

QUASI-ÉTALE COVERS OF DU VAL DEL PEZZO SURFACES AND ZARISKI DENSE EXCEPTIONAL SETS IN MANIN'S CONJECTURE

RUNXUAN GAO

ABSTRACT. We construct first examples of singular del Pezzo surfaces with Zariski dense exceptional sets in Manin's conjecture, varying in degrees 1, 2 and 3. To systematically study these examples, we classify all quasi-étale covers of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces up to singularity types and study their equivariant geometry.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Construction	5
2.1. Du Val del Pezzo surface	5
2.2. classification of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces	6
2.3. fundamental groups	7
2.4. negative curves on quasi-étale covers	8
3. Classification	12
3.1. quasi-étale covers	12
3.2. quasi-étale covers with higher b -values	15
4. Examples	24
4.1. degree 3, type $4A_1$	24
4.2. degree 2, type $D_4 + 3A_1$	25
4.3. degree 2, type $3A_2$	26
4.4. degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$	27
5. Proof of Theorem C	27
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns the distribution of rational points on algebraic varieties. Let X be a geometrically rationally connected variety over a number field k . A well-known conjecture of Colliot-Thélène implies that the set $X(k)$ of rational points is Zariski dense in X as soon as there exists a rational point on the smooth locus of X . So it makes sense to study the distribution of them with respect to some height. Let \mathcal{L} be an adelicly metrized line bundle whose underlying line bundle L is big and nef. There is a unique height function $H_{\mathcal{L}} : X(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to \mathcal{L} . We define the counting function

$$N(U, \mathcal{L}, B) := \#\{x \in U(k) \mid H_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq B\}$$

for any $B \geq 0$ and any subset $U \subseteq X$.

The closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture, first formulated in [FMT89, BM90], predicts that there exists a proper closed subset Z of X such that

$$N(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{L}, B) \sim c \cdot B^a (\log B)^{b-1},$$

where $a = a(X, L)$ and $b = b(X, L)$ are birational invariants and $c = c(X, \mathcal{L})$ is the Peyre’s constant introduced in [Pey95, BT98b].

The closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture is not true in general. In [BT98b], Batyrev and Tschinkel showed that for some cubic surface bundles X , it is not enough to remove a proper closed subset Z , or the b -invariant will be violated. These counterexamples appear in each dimension ≥ 3 . See [Gao23a] for an analysis of Batyrev-Tschinkel’s example in the spirit of [LST22].

To overcome such counterexamples, it was first suggested in [Pey03] that the exceptional set Z should be assumed to be a thin set (*i.e.* a subset of a finite union of $Z_i(F)$ and $f_j(Y_j(F))$ where Z_i is a proper closed subset of X and $f_j : Y_j \rightarrow X$ is a generically finite morphism of degree ≥ 2). Nowadays, there is increasing evidence of the thin-set version of Manin’s conjecture, such as the established cases [LR19, BHB20], the geometry consistency of the conjecture [LT17, LST22], and its compatibility with Manin’s conjectures for stacks [DY22, ESZB23].

Manin’s conjecture has been widely studied in dimension 2, especially for Du Val del Pezzo surfaces (*i.e.* surfaces that have an ample anticanonical divisor and admit only canonical singularities). In the later case, the conjecture reads as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. Let X be a Du Val del Pezzo surface over a number field F and let $\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{K}_X$ be the anticanonical line bundle of X with an adelic metric. Suppose $X(F)$ is not a thin set. Then there exists a thin subset Z of $X(F)$ such that

$$N(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{L}, B) \sim c \cdot B (\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{X})-1},$$

where $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the minimal resolution of X .

Conjecture 1.1 has been established for all toric Du Val del Pezzo surfaces and numerous specific surfaces of degree ≥ 3 . The only established case of degree ≤ 2 is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of degree 2 with a E_7 singularity [BB13]. See [Der13] for a list of established cases in dimension 2. There are also many new cases established after the publication of [Der13], such as [FP16, LWZ17, dIBDL⁺19].

In all the established cases in dimension 2, the exceptional set is the union of the negative curves, which is always a proper closed subset. However, there do exist smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 for which the closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture does not hold [Gao23b], where the c -constant will be violated if one merely removes a proper closed exceptional set. This pathological example is caused by a family of conics on the surface parameterized by an elliptic curve, which can not lead a counterexample of a singular del Pezzo surface.

In this paper, we provide many more counterexamples to the closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture in dimension 2, caused by quasi-étale covers (*i.e.* finite and étale-in-codimension-1 morphisms, see Definition 2.3). In contrast to the previous one, this pathological phenomenon exists only for singular del Pezzo surfaces, and furthermore, even the b -invariant is violated in these counterexamples. This together with [Gao23b] is conjectured to be the

only possible obstructions to the closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture in dimension 2; see [LT17, Section 6] and [LT19b, Section 9]. To systematically study such examples, we give a classification of all quasi-étale covers of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces up to singularity types (Proposition 3.1), which do not seem to exist in the existing literature.

Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface over a field of characteristic 0 and let $T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover. We denote by \tilde{S} and \tilde{T} the minimal resolutions of S and T . Our first result shows that such pathological examples can only exist in low degrees.

Theorem A. (=Corollary 3.4) Over any field of characteristic 0, we have

- (1) $\rho(\tilde{T}) \leq \rho(\tilde{S})$ when $\deg S \geq 3$;
- (2) $\rho(\tilde{T}) < \rho(\tilde{S})$ when $\deg S \geq 4$.

To achieve a classification, we study the possible group actions on the pseudo-effective cones. The Galois action on π induces a map

$$\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Cris}(\pi),$$

where $\text{Cris}(\pi)$ is a group that acts on the pseudo-effective cones of the base change of \tilde{S} and \tilde{T} to an algebraic closed field, and depends only on the singularity types of T and S in most cases; see Definition 2.17. It is not always true and difficult to determine whether, for any subgroup H of $\text{Cris}(\pi)$, there exist surfaces T and S such that the image of ρ equals H (see [Vir23] for some results in this direction). We circumvent this realization problem for the moment. When a group G acts on the Néron-Severi space $N^1(X)$, we write $\rho(X, G) := \dim N^1(X)^G$. Our second result provides all possibilities of such pathological examples in degrees ≥ 2 , and the data we provided on [Gao24] can be used to complete the cases of degrees 1.

Theorem B. (=Proposition 3.3) Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a nontrivial quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Assume S is of degree ≥ 2 . Then there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H)$ if and only if $\text{Type}(S)$ is one of the following types.

- degree 3: $4A_1$;
- degree 2: $4A_1$ (with 20 lines), $5A_1$, $A_3 + 3A_1$, $3A_2$, $6A_1$, $D_4 + 2A_1$, $2A_3$, $D_4 + 3A_1$, $2A_3 + A_1$.

There exists a subgroup $H \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ such that $\rho(T, H) > \rho(S, H)$ if and only if $\text{Type}(S)$ is one of the following types.

- degree 2: $4A_1$ (with 20 lines), $5A_1$, $3A_2$, $6A_1$.

Based on the above analysis, we provide new counterexamples to the closed-set version of Manin’s conjecture in dimension 2. These counterexamples are Du Val del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 3, 2, 2 and 1, respectively, and are all rational over the base field (Proposition 5.3). In particular, the assumption that $X(F)$ is not a thin set in Conjecture 1.1 is satisfied for all these surfaces.

Theorem C. The following assertions hold true.

- (1) Let S be either
 - (a) (degree 3, type $4A_1$) the singular cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$ defined by the equation

$$X^3 + 2XYW + XZ^2 - Y^2Z + ZW^2 = 0,$$

- (b) (degree 2, type $D_4 + 3A_1$) the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ defined by the equation

$$W^2 - XY(Z^2 + Y^2) = 0.$$

Then for any open subset U of S , there exists an adelicly metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_S$ on S such that the equation

$$N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B) \sim c(S, -\mathcal{K}_S)B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{S})-1}$$

does not hold true.

- (2) Let S be either

- (a) (degree 2, type $3A_2$) the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ defined by the equation

$$W^2 + (3X^2 - YZ)W + 9X^4 - 6X^2YZ + X(Y^3 + Z^3) = 0,$$

- (b) (degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$) the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ defined by the equation

$$W^2 + Z^3 + X^4Y^2 = 0.$$

Let U be an dense open subset of S and let $-\mathcal{K}_S$ be an adelicly metrized anticanonical line bundle. Then for any constant $C > 0$, there exists B_0 such that for any $B > B_0$, we have

$$N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B) > CB(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{S})-1}.$$

In other words, Manin's conjecture with Peyre's constant for S in (1) does not hold for some anticanonical height for any proper closed exceptional set, and Manin's conjecture with the correct b -constant for S in (2) does not hold for any anticanonical height and any proper closed exceptional set.

Finally, we restate Manin's conjecture with the conjectural exceptional set proposed in [LST22] for Du Val del Pezzo surfaces (see [LT19b, Section 9]).

Notation 1.2. (the geometric exceptional set) Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface of degree d defined over a number field k and $-\mathcal{K}_S$ be an adelicly metrized anticanonical line bundle on X .

- (1) Let Z_1 be the union of (-1) -curves on S .
- (2) Let Z_2 be the union of $\pi(T(k))$ where $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ is a quasi-étale cover of degree ≥ 2 such that $\rho(\tilde{T}) \geq \rho(\tilde{S})$ and π is face-contracting.
- (3) Let Z_3 be
 - (a) the empty set if either $d \geq 3$ or $\rho(\tilde{S}) \geq 2$;
 - (b) the union of rational curves in $|-K_S|$ when $d = 2$ and $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 1$;
 - (c) the union of rational curves in $|-2K_S|$ and rational curves C on S with $C^2 = 2$ and $K_S \cdot C = -2$, when $d = 1$ and $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 1$.

Conjecture 1.3. Under Notation 1.2, Conjecture 1.1 holds true for $Z := Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3$.

The study of quasi-étale covers of singular del Pezzo surfaces is of independent interest. For instance, cubic surfaces which admit a double quasi-étale cover have been classically studied as cubic symmetroids. Moreover, quasi-étale covers of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 3 and 4 are key tools in the study of Enriques surfaces [CD89]. For relatively minimal Du Val del Pezzo surfaces, the universal quasi-étale covers were determined in [MZ88] and [MZ93]. We generalize these results to all Du Val del Pezzo surfaces using a more direct method (see Proposition 2.10). There is also an interesting connection between the fundamental group and the Brauer group for these surfaces (see Remark 2.11).

Notations. Throughout this paper, we will use the following notations:

- C_n is a cyclic group of order n .
- \mathfrak{S}_n is a symmetric group on n letters.
- \mathfrak{D}_n is a dihedral group of order $2n$.
- $H \wr S$ is the wreath product of groups H and $S \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$.
- A_{tors} is the torsion subgroup of an abelian group A .
- $\rho(X)$ is the Picard rank of a variety X .
- K_X is the canonical line bundle of a variety X .
- \mathcal{L} is an adelically metrized line bundle whose underlying line bundle is L .
- $f(B) \sim g(B)$ means $\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} (f(B)/g(B)) = 1$.
- $f(B) \ll g(B)$ means $\limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} (f(B)/g(B)) < +\infty$.

Acknowledgments. The author would thank his advisor Sho Tanimoto for constant support, and Tim Browning, Ulrich Derenthal, Daniel Loughran and Yuri Tschinkel for useful conversations and suggestions. The author was partially supported by JST FOREST program Grant Number JPMJFR212Z, JSPS Bilateral Joint Research Projects Grant Number JPJSBP120219935 and Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows Number 24KJ1234.

2. CONSTRUCTION

2.1. Du Val del Pezzo surface. We work over an arbitrary field k of characteristic 0. A *Du Val del Pezzo surface* S is a projective variety of dimension 2 with ample anticanonical divisor $-K_S$ and at worst canonical singularities. When the surface is smooth, we call it a *smooth del Pezzo surface* or simply a *del Pezzo surface*.

A *weak del Pezzo surface* is a smooth projective surface with a big and nef anticanonical divisor.

Let X be a weak del Pezzo surface. We call $d := K_X^2$ the degree of X . It turns out that $1 \leq d \leq 9$ and $X_{\bar{k}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1$, the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_2 , or the blow up of $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^2$ at $9 - d$ points in almost general positions, where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k . The Proj construction of the graded ring

$$\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, -mK_X)$$

defines a birational morphism $X \rightarrow S$ which is known as the anticanonical model map. It turns out that S is a Du Val del Pezzo surface and $X \rightarrow S$ coincides with the minimal resolution of S . Conversely, the minimal resolution of any Du Val del Pezzo surface is a weak del Pezzo surface [Dol12, Theorem 8.3.2]. So we may abuse the notation of a Du Val del Pezzo surface S and its associated weak del Pezzo surface X .

2.2. classification of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces. In this section, we give a quick review of classification of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces; see [Dol12] for more details. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. An integral rational curve C on a normal surface with $(C \cdot C) = n$ is called a (n) -curve. We call C a *negative curve* if $n < 0$. A (-1) -curve is also called a line. Let X be a weak del Pezzo surface. Then the anticanonical model map $X \rightarrow S$ exactly contracts the (-2) -curves on X .

We call a numerical class of an integral curve in $N^1(X)$ an extremal curve if it generates an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X)$. The *dual graph* of X , denoted by $\Gamma(X)$, is a graph where each vertex represents an extremal curve and the number of edges between two nodes equals the intersection number of the two integral curves. For X of degree $d \leq 7$, the extremal curves on X are exactly the negative curves and $\Gamma(X)$ is the dual graph of negative curves on X .

An *isometry* between two lattice is a linear map that preserve the inner product. The following notion of a Cremona isometry is the same as that defined in [Dol12, Section 8.2.8].

Definition 2.1. Let X and X' be two weak del Pezzo surfaces. Then an isometry $\sigma : N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(X')$ is called a *Cremona isometry* if σ restricts to a bijection between $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X)$ and $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X')$ which sends K_X to $K_{X'}$. We say X and X' have the same *type* whenever there exists a Cremona isometry between them. We write $\text{Cris}(X)$ for the group of Cremona isometries of X to itself.

The group of isometries of $N^1(X)$ that preserve K_X is isomorphic to the Weyl group $W(R_d)$ of the root system

$$R_d := \{D \in N^1(X) \mid (D \cdot D) = -2, (K_X \cdot D) = 0\},$$

which only depends on d when $d \leq 7$. The types of weak del Pezzo surfaces are in bijection with the root subsystems R of R_d up to automorphisms, except for four types ($7A_1$ in degree 1 and $7A_1, 8A_1$ and $D_4 + 4A_1$ in degree 1) which only occur over fields of characteristic 2. There do exist isomorphic root systems which are not isomorphic as root subsystems of R_d . Nonetheless, it turns out that the type of a weak del Pezzo surface X is determined by its degree $\deg(X)$, its ADE type R , and the number of (-1) -curves by scanning the classification, which has been done in degree 3 by Schläfli [Sch63] and Cayley [Cay69], and in degrees 1 and 2 by Du Val [Val34]. When the degree and the ADE type are not enough to describe the type, we add the number of lines. For example, $S_4(2A_1(8l))$ means a Du Val del Pezzo surface of degree 4 with two A_1 singularities and 8 lines. We use S_d to denote the type of a (smooth) del Pezzo surface of degree d .

For a weighted graph Γ , we denote by $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ the group of permutations of vertices that preserve the weights.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a weak del Pezzo surface. Then the groups $\text{Cris}(X)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma(X))$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. When X is of degree $d \leq 6$, by [Man86, Theorem 23.9], the following two groups are isomorphic:

- the group of isometries of $N^1(X)$ that preserve K_X , and
- the group of permutations of (-1) -classes preserving the pairwise intersection numbers between them.

Then the assertion follows from the fact that any (-1) -class is numerically equivalent to a linear combination of (-1) -curves and (-2) -curves with rational coefficients [DJT08, Proposition 3.6 and 3.7].

For weak del Pezzo surfaces X of degree ≥ 7 , a complete list of $\text{Cris}(X)$ is as follows:

- $\text{Cris}(X) \cong C_2$ when X is \mathbb{P}^2 or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$;
- $\text{Cris}(X) \cong C_1$ when X is the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 or \mathbb{F}_2 .

It is readily to check that $\text{Cris}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma(X))$ in each case. □

2.3. fundamental groups.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a normal variety. A *cover* of X is a morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ where Y is a normal variety, and π is finite and surjective. A *morphism of covers* $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow X$ is a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ such that $\pi' \circ f = \pi$. A morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ between normal varieties is called *quasi-étale* if f is quasi-finite and étale in codimension 1.

Definition 2.4. A cover $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ of varieties is called *Galois* if there exists a finite group $G \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$ such that π is isomorphic to the quotient map $Y \rightarrow Y/G$. In this case, we say π is Galois with group G .

By the following lemma, étale and unramified covers are the same thing in our case.

Lemma 2.5. ([CDJ⁺22, Lemma 2.3]) *Let X be an integral normal noetherian scheme and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism of integral schemes. Then π is either ramified or étale.*

To study quasi-étale covers of X , it is enough to study the fundamental group of the smooth part of X .

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a normal projective variety and let X° be any open subvariety of $X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ that is isomorphic in codimension 2. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphic classes of quasi-étale covers of X and that of étale covers of X° .*

Proof. Since X is normal, $\text{Sing}(X)$ has codimension ≥ 2 in X . Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-étale cover. By Zariski-Nagata purity, π is étale over $S \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$. Conversely, let $\pi^\circ : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ be an étale cover. By Zariski's main theorem, π° can be uniquely extended to a finite morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. We have Y is projective since X is. So π is surjective and in particular a quasi-étale cover. □

Remark 2.7. By Zariski's main Theorem in the equivariant setting [GKP16, Theorem 3.8], if the cover $\pi^\circ : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ is Galois with group G , then $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is also Galois with group G , *i.e.* the group action of G on X° can be extended to X uniquely.

Lemma 2.8. *Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface and $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover. Then T is also a Du Val del Pezzo surface.*

Proof. Since π is finite, the Hurwitz formula $K_T \sim \pi^* K_S$ implies that $-K_T$ is ample. Since $\text{discrep}(T) \geq \text{discrep}(S) = 0$ [KM98, Proposition 5.20 (3)], T has at worst Du Val singularities. □

Now let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface. It has been shown in [MZ93] that the fundamental group of the smooth part of S is a finite abelian group.

Remark 2.9. In [MZ88, MZ93], the authors compute $\pi_1(S_{\text{sm}})$ and the singularities of the universal cover for relative minimal Du Val del Pezzo surface S . They show that the fundamental group is preserved by the MMP steps. However, the type of singularities of the covers in non-minimal cases is unclear from this perspective.

We provide a more direct method than that in [MZ88, MZ93] to compute $\pi_1(S_{\text{sm}})$, though its proof relies on [MZ88].

Proposition 2.10. *Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $\rho : X \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution. Let \mathbf{E} be the sublattice of $\text{Pic}(X)$ generated by the (-2) -curves on X . Then*

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S_{\text{sm}}) \cong (\text{Pic}(X)/\mathbf{E})_{\text{tors}}.$$

Proof. A standard argument reduces us to the case of S over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Working over \mathbb{C} , Let E be the exceptional divisor of ρ . Consider the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_2(E; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i} H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_2(X, E; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(E; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Write $E = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$ for the irreducible decomposition of E . Then $H_2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the class of E_i . Since the matrix $(E_i \cdot E_j)$ is negative definite [KM98, Lemma 3.40], E_i are linearly independent in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. So the map i is injective. Note that $H_1(E; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_2(X, E; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(S_{\text{sm}}; \mathbb{Z})$ by Lefschetz duality. We conclude that $H^2(S_{\text{sm}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}(X)/\mathbf{E}$, where $\text{Pic}(X) \cong H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ since X is a rational surface. By the universal coefficient theorem, we have $H^2(S_{\text{sm}}; \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}} \cong H_1(S_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$. Then, $\pi_1(S_{\text{sm}})$ is isomorphic to $H_1(S_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$ since $\pi_1(S_{\text{sm}})$ is a finite abelian group [MZ88]. The assertion follows. \square

Remark 2.11. In [Bri13], the author shows that the torsion subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X)/\mathbf{E}$ is isomorphic to the Brauer group $\text{Br}(S)$ and computes $\text{Br}(S)$ for each type of S . Combining with Proposition 2.10, we see that $\pi_1(S_{\text{sm}}) \cong \text{Br}(S)$ for any Du Val del Pezzo surface S over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Remark 2.12. There is a version of Proposition 2.10 for weak Fano pairs with klt singularities, see [Bra21, Corollary 4].

2.4. negative curves on quasi-étale covers. Work over an arbitrary field k of characteristic 0. Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a cyclic quasi-étale cover of degree d of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces and let $Y \rightarrow T$ and $X \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolutions. Let $f : T' \rightarrow X$ be the normalization of X in the function field $K(T)$ of T . Let $f : T' \dashrightarrow Y$ be the rational map defined by composition.

The following proposition can be seen as a global version of [Art77, Proposition 1.5].

Proposition 2.13. *The birational map $g : T' \dashrightarrow Y$ is a morphism, which exactly contracts the ramification divisor of f .*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y & \xrightarrow{\rho_T} & T \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{\rho_S} & S \end{array}$$

Proof. By Zariski's main theorem, T' factors through T by a morphism, and a divisor contracted by $T' \rightarrow T$ must lie above the singular locus of S . So let D be a prime divisor above T and write C for its image above S . Let r be the ramification index along D . Then $a(D, T) + 1 = r(a(C, S) + 1)$, where $a(D, T)$ means the discrepancy of D with respect to T ; see the proof of [KM98, Proposition 5.20]. Since S has only canonical singularities, we have $a(C, S) \geq 0$. Thus $a(D, T) = 0$ if and only if $r = 1$ and $a(C, S) = 0$. The prime divisors above S with discrepancy 0 are exactly the exceptional divisors of $X \rightarrow S$. And similarly, the prime divisors above T with discrepancy 0 are exactly the exceptional divisors of $Y \rightarrow T$. The assertion follows immediately. \square

To study the equivariant geometry of $\pi : T \rightarrow S$, we first want to understand how external curves on Y and X correspond under this morphism. It should be noted that this correspondence is not completely determined by the type of π . See Section 3.2.12 for such an example.

It turns out that in each cases where S is of degree ≥ 2 , the rational map $\tilde{\pi}$ sends each (-1) -curve on Y to a (-1) -curve on X , but this is not true in degree 1. Section 3.2.12 provides such an example. A more straightforward example is the quasi-étale cover of type $S_3(A_2) \rightarrow S_1(A_8)$. In this case, there are 15 (-1) -curves on $S_3(A_2)$ but only 2 (-1) -curves on $S_1(A_8)$: there are some (-1) -curves map to (1) -curves. This kind of phenomenon is summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14. *Let X be a surface and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a cyclic cover of degree d . Let C be a $(-s)$ -curve on the étale locus of Y for some integer s . Then $\pi^* \pi_* C = \sum_{i=1}^d C_i$ where C_i are integral rational curves whose intersection matrix $(C_i \cdot C_j)$ is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} -s & r & & & r \\ r & -s & r & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & r & -s & r \\ r & & & & r & -s \end{pmatrix}$$

for some integer $r \geq 0$. Moreover, $\pi(C)$ is a $(-s)$ -curve if and only if $r = 0$.

Proof. Let $\pi^\circ : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ be the étale locus of π . Since π° is Galois by definition, we have $\text{Aut}(Y^\circ/X^\circ) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ and let σ be a generator of it. Since an automorphism does not affect intersection numbers, $\sigma^i(C)$ is still a $(-s)$ -curve for each i . Suppose $C \cdot \sigma(C) = r$, then $\sigma^i(C) \cdot \sigma^{i+1}(C) = r$ for each i . Then the first assertion follows. The class $\pi_* C$ is reduced since C is on the étale locus of π . By the projection formulas, we have

$$(\pi_* C)^2 = -s + (d-1)r, \text{ and } K_X \cdot C = s - 2.$$

The last assertion follows immediately. \square

On the other hand, the pullback π^* also may not send (-1) -curves to (-1) -curves, which is common for each degree. This is summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces which is cyclic of order $d = 2, 3$, or 5 . Let B be the branch divisor f and let B_{red} be the branch locus of f .*

- (1) *Let C be a (-2) -curve on X which does not contained in B .*
 - *If $C \cdot B = 0$, then $g_* f^* C$ is a disjoint union of d (-2) -curves.*

- If $C \cdot B > 0$, then $C \cdot B_{\text{red}} = 2$ and C intersects B_{red} transversally at 2 points. In this case, g_*f^*C is a (-2) -curve.
- (2) Let C be a (-1) -curve on X .
- If $C \cdot B = 0$, then g_*f^*C is a disjoint union of d (-1) -curves.
 - If $C \cdot B > 0$, then $C \cdot B$ is divided by d . In particular, we have $C \cdot B_{\text{red}} \geq 2$. Suppose the intersections of C and B_{red} are transversal, then g_*f^*C is an integral curve with non-negative self-intersection.

Proof. If $C \cdot B = 0$, then Let C be a $(-n)$ -curve on X . The condition $C \cdot B = 0$ implies that C lies in the étale locus of f . Then the proof of Lemma 2.14 shows that each component of f^*C is a rational curve with self-intersection $-2 - (d-1)r$. When $r > 0$, f^*C can not be contracted to Du Val singularities. So we must have $r = 0$. This proves the first assertion of (1) and (2).

The second assertion of (1) can be seen from the classification of quasi-étale covers of Du Val singularities; see Example 2.16.

It remains to prove the second assertion of (2). By general theory of cyclic covers, we have $B \equiv dL$ for some $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$. Thus $C \cdot B = dL$ is divided by d . Since the multiplicity of each component of B is at most $d-1$, if $C \cdot B > 0$, we have $C \cdot B_{\text{red}} \geq 2$.

Now suppose $C \cdot B_{\text{red}} = r \geq 2$ and the intersections are transversal. This in particular implies that they intersect at smooth points of B . Then f is locally ramified at the two common points of C and B . A topological argument shows that f^*C must be irreducible. By projection formula, we have $(f^*C)^2 = -d$.

When $d = 2$, the branch divisor B is smooth and reduced. So the ramification divisor $R = R_{\text{red}}$ is smooth and reduced and each component of R is a (-1) -curve. By projection formula, we have $f^*C \cdot R_{\text{red}} = C \cdot f_*R_{\text{red}} = r$. Hence contracting R_{red} will increase the self-intersection of f^*C by r and so g_*f^*C has self-intersection $r - 2 \geq 0$.

When $d = 3$, by Example 2.16, T' can only have $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ -singularities. So g_*f^*C has self-intersection $2r - 3 \geq 1$.

When $d = 5$, the only possibility is an A_4 -singularity being covered by a smooth point. The exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of an A_4 -singularity is a linear chain

$$B_1 \text{ --- } B_2 \text{ --- } B_3 \text{ --- } B_4$$

of (-2) -curves. The branch divisor is $B = B_1 + 2B_2 + 3B_3 + 4B_4$. So the three singularities of the branch cover are

$$z^5 - xy^2 = 0, \quad x^5 - x^2y^3 = 0, \quad z^5 - x^3y^4 = 0,$$

respectively. They are étale-locally isomorphic to the singularities defined by

$$z^5 - xy^2 = 0, \quad x^5 - xy^4 = 0, \quad z^5 - xy^3 = 0,$$

respectively. These singularities can be resolved by Hirzebruch–Jung continued fractions; see [BHPVdV15]. The dual graph of the resulting divisor D is


$$(-1) - (-2) - (-3) - (-1) - (-5) - (-1) - (-3) - (-2) - (-1),$$

where the (-1) -curves are the pullback of the (-2) -curves. Suppose F is a curve intersects D transversally at one points on any of the four (-1) -curves of D . It is readily to check that


contracting D will increase the self-intersection of F by 4. So g_*f^*C is a rational curve with self-intersection $4r - 5 \geq 3$. \square

Example 2.16. Let S be the henselization of the local ring of a Du Val singularity of type A_r, D_s, E_6, E_7 , or E_8 ($1 \leq r \leq 8, 4 \leq s \leq 8$). All the cyclic quasi-étale covers of S of prime order d are listed below. The dual graph of the exceptional divisors is provided, with dark vertices representing curves in the ramification locus, and the above numbers denoting the multiplicities of the curves in the branch divisors. The $d = 2$ cases are also listed in [Art77]. This classification can be achieved by scanning the normal subgroups of the groups defining these quotient singularities. Each of the local covers appears globally in some quasi-étale covers of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces, except for the case when $d = 7$.


• $d = 2$




• $d = 3$



• $d = 5$



• $d = 7$



To understand the equivariant information of the quasi-étale covers, we provide a relative version of Cremona isometry defined in [Dol12, Section 8.2.8] for quasi-étale covers.

Definition 2.17. Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces and denote the minimal resolutions of T and S by Y and X respectively. Define the *group of Cremona isometries* of π to be

$$\text{Cris}(\pi) = \left\{ (\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Cris}(S) \times \text{Cris}(T) \mid \begin{array}{l} f_* g_*^{-1}(\tau(C)) = \sigma(f_* g_*^{-1}C) \text{ for any extremal curve} \\ C \text{ on } Y \text{ such that } f_* g_*^{-1}C \text{ is an extremal curve} \end{array} \right\}.$$

This definition depends only on the type of the quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ in most cases. One might have other natural definitions of equivariant data for π , but the one defined above is one of the easiest to compute. Since each of the maps is $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.18. *Let k be the base field of the morphism $\pi : T \rightarrow S$. Then the image of $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Cris}(S) \times \text{Cris}(T)$ is contained in $\text{Cris}(\pi)$.*

When the realization problem has an affirmative answer, we recover the Picard rank over k .

Proposition 2.19. ([DJT08, Proposition 6.2]) *Let $H_S \times H_T$ denote the image of ρ defined in Corollary 2.18 and let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Suppose that there exists a rational point on the smooth locus of T , then $\rho(X) = \rho(X_{\bar{k}}, H_S)$ and $\rho(Y) = \rho(Y_{\bar{k}}, H_T)$.*

It is enough to compute $\rho(X_{\bar{k}}, H_S)$ up to conjugacy classes by the following result.

Proposition 2.20. *Work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let H and H' be conjugate subgroups of $\text{Cris}(S)$. Then $\rho(X, H) = \rho(X, H')$.*

Proof. By definition, the group H acts on the set of negative curves on S . Let P be the set of orbits under this action. Then $\rho(X, H)$ equals the dimension of the subspace generated by the orbit sums $\sum_{D \in O} D$, where $O \in P$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Cris}(S)$ such that $\sigma H \sigma^{-1} = H'$. The orbits under the action of H' are σO for $O \in P$. Thus, $\rho(X, H')$ equals the dimension of the subspace generated by $\sigma(\sum_{D \in O} D)$, where $O \in P$. Since an invertible matrix preserves the rank of a set of vectors, the assertion follows. \square

3. CLASSIFICATION

3.1. quasi-étale covers. By Proposition 2.10, the information of quasi-étale covers of a Du Val del Pezzo surfaces is contained in its Picard group and effective classes. We compute these for all Du Val del Pezzo surfaces by Magma [BCP97] and the results are summarized as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface over a field of characteristic 0. Then there exists a quasi-étale cover $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ of degree ≥ 2 if and only if there exists an arrow $\text{Type}(T) \rightarrow \text{Type}(S)$ in the following diagrams.*¹

¹For each group G , the first diagram presents the subgroups H of G up to conjugacy, and the later diagrams present the types of the corresponding quasi-étale covers T of a surface S with $\pi_1(T_{\text{sm}}) \cong H$. We omit those diagrams which can be embedded into a larger one.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & S_2(5A_1) & & \\
& \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
S_4(2A_1(8l)) & \rightarrow & S_2(2A_3) & \rightarrow & S_1(A_3 + 4A_1) \\
& \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
& & S_2(5A_1) & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & S_2(2A_3) & & \\
& \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
S_4(2A_1(8l)) & \rightarrow & S_2(2A_3) & \rightarrow & S_1(2D_4) \\
& \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
& & S_2(2A_3) & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & S_4(A_3 + 2A_1) & & \\
& \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
S_8(A_1) & \rightarrow & S_4(A_3 + 2A_1) & \rightarrow & S_2(D_4 + 3A_1) \\
& \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
& & S_4(A_3 + 2A_1) & &
\end{array}$$

(6) C_4

$$\begin{array}{l}
C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow C_4 \\
S_4(A_2) \rightarrow S_2(A_5 + A_1(6l)) \rightarrow S_1(D_5 + A_3) \\
S_4(4A_1) \rightarrow S_2(6A_1) \rightarrow S_1(2A_3 + 2A_1)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
S_4 \rightarrow S_2(4A_1(20l)) \rightarrow S_1(2A_3 + A_1) \\
S_4 \rightarrow S_2(A_3 + 2A_1(12l)) \rightarrow S_1(A_7 + A_1)
\end{array}$$

(7) C_3

$$\begin{array}{l}
C_1 \rightarrow C_3 \\
S_3(A_1) \rightarrow S_1(A_5 + A_2) \\
S_3 \rightarrow S_1(3A_2) \\
S_6 \rightarrow S_2(3A_2)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
S_3(3A_1) \rightarrow S_1(3A_2 + A_1) \\
S_3(D_4) \rightarrow S_1(E_6 + A_2) \\
S_3(A_2) \rightarrow S_1(A_8) \\
S_6(A_1) \rightarrow S_2(A_5 + A_2)
\end{array}$$

(8) C_2

$$\begin{array}{l}
C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \\
S_2(E_6) \rightarrow S_1(E_7 + A_1) \\
S_2(A_1) \rightarrow S_1(A_3 + 2A_1(44l)) \\
S_2(A_3) \rightarrow S_1(D_4 + 2A_1) \\
S_2(3A_1(26l)) \rightarrow S_1(A_3 + 3A_1) \\
S_2(2A_1) \rightarrow S_1(5A_1) \\
S_2(2A_1) \rightarrow S_1(2A_3(23l)) \\
S_2(D_5) \rightarrow S_1(D_8) \\
S_4(D_4) \rightarrow S_2(D_6 + A_1) \\
S_6(A_1(4l)) \rightarrow S_3(A_3 + 2A_1)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
S_2(A_2) \rightarrow S_1(A_5 + A_1(21l)) \\
S_2(2A_2 + A_1) \rightarrow S_1(A_3 + A_2 + 2A_1) \\
S_2(A_2 + 2A_1) \rightarrow S_1(A_5 + 2A_1) \\
S_2(A_5(8l)) \rightarrow S_1(D_5 + 2A_1) \\
S_2(2A_2) \rightarrow S_1(A_2 + 4A_1) \\
S_2(A_3 + A_1(16l)) \rightarrow S_1(D_4 + A_3) \\
S_2(A_3) \rightarrow S_1(A_7(8l)) \\
S_4(3A_1) \rightarrow S_2(A_3 + 3A_1) \\
S_6 \rightarrow S_3(4A_1) \\
S_6(A_2) \rightarrow S_3(A_5 + A_1)
\end{array}$$

Proof. For each degree d , we determine all root subsystems of R_d by using, for instance, [Dol12]. Let X be a weak del Pezzo surface of degree d corresponding to the root subsystem R' of R_d and let S be the contraction of (-2) -curves on X . The Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ along with the intersection numbers depends only on d . We then determine all torsion elements B of $\text{Pic}(X)/\mathbf{E}$ where \mathbf{E} denotes the sublattice of $\text{Pic}(X)$ generated by the roots of R' . The source codes and results for degree ≤ 3 are available on GitHub [Gao24].

Each B induces a branch cover $f : T' \rightarrow X$ and let R denote its ramification divisor. Let $T' \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction of R . By Proposition 2.13, Y is the weak del Pezzo surface that induces a quasi-étale cover of S . We then find (a part of) the dual graph of negative curves on Y by the method of Proposition 2.15. This is enough to determine the type of Y in practice. We then replace X by Y and repeat this process until the group $\text{Pic}(X)/\mathbf{E}$ is torsion-free. \square

Remark 3.2. By [LT17, Theorem 6.2], Proposition 3.1 completes the classification of adjoint rigid a -covers of geometrically uniruled surfaces.

3.2. quasi-étale covers with higher b -values. We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We summarize the results of this section as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces such that S is of degree 2, or of degree 1 and with at most 4 lines. There exists a subgroup $H = H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ (resp. $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) > \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$) if and only if the column (\geq) (resp. ($>$)) is YES in Table 1.*

Proof. We adopt the notation in Proposition 2.13. By Proposition 2.2, computing $\text{Cris}(X)$ is equivalent to computing the automorphism group of the graph $\Gamma(X)$. We use `Magma` [BCP97] to calculate $\text{Cris}(X)$ and all its subgroups up to conjugacy for all involved X . The source codes and results for X of degree ≤ 3 are available on GitHub [Gao24]. Lemma 2.15 provides a correspondence of $\Gamma(Y)$ and $\Gamma(X)$ induced by $f_*g_*^{-1}$. For each subgroup $H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(X)$, the Picard rank $\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ is also available on [Gao24]. We then find those subgroups H_T of $\text{Cris}(Y)$ that are equivariant under the correspondence and satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ by hands. \square

In Table 1, we omit details of some cases since either there is no such H for trivial reasons or the dual graphs are too complicated to draw. For each quasi-étale cover $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ up to types, the graphs $\Gamma(T)$ and $\Gamma(S)$ are presented. There are two types of vertices: a rectangle means a (-1) -curve and a circle means a (-2) -curve. The number of edges between two vertices means the intersection number of the two curves. We denote a (-2) -curve where π is branched by a thick circle. The negative curves on S and T are named E_i and F_j where i and j reflect the correspondence defined by $f_*g_*^{-1}$.

In each case, we make a table that lists all subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$. For the convenience of the reader, we also provide a diagram of subgroups of $\text{Cris}(S)$ up to conjugacy in some cases, which is made by Tim Dokchitser and is available online [Dok24].

The results in Table 1, together with Corollary 2.18, imply the following.

Corollary 3.4. (=Theorem A) *Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface over a field of characteristic 0.*

- (1) *If $\deg S \geq 3$, then there does not exist a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ with $\rho(\tilde{T}) > \rho(\tilde{S})$;*
- (2) *If $\deg S \geq 4$, then there does not exist a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ with $\rho(\tilde{T}) \geq \rho(\tilde{S})$.*

Remark 3.5. Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface that admits a quasi-étale cover of degree ≥ 2 . Then S is toric if and only if $\text{Type}(S)$ is $A_3 + 2A_1$ or $4A_1$ in degree 4, or $3A_2$ in degree 3 (see [Der13, Remark 6]).

TABLE 1. A summary of Section 3.2, where (\geq) (*resp.* $(>)$) means there exists an $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ (*resp.* $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) > \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$).

reference	deg(S)	Lines	Type(S)	Cris(S)	deg(T)	Type(T)	(\geq) ?	$(>)$?
omit	4	2	$A_3 + 2A_1$	C_2	8	A_1	NO	NO
(3.2.1)	4	4	$4A_1$	\mathfrak{D}_4	8	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	NO	NO
omit	3	2	$A_5 + A_1$	C_1	6	A_2	NO	NO
omit	3	3	$3A_2$	\mathfrak{S}_3	9	\mathbb{P}^2	NO	NO
omit	3	5	$A_3 + 2A_1$	C_2	6	$A_1(4l)$	NO	NO
(3.2.2)	3	9	$4A_1$	\mathfrak{S}_4	6	smooth	YES	NO
(3.2.3)	2	2	A_7	C_2	4	$A_3(4l)$	NO	NO
omit	2	2	$D_6 + A_1$	C_1	4	D_4	NO	NO
omit	2	3	$A_5 + A_2$	C_2	6	A_1	NO	NO
(3.2.4)	2	4	$2A_3 + A_1$	C_2^2	4	$4A_1$	YES	NO
(3.2.5)	2	4	$D_4 + 3A_1$	\mathfrak{S}_3	4	$A_3 + 2A_1$	YES	NO
(3.2.6)	2	6	$2A_3$	C_2^3	4	$2A_1(8l)$	YES	NO
(3.2.7)	2	6	$A_5 + A_1(6l)$	C_2	4	A_2	NO	NO
(3.2.8)	2	6	$D_4 + 2A_1$	C_2^2	4	$A_3(4l)$	YES	NO
(3.2.9)	2	8	$3A_2$	\mathfrak{D}_6	6	smooth	YES	YES
(3.2.10)	2	8	$A_3 + 3A_1$	C_2^2	4	$3A_1$	YES	NO
(3.2.11)	2	10	$6A_1$	$C_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4$	4	$4A_1$	YES	YES
omit	2	12	$A_3 + 2A_1(12l)$	C_2^3	4	A_1	NO	NO
omit	2	14	$5A_1$	$C_2^2 \wr C_2$	4	$2A_1(8l)$	YES	YES
omit	2	20	$4A_1(20l)$	$C_2 \times C_2^2 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_4$	4	smooth	YES	YES
omit	1	3	$E_7 + A_1$	C_1	2	E_6	NO	NO
(3.2.12)	1	4	$E_6 + A_2$	C_2	3	D_4	YES	YES

See [LT19a, Definition 3.5] for the definition of face-contracting morphisms. We also observe the following interesting phenomena from the computation.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces. Suppose S is of degree ≥ 2 , or of degree 1 with at most 4 lines. Then for any subgroup $H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(S)$, there exists at most one subgroup $H_S \times H_T \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$. Moreover, when $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) = \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$, the morphism π is face-contracting.*

Proposition 3.6 suggests the following questions.

Question 3.7. For any quasi-étale cover $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces over a field of characteristic 0, does there exist at most one $\sigma \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mathbf{Aut}(T/S))$ such that $\rho(T^\sigma) \geq \rho(S)$?

Question 3.8. Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces over a field of characteristic 0 such that S is not toric. Is π face-contracting whenever $\rho(\tilde{T}) = \rho(\tilde{S})$?


It would be interesting to study these questions in more general cases.

Remark 3.9. By Table 1, there is no toric Du Val del Pezzo surface S which admits a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ with $b(T, -K_T) \geq b(S, -K_S)$. This is not the case in higher dimensions;

see [LT17, Example 8.7]. This example does not contradict to the conjectural exceptional set of [LST22]. Indeed, let X be a toric variety and let L be a big and nef divisor on X . It has been shown in [LT19b, Example 8.3] that there does not exist dominant thin map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with $a(Y, f^*L) = a(X, L)$ that is face-contracting.

Remark 3.10. It should also be noted that any adjoint rigid covers $Y \rightarrow X$ of geometrically uniruled surfaces with $a(Y, L) = a(X, L)$ and $b(Y, L) \geq b(X, L)$ can be reduced to the case of quasi-étale covers of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces by [LT17]. Thus, our study essentially completes the classification of these covers in dimension 2.


3.2.1. Degree 4, type $4A_1$.



$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong \mathfrak{D}_4.$$

There is no $H_{\tilde{S}}$ and $H_{\tilde{T}}$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$.

3.2.2. Degree 3, type $4A_1$.




$$\text{Cris}(S) = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4 \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= (1, 4)(2, 3)(6, 13)(7, 11), \\ \sigma_2 &= (1, 2)(3, 4)(7, 11)(8, 10), \\ \sigma_3 &= (1, 3)(5, 12)(6, 10)(8, 13), \\ \sigma_4 &= (1, 2)(5, 9)(7, 10)(8, 11). \end{aligned}$$

The diagram of subgroups up to conjugacy of $\text{Cris}(S)$ is




The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Degree 3, type $4A_1$

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
\mathfrak{S}_4	\mathfrak{S}_3	2	2
\mathfrak{A}_4	C_3	2	2
\mathfrak{D}_4	C_2	3	3
$C_2^2(2)$	C_1	4	4
C_4	C_2	3	3

3.2.3. Degree 2, type A_7 .




T : degree 4, type $A_3(4l)$

S : degree 2, type A_7

$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong C_2.$$

There is no $H_{\tilde{S}}$ and $H_{\tilde{T}}$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$.

3.2.4. Degree 2, type $2A_3 + A_1$.



T : degree 4, type $4A_1$

S : degree 2, type $2A_3 + A_1$


$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong C_2^2.$$

The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Degree 2, type $2A_3 + A_1$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
C_2^2	C_2	4	4
$C_2 = \langle (1, 3)(4, 6)(8, 11) \rangle$	C_1	6	6

3.2.5. Degree 2, type $D_4 + 3A_1$.




The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 4.

TABLE 4. Degree 2, type $D_4 + 3A_1$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
C_2	C_1	6	6

3.2.6. Degree 2, type $2A_3$.



$\text{Cris}(S) = \langle a, b, c \rangle \cong C_2^3,$

where


$$\begin{aligned} a &= (7, 9)(10, 11), \\ b &= (1, 4)(2, 5)(3, 6)(7, 10)(9, 11), \\ c &= (1, 3)(4, 6)(8, 12). \end{aligned}$$

The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 5.

TABLE 5. Degree 2, type $2A_3$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
$C_2 = \langle c \rangle$	C_1	6	6

3.2.7. Degree 2, type $A_5 + A_1(6l)$.




T : degree 4, type A_2 S : degree 2, type $A_5 + A_1(6l)$

$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong C_2.$$

There is no $H_{\tilde{S}}$ and $H_{\tilde{T}}$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$.

3.2.8. Degree 2, type $D_4 + 2A_1$.



T : degree 4, type $A_3(4l)$ S : degree 2, type $D_4 + 2A_1$

$$\text{Cris}(S) = \langle a, b \rangle \cong C_2^2,$$

where


$$\begin{aligned} a &= (7, 11)(8, 12), \\ b &= (2, 4)(5, 6)(9, 10). \end{aligned}$$

The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 6.

TABLE 6. Degree 2, type $D_4 + 2A_1$

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
$C_2 = \langle b \rangle$	C_1	6	6

3.2.9. Degree 2, type $3A_2$.




T : degree 6, smooth

S : degree 2, type $3A_2$

$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong \mathfrak{D}_6.$$

The subgroups of $\text{Cris}(S)$ up to conjugacy are



The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 7.


TABLE 7. Degree 2, type $3A_2$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
\mathfrak{D}_6	C_2	2	2
$\mathfrak{S}_3(1)$	C_1	3	6
C_6	C_2	2	2
C_3	C_1	3	6
$C_2(1)$	C_1	5	6

In Table 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_3(1) &= \langle (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)(7, 13)(11, 14), (1, 6, 4)(2, 5, 3)(7, 13, 12)(8, 14, 11) \rangle, \\ C_2(1) &= \langle (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)(7, 13)(11, 14) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3.2.10. Degree 2, type $A_3 + 3A_1$.



$$\text{Cris}(S) = \langle a, b \rangle \cong C_2^2,$$

where


$$\begin{aligned} a &= (1, 3)(7, 9)(8, 14)(10, 12), \\ b &= (5, 6)(7, 9)(8, 10)(12, 14). \end{aligned}$$

The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 8.

TABLE 8. Degree 2, type $A_3 + 3A_1$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
C_2^2	$C_2 = \langle (7, 9')(7', 9) \rangle$	5	5
$C_2 = \langle ab \rangle$	C_1	6	6

3.2.11. Degree 2, type $6A_1$.



$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong C_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4.$$

The subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 9. We omit the diagram of subgroups of $\text{Cris}(S)$ since it is too completed.


TABLE 9. Degree 2, type $6A_1$

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
\mathfrak{D}_4	C_2	4	4
C_2^3	C_2	4	4
C_4	C_2	4	4
$C_2^2(1)$	C_1	5	6
$C_2^2(2)$	C_2	4	4
$C_2^2(3)$	C_2	4	4
C_2	C_1	6	6

In Table 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2^2(1) &= \langle (1, 2)(4, 6)(10, 13)(11, 14), (1, 6)(2, 4)(7, 9)(11, 14)(12, 15) \rangle. \\
 C_2^2(2) &= \langle (1, 6)(2, 4)(7, 12)(8, 16)(9, 15)(11, 14), (1, 2)(4, 6)(10, 13)(11, 14) \rangle, \\
 C_2^2(3) &= \langle (1, 2)(4, 6)(7, 15)(8, 16)(9, 12)(10, 13)(11, 14), (1, 6)(2, 4)(7, 9)(11, 14)(12, 15) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.2.12. Degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$.



T : degree 3, type D_4

S : degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$

There are 2 isomorphic classes of a Du Val del Pezzo surfaces of type $S_3(D_4)$ and $S_1(E_6 + A_2)$ respectively.

- (1) If $E_7 \cap E_8 \cap E_{11} \neq \emptyset$, then $g_*f^*E_{11}$ equals to $F_a + F'_a + F''_a$ and $F_a \cap F'_a \cap F''_a \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) If $E_7 \cap E_8 \cap E_{11} = \emptyset$, then $g_*f^*E_{11}$ equals to a (1)-curve and, in particular, is not contained in $\Gamma(T)$. In this case, the three (-1) -curves F_a, F'_a and F''_a map to a (1)-curve on S .

We have

$$\text{Cris}(S) \cong C_2.$$

In both cases, the subgroups $H_T \times H_S \subseteq \text{Cris}(\pi)$ up to conjugacy satisfying $\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T) \geq \rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$ are listed in Table 10.

TABLE 10. Degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$.

$H_{\tilde{S}}$	$H_{\tilde{T}}$	$\rho(\tilde{S}, H_S)$	$\rho(\tilde{T}, H_T)$
C_2	C_1	6	7

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we present some examples which realize group actions in Section 3. These examples are interesting in different aspects. The surface S in Section 4.1 is the unique singular cubic surface with a Zariski dense exceptional set up to a twist over \mathbb{C} . The Cox rings of the surfaces S in Section 4.2 and 3.2.12 are defined by only one relation (see [Der13]). Section 4.3 and 3.2.12 provide examples where the b -invariants are violated by the quasi-étale covers.

4.1. degree 3, type $4A_1$.

Notation 4.1. Let S be the singular cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 defined by the equation

$$X^3 + 2XYW + XZ^2 - Y^2Z + ZW^2 = 0$$

over \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition 4.2. *Under Notation 4.1, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) S is a cubic surface with four A_1 singularities (known as a Cayley cubic surface).
- (2) We have $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 4$.

(3) There exists a quasi-étale $T \rightarrow S$ defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $\rho(\tilde{T}) = 4$.

Proof. The four singular points on S are

$$\text{Sing}(S) = \{(1 : \zeta_8 : \zeta_8^2 : \zeta_8^3), (1 : \zeta_8^3 : \zeta_8^6 : \zeta_8), (1 : \zeta_8^5 : \zeta_8^2 : \zeta_8^7), (1 : \zeta_8^7 : \zeta_8^6 : \zeta_8^5)\}.$$

The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the set $\text{Sing}(S)$ by $C_2^2(2) \subset \mathfrak{S}_4$ in the notation of Section 3.2.2 (i.e. the unique normal subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_4 which is isomorphic to C_2^2). Thus $\rho(S) = 4$ by Table 2. (Note that if the action was $C_2^2(1)$, then the induced action on $\Gamma(\tilde{S})$ would not fix the three lines E_5, E_9 , and E_{12} .) Since there exists a smooth rational point on S , the homotopy exact sequence of étale fundamental groups implies that the induced quasi-étale cover $\overline{T} \rightarrow \overline{S}$ descend to a cover $T \rightarrow S$ over \mathbb{Q} . After possibly a twisting, we may assume that the Galois action on $\Gamma(T)$ is trivial since any automorphisms on $\Gamma(T)$ can be realized as $\text{Aut}(T)$ [Cor05]. Thus we have $\rho(T) = 4$ by Table 2. \square

Example 4.3 (Construction of the example). It is well-known that the Cayley cubic surface can be written as a hypersurface S_0 in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} = 1.$$

The surface S_0 is split over \mathbb{Q} , and the singular points are

$$\text{Sing}(S_0) = \{(1 : 0 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\}.$$

Pick a number field K such that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the four roots $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ of the minimal polynomial by $C_2^2(2) \subset \mathfrak{S}_4$. Let

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_0^2 & a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_0^3 & a_1^3 & a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the Vandermonde matrix associated to (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) . Then the change of variables by M defines a surface S_1 with the desired Galois action on $\text{Sing}(S_1)$. Since S_0 is defined by a symmetric polynomial, the coefficients of the equation of S_1 are symmetric polynomials in a_i , and thus are in \mathbb{Q} . The surface S in Notation 4.1 is obtained in this way by inputting the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$.

4.2. degree 2, type $D_4 + 3A_1$.

Notation 4.4. Over field \mathbb{Q} , define a morphism of varieties as follows.

$$T = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k_{(1,1,2,2)}[x, y, z, w]}{y^4 - 16zw} \right) \xrightarrow{\pi} S = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k_{(1,1,1,2)}[X, Y, Z, W]}{W^2 - XY(Z^2 + Y^2)} \right),$$

$$(x : y : z : w) \mapsto (x^2 : y^2 : 2(z - w) : 2xy(z + w)).$$

It is readily to confirm that π is well-defined.

Proposition 4.5. Under Notation 4.4, the following statements hold.

- (1) S is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of type $S_2(D_4 + 3A_1)$, and T is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of type $S_4(A_3 + 2A_1)$.
- (2) We have $\rho(\tilde{T}) = \rho(\tilde{S}) = 6$.

Proof. For (1), note that we have an isomorphism

$$T = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_{(1,1,2,2)}[x, y, z, w]}{y^4 - zw} \right) \rightarrow T' = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_{(1,1,1,1,1)}[X, Y, Z, S, T]}{(Y^2 - XZ, Z^2 - ST)} \right),$$

$$(x : y : z : w) \mapsto (x^2 : xy : y^2 : z : w).$$

For (2), write \tilde{T} and \tilde{S} for the minimal resolution of T and S . Note that the singular points on T and S are

$$\text{Sing } T = \{A_3 : (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), A_1 : (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), A_1 : (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\},$$

$$\text{Sing } S = \{D_4 : (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), A_1 : (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), A_1 : (0 : -i : 2 : 0), A_1 : (0 : i : 2 : 0)\}.$$

So $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\Gamma(\tilde{S})$ so that $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 6$ as presented in Section 3.2.5. The Galois action on $\Gamma(\tilde{T})$ is trivial since the two lines on T are not interchanged by the action. \square

We present in the following example how $T \rightarrow S$ is constructed.

Example 4.6 (Construction of the example). Define a group action on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ by the quaternion group $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm I, \pm J, \pm K\}$ by

$$I : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (iX, -iY : Z), \quad J : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\zeta_8 Y : \zeta_8^3 X : Z),$$

where $\zeta_8 = \sqrt{2}(1+i)/2$. Then we have a tower of quasi-étale covers

$$U := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 / \langle I^2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi_3} T := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 / \langle I \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 / Q_8.$$

The rings of invariants are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, W]^{(I)} &= \mathbb{C}[Z, XY, X^4, Y^4], \\ \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, W]^{Q_8} &= \mathbb{C}[Z, X^2Y^2, X^4 - Y^4, XY(X^4 + Y^4)] \\ &= \mathbb{C}[f_1, f_4, g_4, f_6] / (f_6^2 - f_4g_4^2 - 4f_4^3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$S = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k_{(1,4,4,6)}[x, y, z, w]}{w^2 - yz^2 - 4y^3} \right) \cong \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k_{(1,1,1,2)}[X, Y, Z, W]}{W^2 - XY(Z^2 + Y^2)} \right).$$

The equations of T and π can be obtained in the same way.

4.3. degree 2, type $3A_2$.

Notation 4.7. Let S be the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ defined by the equation

$$W^2 + (3X^2 - YZ)W + 9X^4 - 6X^2YZ + X(Y^3 + Z^3) = 0.$$

Let T be the subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{b, a_1, \dots, a_6}^6$ cutting out by

$$\{ba_i = a_{i-1}a_{i+1}, b^2 = a_i a_{i+3} \mid i = 1, \dots, 6\}.$$

Define the morphism $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ by

$$\pi : (b : a_1 : \dots : a_6) \mapsto (b : a_1 + a_3 + a_5 : a_2 + a_4 + a_6 : a_1a_2 + a_3a_4 + a_5a_6) = (X : Y : Z : W).$$

We will show that π is well-defined.

Proposition 4.8. *The following statements hold.*

- (1) S is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of type $S_2(3A_2)$, and T is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6.

- (2) The morphism π is a well-defined quasi-étale cover.
(3) We have $\rho(\tilde{T}) = 6 > 5 = \rho(\tilde{S})$.

Proof. We will show these assertions by constructing S as a quotient variety of T . It is well-known that T is the anticanonical model of a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 which is split over \mathbb{Q} . Let $G = S_3$ acts on T by

$$(b, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \mapsto (b, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_1, a_2).$$

The action interchanges diagonal lines. Hence the quotient variety T/G is of type $S_2(3A_2)$ by the diagram in Section 3.2.9. We obtain the equations of S and π as in Notation 4.7 by computing the ring of invariants by **Magma**.

The singular locus of S is

$$\text{Sing}(S) = \{(1 : 3 : 3 : 3), (1 : 3\zeta_3, 3\zeta_3^2 : 3), (1 : 3\zeta_3^2, 3\zeta_3 : 3)\}.$$

We see that the Galois group acts on $\text{Sing}(S)$ as C_2 and trivially on $\Gamma(T)$. There are three conjugate classes of subgroups of $\text{Cris}(S)$ that act on $\text{Sing}(S)$ as C_2 . The only one that fixes $\Gamma(T)$ is $C_2(1)$ (See Section 3.2.9). Thus, the action on $\Gamma(S)$ is $C_2(1)$, and we have $\rho(T) = 6 > 5 = \rho(S)$. \square

4.4. degree 1, type $E_6 + A_2$.

Notation 4.9. Let S be the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ defined by the equation

$$W^2 + Z^3 + X^4Y^2 = 0.$$

Proposition 4.10. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) S is a Du Val del Pezzo surfaces of type $S_1(E_6 + A_2)$.
(2) There exists a quasi-étale cover $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ where T is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of type $S_3(D_4)$.
(3) We have $\rho(\tilde{T}) = 7 > 6 = \rho(\tilde{S})$.

Proof. S is of type $S_1(E_6 + A_2)$ since the same equation is listed in [CP21, Section 8]. The four lines on S are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_9 &= \{Z = W - iX^2Y = 0\}, & E_{10} &= \{Z = W + iX^2Y = 0\}, \\ E_{11} &= \{Y = W^2 + Z^3 = 0\}, & E_{12} &= \{X = W^2 + Z^3 = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be derived from those on a twist form of S in [Der13]. So the Galois group acts on $\Gamma(S)$ as C_2 and $\rho(S) = 6$ by the analysis of Section 3.2.12. Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be the induced quasi-étale cover where T is of type $S_3(D_4)$. All the actions on the dual graph of T can be realized as automorphisms of T by [Vir23]. The existence of a twist T^σ of T above S such that $\rho(T^\sigma) = 7$ follows from a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM C

Recall the following definition in [BL18].

Definition 5.1. A smooth projective geometrically integral variety X over a field k is called *almost Fano* if

- $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- The geometric Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is torsion-free.

- The anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is big.

In particular, a weak del Pezzo surfaces is an almost Fano variety.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-étale cover of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces.*

- (1) *Suppose that $\rho(\tilde{T}) \geq \rho(\tilde{S})$ and that there exists a dense open subset V of T such that the equation*

$$N(V, -\mathcal{K}_T, B) \sim c(T, -\mathcal{K}_T)B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-1}$$

holds true for any adelically metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_T$ on T . Then for any open subset U of S , there exists an adelically metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_S$ on S such that the equation

$$N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B) \sim c(S, -\mathcal{K}_S)B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{S})-1} \quad (5.1)$$

does not hold true.

- (2) *Suppose that $\rho(\tilde{T}) > \rho(\tilde{S})$ and that for any dense open subset V of T and any adelically metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_T$ on T , we have*

$$N(V, -\mathcal{K}_T, B) \gg B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then for any dense open subset U of S and any adelically metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_S$ on S , the following assertion holds true: For any constant $C > 0$, there exists B_0 such that for any $B \geq B_0$, we have

$$N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B) \geq CB(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{S})-1}.$$

Proof. Since π is quasi-étale, we have $\pi^*K_S \sim K_T$. We may also pull back the adelic metric naturally. In the case of (1), fix a dense open subset U of S and suppose equation (5.1) is true for any adelically metrized anticanonical line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_S$. By [Pey95, Proposition 5.0.1], the rational points are equidistributed on U . But then

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\pi(T(\mathbb{Q})) \cap U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B)}{N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B)} = \frac{c(T, -\mathcal{K}_T)}{c(S, -\mathcal{K}_S)} > 0,$$

which contradicts [BL18, Theorem 1.2].

In the case of (2), let U_0 be an dense open subset of S over which π is étale. Let U be any dense open subset of X . We have

$$N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B) \geq \frac{1}{\deg \pi} N(\pi^{-1}(U \cap U_0), -\mathcal{K}_T, B)$$

Moreover, the assumption (5.2) implies that

$$\liminf_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\pi^{-1}(U \cap U_0), -\mathcal{K}_T, B)}{B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-1}} > 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(U, -\mathcal{K}_S, B)}{B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{S})-1}} &\geq \frac{1}{\deg \pi} \liminf_{B \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{N(\pi^{-1}(U \cap U_0), -\mathcal{K}_T, B)}{B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-1}} \cdot (\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-\rho(\tilde{S})} \right) \\ &= +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem C. Let S be either of the surfaces in (1). By Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.5, there exists a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}) = \rho(\tilde{S})$ and that T is a toric Du Val del Pezzo surface. The closed-set version of Manin's conjecture with Peyre's constant is known for T with any adelicly metrized line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_T$ [BT98a, CLT10]. Then, the assertion follows by (1) of Lemma 5.2.

Let S be the first surface in (2). By Proposition 4.8, there exists a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}) > \rho(\tilde{S})$ where T is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6. The closed-set version of Manin's conjecture with Peyre's constant is known for T with any adelicly metrized line bundle $-\mathcal{K}_T$ [BT98a, CLT10]. Let S be the second surface in (2). By Proposition 4.10, there exists a quasi-étale cover $T \rightarrow S$ such that $\rho(\tilde{T}) = 7 > 6 = \rho(\tilde{S})$ where T is of $S_3(D_4)$. By [FLS18, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$N(V, -\mathcal{K}_T, B) \gg B(\log B)^{\rho(\tilde{T})-1} \quad (5.3)$$

for any dense open subset V of T . In either case, the assertion follows by (2) of Lemma 5.2. \square

It is also worth noting that the four surfaces in Theorem C are all rational. As an immediate conclusion, rational points on them do not form a thin set.

Proposition 5.3. *All the four surfaces defined in Theorem C are rational over the base field \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. It is readily to check that each of the four surfaces defined in Theorem C has a rational point on its smooth locus.

Let S denote the surface defined in (1a) of Theorem C and X be its minimal resolution. We adopt the notations in Section 4.1. the curves E_6 and E_{13} on X are disjoint to each other and form an orbit under the Galois action. So we may contract them, and the result is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 5. A del Pezzo surface of degree 5 always has a rational point and is rational [Poo23, Section 9.4.12]. So we conclude that S is rational as well.

Let S denote the surface defined in (1b) of Theorem C and X be its minimal resolution. Using the notations from Section 4.2, we may contract the Galois orbit $\{E_8, E_{10}\}$ of (-1) -curves on X , and then contract the image of the Galois orbit $\{E_6, E_7\}$. The result is a weak del Pezzo surface of type $S_6(A_1 + A_2)$ for which the Galois action fixes each negative curves. So we may contract it to a twist of \mathbb{P}^2 (see [Der13, Figure 1]). The existence of a rational point on a twist of \mathbb{P}^2 implies that the twist is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . So we conclude that S is rational over the base field.

Let S denote the surface defined in (2a) of Theorem C and X be its minimal resolution. Using the notations from Section 4.3, we may contract E_8, E_{10}, E_{11} and E_{13} on X equivariantly. The result is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 6. A del Pezzo surface of degree 6 with a rational point is rational [Poo23, Section 9.4.12]. So we conclude that S is rational over the base field.

Let S denote the surface defined in (2b) of Theorem C and X be its minimal resolution. Using the notations from Section 3.2.12, we may contract the orbit $\{E_9, E_{10}\}$ to obtain a surface of type $S_3(D_4)$, and then contract the image of $\{E_2, E_6\}$ to obtain a surface of type $S_5(A_2)$, and then contract the image of $\{E_3, E_5\}$ to obtain a surface of type $S_7(A_1)$. This can be contracted to a twist of \mathbb{P}^2 . So S is rational over the base field. \square

REFERENCES

- [Art77] M. Artin. *Coverings of the Rational Double Points in Characteristic p* , pages 11–22. Cambridge University Press, 1977. 8, 11
- [BB13] Stephan Baier and Tim D Browning. Inhomogeneous cubic congruences and rational points on del pezzo surfaces. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2013(680):69–151, 2013. 2
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). 12, 15
- [BHB20] T. D. Browning and D. R. Heath-Brown. Density of rational points on a quadric bundle in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 169(16):3099 – 3165, 2020. 2
- [BHPVdV15] Wolf Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4. Springer, 2015. 10
- [BL18] Tim Browning and Daniel Loughran. Sieving rational points on varieties. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371(8):5757–5785, September 2018. 27, 28
- [BM90] V.V. Batyrev and Yu. I. Manin. Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques. *Mathematische Annalen*, 286(1-3):27–44, 1990. 2
- [Bra21] Lukas Braun. The local fundamental group of a kawamata log terminal singularity is finite. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 226(3):845–896, 2021. 8
- [Bri13] Martin Bright. Brauer groups of singular del Pezzo surfaces. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 62(3):657–664, 2013. 8
- [BT98a] Victor V Batyrev and Yuri Tschinkel. Manin’s conjecture for toric varieties. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 7(1):15–53, 1998. 29
- [BT98b] Victor V. Batyrev and Yuri Tschinkel. Tamagawa numbers of polarized algebraic varieties. In Peyre Emmanuel, editor, *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée*, number 251 in Astérisque. Société mathématique de France, 1998. 2
- [Cay69] Professor Cayley. A memoir on cubic surfaces. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 159:231–326, 1869. 6
- [CD89] François R. Cossec and Igor V. Dolgachev. *Enriques Surfaces I*. Birkhäuser Boston, 1989. 5
- [CDJ+22] Pietro Corvaja, Julian Lawrence Demeio, Ariyan Javanpeykar, Davide Lombardo, and Umberto Zannier. On the distribution of rational points on ramified covers of abelian varieties. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(11):2109–2155, 2022. 7
- [CLT10] Antoine Chambert-Loir and Yuri Tschinkel. Integral points of bounded height on toric varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.3345*, 2010. 29
- [Cor05] Patrick Corn. Del pezzo surfaces of degree 6. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 12(1):75–84, 2005. 25
- [CP21] Ivan Cheltsov and Yuri Prokhorov. Del pezzo surfaces with infinite automorphism groups. *Algebraic Geometry*, pages 319–357, 2021. 27
- [Der13] Ulrich Derenthal. Singular del Pezzo surfaces whose universal torsors are hypersurfaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 108(3):638–681, September 2013. 2, 15, 24, 27, 29
- [DJT08] Ulrich Derenthal, Michael Joyce, and Zachariah Teitler. The nef cone volume of generalized del pezzo surfaces. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 2(2):157–182, 2008. 7, 12
- [dlBDL+19] Régis de la Bretèche, Kevin Destagnol, Jianya Liu, Jie Wu, and Yongqiang Zhao. On a certain non-split cubic surface. *Science China Mathematics*, 62(12):2435–2446, June 2019. 2
- [Dok24] Tim Dokchitser. <https://people.maths.bris.ac.uk/~matyd/groupnames/index.html>, 2024. 15
- [Dol12] Igor V. Dolgachev. *Classical Algebraic Geometry*. Cambridge University Press, August 2012. 5, 6, 12, 14
- [DY22] Ratko Darda and Takehiko Yasuda. The Batyrev-Manin conjecture for DM stacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03645*, to appear in the *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2022. 2

- [ESZB23] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Matthew Satriano, and David Zureick-Brown. Heights on stacks and a generalized Batyrev-Manin-Malle conjecture. In *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, volume 11, page e14. Cambridge University Press, 2023. 2
- [FLS18] Christopher Frei, Daniel Loughran, and Efthymios Sofos. Rational points of bounded height on general conic bundle surfaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 117(2):407–440, 2018. 29
- [FMT89] J. Franke, Yu. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989. 2
- [FP16] Christopher Frei and Marta Pieropan. O-minimality on twisted universal torsors and manin’s conjecture over number fields. *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, 49(4):757–811, 2016. 2
- [Gao23a] Runxuan Gao. The geometric exceptional set in Manin’s conjecture for Batyrev and Tschinkel’s example. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04138*, 2023. 2
- [Gao23b] Runxuan Gao. A Zariski dense exceptional set in Manin’s Conjecture: dimension 2. *Research in Number Theory*, 9(2):42, 2023. 2
- [Gao24] Runxuan Gao. weakdp. <https://github.com/runxg/weakdp>, 2024. 3, 14, 15
- [GKP16] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Étale fundamental groups of Kawamata log terminal spaces, flat sheaves, and quotients of abelian varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(10):1965 – 2004, 2016. 7
- [KM98] Janos Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*. Cambridge University Press, September 1998. 7, 8, 9
- [LR19] Cécile Le Rudulier. Points algébriques de hauteur bornée sur une surface. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 147(4):705–748, 2019. 2
- [LST22] Brian Lehmann, Akash Kumar Sengupta, and Sho Tanimoto. Geometric consistency of Manin’s conjecture. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(6):1375–1427, June 2022. 2, 4, 17
- [LT17] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto. On the geometry of thin exceptional sets in Manin’s conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(15):2815–2869, 2017. 2, 3, 15, 17
- [LT19a] Brian Lehmann and Sho Tanimoto. Geometric manin’s conjecture and rational curves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 155(5):833–862, 2019. 16
- [LT19b] Brian Lehmann and Sho Tanimoto. On exceptional sets in Manin’s conjecture. *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, 6(12), 2019. 3, 4, 17
- [LWZ17] Jianya Liu, Jie Wu, and Yongqiang Zhao. Manin’s Conjecture for a Class of Singular Cubic Hypersurfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(7):2008–2043, 08 2017. 2
- [Man86] Yu I Manin. *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*. Elsevier, 1986. 6
- [MZ88] M Miyanishi and D.Q Zhang. Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one. *Journal of Algebra*, 118(1):63–84, 1988. 5, 8
- [MZ93] Masayoshi Miyanishi and De-Qi Zhang. Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces, II. *Journal of Algebra*, 156(1):183–193, 1993. 5, 7, 8
- [Pey95] E. Peyre. Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano. *Duke Math. J.*, 79(1):101–218, 1995. 2, 28
- [Pey03] E. Peyre. Points de hauteur bornée, topologie adélique et mesures de Tamagawa. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 15(1):319–349, 2003. 2
- [Poo23] Bjorn Poonen. *Rational points on varieties*, volume 186. American Mathematical Society, 2023. 29
- [Sch63] Dr. Schläfli. On the distribution of surfaces of the third order into species, in reference to the absence or presence of singular points, and the reality of their lines. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 153:193–241, 1863. 6
- [Val34] Patrick Du Val. On isolated singularities of surfaces which do not affect the conditions of adjunction (part III.). *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 30(4):483–491, 10 1934. 6
- [Vir23] Nikita Virin. Automorphisms of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08498*, 2023. 3, 27

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: m20015x@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp