

# Time transformation between the solar system barycenter and the surfaces of the Earth and Moon

Slava G. Turyshev, James G. Williams, Dale H. Boggs, and Ryan S. Park  
*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-0899, USA*

(Dated: June 25, 2024)

The transformation of time between the surface of the Earth, the solar system barycenter, and the surface of the Moon involves relativistic corrections. For solar system Barycentric Dynamical Time (TDB), we also require that there be no rate difference between Terrestrial Time (TT) and TDB. The IAU has addressed these transformations with several resolutions. A series of robotic and crewed landings on the Moon are planned. The analogous transformation between TDB and time on the surface of the Moon (TL) needs a review and discussion. In this paper, we compute the rate terms involved in that transformation. We also present the TDB-compatible spatial scale and Lorentz contraction of Moon-centered positional coordinates. These transformations have been implemented in the JPL programs used to generate ephemerides of the Moon and planets. Finally, we provide expressions that can be used to synchronize TT and TL using either TDB or TT. The relevant transformations contain a small secular drift between the two time scales, along with additional small periodic terms that can be numerically evaluated using the solar system ephemerides.

## I. INTRODUCTION

As our lunar presence expands, synchronizing an extensive network of assets on the Moon and in cislunar space with Earth-based systems will become increasingly challenging. To address this, it is essential to establish a common system time for all lunar assets. This system would account for relativistic effects due to differing gravitational and motion conditions, ensuring precise and efficient operations across cislunar space.

The adoption of a dedicated lunar time and a Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS) is fundamental to the success of lunar exploration. These elements will ensure precise positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT), thus facilitating scientific discovery, efficient resource utilization, and the expansion of human presence on the Moon.

Although relativistic time transformations between the Solar System Barycentric (SSB) coordinate reference frame and the surface of the Earth are familiar (Chapter 10 of [1]), an analogous transformation for the surface of the Moon has not been established. In particular, the constants that describe the behavior of the two time scales as time progresses are needed. This paper presents a transformation and the associated constants.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the time and position transformations considering Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets other than Earth. In Section III we develop similar transformation for the Moon. Section IV is about implementation. Section V summarizes the paper. Appendix A provides details on the influence of the planets. In Appendix B, we present the pertinent IAU Resolutions that address the relevant relativistic modeling.

## II. TIME AND POSITION TRANSFORMATIONS FOR THE EARTH

There is a history of papers about relativistic time transformations for the Earth [2–10]. These have resulted in and been related to a series of International Astronomical Union (IAU) Resolutions [11]. There is a variety of time scales related to different locations (see the IAU Resolutions in the Appendix and Chapter 10 of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) Conventions [1]). For the Earth, we want to get from International Atomic Time (TAI) or Terrestrial Time (TT) to Barycentric Dynamical Time (TDB). Times TAI and TT are appropriate for the Earth’s surface on the geoid. Time TDB corresponds to the SSB frame. (See Table I for a list of abbreviations used in this paper.) The TDB runs at the same average rate as TT or TAI, but there are differences that can be represented with a series of periodic terms including a large annual term with an amplitude of 1.55 milli-second (ms).

The chain of time transformations is TT → Geocentric Coordinate Time (TCG) in the Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS) → Barycentric Coordinate Time (TCB) in the Barycentric Celestial Reference System (BCRS) → TDB in the SSB frame. The constant rates for the transformations of this chain are  $L_G/(1 - L_G)$ ,  $L_C/(1 - L_B)$ , and  $-L_B$ . The sum of the three is zero giving  $L_C/(1 - L_B) = L_B - L_G/(1 - L_G)$ , so  $L_C = L_B(1 - L_B) - L_G(1 - L_B)/(1 - L_G) \approx L_B - L_G$ .

Ultimately, to this chain we want to add TDB → TCB → Luni-centric Coordinate Time (TCL) in the Luni-centric Celestial Reference System (LCRS) → Lunar Time (TL) on the surface of the Moon, all with appropriate rates for the transformations. Before, we do that, in this Section we review the time transformations developed for the Earth.

TABLE I: List of abbreviations used in the paper.

BCRS	Barycentric Celestial Reference System
SSB	Solar System Barycentric
TCB	Barycentric Coordinate Time
TDB	Barycentric Dynamical Time
GCRS	Geocentric Celestial Reference System
TCG	Geocentric Coordinate Time
TCRS	Topocentric Coordinate Reference System
TT	Terrestrial Time (on the surface of the Earth)
LCRS	Luni-centric Celestial Reference System
TCL	Luni-centric Coordinate Time
LSCRS	Lunar Surface Coordinate Reference System
TL	Lunar Time (on the surface of the Moon)
IAU	International Astronomical Union
TAI	International Atomic Time
ICRS	International Celestial Reference System
JD	Julian Day
MJD	Modified Julian Day

### A. BCRS and GCRS, as defined by the IAU

The IAU 2000 Resolutions [11] have presented relativistic formulation for the BCRS and the GCRS that ensures an uncertainty of no greater than  $5 \times 10^{-18}$  in time rate and 0.2 ps in time transfer for locations beyond a few solar radii from the Sun [12]. However, for the near-term lunar applications we will not need such an exquisite precision. In fact, modeling uncertainties of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$  in time rate and  $\sim 10$  ps for time transfer will be sufficient. Therefore, below, we will refer to these accuracy assumptions that will be used to develop the relevant expressions.

According to the IAU 2000 Resolutions [11, 12], the metric tensor of the BCRS with coordinates  $(t = \text{TCB}, \mathbf{x})$  may be given in the following form accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ , sufficient for our purposes:

$$ds_{\text{BCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B}} U_{\text{B}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 dt^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B}} U_{\text{B}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $U_{\text{B}}$  is the Newtonian potential of a body B and summation is over all the solar system bodies. The omitted  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  terms in this expression, when evaluated at the Earth, contribute up to  $\sim 9.74 \times 10^{-17}$ , too small to consider.

Similarly, the GCRS with coordinates  $(T = \text{TCG}, \mathbf{X})$  is described by a metric that

$$ds_{\text{GCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \left( U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 dT^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \left( U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\mathbf{X}^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X})$  is the Newtonian gravitational potential of the isolated Earth and  $U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X})$  is the tidal potential produced by all other solar system bodies (excluding Earth), both evaluated at the origin of the GCRS. The omitted terms are due to  $U_{\text{E}}^2$  that may contribute up to  $\sim 8.43 \times 10^{-19}$ , negligible for our purposes.

Finally, the IAU 2000 Resolutions (see [12]) also provided the time and position transformations between the GCRS  $(T = \text{TCG}, \mathbf{X})$  and the BCRS  $(t = \text{TCB}, \mathbf{x})$  that, to sufficient accuracy, may be given as follows:

$$T = t - c^{-2} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{1}{2} v_{\text{E}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{E}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16})(t - t_0), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \left( 1 + c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{E}} U_{\text{B}} \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{E}}(t)$  with  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{E}}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} = d\mathbf{x}_{\text{E}}/dt$  being the Earth's position and velocity vectors in the BCRS. The uncertainty in (3) came from the omitted  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  terms that behave  $\sim c^{-4} (GM_{\odot}/r_{\text{E}})^2 \simeq 1.10 \times 10^{-16}$ . Similarly, the uncertainty in (4) is set by the omitted acceleration-depended terms that on the Earth's surface may contribute up to  $c^{-2} ((\mathbf{a}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} - \frac{1}{2} r_{\text{E}}^2 \mathbf{a}_{\text{E}}) \simeq 1.34 \times 10^{-6}$  m. Expressions (3)–(4) will be used to develop TCG to TCB time scales.

### B. Relativistic time scales

We first consider the relationship between TT and TCG. Time TT was defined by IAU Resolution A4 (1991) [13] as: a time scale differing from TCG by a constant rate, with the unit of measurement of TT chosen so that it matches the

SI second on the geoid. According to the transformation between proper and coordinate time from (2) that yields  $d\text{TT} = (1 - c^{-2}(U_E + U_{\text{tid}} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{X}^2) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}))d\text{TCG}$ , this constant rate is expressed as

$$\frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCG}} = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2}W_g = 1 - L_G, \quad (5)$$

where  $W_g$  is the combined gravitational and rotational potential on the geoid, determined as  $W_g = (62636856.0 \pm 0.5) \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$  [14]. The IAU value for  $L_G$  is  $6.969\,290\,134 \times 10^{-10} \approx 60.2$  microseconds/day ( $\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$ ), a defining constant as set by IAU 2000 Resolution B1.9, Table 1.1 in [1]. It can be calculated based on the potential at the geoid, specifically at the equator, and the kinetic energy due to Earth's rotation:

$$L_G \equiv \frac{1}{c^2}W_g \simeq \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{GM_E}{R_E} (1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2) + \frac{1}{2}R_E^2\omega_E^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (6)$$

where  $GM_E = 398600.44 \text{ km}^3/\text{s}^2$  and the equatorial radius of the Earth is  $R_E = 6378.1366 \text{ km}$ . The un-normalized zonal harmonic coefficient  $J_2$  is  $1.0826359 \times 10^{-3}$ , as listed in Table 1.1 of [1], and the rotation rate  $\omega_E$  is  $1.0027379 \text{ rev}/\text{day}$ . The speed of light,  $c$ , is defined as  $299792.458 \text{ km}/\text{s}$  according to [15]. Our computed value of  $L_G$  is  $6.96928392 \times 10^{-10}$ , or  $-0.6 \times 10^{-15}$  (about  $-0.0001\%$ ) different from the IAU value (see Table II). Note that the IAU value was computed using the  $W_g$  from [14], while in our case, the solar system ephemerides [16] were used.

The tidal terms from (2) may contribute to  $L_G$  only at the levels of approximately  $4.90 \times 10^{-17}$  for the Moon and  $1.79 \times 10^{-17}$  for the Sun [17], which is beyond the stated accuracy limit. Thus, they were omitted in (6).

Note that the definition of TT is accurate only to about  $10^{-17}$  [11]. The intricacy and temporal variability associated with the definition and realization of the geoid contribute to uncertainties in defining and realizing TT.

The conversion from TT on the Earth's surface to Geocentric Coordinate Time (TCG) involves a rate change (5)

$$\frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TT}} = \frac{1}{1 - L_G} = 1 + \frac{L_G}{1 - L_G}, \quad (7)$$

which may be used to introduce the following relationship between TCG and TT, starting at time  $T_0$ :

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_G}{1 - L_G}(\text{TT} - T_0). \quad (8)$$

According to the IAU Resolution B1.9 (2000),  $L_G$ , previously dependent on the geoid model as per IAU (1991) resolutions, is no longer considered variable. Furthermore, the scaling of spatial coordinates and mass factors is designed to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion in the GCRS, applicable to the Moon's or Earth's artificial satellites, during the transformation from TCG to TT. This transformation, which includes the scaling of temporal and spatial coordinates and mass factors, ensures the invariance of the metric (up to a constant factor)

$$(ds^2)_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)^2 ds_{\text{TCG}}^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $(ds^2)_{\text{TT}}$  maintains the same form in terms of TT,  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}}$ , and  $(GM)_{\text{TT}}$  as (2) does in terms of  $T$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $(GM)_{\text{TCG}}$ .

As a result, instead of coordinate time  $T = \text{TCG}$ , spatial coordinates  $\mathbf{X}$  and mass factors  $(GM)_{\text{TCG}}$  related to GCRS, IERS Standards (1992) use for the Earth's Topocentric Coordinate Reference System (TCRS) the following scaling of relevant quantities

$$\text{TT} = \text{TCG} - L_G(\text{TCG} - T_0), \quad \mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)\mathbf{X}_{\text{TCG}}, \quad (GM)_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)(GM)_{\text{TCG}}. \quad (10)$$

Another constant,  $L_C$ , removes the constant rate between TCG and TCB given by (3). It is determined as the long time average of the rate computed from the inverse to transformation (3) given as below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int \left( \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SE}}) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{SE}}$  is the vector from the center of the Earth to a surface site. Also, the subscript  $\{\dots\}_{\text{TCB}}$  is used to identify a TCB-compatible quantity. Similar notations will be used below to highlight the use of other relevant time scales.

Although the integral in (11) may be calculated by a numerical integration [5, 6], there are analytic formulations available [4, 18]. For that, expression for the the total Earth's energy of its orbital motion may be given as below:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) = L_C + \dot{P}(t) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right\rangle = L_C, \quad (12)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the long time average. Also, the constant  $L_C = 1.48082686741 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.28$  ms/d (Table 1.1 in [1]) results from the long-time averaging of Earth's total orbital energy, and  $P(t)$  represents a series of periodic terms with parameters specified, for example, in Refs. [4, 6]. Note that the largest term in the  $P$ -series has the amplitude of  $A_1 = 1656.674564 \times 10^{-6}$  s and the frequency of  $\omega_1 = 6283.079543033/(10^3 \text{ yr}) \simeq 1.9923515 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [1, 4, 18].

As a result, equation (11) may be used to determine mean rate between TCG and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = 1 - L_C. \quad (13)$$

Similar to (5), we can formally relate TT and TCB. Using (1), one may derive the transformation between proper time on the surface of the Earth and the relevant coordinate time, as was done in (5). Then, the constant resulting from this,  $L_B$ , would be a long-term average of the total potential and kinetic energy at the clock's location, yielding the following definition for  $L_B$  as the constant rate between TT and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = 1 - L_B. \quad (14)$$

However, it would be difficult to implement such definition in practice as planetary contributions are changing with time (see discussion in Sec. A). Table II summarizes various constants in the rate equations for Earth and Moon. To get the best match between the IAU value of  $L_B$  and the value computed here we used

$$L_B = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ GM_S \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{SE}} \right\rangle + GM_M \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle + \langle U_P \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 \right\rangle + \frac{GM_E}{R_E} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} J_{2E} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_E^2 \omega_E^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes a time average,  $\langle U_P \rangle$  is the sum of the potentials of the planets other than Earth, presented in Appendix A. Even then the difference between the IAU value and the value computed here is  $3 \times 10^{-15}$ , larger than the uncertainty implied by the number of digits given by [1]. The difference amounts to 1  $\mu\text{s}$  after 10 yr.

The values in Table II calculated in this paper are given to  $10^{-15}$ , which would be 0.3  $\mu\text{s}$  after 10 yr. The GM values for the Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets were taken from DE440 [16]. These will not exactly match the values used for the IAU value of  $L_B$ . The equatorial radius of the Earth was set at  $R_E = 6378.1366$  km [1].

While keeping (14), the IAU 2000 Resolution B1.5, specifies the following relation between the constants  $L_B$ ,  $L_G$ , and  $L_C$  that may be used to determine  $L_B$ :

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = \left( \frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCG}} \right) \left\langle \frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - L_B = (1 - L_G)(1 - L_C), \quad (16)$$

where  $L_B$  is determined as  $L_B = L_G + L_C - L_G L_C = 1.550\,519\,767\,72 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.34$  ms/d.

The scaling of spatial coordinates and mass factors in is implemented to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion of the solar system bodies during the transformation from TCB to TDB. This transformation also involves the following relationship:

$$(ds^2)_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)^2 ds_{\text{TCB}}^2, \quad (17)$$

where  $(ds^2)_{\text{TDB}}$  keeps the same form in terms of TDB,  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{TDB}}$ , and  $(GM)_{\text{TDB}}$  as (2) does in terms of  $t$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $GM$ . Thus, the BCRS of IAU (1991, 2000) resolutions and the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) differ primarily by the introduction of the  $L_B$  factor.

As a result, TDB is a timescale rescaled from TCB, as defined by IAU 2006 Resolution B3 and IAU 2009 Resolution 3 [1, 19], given by the following set of expressions:

$$\text{TDB} = \text{TCB} - L_B(\text{TCB} - T_0) + \text{TDB}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)\mathbf{x}_{\text{TCB}}, \quad (GM)_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)(GM)_{\text{TCB}}, \quad (18)$$

where  $L_B = 1.550\,519\,767\,72 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $T_0(\text{MJD}) = \text{MJD}43144 + 32.4$  s, and  $\text{TDB}_0 = -65.5$   $\mu\text{s}$  are defining constants, equivalent to those used in JPL planetary ephemerides. This definition ensures that TDB maintains the same rate as TT. The constant term  $\text{TDB}_0$  is selected to ensure reasonable consistency with the widely used  $(\text{TDB} - \text{TT})$  formula from [4]. Note that the inclusion of  $\text{TDB}_0$  implies that TDB is not synchronized with TT, TCG, and TCB as of 1 January 1977 at 0h 32.184s TAI at the geocenter. For more details, see <http://www.iauufs.org/res.html>.

### C. Transformation TAI $\rightarrow$ TDB

On the Earth's surface, the transformation from International Atomic Time (TAI) to Terrestrial Time (TT) has a constant offset:

$$\text{TT} = \text{TAI} + 32.184 \text{ s}. \quad (19)$$

TABLE II: Constant terms for Earth  $d\text{TDB}/d\text{TAI}$  and Moon  $d\text{TDB}/d\text{TL}$ .

Parameter	Value, $10^{-8}$
$U_{\text{ES}}/c^2$	0.9870628
$U_{\text{EM}}/c^2$	0.0000142
$U_{\text{BP}}/c^2$	0.0002197
$U_{\text{EG}}/c^2$ , IAU	0.069692901
$U_{\text{EG}}/c^2$ , this paper	0.0696928
$v_{\text{E}}^2/2c^2$	0.4935299
$L_{\text{B}}$ , IAU	1.55051976772
$L_{\text{B}}$ this paper	1.55051946271
$L_{\text{B}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})$ IAU	1.55051979176
$L_{\text{B}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})$ , this paper	1.55051948675
$L_{\text{G}}$ , IAU	0.06969290134
$L_{\text{G}}$ , this paper	0.0696928
$L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{G}}$ , IAU	1.48082686638
$L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{G}}$ , this paper	1.4808267
$L_{\text{C}}$ , IAU	1.48082686741
$L_{\text{C}}$ , this paper	1.4808267
$U_{\text{MS}}/c^2$	0.9870647
$U_{\text{ME}}/c^2$	0.0011538
$U_{\text{BP}}/c^2$	0.0002197
$U_{\text{MG}}/c^2$	0.0031403
$v_{\text{M}}^2/2c^2$	0.4941002
$L_{\text{L}}$	0.003139054
$L_{\text{M}}$	1.547379175
$L_{\text{M}}$ (use IAU $L_{\text{B}}$ )	1.547379480
$L_{\text{H}}$	1.544240

Consider the three transformations for  $\text{TT} \rightarrow \text{TDB}$ . Transformations  $\text{TT} \rightarrow \text{TCG}$  and  $\text{TCB} \rightarrow \text{TDB}$  are linear in time. In the following we closely follow Chapter 10 of [1]. For  $\text{TT} \rightarrow \text{TCG}$ , from (8), we have (see also Eq. (10.1)) in Ref. [1])

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_{\text{G}}}{1 - L_{\text{G}}} \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 32.4 \text{ s} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where  $T_0$  is  $T_0(\text{MJD}) = \text{MJD} 43144.0 + 32.4 \text{ s}$ . With (19) that relates  $\text{TT}$  and  $\text{TAI}$ , result (20) is equivalent to

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_{\text{G}}}{1 - L_{\text{G}}} \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 0.216 \text{ s} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

To establish relationships between  $\text{TCB}$  and  $\text{TCG}$ , we transform (11) using results (12) and (14) and integrate it over  $\text{TT}$  from  $T_0$  to  $\text{TT}$  to present it as below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}}(\text{TT} - T_0) + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SE}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (22)$$

which comprises a secular term  $\propto L_{\text{C}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})\text{TT}$  and a series of periodic terms  $P(\text{TT})$ , as specified in the IERS Technical Note 36 [1], see also [4, 18]. Using (22), we express in the following practical form (see Eq. (10.5) in [1])

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}} \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SE}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (23)$$

which is equivalent to the hybrid (with introducing modified time  $T_{00} = 43144.0(\text{TAI}) + 0.216 \text{ s}$ )

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}} \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - T_{00} \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SE}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (24)$$

For the transformation  $\text{TCB} \rightarrow \text{TDB}$ , IAU 2006 Resolution B3 and IAU 2009 Resolution 3 [1, 19], as given by the first expression in (18). Then, for the accepted time convention, we have

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TCB} = -L_{\text{B}} \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TCB}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} \right\} - 65.5 \mu\text{s}. \quad (25)$$

It is informative to establish relationships between TDB and TT. For that purpose, we use result (22) together with (7), (16) to derive:

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TT} = \text{TDB}_0 + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (26)$$

Similarly, using the integral form of equation (11), results in

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TT} = \text{TDB}_0 - L_C(\text{TT} - T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int_{T_0}^{\text{TT}} \left( \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\}_{\text{TT}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (27)$$

As one can see from (26), introduction of TDB in the form of (18) eliminates the rate difference between TDB and TT, leaving only small periodic terms. Thus, in (18), the TBC has been rescaled to become TDB that has the same secular rate as TT. The time TDB is used in the planetary and satellite ephemerides published by the JPL [16].

#### D. Transformation TDB $\rightarrow$ TAI

First, we want the transformation TDB  $\rightarrow$  TCB. We want to convert MJD(TCB) first to MJD(TDB) and then to MJD(TAI). Time MJD(TCB) has a larger rate than MJD(TDB), so MJD(TDB) needs a factor of  $1/(1 - L_B)$ . Then we convert to MJD(TAI)

$$\text{TDB} = \text{TCB} - \frac{L_B}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0(\text{TAI}) \right] 86400 - 0.216 \text{ s} - 65.5 \mu\text{s} \right\}. \quad (28)$$

The IAU value of  $L_B/(1 - L_B)$  is  $1.55051979176 \times 10^{-8}$ . Consequently, we write

$$\text{TCB} = \text{TDB} + \frac{L_B}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0(\text{TAI}) \right] 86400 - 0.216 \text{ s} - 65.5 \mu\text{s} \right\}. \quad (29)$$

The second linear transformation is TCG  $\rightarrow$  TT. It reverses the direction of Eqs. (20) and (21)

$$\text{TT} = \text{TCG} - L_G \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TCG}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 32.4 \text{ s} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

The transformation from TT to TAI is simply a reversal of equation (19)

$$\text{TAI} = \text{TT} - 32.184 \text{ s}. \quad (31)$$

We want to reverse the direction of Eqs. (11) to get TCG from TCB

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int \left( \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (32)$$

which yields

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{1 - L_B} \left\{ L_C \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (33)$$

and the hybrid

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{1 - L_B} \left\{ L_C \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - T_{00} \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (34)$$

Similar to (26), we establish relationships between TT and TDB, expressing the results as a function of TDB. For that, we use (7), (16) and (32) to derive:

$$\text{TT} - \text{TDB} = -\text{TDB}_0 - \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ P(\text{TDB}) - P(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (35)$$

If the integral form of (32) is used instead, we have the following result that expresses TT via TDB (inverse to (27))

$$\text{TT} - \text{TDB} = \frac{L_B - L_G}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - T_0) - \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ \text{TDB}_0 + \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left( \frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (36)$$

As evident, there is a rate difference between TT and TDB. As seen from (36), this rate is given by the combination of the constants  $(L_B - L_G)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 1.28 \text{ ms/d}$  or by the constant  $-L_C$  in (27) which has a similar magnitude. This rate may be removed by using the constant  $L_C$ , leaving only small periodic terms  $\propto P(t)$ , as shown in (26) and (35).

## E. Position transformations

The classical relativistic time transformation would include a mean rate. When we remove the mean rate, we rescale the three space coordinates as in (10) and (18). The other spatial effect is the Lorentz contraction along the direction of motion. Here, we briefly address these two subjects (more relevant material is available, e.g., [12, 20]).

To discuss position transformation, we consider  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{TGG}}$  to be a position vector of a site on the surface of the Earth as it is observed in the GCRS and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{ETCB}}$  being the same vector but as seen from the BCRS. We need to express relationship between  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{TGG}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{ETCB}}$  in terms of the TT and TDB timescales. For that, we use (10) to express the  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{TGG}}$  via TT and (18) to express  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{ETCB}}$  via  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{ETDB}}$ . Then, taking into account  $(1 - L_B) = (1 - L_G)(1 - L_C)$ , from (16), we have:

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = \left(1 + L_C + c^{-2} \sum_{B \neq E} U_B\right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{ETDB}} + c^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \mathbf{v}_E \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (37)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_E \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_E(t)$  and, similar to (4), the error here is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms.

At the stated level of accuracy, developing an inverse transformation to that of (37) is straightforward. To achieve this, one simply needs to move the  $c^{-2}$ -terms to the other side of the equation with the appropriate sign changes.

The first group of terms in (37) amounts to a scale change. The constant  $L_C + c^{-2} \langle \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \rangle$  is  $(1.48083 + 0.98728) \times 10^{-8} = 2.46811 \times 10^{-8}$  (Table II). For the mean radius of the Earth, this contribution amounts to 15.72 cm. The annual variation is  $\pm 1.65 \times 10^{-10}$ , or  $\pm 1.05$  mm for the radius of the Earth. Then, to a good approximation

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = \left(1 + 2.46811 \times 10^{-8} + 1.65 \times 10^{-10} \cos l'\right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{ETDB}} + c^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \mathbf{v}_E \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (38)$$

where the  $\cos l'$  term accounts for the eccentricity of the annual Earth-Moon barycentric motion.

The last term in (38) is the Lorentz contraction due to Earth's orbital motion with respect to the BCRS. For a site on Earth's surface, the Lorentz contraction is 31.4 mm. Along the direction of motion, the combined effect of scale change and the Lorentz contraction is 188 mm, while perpendicular to the direction of motion, the scale change is 157 mm.

Results (35), (36) and (37) are relevant to most of the current practical applications. The inverse transformations to (37) are obtained by moving the  $c^{-2}$ -terms on the other side of the expression with appropriate sign changes. In Section III below, we will develop similar expressions for applications in cislunar space.

## III. TIME AND POSITION TRANSFORMATIONS FOR THE MOON

### A. Defining the LCRS

Given the fact that the BCRS (1) is a common reference system for the solar system, to define the Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS), we will use the same approach as we used to define GCRS (see Sec. II A.) Accordingly, similar to the structure of the GCRS metric (2), the LCRS with coordinates ( $\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$ ) is defined by the metric that may be given in the form, as below:

$$ds_{\text{LCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \left( U_M(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 d\mathcal{T}^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \left( U_M(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\mathcal{X}^2, \quad (39)$$

where  $U_M(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$  is the Newtonian gravitational potential of the isolated Moon and  $U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$  is the tidal potential produced by all other solar system bodies (excluding Moon), both evaluated at the origin of the LCRS. The omitted terms are due to  $U_M^2$  that may contribute up to  $\sim 8.43 \times 10^{-19}$ , negligible for our purposes.

Finally, similar to the coordinate transformations between GCRS and BCRS from (3)–(4), the time and position transformations between the LCRS ( $\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$ ) and the BCRS ( $t = \text{TCB}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ ) that may be given as follows:

$$\mathcal{T} = t - c^{-2} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16})(t - t_0), \quad (40)$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \left( 1 + c^{-2} \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) \mathbf{r}_M + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \mathbf{v}_M + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (41)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_M \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_M(t)$  with  $\mathbf{x}_M$  and  $\mathbf{v}_M = d\mathbf{x}_M/dt$  being the Moon's position and velocity vectors in the BCRS. The uncertainty in (40) came from the omitted  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  terms that behave  $\sim c^{-4} (GM_\odot/r_E)^2 \simeq 1.10 \times 10^{-16}$ . The uncertainty in (41) is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms that on the lunar surface may contribute up to

$c^{-2}((\mathbf{a}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M)\mathbf{r}_M - \frac{1}{2}r_M^2\mathbf{a}_M) \simeq 9.97 \times 10^{-8}$  m. The inverse transformations to (40)–(41) are obtained by moving the  $c^{-2}$ -terms on the other side of the equations with appropriate sign changes.

Below, we will use (39) and (40)–(41) to establish the new relativistic time scales for the Moon.

### B. Transformation TL $\leftrightarrow$ TDB

Similar to (5), the time transformation from the Lunar Time (TL) at the Moon’s surface to Luni-centric Coordinate Time (TCL) involves a rate change

$$\frac{dTCL}{dTL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_L} = 1 + \frac{L_L}{1 - L_L} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dTL}{dTCL} = 1 - L_L. \quad (42)$$

For the Moon, constant  $L_L$  comes from the lunar gravity and spin. It is analogous to  $L_G$  from (6)

$$L_L = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{GM_M}{R_{Mq}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}J_{2M} \right) + \frac{1}{2}R_{Mq}^2\omega_M^2 \right\}. \quad (43)$$

The adopted lunar reference radius for gravity is  $R_{Mq} = 1738.0$  km, which is larger than the mean radius of  $R_M = 1737.1513$  km [21], the lunar gravitational constant  $GM_M = 4902.800118 \text{ km}^3/\text{s}^2$  (DE440, [16]), gravity harmonic  $J_{2M}$  is  $2.033 \times 10^{-4}$  [22], and  $\omega_M = 2\pi/(27.32166 \text{ d} \times 86400 \text{ s/d}) = 2.6616996 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The value of  $L_L$ , with the larger radius,  $R_{Mq}$ , is then estimated to be  $L_L = 3.139054 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 2.71 \mu\text{s/d}$ .

The constant  $L_L$  allows us to establish the scaling of coordinates and mass factors to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion in the LCRS, for the transformation from TCL to TL. Similarly to (9), this transformation, which includes the scaling of temporal and spatial coordinates and mass factors, ensures the invariance of the metric (up to a constant factor) and has the form:

$$(ds^2)_{TL} = (1 - L_L)^2 ds_{TCL}^2, \quad (44)$$

where  $(ds^2)_{TL}$  maintains the same form in terms of TL,  $\mathcal{X}_{TL}$ , and  $(GM)_{TL}$  as (39) does in terms of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $(GM)_{TCL}$ .

As a result, instead of using coordinate time  $\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}$ , spatial coordinates  $\mathcal{X}$ , and mass factors  $(GM)_{TCL}$  related to the (LCRS), we will use the following scaling for the relevant quantities in the Lunar Surface Coordinate Reference System (LSCRS):

$$\text{TL} = \text{TCL} - L_L(\text{TCL} - \text{T}_{L0}), \quad \mathcal{X}_{TL} = (1 - L_L)\mathcal{X}_{TCL}, \quad (GM)_{TL} = (1 - L_L)(GM)_{TCL}, \quad (45)$$

where  $\text{T}_{L0}$  is the initial lunar time, which, for now, we will use unspecified. Consequently, similar to (30), we have

$$\text{TL} = \text{TCL} - L_L \left\{ \left[ \text{MJD}(\text{TCL}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - \text{T}_{L0} \right\}. \quad (46)$$

The transformation from TCL to TCB is analogous to Eq. (11) and from (40) is determined to be

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCL} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int \left( \frac{1}{2}v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (47)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_M$  is the solar system barycentric velocity vector of the Moon,  $v_M$  is its scalar,  $U_M$  is the external potential at the Moon’s center, and  $\mathbf{r}_{SM}$  is the vector from the center of the Moon to the surface site. The potential and kinetic energy use the Moon centered reference frame. The dot product annually reaches  $\pm 0.58 \mu\text{s}$  with smaller variations of  $\pm 21$  ps at Moon’s sidereal period of 27.32166 d.

Similar to (12),  $\text{TCB} - \text{TCL}$  from (47) has a mean rate given by constant  $L_H$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) = L_H + \dot{P}_H(t) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2}v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right\rangle = L_H, \quad (48)$$

where constant  $L_H = 1.544240 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.33 \text{ ms/d}$  results from the long-time averaging of the Moon’s total orbital energy in the BCRS, and  $P_H(t)$  represents a series of small periodic terms. The quantity  $P_H(t)$  in (48) can be evaluated semi-analytically in the same manner that was done to produce time-series  $P(t)$  for the Earth, e.g. [4–7].

Note that the (positive) external potential  $\sum_{B \neq M} U_B$  at the Moon’s center from the Sun, Earth, and planets in (48) is analogous to the external potential  $\sum_{B \neq E} U_B$  at the geocenter from the Sun, Moon, and planets, given by (12). The

Earth and Moon are accelerated by an average acceleration of  $5.9 \text{ mm/s}^2$  by the Sun, and the Moon is accelerated by an average of  $2.7 \text{ mm/s}^2$  by the Earth. However, the potential of the Sun is three orders of magnitude larger than the potential of the Earth at the Moon, and five orders of magnitude larger than the potential of the Moon at the Earth.

Considering that the monthly component of  $\mathbf{v}_M$  has an average velocity  $\mathbf{v}_{EM}$  of about  $1.023 \text{ km/s}$ , with a maximum of about  $1.082 \text{ km/s}$ , this results in temporal variability in the time rate with a magnitude of

$$\frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{v}_{EM}) \simeq 3.585796 \times 10^{-10} = 30.98 \text{ } \mu\text{s/d}, \quad (49)$$

with the synodic month period of  $\approx 29.53059$  days. This dynamically induced rate difference holds important implications for developing standards and capabilities for operating on or around the Moon.

Eq. (47) gives the mean rate between TCL and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{dTCL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = 1 - L_H. \quad (50)$$

To express TCB via TL, we need another constant that we call  $L_M$ , which determines the rate between TL and TCB and, similarly to (14), may be formally introduced as

$$\left\langle \frac{dTL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = 1 - L_M. \quad (51)$$

In the analogy with (15), which determined the constant  $L_B$  shown in Table II, we compute the rate  $L_M$  as below

$$L_M = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ GM_S \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{SM}} \right\rangle + GM_E \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle + \langle U_P \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 \right\rangle + \frac{GM_M}{R_{MQ}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} J_{2M} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_{MQ}^2 \omega_M^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (52)$$

Table II summarizes various constants in the rate equations for Earth and Moon. The  $GM$  values for the Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets were taken from DE440 [16]. These will not exactly match the values used for the IAU value of  $L_B$ . The potentials of the planets other than Earth,  $\langle U_P \rangle$ , are computed and discussed in Appendix A.

We can use the chain of time derivatives, to establish the relationships between the constants  $L_M$ ,  $L_L$  and  $L_H$ , similar to (16). Following this approach, with the help of (42), (50) and (51), we have the following expression

$$\left\langle \frac{dTL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = \left( \frac{dTL}{dTCL} \right) \left\langle \frac{dTCL}{dTCB} \right\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1 - L_M) = (1 - L_L)(1 - L_H), \quad (53)$$

from which the constant  $L_M$  is determined as  $L_M \simeq L_L + L_H - L_L L_H = 1.54738073776 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.34 \text{ ms/d}$ .

Results (42) and (53) allow us to integrate (47) and present it as a function of TL, below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ L_H (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (54)$$

which comprises a secular term  $\propto L_H/(1 - L_M) \text{ TL}$  and a series of periodic terms  $P_H(\text{TL})$ .

We also develop the (TCB - TL) transformation that with the help of (54) together with (42) and (53), results

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ L_M (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (55)$$

which has a different secular term of  $\propto L_M/(1 - L_M) \text{ TL}$  compared to (54). The same expression, but keeping the integral in (47), takes the form:

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TL} = \frac{L_L}{1 - L_L} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_{L0}}^{\text{TL}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\}_{\text{TL}}. \quad (56)$$

Expressions (55) and (56) allow us to develop (TDB - TL) as a function of TL. For this purpose, using (18), (53), and (55), we express TDB - TL as a function of TL as below

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TL} = \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_M} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + L_B (\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1 - L_B}{1 - L_M} \left( P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (57)$$

Thus, there is a secular drift between TDB via TL at a rate of  $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_M) = 3.140287759 \times 10^{-11} = 2.71 \text{ } \mu\text{s/d}$ .

Keeping the integral in expression (47), and using (57), yields in the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_L}{1 - L_L} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_0) + L_B(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_B}{1 - L_M} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_{\text{L0}}}^{\text{TL}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SM}}) \right\}_{\text{TL}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

It is useful to express the difference (TCB - TL) as a function of the TDB time, which can be done using (18), (45), (47), and (53), yielding result below

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= \frac{1 - L_M}{1 - L_B} \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0) + L_L(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ P_H(\text{TDB}) - P_H(\text{T}_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SM}}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Finally, we derive an expression similar to (59), but retain the integral form of (47):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= -\frac{L_B - L_L}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0) + L_L(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \text{TDB}_0 + \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{SM}}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Clearly, there is a rate difference between TL and TDB. As seen from (60), this rate is given by the combination of the constants  $(L_B - L_L)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 1.34$  ms/d, slightly higher than that for TT, as shown by (36). If relying on the long-time averaging procedure and related semi-analytical expressions (e.g., [4-7]), this rate is reduced to  $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 3.140287759 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 2.71$   $\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$ , leaving small periodic terms  $\propto P_H(t)$ , as shown in (59).

### C. Position transformations

Now we need to develop position transformation for the Moon. For that, similar to introducing (37), we consider  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{TCL}}$  to be a position vector of a site on the surface of the Moon as observed in the LCRS and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{MTCB}}$  being the same vector but as seen from the BCRS. We need to express the relationship between  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{TCL}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{MTCB}}$  in terms of the TL and TDB timescales. For that, we use (45) to express the  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{TCL}}$  via TL and (18) to express  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{MTCB}}$  via  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{MTDB}}$ , which results in

$$\mathcal{X}_{\text{TL}} = \left( 1 + L_B - L_L + c^{-2} \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{MTDB}} + c^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \mathbf{v}_M \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (61)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_M \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_M(t)$  and similar to (41), the error here is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms. Also,  $(1 - L_L)/(1 - L_B) \simeq L_B - L_L = 1.54738071 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.34$  ms/d. Inverse transformation to that of (61) is straightforward. For that, one simply needs to move the  $c^{-2}$ -terms to the other side of the equation with the appropriate sign changes.

The first group of terms in (61) amounts to a scale change. With  $L_B - L_L = 1.54738071 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $c^{-2} \langle \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \rangle = 0.98848 \times 10^{-8}$  (Table II) totaling  $2.53586 \times 10^{-8}$ . That amounts to 4.40 cm of scale change for the radius of the Moon. The annual variation is  $\pm 1.65 \times 10^{-10}$ , or  $\pm 0.29$  mm. Note that the Earth and Moon annual variations will affect the surface-to-surface distance along the center-to-center line with an annual variation of  $1.34 \text{ mm} |\cos l'|$ . With this, to a good approximation

$$\mathcal{X}_{\text{TL}} = \left( 1 + 2.53586 \times 10^{-8} + 1.65 \times 10^{-10} \cos l' \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{MTDB}} + c^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \mathbf{v}_M \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (62)$$

where the  $\cos l'$  term accounts for the eccentricity of the annual Earth-Moon barycentric motion.

The last term in (62) is the Lorentz contraction. For a site on the Moon's surface, the Lorentz contraction is 8.7 mm. Along the direction of motion, the combined scale change and Lorentz contraction is 53 mm, while perpendicular to the motion the scale change is 44 mm. Scale change and Lorentz contraction are significant for the definition of lunar laser ranging (LLR) retroreflector positions (e.g., [23]).

#### IV. IMPLEMENTATION

There are two JPL computer programs that are affected by the relativistic corrections in this paper. One program integrates the positions of the Moon and planets plus the orientation of the Moon vs. time [16]. The second program calculates the round-trip time of flight of a laser beam from a ranging station on the Earth to a retroreflector on the Moon, where it bounces and returns to the station [23]. Both of these programs use the BCRS with TDB to perform the calculations. The transformations involving the TDB-compatible spatial scale and Lorentz contraction of Moon-centered coordinates (discussed in Secs. II E and III C) have been implemented in the JPL programs used to generate ephemerides of the Moon and planets [16]. The fully-relativistic LCRS with TL is yet to be implemented.

In the near future, with missions expected to land on the Moon's surface by robotic Commercial Lunar Payload Services (CLPS) and crewed Artemis spacecraft, we need relativistic time transformations that convert between time scales used for solar system bodies (TDB) and the surface of the Moon (TL). These transformations are presented by Eqs.(57) and (58) with inverse transformations given by (59) and (60), correspondingly. The constant rate  $L_B - L_M \simeq 3.140287759 \times 10^{-11} = 2.71 \mu\text{s/d}$ , with the constants  $L_B$  and  $L_M$  given in Table II, represents the average drift rate from the velocity and potential functions causing the TDB and TL time scales to drift apart. There are larger terms introduced by the Moon's synchronous rotation causing rate variation of  $30.95 \mu\text{s/d}$  (49) with smaller periodic terms on monthly time scales.

There are new recommended constants that are relevant for the relativistic time and position transformations on the Moon: Constant  $L_L$  (similar to  $L_G$  for the Earth) compensates for the average rate in the time transformation between the center of the Moon and its surface. Constant  $L_H$  (similar to  $L_C$ ) is the long-time average of the total Moon's orbital energy in its motion around the solar system's barycenter. Constant  $L_M$  (similar to  $L_B$ ) compensates the average rate in time transformation between the BCRS and the surface of the Moon. The analogous transformations for the Earth has been addressed by many researchers. Those transformations are defined by a series of IAU resolutions. We calculated those transformations here as a check on our lunar work. Those constant rates are also presented in Table II.

With the results obtained in this paper, we can establish the relationships between TT and TL. For this purpose, we may use (35) and (59) that involve the common time TDB at the BCRS. Using these expressions, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) - L_L(T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left( P(\text{TDB}) - P(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right) - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left( P_H(\text{TDB}) - P_H(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16}). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

As a result, using TDB at the BCRS as the common time, one can synchronize the time on the surface of the Earth (TT) with that on the surface of the Moon (TL). The two clocks will experience a drift at the rate of  $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 3.140287759 \times 10^{-11} = 2.71 \mu\text{s/d}$ . Additionally, there are periodic terms, the largest of which is due to the lunar orbit around the Earth that amounts to about  $30.95 \mu\text{s/d}$ , as given by (49). There are also smaller periodic terms in  $P_H(\text{TDB})$  that can be evaluated semi-analytically in the manner used to compute  $P(\text{TDB})$ , e.g., [4–7]. The last two terms are site-dependent. They contribute  $(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE})/c^2 \simeq 2.11 \mu\text{s}$  and  $(\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM})/c^2 \simeq 0.60 \mu\text{s}$  with diurnal and synodic periods, correspondingly. Smaller oscillatory terms with various periods are also present (e.g., [4, 18]).

Alternatively, using (36) and (60), relationships between TT and TL may be given in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_G - L_L}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) - L_L(T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\} - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16}). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Thus, there is a secular trend between TT and TL at the rate of  $(L_G - L_L)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 6.655 \times 10^{-10} = 57.50 \mu\text{s/d}$ . As shown by (63), this trend compensates the terms with the total orbital energies for the Earth and the Moon that are present under the integral signs, leaving a smaller secular trend of  $2.71 \mu\text{s/d}$  and some periodic terms.

Results (63) and (64) may also be given as a function of the terrestrial time TT. In particular, with the help of (26),

result (63) for TL – TT may be presented in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_B} (\text{TT} - T_0) - L_L (T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left( P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right) - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left( P_H(\text{TT}) - P_H(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16}). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Similarly, with the help of (27), TL may be given in terms of TT. As a result, (64) can be expressed as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_G - L_L}{1 - L_B} (\text{TT} - T_0) - L_L (T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0}^{\text{TT}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SE}) \right\} - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0}^{\text{TT}} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{SM}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16}), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where the constants  $L_G, L_C, L_B$  used for the Earth, and  $L_L, L_H, L_M$  for the Moon, are given in Table II. The constants  $T_0(\text{MJD}) = \text{MJD}43144 + 32.4 \text{ s}$  and  $\text{TDB}_0 = -65.5 \mu\text{s}$  are defining constants. The constant  $T_{L0}$  has yet to be chosen. The quantity  $P(t)$  represents a series with small periodic terms computed in [4, 18] and used in various IAU Resolutions [1, 11]. The series  $P_H(t)$  has yet to be semi-analytically computed and implemented for practical applications.

Therefore, as shown by (66), there is a secular trend at the rate of  $(L_G - L_L)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 6.655 \times 10^{-10} = 57.50 \mu\text{s/d}$  between a clock on the surface of the Moon and that on the Earth's surface. This trend is mostly removed from the model by the semi-analytic expressions, as shown by (65), leaving a smaller drift at the rate of  $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 2.71 \mu\text{s/d}$ . There is also a periodic term of about  $30.95 \mu\text{s/d}$  due to lunar orbit around the Earth-Moon barycenter, as given by (49), with small periodic terms that can be computed using solar system ephemerides (e.g., [16]).

Expressions (63)–(64) and (65)–(66) may be used to synchronize the clocks on the Moon with those on the Earth's surface. Note that these results are valid for a clock rate accuracy of  $\sim 1.10 \times 10^{-16}$ , as mentioned at the beginning of Sec. II A. If needed, they may be extended to a greater accuracy using well-established frameworks, e.g., [12].

## V. SUMMARY

The need for precise positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) services in lunar exploration underscores the necessity for a dedicated Lunar Time (TL) and a Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS). These elements are critical for several reasons.

First, the establishment of a standardized lunar time is essential for synchronizing activities and operations on the Moon. With missions involving multiple landers, rovers, and orbiters, having a common time reference ensures that all units can coordinate effectively, avoiding conflicts and enhancing collaboration. Accurate timing is crucial for communication between Earth and lunar missions, facilitating reliable data transmission and reception, and ensuring that autonomous systems can operate seamlessly.

An LCRS is vital for precise navigation on the Moon. Unlike Earth, the lunar surface presents unique challenges, including irregular terrain and the absence of a global magnetic field. A dedicated reference system allows for precise positioning and movement of landers and rovers, ensuring they can target and reach specific, safe landing sites. This is particularly important for resource utilization, such as locating and extracting water ice from the lunar poles, which requires high positional accuracy.

For scientific applications, an LCRS provides the essential geospatial context needed for the accurate placement of instruments, thereby enhancing our understanding of the Moon's geology and environment. Precise navigation allows rovers to traverse the lunar surface efficiently, avoiding obstacles and reaching designated sites. This precision not only extends the operational life of the rovers but also maximizes the scientific return from each mission.

For future lunar infrastructure, such as the establishment of lunar bases and navigation beacons, a standardized lunar time and coordinate system are essential. They ensure that construction, resource management, and daily operations can be conducted smoothly and safely. Commercial activities, including lunar mining and tourism, also benefit from these systems, as they enable precise location tracking and safe transportation.

In conclusion, more work is needed to specify the details of the relativistic time and position transformations for various users in cislunar space (e.g., landers, orbiters, Earth-Moon Lagrange points). The introduction and

maintenance of a self-consistent, fully-relativistic LCRS are essential for this purpose. Additionally, supporting this coordinate system for various practical applications (e.g., PNT services, frequency transfer, astronomy, lunar geology, fundamental physics) is crucial. This work is ongoing, and results will be reported when available.

### Acknowledgments

The work described here was carried out the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. ©2024. California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

- 
- [1] G. Petit and B. Luzum (eds.), *IERS Technical Note #36* (“IERS Conventions (2010)”, Frankfurt am Main: Verlag des Bundesamts für Kartographie und Geodäsie, 2010. 179 pp., ISBN 3-89888-989-6”, 2010), URL <http://www.iers.org/IERS/EN/Publications/TechnicalNotes/tn36.html>.
- [2] T. D. Moyer, *Celestial Mechanics* **23**, 33 (1981).
- [3] T. D. Moyer, *Celestial Mechanics* **23**, 57 (1981).
- [4] L. Fairhead and P. Bretagnon, *Astron Astrophys.* **229**, 240 (1990).
- [5] T. Fukushima, *Astron. Astrophys.* **294**, 895 (1995).
- [6] A. W. Irwin and T. Fukushima, *Astron. Astrophys.* **348**, 642 (1999).
- [7] T. Fukushima, in *Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis*, edited by S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelmann, and M. H. Soffel (2010), vol. 261, pp. 89–94.
- [8] E. M. Standish, *Astron. Astrophys.* **336**, 381 (1998).
- [9] E. M. Standish and J. G. Williams, in *Explanatory Supplement to the American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac*, edited by P. K. Seidelmann (Mill Valley: University Science Books, 2008), chap. 8.
- [10] V. A. Brumberg and E. Groten, *Astron. Astrophys.* **367**, 1070 (2001).
- [11] G. H. Kaplan, U.S. Naval Observatory Circulars **179** (2005), astro-ph/0602086.
- [12] M. Soffel, S. A. Klioner, G. Petit, P. Wolf, S. M. Kopeikin, P. Bretagnon, V. A. Brumberg, N. Capitaine, T. Damour, T. Fukushima, et al., *Astrophys. J.* **126**, 2687 (2003), astro-ph/0303376.
- [13] B. Guinot, in *Journées 1992: Systèmes de référence spatio-temporels* (1992), pp. 12–21.
- [14] E. Groten, *J. of Geodesy* **77**, 724 (2004).
- [15] P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell, and B. N. Taylor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035009 (2016).
- [16] R. S. Park, W. M. Folkner, J. G. Williams, and D. H. Boggs, *Astron. J.* **161**, 105 (2021).
- [17] S. G. Turyshev and V. T. Toth, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 104031 (2023), arXiv:2303.07270 [gr-qc].
- [18] W. Harada and T. Fukushima, *Astron. J.* **126**, 2557 (2003).
- [19] IAU, *Resolution B.3* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2009), vol. 26B of *Transactions of the IAU, Series B*, p. 42, Proc. of the 26th General Assembly (Prague, Czech Republic, 2006).
- [20] T. D. Moyer, *Formulation for Observed and Computed Values of Deep Space Network Data Types for Navigation*, JPL Deep-Space Communications and Navigation Series (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2003).
- [21] D. E. Smith, M. T. Zuber, G. A. Neumann, E. Mazarico, F. G. Lemoine, I. Head, James W., P. G. Lucey, O. Aharonson, M. S. Robinson, X. Sun, et al., *Icarus* **283**, 70 (2017).
- [22] J. G. Williams, A. S. Konopliv, D. H. Boggs, R. S. Park, D.-N. Yuan, F. G. Lemoine, S. Goossens, E. Mazarico, F. Nimmo, R. C. Weber, et al., *J. Geophys. Res. (Planets)* **119**, 1546 (2014).
- [23] J. G. Williams and D. H. Boggs, *The JPL Lunar Laser Range Model 2020* (2020), JPL Interoffice Memorandum, JPL IOM 335N-20-01, <https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/ftp/eph/planets/ioms/>.
- [24] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. (Dover Publications, New York; revised edition, 1965).
- [25] J. L. Simon, P. Bretagnon, J. Chapront, M. Chapront-Touze, G. Francou, and J. Laskar, *Astron. Astrophys.* **282**, 663 (1994).

### Appendix A: Planet-Caused Relativity

The gravity of distant planets at the Moon causes a relativistic effect. This effect is accounted for in the definitions of the constants  $L_B$  and  $L_M$ , given by the expressions (15) and (52), correspondingly. We ignore the displacement of the Moon from the Earth-Moon barycenter, 1% of the distance to Venus when it is closest, and calculate the positive potential at the Earth-Moon barycenter:

$$U_{BP} = \frac{GM_P}{|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

TABLE III: Relativistic effects on the rates at Earth-Moon barycenter from the planets at J2000.

Planet	$e_E = e_P = i_P = 0$ $U_{BP}/c^2, \times 10^{-15}$	Fourier $U_{BP}/c^2, \times 10^{-15}$
Mercury	1.706	1.710
Venus	28.829	28.772
Mars	2.395	2.401
Jupiter	1828.565	1828.622
Saturn	296.146	296.148
Uranus	22.440	22.440
Neptune	16.892	16.892
Pluto	0.002	0.002
Total	2196.975	2196.986

where  $M_P$  is the planet's mass and  $|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|$  is its distance from the Earth-Moon barycenter. The relativistic effect is then  $U_{BP}/c^2$ .

$$|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|^2 = r_E^2 + r_P^2 - 2r_E r_P \cos S, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where

$$\cos S = \cos u_E \cos u_P + \cos i_P \sin u_E \sin u_P, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and  $u_E$  and  $u_P$  are the arguments of latitude measure from the node of the planet's orbit plane on the ecliptic plane and  $i_P$  is the orbit plane's inclination.

$$u_E = \varpi_E - \Omega_P + f_E, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and

$$u_P = \omega_P + f_P, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where  $\varpi_E$  is the Earth-Moon barycenter longitude of perihelion,  $\omega_P$  is the planet's argument of latitude, and  $\Omega_P$  is the planet's orbit plane node on the ecliptic plane. The two true anomalies are  $f_E$  and  $f_P$  for the Earth-Moon barycenter and planet, respectively. The radii are computed from

$$r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos f}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with the two subscripts. The semi-major axis is  $a$  and the eccentricity is  $e$ .

The relativistic effects from the planets' potentials was calculated in two ways. The first way is an approximation that assumes two circular ( $e_E = e_P = 0$ ) coplanar ( $i_P = 0$ ) orbits. Then the time averaged potential  $\langle U_P \rangle$  at the Earth depends on a complete elliptic integral of the first kind  $K(k)$  [24], where  $k$  is the modulus. Then

$$\langle U_P \rangle = \frac{GM_P}{(a_E + a_P)} \frac{2}{\pi} K(k), \quad k = \frac{2\sqrt{a_E a_P}}{(a_E + a_P)}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where  $a_E$  and  $a_P$  are the semi major axes of the Earth and planet, respectively.

The second technique is more exact. Elliptical orbits with a mutual inclination ( $i_P$ ) are used. The potential Eq. (A7) is Fourier analyzed. Integrals over time, or equivalently mean anomaly, can be transformed into integrals over true anomaly by using

$$dM = \frac{(r/a)^2}{(1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} df, \quad (\text{A8})$$

with the two subscripts. Then the constant part of a planet's potential at the Earth-Moon barycenter is

$$\langle U_P \rangle = GM_P \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r_E/a_E)^2 (r_P/a_P)^2}{\Delta (1 - e_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - e_P^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} df_E df_P. \quad (\text{A9})$$

The planetary  $GM_P$  are from [16] and the mean elements at J2000 are from [25]. The two techniques give similar results with differences of only 0.2% for Mercury and Venus and 0.4% for Mars. The Fourier-derived constants are given in Table III. The two totals for all planets differ by 0.0005%. We note that the planetary elements are changing with time, so there will be small changes away from J2000. The values in Table III may be compared with those in Table 2 of [7].

## Appendix B: Relevant Resolutions of the IAU

There have been a series of IAU resolutions that relate to time and space transformations [1, 12]. Below, we will summarize some of the most relevant ones (see also <http://www.iauufs.org/res.html>):

**IAU 2000 Resolution B1.3:** Defines the Barycentric Celestial Reference System (BCRS) and Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS). Harmonic coordinates are used for both. Gives the time transformation.

**IAU 2000 Resolution B1.5:** Discusses TCB – TCG. Specifies  $\langle dTCG/dTCB \rangle = 1 - L_C$  and  $\langle dTT/dTCB \rangle = 1 - L_B$ . Gives  $L_C = 1.48082686741 \times 10^{-8} \pm 2 \times 10^{-17}$  and  $L_B = 1.55051976772 \times 10^{-8} \pm 2 \times 10^{-17}$ . Specifies relation with  $L_G$ , namely  $1 - L_B = (1 - L_C)(1 - L_G)$ . Consequently,  $L_B = L_C + L_G - L_C L_G$ ,  $L_C = (L_B - L_G)/(1 - L_G)$ ,  $L_G = (L_B - L_C)/(1 - L_C)$ ,  $1 - L_G = (1 - L_B)/(1 - L_C)$ . Says  $(\frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B)_{TCB} = 1/(1 - L_B)(\frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B)_{TDB}$ .

**IAU 2000 Resolution B1.9:** Redefines TT. Specifies  $dTT/dTCG = 1 - L_G$ . Value of  $L_G = 6.969290134 \times 10^{-10}$  is given as a defining constant.

**IAU 2006 Resolution B3:** Gives  $TDB = TCB - L_B(TCB - T_0)86400 + TDB_0$ ,  $T_0$  is  $MJD43144 + 32.4$  s,  $L_B = 1.550519768 \times 10^{-8}$ , and  $TDB_0 = -65.5 \mu s$ .

**IAU 2009 Resolution B2:** Current best estimates of astronomical constants are may be found at the following website: <https://maia.usno.navy.mil/NSFA/CBE.html>