h} is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} if for every trivializing open set $U \subset X$ we have

$$\mathbf{h}|_U = e^\varphi |\cdot|^2, \quad (5.49)$$

where $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$ is called the local weight of \mathbf{h} .

In the particular case that $\mathbf{h}(\varphi) := e^{2\varphi}\mathbf{h}_0$, where $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$ and \mathbf{h}_0 is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , the curvature (1,1)-current of (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) (in the sense of distribution) is given by

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = F(\mathbf{h}_0) - 2\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi. \quad (5.50)$$

see for instance [CAT98, §2.2], [Rau15, §3]. From above we define the mean curvature of a singular line bundle $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}(\varphi) = e^{2\varphi}\mathbf{h}_0)$ as being

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}(\varphi)) := \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) - \Delta_\omega\varphi, \quad (5.51)$$

such that the expression on the right-hand side above is understood in the sense of distribution. Fixed some $f \in L^2(X, \mu_\omega)$, the prescribed mean curvature problem in this last setting can be formulated as the following PDE in sense of distribution

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}(\varphi)) = f, \quad \varphi \in H^2(X). \quad (5.52)$$

In the above setting, we have the following result (Theorem B).

Theorem 5.8. *Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.53)$$

then there exists a sequence of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n) \xrightarrow{L^2} f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_{\infty}, \quad (5.54)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_{∞} is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\infty}) = f, \quad (5.55)$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. From Eq. (5.12), it follows that

$$\int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = 2\pi \left[\sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^{\vee} \rangle} \right]. \quad (5.56)$$

By fixing an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots\}$ of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\omega_0}$ for $L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$, we obtain $f = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{c}_j(f) \varphi_j$, such that

$$\mathbf{c}_0(f) = \frac{2\pi \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0))}{\varphi_0}, \quad (5.57)$$

see for instance Eq. (3.67), Eq. (3.98), and Eq. (3.99). From above, we set

$$f_n := \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{c}_j(f) \varphi_j \in C^{\infty}(X_P), \quad (5.58)$$

for every $n \geq 0$. By definition, it follows that each f_n , $n \geq 0$, satisfies the necessary and sufficient condition of Lemma 5.1, thus for every $n \geq 0$, we have a smooth Hermitian structure \mathbf{h}_n on \mathbf{L} , such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n) = f_n$. Also, by construction, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(X_P)$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Considering now

$$\psi_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega})} \varphi_j \in C^{\infty}(X_P) \subset L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0}), \quad (5.59)$$

it follows that $\mathbf{h}_n = \exp(2\psi_n) \mathbf{h}_0$ (up to scale), for every $n \geq 1$. Observe that

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega})} \varphi_j(x) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon([\omega])} \mathbf{c}_j(f) \varphi_j(x) \right|, \quad (5.60)$$

for every $x \in X_P$ and every $j \geq 1$, where $\epsilon([\omega])$ is the constant given in Eq. (4.64). Therefore, since the Fourier series of f is pointwise absolutely convergent, we have from Eq. (5.60) that $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ is convergent for every $x \in X_P$, i.e.,

$$\exists \psi_{\infty}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})} \varphi_j(x), \quad (5.61)$$

for every $x \in X_P$. The function ψ_{∞} is μ_{ω_0} -measurable, and since

$$\int_{X_P} |\psi_n(x)|^2 d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \|\psi_n\|_{L^2}^2 = (\psi_n, \psi_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)^2}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega})^2} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon([\omega])^2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty, \quad (5.62)$$

for every $n \geq 1$, from Fatou's lemma [Fol99], we have

$$\int_{X_P} |\psi_\infty(x)|^2 d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) \leq \liminf \int_{X_P} |\psi_n(x)|^2 d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon([\omega])^2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty, \quad (5.63)$$

thus $\psi_\infty \in L^2(X_P) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(X_P)$. Now we observe that

$$(\psi_\infty, \varphi_j) = \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})}, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5.64)$$

therefore

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j(\Delta_g)^2 (\psi_\infty, \varphi_j)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (f, \varphi_j)^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 - \mathbf{c}_0(f)^2 < +\infty, \quad (5.65)$$

i.e., $\psi_\infty \in H^2(X_P)$. From this, we have that $\mathbf{h}_\infty := \exp(2\psi_\infty)\mathbf{h}_0$ defines a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} . By construction, it satisfies

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) - \Delta_{\omega_0}\psi_\infty = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) + f - \mathbf{c}_0(f)\varphi_0 = f, \quad (5.66)$$

see Eq. (5.57). Since $\exp(2\psi_n) \xrightarrow{a.e.} \exp(2\psi_\infty)$, we conclude that $\mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_\infty$. \square

Example 5.9. In the particular case that X_P is a compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric space, fixed the normal Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$, for every $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\hat{\omega}})$ satisfying the sufficient condition established in the last theorem we can describe explicitly the solution of the equation

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}) = f. \quad (5.67)$$

In fact, following the proof of the last theorem, the solution of the above equation is given explicitly by $\mathbf{h}_\infty = \exp(2\psi_\infty)\mathbf{h}_0$, such that

$$\mathbf{h}_0 \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\omega_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U)v_{\omega_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\infty = \sum_{[\Pi]} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} \frac{\mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(f)}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle} \varphi_{i[\Pi]}. \quad (5.68)$$

The singular Chern connection can be described locally in the distributional sense as

$$\nabla^\infty \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} d + \partial \log \left(\frac{\exp \left(\sum_{[\Pi]} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{[\Pi]}} \frac{2\mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(f)}{\langle \lambda_{[\Pi]} + 2\rho^+, \lambda_{[\Pi]} \rangle} \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right)}{\left\| \Pi_{\omega_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U)v_{\omega_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}} \right). \quad (5.69)$$

As it can be seen, from Theorem 5.8 we have a constructive method to obtain examples of singular Hermitian structures in terms of standard elements of representation theory.

Given some integer $s > 0$, consider the Hilbert space defined by the Sobolev space

$$H^{2s}(X_P) = \left\{ f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0}) \mid \Delta_{\omega_0}^s f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0}) \right\}, \quad (5.70)$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{H^{2s}} = \|f\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_{\omega_0}^s f\|_{L^2}$, see for instance [LM12, Remark 7.6]. Now set for any $f \in H^2(X_P) + H^{2s}(X_P)$

$$K(t, f) = K_s(t, f) := \inf_{f=f_0+f_1} \left(\|f_0\|_{L^2}^2 + t^2 \|(-\Delta_{\omega_0})^s f_1\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^2, \quad 0 < t < +\infty. \quad (5.71)$$

From above, for every $0 < \theta < s$, we define the interpolation space (e.g. [Pee66])

$$(H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{\theta,1} = \left\{ f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0}) \mid \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-\frac{\theta}{s}} K(t, f) \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty \right\}. \quad (5.72)$$

In [Pee67], it was shown that, if $f \in (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{\theta,1}$, then the series

$$\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})^{\theta-\frac{n}{m}} \mathbf{e}_j(f)(x)}_{\text{summation over distinct eigenvalues}}, \quad (5.73)$$

converges absolutely $\forall x \in X_P$, such that $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$, \mathbf{e}_j denotes the projection onto the eigenspace of $\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0}) \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_{\omega_0})$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is given according to [Pee67, Eq. (2)]. By choosing $s > n$, if $f \in (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{\frac{n}{m},1}$, since

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})} \mathbf{e}_j(f)(x) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon([\omega_0])} \mathbf{e}_j(f)(x) \right|, \quad (5.74)$$

for every $x \in X_P$ and every $j = 1, 2, \dots$, cf. Eq. (5.60), it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathbf{c}_j(f)}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})} \varphi_j(x) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j(\Delta_{\omega_0})} \mathbf{e}_j(f)(x)}_{\text{summation over distinct eigenvalues}}, \quad (5.75)$$

converges absolutely $\forall x \in X_P$. Therefore, combining the last Theorem with [Pee67], and observing that

$$(H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{n,1} \subset (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{\frac{n}{m},1}, \quad (5.76)$$

see for instance [P⁺64, Chap. V], we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.10. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a flag variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P and $s > n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_P)$, if $f \in (H^2(X_P), H^{2s}(X_P))_{n,1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.77)$$

then there exists a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_{sing} on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}) = f, \quad (5.78)$$

in the sense of distributions.

It is a well-known fact that the Cartier divisor group

$$\text{Div}(X_P) = H^0(X_P, \mathcal{M}_{X_P}^* / \mathcal{O}_{X_P}^*),$$

of a flag variety X_P is freely generated by the Schubert divisors $D_{\alpha} \subset X_P$, $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$, see for instance [Bri05], [Tim11, §17 and §18]. Moreover, we have the following facts:

- (i) Under the map $\mathcal{O} : \text{Div}(X_P) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_P)$, $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}(D)$, we have $\mathcal{O}(D_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(1)$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I$;
- (ii) Considering the divisor class group⁷ $\text{Cl}(X_P) = \text{Div}(X_P) / \sim$, it follows that

$$\text{Cl}(X_P) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \mathbb{Z}[D_{\alpha}]. \quad (5.79)$$

From above, given $[D] \in \text{Cl}(X_P)$, we have $D \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} (D \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^1) D_{\alpha}$, where

$$(D \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^1) := \int_{[\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^1]} c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I. \quad (5.80)$$

Thus, we obtain a group isomorphism $\Lambda_P \cong \text{Cl}(X_P)$, such that

$$\phi \mapsto [D_{\phi}] := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \langle \phi, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle [D_{\alpha}], \quad [D] \mapsto \phi([D]) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} (D \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^1) \varpi_{\alpha}. \quad (5.81)$$

⁷The symbol “ \sim ” stands for linear equivalence. Notice that, since $H^2(X_P, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, from Lefschetz theorem on (1, 1)-classes we have that numerically equivalent divisors are in fact linearly equivalent, see for instance [Laz17].

As in the case of holomorphic line bundles, we obtain the following expression for the degree of a divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X_P)$ with respect to some G -invariant Kähler metric ω on X_P :

$$\deg_{\omega}(D) = \int_D \omega^{n-1} = (n-1)! \left[\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{\langle \phi([D]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle} \right] \left[\prod_{\beta \in \Phi_1^+} \frac{\langle \phi([\omega]), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \rho^+, \beta^\vee \rangle} \right]. \quad (5.82)$$

From above, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.11. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a flag variety and $D \in \text{Div}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(D)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.83)$$

then there exists a singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h}_{sing} on $\mathcal{O}(D)$, such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{O}(D), \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}) = f, \quad (5.84)$$

in the sense of distributions.

5.2. Weak Hermite-Einstein flow on line bundles (proof of Theorem C). In what follows, we prove Theorem C. As we shall see, the proof follows from Lemma 5.1 combining with some basic facts related with the heat equation on compact Riemannian manifolds (see Section 4).

Theorem 5.12. Let $X_P = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be a flag variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , given a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{L} , $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.85)$$

then the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\alpha_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (5.86)$$

admits a unique solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f$.

Proof. Suppose that Eq. (5.85) holds. From this, we define

$$\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) = \frac{f}{2\pi} - \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.87)$$

see Eq. (5.12). Since $\int_{X_P} \rho(\mathbf{L}, f) d\mu_{\omega_0} = 0$, there exists $v \in C^\infty(X_P)$, such that

$$\Delta_{\omega_0} v = \rho(\mathbf{L}, f), \quad (5.88)$$

e.g. [Aub98]. Let \mathbf{h}_0 be the Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that $\sqrt{-1} F(\mathbf{h}_0) = 2\pi \chi_{\mathbf{L}}$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{L}} \in c_1(\mathbf{L})$ is the unique G -invariant representative. Observing that $\mathbf{h} = \exp(-2g)\mathbf{h}_0$, for some $g \in C^\infty(X_P)$, we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} u(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in X_P \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) - 2\pi v(x), \quad \forall x \in X_P. \end{cases} \quad (5.89)$$

Considering the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ on X_P , it follows that

$$\hat{u}(x, t) := \int_{X_P} p_t(x, y) (g(y) - 2\pi v(y)) d\mu_{\omega_0}(y), \quad (5.90)$$

defines a smooth solution to the Cauchy problem (5.89), e.g. [Gri09], [Cha84], [CPR13]. From above, we define

$$\xi(x, t) := \hat{u}(x, t) + 2\pi v(x), \quad \forall (x, t) \in X_P \times [0, \infty). \quad (5.91)$$

By definition, we have $\xi(x, 0) = \hat{u}(x, 0) + 2\pi v(x) = g(x)$, $\forall x \in X_P$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi(-, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}(-, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} \hat{u}(-, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} (\hat{u}(-, t) + 2\pi v) - 2\pi \Delta_{\omega_0} v = \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, t) - 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f). \quad (5.92)$$

Therefore, $\xi(x, t)$ defines a solution to the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} u(x, t) - 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f)(x), & \forall (x, t) \in X_P \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x), & \forall x \in X_P. \end{cases} \quad (5.93)$$

Now we consider $\mathbf{h}(t) := \exp(-2\xi(-, \kappa(t)))\mathbf{h}_0$, $\forall t \in [0, +\infty)$, such that $\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is some smooth function, such that $\kappa(0) = 0$ and $\kappa' > 0$. From above, we have $\mathbf{h}(0) = \exp(2g)\mathbf{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}$, and

$$\mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \frac{d}{dt} \kappa(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi(-, \kappa(t)) = -2 \frac{d}{dt} \kappa(t) (\Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \kappa(t)) - 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f)). \quad (5.94)$$

Moreover, since

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) = 2\pi\Lambda_{\omega_0}(\chi_{\mathbf{L}}) = 2\pi \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \langle I \rangle^+} \frac{\langle \phi(\mathbf{L}), \beta^\vee \rangle}{\langle \phi([\omega_0]), \beta^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.95)$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{L}) \in \Lambda_P$ and $\phi([\omega_0]) \in \Lambda_P \otimes \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f = \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(\cdot, \kappa(t)) + \underbrace{\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) - f}_{-2\pi\rho(\mathbf{L}, f)}. \quad (5.96)$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \frac{d}{dt} \kappa(t) \left(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f \right). \quad (5.97)$$

Solving the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \kappa(t) = \kappa_0, \quad \kappa(0) = 0, \quad (5.98)$$

we conclude that

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := \exp(-2\xi(-, \kappa_0 t))\mathbf{h}_0, \quad t \in [0, +\infty), \quad (5.99)$$

defines a solution to Eq. (5.86). By a similar argument as in [Kob87], the uniqueness can be shown from the maximum principle for parabolic equations. The function $\hat{u}(x, t)$ converges uniformly, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, to a harmonic smooth function \hat{u}_∞ , also $\hat{u}(-, t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \hat{u}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, see for instance [Cha84]. Since X_P is compact, we conclude that \hat{u}_∞ is constant. From this, we have

$$\xi(\cdot, \kappa_0 t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \xi_\infty = \hat{u}_\infty + 2\pi v, \quad \text{as } t \uparrow +\infty \implies \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi_\infty = 2\pi \Delta_{\omega_0} v = 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f), \quad (5.100)$$

see Eq. (5.88). Therefore, it follows that $\mathbf{h}(t)$ converges, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, to $\mathbf{h}_\infty = e^{-2\xi_\infty}\mathbf{h}_0$. Moreover, since $2\pi\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) = f - \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0))$, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) + \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi_\infty = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_0)) + 2\pi\rho(\mathbf{L}, f) = f, \quad (5.101)$$

which concludes the proof. \square

In the setting of the last theorem, observing that

$$v_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L}) = (2\pi)n \left(\int_{X_P} [\omega_0]^n \right)^{-1} \mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L}) = \frac{2\pi \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.102)$$

we recover the following result.

Corollary 5.13. Let $X_P = G^\mathbb{C}/P$ be a flag variety and $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$. Fixed a G -invariant Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P and a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{L} , then the associated Donaldson's heat flow

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - \nu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L}) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (5.103)$$

admits a unique solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a smooth Hermite-Einstein structure on \mathbf{L} , i.e., $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = \nu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L})$.

Remark 5.14. Following [Cor23], we have that $\mathbf{h}(t)$ obtained in Theorem 5.12 can be explicitly described as follows

$$\mathbf{h}(t) \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \frac{\exp(-2\xi(\cdot, \varkappa_0 t)) w \bar{w}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}}, \quad (5.104)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_U : U \subset X_P \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}$ is some local section, and $\xi(x, t) = \hat{u}(x, t) + 2\pi v(x)$, for all $(x, t) \in X_P \times [0, \infty)$, such that $v(x)$ is the solution of the Poisson equation (5.88), and $\hat{u}(x, t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem (5.89). The Chern connection ∇^t associated with $\mathbf{h}(t)$ is given by $\nabla^t \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} d + \mathbf{A}(t)$, such that

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \partial \log \left(\frac{\exp(-2\xi(\cdot, \varkappa_0 t))}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}} \right). \quad (5.105)$$

In other words, we have $\nabla^t = \mathbf{g}_t(\nabla^{\mathbf{h}})$, where $\nabla^{\mathbf{h}}$ is the Chern connection of \mathbf{h} , and

$$\mathbf{g}_t = \exp(-2\xi(\cdot, \varkappa_0 t)) \in \text{Gau}(\mathbf{L}) = C^\infty(X_P, \mathbb{C}^\times), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty). \quad (5.106)$$

Notice that the curve $t \mapsto \mathbf{g}_t$ above defines a solution for the following initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{g}_t = -2\varkappa_0 \mathbf{g}_t \left(\Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) - 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f) \right), \quad \mathbf{g}_0 = \exp(-2g), \quad (5.107)$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{L}, f)$ is given in Eq. (5.87), and $\mathbf{h} = \exp(-2g)\mathbf{h}_0$. From Eq. (5.105), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}(t) = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \partial \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) = -2\varkappa_0 \partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) = -2\varkappa_0 \partial \underbrace{\left(\Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) - 2\pi \rho(\mathbf{L}, f) \right)}_{\Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) + \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}_0)) - f}. \quad (5.108)$$

Since $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}(t))) = \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}_0)) + \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \partial \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} (F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f \right). \quad (5.109)$$

From the Kähler identity $[\Lambda_{\omega_0}, \partial] = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}^*$, we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 (\bar{\partial}^* F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - \partial f). \quad (5.110)$$

Also, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\mathbf{h}(t)) = \bar{\partial} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}(t) \right] = -2\varkappa_0 (\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - \bar{\partial} \partial f) = -\varkappa_0 (\Delta_{\omega_0} F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - 2\bar{\partial} \partial f). \quad (5.111)$$

Therefore, the flow given in Eq. (5.86) is equivalent to the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\mathbf{h}(t)) = -\varkappa_0 (\Delta_{\omega_0} F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - 2\bar{\partial} \partial f), \\ F(\mathbf{h}(0)) = F(\mathbf{h}). \end{cases} \quad (5.112)$$

The solution of the above PDE satisfies

$$F(\mathbf{h}(t)) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{h}_\infty) = \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\xi}_\infty + F(\mathbf{h}_0) = 2\pi \partial \bar{\partial} v + F(\mathbf{h}_0), \quad \text{as } t \uparrow +\infty, \quad (5.113)$$

such that $\Delta_{\omega_0} v = \rho(\mathbf{L}, f)$. In the particular, if $\varkappa_0 = 1$ and $f \equiv \frac{2\pi \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}$, we recover the Hermitian-Yang mills flow. In this last case we have

$$\mathbf{A}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\infty = \partial \log \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I} \left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2\langle c_1(\mathbf{L}), [\mathbb{P}_\alpha^1] \rangle}} \right), \text{ as } t \uparrow +\infty. \quad (5.114)$$

Example 5.15. Let X_P be an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type and let $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega^2(X_P)$ be the associated G -invariant normal Kähler metric. Since $H^2(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{Q}_\alpha]$, see Remark 4.3, given $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_P)$, it follows that $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{O}_\alpha(k)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Eq. (4.53), we obtain

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k)) = \frac{k}{4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle} [\hat{\omega}]. \quad (5.115)$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\frac{\deg_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k))}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \hat{\omega})} = \frac{nk}{4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}. \quad (5.116)$$

From above, given $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{nk}{4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.117)$$

for any $\varkappa_0 > 0$ and any Hermitian structure $\mathbf{h} = \exp(-2g)\mathbf{h}_0$ on $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k)$ the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\hat{\omega}}(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (5.118)$$

admits a solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$, which converges to a smooth Hermitian structure \mathbf{h}_∞ , satisfying the weak Hermite-Einstein equation $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f$. The solution is given locally by

$$\mathbf{h}(t) \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \frac{\exp(-2\xi(\cdot, \varkappa_0 t)) w \bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2k}}, \quad (5.119)$$

where $\xi(x, t) = \hat{u}(x, t) + 2\pi v(x)$, for all $(x, t) \in X_P \times [0, \infty)$, such that

$$\Delta_{\hat{\omega}} v = \rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f) = \frac{f}{2\pi} - \frac{k}{4\pi \langle \delta_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle}, \quad (5.120)$$

see Eq. (5.17), and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}(x, t) = \Delta_{\omega_0} \hat{u}(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in X_P \times (0, \infty), \\ \hat{u}(x, 0) = g(x) - 2\pi v(x), \quad \forall x \in X_P. \end{cases} \quad (5.121)$$

Fixed an orthonormal basis for $L^2(X_P, \mu_{\hat{\omega}})$ given by

$$\varphi_{i[\Pi]} \in C^\infty(X_P), \quad \text{such that} \quad \Delta_g \varphi_{i[\Pi]} + \lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}) \varphi_{i[\Pi]} = 0, \quad (5.122)$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, d_{[\Pi]}$, and every $[\Pi] \in \hat{G}_K$, we have explicitly

$$v = - \sum_{[\Pi], i} \frac{1}{\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})} (\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f), \varphi_{i[\Pi]}) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}, \quad (5.123)$$

hence, we obtain

$$\hat{u}(-, t) = \int_{X_P} g d\mu_{\omega_0} + \sum_{[\Pi], i} e^{-\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}}) t} \left(g + 2\pi \frac{\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f)}{\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})}, \varphi_{i[\Pi]} \right) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}. \quad (5.124)$$

Combining the above expressions we have

$$\xi(-, t) = \int_{X_P} g d\mu_{\omega_0} + \sum_{[\Pi], i} \left(e^{-\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})t} \left(g + 2\pi \frac{\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f)}{\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})} \right), \varphi_{i[\Pi]} \right) - \left(2\pi \frac{\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f)}{\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})} \right), \varphi_{i[\Pi]} \right) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}. \quad (5.125)$$

Simplifying the above expression, we obtain

$$\xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) = \int_{X_P} g d\mu_{\omega_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{[\Pi], i} \left(e^{-\varkappa_0 \lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})t} \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(g) + \frac{(e^{-\varkappa_0 \lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})t} - 1)}{\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})} \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(f) \right)}_{Y_{i[\Pi]}(f, \varkappa_0, \mathbf{h})(t)} \varphi_{i[\Pi]}, \quad (5.126)$$

notice that $\mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(f) = 2\pi(\rho(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k), f), \varphi_{i[\Pi]})$, for every $i = 1, \dots, d_{[\Pi]}$, and every $[\Pi] \in \widehat{G}_K$. From above, we conclude that the solution of the geometric flow given in Eq. (5.118) is

$$\mathbf{h}(t) \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \exp \left(-2 \left(\int_{X_P} g d\mu_{\omega_0} + \sum_{[\Pi], i} Y_{i[\Pi]}(f, \varkappa_0, \mathbf{h})(t) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right) \right) \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2k}}. \quad (5.127)$$

In the particular case that $\frac{f}{2\pi} = \frac{k}{4\pi\langle \delta_p, \alpha^\vee \rangle}$ and $\varkappa_0 = 1$, we obtain the solution of the Hermitian Yang-Mills flow

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\hat{\omega}}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - \frac{k}{2\langle \delta_p, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (5.128)$$

given explicitly by

$$\mathbf{h}(t) \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} \exp \left(-2 \left(\int_{X_P} g d\mu_{\omega_0} + \sum_{[\Pi], i} e^{-\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})t} \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(g) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right) \right) \frac{w\bar{w}}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2k}}. \quad (5.129)$$

In particular, we have the Chern connection $\nabla^t \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} d + \mathbf{A}(t)$, such that

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \partial \log \left(\frac{\exp \left(-2 \left(\sum_{[\Pi], i} e^{-\lambda^{[\Pi]}(\Delta_{\hat{\omega}})t} \mathbf{c}_{i[\Pi]}(g) \varphi_{i[\Pi]}|_U \right) \right)}{\left\| \Pi_{\varpi_\alpha}(\mathbf{s}_U) v_{\varpi_\alpha}^+ \right\|^{2k}} \right). \quad (5.130)$$

for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$. As we have seen, ∇^t converges to a Yang-Mills connection ∇^∞ , as $t \uparrow +\infty$.

5.3. Higher rank case (Proof of Theorem D and Theorem E). In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem D and Theorem E, which can be seen as an extension of Theorem B and Theorem C. Firstly, let us make precise the notion of singular Hermitian structures in the higher-rank case.

Definition 5.16. Let $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a complex manifold X . A singular Hermitian metric \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{E} is a measurable map from the base space X to the space of non-negative Hermitian forms on the fibers.

Following [Rau15], if $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle given by the tensor product of a smooth Hermitian vector bundle with a singular Hermitian line bundle, namely, $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_0 \otimes \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}$, such that

$$(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_0) \otimes (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}), \quad (5.131)$$

then we have a well-defined notion of curvature current. In fact, in this last case we can set

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = F(\mathbf{h}_0) \otimes 1_{\mathbf{L}} + 1_{\mathbf{E}_0} \otimes F(\mathbf{h}_{\text{sing}}). \quad (5.132)$$

Notice that, from the line bundle case (Definition 5.7), we also obtain a well-defined notion of mean curvature. Now we can prove the following result (Theorem D).

Theorem 5.17. *Given a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$, defined by some irreducible P -module, fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , if $f \in L^2(X_P, \mu_{\omega_0})$ has a pointwise absolutely convergent Fourier series and*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (5.133)$$

then there exists a sequence of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ on \mathbf{E}_ρ , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n) \xrightarrow{L^2} f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_\infty, \quad (5.134)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{E}_ρ . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (5.135)$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. Considering Lemma 2.21, we can suppose that $\mathbf{E}_\rho = \mathbf{E}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{L}$, see Eq. (3.16). From Theorem 3.19, let $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_\phi$ be the Hermitian structure solving the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on \mathbf{E}_ρ . Since $\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L}) = \deg_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})$, the condition given in Eq. (5.133) implies from Theorem 5.8 that there exists a sequence of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}_n^\phi\}$ on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n^\phi) \xrightarrow{L^2} f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_n^\phi \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi, \quad (5.136)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞^ϕ is a singular Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi) = f, \quad (5.137)$$

in the sense of distributions. From above, we set

$$\mathbf{h}_n := \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_n^\phi \quad (5.138)$$

for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By construction, we have $\mathbf{h}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi := \mathbf{h}_\infty$, and

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n)}_{\text{see Eq. (3.82)}} = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n^\phi) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \xrightarrow{L^2} f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (5.139)$$

as $n \uparrow +\infty$. In fact, notice that

$$\|\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{X_P} \text{tr}((\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho})^2) d\mu_{\omega_0} = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) \|\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_n^\phi) - f\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.140)$$

thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_n) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}\|_{L^2} = 0$. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 5.8 that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (5.141)$$

in the sense of distributions, which concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.18. *Let $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_P$ be a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle defined by some irreducible P -module. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , a Hermitian structure \mathbf{h} on \mathbf{E}_ρ , $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in C^\infty(X_P)$, if*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})^\circ) = 0, \quad (5.142)$$

then the flow of Hermitian structures

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\alpha_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \quad (5.143)$$

admits a unique solution $\mathbf{h}(t)$, defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞ is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{E}_ρ , such that $K(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}$.

Proof. As before, we can suppose that $\mathbf{E}_\rho = \mathbf{E}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{L}$, see Lemma 2.21. Considering the Hermitian Yang-Mills structure $\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}} = \mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_\phi$ on \mathbf{E}_ρ , it follows that

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}} a, \quad (5.144)$$

such that a is an endomorphism of \mathbf{E}_ρ which is Hermitian self-adjoint and positive w.r.t. \mathbf{h}_{YM} . From above, we have

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = F(\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}}) + \bar{\partial}(a^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}}} a). \quad (5.145)$$

If $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}))^\rho = 0$, since $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}}))^\rho = 0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0} \left((\bar{\partial}(a^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}}} a))^\rho \right) = 0. \quad (5.146)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\text{tr}(F(\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}}))) - \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial \bar{\partial} \log(\det(a))) \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (5.147)$$

Since $\text{tr}(F(\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}})) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) F(\mathbf{h}_\phi)$ and $\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \text{deg}_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{L})$, see Lemma 3.12, observing that

$$\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(\partial \bar{\partial} \log(\det(a))) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))), \quad (5.148)$$

if the first condition in the left-hand side of Eq. (5.142) holds, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} \left(f(x) + \frac{1}{2 \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))) \right) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{2\pi \text{deg}_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{L})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}. \quad (5.149)$$

From above, it follows from Theorem 5.12 that there exists a unique flow of smooth Hermitian structures $\{\mathbf{h}^\phi(t) := \exp(-2\xi(-, \varkappa_0 t)) \mathbf{h}_\phi\}$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$, on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{h}^\phi(t))^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}^\phi(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}^\phi(t))) - f - \frac{1}{2 \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))) \right), \\ \mathbf{h}^\phi(0) = \mathbf{h}_\phi. \end{cases} \quad (5.150)$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{h}^\phi(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where \mathbf{h}_∞^ϕ is a smooth Hermitian structure on \mathbf{L} , such that

$$K(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi) = f + \frac{1}{2 \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))). \quad (5.151)$$

From this, we set

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := \left(\mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes (\mathbf{h}^\phi(t)) \right) a = (\mathbf{h}_{\text{YM}} a) \exp(-2\xi(-, \varkappa_0 t)) = \mathbf{h} \exp(-2\xi(-, \varkappa_0 t)), \quad (5.152)$$

for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$. By definition, we obtain

$$\mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}^\phi(t))) - f - \frac{1}{2 \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))) \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (5.153)$$

Since in this case

$$F(\mathbf{h}(t)) = F(\mathbf{h}) + 2\partial \bar{\partial} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}, \quad (5.154)$$

it follows that

$$\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) = \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}_\phi)) - \frac{1}{2 \text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a))) + \Delta_{\omega_0} \xi(-, \varkappa_0 t) \right) 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (5.155)$$

Observing that $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}^\phi(t))) = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) + \Delta_{\omega_0}\xi(-, \varkappa_0 t)$, from above we conclude that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}^\phi(t)))1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) + \left(\frac{1}{2\text{rank}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}\Delta_{\omega_0}(\log(\det(a)))\right)1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}. \quad (5.156)$$

Replacing the above expression in Eq. (5.153), we conclude that $\mathbf{h}(t)$ defined in Eq. (5.152) is a solution to the geometric flow

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2\varkappa_0 \left(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \right), \\ \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}. \end{cases} \quad (5.157)$$

As in the case of line bundles, the uniqueness follows from the maximum principle for parabolic equations, see for instance [Kob87]. Moreover, considering $\mathbf{h}_\infty := (\mathbf{h}_{\rho_0} \otimes \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi)a$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{h}(t) - \mathbf{h}_\infty\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{X_p} \text{tr}((\mathbf{h}(t) - \mathbf{h}_\infty)^2) d\mu_{\omega_0} \leq \|a\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_{\rho_0}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{h}^\phi(t) - \mathbf{h}_\infty^\phi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.158)$$

so $\|\mathbf{h}(t) - \mathbf{h}_\infty\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$. Also, by construction, we have $K(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho}$, which concludes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. GRADIENT FLOW APPROACH

A.1. Weak Donaldson's Lagrangian (proof of Theorem F). Let $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow (X, \omega)$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold. Denoting by $\text{Herm}(\mathbf{E})$ the (infinite dimensional) vector space of all C^∞ Hermitian forms on \mathbf{E} , let $\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ be the space of all C^∞ Hermitian structures in \mathbf{E} . Since $\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ is a convex domain in $\text{Herm}(\mathbf{E})$, $\forall \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$, we have a smooth path $\mathbf{c}(t) \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\mathbf{c}(0) = \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{c}(1) = \mathbf{h}$. From this, we set

$$(A) \quad R_1(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) := \log(\det(\mathbf{k}^{-1}\mathbf{h}));$$

$$(B) \quad R_2(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) := \sqrt{-1} \int_0^1 \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}(t)F(\mathbf{c}(t)))dt, \text{ such that } \mathbf{w}(t) := \mathbf{c}(t)^{-1}\partial_t\mathbf{c}(t).$$

Moreover, for any $\mathbf{k} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ and any curve $\mathbf{h}(t) \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ one can show the following facts about R_1 and R_2 :

$$(1) \quad R_2(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) \text{ does not depend on } \mathbf{c} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}),$$

$$(2) \quad \partial_t R_1(\mathbf{h}(t), \mathbf{k}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{v}(t)) \text{ where } \mathbf{v}(t) := \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1}\partial_t\mathbf{h}(t),$$

$$(3) \quad \int_X \partial_t R_2(\mathbf{h}(t), \mathbf{k}) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \int_X \sqrt{-1}\text{tr}(\mathbf{v}(t)F(\mathbf{h}(t))) \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

see for instance [Don85], [Kob87]. From this, considering the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) := \left(R_2(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) - \frac{f}{n} R_1(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) \omega \right) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad (A.1)$$

we have the following definition.

Definition A.1. Fixed $\mathbf{k} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ and $f \in C^\infty(X)$, we define the weak Donaldson's Lagrangian $\mathcal{M}_f : \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}) := 2 \int_X \mathcal{L}_f(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}). \quad (A.2)$$

In particular, if $f \equiv v_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})$, then the functional $\mathcal{M}_{v_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})} : \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the classical Donaldson's Lagrangian (cf. [Don85]). Furthermore, as in the case of Donaldson's Lagrangian, for any curve $\mathbf{h}(t) \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}(t)) = 2 \int_X \text{tr} \left((\Lambda_\omega(F(\mathbf{h}(t))) - f1_{\mathbf{E}}) \mathbf{v}(t) \right) d\mu_\omega, \quad (A.3)$$

where $\mathbf{v}(t) = \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \mathbf{h}(t)$. Observing that $T_{\mathbf{h}}(\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})) = \text{Herm}(\mathbf{E})$, $\forall \mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$, and considering the $\text{Gau}(\mathbf{E})$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ defined by

$$\langle \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} := \int_X \text{tr}(\mathbf{h}^{-1} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^{-1} \mathbf{w}) d\mu_{\omega}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in T_{\mathbf{h}}(\text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

it follows from Eq. (A.3) that

$$d\mathcal{M}_f(\partial_t \mathbf{h}(t)) = \langle \langle 2\mathbf{h}(t)(\Lambda_{\omega}(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}}), \partial_t \mathbf{h}(t)) \rangle \rangle_{\mathbf{h}(t)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Therefore, for every $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, we have

$$\nabla \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}) = 2\mathbf{h}(\Lambda_{\omega}(F(\mathbf{h})) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$\forall \mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$. From above, we obtain

$$\mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -2 \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega}(F(\mathbf{h}(t)) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}}) \right) \iff \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}(t)). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The description above allows us to establish the following theorem in the homogeneous setting.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\mathbf{E}_{\rho} \rightarrow X_P$ be a homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle defined by some irreducible P -module. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , a Hermitian structure $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})$, and $f \in C^{\infty}(X_P)$, if*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{\lfloor \omega_0 \rfloor}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_0}(F(\mathbf{h})^{\rho}) = 0, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

then the gradient flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}(t)), \quad \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

of the weak Donaldson's Lagrangian \mathcal{M}_f is defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{h}(t) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathbf{h}_{\infty}$, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, where $\mathbf{h}_{\infty} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})$ minimizes \mathcal{M}_f .

Proof. From Theorem E and Eq. (A.7), it remains to prove that \mathbf{h}_{∞} minimizes $\mathcal{M}_f = 2 \int_{X_P} \mathcal{L}_f(-, \mathbf{k})$. As in the case of Donaldson's functional, for any smooth curve $\mathbf{c} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})$, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(t)) = 2 \int_{X_P} \text{tr} \left(\partial_t \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) \mathbf{w}(t) + (\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) - f 1_{\mathbf{E}}) \partial_t \mathbf{w}(t) \right) d\mu_{\omega}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

such that $\mathbf{w}(t) = \mathbf{c}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \mathbf{c}(t)$, see for instance [Kob87]. From Eq. (A.10), if \mathbf{c} is a geodesic (i.e. $\partial_t \mathbf{w}(t) = 0$) connecting \mathbf{h}_{∞} to an arbitrary Hermitian metric $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})$, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(t)) = 2 \int_{X_P} \text{tr}(\partial_t \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) \mathbf{w}(t)) d\mu_{\omega}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) = * \left(\sqrt{-1} \partial_t F(\mathbf{c}(t)) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \right) = \partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)}^* \partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)} \mathbf{w}(t), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)}$ is the $(1, 0)$ -covariant exterior derivative defined by the Chern connection of $\mathbf{c}(t)$. Thus

$$\int_{X_P} \text{tr}(\partial_t \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) \mathbf{w}(t)) d\mu_{\omega} = \left(\partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)}^* \partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)} \mathbf{w}(t), \mathbf{w}(t) \right)_{L^2} = \|\partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

in other words,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(t)) = 2 \int_{X_P} \text{tr}(\partial_t \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{c}(t)) \mathbf{w}(t)) d\mu_{\omega} = 2 \|\partial_{\mathbf{A}(t)} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This means that $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(t))$ is a convex function, so every critical point of $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(t))$ is an absolute minimum. Since $\mathbf{c}(0) = \mathbf{h}_{\infty}$ is a critical point of \mathcal{M}_f , it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}) = \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(1)) \geq \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{c}(0)) = \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{h}_{\infty}). \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Therefore, we have that \mathcal{M}_f attains its absolute minimum at \mathbf{h}_{∞} . \square

A.2. Z-critical Hermitian structures (proof of Theorem G). Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and let (s, Θ) be the data given by:

- (i) a stability vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., $s_j \neq 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \text{Im}(s_n) > 0$, and

$$\text{Im}\left(\frac{s_{n-1}}{s_n}\right) > 0, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

- (ii) and a unipotent operator $\Theta \in H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., $\Theta = 1 + \Theta'$, where $\Theta' \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

From the above data we have the following definition.

Definition A.3. A polynomial central charge is a function $Z_{\Theta, k} : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[k]$ taking the form

$$Z_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}) := \sum_{d=1}^n \int_X s_d k^d [\omega^d] \cdot \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}) \cdot \Theta. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

We define the phase of $Z_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E})$ as being principal branch $\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}) := \text{Arg}(Z_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E})) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Given a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$, denoting by ∇ the associated Chern connection, and considering

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}_j(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^j = \frac{1}{j!} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)}_{j\text{-times}}, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, we set

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}_1(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) + \dots + \widehat{\text{ch}}_n(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}). \quad (\text{A.19})$$

By choosing a representative $\hat{\Theta} \in \Theta$, we consider the $\text{End}(\mathbf{E})$ -valued (n, n) -form

$$\hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) := \sum_{d=1}^n s_d k^d \omega^d \wedge \widehat{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \wedge \hat{\Theta}, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

this sum contains forms of degree other than (n, n) in general, but we select only the (n, n) component. From Chern-Weil theory, it follows that

$$Z_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}) = \int_X \text{tr}(\hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h})). \quad (\text{A.21})$$

In the above setting we consider the following definition.

Definition A.4. We say that $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E})$ is a Z -critical Hermitian metric if

$$\text{Im}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E})} \hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h})\right) = 0. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Example A.5 (DHYM equation). By keeping the previous notation, consider

$$s_d = (\sqrt{-1})^{n+1} \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^d}{d!}, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

for every $d = 1, \dots, n$. From the above data, we set

$$Z_{\text{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}) := \sum_{d=1}^n \int_X s_d k^d [\omega^d] \cdot \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}) = (\sqrt{-1})^{n+1} \int_X e^{-k\sqrt{-1}[\omega]} \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}), \quad (\text{A.24})$$

for every holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow (X, \omega)$. Observing that

$$e^{-k\sqrt{-1}\omega} \widehat{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^j}{j!} \left(\frac{k^j}{(n-j)!} \omega^j \wedge \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^{n-j} \right), \quad (\text{A.25})$$

since $\frac{1}{(n-j)!j!} = \frac{1}{n!} \binom{n}{j}$, and $(-1)^j = (-1)^n (-1)^{n-j}$, $\forall j = 0, \dots, n$, it follows that

$$e^{-k\sqrt{-1}\omega} \widehat{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^n (-1)^{n-j}}{n!} \left(\binom{n}{j} k^j \omega^j \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^{n-j} \right), \quad (\text{A.26})$$

in other words

$$e^{-k\sqrt{-1}\omega} \widehat{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^n}{n!} \left(k\omega \otimes 1_{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^n. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

From above we conclude that

$$Z_{\text{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n!} \int_X \text{tr} \left(k\omega \otimes 1_{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^n. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Moreover, we obtain the following

$$\text{Im} \left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E})} \widehat{Z}_{\text{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}) \right) = 0 \iff \frac{1}{n!} \text{Im} \left[e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E})} \left(k\omega \otimes 1_{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^n \right] = 0. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

The equation on the right-hand side above was first proposed by Collins–Yau [CY18, §8.1] as a generalization to the deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills (dHYM) equation discovered independently around the same time in [MMMS00] and [LYZ00]. In the above context, we observe that

$$\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}) = \text{Arg} \left(Z_{\text{dHYM}}(\mathbf{E}) \right) = \left[\text{Arg} \left(\int_X \text{tr} \left(k\omega \otimes 1_{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} F(\mathbf{h}) \right)^n \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Given $\Theta \in H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$, denoting by Θ_2 its degree two component, we set

$$\text{deg}_{\Theta}(\mathbf{E}) := \int_X (c_1(\mathbf{E}) + \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})\Theta_2) \wedge [\omega]^{n-1} = \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})\mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E}) + \int_X \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})\Theta_2 \wedge [\omega]^{n-1}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

By taking $\widehat{\Theta} \in \Theta$, we define

$$f_{\widehat{\Theta}} := 2\pi \left(\frac{\text{deg}_{\Theta}(\mathbf{E})}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X, \omega) \text{rank}(\mathbf{E})} - \Lambda_{\omega}(\widehat{\Theta}_2) \right) \in C^{\infty}(X). \quad (\text{A.32})$$

By construction, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_X f_{\widehat{\Theta}} d\mu_{\omega} = \frac{\mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})}{(n-1)!} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_X \widehat{\Theta}_2 \wedge \omega^{n-1} - \int_X \Lambda_{\omega}(\widehat{\Theta}_2) d\mu_{\omega} = \frac{\mu_{[\omega]}(\mathbf{E})}{(n-1)!}. \quad (\text{A.33})$$

From above, in the homogeneous setting we have the following result.

Theorem A.6. *Let $\mathbf{E}_{\rho} \rightarrow X_P$ be an irreducible homogeneous holomorphic vector bundle. Fixed some G -invariant (integral) Kähler metric ω_0 on X_P , and a polynomial central charge function $Z_{\Theta, k} : K(X_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[k]$, then there exists a gradient flow*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_{\Theta}(\mathbf{h}(t)), \quad \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \quad (\text{A.34})$$

defined for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta} : \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a weak Donaldson's Lagrangian, such that the following holds

$$\lim_{t, k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{Im} \left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_{\rho})} \widehat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}(t)) \right)}{k^{2n-1}} = 0. \quad (\text{A.35})$$

Moreover, up to a gauge transformation, $\{\mathbf{h}(t)\}_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ converges to a Z -critical Hermitian metric.

Proof. Consider the weak Donaldson's Lagrangian $\mathcal{M}_\Theta = 2 \int_X \mathcal{L}_\Theta(-, \mathbf{k})$ defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) := \left(R_2(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) - \frac{f_{\hat{\Theta}}}{n} R_1(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) \omega_0 \right) \wedge \frac{\omega_0^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad (\text{A.36})$$

for some fixed $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{E}_\rho$, such that $f_{\hat{\Theta}}$ is given as in Eq. (A.32). Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_P} f_{\hat{\Theta}}(x) d\mu_{\omega_0}(x) = \frac{\mu_{[\omega_0]}(\mathbf{E}_\rho)}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(X_P, \omega_0)}, \quad (\text{A.37})$$

it follows from Theorem A.2 that the gradient flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{h}(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}(t)), \quad \mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{h}, \quad (\text{A.38})$$

admits a convergent solution for some suitable initial condition $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Herm}^+(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$, take for instance \mathbf{h} as being Hermitian Yang-Mills. From [DMS20, Lemma 2.28], we have

$$\text{Im} \left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(t)) \right) = a([\omega_0], \mathbf{s}) k^{2n-1} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(t)) - f_{\hat{\Theta}} 1_{\mathbf{E}_\rho} \right)}_{\mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}(t))} + O_t(k^{2n-2}), \quad (\text{A.39})$$

where $a([\omega_0], \mathbf{s})$ is a constant depending on $[\omega_0]$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Since $\mathbf{h}_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{h}(t)$ satisfies $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}_\infty) = f_{\hat{\Theta}}$, and

$$\frac{\text{Im} \left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(t)) \right)}{k^{2n-1}} = a([\omega_0], \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}(t)) + O_t \left(\frac{1}{k} \right). \quad (\text{A.40})$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{Im} \left(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\varphi_k(\mathbf{E}_\rho)} \hat{Z}_{\Theta, k}(\mathbf{E}_\rho, \mathbf{h}(t)) \right)}{k^{2n-1}} \right] = a([\omega_0], \mathbf{s}) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{h}(t)^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{M}_\Theta(\mathbf{h}(t)) = 0. \quad (\text{A.41})$$

From [DMS20, Theorem 4.3], it follows that there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{E}_\rho))$, such that $\exp(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{h}_\infty$ defines a Z -critical Hermitian metric for $k \gg 0$. Hence, we conclude that $\exp(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{h}(t)$ converges, as $t \uparrow +\infty$, to a Z -critical Hermitian metric for $k \gg 0$. \square

Data Availability. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflict of interest statement. The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [AB03] Hassan Azad and Indranil Biswas. Quasi-potentials and Kähler-Einstein metrics on flag manifolds. II. *J. Algebra*, 269(2):480–491, 2003.
- [Akh95] Dmitri N. Akhiezer. *Lie group actions in complex analysis*. Aspects of Mathematics, E27. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1995.
- [Aub98] Thierry Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1998.
- [Bal06] Werner Ballmann. *Lectures on Kähler manifolds*, volume 2. European mathematical society, 2006.
- [BE16] Robert J Baston and Michael G Eastwood. *The Penrose transform: its interaction with representation theory*. Courier Dover Publications, 2016.
- [Bes07] Arthur L Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer, 2007.
- [BGM06] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet. *Le Spectre d'une Variete Riemannienne*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Bis04] Indranil Biswas. On the stability of homogeneous vector bundles. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences (University of Tokyo)*, 11(2):133–140, 2004.
- [Bis08] Indranil Biswas. Strong stability of the homogeneous levi bundle. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences-University of Tokyo*, 15(1):53–68, 2008.

- [BLP22] Renato G Bettiol, Emilio A Lauret, and Paolo Piccione. The first eigenvalue of a homogeneous cross. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 32(3):76, 2022.
- [BR06] Francis E Burstall and John H Rawnsley. *Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces: with applications to harmonic maps of Riemann surfaces*, volume 1424. Springer, 2006.
- [BR62] A. Borel and R. Remmert. Über kompakte homogene Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math. Ann.*, 145:429–439, 1961/62.
- [Bra90] Steven B Bradlow. Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed kähler manifolds. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 135:1–17, 1990.
- [Bri05] Michel Brion. *Lectures on the Geometry of Flag Varieties*, pages 33–85. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 2005.
- [BW96] James A Bryan and Richard Wentworth. The multi-monopole equations for kähler surfaces. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 20(1):119–128, 1996.
- [CAT98] MARC ANDREA A DE CATALDO. Singular hermitian metrics on vector bundles. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 1998(502):93–122, 1998.
- [Cha84] Isaac Chavel. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*. Academic press, 1984.
- [Cha04] Sun-Yung A Chang. *Non-linear elliptic equations in conformal geometry*, volume 2. European Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [Cor23] Eder M Correa. Deformed hermitian yang-mills equation on rational homogeneous varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02105*, 2023.
- [CPR13] M-E Craioveanu, Mircea Puta, and Themistocles Rassias. *Old and new aspects in spectral geometry*, volume 534. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [CW76] Robert S Cahn and Joseph A Wolf. Zeta functions and their asymptotic expansions for compact symmetric spaces of rank one. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 51:1–21, 1976.
- [CY18] Tristan C Collins and Shing-Tung Yau. Moment maps, nonlinear pde, and stability in mirror symmetry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04824*, 2018.
- [Dam92] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Singular hermitian metrics on positive line bundles. In *Complex Algebraic Varieties: Proceedings of a Conference held in Bayreuth, Germany, April 2–6, 1990*, pages 87–104. Springer, 1992.
- [DMS20] Ruadhaí Dervan, John Benjamin McCarthy, and Lars Martin Sektnan. z-critical connections and bridge-land stability conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.10426*, 2020.
- [Don85] Simon K Donaldson. Anti self-dual yang-mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1):1–26, 1985.
- [Fol99] Gerald B Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*, volume 40. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [Fol16] Gerald B Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, volume 29. CRC press, 2016.
- [FW01] William Fulton and Chris Woodward. On the quantum product of schubert classes. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 13:641–661, 2001.
- [GH14] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [Gri09] Alexander Grigoryan. *Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds*, volume 47. American Mathematical Soc., 2009.
- [Gün11] Hasan Gündoğan. *Classification and structure theory of Lie algebras of smooth sections*. Logos Verlag Berlin GmbH, 2011.
- [GW+09] Roe Goodman, Nolan R Wallach, et al. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255. Springer, 2009.
- [Hea79] Nigel J. Hitchin et al. *Non-linear problems in geometry: conference held at Katata, September 3-8, 1979*. Department of Math., Tohoku Univ., 1979.
- [Hel77] Sigurdur Helgason. Invariant differential equations on homogeneous manifolds. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 83(5):751–774, 1977.
- [Hel01] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, volume 341. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [Hum72] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [Hum75] James E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.
- [Huy05] Daniel Huybrechts. *Complex geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. An introduction.
- [KK96] Anthony W Knapp and Anthony William Knapp. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140. Springer, 1996.
- [KN96] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume 2*, volume 61. John Wiley & Sons, 1996.
- [Kob82] Shoshichi Kobayashi. Curvature and stability of vector bundles. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, pages 158–162, 1982.
- [Kob86] Shoshichi Kobayashi. Homogeneous vector bundles and stability. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 101:37–54, 1986.

- [Kob87] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1987.
- [KW74] Jerry L Kazdan and Frank W Warner. Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 99(1):14–47, 1974.
- [KW75a] Jerry L Kazdan and Frank W Warner. A direct approach to the determination of gaussian and scalar curvature functions. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 28(3):227–230, 1975.
- [KW75b] Jerry L Kazdan and Frank W Warner. Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed gaussian and scalar curvatures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 101(2):317–331, 1975.
- [KW75c] Jerry L Kazdan and Frank W Warner. Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of riemannian structure. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 10(1):113–134, 1975.
- [Laz17] Robert K Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry I: Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, volume 48. Springer, 2017.
- [LB18] V. Lakshmibai and Justin Brown. *Flag varieties*, volume 53 of *Texts and Readings in Mathematics*. Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi, 2018. An interplay of geometry, combinatorics, and representation theory, Second edition of [MR2474907].
- [Li12] Peter Li. *Geometric analysis*, volume 134. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [Lic58] André Lichnerowicz. *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*. (No Title), 1958.
- [LM12] Jacques Louis Lions and Enrico Magenes. *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications: Vol. I*, volume 181. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [LR08] Venkatramani Lakshmibai and Komaranapuram N. Raghavan. *Standard monomial theory*, volume 137 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Invariant theoretic approach, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.
- [LYZ00] Naichung Conan Leung, Shing-Tung Yau, and Eric Zaslow. From special lagrangian to hermitian-yang-mills via fourier-mukai transform. *arXiv preprint math/0005118*, 2000.
- [Mat72] Yozo Matsushima. Remarks on Kähler-Einstein manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 46:161–173, 1972.
- [MMMS00] Marcos Marino, Ruben Minasian, Gregory Moore, and Andrew Strominger. Nonlinear instantons from supersymmetric p-branes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2000(01):005, 2000.
- [MP49] Subbaramiah Minakshisundaram and Åke Pleijel. Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the laplace-operator on riemannian manifolds. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 1(3):242–256, 1949.
- [MU80] Hideo Mutô and Hajime Urakawa. On the least positive eigenvalue of laplacian for compact homogeneous spaces. *Osaka J. Math.*, pages 471–484, 1980.
- [Nom54] Katsumi Nomizu. Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 76(1):33–65, 1954.
- [NS65] Mudumbai S Narasimhan and Conjeeveram S Seshadri. Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact riemann surface. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 540–567, 1965.
- [P+64] JL Lions-J Peetre et al. Sur une classe d’espaces d’interpolation. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math*, 19:5–68, 1964.
- [Pee66] Jaak Peetre. Espaces d’interpolation et théorème de soboleff. In *Annales de l’institut Fourier*, volume 16, pages 279–317, 1966.
- [Pee67] Jaak Peetre. Absolute convergence of eigenfunction expansions. *Mathematische Annalen*, 169(2):307–314, 1967.
- [Ram66] S. Ramanan. Holomorphic vector bundles on homogeneous spaces. *Topology*, 5(2):159–177, 1966.
- [Rau15] Hossein Raufi. Singular hermitian metrics on holomorphic vector bundles. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 53(2):359–382, 2015.
- [RS17] Gerd Rudolph and Matthias Schmidt. *Differential Geometry and Mathematical Physics: Part II. Fibre Bundles, Topology and Gauge Fields*. Springer, 2017.
- [Sim88] Carlos T Simpson. Constructing variations of hodge structure using yang-mills theory and applications to uniformization. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(4):867–918, 1988.
- [SMN03] Luiz AB San Martin and Caio JC Negreiros. Invariant almost hermitian structures on flag manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 178(2):277–310, 2003.
- [Sno89] Dennis Snow. Homogeneous vector bundles. In *Group Actions and Invariant Theory (Montreal, PQ, 1988)*, *CMS Conf. Proc.*, volume 10, pages 193–205, 1989.
- [Tak78] Masaru Takeuchi. Homogeneous Kähler submanifolds in complex projective spaces. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 4(1):171–219, 1978.
- [Tak94] Masaru Takeuchi. *Modern spherical functions*. American Mathematical Soc., 1994.
- [Tim11] Dmitry A. Timashev. *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, volume 138 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.
- [Ume78] Hiroshi Umemura. On a theorem of ramanan. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 69:131–138, 1978.
- [UY86] Karen Uhlenbeck and Shing-Tung Yau. On the existence of hermitian-yang-mills connections in stable vector bundles. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 39(S1):S257–S293, 1986.

- [Wal18] Nolan R Wallach. *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*. Courier Dover Publications, 2018.
- [WGP80] Raymond O’Neil Wells and Oscar García-Prada. *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, volume 21980. Springer New York, 1980.
- [WW70] Nolan R Wallach and Frank W Warner. Curvature forms for 2-manifolds. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(3):712–713, 1970.
- [Yam79] Satoru Yamaguchi. Spectra of flag manifolds. *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University. Series A, Mathematics*, 33(1):95–112, 1979.

IMECC-UNICAMP, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA. RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA ZEFERINO VAZ. 13083-859, CAMPINAS-SP, BRAZIL

E-MAIL: ederc@unicamp.br