## Comments on the publication Discrete symmetries tested at $10^{-4}$ precision using linear polarization of photons from positronium annihilations by P. Moskal et al.\*

E.A. George<sup>1</sup>, T.E. Haugen<sup>2</sup>, O. Naviliat-Cuncic<sup>†2,3</sup>, and P.A. Voytas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Wittenberg University, Springfield 45501 OH, USA

<sup>2</sup>Facility for Rare Isotope Beams and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing 48824 MI, USA

<sup>3</sup>International Research Laboratory on Nuclear Physics and Nuclear Astrophysics, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique - Michigan State University, East Lansing 48824 MI, USA

## June 12, 2014

The authors of Ref. [1] (referred to here as "Moskal et al.") claim to have performed the most precise test of P, T and CP invariance in the decay of ortho-Positronium (o-Ps). Moskal et al. present a "methodology involving polarization of photons from these decays" [1]. In short, given two photons, i and j, out of the three from o-Ps decay, having respectively momentum vectors  $\vec{k}_i$  and  $\vec{k}_j$ , Moskal et al. effectively measured the triple momentum correlation described by the mixed product

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = (\vec{k}_i \times \vec{k}'_i) \cdot \hat{k}_j / h_i \equiv \hat{n}_i \cdot \hat{k}_j , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\vec{k}'_i$  is the momentum of photon *i* after Compton scattering on a plastic scintillator bar of the JPET detector,  $\hat{n}_i = (\vec{k}_i \times \vec{k}'_i)/h_i$  is the unit vector perpendicular to the Compton scattering plane, with  $h_i = |\vec{k}_i \times \vec{k}'_i|$  the norm of the vector product.

In this note: 1) we demonstrate, assuming standard properties for Compton scattering, that the average value of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  must necessarily be zero, independently of any physics occurring in o-Ps decay; 2) we point out that there is no formal justification to equate the normal vector to the Compton scattering plane with the incident photon polarization, as done by Moskal et al.; 3) we observe the absence of characterization of the device as a Compton polarimeter, which is paramount in photon polarimetry; 4) we review previous measurements of the polarization of photons from o-Ps decay, properly implementing the Compton polarimetry technique, and make the connection with tests of discrete symmetries; and 5) we stress that the proposed correlation cannot be generated solely by the physics of o-Ps decay, including possible violations of discrete symmetries.

1) Moskal et al. report the measurement of the average value of the correlation given in Eq.(1) and claim this to be a test of P, T and CP violation in o-Ps decay. The average value is

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_i \cdot \hat{k}_j \rangle = \langle \cos(\omega_{ij}) \rangle , \qquad (2)$$

and the measured distribution of values of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is shown in Fig.2 of Ref. [1]. Now, the Klein-Nishina cross section for Compton scattering is given by [2]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} r_e^2 s_e^2 \left[ s_e + s_e^{-1} - 2\sin^2\theta \cos^2\phi \right] , \qquad (3)$$

where  $r_e = e^2/m_e c^2$  is the classical electron radius,  $s_e = [1 + (E_\gamma/m_e c^2)(1 - \cos\theta)]^{-1}$  is the ratio between the energy of the scattered photon, relative to the energy  $E_\gamma$  of the incident photon,  $\theta$  is

<sup>\*</sup>Submitted to Nature Communications on June 12, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: naviliat@frib.msu.edu

the angle between  $\vec{k}'_i$  and  $\vec{k}_i$  (i.e. the Compton scattering angle), and  $\phi$  is the angle of the photon polarization plane relative to the Compton scattering plane. It is clear that, independently of the photon polarization, the scattering probabilities at angles  $\theta$  and  $-\theta$  are identical. This means that one expects to observe equal numbers of events with  $\vec{k}_i \times \vec{k}'_i$  pointing in some direction and events with this product pointing in the opposite direction. That is, for a given momentum  $\vec{k}_j$ , one expects to observe equal numbers of events producing a positive value of the projection

$$\hat{n}_i \cdot k_j = \cos(\omega_{ij}) , \qquad (4)$$

and a negative value of this projection, due to the change of sign of  $\theta$  and hence of the direction of  $\hat{n}_i$ . In conclusion, based on the symmetry properties of the Klein-Nishina cross section, the average value  $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$  must be zero by construction, regardless of any physics in o-Ps decay.

2) Moskal et al. assert in the title, the abstract, several times in the text and in the discussion, having used the linear polarization of photons to perform the measurement. Moskal et al. introduce in Eq.(2) of Ref. [1], the "polarization related quantities"  $\epsilon_i$  which, later in the text, are simply referred to as the photon polarization. The vector  $\epsilon_i$  introduced by Moskal et al. is in fact the vector  $\hat{n}_i$  defined in Eq. (1) above, which is the unit vector normal to the Compton scattering plane. In other words, Moskal et al. equate the polarization of the incident photon to the normal to the Compton scattering plane. However, there is no formal justification based on QED to make this association. Furthermore, we stress that a single Compton scattering event does not enable the determination of the direction of the polarization of a photon. This observation is at variance with the statement made in the abstract of Ref. [1], that the photon polarization was determined "on an event-by-event basis". In conclusion, the unit vector perpendicular to the Compton scattering plane, which is called "photon polarization" in Ref. [1], is not the photon polarization.

3) Compton polarimetry is a well established technique to determine the photon linear polarization [2]. It relies on the dependence of the Klein-Nishina cross section, Eq.(3), as a function of  $\phi$ . The  $\cos^2 \phi$  dependence indicates that there is no meaningful distinction to make between something like a "positive" versus a "negative" photon polarization direction, since the cross section is invariant under the transformation  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi$ . The conception of Moskal et al., in which the photon polarization has an **oriented** direction, is inconsistent with this invariance property. Furthermore, measurements of the photon polarization strictly require the determination of the analyzing power of the polarimeter which defines the sensitivity of the device, including variations with angular and energy ranges. A recent illustration of the Compton polarimetry technique, using a  $\gamma$ -tracking multi-detector array can be found in Ref. [3]. We observe that the text in Ref. [1] does not contain any consideration about the sensitivity of the setup for the measurement of the photon polarization. This is possibly connected to the fact that Moskal et al. call "photon polarization" the normal vector to the Compton scattering plane. This normal vector can indeed be determined from the topology of a single scattering event but the polarization of the incident photon cannot. In conclusion, despite the insistence made in Ref. [1] on the photon polarization, Moskal et al. did not measure any photon polarization.

4) Measurements of the polarization of photons from o-Ps decay [4, 5], properly using the Compton polarimetry technique, provide tests of QED and in particular the predictions of the Klein-Nishina formula. It is not excluded that measurements of correlations involving the photon polarization could probe form factors in o-Ps decay which violate discrete symmetries. To our knowledge this has so far not been demonstrated and would require the extension of the formalism in Ref. [6] to include the photon polarizations.

5) The three momenta in Eq.(1) do not originate from the same process:  $\vec{k}_i$  and  $\vec{k}_j$  are involved in o-Ps decay whereas  $\vec{k}_i$  and  $\vec{k}'_i$  are involved in Compton scattering. The mere presence of vector  $\vec{k}'_i$  clearly shows that the dynamics of o-Ps decay alone will never produce such a correlation. QED calculations in o-Ps decay, allowing for possible discrete symmetries violations [6], can produce symmetry-violating form factors which are manifested by correlations involving kinematic quantities of the initial and final states, like the o-Ps spin, s, the photon momenta,  $k_i$  or the photon polarizations  $e_i$ . The question about how to measure a correlation in order to access the form factor is independent and can envision different experimental techniques. Since the triple momentum correlation in Eq.(1) cannot be generated by the dynamics of o-Ps decay, including possible violations of discrete symmetries, there is nothing it can tell us about any form factor from the decay.

To summarize, it appears that point 1) alone invalidates the claims made in Ref. [1] concerning the tests of discrete symmetries. Points 2), 3) and 4) indicate the misconception by Moskal et al. concerning the photon polarization and hence how to measure it by Compton polarimetry and what can possibly be learned from a proper measurement in o-Ps decay. Finally point 5) emphasizes the general properties to probe the presence of symmetry-violating terms in decay processes and concludes that the proposed correlation term cannot be generated by the dynamics of o-Ps alone.

## References

- Moskal, P. et al. Discrete symmetries tested at 10<sup>-4</sup> precision using linear polarization of photons from positronium annihilations. Nature Communications 15, 78 (2024). URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44340-6.
- Fagg, L. W. & Hanna, S. S. Polarization measurements on nuclear gamma rays. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 31, 711 (1959). URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.31.711.
- [3] Morse, С. et al.The polarization sensitivity of GRETINA. Nucl. Instrum. MethodsPhys. Res. A 1025, 166155(2022).URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900221010408.
- [4] Leipuner, L., Siegel, R. & DeBenedetti, S. Polarization of the three-photon annihilation radiation. *Phys. Rev.* 91, 198 (1953). URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.91.198.2.
- [5] Ye, J., Yang, B., Tang, X. & Zhu, Q. Measurement of photon polarization from the three-photon annihilation of orthopositronium. *Phys. Lett. A* 133, 309 (1988). URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375960188904501.
- [6] Bernreuther, W., Löw, U., Ma, J. & Nachtmann, O. How to test CP, T, and CPT invariance in the three photon decay of polarized positronium. Z. Phys. C 41, 143 (1988). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01412589.