

# HOMOGENIZATION OF NON-CONVEX INTEGRAL ENERGIES WITH ORLICZ GROWTH VIA PERIODIC UNFOLDING

JOEL FOTSO TACHAGO<sup>‡</sup>, GIULIANO GARGIULO<sup>†</sup>, HUBERT NNANG<sup>#</sup>,  
AND ELVIRA ZAPPALE<sup>#</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The periodic unfolding method is extended to the Orlicz setting and used to prove a homogenization result for non-convex integral energies defined on vector-valued configurations in the Orlicz-Sobolev setting.

**Keywords:** homogenization, periodic unfolding method, Orlicz spaces, two-scale convergence.

**AMS subject classifications:** 49J45, 35B27, 74Q05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Homogenization of periodic structures via two-scale convergence was introduced in [2, 43] to deal with many problems formulated in terms of partial differential equations and integral functionals in Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces and  $BV$  ones (see [3, 5, 12, 13, 23, 24]). Indeed the importance of detecting the overall behaviour of a material which might include periodically distributed heterogeneities is very important in many applications, from nonlinear elasticity, mean-field games, to micro and ferromagnetic, conductivity, evolutions problems, polycrystals, discrete models, etc. Indeed we refer to [4, 7, 10, 22, 25, 26, 27, 45] among a much wider bibliography.

Quite recently the two-scale theory has been extended to the Orlicz setting (see [30]), and applied in many types of problems (see [31, 32, 33, 34, 36]), since in many contexts, polynomial growth cannot be considered.

On the other hand the theory of two-scale convergence has been rephrased by [16, 17, 18] in terms of periodic unfolding, we refer to [19] for a complete theory in the Sobolev setting. However not many results dealing with integral functionals have been obtained in this context: we refer to [30, 29, 46, 5], among a wider bibliography, and, in most of these papers explicit homogenized limiting energies have not been computed if the original model is not convex, the first result in the non-convex setting is the one obtained in [14], on the other hand quasiconvexity in the sense of Morrey have been imposed therein.

Now we aim at extending this latter result to the framework of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and at a complete removal of any convexity or quasiconvexity assumption on the integrand  $f$  considered below. Namely, we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of nonconvex integral functionals modeling homogenization problems in the Orlicz setting. To be precise let  $N, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $Y := ]0, 1[^N$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  the class of all bounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Lipschitz boundary. For each  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and

---

*Date:* June, 2024.

every  $\varepsilon \in [0, +\infty)$ , converging to 0, we consider the family of functionals:

$$(1.1) \quad u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla u\right) dx,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & f : (y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow f(y, \xi) \in [0, +\infty) \\ & f(\cdot, \xi) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable and } Y\text{-periodic for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN} \\ & f(y, \cdot) \text{ is continuous for a.e. } y \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{aligned}$$

and verifies the following assumptions: there exist  $M > 0$  and a  $Y$ -periodic function  $a \in L^1_{per}(Y)$  such that the following growth conditions hold

$$(1.3) \quad f(y, \xi) \leq a(y) + MB(|\xi|) \text{ for a.e. } y \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}, M > 0$$

$$(1.4) \quad B(|\xi|) \leq f(y, \xi) \text{ for a.e. } y \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}.$$

Here we are interested in the overall behaviour of such energies as the parameter  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , since it allows to replace a sample with finely distributed heterogeneities by a homogeneous material.

Problems of this type arise in nonlinear elasticity theory, see [14] and the references therein. While the theory is completely understood in the standard Sobolev setting, the analysis is not yet complete in the framework of Orlicz spaces, i.e. when the function  $f$  satisfies (1.3) and (1.4).

Due to recent developments of two scale convergence in the context of Orlicz setting [31, 37, 38] we are able to deduce the asymptotics of the functionals in (1.1), as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , also in the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Indeed, our main results read as follows (we refer to Section 2 for the adopted notation):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $B$  be a Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions, let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN})$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon\}$  be a family of positive numbers converging to 0. Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2)-(1.4), then for every sequence  $\{\varepsilon_h\} \subset \{\varepsilon\}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \int_{\Omega} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where, setting for every  $t > 0$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad f_t(\xi) := \frac{1}{t^N} \inf \left\{ \int_{tY} f(y, \xi + \nabla v) dy : v \in W^1 L^B_0(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

$$f_{\text{hom}} : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN} \mapsto$$

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f_t(\xi) = \frac{1}{t^N} \inf \left\{ \int_{tY} f(y, \xi + \nabla v) dy : v \in W^1 L^B_0(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

We stress that our set of assumptions allows us to consider growth conditions modeled through  $B(\xi) := |\xi|^p$ , with  $p > 1$ , hence Theorem 1.1 extends [14, Theorem 2.5] to the case where no quasi-convexity hypothesis is made on  $f(x, \cdot)$ .

Our main result follows by the extension to the Orlicz setting of the unfolding method in homogenization (see [31] for the first results in this direction). Indeed, in subsection 2.2, we get

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and let  $B$  be a Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions. Let  $\{\varepsilon_h\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. Let  $\{v_h\}_h$  be a sequence weakly converging to some  $v$  in  $W^1 L^B(\Omega)$ . Then there exist a subsequence  $h_k$  and  $V \in L^B(\Omega \times Y_{per})$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} V(x, \cdot) \in L^B_{per}(Y)$  verifying, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$   $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{h_k}}(\nabla v_{h_k}) \rightharpoonup \nabla v + \nabla_y V$  in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y_{per}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 deals with notation and preliminary results on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and the properties of the periodic unfolding operator in Orlicz setting. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main results.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**2.1. Notations.** In this section, we start fixing some notation, which for the readers' convenience is not very different from the one adopted in [35]. We will also recall some preliminaries about Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces that we will use in the sequel.

- $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Lipschitz boundary.
- $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  denotes the family of all open subsets of  $\Omega$ .
- $\mathcal{L}^N$  is the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- $\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$  is identified with the set of real  $d \times N$  matrices.
- $Y := (0, 1)^N$  is the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- The symbols  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  and  $[\cdot]$  stand, respectively, for the fractional and integer part of a number, or a vector, componentwise.
- The Dirac mass at a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is denoted by  $\delta_a$ .
- The symbol  $f_A$  stands for the average  $\mathcal{L}^N(A)^{-1} \int_A$ .
- $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_c(U)$  is the space of continuous functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with compact support.
- $B : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Young function, i.e.  $B$  is continuous, convex, with  $B(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ ,  $\frac{B(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\frac{B(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- $\tilde{B}$  stands for the complementary of Young function  $B$ , defined by

$$\tilde{B}(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \{st - B(s)\}.$$

- We recall that a Young function  $B$  is of class  $\Delta_2$  at  $\infty$  or satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition (denoted  $B \in \Delta_2$ ) if there are  $\alpha > 0$  and  $t_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$B(2t) \leq \alpha B(t), \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Also  $B$  is of class  $\nabla_2$  (or satisfies  $\nabla_2$  condition) if  $\tilde{B}$  is of class  $\Delta_2$ , i.e.  $\exists \beta > 0$  and  $t_0 > 1$  such that

$$B(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\beta} B(\beta t), \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

- $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the Orlicz space of functions defined by

$$L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d; u \text{ is measurable, } \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} B(\alpha |u(x)|) dx = 0 \right\}.$$

We recall that  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a Banach space with respect to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_B = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\Omega} B\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{k}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty.$$

Sometimes, we will denote the norm of elements in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , both by  $\|\cdot\|_B$  and with  $\|\cdot\|_{L^B}$ .

- $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is the space of indefinitely differentiable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support. We recall that  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is separable and reflexive when  $B$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  and  $\nabla_2$  conditions above. The dual of  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is identified with  $L^{\tilde{B}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The property  $\frac{B(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^1_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),$$

each embedding being continuous.

- $W^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the Orlicz-Sobolev space defined by

$$W^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d); \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), 1 \leq i \leq N \right\},$$

where derivatives are taken in the distributional sense on  $\Omega$ . Endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^1 L^B} = \|u\|_{L^B} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^B}, \quad u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d),$$

$W^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a reflexive Banach space when  $B \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ .

- $W_0^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  in  $W^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and the semi-norm

$$u \longrightarrow \|u\|_{W_0^1 L^B} = \|Du\|_{L^B} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^B}$$

is a norm (of gradient) on  $W_0^1 L^B(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{W^1 L^B}$ .

- Given a function space  $\mathcal{S}$  defined in  $\Omega$ ,  $Y$  or  $\Omega \times Y$ , the subscript  $\mathcal{S}_{per}$  means that the functions are periodic in  $\Omega$ ,  $Y$  or  $\Omega \times Y$ , as it will be clear from the context.
- $L^B(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{loc}^N)$  is the space defined by

$$L^B(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{loc}^N) := \left\{ v \text{ measurable, s.t. } \int_{\Omega \times A} B(v(x, y)) dx dy < +\infty \text{ for every } A \subset \subset \mathbb{R}_y^N \right\}.$$

- $L^B(\Omega \times Y_{per})$  is the Orlicz space defined by

$$L^B(\Omega \times Y_{per}) = \{v \in L^B(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{loc}^N) : v(x, \cdot) \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}.$$

- $W^1 L^B_{per}(Y)$  is the Orlicz-Sobolev space defined by

$$W^1 L^B_{per}(Y) = \left\{ v \in W^1 L^B_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_y^N) : v(x, \cdot) \text{ and } \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i}(x, \cdot), 1 \leq i \leq N, \right. \\ \left. \text{are } Y\text{-periodic for a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

- $W^1_{\#} L^B(Y)$  is the Orlicz-Sobolev space defined by

$$W^1_{\#} L^B(Y) = \left\{ v \in W^1 L^B_{per}(Y) : \int_Y v(y) dy = 0 \right\}.$$

It is endowed with the  $L^B$  norm of the gradients.

- In our subsequent analysis we denote by

$$L^B(\Omega; L^B(Y_{per})) := \left\{ u \in L^B(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{loc}^N) : u(x, \cdot) \in L_{per}^B(Y) \right. \\ \left. \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ and } \int_{\Omega \times Y} B(|u(x, y)|) dx dy < \infty \right\}.$$

We observe that our is a notation, indeed, in view of [30, Lemma 2.4], if  $\tilde{B}$  satisfies  $\Delta'$  condition, then the above spaces coincide with the standard Orlicz-Bochner spaces, sometimes denoted in the same way.

- Analogously we consider

$$(2.1) \quad L^B(\Omega; W_{\#}^1 L^B(Y)) := \left\{ u \in L^B(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{loc}^N) : u(x, y) \in L^B(\Omega; L_{per}^B(Y)), u(x, \cdot) \in W_{\#}^1 L^B(Y) \right. \\ \left. \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ and } \int_{\Omega \times Y} (B(|u(x, y)|) + B(|\nabla u(x, y)|)) dx dy < \infty \right\}.$$

**2.2. The unfolding operator.** This subsection is devoted to recall the unfolding operator introduced in [17] and some of its properties in the Orlicz setting proven in [31].

Here and in the sequel  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $Y := ]0, 1[^N$ . Following [17], for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $[z]_Y$  is the vector with components  $[z_i]$  where  $[z_i]$  is the integer part of  $z_i$ . It follows that  $z - [z]_Y = \{z\}_Y \in Y$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $x = \varepsilon \left( \left[ \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right]_Y + \left\{ \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right\}_Y \right)$ .

Define

$$(2.2) \quad \Xi_\varepsilon := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \varepsilon(\xi + Y) \subset \Omega \right\}, \\ \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon := \text{int} \left\{ \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi_\varepsilon} \varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y}) \right\}, \\ \Lambda_\varepsilon := \Omega \setminus \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon.$$

The set  $\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon$  is the largest union of cells  $\varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y})$  (with  $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ ) included in  $\Omega$  while  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  is the subset of  $\Omega$  containing the parts from cells  $\varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y})$  intersecting the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

The unfolding operator, introduced in [17, Definition 2.1], which acts on Lebesgue measurable functions is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $\phi$  Lebesgue measurable on  $\Omega$ , the unfolding operator  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi\left(\varepsilon \left[ \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right]_Y + \varepsilon y\right) & \text{a.e for } (x, y) \in \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \times Y \\ 0 & \text{a.e for } (x, y) \in \Lambda_\varepsilon \times Y. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)$  is Lebesgue measurable in  $\Omega \times Y$ , and is 0 whenever  $x$  is outside  $\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon$ . Moreover, for every  $v, w$  Lebesgue-measurable,

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(vw) = \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w)$$

Analogous identities hold for other pointwise operations on functions. In particular, the operator is linear with respect to pointwise operations. In the sequel we recall a series of result whose proofs can be found in [31].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $f \in L^1_{per}(Y)$  define the sequence  $\{f_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  by  $f_\varepsilon(x) := f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$  a.e for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then*

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon|\Omega)(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(y) & \text{a.e for } (x, y) \in \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \times Y, \\ 0 & \text{a.e for } (x, y) \in \Lambda_\varepsilon \times Y. \end{cases}$$

If  $f \in L^B_{per}(Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon|\Omega) \rightarrow f$  strongly in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .

The following result can be immediately deduced by [17, Proposition 2.5], observing that for every Young function  $B$  and  $w \in L^B(\Omega)$   $B(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w)) = \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon B(w)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *For every Young function  $B$ , the operator  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$  is linear and continuous from  $L^B(\Omega)$  to  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ . It results that*

$$\text{i) } \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} B(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w))(x, y) dx dy = \int_{\Omega} B(w(x)) dx - \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} B(w(x)) dx = \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} B(w(x)) dx.$$

$$\text{ii) } \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} B(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w))(x, y) dx dy \leq \int_{\Omega} B(w(x)) dx$$

$$\text{iii) } \frac{1}{|Y|} \left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} B(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w))(x, y) dx dy - \int_{\Omega} B(w(x)) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} B(w(x)) dx$$

$$\text{iv) } \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w)\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y)} = \|w \chi_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon}\|_{L^B(\Omega)},$$

with  $\chi_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

In particular, for every  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w)\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y)} \leq (1 + |Y|) \|w\|_{L^B(\Omega)}.$$

From this result, in particular from ii), it is possible to provide, as in the standard  $L^p$  setting (cf. [17, Proposition 2.6]), an unfolding criterion for integrals in the Orlicz setting. For the sake of a more complete parallel with the standard  $L^p$  setting, we recall the unfolding criterion for integrals, u.c.i. for short, as introduced in [17].

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  is a sequence in  $L^1(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |w_\varepsilon| dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then*

$$\int_{\Omega} w_\varepsilon dx - \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) dx dy \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

This result justifies the following notation for integrals of unfolding operators. Indeed, if  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  is a sequence satisfying u.c.i, we write

$$\int_{\Omega} w_\varepsilon dx \stackrel{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon}{\simeq} \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) dx dy.$$

**Proposition 2.5 (u.c.i. in the Orlicz setting).** *If  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  is a sequence in  $L^B(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} B(w_\varepsilon) dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then*

$$\int_{\Omega} B(w_\varepsilon) dx - \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} B(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)) dx dy \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\{u_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^B(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{\tilde{B}}(\Omega)$  then*

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon v dx \stackrel{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon}{\simeq} \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v) dx dy.$$

As in the classical Lebesgue setting we can define the mean value operator acting on  $L^B$  spaces.

**Definition 2.7.** *The mean value operator  $\mathcal{M}_Y: L^B(\Omega \times Y) \rightarrow L^B(\Omega)$  is defined as follows*

$$\mathcal{M}_Y(w)(x) := \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y w(x, y) dy$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for every  $w \in L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .

In particular  $\|\mathcal{M}_Y(w)\|_{L^B(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\sup\{1, |Y|^{-1}\}} \|w\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y)}$ , for every  $w \in L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .

We also recall the convergence properties related to the unfolding operator when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. for  $w$  uniformly continuous on  $\Omega$ , with modulus of continuity  $m_w$ , it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{x \in \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon, y \in Y} |\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w)(x, y) - w(x)| \leq m_w(\varepsilon).$$

The following theorem extends to the Orlicz setting the correspondent one in classical  $L^p$  spaces, (cf. [17, Proposition 2.9] and [31, Theorem 1]).

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $B$  be a Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions. The following results hold:*

- i) *For  $w \in L^B(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w) \rightarrow w$  strongly in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .*
- ii) *Let  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence in  $L^B(\Omega)$  such that  $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w$  strongly in  $L^B(\Omega)$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) \rightarrow w$  strongly in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .*
- iii) *For every relatively weakly compact sequence  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  in  $L^B(\Omega)$  the corresponding  $\{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)\}_\varepsilon$  is relatively weakly compact in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \widehat{w}$  weakly in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ , then  $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y(\widehat{w})$  weakly in  $L^B(\Omega)$ .*
- iv) *If  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \widehat{w}$  weakly in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ , then*

$$\|\widehat{w}\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y)} \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 + |Y|) \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^B(\Omega)}.$$

**2.3. Two scale convergence and unfolding.** We start recalling the notion of two-scale convergence in Orlicz setting, see [30, Definition 4.1].

**Definition 2.9.** *A sequence  $\{v_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon \subset L^B(\Omega)$  is said to (weakly) two-scale converge in  $L^B(\Omega)$  to some  $v_0 \in L^B(\Omega \times Y_{per})$  if have*

$$\int_{\Omega} v_\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega \times Y} v_0 \varphi dx dy$$

for every  $\varphi \in L^{\tilde{B}}(\Omega; C_{per}(Y))$ , where  $\varphi^\varepsilon$  is defined as  $\varphi^\varepsilon(x) := \varphi(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .

We express the above definition by saying that  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v_0$  in  $L^B(\Omega)$  weakly two-scale.

The following result, which we restate for the readers' convenience, is the Orlicz version of [18, Proposition 1.19] and is a consequence of it (see Proposition 1.2).

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $B$  be an Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions. Let  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^B(\Omega)$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)\}_\varepsilon$  converges weakly to  $w$  in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ .
- (ii)  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  weakly two-scale converges to  $w$  in  $L^B(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* The proof relies on [11, Proposition 3.5] and [18, Proposition 1.19]. First we recall that each Young function  $B$  which satisfies  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  condition is such that there exists  $p, q > 1$   $L^p \subseteq L^B \subseteq L^q$  with continuous embeddings.

First we assume that (i) holds. The above embeddings ensure that  $\{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)\}_\varepsilon$  is also bounded in  $L^q(\Omega \times Y)$ , hence it converges, up to a subsequence, to the same limit  $w$  in  $L^q(\Omega \times Y)$ . By the uniqueness of the limit, the entire sequence converges. By [18, Proposition 1.19], it results that  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  is weakly two-scale convergent to  $w$  in  $L^q$ , but by (iii) in Theorem 2.8, the sequence is also bounded in  $L^B$ , hence by [30, Theorem 4.1], two-scale weakly converging, up to a subsequence also in  $L^B$ . By the uniqueness of the weak two-scale limit, this limiting function must be  $w$ , and the convergence has to hold for the entire sequence.

Analogously, assuming that (ii) holds  $\{w_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , thus, it is also weakly two-scale convergent also in  $L^q(\Omega \times Y)$  to the same  $w$ . By [18, Proposition 1.19]  $\{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)\}_\varepsilon$  converges weakly to  $w$  in  $L^q(\Omega \times Y)$ . On the other hand, by (iii) in Theorem 2.8, the sequence  $\{\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon)\}_\varepsilon$  is also bounded in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$ , hence weakly convergent (up to a subsequence) in  $L^B(\Omega \times Y)$  to the same limit, which in the end is the limit of the entire sequence.  $\square$

The following result has been proven in [30, Theorem 4.2] (see also [34, Remark 2])

**Proposition 2.11.** [30] *Let  $\{u_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon \subset W^1L^B(\Omega)$  be a bounded sequence. Then, there exists a not relabelled subsequence  $\{u_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } W^1L^B(\Omega) - \text{weak}, \\ u_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } L^B(\Omega) - \text{weakly two scale}, \\ \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} &\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i} \quad L^B(\Omega) \text{ weakly two scale } , (1 \leq i \leq N), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_0 \in W^1L^B(\Omega)$  and  $u_1 \in L^B(\Omega; W_{\#}^1L_{per}^B(Y))$ , (where the latter is in the sense of (2.1)). Furthermore if  $\{u_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon \subset W_0^1L^B(\Omega)$  then the weak limit  $u_0$  lies in  $W_0^1L^B(\Omega)$ ,

**2.4. Properties of the energy densities.** We recall that a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is said to be quasiconvex if for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ ,

$$f(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(A)} \int_A f(\xi + \nabla\varphi(x))dx$$

for every  $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . A function  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is said to be quasiconvex if

$$(2.4) \quad f(y, \cdot) \text{ is quasiconvex for a.e. } y \in \Omega.$$

It is well know (see [15]) that every quasiconvex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is separately convex. Furthermore, if  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies (1.3) for a Young function  $B$  of class  $\nabla_2 \cap \Delta_2$ , in [28] it has been proven that

$$(2.5) \quad |f(x, z_1) - f(x, z_2)| \leq C(1 + b(1 + |z_1| + |z_2|))|z_1 - z_2|,$$



with the constant  $C$  independent on  $x$ , and  $b : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is nondecreasing, right continuous and such that

$$B(t) = \int_0^t b(s) ds,$$

$$b(0) = 0, b(s) > 0 \text{ } s > 0, \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} b(s) = +\infty.$$

The following result has been proved in [14, Lemma 2.1]

**Lemma 2.12.** [14] *Let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be such that  $f(\cdot, \xi) \in L^1(Y)$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ , and assume that it satisfies (1.2). Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and let  $f_t : \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be as in (1.5), then for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f_t(\xi)$  exists and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f_t(\xi) = \inf_{h \in \mathbb{N}} f(\xi).$$

**2.5. Lower semicontinuity and relaxation results.** The following result can be easily deduced by [42, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.11]

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a Carathéodory function such that (1.3) and (1.4) (with  $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ) hold. Let  $F : W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the functional defined as*

$$F(w) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla w(x)) dx,$$

and let  $\bar{F} : w \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the functionl defined as

$$\bar{F}(u) := \inf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \liminf F(u_h) : W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni u_h \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \}$$

then

$$\bar{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} Qf(x, \nabla u(x)) dx,$$

where  $Qf(x, \cdot)$  stands for the quasiconvex envelope of  $f(x, \cdot)$  in the sense of Morrey, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , i.e.  $Qf : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$(2.6) \quad Qf(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(A)} \inf \left\{ \int_A Qf(x, \xi + \nabla \varphi(y)) dy : \varphi \in C_0^\infty(A) \right\},$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ , equivalently in view of (1.3) and (1.4) (see [20],[39])

$$Qf(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(A)} \inf \left\{ \int_A Qf(x, \xi + \nabla \varphi(y)) dy : \varphi \in W^1 L_0^B(A) \right\},$$

**Remark 2.14.** *We observe that [42, proof of Proposition 4.9 and Theorem 4.11] ensure that  $Qf(x, \xi)$  is a Carathéodory function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4), which is periodic in the first variable in view of (2.6).*

The following result is well known, hence its proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{dN} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , such that (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) hold. Let  $\{\nu_h\} \subseteq ]0, +\infty[$ , nondecreasing and diverging then for all  $w \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu_h x, \nabla u_h) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu_h x, \nabla u_h) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq u + W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

We start this subsection with a crucial observation. In view of [21, Proposition 6.11], and Remark 2.14,  $Qf(x, \xi)$  satisfies (1.2)-(1.4) and (2.4). Furthermore, it is easily seen from Theorem 1.1 and (1.6) that the formula defining  $f_{hom}$  does not change if one replaces  $f(x, \cdot)$  by  $Qf(x, \cdot)$ . Thus, without loss of generality in this subsection we can assume that  $f$  satisfies (2.4). It is worth to underline that our set of assumptions allows us to consider growth conditions modeled through  $B(\xi) := |\xi|^p$ , with  $p > 1$ , hence Theorem 1.1 extends [14, Theorem 2.5].

The following result, whose proof is presented for the readers' convenience, is an Orlicz-Sobolev version of [14, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $t > 0$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfy (1.2)-(1.4) and (2.4) and let  $f_t$  be as in (1.5), then*

$$\int_{\Omega} f_t(\nabla u) dx = \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$

for all  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where

$$L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) = \{V \in L^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) : V(x, \cdot) \in W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}.$$

*Proof.* For every  $V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it results that  $\nabla_y V(x, y) \in L^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$  for a.e  $x \in \Omega$ , hence, by (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\nabla u) &= \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla v(y)) dy; v \in W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dy \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} f_t(\nabla u) dx \leq \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$

To prove the reverse inequality, we assume, without loss of generality, that the left hand side of above inequality is finite. Set  $X = W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Note that it is a metric space and define the multifunction

$$\Gamma : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \left\{ v \in W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) : \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{tY} f(y, \xi + \nabla_y v(y)) dy = f_t(\xi) \right\}.$$

By (1.4) and the lower semicontinuity properties ensured by Proposition 2.13,  $\Gamma(\xi)$  is not empty and weakly closed. Let  $F$  be a strongly closed set in  $W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$  hence  $\Gamma(F)^- = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{dN} : \Gamma(\zeta) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ . Indeed, if  $\Gamma(F)^- = \emptyset$ , clearly it is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ .

The proof follows the same lines as [14, Lemma 2.2], by an application of Castaing's selection lemma (see [14, Theorem 1.1]). In particular, by the separability of  $W^1L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it suffices to restrict to the case in which  $F$  is closed ball and prove that  $\Gamma(F)^-$  is closed. Indeed every closed subset of  $W^1L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$  can be written as countable intersection of closed balls and consequently  $\Gamma^-(F)$  will be a closed intersection of closed sets.

To see that  $\Gamma(F)^-$  is closed, let  $\{\xi_s\} \subseteq \Gamma(F)^-$  converging to  $\xi$  and  $v_s \in \Gamma(\xi_s) \cap F$ .  $F$  being a closed ball,  $\{v_s\}$  is bounded in  $X$  hence there is a subsequence  $\{v_{s_k}\}$  of  $\{v_s\}$  and  $v_\infty \in F$  (note that  $F$  as ball is convex and weakly closed) such that  $v_{s_k} \rightharpoonup v_\infty$  in  $X$ .

We deduce, using definition of infimum and the continuity properties, that:

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\xi) &\leq \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{tY} f(y, \xi + \nabla_y v_\infty(y)) dy \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{tY} f(y, \xi_s + \nabla_y v_{s_k}(y)) dy \\ &\leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} f_t(\xi_{s_k}) \leq f_t(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

that is  $v_\infty \in \Gamma(z) \cap F$  and  $z \in \Gamma(F)^-$  hence it is closed then in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ . It follows, by Castaing's selection theorem, that  $\Gamma$  has a measurable selection  $\sigma$ . For a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  we set  $U(x) = \sigma(\nabla u(x))$ . In particular,  $U$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $\Omega$  with

$$f_t(\nabla u(x)) = \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y U(x, y)) dy.$$

Since  $f_t(\nabla u)$  is summable, the previous equality, together with (1.2), implies  $\nabla_y U \in L^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^{dN})$ . Consequently  $U \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^{dN})$  with,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f_t(\nabla u(x)) dx &= \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y U(x, y)) dx dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

ending the proof.  $\square$

As in [14] from lemmas 3.1, 2.12, (1.3) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, the following result holds.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be satisfying 1.2-(1.4), let  $f_{\text{hom}}$  be as in (1.6) and let  $B$  be a Young function  $B$  of class  $\Delta_2$ , let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ = \int_{\Omega} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.3.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 the following equivalent formulae for the integral of  $f_{\text{hom}}$  hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u(x)) dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f(ty, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the readers' convenience we restate our main homogenization result

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $B$  be a Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions, let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN})$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon\}$  be a family of positive numbers converging to 0. Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2)-(1.4), then for every sequence  $\{\varepsilon_h\} \subset \{\varepsilon\}$ , Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) dx \\ &= \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq u + W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq u + W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is split in many intermediate steps as follow.

Firstly we observe that the exact same arguments used in the proof of [14, Lemma 2.6] guarantee the validity of the following result

**Lemma 3.5.** *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 3.4 and recalling that (2.4) holds, the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{dN}), u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \leq \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times kY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy, \right. \\ & \quad \left. V \in L^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) : V(x, \cdot) \in W^1 L_{\text{per}}^B(\Omega \times kY; \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

follows.

We observe that since  $W^1 L_0^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq W^1 L_{\text{per}}^B(tY; \mathbb{R}^d)$  the lemma is valid for also for  $V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times kY; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The exact same arguments as in [14], allows us to deduce the following result, which is a consequence of lemmas 3.1, 2.12, 3.2 and the dominated convergence theorem, taking into account that (1.3) entails that  $f_t$  in (1.5) satisfies the following

$$f_t(\xi) \leq \int_Y a(ty) dy + B(|\xi|),$$

for every  $t > 0$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $f$  satisfy (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.3) for a given Young function  $B$  satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  conditions. Then, for every  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times tY} f(y, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times tY; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ = \int_{\Omega} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $f$  satisfy (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.3) for a given Young function  $B$  of class  $\Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ . Then, for every  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and for every  $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$ , we have:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx : \{v_h\} \subseteq W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), v_h \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), u_h \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $u_h \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx < +\infty$ . Choose  $\Omega' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega'$ , and, with an abuse of notation denote by  $u_h$  and  $u$  both the functions and their extensions as 0 in  $\Omega'$ . By (1.3), it follows that:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx + \int_{\Omega' \setminus \Omega} \left(a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}\right) + MB(|\nabla u_h|)\right) dx, \forall h \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{h_j\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\left\{\left[\frac{1}{\nu \varepsilon_{h_j}}\right]\right\}$  is strictly nondecreasing and

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_{h_j}\right) dx = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx < +\infty.$$

Therefore (1.4) ensures that  $\sup_j \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u_{h_j}|) dx < \infty$ , and, equivalently, that  $\sup_j \|\nabla u_{h_j}\|_{B, \Omega} < \infty$  (recall that  $\|\nabla u_{h_j}\|_{B, \Omega} \leq \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u_{h_j}|) dx$  for  $\|\nabla u_{h_j}\|_{B, \Omega} \geq 1$ ). For  $\nu$  and  $j$  nonnegative integers, let  $\theta_j = \nu \varepsilon_{h_j} \left[\frac{1}{\nu \varepsilon_{h_j}}\right]$  and set  $v_j = \frac{1}{\theta_j} u_{h_j}(\theta_j \cdot)$ . Observing that  $0 \leq \theta_j \leq 1$ , with  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \theta_j = 1$ , and that  $v_j(x) = 0, \forall x \notin \frac{1}{\theta_j} \overline{\Omega}$  and, taking into account that, for  $j$  large enough  $\frac{1}{\theta_j} \overline{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega'$ , one has that  $v_j \in W^1 L_0^B(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, since  $u_h \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , also  $v_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\sup_j \int_{\Omega'} B(|\nabla v_j|) dx < \infty$ , i.e.  $\sup_j \|\nabla v_j\|_{B, \Omega'} < \infty$ . Moreover, as in [14], the change of variables  $x = \theta_j x'$ , for  $j$  sufficiently large, ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_{h_j}\right) dx &= \theta_j^n \int_{\frac{1}{\theta_j} \Omega'} f\left(\frac{\theta_j x'}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla u + \nabla u_{h_j}\right) (\theta_j x') dx' \\
 (3.4) \qquad &= \theta_j^n \int_{\frac{1}{\theta_j} \Omega'} f\left(\frac{\theta_j x'}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla u(\theta_j x') + \nabla_{x'} v_j(x')\right) dx' \\
 &\geq \theta_j^n \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{\theta_j x'}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla u(\theta_j x') + \nabla_{x'} v_j(x')\right) dx'.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), it results that, for all  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ;

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2) &\leq \varepsilon (1 + b(1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)) \frac{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|}{\varepsilon} \\
 &\leq B\left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon \tilde{B}(1 + b(1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)) \\
 &\leq C_{1\varepsilon} B(|\xi_1 - \xi_2|) + \varepsilon C_2 \tilde{B}(a) + \varepsilon C_2 \tilde{B}(b(1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)) \\
 &\leq C_{1\varepsilon} B(|\xi_1 - \xi_2|) + \varepsilon C_2 \tilde{B}(a) + \varepsilon C_3 B(1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|) \\
 &\leq C_{1\varepsilon} B(|\xi_1 - \xi_2|) + \varepsilon C_2 \tilde{B}(a) + \varepsilon C_3 C_4 (B(1) + B(|\xi_1|) + B(|\xi_2|)),
 \end{aligned}$$

for suitable nonnegative constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  and  $C_4$ , and taking into account that in the second and fourth lines it has been used the duality relation between the two convex conjugate functions  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$ , in the third and the fifth ones it has been exploited the fact that both  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$  satisfy  $\Delta_2$ .

That is, (3.4) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega'} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon h}, \nabla u + \nabla u_{h_j}\right) dx &\geq \theta_j^n \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{\theta_j x'}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla_x u(x') + \nabla_{x'} v_j(x')\right) dx' \\
 (3.5) \qquad &- \theta_j^n C_{1\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla_x u(\theta_j x') - \nabla_x u(x')|) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} C_2 \tilde{B}(1) dx - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} (B(1) dx) \\
 (3.6) \qquad &- \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u + \nabla u_{h_j}|) dx - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla_x u(x') + \nabla_{x'} v_j(x')|) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $j$  large enough and for  $n_j = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\nu \varepsilon h_j} \right\rceil$ . Hence taking the limit (up to a subsequence), as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  in (3.5), in view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  and the bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu n_j x, \nabla u + \nabla v_j) dx &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu n_j x, \nabla u + \nabla v_j) dx \\
 (3.7) \qquad &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \theta_j^n \int_{\Omega} f(\nu n_j x, \nabla u + \nabla v_j) dx \\
 &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \theta_j^n \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{\theta_j x}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla u + \nabla v_j\right) dx \\
 &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega'} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon h_j}, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.7), (3.3), (3.2), the properties of  $a$  in (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu n_j x, \nabla u + \nabla v_j) dx \\ \leq & \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_{h_j}} x, \nabla u + \nabla u_h\right) dx + \mathcal{L}^N(\Omega' \setminus \Omega) \int_Y a(x) dx + M \int_{\Omega' \setminus \Omega} B(|\nabla u|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set, for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_h = \begin{cases} v_j & \text{if } h = n_j \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\{w_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $w_h \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Letting  $\Omega' \setminus \Omega$ , and taking in account that  $\mathcal{L}^N(\partial\Omega) = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla v_h) dx : \{v_h\} \subseteq W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ & \quad \left. v_h \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla w_h) dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu n_j x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla v_j) dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h} x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla u_h\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the conclusion follows by lemma 2.15 and by taking the supremum over  $\nu$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $f$  satisfy (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.3) for a given Young function  $B$  satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  condition. Then, for every  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $u \in W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_h}, \nabla u(x) + \nabla u_h(x)\right) dx : \{u_h\} \subseteq W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ & \quad \left. u_h \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f(hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To prove the lemma, we estimate from below the right-hand side of the inequality in Lemma 3.7 The regularity of  $\Omega$  allows us to extend  $u$  and any sequence  $\{v_h\} \subseteq W^1 L_0^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  converging to 0 from  $W^1 L^B(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $W^1 L^B(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with an abuse of notation, the extension of  $\{v_h\}$  outside  $\Omega$  will be still denoted by  $\{v_h\}$ . Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and define  $Y_{\nu, j} := \frac{1}{\nu}(j + Y)$  and  $J_{\nu} := \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^B : \overline{Y_{\nu, j}} \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset\}$

then  $\bigcup_{j \in J_\nu} Y_{\nu,j} \subseteq \Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}}$  and  $\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} = \Omega \cup \Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega$ . By (1.3), it results

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} a(\nu h x) dx + M \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} B(|\nabla u + \nabla v_h|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

But  $v_h = 0$  on  $\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega$  then  $\nabla v_h = 0$  on  $\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega$ ; and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} a(\nu h x) dx + M \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} B(|\nabla u|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to liminf on  $h$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \mathcal{L}^N(\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega) \int_Y a(y) dy + M \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} B(|\nabla u|) dx \end{aligned}$$

Taking in account lemma 2.15, the properties of infima, and the fact that  $Y_{\nu,j} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , from

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx : v_h \subseteq W^1 L^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. v_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla u_h) dx : u_h \subseteq u + W^1 L_0^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

we get that there exists  $\{w_{\nu,j,h}\} \subset W^1 L_0^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $w_{\nu,j,h} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^B(Y_{\nu,j}; \mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $h \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla w_{\nu,j,h}) dx \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx.$$

Fix  $j \in J_\nu, h \in \mathbb{N}$  consider  $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}$  on  $Y_{\nu,j}$ , following the same arguments as in [14] we get

$$\int_{Y_{\nu,j}} f(\nu h x, \nabla u + \nabla w_{\nu,j,h}) dx \geq \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f\left(h y, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) + \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla w_{\nu,j,h})(x, y)\right) dx dy.$$

Since  $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla w_{\nu,j,h}) = \nu \nabla_y \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(w_{\nu,j,h})$ , and that, when  $x$  varies almost everywhere in some  $Y_{\nu,j}$ , the function,  $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla w_{\nu,j,h})(x, \cdot) \in W^1 L_0^B(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it results that  $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla w_{\nu,j,h}) \in$



$L_{D_{0y}}^B(Y_{\nu,j} \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f(\nu hx, \nabla u + \nabla w_{\nu,j,h}) dx \geq \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(Y_{\nu,j} \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

Note that  $\bigcup_{j \in J_\nu} Y_{\nu,j} \cup \mathcal{N} = \Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}}$  with  $\mathcal{L}^N(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ , with the  $Y_{\nu,j} \subseteq \Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}}$  pairwise disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \inf \left\{ \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(Y_{\nu,j} \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \geq \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \geq \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu hx, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx + \mathcal{L}^N(\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega) \int_Y a(y) dy + M \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega} B(|\nabla u|) dx \\ & \geq \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(Y_{\nu,j} \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j \in J_\nu} \inf \left\{ \int_{Y_{\nu,j} \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(Y_{\nu,j} \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \geq \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}^N(\Omega_{\frac{1}{\nu}} \setminus \Omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ , by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nu hx, \nabla u + \nabla v_h) dx \\ & \geq \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x,y)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the proof will be concluded if we show the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f\left(hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)\right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & \geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f(hy, (\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x,y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking in account definition of infimum, and properties of unfolding we get the existence of a constat  $k(u)$  (depending only on  $u$ ) such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy : \right. \\ & \quad \left. V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d), \int_{\Omega \times Y} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) + \nabla_y V \right| \right) dx dy \leq k(u) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\left\| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \int_{\Omega \times Y} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) + \nabla_y V \right| \right) dx dy$  whenever  $\left\| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right\|_{L^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d)} \geq 1$ . For  $V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $\int_{\Omega \times Y} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) + \nabla_y V \right| \right) dx dy \leq k(u)$  we have, making the same type of estimates as in (3.5),:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy - C_{1\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) - \nabla u \right| \right) dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} C_2 \tilde{B}(1) dx - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} (B(1) dx) \\ & \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right| \right) dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)|) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy - C_{1\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) - \nabla u \right| \right) dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 k(u) - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)|) dx \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for  $\nu, h \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \left( hy, \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\
& \geq \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f(hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\
& \quad - C_{1\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) - \nabla u \right| \right) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} C_2 \tilde{B}(1) dx - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(1) dx \\
& \quad \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u(x)) + \nabla_y V(x, y) \right| \right) dx \\
& \quad \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B(|\nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)|) dx \\
& \geq \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times Y} f(hy, \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y V(x, y)) dx dy : V \in L_{D_{0y}}^B(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\
& \quad \geq -C_{1\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) - \nabla u \right| \right) dx - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 k(u) - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 C_5 k(u) \\
& \quad \quad - \varepsilon C_3 C_4 \int_{\Omega} B \left( \left| \nabla u(x) - \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\nu}}(\nabla u) \right| \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit first on  $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$  and as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and recalling (3.1), the proof is concluded.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It is a consequence of lemmas 2.15, 3.5, 3.8, and propositions 2.5 and 3.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Fotso Tachago is grateful to Department of Basic and Applied Science for Engineering of Sapienza - University of Rome for its kind hospitality, during the preparation of this work. He also acknowledges the support received by International Mathematical Union, through IMU grant 2024. E. Zappale acknowledges the support of the project ‘‘Mathematical Modelling of Heterogeneous Systems (MMHS)’’, financed by the European Union - Next Generation EU, CUP B53D23009360006, Project Code 2022MKB7MM, PNRR M4.C2.1.1. She is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l’Analisi Matematica, la Probabilit  e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica ‘‘F. Severi’’ (INdAM), and also acknowledges partial funding from the GNAMPA Project 2023 *Prospettive nelle scienze dei materiali: modelli variazionali, analisi asintotica e omogeneizzazione*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] G. Allaire, *Homogenization and two scale convergence*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992) 1482-1518.
- [3] M. Amar *Two-scale convergence and homogenization on  $BV(\Omega)$* , Asymptot. Anal. **16**, (1998) n.1 65-84.
- [4] M. Amar, J. Matias, M. Morandotti, E. Zappale, *Periodic homogenization in the context of structured deformations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **73**, (2022), n. 4, Paper No. 173, 30.
- [5] J.-F. Babadjian, M. Baia, P. M. Santos, *Characterization of two-scale gradient Young measures and application to homogenization*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, **57** (2007), 69-97.

- [6] M. Baia, I. Fonseca, *The limit behavior of a family of variational multiscale problems*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56**(2007), no.1, 1–50.
- [7] A. C. Barroso, J. Matias, E. Zappale, A global method for relaxation for multi-levelled structured deformations Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA **31**(4) DOI: 10.1007/s00030-024-00939-2.
- [8] B. Bianconi, *A new proof of semicontinuity by Young measures and an approximation theorem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **15** (2003), 881-898. 2008.
- [9] G. Bouchitté, I. Fonseca, G. Leoni, L. Mascarenhas, *A global method for relaxation in  $W^{1,p}$  and in  $SBV_p$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **165**, (2002), 187–242.
- [10] A. Braides, L. D’Elia, *Homogenization of discrete thin structures*, Nonlinear Anal., **231**, (2023), Paper No. 112951, 27.
- [11] M. Chmara, J. Maksymiuk, *Anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of vector valued functions and Lagrange equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **456**, (2017), 457-475.
- [12] F. Cagnetti, G. Dal Maso, L. Scardia, c. I. Zeppieri, *Stochastic homogenisation of free-discontinuity problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **233**, (2019), n.2 935–974.
- [13] F. Cagnetti, G. Dal Maso, L. Scardia, C. I. Zeppieri, *A global method for deterministic and stochastic homogenisation in BV*, Ann. PDE, **8**, (2022), n. 1 Paper No. 8, 89.
- [14] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, R. De Arcangelis, *Homogenization of quasiconvex integrals via the periodic unfolding method*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **37** (2006), n.5, 1435-1453. (2002),
- [15] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [16] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, and G. Griso, *Periodic unfolding and homogenization*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **335**, (2002), n. 1, 99-104.
- [17] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, A. and G. Griso, *The periodic unfolding method in homogenization*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **40**, (2008), n. 4, 1585-1620.
- [18] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, and G. Griso, *The periodic unfolding method in homogenization : Multiple scales problems in biomathematics, mechanics, physics and numerics*, GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl., **31**, (2009) 1-35.
- [19] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, G. Griso, *The periodic unfolding method : Theory and applications to partial differential problems*, Series in Contemporary Mathematics, **3**, Springer, Singapore, (2018), xv+513.
- [20] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer, (2008) xii+621.
- [21] G. Dal Maso *An introduction to  $\Gamma$ -convergence*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, Birkhäuser, Springer, (1992), xiv+341.
- [22] E. Davoli, L. D’Elia, J. Ingmanns, *Stochastic homogenization of micromagnetic energies and emergence of magnetic skyrmions*, J. Nonlinear Sci., **34**, (2024), n.2, Paper No. 30, 42,
- [23] R. Ferreira, I. Fonseca, *Characterization of the multiscale limit associated with bounded sequences in BV*, J. Convex Anal., **19**, (2012) n. 2, 403–452.
- [24] R. Ferreira, I. Fonseca, *Reiterated homogenization in BV via multiscale convergence* SIAM J. Math. Anal., **44**, (2012), n.3, 2053–2098.
- [25] Ferreira, D. Gomes, X. Yang, *Two-scale homogenization of a stationary mean-field game*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., **26**, (2020), Paper No. 17, 45.
- [26] R. Ferreira, I. Fonseca, R. Venkatraman, *Homogenization of quasi-crystalline functionals via two-scale-cut-and-project convergence*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **53** (2021), no.2, 1785–1817.
- [27] R. Ferreira, I. Fonseca, R. Venkatraman, *Variational homogenization: old and new*, ICM—International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. 5. Sections 9–11, 3724–3755, EMS Press, Berlin, 2023.
- [28] M. Focardi, *Semicontinuity of vectorial functionals in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, Vol. XXIX, 141-161 (1997).
- [29] I. Fonseca, E. Zappale, *Multiscale Relaxation of Convex Functionals*, Journal of Convex Analysis Volume 10 (2003), No. 2, 325-350
- [30] J. Fotso Tachago, H. Nnang, *Two-scale convergence of Integral functionals with convex, periodic and Nonstandard Growth Integrands*, Acta Appl. Maths (2012) 121: 175-196
- [31] J. Fotso Tachago, G. Gargiulo, H. Nnang, E. Zappale, *Some convergence results on the periodic unfolding operator in Orlicz setting*, IMSE, (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-340996-4-29>.
- [32] J. Fotso Tachago, H. Nnang, E. Zappale, *Relaxation of periodic and nonstandard growth integrals by means of two-scale convergence*, Integral methods in science and engineering, (2019), Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 123-131.

- [33] J. Fotso Tachago, H. Nnang, E. Zappale, *Reiterated periodic homogenization of integral functionals with convex and nonstandard growth integrands*, *Opuscula Mathematica*, **41**, n.1, (2021), 113-143.
- [34] J. Fotso Tachago, G. Gargiulo, H. Nnang, E. Zappale, *Multiscale homogenization of integral convex functionals in Orlicz Sobolev setting*, *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*, **10**, n. 2, (2021), 297-320.
- [35] J. Fotso Tachago, H. Nnang, F. Tchinda, E. Zappale, *(Two-scale)  $W^1L^B$ -gradient Young measures and homogenization of integral functionals in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*
- [36] R.Kenne Bogning, H.Nnang, *Periodic homogenisation of parabolic nonstandard monotone operators*, *Acta Appl. Math.* DOI: 10.1007/s10440-012-9788-x, **125** (2013), 209-229.
- [37] P.A.Kozarzewski, E. Zappale: *Orlicz equi-integrability for Scaled gradients. Journal of elliptic and Parabolic equations*, DOI 10.1007/S41808-017-0001-2
- [38] P.A.Kozarzewski, E. Zappale: *A note on Optimal design for thin structures in the Orlicz-Sobolev setting*, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-59384-5-14
- [39] W. Lawsosky H. T. Nguyen , *Effective energy integral for thin films in the Orlicz-Sobolev setting*, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2013-0468>
- [40] D. Lukkassen, G. Nguetseng, P. Wall, *Two-scale convergence*, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **2** N. 1, (2002), 33-81.
- [41] D. Lukkassen, G. Nguetseng, H. Nnang, P. Wall, *Reiterated homogenization of nonlinear monotone operators in a general deterministic setting*, *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications* Volume 7, Number 2 (2009), 121-152
- [42] G. Mingione, D. Mucci, *Integral functionals and the gap problem: sharp bounds for relaxation and energy concentration*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **36**, (2005), n. 5 1540–1579.
- [43] G. Nguetseng, *A general convergent result for functional related to the theory of homogenization*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 20(1989), 608-623.
- [44] G. Nguetseng, H. Nnang: *Homogenization of nonlinear monotone operators beyond the periodic setting*. *Electron. J.Diff. Equ.*, (2003), 1-24.
- [45] V. Raveendran, I. de Bonis, E. N.M. Cirillo, A. Muntean *Homogenization of a reaction-diffusion problem with large nonlinear drift and Robin boundary data*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.04567>.
- [46] A. Visintin, *Towards a two-scale calculus*, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **12**, (2006), n. 3, 371–397.

*Current address:* ‡University of Bamenda, Higher Teachers Training College, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 39, Bambili, Cameroon

*Email address:* fotsotachago@yahoo.fr

*Current address:* †Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie (DST) University of Sannio, Via dei Mulini (CUBO), Benevento, 82100, Italy

*Email address:* ggargiul@unisannio.it

*Current address:* ‡ University of Yaounde I, École Normale Supérieure de Yaoundé, P.O. Box 47 Yaounde, Cameroon.

*Email address:* hnnang@uy1.uninet.cm

*Current address:* # Dipartimento di Scienze di Base ed Applicate per l'Ingegneria, Sapienza-Università di Roma, Via A. Scarpa, 16, 00161, Rome, Italy

*Email address:* elvira.zappale@uniroma1.it