

Iwahori Matsumoto presentation for modules of Iwahori fixed functions on symmetric spaces

Guy Shtotland

Abstract

We study the space $S(X)^I$ of smooth functions on a symmetric space $X = G/H$ invariant to the action of an Iwahori subgroup I , as a module over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$, the Iwahori Hecke algebra of a p -adic group G . We present a description of this module that generalizes the description given to $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ by Iwahori and Matsumoto.

1 Introduction

Let F be a non archimedean local field, of residual characteristic not 2.

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group over F , $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$. Let $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be an algebraic involution defined over F . We denote by \mathbf{H} the fixed points of σ , and by H the F -points of \mathbf{H} . We call $X = G/H$ a p -adic symmetric space.

A fundamental problem in relative representation theory is the study of H -distinguished representations of G , that is, smooth representations π of G such that $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. By Frobenius reciprocity the study of irreducible H -distinguished representations is the same (up to duality) as the study of quotients of $S(X)$, the space of locally constant compactly supported functions on X .

Let I be an Iwahori subgroup of G (defined in [IM65]). The group I splits the category $\mathcal{M}(G)$ of smooth representations of G . Namely, the subcategory of those representations that are generated by their I fixed vectors forms the so-called principal block. This category was studied in [Bor76] and was shown to be equivalent to the category of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ modules, the equivalence is given by $V \rightarrow V^I$. Here, $\mathcal{H}(G, I) = S(G)^{I \times I}$ is the Hecke Iwahori algebra of G consisting of compactly supported locally constant I bi-invariant functions on G .

A first step towards the study of smooth H -distinguished representations is the study of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ modules with a non-trivial map from $S(X)^I$. Thus a description of $S(X)^I$ as a module over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is a crucial step. In the present work, we do exactly this. Below we describe our main results in details: Using the affine building \mathcal{B} of G we are able to describe the I orbits on X giving a linear basis of $S(X)^I$ over \mathbb{C} . Then we describe the action of generators of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on a characteristic function of such orbit.

1.1 Main results

Let G be a connected reductive group split over a p -adic field F . Assume that the residual characteristic of F is not 2. Let W_{aff} be the affine Weyl group attached to the affine root

system of G . Let $X = \mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{H}(F)$ be a p -adic symmetric space.

We construct an action of W_{aff} on the set $I \backslash X$ with finitely many orbits and we use this action to obtain a presentation of the module $S(X)^I$ over the Iwahori-Hecke algebra. This generalizes the well known presentation of the Hecke algebra given by Iwahori and Matsomoto.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a natural action of W_{aff} on $I \backslash X$. This action has finitely many orbits.*

We now describe our results in more detail. Recall that a torus $T \subset G$ is called σ stable if $\sigma(T) = T$. Let \mathcal{T}_σ be the set of σ stable maximal tori. For each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma$ one can attach an affine Weyl group $W_{aff}(T) = N_G(T)/T^0$. Here, T^0 is the maximal compact subgroup of T . We also attach to T a set $W_{aff}^H(T)$ of cosets of $W_{aff}(T)$ with respect to a subgroup $W_{H,aff}(T) = \text{Im}(N_H(T) \rightarrow N_G(T)/T^0)$ that is naturally embedded into $W_{aff}(T)$. Thus,

$$W_{aff}^H(T) = W_{aff}(T)/W_{H,aff}(T)$$

It is known that H acts on \mathcal{T}_σ with finitely many orbits (see [HW93]) and we let $A = \mathcal{T}_\sigma/H$ be a set of representatives.

Our first main result follows from the following description of the set $I \backslash X$.

Theorem 1.2. *There is a natural bijection between the I orbits on X and $\cup_{T \in A} W_{aff}^H(T)$*

Using this bijection we define an action of the affine Weyl group of G , W_{aff} on the I orbits on X which we denote by $w \times x$ for $w \in W_{aff}$ and x an I orbit on X .

We now turn our attention to the description of the action of the Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on $S(X)^I$. We prove two results regarding this action: the first is a generalization of the classical Iwahori-Matsomoto relations, but it is not a complete description. The second result completes the description but is more involved. We begin with the first result.

The algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is generated (as an algebra) by $\{T_s | s \in \tilde{\Delta}\}$ and by $\{T_\omega | \omega \in \Omega\}$, $\tilde{\Delta}$ being the set of simple reflections inside W_{aff} and Ω being the group of length zero elements in W_{aff} . Thus, in order to describe the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ it is enough to describe the actions of each T_s for $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and each T_ω for $\omega \in \Omega$.

In section 5 (see Definition 5.1) we define a length function, l_σ on the I orbits on X similar to the standard length function on W_{aff} and prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let x be an I orbit on X , let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection, denote by 1_x the characteristic function of x .*

if $l_\sigma(s \times x) > l_\sigma(x)$ then $T_s 1_x = 1_{s \times x}$

if $l_\sigma(s \times x) < l_\sigma(x)$ then $T_s 1_x = (q - 1)1_x + q1_{s \times x}$

Unlike in the description of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ given by Iwahori and Matsumoto in [IM65], $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$ is a possibility and in this case the action of T_s is more complicated and is not described by the Theorem above. Nevertheless, this result is sufficient to deduce that $S(X)^I$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

For the second result we rely heavily on the geometry of the Bruhat-Tits Building of G . We first prove that $(T_s + 1)1_x$ is constant on its support and we present a description of this support in terms of chambers in the affine building of G .

It turns out that there are four options for the size of the support of $(T_s + 1)1_x$. Moreover, we give a way to determine the value of $(T_s + 1)1_x$ on its support by comparing the l_σ lengths of the elements in the support. To formulate the result we use further notations.

Notation 1.4. Let x be an I orbit on X , $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection, $Z \subset I \backslash G/H$ be the support of $(T_s + 1)1_x$.

Denote by γ the value of $(T_s + 1)1_x$ on its support.

Denote by n_{max}, n_{min} be the number of elements in Z of maximal/minimal l_σ length respectively. Meaning $n_{max} = \#\{y | l_\sigma(y) = \max\{l_\sigma(z) | z \in Z\}\}$. n_{min} is defined similarly.

Let $\delta_{x,max}$ be 1 if $l_\sigma(x) = \max\{l_\sigma(z) | z \in Z\}$ is and 0 otherwise. $\delta_{x,min}$ is defined similarly.

Theorem 1.5. *We have $n_{max}, n_{min} \in \{1, 2\}$. If $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$ then*

$$\gamma = \frac{q-1}{n_{max}} \delta_{x,max} + \frac{2}{n_{min}} \delta_{x,min}$$

Our final result is the construction of a generic module M_t over the generic Iwahori hecke algebra H_t which specializes to the module $S(X)^I$ over $H(G, I)$ at $t = 1$ (see Theorem 8.5 for an exact formulation).

In the last section of this paper, we illustrate through few examples the concrete results one can obtain from this general theorem.

1.2 Methods of proof

We begin by noting that the study of I orbits on X can be translated into the study of H orbits on $I \backslash G$. One can identify $I \backslash G$ with the chambers in the affine building of G together with a Ω coloring. Thus $I \backslash X$ can be replaced with H orbits on the Ω colored chambers in the affine building \mathcal{B}_G .

Taking a cue from [DS11], the starting point of our work is the following:

Proposition 1.6. Any chamber in \mathcal{B} is contained in a σ stable apartment. This apartment is **unique** up to conjugation by H .

This proposition is the key to the classification of orbits described in Theorem 3.3. The action of W_{aff} on them in an immediate consequence.

To any element of $x \in I \backslash X$ we attach the characteristic function 1_x , and those form a basis for the vector space $S(X)^I$. Here x is thought of as a Ω colored chamber in \mathcal{B}_G , the affine building of G . A second tool we use, is our length function

$$l_\sigma : I \backslash X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

that is defined as the distance between the chambers x and $\sigma(x)$ in the building $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

Theorem 1.3 describes the action T_s on 1_x , where s is a simple reflection, in the case where $l_\sigma(s \cdot x) \neq l_\sigma(x)$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on the following Lemma (see Lemma 5.5 in the text):

Lemma 1.7. Let $x \in I \backslash G/H, s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection. Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed by I . Choose $g \in G$ that is representative of the orbit x and choose a σ -stable apartment \mathcal{A} containing both $g\mathcal{C}, gs\mathcal{C}$. Finally let $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$ and let Ω be the intersection of all σ stable apartments that contain f .

Then we have the following criteria:

- $l_\sigma(s \times x) > l_\sigma(x)$ if and only if $g\mathcal{C} \subset \Omega$
- $l_\sigma(s \times x) < l_\sigma(x)$ if and only if $gs\mathcal{C} \subset \Omega$

The case $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$ is more complicated and requires a different approach. We introduce a group G_f obtained as the reductive quotient associated to $f \cap \sigma(f)$ (see subsection 2.3 for properties of the reductive quotient). Here f is the face $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$. G_f is a reductive group of semisimple rank one, we make the observation that the H orbits appearing in the support of $(T_s + 1)1_x$ are in bijection with the orbits of a certain subgroup \tilde{H} of G_f on the flag variety of G_f (See Proposition 6.6). All this is relevant to the calculation of $T_s 1_x$ because a closer look at the key calculation (see Proposition 4.3):

$$(T_s + 1)1_x = \gamma_{f,g} D_f$$

show that $\gamma = \gamma_{f,g}$ is the size of the orbit of $P_f \cap H$ on $g\mathcal{C}$ (see Proposition 7.1), Here P_f is the point-wise stabilizer of f . Using the classification of reductive groups of semisimple rank 1 we are able to describe the possible values of γ .

Using the relations presented in theorems 1.3 and 1.5 we define a generic module, M_t , over the generic Iwahori Hecke algebra, H_t depended on a parameter t . In order to verify that this is indeed a module we have to verify that the relations defining H_t hold. We do this by checking that the I orbits on X and the relations presented in theorems 1.3 and 1.5 don't change when passing to an odd unramified extension of F , thus we verify the relations at infinitely many values of t , all the relations are polynomial in t thus it is enough to verify them at infinitely many values of t .

1.3 Structure of the paper

In Section 2 we provide some necessary background on the affine Weyl group of G , the affine building of G , parahoric group schemes, the Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ and symmetric spaces.

In Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.3 describing the set $I \backslash X$ of I orbits on X in terms of quotients of the affine Weyl group. We then introduce an action of W_{aff} on $I \backslash X$ and verify Theorem 1.1.

In Section 4 we perform a key computation describing the action of a Iwahori-Matsumoto generator T_s of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on a characteristic function 1_x for $x \in I \backslash X$. The answer we obtain is in terms of a combinatorial quantity γ which is the size of a specific H -orbit of chambers in the affine building \mathcal{B}_G attached to x and to $s \cdot x$.

In Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.3 that provides Iwahori-Matsumoto type formulas for the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on $S(X)^I$. Although our formulas do not provide a full description of the module $S(X)^I$, they are sufficient to deduce that $S(X)^I$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

In Section 6 we discuss actions of involution on parahoric group schemes and obtained a bijection between H orbits on certain chambers and the orbits of a symmetric subgroups of reductive quotients on flag varieties of these reductive quotients.

In Section 7 we use the results of Section 6 to conclude the analysis of the action of a Iwahori-Matsumoto generator of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on a characteristic function of an orbit.

In Section 8 we use the results of the previous sections to introduce a generic module M_t over the generic Hecke algebra that is described using generator and relations.

In Section 9 we provide two examples for the results of the previous sections.

1.4 Related works

Regarding theorem 1.1 we mention that the generalizations of Cartan decomposition to the context of symmetric and spherical spaces was extensively studied. We mention here the work [Off04] of Offen in some special cases as well as the work by [MR09]. In the symmetric spaces case by Delorme and Secherre [DS11] and Benoist and Oh [BO07]. We also mention the work [Sak12] of Sakellaridis considering split groups on a wide class of spherical varieties. In these works the authors study the orbits of K_0 , a maximal compact subgroup of G , on X . Particularly in [DS11], Delorme and Secherre, used the affine building of G to give a description of the K_0 orbits on X . Our technique is inspired by their work to give a description of the I orbits on X .

In [Kno95] an action of the Weyl group on the Borel orbits of a spherical space is introduced. The action of W_{aff} we introduce on $I \backslash X$ is an affine version of this in the case of symmetric spaces. Applying our arguments to the spherical building (instead of the affine building) recovers these results in the case of symmetric spaces.

The module $S(X)^{K_0}$ over the Hecke algebra $H(G, K_0)$ was studied extensively, for example by Hironaka [Hir99] for certain spherical and symmetric varieties and by Sakellaridis [Sak13] for a wide class of spherical ones. After determining the spherical functions, they used a Satake type transform in order to obtain a description of the module. Our study of $S(X)^I$ for X symmetric is based on geometry and seem to be new. Recently the modules of I fixed vectors of the Gelfand Graev models and other models were considered. See in particular the results of [CS18].

A study of Hecke modules in the algebraic case was initiated in the work of Lusztig-Vogan [LV83] where they study the Grothendieck group of the category of l -adic constructible H equivariant sheaves on the flag variety G/B as a module over $H(G, B)$ where B is a Borel subgroup of G . Although our methods of proofs are different, our formulas resembles their description.

1.5 Acknowledgement

Most of the results presented in this work were obtained as part of my MSc studies at Ben Gurion University of the Negev, between the years 2019-2021. I would like to thank my MSc advisor (currently my Phd advisor), Eitan Sayag, for teaching me a lot of mathematics and also for many helpful discussion about my research and his many helpful comments during the writing of this text.

2 Notations and Preliminaries

In this section we present several well known results that we use in the next sections of this work. These results regard the affine Weyl group, W_{aff} of G , the affine building \mathcal{B}_G , parahoric group schemes, the Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ and p -adic symmetric spaces.

2.1 The affine Weyl group of G

Definition 2.1 (Coxeter group). A Coxeter group W is a group generated by reflections $W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$, subject to the relations $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$, $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $m_{ii} = 1$ for each i .

There is a length function l on W , $l(w)$ is defined to be the minimal number $k = l(w)$ such that there are $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $w = s_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{i_k}$.

Let T be a maximal split torus of G , we denote by T^0 the unique maximal compact subgroup of T , if $T \cong (F^\times)^n$ then $T^0 \cong (\mathcal{O}^\times)^n$.

We denote by $W(T) = N_G(T)/T$ the Weyl group of G and T , or just by W if it is clear from the context with respect to which maximal torus the Weyl group is taken. Similarly we denote by $W_{aff}(T) = N_G(T)/T^0$ or W_{aff} the affine Weyl group of G and T .

Let $(X^*(T), \Phi, X_*(T), \Phi^\vee)$ be the root datum (see [Spr98] for the definition) that correspond to T . We will use the notation $X_* = X_*(T)$ and $X^* = X^*(T)$.

We choose a subset of positive roots $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ and simple roots $\Delta \subset \Phi^+$.

Theorem 2.2. *The group W is isomorphic to the Coxeter group generated by reflections over Δ (see [Bor91]).*

For any root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have a coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \Phi^\vee$ (see [Spr98] for a definition).

Proposition 2.3. There is a perfect pairing between $X_* \times X^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$ given by composition.

Let $V = X_* \otimes \mathbb{R}$, for any $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $s_\alpha \in N_G(T)$ be an element that induces the reflection over α^\vee in V (see [Lan95] lemma 0.19). We consider $\alpha \in \Phi$ as an element of V^* . For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the affine root $\alpha + k$ and the following affine reflection on V $x \rightarrow s_\alpha(x) - k\alpha^\vee$.

By proposition 2.3 each affine root $\alpha + k$ induces a function on V .

Denote by $H_{\alpha+k}$ the wall $H_{\alpha+k} = \{x \in V \mid \alpha(x) + k = 0\} \subset V$.

The closures of the connected components of $V - \cup_{\alpha \in \Phi, k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\alpha+k}$ will be referred to as the chambers of V .

Remark 2.4. Our definition of chambers is slightly different then the standard one. In the standard definition, the chambers are open subsets of V .

Let \mathcal{C} be the unique chamber on which all the simple roots are positive and which contains 0. \mathcal{C} is called the fundamental alcove.

We define a length function $l : W_{aff}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, for $w \in W_{aff}(T)$ define $l(w)$ to be the number of walls between \mathcal{C} and $w\mathcal{C}$.

W is generated by $\{s_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ (see [D.B] theorem 6) and it acts on V normalizing the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Phi^\vee$.

Let $W_a = \mathbb{Z}\Phi^\vee \rtimes W \subset \text{Aff}(V)$

Theorem 2.5. *The group W_a is a Coxeter group generated by reflections over the faces of \mathcal{C} . l is the equal to the Coxeter length function. Additionally $W_{\text{aff}}(T) = W_a \rtimes \Omega$, where $\Omega = l^{-1}(0) \cong X^\vee / \mathbb{Z}\Phi^\vee$.*

Denote by $\tilde{\Delta}$ a set of elements of $N_G(T)$ which induce on V the reflections over the faces of the fundamental alcove, \mathcal{C} .

Denote by W_{aff} the abstract Coxeter group to which all the $W_{\text{aff}}(T)$ are isomorphic.

2.2 The affine building of G

There are several ways to construct the affine building of a split p -adic group G , We follow the construction presented in [DS11] (for more details see [Lan95]).

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a maximal torus, let Φ be its root system. For a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ there is a unipotent group $\mathbf{U}_\alpha \subset G$ and an isomorphism $u_\alpha : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_\alpha$ such that for any $t \in T$, $t^{-1}u_\alpha(a)t = u_\alpha(\alpha(t)a)$ (see [Bor91] proposition 21.9).

Let $U_\alpha = \mathbf{U}_\alpha(F)$.

There is a filtration on $\mathbb{G}_a(F)$ given by powers of the uniformizer times \mathcal{O} , this induces via u_α a filtration on U_α , we denote $U_{\alpha,k} = u_\alpha(\pi^k \mathcal{O})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This yields a filtration on U_α . $N_G(T)$ acts on $X_*(T)$ and we can extend this action to an affine action on V . As T^0 acts trivially we obtain an action of $W_{\text{aff}}(T)$.

Notation 2.7. Let $L \subset V$ be any subset.

We define N_L to be the group of elements in $N_G(T)$ that fixes L pointwise.

We also denote $U_L = \left\langle U_{\alpha,k} \mid (\alpha + k)|_L \geq 0 \right\rangle$.

We denote by P_L the group generated by U_L and N_L .

For a single point x we denote $U_x = U_{\{x\}}$ and $P_x = P_{\{x\}}$.

Now we can give a description of the enlarged affine building \mathcal{B}_G of the p -adic group G .

Definition 2.8. Let G be a reductive connected p -adic group. Let T be a maximal split torus of G and let $V = X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

As a set $\mathcal{B}_G^T = G \times V / \sim$ where \sim is the equivalence relation $(g, x) \sim (h, y)$ if there is $n \in N_G(T)$ such that $nx = y$ and $g^{-1}hn \in U_x$. \mathcal{B}_G^T is called the enlarged affine building of G associated to T .

Let $Z = Z(G)$ be the center of G , let $\mathcal{Z} = X_*(Z) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. \mathcal{Z} is a subset of \mathcal{B}_G^T and we have $\mathcal{B}_G^T = \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{B}_G^{\text{nen}, T}$. \mathcal{B}_G^T is called the non-enlarged affine building of G .

Recall that all maximal split tori of G are conjugate, the next theorem says that \mathcal{B}_G^T is independent of T .

Theorem 2.9. *Let $T_1, T_2 \subset G$ be two maximal split tori, let $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}T_1g = T_2$ then conjugation by g induces an isomorphism of G topological spaces $\mathcal{B}_G^{T_1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_G^{T_2}$. (See [Lan95] proposition 9.20).*

We denote by \mathcal{B}_G the enlarged affine building of G constructed from some maximal split torus, and by \mathcal{B}_G^{nen} the non-enlarged affine building of G .

We identify V with $(1, v) \in G \times V / \sim$.

Chambers and apartments

Notation 2.10. The apartments of the enlarged building are defined to be the sets of the form $gV = \{(g, v) | v \in V\} / \sim$ which are subsets of \mathcal{B}_G . The apartments of the non-enlarged building are the quotient of the apartments of the enlarged building with respect to \mathcal{Z} .

We denote apartments by curly \mathcal{A} . There is a correspondence between maximal split tori and apartments, the apartment gV corresponds to the torus gTg^{-1} .

All apartments have a structure of an affine space which they carry from V .

Let n be the rank of G .

Theorem 2.11. \mathcal{B}_G is an abstract poly-simplicial complex that satisfies the following properties:

1. Every $n-1$ poly-simplex in an apartment \mathcal{A} lies in exactly two adjacent n poly-simplices of \mathcal{A} and the graph of adjacent n poly-simplices is connected.
2. Any two poly-simplices in \mathcal{B}_G lie in some common apartment \mathcal{A} .
3. If two poly-simplices both lie in apartments \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , then there is a simplicial isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}' fixing the vertices of the two simplices. This isomorphism can be taken to be action by an element of G .

(See section 9 of [Lan95]).

n poly-simplices in \mathcal{B}_G are called chambers, they are all of the form $g\mathcal{C}$. Chambers of \mathcal{B}_G^{nen} are quotients of chambers of \mathcal{B}_G with respect to \mathcal{Z} .

The group of element in G that fix a specific chamber in \mathcal{B}_G pointwise is called an Iwahori subgroup of G .

The next theorem summarizes important properties of the building mentioned in subsections 1.11 and 1.13 in [DS11].

Theorem 2.12. 1. \mathcal{B}_G is the union of its apartments and G acts transitively on them.

2. $N_G(T)$ is the stabilizer of V in \mathcal{B}_G .
3. The group $U_{\alpha, k}$ fixes pointwise the points in V on which the affine root $\alpha + k$ is non-negative.
4. For any $L \subset \mathcal{A}$, P_L is exactly the group of elements that fix L pointwise.
5. For any $g \in G$ there exists $n \in N_G(T)$ such that $gx = nx$ for any $x \in V \cap g^{-1}V$.

Theorem 2.13 (The Affine Bruhat Decomposition). Let T be a maximal split torus of G , let \mathcal{A} be the corresponding apartment in \mathcal{B}_G , let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}_G$ be a chamber and let I be the Iwahori subgroup that corresponds to \mathcal{C} . Then $G = IW_{aff}(T)I$. (See [IM65])

Proposition 2.14. Using theorems 2.13 and 2.5 we can construct a group homomorphism $\omega : G \rightarrow \Omega$ by $\omega(i_1 x w i_2) = w$ for $i_1, i_2 \in I$, $x \in W_a$ and $w \in \Omega$. This homomorphism is well defined.

Proposition 2.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a chamber of \mathcal{B}_G , let I be the Iwahori subgroup which stabilizes \mathcal{C} pointwise and let J be the group that stabilizes \mathcal{C} (not necessarily pointwise). Then I is normal in J and ω induces an isomorphism $J/I \cong \Omega$.

Let I be an Iwahori subgroup of G , theorem 2.15 allows us to think about $I \backslash G$ as the set of Ω colored chambers in the building of G . Denote by C_G the set of chambers in \mathcal{B}_G , we denote the set of Ω colored chambers by $C_\Omega(G) = C_G \times \Omega$. G acts on $C_\Omega(G)$ diagonally, when the action on Ω is given by the left action via $\omega : G \rightarrow \Omega$.

Two more important results which we will use in this work are the convexity of apartments and the Iwahori decomposition.

Convexity of apartments in the building

The convexity of apartments has two forms, the first is given by the following theorem (See [Lan95] Proposition 9.6).

Theorem 2.16. *The intersection of any two apartments \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' is convex with respect to the affine geometry on \mathcal{A} . Meaning that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$ the segment $\{ax + (1-a)y | a \in [0, 1]\}$ inside the affine space \mathcal{A} is contained in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$.*

For the second form of convexity we need another definition.

Definition 2.17. Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be two chambers, a path of adjacent chambers which starts at \mathcal{C}_1 and ends in \mathcal{C}_2 is called a gallery. It will be referred to as a minimal path or a minimal gallery if the number of chambers it contains is equal to the minimal number needed to pass from \mathcal{C}_1 to \mathcal{C}_2 via adjacent chambers.

Theorem 2.18. *If $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ are chambers in an apartment \mathcal{A} then any minimal path between them is contained in \mathcal{A} . ([Bro89] chapter 4 section 4).*

Theorem 2.19 (Iwahori decomposition). *Let $L \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a set with non empty interior (with respect to the affine topology on \mathcal{A}). For any root α let α_L be the affine root of the form $\alpha + k$ with the minimal k that is non negative on L . Let U_L^+ be the group generated by U_{α_L} for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and U_L^- be the group generated by U_{α_L} for $\alpha \in \Phi^-$, then we have*

$$P_L = T^0 U_L = T^0 U_L^+ U_L^- \tag{1}$$

Furthermore we have $U_L^+ = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} U_{\alpha_L}$ when the product can be taken in any order. (See [Mac73] Proposition 2.6.4).

Remark 2.20. This is a generalization of the classical Iwahori decomposition. In the case of $G = GL_n(F)$ the statement of the classical Iwahori decomposition is the following:

Let $K = GL_n(\mathcal{O})$, $I \subset K$ be the subgroup of matrices reduced to upper-triangular when taken mod p . Let $B \subset GL_n(F)$ be the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices and B^t the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices. Let T be the maximal split torus of diagonal

matrices. Let U be the unipotent of B and U^t the unipotent of B^t . Denote $I^+ = I \cap U$ and $I^- = I \cap U^t$. The classical Iwahori decomposition states that

$$I = I^+ I^- T^0$$

This can be recovered from Theorem 2.19 by taking $L = \mathcal{C}$ the fundamental alcove. The we will have $P_L = I$, $U_L^+ = I^+$ and $U_L^- = I^-$.

The building and automorphisms

Theorem 2.21. *The building construction is a map of groupoids, it sends any algebraic automorphism a of G to an automorphism a^* of \mathcal{B}_G which is an affine map on each apartment and satisfies $a^*(gx) = a(g)a^*(x)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}_G$ (See [BT84] §4.2.12).*

2.3 Parahoric group schemes

In this subsection we describe certain \mathcal{O} group schemes related to the Bruhat Tits building, we follow [Tit79], [McN10], [Lan95] and [KP23]. In this subsection when we will refer to subsets of \mathcal{B}_G which are bounded mod center, meaning their image in \mathcal{B}_G^{gen} is bounded, as bounded subsets.

Let E be an unramified extension of F and let \mathcal{B}_G^E be the enlarged affine building of $\mathbf{G}(E)$. Here we denote \mathcal{B}_G by \mathcal{B}_G^F . There is a canonical embedding $\mathcal{B}_G^F \subset \mathcal{B}_G^E$, let $L \subset \mathcal{B}_G^F$ be a bounded set. We denote by P_L^E the point-wise stabilizer of L under the action of $\mathbf{G}(E)$ on \mathcal{B}_G^E .

Theorem 2.22. *Let L be a bounded set which is contained in some apartment of \mathcal{B}_G^F . There exists a smooth affine \mathcal{O} group scheme, \mathcal{G}_L , unique up to a unique isomorphism such that:*

1. *The generic fiber of \mathcal{G}_L is G .*
2. *For every unramified Galois extension E/F with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_E we have $\mathcal{G}_L(\mathcal{O}_E) \cong P_L^E$.*

(See 3.4.1 in [Tit79]).

In our case, where G is split over F , \mathcal{G}_L can be constructed explicitly, as is done in subsection 6.2 of [McN10].

Let \mathbf{T} be the group scheme of a maximal split torus of G and let $T = \mathbf{T}(F)$ be a torus inside G . Let \mathcal{A} be an apartment of \mathcal{B}_G that corresponds to T . Let L be a bounded subset of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.23. Let $\alpha + k$ be an affine root of T where α is a root of T and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u_\alpha : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_\alpha$ be the isomorphism from proposition 2.5. Let π be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} and let \mathbb{G}_a^k be the smooth \mathcal{O} scheme whose \mathcal{O} points are $\pi^k \mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha+k}$ be the smooth group scheme obtained from \mathbb{G}_a^k and u_α such that its \mathcal{O} points are $u_\alpha(\pi^k \mathcal{O})$.

Theorem 2.24. *Let L be a bounded subset of \mathcal{A} . The inclusion of T^0 and \mathbf{U}_{α_L} into P_L can be extended to isomorphisms from \mathbf{T} and \mathcal{U}_{α_L} onto closed subschemes of \mathcal{G}_L .*

Like in theorem 2.19 we can define $\mathcal{U}_L^+ = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_L}$ and similarly \mathcal{U}_L^- . The product of the maps from \mathcal{U}_{α_L} to \mathcal{G}_L yields an isomorphism from \mathcal{U}_L^- and \mathcal{U}_L^+ onto closed subschemes of \mathcal{G}_L . Lastly, The product $\mathcal{U}_L^+ \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathcal{U}_L^-$ maps isomorphically onto an open subscheme of \mathcal{G}_L .

For the proof see 6.1 in [Lan95].

Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L$ the special fiber of \mathcal{G}_L .

Proposition 2.25. Let Φ_C be the set of roots constant on \mathcal{C} . The root system of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L/R_u(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L)$ is Φ_C . (See 8.4.10 in [KP23])

Theorem 2.26. $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L$ has a unique Levi factor which contains $\mathbf{T}(k)$. Here a Levi factor means a direct complement to the unipotent radical.

Proof. This is stated without a proof in [Tit79] and it is theorem A of [McN10]. \square

Proposition 2.27. Let $L_1 \subset L_2$ two bounded subsets of some apartment, the identity map $G \rightarrow G$ extends in a unique way to a \mathcal{O} group homomorphism $res_{L_1}^{L_2} : \mathcal{G}_{L_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{L_2}$. (See 6.2 in [Lan95]).

Proposition 2.28. Let L be any bounded subset of an apartment and let f be a face of some chamber such that $f \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and f is maximal with this property. Then $res_{L_1}^{L_2}$ is an isomorphism on the reductive quotients of the special fibers. (See 3.5 in [Tit79]).

Let $L_1 \subset L_2$ be two faces of some chamber in the building, the map $res_{L_1}^{L_2}$ induces a map $\overline{res}_{L_1}^{L_2}$ from $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L_2}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L_1}/R_u(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L_1})$.

Proposition 2.29. Let L be a face of some chamber in the building, denote by X_L the poset of all faces of any chamber which contain L , the ordering is with respect to inclusion. The map

$$L' \rightarrow image(\overline{res}_L^{L'})$$

is an order preserving bijection between X_L and the spherical building of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L/R_u(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_L)$ (See 9.22 in [Lan95]).

2.4 The Iwahori Hecke algebra of G

In this subsection we summarize some results of [IM65].

Fix a maximal torus T of G and an Iwahori subgroup I of G such that $T^0 \subset I$.

Definition 2.30. The Iwahori Hecke algebra of G is the algebra of I bi-invariant locally constant compactly supported functions on G , it is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

The multiplication operation is given by convolution with respect to a left Haar measure m on G , $f * g(y) = \int f(x)g(x^{-1}y)dm(x)$.

For any $g \in G$ we denote by T_g the function $T_g = 1_{IgI}$.

The following is the well known Iwahori-masumoto description of the Iwahori Hecke algebra.

Theorem 2.31. $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is spanned over \mathbb{C} by $T_w = 1_{IwI}$ for $w \in W_{aff}(T)$. Let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection and let $o \in \Omega$ be an element of length 0.

1. if $l(sw) > l(w)$ then $T_s T_w = T_{sw}$.
2. if $l(sw) < l(w)$ then $T_s T_w = (q - 1)T_w + qT_{sw}$.
3. $T_o T_w = T_{ow}$ for any $w \in W_{aff}$.

Corollary 2.32. $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is generated as an algebra by $T_s = 1_{IsI}$ for $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and by T_o for $o \in \Omega$. Moreover, the relations on these generators are generated by:

1. For $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$, $(T_s + 1)(T_s - q) = 0$.
2. if $s_1, s_2 \in \tilde{\Delta}$ $s_1 \neq s_2$ and $(s_1 s_2)^m = 1$ then $T_{s_1} T_{s_2} T_{s_1} \dots = T_{s_2} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} \dots$ where each product contains m elements.
3. For $o_1, o_2 \in \Omega$ $T_{o_1} T_{o_2} = T_{o_1 o_2}$.
4. For $o \in \Omega$ and $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ we have $oso^{-1} \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and $T_o T_s = T_{oso^{-1}} T_o (= T_{os})$.

2.5 Involutions and symmetric subgroups

Let $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be an algebraic involution, we denote by \mathbf{H} the group of σ fixed elements and by H the F points of \mathbf{H} .

We call $X = G/H$ a p -adic symmetric space. A p -adic symmetric space is an l space (See 1.1 in [BZ76] for a definition).

Tori T such that $\sigma(T) = T$ are called σ stable.

An apartment \mathcal{A} is called σ stable if $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$, notice that σ stable maximal tori correspond to σ stable apartments under the correspondence mention in 2.10.

By theorem 2.21, σ defines an involution on \mathcal{B}_G which we also denote by σ .

In [DS11] (Proposition 2.1) it is proven that

Theorem 2.33. *Let $L \subset \mathcal{B}_G$ be a σ stable set with non empty interior which is contained in some apartment. Then L is contained in a σ stable apartment.*

Corollary 2.34. Any chamber is contained in a σ stable apartment.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a chamber, $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is another chamber, let $L = \mathcal{C} \cup \sigma(\mathcal{C})$, by theorem 2.11, L is contained in some apartment. L has a non empty interior as \mathcal{C} has a non empty interior. By theorem 2.33, L is contained in a σ stable apartment. \square

Consider the action of H on the building \mathcal{B}_G of G . Translating to the language of the building we can deduce from Section 6 in [HW93] the following:

Theorem 2.35. *H acts on the set of σ stable apartments with finitely many orbits.*

3 Iwahori orbits on symmetric spaces

In this section we give a description of the set of I orbits on X and define an action of W_{aff} on them.

By theorem 2.15 $I \backslash G$ is in bijection with the set of Ω colored chambers in the affine building of G . The orbits of I on X are the same as the orbits of H on $I \backslash G$ or on the Ω colored chambers of \mathcal{B}_G .

In order to describe the H orbits on $I \backslash G$ we will need the following proposition about the σ stable apartments.

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathcal{B}_G be enlarged the affine building of G , let \mathcal{C} be a chamber of \mathcal{B}_G , then there exists a σ stable apartment \mathcal{A} that contains \mathcal{C} and such apartment is unique up to action by an element of H that fixes \mathcal{C} pointwise.

Proof. The existence is corollary 2.34 in the present paper. It is not stated in [DS11] but as explained in our preliminaries, it is a direct consequence of a main result of that paper.

We now prove the uniqueness part.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be two σ stable apartments that contain \mathcal{C} . By theorem 2.11 item 3, there is $g \in G$ such that $g\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2$ and g fixes $S = \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$.

Let T_1 be the maximal torus that corresponds to \mathcal{A}_1 and T_2 be the maximal torus that corresponds to \mathcal{A}_2 .

Consider S as a subset of \mathcal{A}_1 and recall the notions from notation 2.7, where the groups U_S, P_S and N_S are introduced.

By item 4 of theorem 2.12 and the Iwahori decomposition (Theorem 2.19) the group of elements that fixes S pointwise is $P_S = U_S T_1^0$.

T_1^0 acts trivially on \mathcal{A}_1 so we may assume that $g \in U_S$, σ maps U_S to itself as it maps S to itself and being an algebraic map sends unipotent elements to unipotent elements. So $\sigma(g) \in U_S$ hence $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in U_S$, on the other hand $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ maps \mathcal{A}_1 to \mathcal{A}_1 so $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in N_G(T_1)$.

We conclude $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in N_G(T_1) \cap U_S$.

It is known that for any chamber \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{A}_1 we have $N_G(T) \cap U_{\mathcal{C}} = 1$ (See for example 0.18 in [Lan95]). $U_S \subset U_{\mathcal{C}}$ so $N_G(T_1) \cap U_S = 1$. This means that $g = \sigma(g)$ or $g \in H$ and $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are in the same H orbit.

Furthermore our g fixes S pointwise, S contains \mathcal{C} so we are done. □

3.1 I orbits on X

To describe the H orbits on $I \backslash G$ we remind that $I \backslash G$ can be identified with the set of Ω colored chambers in the affine building \mathcal{B}_G . We denote Ω colored chambers by $C_{\Omega}(G) = \{(\mathcal{C}, o) | \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}_G, o \in \Omega\}$ G acts by $g(\mathcal{C}, o) = (g\mathcal{C}, \omega(g)o)$.

Let \mathbf{A}_{σ} be the set of σ stable apartments, H acts on \mathbf{A}_{σ} and by Theorem 2.35 this action has finitely many orbits. Let \mathcal{A} be a representative of an orbit and let $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of all Ω colored chambers contained in an apartment that is in the H orbit of \mathcal{A} . Clearly $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ does not depend on the chosen representative and is stable under the action of H . By Proposition 3.1, the sets of the form $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ are disjoint.

So it is enough to describe the H orbits on $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a σ stable apartment in the affine building of G , let T be the maximal split torus that corresponds to \mathcal{A} , define $W_{H,aff}(T) = Im(N_H(T) \rightarrow W_{aff}(T))$.

Fix a chamber $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

The map that sends $W_{H,aff}(T)w$ to the H orbit of $(w\mathcal{C}, \omega(w))$ is a bijection between $W_{H,aff}(T) \backslash W_{aff}(T)$ and the H orbits on $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. It is clear that the map is well defined and surjective, we only have to check that the map is injective. Assume we have $w_1, w_2 \in W_{aff}(T)$ such that there is $h \in H$ with $hw_1\mathcal{C} = w_2\mathcal{C}$ and $\omega(hw_1) = \omega(w_2)$, we need to show that $w_1w_2^{-1} \in HT^0$.

Let $h \in H$ such that $hw_1\mathcal{C} = w_2\mathcal{C}$ and $\omega(hw_1) = \omega(w_2)$, we have $hw_1w_2^{-1}w_2\mathcal{C} = w_2\mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 3.1 applied to the chamber $w_2\mathcal{C}$ we know that there is $h' \in H$ that fixes $w_2\mathcal{C}$ pointwise such that $hw_1w_2^{-1}\mathcal{A} = h'\mathcal{A}$.

Therefore $h'^{-1}hw_1w_2^{-1}$ stabilizes \mathcal{A} and fixes the chamber $w_2\mathcal{C}$ pointwise (pointwise, because $\omega(h') = \omega(hw_1w_2^{-1}) = 1$). Thus $h'^{-1}hw_1w_2^{-1} \in T^0$. This finishes the proof. \square

For any σ stable torus T denote $W_{aff}^H(T) = W_{H,aff}(T) \backslash W_{aff}(T)$.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k\}$ be a set of representatives for the H orbits on the σ stable apartments (there is a finite number by Theorem 2.35).

Let I be the group that fixes a chamber that is contained in \mathcal{A}_0 , for any $i \neq 0$ choose g_i such that $g_i\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_i$ and set $g_0 = 1$, let T be the torus that corresponds to \mathcal{A}_0 .

From the previous proposition we deduce:

Theorem 3.3. *The map from $\cup_{0 \leq i \leq k} W_{aff}^H(g_i^{-1}Tg_i)$ that sends $g \in W_{aff}^H(g_i^{-1}Tg_i)$ to $Ig_i gH$ is a bijection onto the I orbits on X .*

3.2 Action of W_{aff} on $H \backslash G / I$

Theorem 3.3 allows us to define an action of the affine Weyl group of G on the I orbits on X . The group acts separately on each $W_{aff}^H(T)$ and on each one it acts via the isomorphism of the abstract Coxeter group W_{aff} and $W_{aff}(T)$ and the action of $W_{aff}(T)$ on its quotient. We denote by $w \times x$ the resulting action of $w \in W_{aff}$ on $x \in I \backslash G / H$. The action doesn't depend on the choices of g_i .

The choice of the isomorphism between W_{aff} and $W_{aff}(T)$ is the same as the choice of a chamber in proposition 3.2 and doesn't change the action of the group.

Remark 3.4. Notice that by the construction of the action it follows that for $x \in I \backslash G / H$ and $w \in W_{aff}$ we can find a Ω colored chamber $(\mathcal{C}_x, o_x) \in C_\Omega(G)$ whose H orbit is x and another Ω colored chamber $(\mathcal{C}_{w \times x}, o_{w \times x}) \in C_\Omega(G)$ whose H orbits is $w \times x$ such that \mathcal{C}_x and $\mathcal{C}_{w \times x}$ are contained in a single σ stable apartment.

4 The Key Computation

Let $X = G/H$ be a p -adic symmetric space, recall that our goal is to describe the action of the Iwahori Hecke algebra, $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on $S(X)^I$. By Theorem 2.32 it is enough to describe the action of T_s for $s \in \Delta$ and the action of T_o for $o \in \Omega$.

We begin with describing the action of T_o for $o \in \Omega$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $o \in \Omega$ and let $x \in I \backslash G / H$. Let $T_o \in \mathcal{H}(G, I)$ be the characteristic function of o and let $1_x \in S(X)^I$ be the characteristic function of x . Then $T_o 1_x = 1_{o \times x}$

Proof. Let m be a left Haar measure on G normalized such that $m(I) = 1$.

$$T_o 1_x(y) = \int_{g \in G} T_o(g) 1_x(g^{-1}y) dm(g)$$

If $T_o 1_x(y) \neq 0$ then there is $g \in IoI$ such that $g^{-1}y \in IxH$, therefore $y \in IoIxH$. Ω normalizes I so we must have $y \in IoIxH$. Thus, $T_o 1_x$ is proportional to $1_{o \times x}$. If $y \in IoIxH$ then $T_o 1_x(y) = m(IoI) = 1$ so we are done. \square

Let $x \in I \backslash G/H$ and let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection.

Let $T_s \in \mathcal{H}(G, I)$ be the generator that corresponds to s . Let $1_x \in S(X)^I$ be the characteristic function of x .

In remaining part of this Section we will translate the problem of computing $T_s 1_x$ to a combinatorial problem about H orbits of chambers in \mathcal{B}_G the building of G .

We begin with the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.2. Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed by I and let \mathcal{A} be an apartment that contains \mathcal{C} , let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be an element that induces a reflection over a face of \mathcal{C} .

The set of chambers $Is\mathcal{C}$ is the set of all chambers that contain $\mathcal{C} \cap s\mathcal{C}$ which are different from \mathcal{C} and its size is $q = \#k$.

Proof. Denote $f = \mathcal{C} \cap s\mathcal{C}$. The chamber $s\mathcal{C}$ contains f and I fixes f so every chamber in $Is\mathcal{C}$ contains f .

Now let \mathcal{C}_1 be a chamber that contains f and is different from \mathcal{C} and $s\mathcal{C}$. By theorem 2.11 there is an apartment \mathcal{A}' that contains \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_1 , also there is $g \in G$ such that $g\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'$ and such that g fixes $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$ pointwise. $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$ so g fixes \mathcal{C} and it sends $s\mathcal{C}$ to \mathcal{C}' . We found $g \in I$ such that $gs\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1$ which proves $\mathcal{C}_1 \in Is\mathcal{C}$.

It is well known that chambers that contain a given face f corresponds bijectivly to $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ which is of size $q + 1$ (for example, this follows from Propositions 2.25 and 2.29). Excluding \mathcal{C} there are q chambers that contain f . \square

Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed pointwise by I , let $g \in G$ be a representative of the orbit x .

There is $o \in \Omega$ such that $(g\mathcal{C}, o)$ is a Ω colored chamber whose H orbit is x , $(gs\mathcal{C}, o)$ is a Ω colored chamber whose orbit is $s \times x$, denote $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$.

By Lemma 4.2, there are $q + 1$ chambers that contain f . We will denote them by $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}$. The key formula is formulated now as follows.

Proposition 4.3. Let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$, $x \in I \backslash G/H$, g a representative of x , $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}$ are the chambers that contain f . Denote $o = \omega(g) \in \Omega$

Let $O_{f,o}$ be the set of H orbits of $(\mathcal{C}_1, o), \dots, (\mathcal{C}_{q+1}, o)$.

Define $D_{f,o} = \sum_{x \in O_{f,o}} 1_x$ and let $\gamma_{f,g} = \#\{1 \leq i \leq q + 1 | H(\mathcal{C}_i, o) = H(g\mathcal{C}, o)\}$.

Then we have:

$$(T_s + 1)1_x = \gamma_{f,g} D_{f,o}$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$ choose $g_1, \dots, g_{q+1} \in G$ such that $g_i\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\omega(g_i) = \omega(g)$. Denote $x_i = g_iH$.

We have to compute $T_s 1_x(x_i)$. Let m be a left Haar measure on G normalized such that $m(I) = 1$. A direct calculation gives:

$$T_s 1_x(x_i) = \int_{g' \in G} T_s(g') 1_x(g'^{-1}x_i) dm(g') = m(\{k \in IsI | k^{-1}g_i \in IgH\}).$$

On other hand,

$$m(\{k \in IsI | k^{-1}g_i \in IgH\}) = m(IsI \cap g_iHg^{-1}I) = m(g_i^{-1}IsI \cap Hg^{-1}I).$$

To calculate the intersection, we use lemma 4.2 to write $IsIg_i = \cup_{k \neq i} Ig_k$

This clearly implies that

$$g_i^{-1}IsI = \cup_{k \neq i} g_k^{-1}I$$

Passing to measures, we get

$$m(g_i^{-1}IsI \cap Hg^{-1}I) = \sum_{k \neq i} m(g_k^{-1}I \cap Hg^{-1}I).$$

Combining these we obtain

$$T_s 1_x(x_i) = \sum_{k \neq i} m(g_k^{-1}I \cap Hg^{-1}I)$$

We observe that by the our choice of g_1, \dots, g_{q+1} , for every k we either have $g_k^{-1}I \subset Hg^{-1}I$ or $g_k^{-1}I \cap Hg^{-1}I = \emptyset$.

So the aforementioned sum is equal to

$$\beta_{g\mathcal{C}, g_i\mathcal{C}} := \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{Hg_kI, HgI} \quad (*)$$

Here, $\delta_{Hg_kI, HgI}$ is 1 if $Hg_kI = HgI$ and zero otherwise.

The "missing term" in the formula

$$T_s 1_x(x_i) = \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{Hg_kI, HgI}$$

motivate us to consider the quantity $T_s 1_x(x_i) + 1_x(x_i)$. which is independent of i and equals to $\gamma_{f,g}$.

Thus we obtain $(T_s + 1)1_x(x_i) = \gamma_{f,g}$.

This computation also shows that $(T_s + 1)1_x$ is supported on $\{x_1, \dots, x_{q+1}\}$ so must have

$$(T_s + 1)1_x = \gamma_{f,g} D_{f,o} \quad \square$$

We see that in order to compute $T_s 1_x$, where $x \in I \backslash G/H$ and $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ is a simple reflection we need to answer the following combinatorial question: given s as above, a chamber \mathcal{C} and $o \in \Omega$ such that (\mathcal{C}, o) corresponding to x , determine the sizes of the H orbits of chambers that contain $f = \mathcal{C} \cap s\mathcal{C}$ with the color $o \in \Omega$.

5 Iwahori-Matsumoto type formulas

In this section we prove a result that generalizes the classical Iwahori-Matsumoto description given in theorem 2.31, thus giving a partial solution to the problem of describing the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on $S(X)^I$. This allows us to show that $S(X)^I$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$. In the description of Iwahori-Matsumoto, a key role is played by a length function on the affine Weyl group. In our description, we require a length function ℓ_σ on the set $I \backslash G/H$.

5.1 The length function

Our length function will be defined first on the chambers of the building. We begin by introducing this length function, l_σ .

Recall from definition 2.17, for any two chambers $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{B}_G$, their distance $d(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ is defined to be the length of a minimal gallery between them.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a chamber of \mathcal{B}_G . Define

$$l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) := d(\mathcal{C}, \sigma(\mathcal{C}))$$

Proposition 5.2. l_σ is constant on H orbits.

Proof. Let $h \in H$ and \mathcal{C} a chamber, then $l_\sigma(h\mathcal{C}) = d(h\mathcal{C}, \sigma(h\mathcal{C})) = d(h\mathcal{C}, h\sigma(\mathcal{C})) = d(\mathcal{C}, \sigma(\mathcal{C}))$. \square

We use the notation l_σ also for the induced length function on the H orbits on the chambers of the building.

Under the identification between $I \backslash G/H$ with H orbits of Ω colored chambers in the building, l_σ induces a length function on $I \backslash G/H$ which we also denote by l_σ .

For $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ a simple reflection let $T_s \in \mathcal{H}(G, I)$ be the corresponding element of the Hecke algebra.

Now we can formulate an analogue of theorem 2.31.

Theorem 5.3. For $x \in I \backslash G/H$ let $1_x \in S(X)^I$ be the characteristic function of the orbit x . Let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection.

We have:

- If $l_\sigma(s \times x) > l_\sigma(x)$ then $T_s 1_x = 1_{s \times x}$.
- If $l_\sigma(s \times x) < l_\sigma(x)$ then $T_s 1_x = (q - 1)1_x + q1_{s \times x}$.

Remark 5.4. In the group case it is always true that $l_\sigma(s \times x) \neq l_\sigma(x)$, thus the theorem indeed generalizes the classical description of Iwahori-Matsumoto. However, for a general symmetric space it might happen that $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$. The general case will be addressed in theorem 7.12.

For the proof of theorem 5.3 we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. Let $x \in I \backslash G/H$, $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ a simple reflection. Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed by I . Choose $g \in G$ and choose a σ -stable apartment \mathcal{A} such that g is representative of the orbit x and $g\mathcal{C}, gs\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ (see Remark 3.4) and let $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$.

Let Π be the intersection of all σ stable apartments that contain f . Then we have the following criteria:

- $l_\sigma(s \times x) > l_\sigma(x)$ if and only if $g\mathcal{C} \subset \Pi$.
- $l_\sigma(s \times x) < l_\sigma(x)$ if and only if $gs\mathcal{C} \subset \Pi$.

Proof. Let us look at all the σ stable apartments that contain f , there are 3 cases:

1. All of them contain $g\mathcal{C}$.
2. All of them contain $gs\mathcal{C}$.
3. Neither case 1 nor case 2 happen.

We will show first that in case 3 we necessarily have $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$ and thus nothing to prove.

In case 3 the intersection Π , of all σ stable apartments that contain f , is a convex set in \mathcal{A} (by theorem 2.16) that contains f but doesn't contain any chamber that contains f so it is contained in P , the affine hyper-plane spanned by f .

P is σ stable as it is spanned by the intersection of σ stable apartments.

The fact that P is σ stable implies that r_P , the reflection across P , commutes with σ on \mathcal{A} . Let $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{i=1}^m$ be a minimal gallery that starts with $\mathcal{C}_1 = g\mathcal{C}$ and ends with $\mathcal{C}_m = \sigma(g\mathcal{C})$. Observe that by theorem 2.18 these chambers are all contained in the apartment \mathcal{A} . Applying the reflection r_P we obtain a minimal gallery $(r_P(\mathcal{C}_i))_{i=1}^m$ that starts with $r_P(g\mathcal{C}_1) = gs\mathcal{C}$ and ends with $r_P(\sigma(g\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(r_P(g\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(gs\mathcal{C})$ which means that $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) = l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C}) = l_\sigma(x)$.

This shows that in case 3 we have $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$. For the other cases it is enough to show that if $l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) > l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ then case 1 happens, that is all the σ stable apartments that contain f also contain $g\mathcal{C}$.

If $l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) > l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ then $l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) = l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C}) + 2$ as l_σ is constant modulo 2 on each apartment. This means that we can choose a minimal gallery of chambers from $g\mathcal{C}$ to $\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ and then add $gs\mathcal{C}$ at the start and $\sigma(gs\mathcal{C})$ at the end and get a minimal gallery of chambers from $gs\mathcal{C}$ to $\sigma(gs\mathcal{C})$. By this and theorem 2.18 any σ stable apartment that contains $gs\mathcal{C}$ has to contain $g\mathcal{C}$. Therefore either case 1 or case 3 happen and we know that it isn't case 3.

The case of $l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) < l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ is treated in a similar way. □

Now we can deduce theorem 5.3

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed by I , let $g \in G$ a representative of the orbit x and let \mathcal{A} be a σ stable apartment that contains $g\mathcal{C}$ and $gs\mathcal{C}$.

If $l_\sigma(gs\mathcal{C}) > l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ then by lemma 5.5 all σ stable apartments that contain $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$ must contain $g\mathcal{C}$.

Let \mathcal{C}' be a chamber that contains f and is different from $g\mathcal{C}$, let \mathcal{A}' be a σ stable apartment that contains \mathcal{C}' , it must contain $g\mathcal{C}$. By proposition 3.1 there is $h \in H$ that fixes $g\mathcal{C}$ and satisfies $h\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}$. This implies $h\mathcal{C}' = gs\mathcal{C}$.

This means that all chambers that contain f and are different from $g\mathcal{C}$ are in the H orbit of $gs\mathcal{C}$. $g\mathcal{C}$ it self is not in this H orbit. By proposition 4.3 this yields $(T_s + 1)1_x = 1_{s \times x} + 1_x$, or $T_s 1_x = 1_{s \times x}$

The second case is similar. □

The next corollary provides a simple formula for the action of T_w in some cases.

Corollary 5.6. Let $x \in I \backslash G / H$ be like in the previous theorem, let $w \in W_{aff}(T)$ and let $l : W_{aff} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the usual length function on W_{aff} (see Section 2.1 for a definition).

If $l_\sigma(w \times x) = 2 \cdot l(w) + l_\sigma(x)$ then

$$T_w 1_x = 1_{w \times x}$$

Proof. Write $w = s_1, \dots, s_{l(w)} o$ as a product of simple reflections $s_i \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and $o \in \Omega$.

By theorem 2.31 $T_w = T_{s_1} \dots T_{s_{l(w)}} T_o$, for any $1 \leq j \leq l(w)$ we have $l_\sigma(s_j \times \dots \times s_{l(w)} \times o \times x) \leq l_\sigma(x) + 2(l(w) - j + 1)$ and we must have an equality otherwise we wouldn't have the equality in the assumption as acting by each s_i can increase the length by at most 2.

By theorem 5.3, proposition 4.1 and induction we get that $T_{s_j} \dots T_{s_{l(w)}} T_o 1_x = 1_{s_j \times \dots \times s_{l(w)} \times o \times x}$. For $j = 1$ we obtain the required result. \square

This result is enough to prove that $S(X)^I$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

First we need the following two propositions.

Proposition 5.7. Let T be a σ stable maximal split torus of G and let \mathcal{A} be the apartment that corresponds to T . T acts on \mathcal{A} by translations. Let $t \in T$ such that its action on \mathcal{A} commutes with σ , then there is $t' \in T \cap H$ whose action on \mathcal{A} is the same as t .

Proof. Let π be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} and let $\Lambda(T) = \{\chi^\vee(\pi) | \chi^\vee \in X^*(T)\}$. T is a direct product of $\Lambda(T)$ and T^0 (See 3.6 in [DS11]). Write $t = t_1 t_2$ with $t_1 \in \Lambda(T)$ and $t_2 \in T^0$. Choose a chamber $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$, we have $\sigma(t)\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(t\mathcal{C}) = t\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, so $t^{-1}\sigma(t)$ acts as a translation that fixes $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and thus it acts trivially, meaning $t^{-1}\sigma(t) \in T^0$. Thus $t_1^{-1}\sigma(t_1) \in T^0$. $\Lambda(T)$ is σ stable so we must have $t_1^{-1}\sigma(t_1) \in T^0 \cap \Lambda(T) = 1$ or $t_1 \in H$. t and t_1 act the same way on \mathcal{A} so we are done. \square

Proposition 5.8. Let \mathcal{A} be a σ stable apartment, let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of H orbits of chambers \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{A} with $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = d$ is finite.

Proof. Let T be the maximal torus which corresponds to \mathcal{A} . Notice that modulo the action of T the number of chambers is finite (in fact equal to $\#W$). Thus modulo the action of T the number of pairs $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ with $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ chambers in \mathcal{A} such that $d(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2) = d$, is finite. Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ be two chambers in \mathcal{A} such that $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$ and such that $(\mathcal{C}_1, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1))$ and $(\mathcal{C}_2, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2))$ are in the same T orbit. There is $t \in T$ such that $t\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$ and $t\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$. This implies that the action of t on \mathcal{A} commutes with σ and by the previous proposition t may be replaced with an element of $T \cap H$. We obtain $\mathcal{C}_2 = t\mathcal{C}_1$ with $t \in H$ so \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are in the same H orbit. \square

Theorem 5.9. Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group split over a p -adic field F . Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}^\sigma$ for some algebraic involution σ and let $X = \mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{H}(F)$ be a p -adic symmetric space. Then $S(X)^I$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

Proof. Consider the collection \mathcal{Y} of H orbits of chambers that contain a point fixed by σ . We claim that \mathcal{Y} is finite and that the characteristic functions of these chambers (together with some Ω color) generate $S(X)^I$ over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

We begin by showing that \mathcal{Y} is finite. We know that there is a finite number of H orbits on σ stable apartments, so it is enough to fix a σ stable apartment \mathcal{A} and to show that in \mathcal{A} the number of H orbits of chamber with a σ fixed point is finite. If \mathcal{C} is a chamber that has a σ fixed point then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$. It is clear that the distance between two intersecting

chambers is bounded and thus by the previous proposition there is a finite number of H orbits of such chambers.

In order to prove the second part, we again can fix a σ stable apartment \mathcal{A} , let \mathcal{C} be a chamber in \mathcal{A} without a σ fixed point. It is enough to show that there is a minimal gallery between \mathcal{C} and $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ such that the chamber \mathcal{C}_1 which appears immediately after $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) - 2$. If we will show this we will be able to finish using corollary 5.6, by induction on $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

It is enough to show that there is a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the line connecting x and $\sigma(x)$ intersects some chamber adjacent to \mathcal{C} . Assuming the contrary we will obtain that $\frac{x+\sigma(x)}{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ but \mathcal{C} doesn't contain σ fixed points. A contradiction. \square

Remark 5.10. The corollary can be deduced by combining the finite multiplicity result (Theorem 5.7) of [Del10] and the finite type results (Theorem B.0.2) of Appendix B of [AGS15]. We believe our proof is more elementary.

6 Involutions and parahoric group schemes

In order to deal with the equality case $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$ we will use reduction to a symmetric space of a group with semi simple rank 1 over a finite field. In order to perform this reduction we consider, in this section, the action of σ on certain parahoric group schemes.

Assume that \mathcal{A} is a σ stable apartment of \mathcal{B}_G , let $L \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a face of some chamber in \mathcal{A} . Denote by $span(L)$ the minimal affine hyper plane that contains L (this is an affine hyper plane and not a linear one). Assume that $span(L)$ is σ stable, and let $L' = L \cup \sigma(L)$, clearly L' is σ stable.

Let \mathcal{G}_L , $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(L)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{L'}$ be the \mathcal{O} group schemes which corresponds to L , $\sigma(L)$ and L' respectively (see section 2.3).

Proposition 6.1. σ induces an involution $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_{L'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{L'}$, σ also induces a map $\mathcal{G}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\sigma(L)}$.

Proof. We define the map on the open subscheme of $\mathcal{G}_{L'}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{L'}^+ \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathcal{U}_{L'}^-$ (see Theorem 2.24). \mathbf{T} is σ stable and for any root α , $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_{L'}}$ is mapped to $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma(\alpha)_{L'}}$. σ can be extended to $\mathcal{G}_{L'}$ in a unique way by Theorem 0.10 of [Lan95].

The second part is done similarly. \square

We have restriction maps $res_L^{L'} : \mathcal{G}_{L'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_L$ and $res_{\sigma(L)}^{L'} : \mathcal{G}_{L'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\sigma(L)}$ (see Proposition 2.27).

Proposition 6.2. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_{L'} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{G}_{L'} \\ \downarrow res_L^{L'} & & \downarrow res_{\sigma(L)}^{L'} \\ \mathcal{G}_L & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{G}_{\sigma(L)} \end{array}$$

Proof. On the open subscheme isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{L'}^+ \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathcal{U}_{L'}^-$ it is clear. Two maps which commute on an open subscheme must commute on the entire scheme. \square

Let C_L be the set of all chambers that contain L .

Let P_L be the pointwise stabilizer of L , it can be identified with the \mathcal{O} points of \mathcal{G}_L .

Let $G_{L'} = \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'}/R_u(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'})$ be the special fiber of $\mathcal{G}_{L'}$ divided by its unipotent radical. $G_{L'}$ is the reductive quotient associated to L' . σ acts on $G_{L'}$.

We will construct a bijection between the $H \cap P_L$ orbits on C_L and the $G_{L'}^\sigma$ orbits on the flag variety of $G_{L'}$.

Proposition 6.3. $H \cap P_L = H \cap P_{L'}$ and each element of this group projects to a σ invariant element of $G_{L'}$.

Proof. Clearly $H \cap P_{L'} \subset H \cap P_L$ and if $h \in H$ fixes L pointwise it also fixes $\sigma(L)$ pointwise, meaning we have $H \cap P_L = H \cap P_{L'}$. The second part is also clear. \square

The converse also holds.

Proposition 6.4. Any a σ invariant element of $G_{L'}$ can be lifted to an element of $H \cap P_L$.

Proof. Let x be a σ invariant element of $G_{L'}$. By theorem 2.26 There is a unique Levi factor $M \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'}$ which contains $\mathbf{T}(k)$. $\mathbf{T}(k)$ is σ stable and the unipotent radical of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'}$ is also σ stable, therefore M must be σ stable. There is $m \in M$ which projects to $x \in G_{L'}$. $\sigma(m)$ also projects to $\sigma(x) = x$ and $\sigma(m) \in M$, therefore we must have $m = \sigma(m)$.

$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'}$ is smooth and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{L'}^\sigma$ is also smooth (see for example Proposition 34 in [Edi92]). By Hansel's lemma we can find a σ invariant element of $\mathcal{G}_{L'}(\mathcal{O})$ which projects to m . This element is in $H \cap P_{L'}$. \square

Like in proposition 2.29, consider the following map, which we denote by \overline{res}_L , from C_L , the set of chambers that contain L , to the flag variety of G_L . Let $\mathcal{C} \in C_L$, $\overline{res}_L(\mathcal{C}) = Im(\overline{res}_L^\mathcal{C})$ is a Borel of G_L .

Proposition 6.5. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B(G_{L'}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B(G_{L'}) \\
\downarrow res_{L'}^{L'} & & \downarrow res_{\sigma(L')}^{L'} \\
B(G_L) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B(G_{\sigma(L)}) \\
\downarrow \overline{res}_L^{-1} & & \downarrow \overline{res}_{\sigma(L)}^{-1} \\
C_L & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & C_{\sigma(L)}
\end{array}$$

Here $B(K)$ denotes the flag variety of a reductive group K over the finite field k .

Proof. The top square commutes by proposition 6.2. In order to check the commutativity of the bottom square it is enough to check that for any chamber \mathcal{C} that contains L the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{G}_{\sigma(\mathcal{C})} \\
\downarrow res_L^\mathcal{C} & & \downarrow res_{\sigma(L)}^{\sigma(\mathcal{C})} \\
\mathcal{G}_L & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{G}_{\sigma(L)}
\end{array}$$

This can be checked on an open subscheme like in the proof of proposition 6.2. □

Consider the map from $B(G_{L'})$ to C_L obtained by composition of the maps in the left column of the commutative diagram of the previous proposition. This map is an isomorphism by propositions 2.29 and 2.28. Denote the inverse of this map by $b_L : C_L \rightarrow B(G_{L'})$.

Recall that we have $\omega : G \rightarrow \Omega$.

Proposition 6.6. The map b_L and its inverse interchange $H \cap \text{Ker}(\omega)$ orbits and $G_{L'}^\sigma$ orbits.

Proof. From propositions 6.3 and 6.4 we get that these maps interchange $H \cap P_L$ orbits and $G_{L'}^\sigma$ orbits. Thus we only need to prove that two chambers in C_L are in the same $H \cap \text{Ker}(\omega)$ orbit if and only if they are in the same $H \cap P_L$ orbit.

The first direction $H \cap P_L \subset H \cap \text{Ker}(\omega)$ follows from the fact that any face contains a special point and that ω is trivial on element that fix some special point.

For the second direction, it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ are chambers that contain L and $h \in H$ satisfies $h\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ and $\omega(h) = 1$ then h fixes f pointwise.

By item 2 of theorem 2.11 there is an apartment \mathcal{A}' which contains \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' . This apartment corresponds to some maximal torus T' .

By item 5 of theorem 2.12 there is $n \in N_G(T')$ which acts on \mathcal{C}' and on \mathcal{C} like h . $\omega(h) = 1$ so we must also have $\omega(n) = 1$.

The action of n fixes $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}'$ pointwise so h fixes L pointwise. Therefore $h \in P_L$ which finishes the proof. □

Definition 6.7. On the Spherical building of $G_{L'}$ we can define a length function l_σ in the same way we did for the affine building.

Proposition 6.8. For any chamber $\mathcal{C} \in C_L$ the number $l_\sigma(b_L(\mathcal{C})) - l_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ doesn't depend on \mathcal{C} and only depends on L .

Proof. It is enough to check this for chambers which intersect at a face of codimension one. This follows from the results of the next section, in particular proposition 7.5 and corollary 7.11. □

Remark 6.9. The l_σ lengths on the spherical building can be used to describe the Bruhat order of Borel orbits closures in the Zariski topology. Using the previous proposition we can define a Bruhat order on C_L .

7 Determining the structure constants $\gamma_{f,g}$

In this section we focus on the calculation of the constants $\gamma_{f,g}$ occurring in the formula

$$(T_s + 1)1_x = \gamma_{f,g}D_{f,o}$$

proved in Proposition 4.3.

Let $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ be a simple reflection. Let \mathcal{C} be the chamber fixed by I and let $g \in G$ be a representative of the orbit $x \in I \backslash G/H$. Let \mathcal{A} be a σ stable apartment that contains $g\mathcal{C}$ and $gs\mathcal{C}$.

Consider the face $f = g\mathcal{C} \cap gs\mathcal{C}$.

In the case $l_\sigma(s \times x) \neq l_\sigma(x)$ we know the value of $\gamma_{f,g}$, its 1 if $l_\sigma(s \times x) > l_\sigma(x)$ and q if $l_\sigma(s \times x) < l_\sigma(x)$.

We assume that $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x)$. This implies that the affine hyper plane spanned by f is σ stable (this was explained during the proof of Lemma 5.5). Thus f satisfies the assumption of the previous section.

Let $f' = f \cup \sigma(f)$. Denote by C_f the set of all chambers in \mathcal{B}_G that contain f . Let $G_{f'}$ be the reductive quotient associated to f' , it is a reductive group over the residue field k . σ acts on $G_{f'}$ as was shown in the previous section. Let $B(G_{f'})$ be the flag variety of $G_{f'}$.

Let $b_f : C_f \rightarrow B(G_{f'})$ be the map constructed in the previous section.

Proposition 7.1. The number $\gamma_{f,g}$ is equal to the size of the $G_{f'}^\sigma$ orbit of $b_f(g\mathcal{C})$ in the flag variety of $G_{f'}$.

Proof. By the definition $\gamma_{f,g}$ is equal to the number of chambers in C_f in the $H \cap \text{Ker}(\omega)$ orbit of $g\mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 6.6 this is equal to the size of the G_f^σ orbit of $b_f(g\mathcal{C})$ in the flag variety of G_f . \square

Proposition 7.2. The semisimple rank of $G_{f'}$ is 1.

Proof. By proposition 2.25 the root system of $G_{f'}$ consists of the roots constant on f , f is a face of co-dimension 1 so there is a single root (up to a sign) in the root system of $G_{f'}$. Therefore the semisimple rank of $G_{f'}$ is 1. \square

Thus, in order to compute the value of $\gamma_{f,g}$ we need to compute the size of an orbit of a symmetric subgroup of a reductive group of semisimple rank 1, on the flag variety of this reductive group.

Proposition 7.3. All split reductive groups of semisimple rank 1 are isomorphic to one of the following groups:

1. $SL_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m^r$
2. $PGL_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m^r$
3. $GL_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m^r$

See 20.3.3 in [Mil17].

The flag variety of any group of semi simple rank 1 is \mathbb{P}^1 . In order to compute the possible values of $\gamma_{f,g}$ we have to compute the size of the orbit of G_f^σ on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Let K be the k points of one of the groups from the previous proposition, let σ be an algebraic involution on K and let $M = K^\sigma$. Let $Z = Z(K)^0$ be the connected center of K . σ induces an involution on K/Z , and M projects to fixed points of this involution on K/Z . Notice that the action of K on its flag variety factors through K/Z . In the first case of proposition 7.3 we have $K/Z = SL_2(k)$, in the two other cases we have $K/Z = PGL_2(k)$. Thus, we are interested in symmetric subgroups of $PGL_2(k), SL_2(k)$.

Proposition 7.4. The symmetric subgroups of $SL_2(k)$ up to conjugation are:

1. $SL_2(k)$.
2. T a split torus.
3. \tilde{T} a non split torus.

The symmetric subgroups of $PGL_2(k)$ up to conjugation are:

1. $PGL_2(k)$.
2. $N_{PGL_2(k)}(T)$ a normalizer of a split torus.
3. $N_{PGL_2(k)}(\tilde{T})$ a normalizer of a non split torus.

See [HW02].

In the first two cases of Proposition 7.3, all the σ stable elements of K/Z lift to M . In the third case this might not be true. If we restrict to $SL_2 \subset GL_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m^r$ it will be true, meaning all σ stable elements of SL_2/Z do lift to $SL_2(k) \cap M$. This means that M/Z must contain the index 4 subgroup $T^2 \subset N_{PGL_2(k)}(T)$ both in the case of a split and in the case of non split torus.

Consider $\tilde{M} = Im(M \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{P}^1))$ and its orbits on the flag variety of K , the options are summarized by the next proposition:

Proposition 7.5. Let \tilde{M} be the image of M in the automorphism group of the flag variety of K , which is isomorphic to $PGL_2(k)$.

Let T_s be a split torus of $PGL_2(K)$ and let $w \in N_{PGL_2(k)}(T_s)$ be an element that normalizes T_s but isn't in T_s .

Let T_n be a non split torus of $PGL_2(K)$ and let $\tilde{w} \in N_{PGL_2(k)}(T_n)$ be an element that normalizes T_n but isn't in T_n .

The options for \tilde{M} up to conjugation, as well as the options for the numbers and sizes of its orbits on \mathbb{P}^1 are given by the following table.

\tilde{M}	number of orbits	sizes of orbits
$PSL_2(k)$	1	$q + 1$
$PGL_2(k)$	1	$q + 1$
T_s	3	$1, 1, q - 1$
T_s^2	4	$1, 1, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}$
$T_s \cup wT_s$	2	$2, q - 1$
$wT_s^2 \cup T_s^2$	3	$2, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}$
$wT_s \cup T_s^2$	2	$2, q - 1$
T_n	1	$q + 1$
T_n^2	2	$\frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}$
$T_n \cup \tilde{w}T_n$	1	$q + 1$
$\tilde{w}T_n^2 \cup T_n^2$	2	$\frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}$
$\tilde{w}T_n \cup T_n^2$	1	$q + 1$

Proof. This follows from a simple computation in each of the cases in the previous proposition, and in the case $K = GL_2(k) \times (k^\times)^r$ and $T^2 \subset \tilde{M} \subset N_{PGL_2(k)}(T)$ where T might be a split or a non split torus. \square

Recall that the structure constant $\gamma_{f,g}$ is equal to the size of an orbit of \tilde{M} on \mathbb{P}^1 .

A corollary we mention here is the fact that the structure constants $\gamma_{f,g}$ are polynomial in q . In fact we have:

Corollary 7.6. The possible values for $\gamma_{f,g}$ are $1, 2, \frac{q-1}{2}, q-1, q, q+1$ and $\frac{q+1}{2}$.

Proof. By theorem 5.3 if $l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C}) \neq l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ then $\gamma_{f,g}$ is either 1 or q . By proposition 7.5 if $l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C}) = l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ then $\gamma_{f,g}$ is one of $1, 2, \frac{q-1}{2}, q-1, q+1$ and $\frac{q+1}{2}$. \square

Remark 7.7. All the values mentioned in corollary 7.6 can be obtained.

The values of $1, q, q+1$ can be obtained in the case of $G = SL_4$ and $H = Sp_4$.

The value $\frac{q-1}{2}$ can be obtained in the case $G = SL_2, H = T$ a maximal split torus.

The value $q-1$ can be obtained in the case $G = GL_2$ and $H = T$ a maximal split torus.

The value 2 can be obtained in the case $G = PGL_2$ and $H = N_G(T)$ normalizer of a maximal split torus.

The value $\frac{q+1}{2}$ can be obtained in the case $G = SL_2$ and $H = \tilde{T}$ a non split torus.

We will now present a way to determine $\gamma_{f,g}$ directly using the l_σ lengths of the chambers that contain f .

Proposition 7.8. Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}$ be the chambers that contain f . The set $\{l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{q+1})\}$ is of size at most 2. Furthermore, the difference between different l_σ lengths is at most 2 if $l_\sigma(x) \neq l_\sigma(s \times x)$ and at most 1 if $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$.

Proof. If $l_\sigma(x) < l_\sigma(s \times x)$ then by lemma 5.5 every chamber in $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}\}$ which isn't $g\mathcal{C}$ is contained in a σ stable apartment, \mathcal{A} , that satisfies $g\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus by Lemma 3.1 all chambers in $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}\}$ which are different from $g\mathcal{C}$ are in the same H orbit and hence have the same l_σ length. This l_σ length is equal to $l_\sigma(s \times x) = l_\sigma(x) + 2$. the same argument also works in the case $l_\sigma(x) > l_\sigma(s \times x)$.

Now assume that $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$. Let \mathcal{C}' be a chamber that contains f and let \mathcal{C}'' be a chamber that contains $\sigma(f)$. There is an apartment \mathcal{A} that contains both \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C}'' . Denote by \bar{f} the affine subspace spanned by f inside \mathcal{A} . There are two cases, either \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C}'' are on the same side of \bar{f} or they are on different sides. This distinction determines $d(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}'')$, in the case they are on different sides their distance is larger by 1 than the distance in the case they are on the same side.

For any chamber \mathcal{C}' that contains f , $\sigma(\mathcal{C}')$ contains $\sigma(f)$. Therefore there are two possible values for $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}') = d(\mathcal{C}', \sigma(\mathcal{C}'))$ and the difference between them is 1. \square

Remark 7.9. Notice that we proved that if $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$ then $l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ might have one of two values, those values depend only on f . $l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ will obtain the minimal value if and only if $\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ and $g\mathcal{C}$ are on the same side of f inside some apartment.

Proposition 7.10. Assume f is a face of codimension 1, let $f' = f \cup \sigma(f)$ and let $G_{f'}$ be the reductive group of semisimple rank 1 defined over k associated to f' . Recall we have an action of σ on $G_{f'}$. Let b_f be the map from C_f , the set of chambers that contain f , to $B(G_{f'})$, the flag variety of $G_{f'}$. Then $g\mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ are on the same side of f in some apartment if and only if $b_L(g\mathcal{C})$ is σ stable.

Proof. Recall the commutative diagram from proposition 6.5.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B(G_{f'}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B(G_{f'}) \\
\downarrow \text{res}_f^{f'} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\sigma(f)}^{f'} \\
B(G_f) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B(G_{\sigma(f)}) \\
\downarrow \overline{\text{res}}_f^{-1} & & \downarrow \overline{\text{res}}_{\sigma(f)}^{-1} \\
C_f & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & C_{\sigma(f)}
\end{array}$$

Let $p \in B(G_{f'})$, p is fixed by σ if and only if $\sigma(\text{res}_f^{f'}(p)) = \text{res}_{\sigma(f)}^{f'}(p)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_p \in C_f$ be the chamber that corresponds to $\text{res}_f^{f'}(p)$ and let $\mathcal{C}'_p \in C_{\sigma(f)}$ the chamber that corresponds to $\text{res}_{\sigma(f)}^{f'}(p)$. We know that $\sigma(p) = p$ if and only if $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_p) = \mathcal{C}'_p$, thus it is enough to prove that \mathcal{C}_p and \mathcal{C}'_p are always on the same side of f .

We can lift p to a subgroup of $P_{f'}$, we denote it by S . $S \subset P_{f'} = \mathcal{G}_{f'}(\mathcal{O})$. The restriction maps are just the restrictions to the groups P_f and $P_{\sigma(f)}$ that contain $P_{f'}$ and the passage to chambers in C_f ($C_{\sigma(f)}$) is by taking the points in the building fixed by S and finding inside them a chamber that contains f ($\sigma(f)$). Therefore we only need to show that if \mathcal{C}' is a chamber that contains f and \mathcal{A} is an apartment that contains both \mathcal{C}' and $\sigma(f)$, and $g' \in S$ fixes both \mathcal{C}' and $\sigma(f)$ pointwise then g' fixes a chamber in \mathcal{A} that contains $\sigma(f)$ on the same side of f as \mathcal{C} . This follows from the fact that the set of elements in \mathcal{A} fixed by g' is convex (see Theorem 2.16 and part 5 of Theorem 2.12). \square

Corollary 7.11. Assume $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$ and that not all of $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{q+1})$ are equal. Let b_f be the map from C_f , the set of chambers that contain f , to $B(G_{f'})$ the flag variety of $G_{f'}$. Let \mathcal{C}_i be a chamber that contains f . $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is minimal among $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{q+1})$ if and only if $b_f(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is σ stable.

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous proposition and the remark before it. \square

Using the previous result we can determine $\gamma_{f,g}$ using the l_σ lengths of the orbits of the chambers that contain f .

As explained above, the calculation of $T_s 1_x$ was reduced to the calculation of $\gamma_{f,g}$. To determine this number we need to know further information regarding the lengths of certain chambers. Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{q+1}$ be all the chambers that contain f , Let \mathcal{O}_f be the set of their H orbits. By proposition 7.8 there are at most two possibilities for the l_σ lengths of orbits in \mathcal{O}_f . Denote by n_{max}, n_{min} be the number of orbits in \mathcal{O}_f of maximal/minimal l_σ length respectively. Let $\delta_{x,max}$ be 1 if $l_\sigma(g\mathcal{C})$ is maximal among $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{q+1})$ and 0 otherwise. $\delta_{x,min}$ is defined similarly.

Theorem 7.12. *We have $n_{max}, n_{min} \in \{1, 2\}$. If $l_\sigma(x) = l_\sigma(s \times x)$ then*

$$\gamma_{f,g} = \frac{q-1}{n_{max}} \delta_{x,max} + \frac{2}{n_{min}} \delta_{x,min}$$

Proof. From Propositions 7.11, 6.3 and 6.4 we know that the number of orbits of minimal/maximal σ length is equal to the number of G_f^σ orbits on the flag variety of $G_{f'}$ of minimal/maximal size. Now a simple check of the cases in Proposition 7.5 verifies the result. \square

8 A Module over the generic Hecke algebra

In this section we will construct an abstract module, M_t , over the abstract Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_t , which specializes to $S(X)^I$ over $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

Definition 8.1. The Iwahori hyper-graph Γ_X of X is defined as follows: Its vertices correspond to the H orbits on $I \backslash G$. The vertex that corresponds to $x \in I \backslash G/H$ is denoted by v_x . For every face of co-dimension 1 in \mathcal{B}_G , the building of G , and for every $o \in \Omega$, we have a hyper edge that contains the H orbits of chambers that contain the face f with the color o .

There is an action of W_{aff} on the vertices (but not on the graph), and there is a function l_σ from the set of vertices to \mathbb{Z} , this function corresponds to the length function l_σ on the orbits.

Proposition 8.2. The possible sizes for the hyper-edges are 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Proof. This follows from proposition 7.12. \square

Definition 8.3. Let t be a variable and let $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ be the generic Hecke algebra of $(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ (defined in many sources, for example [Sol21]). It is defined by generators and relations as follows:

It is generated as an algebra by t , by $T_s \in \mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ for any $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and by $T_o \in \mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ for $o \in \Omega$. t is invertable and commutes with all T_s and T_o and the relations that the T_s, T_o satisfy are:

1. $(T_s + 1)(T_s - t) = 0$
2. if $s_1, s_2 \in \tilde{\Delta}$ $s_1 \neq s_2$ and $(s_1 s_2)^m = 1$ then $T_{s_1} T_{s_2} T_{s_1} \dots = T_{s_2} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} \dots$ where each product contains m elements.
3. For $o_1, o_2 \in \Omega$ $T_{o_1} T_{o_2} = T_{o_1 o_2}$.
4. For $o \in \Omega$ and $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ we have $T_o T_s = T_{o s o^{-1}} T_o$.

Recall that when we specialize t to be the size of the residue field k , $t = q = \#k$, the algebra $\mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})/(t - q)$ is isomorphic to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$.

Notice that $\mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})/(t - 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}[W_{aff}]$.

The calculation of the structure constants $\gamma_{f,g}(q)$ and the fact that they depend in a polynomial way on q shows that when we vary q the modules $S(X)^I$, over the algebras $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$, admits a similar generic version.

Indeed, we show below how to use the hyper-graph Γ_X in order to construct an abstract module $M_t = M_t(\Gamma_X)$ over $\mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$.

Definition 8.4. Let $M_t(\Gamma_X)$ be the free module over $\mathbb{C}[t]$ generated by the vertices of Γ_X . For $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ a simple reflection, we define an action of T_s on $M_t(\Gamma_X)$ as follows.

Let v be a vertex of Γ_X let $e_{v, s \times v}$ be the unique hyper-edge that contains v and $s \times v$.

Then

$$T_s v := -v + \gamma_{v, s \times v}(t) \sum_{v' \in e_{v, s \times v}} v'$$

where $\gamma_{v, s \times v}(t)$ is a polynomial in t determined according to theorem 7.12 with v playing the role x , $e_{v, s \times v}$ the role of \mathcal{O}_f and t the role of q .

We also define an action of T_o for $o \in \Omega$.

$$T_o v = o \times v$$

We call $M_t(\Gamma_X)$ the generic Hecke module attached to the symmetric space X . The connection between the generic Hecke module $M_t(\Gamma_X)$ and the Hecke module $S(X)^I$ is given by the theorem below.

Theorem 8.5. Consider the map $\alpha : M_t(\Gamma_X) \rightarrow S(X)^I$ given by

$$\alpha(v_x) = 1_x, \alpha(t) = q$$

that sends the generator of $M_t(\Gamma_X)$ corresponding to the vertex v_x (attached to the double coset $x \in I \backslash G / H$) to the characteristic function of x . Then

- α induces an isomorphism α_q of vector spaces between $M_t(\Gamma_X)/(t = q)$ and $S(X)^I$.
- α commutes with the action of T_s for any $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and with the action of T_o for any $o \in \Omega$.
- The actions of T_s and T_o for $s \in \tilde{\Delta}$ and $o \in \Omega$ define an action of the generic Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ on $M_t(\Gamma_X)$.
- $\alpha_q : M_t(\Gamma_X)/(t = q) \rightarrow S(X)^I$ is an isomorphism of $H(G, I)$ modules.

Proof. The first two assertions are trivial and it is clear that the fourth assertion follows from the previous three.

Thus we only need to prove the third assertion. We have to show that for any vertex $v \in \Gamma_X$ the relations defining $\mathcal{H}_t(W_{aff}, \tilde{\Delta})$ hold under the actions we defined on the vertices.

Those conditions are polynomial in t , so it is enough to show that they hold for infinitely many values of t . By construction they hold for $t = q$. Let r be an odd integer and let F_r be an unramified extension of F of order r , denote by \mathcal{O}_r its ring of integers and by k_r its residue field. Denote by $X(F_r) = \mathbf{G}(F_r)/\mathbf{H}(F_r)$, it is enough to show that $\Gamma_{X(F_r)} = \Gamma_X$ for any odd r .

Let \mathcal{B}'_G be the building of $\mathbf{G}(F_r)$. We have $\mathcal{B}_G = \mathcal{B}'_G{}^{\text{Gal}(F_r/F)}$ and we have an action of σ on \mathcal{B}'_G that commutes with $\text{Gal}(F_r/F)$.

Step 1: Different H orbits of Ω colored chambers in \mathcal{B}_G remain different in \mathcal{B}'_G .

Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be two chambers of \mathcal{B}_G , assume we have $h \in \mathbf{H}(F_r)$ such that $h\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$. We will find $h' \in H$ such that $h'\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ and $\omega(h) = \omega(h')$ and this will prove step 1.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_G$ be a σ stable apartment that contains \mathcal{C} and let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B}_G$ be a σ stable apartment that contains \mathcal{C}' . There is $g \in G$ such that $\omega(g) = \omega(h)$, $g\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ and $g\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'$. $g\mathcal{A}$ and $h\mathcal{A}$ are two σ stable apartments of \mathcal{B}'_G that contain $g\mathcal{C}$, by proposition 3.1 we may change h such that we would have $h\mathcal{A} = g\mathcal{A}$.

We have $g\mathcal{A} = h\mathcal{A}$ and $g\mathcal{C} = h\mathcal{C}$, let $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a maximal split torus such that $\mathbf{T}(F_r)$ corresponds to \mathcal{A} . Notice that \mathbf{T} is split over F . We have $g^{-1}h \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}_r)$.

r is odd and \mathbf{T} is split over F so $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}_r)/\mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_r)$ is an isomorphism of finite groups. Thus we can change g by an element of $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})$ and assume that $g^{-1}h \in \mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_r)$, so we can write $g^{-1}h = t_1 t_2^{-1}$ with $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_r)$, $\sigma(t_1) = t_1^{-1}$ and $\sigma(t_2) = t_2$. Changing h we may assume further that $t_2 = 1$.

Concluding we have $g \in G$, $h \in \mathbf{H}(F_r)$ and $t_1 \in \mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_r)$ with $\sigma(t_1) = t_1^{-1}$ and $g^{-1}h = t_1$. Thus we have $\sigma(g)t_1^{-1} = \sigma(gt_1) = gt_1$ or $t_1^2 = g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in G$, thus t_1^2 is defined over F . r is odd therefore t_1 is also defined over F . Thus $h = gt_1$ is defined over F and we are done with step 1.

Step 2: There are no additional \mathbf{H} orbits over F_r which aren't defined over F .

The Ω colors of the chambers doesn't depend on the field, therefore the only way a new orbit may appear is if there is a chamber in \mathcal{B}'_G whose $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ doesn't contain any chamber in \mathcal{B}_G . Assume there is a chamber like that. There is a gallery between any two chambers of \mathcal{B}'_G , therefore we can find $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in \mathcal{B}'_G$ which share a face of codimension 1 such that the $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ orbit of \mathcal{C} contains a chamber in \mathcal{B}_G , and the $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ orbit of \mathcal{C}' doesn't contain a chamber in \mathcal{B}_G . Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}_G$.

Let $f = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}'$, let \mathcal{A} be a σ stable apartment of \mathcal{B}_G that contains \mathcal{C} , and let $\mathcal{C}'' \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a chamber that contains f and different from \mathcal{C} . Notice that we must have $l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = l_\sigma(\mathcal{C}')$. Otherwise by Lemma 5.5, all chambers in \mathcal{B}'_G that contain f will be either in the $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ orbit of \mathcal{C} or in the $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ orbit of \mathcal{C}'' . \mathcal{C}' isn't in the $\mathbf{H}(F_r)$ orbit of either \mathcal{C} nor \mathcal{C}'' .

Let $f' = f \cup \sigma(f)$, and let C_f be the set of chambers in \mathcal{B}'_G that contain f . Let $G_{f'}^r = \mathcal{G}_{f'}(k_r)/R_u(\mathcal{G}_{f'}(k_r))$. By Proposition 6.6 the $\mathbf{H}(F_r) \cap \text{Ker}(\omega)$ orbits on C_f correspond to the $(G_{f'}^r)^\sigma$ orbits on the flag variety of $G_{f'}^r$. Following the construction of this correspondences we see that it commutes with the action of $\text{Gal}(F_r/F)$. Checking all the cases of symmetric spaces like in proposition 7.5 we see that there are no cases where a new orbit appears after an extension of odd rank. This finishes step 2.

Thus we proved that vertices of $\Gamma_{X(F_r)}$ are the same as the vertices of Γ_X . The argument for step 2 also proves that the hyper edges are the same. Thus we are done. \square

9 Examples

In this section we will demonstrate the main results of this paper for two specific examples.

9.1 $G = SL_2, H = T$

Let $G = SL_2(F)$, and let σ be conjugation by $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. $H = G^\sigma = T$ is the torus of diagonal matrices.

Let \mathcal{B}_G be the building of $SL_2(F)$ which is the free $q + 1$ regular graph.

Let $W_{aff} = N_G(T)/T^0$, it is the free group generated by two order 2 elements $W_{aff} = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$. Denote by l the length function on the Coxeter group W_{aff} .

Proposition 9.1. Let T_{s_0}, T_{s_1} be the generators of $H(G, I)$ that corresponds to the simple reflections $s_0, s_1 \in W_{aff}$.

We have $S(X)^I \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus H(G, I)/H(G, I)(T_{s_0} + 1) \oplus H(G, I)/H(G, I)(T_{s_1} + 1) \oplus H(G, I)$ where both T_{s_1} and T_{s_0} act on \mathbb{C} by -1 .

This follows from the description given by Theorem 8.5. The next proposition summarizes the results about the structure of $S(X)^I$ in this case. We will assume $4|q - 3$. In the case $4|q - 1$ the next proposition will have to be altered slightly.

Proposition 9.2. • There are three orbits for the action of T on the σ stable apartments in \mathcal{B}_G . They corresponds to three different σ stable tori.

The representatives for σ stable tori under the action of T are given by $g^{-1}Tg$ for g in $\{id, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}\}$. Here π is a uniformizer of F .

- The I orbits on X corresponds to $W_{aff} \cup W_{aff} \cup W_{aff}/T^0$. Denote the orbits in the first two copies of W_{aff} by x_w, y_w for $w \in W_{aff}$. Denote by z_0, z_1 the two orbits in the quotient W_{aff}/T^0 .
- the length function on the orbits is, $l_\sigma(z_0) = l_\sigma(z_1) = 0$, $l_\sigma(x_w) = l_\sigma(y_w) = 2\lfloor \frac{l(w)}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- We have

$$T_{s_0}z_0 + z_0 = T_{s_0}z_1 + z_1 = z_0 + z_1 + x_{s_0} + x_1$$

$$T_{s_1}z_0 + z_0 = T_{s_1}z_1 + z_1 = z_0 + z_1 + y_1 + y_{s_1}$$

$$T_{s_0}x_{s_0} + x_{s_0} = T_{s_0}x_1 + x_1 = \frac{q-1}{2}(x_{s_0} + x_1 + z_0 + z_1)$$

$$T_{s_1}y_{s_1} + y_{s_1} = T_{s_1}y_1 + y_1 = \frac{q-1}{2}(y_{s_1} + y_1 + z_0 + z_1)$$


$$T_s x_w = x_{sw} \text{ if } w \notin \{s_0, 1\} \text{ or } s = s_1 \text{ and } l(sw) > l(w)$$

$$T_s y_w = y_{sw} \text{ if } w \notin \{1, s_1\} \text{ or } s = s_0 \text{ and } l(sw) > l(w)$$

$$T_s x_w = qx_{sw} + (q-1)x_w \text{ if } w \notin \{s_0, 1\} \text{ or } s = s_1 \text{ and } l(sw) < l(w)$$

$$T_s y_w = qy_{sw} + (q-1)y_w \text{ if } w \notin \{1, s_1\} \text{ or } s = s_0 \text{ and } l(sw) < l(w)$$

- The hyper graph Γ_X is the following hyper graph continued downwards periodically. Each parallelogram represents a hyper edge with 4 vertices, each other hyper edge contains exactly 2 vertices.



Proposition 9.1 follows from Proposition 9.2 by taking the generator $z_0 - z_1, z_0 + z_1, x_1 - x_{s_0}$ and $y_1 - y_{s_0}$.

9.2 $G = SL_{2n}, H = Sp_{2n}$

Let $G = SL_n(F), H = Sp_{2n}(F)$ is a symmetric subgroup of G . $X = G/H$ can be identified with anti symmetric matrices in G .

Let $T \subset G$ be the maximal torus of diagonal matrices. Embed $W_{aff} = N_G(T)/T^0$ into G as the group of permutation matrices whose non zero entries are powers of the uniformizer π (up to a sign).

Proposition 9.3. • In this case there is a unique orbit for the action of H on the σ stable apartments in \mathcal{B}_G . The I orbits on X corresponds to anti symmetric matrices in W_{aff} .

- The action of W_{aff} on $I \setminus X$ is given by $w \times x = wxw^t$.
- The length function l_σ is the usual length function l on W_{aff} restricted to the anti-symmetric matrices minus 1.

$$l_\sigma(x) = l(x) - 1$$


- The relations are the following:

$$T_s 1_x = 1_{sxs^t} \text{ if } l(sxs^t) > l(x)$$

$$T_s 1_x = q 1_{sxs^t} + (q - 1) 1_x \text{ if } l(sxs^t) < l(x)$$

$$T_s 1_x = q 1_x \text{ if } sxs^t = x$$

- In the case $n = 2$, W_{aff} is generated by four simple reflections s_0, s_1, s_2 and s_3 . The hyper graph Γ_X is a graph and is given by the following graph continued downward periodically:



References

- [AGS15] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, and Eitan Sayag. Z-finite distributions on p -adic groups. *Adv. Math.*, 285:1376–1414, 2015.
- [BO07] Yves Benoist and Hee Oh. Polar Decomposition for P-adic Symmetric Spaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2007, 01 2007. rnm121.
- [Bor76] Armand Borel. Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vector fixed under an iwahori subgroup. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 35:233–260, 1976.

- [Bor91] A. Borel. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1991.
- [Bro89] Kenneth S. Brown. *Buildings*, pages 76–98. Springer New York, New York, NY, 1989.
- [BT84] François Bruhat and Jacques Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local : Ii. schémas en groupes. existence d’une donnée radicielle valuée. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 60:5–184, 1984.
- [BZ76] I. N. Bernshtein and A. V. Zelevinskii. Representations of the group $\mathrm{gl}(n, f)$ where f is a non-archimedean local field. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 31:1–68, 1976.
- [CS18] Kei Yuen Chan and Gordan Savin. Iwahori component of the Gelfand-Graev representation. *Math. Z.*, 288(1-2):125–133, 2018.
- [D.B] D.Bump. Hecke algebras. <http://sporadic.stanford.edu/bump/math263/hecke.pdf>.
- [Del10] Patrick Delorme. Constant term of smooth H_ψ -spherical functions on a reductive p -adic group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(2):933–955, 2010.
- [DS11] Patrick Delorme and Vincent Sécherre. An analogue of the Cartan decomposition for p -adic symmetric spaces of split p -adic reductive groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 251(1):1–21, 2011.
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven. Néron models and tame ramification. *Compositio Mathematica*, 81(3):291–306, 1992.
- [Hir99] Yumiko Hironaka. Spherical functions and local densities on hermitian forms. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 51(3):553 – 581, 1999.
- [HW93] A. G. Helminck and S. P. Wang. On rationality properties of involutions of reductive groups. *Adv. Math.*, 99(1):26–96, 1993.
- [HW02] Aloysius G. Helminck and Ling Wu. Classification of involutions of $\mathrm{sl}(2, k)$. *Communications in Algebra*, 30(1):193–203, 2002.
- [IM65] Nagayoshi Iwahori and Hideya Matsumoto. On some bruhat decomposition and the structure of the hecke rings of p -adic chevalley groups. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 25:5–48, 1965.
- [Kno95] Friedrich Knop. On the set of orbits for a borel subgroup. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 70:285–309, 12 1995.
- [KP23] Tasho Kaletha and Gopal Prasad. *Bruhat-Tits theory—a new approach*, volume 44 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.
- [Lan95] E. Landvogt. *A Compactification of the Bruhat-Tits Building*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.

- [LV83] George Lusztig and Jr. Vogan, David A. Singularities of closures of k -orbits on flag manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 71:365–380, 1983.
- [Mac73] I. G. Macdonald. Spherical functions on a group of p -adic type. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 28(5(173)):155–224, 1973.
- [McN10] George J. McNinch. Levi decompositions of a linear algebraic group. *Transformation Groups*, 15:937–964, 2010.
- [Mil17] J. S. Milne. *Algebraic Groups of Semisimple Rank One*, page 407–423. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [MR09] Zhengyu Mao and Stephen Rallis. A Plancherel formula for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}/\mathrm{Sp}_n \times \mathrm{Sp}_n$ and its application. *Compos. Math.*, 145(2):501–527, 2009.
- [Off04] Omer Offen. Relative spherical functions on \wp -adic symmetric spaces (three cases). *Pacific J. Math.*, 215(1):97–149, 2004.
- [Sak12] Yiannis Sakellaridis. Spherical varieties and integral representations of L -functions. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(4):611–667, 2012.
- [Sak13] Yiannis Sakellaridis. Spherical functions on spherical varieties. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 135(5):1291–1381, 2013.
- [Sol21] Maarten Solleveld. Affine Hecke algebras and their representations. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 32(5):1005–1082, 2021.
- [Spr98] T. A. Springer. *Reductive Groups*, pages 132–153. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [Tit79] Jacques Tits. Reductive groups over local fields. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 29–69.*, 1979.