

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF A LORENTZIAN MANIFOLD WITH A SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

UDAY CHAND DE , KRISHNENDU DE AND SİNEM GÜLER *

ABSTRACT. In this article, we characterize a Lorentzian manifold \mathcal{M} with a semi-symmetric metric connection. At first, we consider a semi-symmetric metric connection whose curvature tensor vanishes and establish that if the associated vector field is a unit time-like torse-forming vector field, then \mathcal{M} becomes a perfect fluid spacetime. Moreover, we prove that if \mathcal{M} admits a semi-symmetric metric connection whose Ricci tensor is symmetric and torsion tensor is recurrent, then \mathcal{M} represents a generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime. Also, we show that if the associated vector field of a semi-symmetric metric connection whose curvature tensor vanishes is a f -Ricci vector field, then the manifold is Einstein and if the associated vector field is a torqued vector field, then the manifold becomes a perfect fluid spacetime. Finally, we apply this connection to investigate Ricci solitons.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this study, we investigate several vector fields and solitons on a Lorentzian manifold \mathcal{M} obeying a semi-symmetric metric connection (briefly, SSMC). The notion of a semi-symmetric linear connection was first introduced by Friedman and Schouten on a differentiable manifold many years ago. The concept of metric connection with torsion tensor T was then presented by Hayden [16] in 1932 on a Riemannian manifold. Yano [23] made a methodical investigation of SSMC in 1970.

On a Lorentzian manifold \mathcal{M} , a linear connection ∇ is named semi-symmetric if T described by

$$T(U_1, V_1) = \nabla_{U_1} V_1 - \nabla_{V_1} U_1 - [U_1, V_1]$$

obeys

$$(1.1) \quad T(U_1, V_1) = \pi(V_1)U_1 - \pi(U_1)V_1,$$

⁰The Mathematics subject classification 2020: 83C05, 53C05, 53C20, 53C50.

Lorentzian manifolds; semi-symmetric metric connection; perfect fluid spacetimes.

* Corresponding author

where π is defined by $\pi(U_1) = g(U_1, \xi)$, for a fixed vector field ξ (also, named associated vector field). If we replace U_1 and V_1 , respectively, by ϕU_1 and ϕV_1 in the right side of (1.1), then the SSMC ∇ changes into a quarter-symmetric connection [13], ϕ being a (1,1)- tensor field.

Again, if a SSMC ∇ on \mathcal{M} fulfills

$$(1.2) \quad (\nabla_{U_1} g)(V_1, Z_1) = 0,$$

then ∇ is named metric [23], if not, it is non-metric [16].

We know [23] that if ∇ is a SSMC and D be a Levi-Civita connection, then

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla_{U_1} V_1 = D_{U_1} V_1 + \eta(V_1)U_1 - g(U_1, V_1)\xi.$$

Further, it is also known [23] that if \bar{R} and R denote the curvature tensors of ∇ and D , respectively, then

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(U_1, V_1)Z_1 &= R(U_1, V_1)Z_1 - L_1(V_1, Z_1)U_1 + L_1(U_1, Z_1)V_1 \\ &\quad - g(V_1, Z_1)L_2U_1 + g(U_1, Z_1)L_2V_1, \end{aligned}$$

where L_1 , a (0,2) tensor and L_2 , a (1,1) tensor are defined by

$$(1.5) \quad L_1(U_1, V_1) = (D_{U_1} \pi)(V_1) - \pi(U_1)\pi(V_1) + \frac{1}{2}\pi(\xi)g(U_1, V_1)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad g(L_2U_1, V_1) = L_1(U_1, V_1)$$

for any vector fields U_1 and V_1 .

In a local coordinate system, equations (1.4) and (1.5) can be written as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{R}_{hijk} = R_{hijk} - g_{hk}\pi_{ij} + g_{ik}\pi_{hj} - g_{ij}\pi_{hk} + g_{hk}\pi_{ik},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \pi_{ik} = D_i v_k - v_i v_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}v, \quad v = v^h v_h$$

in which \bar{R}_{hijk} and R_{hijk} denote the curvature tensor of ∇ and D , respectively.

The idea of the SSMC was investigated by many researchers (see, [3], [23], [25], [26], [27], [28]).

The current paper concerns with n-dimensional spacetimes (that is, a connected time-oriented Lorentz manifold) (\mathcal{M}, g) . For $\psi > 0$, a smooth function (also called scale factor, or warping function), if $\mathcal{M} = -I \times_{\psi} M$, where I is the open interval of \mathbb{R} , M^{n-1} denotes the Riemannian manifold, then \mathcal{M} is named a generalized Robertson-Walker (briefly, *GRW*) spacetime [1]. When the dimension of \mathcal{M} is three

and of constant sectional curvature, this spacetime represents a Robertson-Walker (briefly, RW) spacetime. In this context we may mention the work of Ünal and Shenawy on warped product manifolds ([21], [22]).

Due to the absence of a stress tensor and heat conduction terms corresponding to viscosity, the fluid is referred to as perfect and the energy momentum tensor \mathcal{T}_{hk} is written by

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{T}_{hk} = (\sigma + p)v_h v_k + p g_{hk},$$

in which p and σ stand for the perfect fluid's isotropic pressure and energy density, respectively [20].

For a gravitational constant κ , the Einstein's field equations without a cosmological constant is given by

$$(1.10) \quad R_{hk} - \frac{R}{2}g_{hk} = \kappa \mathcal{T}_{hk},$$

where $R_{hk} = R_{hki}^i$ and $R = g^{hk}R_{hk}$ are the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively.

A spacetime \mathcal{M} is named a perfect fluid (briefly PF) spacetime if the non-vanishing Ricci tensor R_{hk} fulfills

$$(1.11) \quad R_{hk} = \alpha g_{hk} + \beta v_h v_k$$

where α and β indicate smooth functions on \mathcal{M} . In the last equation, g is the Lorentzian metric of \mathcal{M} and v_h , the velocity vector is defined by $g_{hk}v^h v^k = -1$ and $v_h = g_{hk}v^k$.

Combining the equations (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11), we acquire

$$(1.12) \quad \beta = k^2(p + \sigma), \quad \alpha = \frac{k^2(p - \sigma)}{2 - n}.$$

In [20], O'Neill established that a Robertson-Walker spacetime is a PF spacetime. Every 4-dimensional generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime is a PF spacetime if and only if it is a Robertson-Walker spacetime [12]. For additional insights about the PF and GRW spacetimes, we refer ([2], [4], [5], [8], [9], [11], [19]) and its references.

Definition 1.1. *For a non vanishing 1-form ω_k and a scalar function ϕ if the relation $\nabla_k u_h = \omega_k u_h + \phi g_{kh}$ holds, then the vector field u is called torse-forming.*

This notion was introduced by Yano [24] on a Riemannian manifold. It is noted that the foregoing torse-forming condition becomes $\nabla_k u_h = \phi(u_k u_h + g_{kh})$, for a unit time-like vector.

In [19], Mantica and Molinari and in [4], Chen have proven the subsequent theorems:

Let \mathcal{M} be a Lorentzian manifold of dimension n ($n \geq 3$).

Theorem 1.1. [19] \mathcal{M} is a GRW spacetime if and only if it admits a unit time-like torse-forming vector field: $\nabla_k v_j = \varphi(g_{ij} + v_j v_i)$, which is also an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor.

Theorem 1.2. [4] \mathcal{M} is a GRW spacetime if and only if it admits a time-like concircular vector field.

In this article, we consider a SSMC and prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. If the associated vector field of \mathcal{M} obeying a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes is a unit time-like torse-forming vector field, then \mathcal{M} becomes a PF spacetime.

Definition 1.2. In a semi-Riemannian manifold with a SSMC ∇ , the torsion tensor T is called recurrent if it obeys $\nabla_k T_{ij}^h = A_k T_{ij}^h$, A_k being a covariant vector.

Here, we choose a SSMC whose torsion tensor is recurrent and establish the subsequent result:

Theorem 1.4. Let \mathcal{M} admit a SSMC whose Ricci tensor is symmetric and torsion tensor is recurrent. Then the manifold \mathcal{M} represents a GRW spacetime.

Definition 1.3. If $\nabla_k v_h = f R_{kh}$, then v_h is named a f -Ric vector field, where f is a constant.

The foregoing idea of f -Ric vector field was presented by Hinterleitner and Kiosak [17] on a Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.4. [6] A vector field is called a torqued vector field if $\nabla_k v_h = f g_{kh} + \omega_k v_h$ and $\omega_k v^k = 0$, where f is a real constant and 1-form ω is named the torqued form of v .

In this article, we consider a SSMC whose associated vector field is $f - \text{Ric}$ and torqued vector field, respectively and prove the following results:

Theorem 1.5. *If \mathcal{M} admits a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes and the associated vector field is $f - \text{Ric}$, then \mathcal{M} is Einstein.*

Theorem 1.6. *Let \mathcal{M} admit a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes. If the associated vector field is torqued, then \mathcal{M} becomes a PF spacetime.*

Hamilton [15] introduces the concept of Ricci flow as a solution to the challenge of obtaining a canonical metric on a smooth manifold. Let \mathcal{M} be satisfied by an evolution equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{hk}(t) = -2R_{hk}$, then it is called Ricci flow [15]. If the metric of \mathcal{M} obeys the relation

$$(1.13) \quad \mathfrak{L}_v g_{hk} + 2R_{hk} + 2\bar{\lambda}g_{hk} = 0,$$

then it is called a Ricci soliton [14], where \mathfrak{L}_v is the Lie derivative operator and $\bar{\lambda}$ denotes a real constant. Here, v is called the potential vector field of the solitons. The Ricci soliton is named shrinking, expanding or steady, if $\bar{\lambda}$ is negative, positive, or zero, respectively.

Many mathematicians were fascinated in the geometry of Ricci solitons over the past few years. Some interesting findings on this solitons have been investigated in ([2], [7], [10], [18]) and also by others.

The above investigations motivate us to SSMC and establish the subsequent:

Theorem 1.7. *Let us suppose that \mathcal{M} admit a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes and the associated vector field is a unit time-like torse-forming vector field. If \mathcal{M} admits a Ricci soliton $(g, v, \bar{\lambda})$, then the soliton is shrinking if $\phi < 1$, expanding if $\phi > 1$, or steady if $\phi = 1$, respectively. Additionally, the integral curves that v generates are geodesics.*

2. SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

Agreement: Throughout this article, we assume that the associated vector v_i is a unit time-like vector, that is, $v^i v_i = -1$.

Then, the equation (1.8) becomes

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_{ik} = D_i v_k - v_i v_k - \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}.$$

Multiplying (1.7) with g^{hk} , we acquire

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} - (n-2)\pi_{ij} - \pi g_{ij},$$

where \bar{R}_{ij} and R_{ij} are the Ricci tensor of ∇ and D , respectively and $\pi = g^{hk}\pi_{hk}$.

Also, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_h v_k = D_h v_k - v_h v_k - g_{hk}.$$

Proposition 2.1. \bar{R}_{ij} is symmetric if and only if v_i is closed.

Proof. From the equation (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{ij} - \bar{R}_{ji} &= -(n-2)\{\pi_{ij} - \pi_{ji}\} \\ &= -(n-2)\{D_i v_j - v_i v_j - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij} - D_j v_i + v_j v_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{ji}\} \\ &= -(n-2)\{D_i v_j - D_j v_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

If v_i is closed, then the foregoing equation reduces to

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{R}_{ij} - \bar{R}_{ji} = 0,$$

that is, \bar{R}_{ij} is symmetric and conversely. \square

2.1. Proof of the Theorem 1.3. Since by hypothesis, $\bar{R}_{hijk} = 0$, from (1.7), we get

$$(2.6) \quad R_{hijk} = g_{hk}\pi_{ij} - g_{ik}\pi_{hj} + g_{ij}\pi_{hk} - g_{hk}\pi_{ik}.$$

Let

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_k v_j = \phi(g_{kj} + v_j v_k).$$

Then from (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad D_k v_j = (\phi + 1)(g_{kj} + v_j v_k).$$

Hence, using the foregoing equation in (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \pi_{ik} = (\phi - \frac{1}{2})g_{ik} + \phi v_i v_k.$$

Now, making use of the previous equation in (2.6), we acquire

$$(2.10) \quad R_{hijk} = (2\phi - 1)\{g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}\} + \phi\{g_{hk}v_i v_j + g_{ij}v_h v_k - g_{ik}v_h v_j - g_{hj}v_i v_k\}.$$

Multiplying with g^{ij} the above equation yields

$$R_{hk} = (2\phi - 1)(n-1)g_{hk} + \phi\{-g_{hk} + n v_h v_k - v_h v_k - v_h v_k\},$$

which implies

$$(2.11) \quad R_{hk} = \{(n-1)(2\phi-1) - \phi\}g_{hk} + (n-2)\phi v_h v_k.$$

The foregoing equation entails that \mathcal{M} is a *PF* spacetime.

Hence, the proof is finished.

Now, using Theorem 1.1, we may state:

Corollary 2.1. *A GRW-spacetime admitting a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes is a PF spacetime.*

Remark 2.1. *In [19], Mantica and Molinari established that a GRW spacetime satisfying $\text{div}C = 0$ represents a PF spacetime, where C indicates the conformal curvature tensor. Here, curvature condition $\text{div}C = 0$ is replaced by a SSMC whose curvature tensor vanishes and acquires the same result.*

For $n = 4$, comparing the equations (1.11) and (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha g_{hk} + \beta v_h v_k = (5\phi - 3)g_{hk} + 2\phi v_h v_k.$$

Making use of (1.12), the foregoing equation yields

$$(2.13) \quad k^2(3p - 2\sigma) = 3,$$

which implies

$$(2.14) \quad (3p - 2\sigma) = c \text{ (say)}.$$

Corollary 2.2. *A PF spacetime admitting a SSMC satisfies the state equation $\sigma = \frac{3}{2}p + \text{constant}$.*

2.2. Proof of the Theorem 1.4. It is known [3] that if a Riemannian manifold admits a SSMC ∇ whose torsion tensor T is recurrent, then the curvature tensor \overline{R}_{ijk}^h of ∇ is given by

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{R}_{ijk}^h &= R_{ijk}^h + A_k[v_i \delta_j^h - g_{ij} v^h] \\ &\quad - A_j[v_k \delta_i^h - g_{ik} v^h] + v^l v_l [g_{jk} \delta_i^h - g_{ik} \delta_j^h]. \end{aligned}$$

For a Lorentzian manifold the above relation becomes

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{R}_{ijk}^h &= R_{ijk}^h + A_k[v_i\delta_j^h - g_{ij}v^h] \\ &\quad - A_j[v_k\delta_i^h - g_{ik}v^h] - [g_{jk}\delta_i^h - g_{ik}\delta_j^h], \end{aligned}$$

since $v^l v_l = -1$.

First we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *If the torsion tensor T is given by*

$$(2.17) \quad \nabla_k T_{ij}^h = A_k T_{ij}^h,$$

then A_k is gradient.

Proof. Let

$$(2.18) \quad f^2 = T_{hij} T^{hij},$$

where $T_{hij} = g_{hk} T_{ij}^k$.

From (2.18), we get

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 2f\nabla_l f &= \nabla_l T_{hij} T^{hij} + T_{hij} \nabla_l T^{hij} \\ &= 2A_l f^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla_l f = f A_l.$$

Therefore, from the above equation, we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla_l \nabla_m f - \nabla_m \nabla_l f = \nabla_m f A_l - \nabla_l f A_m + f(\nabla_m A_l - \nabla_l A_m).$$

Since f is a scalar, using the equation (2.20) in (2.21), we acquire

$$(2.22) \quad f(\nabla_m A_l - \nabla_l A_m) = 0.$$

Since $f \neq 0$, A_l is gradient. □

From $T_{ij}^h = \delta_i^h v_j - \delta_j^h v_i$, we get

$$(2.23) \quad T_{ij}^h = (n-1)v_j,$$

which implies

$$(2.24) \quad \nabla_k T_{ij}^h = (n-1)v_k v_j.$$

Again from $\nabla_k T_{ij}^h = A_k T_{ij}^h$, we obtain

$$(2.25) \quad \nabla_k T_{ij}^h = A_k T_{ij}^h = (n-1)A_k v_j.$$

Contracting h and k in (2.16) yields

$$(2.26) \quad \bar{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} + [A_j v_i - g_{ij} A_h v^h].$$

By hypothesis \bar{R}_{ij} is symmetric. Hence, we have from (2.26)

$$(2.27) \quad A_i v_j = A_j v_i.$$

Multiplying the foregoing equation by v^i gives

$$(2.28) \quad A_j = a v_j,$$

where $a = -A_i v^i$.

From (2.24) and (2.25) it follows that

$$(2.29) \quad \nabla_k v_j = A_k v_j.$$

Using (2.3) in the previous equation yields

$$(2.30) \quad D_h v_k = g_{hk} + \mu_h v_k,$$

where $\mu_h = A_h + v_h$.

From the above equation we conclude that v_k is a torseforming vector field with $\alpha = \beta = 1$.

Here, v_h is closed, since by hypothesis the Ricci tensor of the SSMC is symmetric. Also by Lemma 2.1, A_h is closed and hence μ_h is closed. Therefore, v_h is concircular. Hence, using Theorem 1.2 we state that \mathcal{M} represents a *GRW* spacetime.

This completes the proof.

2.3. Proof of the Theorem 1.5. Since the associated vector field is f -Ric, then we have

$$(2.31) \quad \nabla_k v_h = f R_{hk},$$

f is a constant.

Then from (2.3), we acquire

$$(2.32) \quad D_h v_k = f R_{hk} + g_{hk} + v_h v_k.$$

Hence, using the previous equation in (2.1), we infer

$$(2.33) \quad \pi_{ik} = fR_{ik} + \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}.$$

Since by assumption $\bar{R}_{hijk} = 0$. From (1.7), we obtain

$$(2.34) \quad R_{hijk} = g_{hk}\pi_{ij} - g_{ik}\pi_{hj} + g_{ij}\pi_{hk} - g_{hk}\pi_{ik}.$$

Now, using equation (2.33) in (2.34), we get

$$(2.35) \quad R_{hijk} = f\{g_{hk}R_{ij} - g_{ik}R_{hj} + g_{ij}R_{hk} - g_{hj}R_{ik}\} + \{g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}\}.$$

Multiplying with g^{ij} the foregoing equation yields

$$R_{hk} = f\{nR_{hk} - R_{hk} + Rg_{hk} - R_{hk}\} + (n-1)g_{hk},$$

which entails

$$(2.36) \quad R_{hk} = \frac{fR + (n-1)}{1 - (n-2)f}g_{hk}.$$

The above equation states that \mathcal{M} is Einstein.

This ends the proof.

Remark 2.2. From the equation (2.35), we also get the spacetime is of constant curvature $\frac{2f^2R+nf+1}{1-(n-2)f}$.

If $R = -\frac{nf+1}{f^2}$, then...

2.4. Proof of the Theorem 1.6. By hypothesis, v is a torqued vector field. Therefore, we get

$$(2.37) \quad \nabla_k v_h = fg_{hk} + \omega_k v_j$$

and $\omega_k v^k = 0$. Then from (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.38) \quad D_h v_k = (f+1)g_{hk} + (\omega_h + v_h)v_k.$$

Hence, using the above equation in (2.1), we acquire

$$(2.39) \quad \pi_{ik} = \left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_{ik} + \omega_i v_k.$$

Since $\bar{R}_{hijk} = 0$, from (1.7), we get

$$(2.40) \quad R_{hijk} = g_{hk}\pi_{ij} - g_{ik}\pi_{hj} + g_{ij}\pi_{hk} - g_{hk}\pi_{ik}.$$

Now, using equation (2.39) in (2.40), we have

$$(2.41) \quad R_{hijk} = 2\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)\{g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}\} + \{g_{hk}\omega_i - g_{ik}\omega_h\}v_j + \{g_{ij}\omega_h - g_{hj}\omega_i\}v_k.$$

Multiplying with g^{ij} , we acquire

$$R_{hk} = 2n\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_{hk} - 2\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_{hk} - (n-1)\omega_h\omega_k + \{g_{hk}\omega_h v_k - \omega_h v_k\}.$$

$$(2.42) \quad R_{hk} = (2n-2)\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_{hk} + (n-2)\omega_h v_k.$$

Again, multiplying with v^h yields

$$(2.43) \quad R_{hk}v^h = (2n-2)\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)v_k,$$

since $\omega_i v^i = 0$.

Also, from (2.42), we get $\omega_h v_k = \omega_k v_h$. Multiplying the foregoing relation by v^k , we have $\omega_h = av_h$, where $a = \omega_k v^k$. Hence, the equation (2.42) becomes

$$(2.44) \quad R_{hk} = (2n-2)\left(f + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_{hk} + (n-2)av_h v_k.$$

Foregoing equation tells that \mathcal{M} is a *PF* spacetime.

This accomplishes the proof.

3. APPLICATIONS TO RICCI SOLITONS

3.1. Proof of the Theorem 1.7. Now, suppose that \mathcal{M} with SSMC admits a Ricci soliton. Then from equation (1.13), we get

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_h v_k + \nabla_k v_h + 2R_{hk} + 2\bar{\lambda}g_{hk} = 0.$$

Multiplying by $v^h v^k$, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad R_{hk}v^h v^k - \bar{\lambda} = 0,$$

since $g_{hk}v^h v^k = -1$ and $v^h v^k \nabla_h v_k = 0$. Again, multiplying the equation (2.11) by $v^h v^k$, we infer

$$(3.3) \quad R_{hk}v^h v^k = -\{(n-1)(2\phi-1) - \phi\} + (n-2)\phi.$$

Comparing the last two equations, we acquire $\bar{\lambda} = (1 - n)(\phi - 1)$. This shows that the Ricci soliton is shrinking if $\phi < 1$, expanding if $\phi > 1$, or steady if $\phi = 1$, respectively.

Again, multiplying (3.1) by v^h , we find

$$(3.4) \quad v^h \nabla_k v_h + 2R_{hk} v^h + 2\bar{\lambda} v_k = 0,$$

since $v^h \nabla_h v_k = 0$.

Again, multiplying (2.11) by v^h yields

$$(3.5) \quad R_{hk} v^h = \{(n - 1)(2\phi - 1) - \phi\} - (n - 2)\phi.$$

Using the above equation in (3.4), we have $v^h \nabla_k v_h = 0$, since $\bar{\lambda} = (1 - n)(\phi - 1)$.

This ends the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alias, L., Romero, A. and Sánchez M., *Uniqueness of complete spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **27** (1995), 71-84.
- [2] Blaga, A.M., *Solitons and geometrical structures in a perfect fluid spacetime*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **50** (2020), 41-53.
- [3] Chaki, M. C. and Konar, A., *On a type of semi-symmetric metric on a Riemannian manifolds*, J. Pure Math. **1** (1981), 77-80.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, δ -invariants and Applications*, World Scientific, 2011.
- [5] ———, *A simple characterization of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **46** (2014), 1833 (5 pages).
- [6] ———, *Rectifying submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds and torqued vector fields*, Kragujevac J. Math. **41**(1) (2017), 93-103.
- [7] Chen, B.Y. and Deshmukh, S., *Ricci solitons and concurrent vector fields*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **20** (2015), 14-25.
- [8] De, U.C., Chaubey, S.K. and Shenawy, S., *Perfect fluid spacetimes and Yamabe solitons*, J Math Phys. **62**, 032501 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0033967>
- [9] De, K., De, U.C., Syied, A. A., Turki N. B. and Alsaeed, S., *Perfect fluid spacetimes and gradient solitons*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44198-022-00066-5>.
- [10] Deshmukh, S., Alodan, H. and Al-Sodais, H., *A Note on Ricci Soliton*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **16**, 1 (2011), 48-55.
- [11] Duggal, K. L. and Sharma, R., *Symmetries of spacetimes and Riemannian manifolds*, Mathematics and its Applications **487** (Kluwer Academic Press, Boston, London 1999).
- [12] Gutiérrez, M. and Olea, B., *Global decomposition of a Lorentzian manifold as a generalized Robertson-Walker space*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **27** (2009), 146-156.
- [13] Golab, S., *On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections*, Tensor (N. S.) **29** (1975), 249-254.
- [14] Hamilton, R. S., *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differ. Geom. **17** (1982), 255-306.

- [15] Hamilton, R. S., *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Contemp. Math. **71** (1988), 237-261.
- [16] Hayden, H.A., *Subspace of space with torsion*, Proc. Lon. Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 27-50.
- [17] Hinterleitner, I. and Volodymyr, A. K., $\phi(\text{Ric})$ -vector fields in Riemannian spaces, Archivum Math. (Brno) **44** (2008), 385-390. 00
- [18] Karaca, F. and Ozgur, C., *Gradient Ricci solitons on multiply warped product manifolds*, Filomat, **32** (2018), 4221-4228.
- [19] Mantica, C.A. and Molinari, L.G., *Generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes-A survey*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **14** (2017), 1730001 (27 pages).
- [20] O'Neill, B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to the Relativity*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1983.
- [21] Ünal, B. and Shenawy, S., *2-Killing vector fields on warped product manifolds*, International Journal of Mathematics. **26** (2015). 1550065. 10.1142/S0129167X15500652.
- [22] Ünal, B. and Shenawy, S., *The W_2 curvature tensor on warped product manifolds and applications*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **13** (2016). 10.1142/S0219887816500997.
- [23] Yano, K., *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appliques. **15** (1970), 1579-1586.
- [24] K. Yano, *On torse forming direction in a Riemannian space*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 340-345.
- [25] Zengin, F.O., Demirbag, S. A., Uysal, S. A. and Yilmaz, H. B., *Some vector fields on a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **38**(2012), 479-490.
- [26] Zengin F.O., Uysal S. A. and Demirbag S. A., *On sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, Ann. Polon. Math., **101**(2011), 131-138.
- [27] Zhao, P.B., Fengyun, F. and Yang, X., *Some Properties of S-Semi-Symmetric Metric Connections*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, **6** (2011), 1817-1827.
- [28] Zhao, P.B., Han, Y. and Fengyun, F., *On semi-symmetric metric connection in sub-Riemannian manifolds*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, **47** (2016), 373-384.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.
 ORCID ID: [HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-8990-4609](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8990-4609)

Email address: uc_de@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KABI SUKANTA MAHAVIDYALAYA, THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN. BHADRESWAR, P.O.-ANGUS, HOOGHLY, PIN 712221, WEST BENGAL, INDIA., ORCID ID: [HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-6520-4520](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6520-4520)

Email address: krishnendu.de@outlook.in

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING, ISTANBUL SABAHATTIN ZAIM UNIVERSITY, HALKALI, ISTANBUL, TURKEY.

Email address: sinem.guler@izu.edu.tr