

TikTok Engagement Traces Over Time and Health Risky Behaviors: Combining Data Linkage and Computational Methods

Xinyan Zhao

Hussman School of Journalism and Media
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill

Chau-Wai Wong

Electrical and Computer Engineering
NC State University

ABSTRACT

Digital technologies and social algorithms are revolutionizing the media landscape, altering how we select and consume health information. Extending the selectivity paradigm with research on social media engagement, the convergence perspective, and algorithmic impact, this study investigates how individuals' liked TikTok videos on various health-risk topics are associated with their vaping and drinking behaviors. Methodologically, we relied on data linkage to objectively measure selective engagement on social media, which involves combining survey self-reports with digital traces from TikTok interactions for the consented respondents ($n = 166$). A computational analysis of 13,724 health-related videos liked by these respondents from 2020 to 2023 was conducted. Our findings indicate that users who initially liked drinking-related content on TikTok are inclined to favor more of such videos over time, with their likes on smoking, drinking, and fruit and vegetable videos influencing their self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors. Our study highlights the methodological value of combining digital traces, computational analysis, and self-reported data for a more objective examination of social media consumption and engagement, as well as a more ecologically valid understanding of social media's behavioral impact.

Keywords: TikTok, social media engagement, data linkage, computational methods, survey, health communication

1 INTRODUCTION

Digital technologies and social algorithms are revolutionizing the media landscape, altering how we select and consume health information. Unlike the traditional mass media environment, which offers limited choices of mediums and sources leading to more uniform experiences across audience groups (Meyrowitz, 1986), social media present a high-choice environment characterized by *curated flows* (Thorson & Wells, 2015). Namely, social media users often find themselves at the core of their personalized information environments (Zhao et al., 2022), embedded within multiple, intersecting flows of content curated based on a variety of factors including user preferences and behaviors, algorithmic inferences, social contacts, and strategic communication.

The selectivity paradigm in media effects and communication research emphasizes that individuals selectively consume social media content based on personal preferences and are influenced by the content they select (for a review, see Valkenburg, 2022). Extending the selectivity paradigm to the high-choice information environment, the convergence theory underscores individuals' selective consumption of a range of relevant content across key attributes of information systems, such as sources or mediums (Anthony et al., 2013; Jenkins, 2006; Zhao et al., 2022). However, extant work has focused on social media *exposure* and how it is shaped by algorithmic, individual, and social factors in the realm of political communication (e.g., Guess et al., 2023; Thorson et al., 2021), seldomly exploring one's *engagement* with a *selective set* of social media content regarding health topics, and their impact on personalized content flows on social media. It is crucial to study *selective engagement*, which highlights how users' engagement behaviors, such as likes and shares, interactively shape their subsequent media exposure and engagement through a dynamic feedback loop where algorithms continuously adjust the content shown to users based on their interaction patterns. Additionally, given that a significant portion of the population worldwide uses social media

for health information (Jia et al., 2021), it is fundamental to delve deeper than media exposure and examine the impact of social media engagement on shaping personalized health-related content and its subsequent effects.

TikTok, with its 834 million global users as of 2024, offers users a wealth of engagement opportunities through immersive and interactive video experiences (Howarth, 2024). Despite its popularity, especially among the younger population in the U.S., there has been a dearth of research on individuals' engagement with TikTok videos regarding health content and its behavioral effects. Persuasion research indicates that one processes information in relation to another (Akin et al., 2019), suggesting that engagement with varied health-risk content can jointly affect one's behaviors. For example, consuming and interacting with TikTok videos related to vaping and drinking, as well as those promoting fruit and vegetable intake, may collectively shape the personalized information intake and influence vaping and drinking behaviors. Therefore, this study investigates how the liked TikTok videos regarding a variety of health-risk topics relate to vaping and drinking behaviors.

Methodologically, research has shown that accurately recalling media consumption poses a significant challenge for survey respondents (Prior, 2009; Ohme, 2020; Scharrow, 2016). This has been intensified by the omnipresence of social media and the fluid, often overlapping nature of online interactions, as well as the proliferation of mobile devices (Araujo et al., 2017; Naab et al., 2018). However, most research on social media consumption and engagement, particularly in the health realm, relies on self-reports, potentially suffering from the concern of measurement validity. This study addresses the limitation by adopting a data linkage approach combining TikTok digital traces and self-reported survey data (De Vreese et al., 2017; Stier et al., 2019; Otto et al., 2023). We conducted an online survey and linked it with TikTok data by securing consent from participants to access their historical TikTok activities through a third-party application. This enabled us to download the TikTok videos that respondents had liked over time and conduct a computational analysis. Through data linkage, we associated the topics of videos liked by individuals at different time points with their self-reported behaviors on vaping and drinking. Our findings suggest that smoking, drinking, and fruit and vegetable consumption videos individuals liked on TikTok related to their self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors.

1.1 THE SELECTIVITY PARADIGM TO SOCIAL MEDIA CONSUMPTION AND ENGAGEMENT

The selectivity paradigm in media effects and communication research theories emphasizes that individuals are limited in the amount of media content they can focus on from the many available, thus they choose the information based on personal dispositions, needs, and desires, and are influenced only by the information they select (for a review, see Valkenburg, 2022). This paradigm is well represented by selective exposure theories (Zillmann & Bryant, 1985), which assume that individuals are motivated by cognitive and psychosocial factors to select and consume certain media content. In the context of health messaging and behavioral change, individuals can seek information on certain health topics to promote health behavioral changes (Knobloch-Westerwick et al., 2013). For instance, selective consumption of videos on drinking can reinforce existing drinking behaviors (self-bolstering) or encourage an increase in drinking behaviors (self-motivating). Knobloch-Westerwick et al. (2017) found that more time spent viewing messages promoting health behaviors results in a shift in attitudes toward the advocated health behaviors. Despite the value of selective exposure theories in elucidating the mechanisms of selective consumption in traditional media contexts, they do not fully explicate or explain selective engagement in social media contexts. Distinct from selective exposure, selective engagement behaviors can shape users' personalized information environments and subsequent social media exposure through a dynamic feedback loop. This loop is driven by algorithms that respond to users' engagement behaviors, such as likes and shares, by adjusting the content they are shown in the future. Therefore, our work aims to extend the selectivity paradigm by theorizing social media selective engagement based on the emerging literature on social media convergence and algorithmic impact.

As social media increasingly blur the lines between content production and consumption and merge the roles of message senders and receivers, the high-choice social media environment adds to the complexity and dynamics of individual information selection. To capture the complexity, the *convergence* perspective offers a theoretical explanation of the personalized information environment by highlighting the simultaneous consumption of relevant information across key attributes of information systems, such as sources or mediums (Anthony et al., 2013; Jenkins, 2006; Zhao et al.,

2022). This perspective highlights how individuals navigate and integrate a multitude of information, blending them into their daily media consumption to create a unique, personalized media experience. According to Hermann et al. (2023), social media users often find themselves in distinct, idiosyncratic digital environments characterized by high levels of personalization, varying significantly from one user to another and across platforms (e.g., TikTok, Facebook, Instagram). This line of work underscores the need for an *ecological and nuanced* approach to studying selective engagement, focusing on how an individual chooses to engage with a collection of content that forms their personalized information environment on a particular platform. We take the challenge to address this perspective, which remains largely unexplored in the literature.

Further, recent work on algorithmic impact has revealed the significant role of individual interactive behaviors, in conjunction with algorithmic and social factors, in shaping curated content flows on social media (Cho et al., 2020; Guess et al., 2023; Pariser, 2011; Thorson et al., 2021; Wells & Thorson, 2015). According to Thorson et al. (2021), Facebook users can intentionally tailor their information environment to their interests by interactive behaviors such as liking specific content, signaling to platforms like Facebook their interest in receiving similar content in the future. However, it is unknown how selective engagement behaviors, coupled with algorithmic impact, might amplify one’s engagement with certain kinds of content over time. Additionally, existing research, largely focused on content exposure on traditional platforms such as Facebook, concentrates on how political biases drive personal content choices. This study expands the theoretical horizon of the selectivity paradigm to investigate selective engagement with a collection of health-related videos on TikTok and the behavioral outcomes.

1.2 SELECTIVE ENGAGEMENT BEHAVIORS AND LIKED TIKTOK VIDEOS

Given social media’s impact on both content exposure and individual engagement with that content, it is fundamental for researchers to extend their focus beyond selective exposure and investigate selective engagement behaviors on social media and its patterns over time. Engagement is defined as the “willingness to invest in the undertaking of focal interactions with particular engagement objects” (Hollebeek et al., 2016, p. 2). Despite the multidimensional nature of social media engagement and measurement nuances, this study relies on “likes” on TikTok as a proxy of social media engagement behaviors (Hollebeek et al., 2014; Zhao & Chen, 2022), employing the behavioral traces to examine the type and variety of health videos with which TikTok users engage over time and their association with behavioral outcomes.

Rooted in prosocial desires and emotional needs (Brandtzaeg & Haugstveit, 2014), the interaction of liking allows users to share information, communicate approval, and express a desire for connection and enjoyment (Brandtzaeg & Haugstveit, 2014; Khan, 2017). Through likes, users navigate and contribute to a complex web of social interactions, from maintaining relationships to signaling agreement, thereby enriching the interconnectedness of digital environments (Bucher & Helmond, 2018). Liking also significantly influences individuals’ future social media exposure by aligning their exposure more closely with the content they choose to engage with (Thorson et al., 2021). These interactions create a feedback loop that personalizes the content experience, fostering prolonged engagement and enabling advertisers to target content more effectively toward specific demographics (Niland et al., 2016; Pokhrel et al., 2018). For example, TikTok’s (2020) For You feed algorithm recommends content based on a combination of factors, starting from preferences as a new user and adjusting based on user interactions such as the videos one likes and video information, to “ensure users see more of what they enjoy.” (p. 1)

We choose to focus on the “liked” videos by TikTok users over time, as the concept of behavioral engagement aligns closely with the selectivity paradigm in which users choose to like certain videos while ignoring others, reflecting how individuals exercise behavioral choice in their interactions with social media content. Additionally, liking content on social media demands less cognitive effort compared to more labor-intensive engagement behaviors like sharing or commenting. This makes liking a more extensively used form of engagement behaviors across platforms (i.e., “universal currency”; Bucher & Helmond, 2018, p. 25). As we aim to understand what types of health-related videos people interact with over time and the downstream effects on behaviors, using “liking” as a proxy of engagement behaviors allows us to capture a large and diverse dataset of health content people interact with at different times. In the following section, we discuss the data linkage approach to examine the outcomes of liked TikTok videos of varied health topics.

1.3 DATA LINKAGE APPROACH TO STUDYING OUTCOMES OF LIKED TIKTOK VIDEOS

Communication researchers have employed two methodological paradigms to study media effects: surveys and computational approaches (De Vreese et al., 2017; Ohme et al., 2023). While quantitative social scientists have traditionally relied on surveys to study media use, political participation, and health attitudes, the rise of digital technologies has highlighted the imprecision and unreliability of self-reported data often assessed retrospectively (Araujo et al., 2017; De Vreese & Neijens, 2016; Parry et al., 2021; Scharkow, 2019). Participants struggle to accurately recall their media consumption (Niederdeppe, 2016; Scharkow, 2016) and tend to overreport internet use in general (Araujo et al., 2017; Scharkow, 2016), cross-cutting exposure online (Song & Cho, 2021), as well as political activities on social media (Haenschen, 2020). In contrast, computational methods enable the collection of digital traces in a precise, nonintrusive way, offering granular and nuanced insights into a variety of interaction behaviors. Despite their precision, computational research primarily yields descriptive findings limited to specific platform users, limiting the ability to infer the effects or outcomes of analyzed content patterns.

Data linkage is a promising methodology to integrate survey self-reports and digital traces, enhancing the accuracy and ecological validity of media effect studies in social media contexts (De Vreese et al., 2017; Stier et al., 2019; Otto et al., 2023). This approach allows for the integration of survey responses and digital traces at both aggregate and individual levels (Stier et al., 2019), utilizing “user-centric” and “site-centric” strategies (De Vreese & Neijens, 2016). On the one hand, aggregate-level linkage leverages the temporal alignment of survey responses with digital data collected via social media application programming interfaces (APIs) or web scraping (Mellon, 2014; Stier et al., 2018). Due to the “site-centric” strategy that focuses on collective (vs. individual) digital data, it removes the burden of user consent for digital data collection. On the other hand, individual-level linkage collects personal digital traces from survey respondents through smartphones, platform APIs, or browser plugins (Al Baghal et al., 2019; Vaccari et al., 2015; Wells & Thorson, 2015). The “user-centric” process requires survey respondents to give informed consent for the collection of their historical digital trace data. This study adopts the “user-centric” approach, as it enables a more nuanced examination of online interactions at the individual level, ensuring ecological validity and ethical integrity in digital media research.

Empirical research on data linkage focuses on online news exposure, political knowledge and participation (Guess et al., 2023; Kristensen et al., 2017; Song & Cho, 2021; Thorson et al., 2021), leveraging social media data from Facebook (Thorson et al., 2021), Twitter (Freelon et al., 2024), and Instagram (Driel et al., 2022). So far, there has been no research leveraging data linkage to understand selective engagement with health-related TikTok videos.

1.4 IMPACTS OF ENGAGEMENT WITH HEALTH-RELATED TIKTOK VIDEOS

Survey research in health communication and social media has established the link between self-reported social media consumption and health risky behaviors among young adults, including the use of e-cigarettes (Pokhrel et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019, 2023) and alcohol (Geber et al., 2021; Hendriks et al., 2021). In terms of social media engagement, young adults tend to report low levels of engagement with tobacco-related social media content (Hébert et al., 2017), although anti-tobacco engagement (e.g., sharing anti-tobacco messaging) is reported to be more common than pro-tobacco engagement (Clendennen et al., 2020) on social media. Based on the selectivity paradigm (Knobloch-Westerwick et al., 2017), individuals information consumption and engagement choices can reinforce existing beliefs and behaviors or prompt changes. Given the predominance of pro-tobacco and pro-drinking content on TikTok (Russell et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2023; Xie et al., 2023), engaging with pro-smoking and pro-drinking content (vs. anti-smoking and anti-drinking) content is likely to foster positive attitudes toward smoking and increase the frequency of smoking behaviors. Yet, a longitudinal survey study shows that self-reported engagement with both pro- and anti-tobacco on social media is positively associated with the use of e-cigarettes (Yang et al., 2023).

The inconclusive findings could be attributed to the usage of self-reports to measure social media consumption and engagement, which often represent an inaccurate estimate of actual media use (Araujo et al., 2017; De Vreese & Neijens, 2016; Parry et al., 2021; Scharkow, 2019). In particular, when it comes to self-reporting the engagement with socially undesirable behaviors (Song & Cho, 2021), participants are likely to underreport their engagement with smoking or drinking usually

considered as health risky behaviors. Digital traces offer a more objective and reliable method to measure engagement with videos on smoking and drinking. They also allow for a more ecologically valid and objective quantification of selective engagement by calculating the ratio of such content to all content liked by an individual at different time points. Moreover, the convergence perspective suggests that individuals selectively consume a collection of content (Zhao et al., 2022), which could involve diverse health topics. As people process one message in relation to another (Akin et al., 2019), it is crucial to examine how people's engagement with a mix of health topics affects their vaping and drinking behaviors. To address these limitations, this study compares TikTok engagement measured by digital traces of likes versus self-reports and employs data linkage to examine the impact of selective TikTok engagement on individuals' self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors.

1.5 SUMMARY OF HYPOTHESES AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Based on the literature review on the selectivity paradigm, social media engagement with health topics, and data linkage approach, we conduct a computational analysis of health topics liked by individuals on TikTok and link the analyzed topics with their self-reported behavioral outcomes. To increase generalizability, we focus on two health risky behaviors: smoking and drinking. First, the reciprocal influence of user interactive behavior and algorithmic filtering may amplify exposure and engagement with certain types of content. As such, TikTok users who liked smoking or drinking videos initially may find themselves liking more of such content over time. In other words, users tend to like more TikTok videos over time, and this trend could be either constant or accelerating. We thus ask the first research question:

RQ1: What is the temporal pattern of TikTok users liking videos containing smoking (a) and drinking (b) content? Does the trend of liking such videos stay at a constant or an accelerating rate?

Based on the selectivity paradigm (Valkenburg, 2022), individuals' information engagement choices can reinforce existing behaviors or prompt changes. Thus, the size of the liked videos on smoking and drinking should be positively associated with self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors.

H1: Controlling for demographic factors, the size of liked videos containing smoking (a) and drinking (b) content are associated with self-reported vaping (a) and drinking (b) behaviors.

Individuals can encounter a collection of TikTok videos on diverse health topics in their personalized information environment. As people process one message in relation to another (Akin et al., 2019), it is crucial to examine how people's engagement with a mix of health-risk topics affects their vaping and drinking behaviors. Based on the literature on health-risk communication, marijuana use is a behavioral risk factor associated with vaping and drinking, while vegetable and fruit consumption and exercising are behavioral factors reducing risks of vaping and drinking (e.g., Uddin et al., 2020; Wilson et al., 2022). The following research question is thus asked:

RQ2: How is the size of liked videos containing additional health topics (i.e., vegetable and fruit consumption, exercise, marijuana) associated with self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors?

The literature suggests that in general, individuals report low engagement with social media content on smoking and drinking, and the self-reported engagement with anti-smoking or anti-drinking content is higher than pro-smoking or pro-drinking content. As content of different valence might affect actual behaviors in different ways, we ask the following research question:

RQ3: What is the actual prevalence of smoking and drinking related TikTok videos of different valence (positive vs. non-positive)? How does the actual valence of such videos affect self-reported behavioral outcomes?

Last, we ask a research question to understand the implications of measuring TikTok engagement using digital traces versus self-reports. As digital traces can be collected at different time points and might fluctuate, we aim to explore how these temporally fluctuating traces correspond with self-reported measures and actual behaviors by comparing objective digital traces of TikTok liking at different points and self-reported engagement and behavioral measures.

RQ4: How do digital engagement traces at different time segments correlate with self-reported engagement and behavioral measures?

2 METHOD

2.1 DATA COLLECTION AND LINKAGE

Following IRB approval, data were collected through an online panel administrated by Qualtrics from July to October 2023. Participants were U.S. residents aged 18 and older who had TikTok accounts. They were asked to complete the questionnaire using a desktop, laptop, tablet, or iPad. This requirement was set because a separate mobile device was necessary for them to grant authorization for account access, facilitating data linkage. Participants first completed a survey about their TikTok use, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors related to vaping, drinking, and binge drinking, along with demographic questions. Then, participants had the option to consent to share their TikTok data via a third-party application, TikAPI, with a small incentive offered for this additional step. Those consenting logged into their TikTok accounts on their mobile devices, agreed to TikAPI's terms, and authorized data scraping. Participants' login credentials were securely stored in TikAPI's database, making them inaccessible to researchers. Participants were later provided instructions via email on how to manage and delete their data from TikAPI, ensuring their privacy and control over their information.

Quota sampling was used to intentionally oversample racial/ethnic minorities, ensuring an equitable representation of diverse groups in the sample. A total of 1,102 people participated in this study, with 166 participants (15%) agreeing to authorize us access to their TikTok profiles and content through TikAPI (2023). Our sample for this study only included the 166 participants who provided both digital traces and survey self-reports. In the sample, the average number of liked videos was 2,409 (*Median* = 698, *SD* = 2,919, *min* = 0, *max* = 7,709). A majority of participants were aged between 21 to 30 years old (33.73%) and between 31 to 40 years old (22.29%). The distribution of other age groups was as follows: 20 or below (12.05%), 41 to 50 years (14.46%), 51 to 60 years (10.24%), and 61 or above (7.22%). In terms of sex, 69.88% identified as female, 27.11% identified as male, and 3.01% identified as non-binary. In terms of education, most participants held bachelor's or associate degrees (32.53%), had some college education without a degree (31.33%), or were high school graduates (22.29%). A smaller percentage had a master's degree or higher (10.84%), while a minimal fraction (3.01%) had less than a high school degree. For race/ethnicity, 46.39% identified as White/Caucasian, 21.08% Hispanic/Latino, 18.67% Black/African American, and 13.86% Asian, Pacific Islander, American Indian, or Native American. Despite the smaller sample size, our sample captured a diverse group of TikTok users.

To manage the extensive number of videos liked by participants and address TikAPI's limitation of 2,000 API requests per day, we created a comprehensive keyword list for health-risk topics (e.g., e-cig, liquor, tobacco, addiction, cancer) (see Appendix 1). Our focus on health-risk topics in the sampling scheme was justified by the project's focus. The average number of health-related topics liked by participants was 131.30 (*Median* = 29.00, *SD* = 181.50, *min* = 0, *max* = 948). As such, we randomly sampled and download up to 200 health-related videos for each participant for subsequent analysis. Specifically, if a participant had liked more than 200 videos, only 200 were downloaded; if they had liked fewer than 200 videos, all were downloaded. This approach allowed us to download videos and user profiles for 5–10 participants per day, given the API request constraints. As a result, a total of 13,724 videos were downloaded using a Python script, amounting to 93.01 GB of data.

2.2 COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS OF LIKED TIKTOK VIDEOS

The computational analysis of TikTok videos aims to convert as much audiovisual information as possible into structured data including continuous or categorical variables. For the audio track of each video, we used a speech-to-text neural engine from Deepgram, Inc. (Deepgram, 2023) to transcribe speakers' sentences into text form. The neural engine additionally provided the topics estimated from the transcription and encoded them in common such terms as "drinks," "tobacco," "fruits," "vegetables," and "exercise." Each estimated topic is also associated with a confidence level from the neural engine. Since the unit of analysis is at the participant level, we aggregated for each participant the topics and confidence levels from all their liked videos. The sum of all confidence levels was recorded to reflect the overall strength of topics associated with a participant, and each topic's confidence level was normalized by dividing the sum of confidence levels to allow comparison across participants (i.e., size of liked videos on smoking or drinking).

We also repeated the above steps to separately generate the size of liked videos for the following time segments: the recent one year (09/2022–09/2023), the year before the recent year (09/2021–09/2022), and the earlier period (04/2020–09/2021). Note that TikAPI’s data access limitations prevent retrieving liked videos from before 2020. This aims to answer RQ4, which explores the correlations between digital traces of TikTok liking at different time points and self-reported measures. We also explored using state-of-the-art video summarization deep learning algorithms (e.g., Iashin & Rahtu, 2020) to summarize the visual component of the TikTok videos. However, these algorithms produced only high-level summaries. For example, the algorithm by Iashin and Rahtu (2020) gave such descriptions as “A man is sitting on a chair” and “A man is standing in a kitchen with a woman in a white shirt,” likely due to a misalignment in training tasks compared to the specific task of describing TikTok video content. Hence, video summaries generated by the current generation of deep learning algorithms are far less specific and useful than audio transcripts. Considering 74.8% of our TikTok videos contain human speech content that was successfully transcribed, we decided to utilize solely the audio information for computational analysis.

For the trend analysis of liked drinking videos, we retrieved videos with transcriptions containing keywords “alcohol,” “beer,” “drink,” or “wine” and recorded their respective posting time as a proxy for participants viewing time. We further grouped videos into time buckets of one month for subsequent time series analysis. Using posting time as a proxy for viewing time combined with time-binning results in a reasonable approximation as TikTok videos are typically viewed within a short period after being posted. Trends analysis was conducted for each participant individually on the time series of cumulative count (i.e., number of liked drinking videos) as a function of the month index. An F -test was conducted between the null hypothesis of a linear line (i.e., viewing counts evenly spread over time; cumulative count \sim time + intercept) versus the alternative hypothesis of a quadratic line (viewing counts linearly increase or decrease over time; cumulative count \sim time + time² + intercept).

Further, for drinking related videos, we coded the transcripts’ valence (positive = 1 or nonpositive = -1) toward drinking using OpenAI’s GPT-4 API (for the prompt, see Appendix 2). GPT has been shown in the literature to perform coding tasks comparable to human coders in social sciences (Ziems et al., 2024). For each participant, the valence of their liked TikTok videos was measured by both the number of positive ($M = 5.14$, $SD = 10.00$) and nonpositive videos ($M = 2.54$, $SD = 3.56$).

2.3 MEASURES OF SELF-REPORTED VARIABLES

Former use of e-cigarettes. Respondents indicated whether they have ever tried an e-cigarette or vaping device, even once or twice (Pearson et al., 2018). 61.4% indicated yes, whereas 38.6% indicated no.

Current frequency of e-cigarette use. If a respondent had previously used an e-cigarette or engaged in vaping, they were asked to report their current frequency of using an e-cigarette or vaping device (Pearson et al., 2018). This was measured on a 5-point scale from 1 (*not at all*) to 3 (*less than weekly, but at least once a month*) to 5 (*daily or almost daily*). The mean of current vaping frequency was 3.02 ($SD = 1.51$).

Current frequency of alcohol use. On a 6-point scale from 1 (*never*) to 3 (*1-3 days a month*) to 6 (*5 or 7 days a week*), respondents indicated their current frequency of having any kind of drink containing alcohol ($M = 3.02$, $SD = 1.51$), as well as the frequency of having five drinks or more on one occasion ($M = 2.18$, $SD = 1.45$) (Yang & Zhao, 2018).

Self-reported engagement with vaping TikTok videos. Following existing measures (Hébert et al., 2017), respondents reported the frequency that they sought information on e-cigarettes or vapes on TikTok and they talked to other TikTok users about e-cigarettes or vapes on a 7-point scale from 1 (*not at all*) to 7 (*a lot*). The mean of self-reported engagement was 1.90 ($SD = 1.52$, Pearson’s $r = 0.78$). On the same scale, respondents also reported the extent to which they had paid attention to TikTok content on e-cigarettes or vaping devices ($M = 3.06$, $SD = 1.74$).

Control variables. Demographic variables including age group, sex, race/ethnicity, and education were controlled. To control the level of social media activity and engagement, the total number of following and total number of hearts (i.e., likes on TikTok) was also used as a covariate. Former use of e-cigarettes was also used as a covariate.




Figure 1: Significant trend of an increasing rate of liking drinking TikTok videos.




Figure 2: Insignificant results: A constant rate of liking drinking TikTok videos.

3 RESULTS

RQ1 examined the trend in the rate of liking smoking and drinking related TikTok videos over time. Due to the limited number of participants liking smoking-related videos, the analysis primarily concentrated on the trend associated with drinking videos. Among 92 participants who viewed any drinking-related videos, 35 viewed more than 4 videos across different years, enabling visualization and F -tests on the trend in the rate of liking drinking videos. Among them, 12 (34.29%) participants demonstrated a statistically significant accelerating rate of liking drinking TikTok videos ($p < .05$), whereas 23 demonstrated a constant rate of liking such videos. We visualized the distinct trends by setting time on the horizontal axis and the cumulative count of relevant TikTok videos on the vertical axis. Figure 1 shows the significant trend (i.e., an increasing rate of liking drinking videos) for 12 participants over time, whereas Figure 2 shows the nonsignificant trend (i.e., a constant rate of liking). Our analysis also shows that the presence of an accelerating rate was not related to the total number of liked drinking videos.

H1 hypothesized that the size of liked videos containing smoking and drinking was associated with self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors, with demographic variables being controlled for. RQ2 asked how the size of liked videos containing additional health topics (vegetable and fruit consumption, exercise, marijuana) was associated with self-reported vaping and drinking behaviors. The results from linear regressions are in Table 1. We found that current self-reported drinking and

Table 1: Predictors of Self-Reported Drinking and Vaping Behaviors

	Current Drinking Behavior (Self-Reported)	Current Binge Drinking Behavior (Self-Reported)	Current Vaping Behavior (Self-Reported)
Age	0.02 (0.09)	-0.06 (0.08)	0.01 (0.08)
Sex (male as the reference group)	0.03 (0.26)	-0.10 (0.24)	-0.37 (0.24)
Education	0.09 (0.09)	-0.01 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.08)
Ethnicity (non-white as the reference group)	0.07 (0.25)	-0.17 (0.23)	0.07 (0.23)
Total # of following	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)
Total # of hearts	-0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)
Former vaping behavior	0.63 (0.25) *	0.64 (0.23) **	1.58 (0.23) ***
Total # liked videos on drinking	6.03 (2.83) *	5.69 (2.66) *	2.22 (2.56)
Total # liked videos on fruit and vegetable consumption	-8.86 (4.26) *	-8.47 (4.00) *	5.28 (3.86)
Total # liked videos on smoking	-0.54 (1.50)	1.58 (1.40)	2.90 (1.36) *

Note. $N = 166$

binge drinking behaviors were positively affected by the size of liked TikTok videos on drinking ($b = 6.03$, $SD = 2.83$, $p = .046$ for drinking; $b = 5.69$, $SD = 2.66$, $p = .045$ for binge drinking) and negatively affected by the size of liked TikTok videos on fruit and vegetable consumption ($b = -8.86$, $SD = 4.26$, $p = .042$ for drinking; $b = -8.47$, $SD = 4.00$, $p = .038$ for binge drinking). The current self-reported vaping behaviors were also positively affected by the size of liked TikTok videos on smoking ($b = 2.90$, $SD = 1.36$, $p = .034$). H1 was supported. For RQ2, self-reported current drinking and vaping behaviors were only associated with liking TikTok videos about vegetable and fruit consumption, not those about physical exercise or marijuana.

RQ3 asked about the prevalence of smoking and drinking related TikTok videos of different valence (positive vs. non-positive) and the effect of valence on self-reported behaviors. We focused on drinking related videos due to the small number of liked smoking videos. Our results showed that overall, more participants liked pro-drinking (57.61%) rather than anti-drinking TikTok videos (42.39%). But neither liking pro- or anti-drinking content related to the self-reported current drinking or binge drinking behaviors. Additional exploratory analyses show that liking pro-drinking content only negatively related to affective outcomes, namely stress ($b = -0.02$, $SD = 0.01$, $p = 0.043$) from TikTok use.

RQ4 asked how digital engagement traces in different time segments correlated with self-reported engagement and behavioral measures. Our correlation tests showed that TikTok liking traces for drinking and smoking in the recent one year (09/2022–09/2023) and in the earlier period (04/2020–09/2021) strongly correlated with each other (Tables 2 and 3). Liking traces in the year before the recent year (09/2021–09/2022) showed no correlation with the previous two traces for vaping and only demonstrated moderate correlations for drinking. Additionally, liking traces at different times did not correlate with self-reported attention to or engagement with vaping-related content. And self-reported behaviors of drinking and vaping had a slightly larger association with liking traces in the recent one year compared to all in the past. However, these behaviors did not correlate with liking traces in the mid-time segment.

Table 2: Drinking-Related Liking Traces on TikTok and Self-Reported Drinking Behaviors

	Liking traces (09/2022- 09/2023)	Liking traces (09/2021- 09/2022)	Liking traces (04/2020- 09/2021)	Self-reported current drinking	Self-reported current binge drinking
Liking traces (09/2022- 09/2023)	1.00	.30***	.76***	.20**	.14
Liking traces (09/2021- 09/2022)	.30***	1.00	.47***	-.05	.02
Liking traces (04/2020- 09/2021)	.76***	.47***	1.00	.16*	.13
Self-reported current drinking	.20**	-.05	.16*	1.00	.73***
Self-reported current binge drinking	.14	.02	.13	.73***	1.00

Note. $N = 166$

Table 3: Smoking-Related Liking Traces on TikTok, Self-Reported Engagement Measures, and Vaping Behaviors

	Liking traces (09/2022- 09/2023)	Liking traces (09/2021- 09/2022)	Liking traces (04/2020- 09/2021)	Reported attention	Reported engagem ent	Self- reported vaping behavior
Liking traces (09/2022- 09/2023)	1.00	-.01	.98***	.11	.03	.19*
Liking traces (09/2021- 09/2022)	-.01	1.00	.10	-.10	-.06	-.04
Liking traces 04/2020-09/2021)	.98***	.10	1.00	.08	-.01	.18*
Reported Attention to Vaping TikTok	.11	-.10	.08	1.00	.43***	.30***
Reported Vaping Content Engagement	.03	-.06	-.01	.43***	1.00	.44***
Self-reported Vaping behavior	.19*	-.04	.18*	.30***	.44***	1.00

Note. $N = 166$

4 DISCUSSION

Our finding, based on the linkage of longitudinal TikTok engagement traces with survey self-reports, reveals that users who initially liked drinking-related videos tend to like more of such content over the years. However, individual users display varied patterns: some exhibit a linear trend, consistently liking an increasing number of drinking videos over the years, while others show a nonlinear increasing trend, indicating an accelerating rate of liking drinking videos on TikTok. This provides empirical support for the literature on algorithmic impact (Thorson et al., 2021) and selective

engagement, which assumes that the reciprocal influence of algorithmic filtering and user engagement behavior (e.g., liking) might affect or even amplify ones' engagement with certain types of content. This also suggests that TikTok's algorithm might not have a unified impact on all users, and additional factors such as individual demographics, attitudes, or actual behaviors could account for the varying rates at which users like such videos over time. Probably, some users might interchangeably or complementarily use TikTok and other platforms to engage with content related to drinking. Taken together, these findings expand the selectivity paradigm and convergence theories by revealing temporal patterns of selective engagement on an emerging platform.

Additionally, we found that the actual size of liked TikTok videos on drinking and smoking, extracted from all past activity traces through computational methods, positively affected users' self-reported current drinking, binge drinking, and vaping behaviors. These findings extend existing studies in health communication and social media by validating potential causal links between social media engagement over time and health-risk behaviors (Pokhrel et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019). This was achieved by correlating actual engagement traces on TikTok with survey self-reports. Our trace data also revealed that more participants liked TikTok videos related to drinking rather than smoking or vaping. Among those who liked drinking-related content, there was a slight preference for pro-drinking over anti-drinking videos. However, the valence of the liked videos did not influence actual drinking behaviors. Our exploratory analysis shows that the valence of the liked videos related to affective outcomes, implying that indirect relationships might exist between valence and behavioral outcomes. Additionally, we found that the actual size of liked videos on fruit and vegetable consumption, negatively affected all these behaviors. This suggests that both social media engagement related to certain risky behaviors and auxiliary engagement related to other health behaviors can collectively affect behavioral outcomes. According to the convergence perspective (Zhao et al., 2022), individuals can encounter a collection of TikTok videos on diverse health topics in their personalized information environment. As people process one message in relation to another (Akin et al., 2019), it is crucial to examine the behavioral outcomes of the engagement with a mix of health topics. This convergence perspective might provide a more ecologically valid standpoint for understanding the behavioral impact of social media engagement.

Further, we found that TikTok liking traces on drinking and smoking in different time segments strongly correlated with each other. Yet, these liking traces in different time segments did not correlate with self-reported engagement with vaping-related content. This supports the methodological literature that the usage of self-reports to measure social media consumption or engagement can represent an inaccurate estimate of actual media use (Araujo et al., 2017; Parry et al., 2021; Scharkow, 2019). As drinking and smoking are considered as socially undesirable behaviors, participants probably underreported their engagement with smoking or drinking TikTok videos. Our digital traces offer a more objective and reliable method to measure engagement with videos and the subsequent impacts. Our observations that engagement traces in the recent one year and all past years exhibited strong correlations suggest that digital traces within a recent time frame might well represent all past traces in predicting behavioral outcomes. However, engagement traces in the mid-time segment had weaker correlations with those in other time segments, suggesting the potential fluctuations of user engagement traces over time and the importance of adopting a reasonable period in data collection.

4.1 METHODOLOGICAL AND THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS

Our results significantly enhance the methodological literature, especially in the less explored areas of data linkage approach and computational analysis of audiovisual content. The finding that health-related topics, computationally extracted from TikTok videos liked by users, did not align with their self-reported engagement measures corroborates existing studies on the potential bias of self-reports (Araujo et al., 2017; Naab et al., 2018), particularly in health risky behaviors. Digital traces and computational measurement serve as promising methods to obtain objective and reliable measures of social media consumption. The varying correlations observed in engagement traces over different time periods underscore the significance of data collection period. Selecting an appropriate time-frame for data collection is crucial, as it allows scholars to detect the hypothesized effects of social media engagement.

Emerging methodological literature has revealed the potential of combining data linkage and computational methods (e.g., Freelon, 2024). While future research can apply this approach to study the longitudinal impacts of social media platforms and their downstream behavioral effects, compli-

cations in system design, social media API constraints/updates, and growing user privacy concerns may continue to pose challenges. For example, only a small percentage of participants (15% in this study) were willing to provide access to their historical TikTok data, potentially limiting the generalizability of the results and making this method costly for most scholars. To mitigate these challenges, increased efforts in dataset sharing within the scholarly community and open-source sharing can enhance data accessibility and method generalizability, thereby strengthening research robustness and reproducibility of research findings.

Theoretically, our research enriches the selectivity paradigm in communication theories by explicating and testing the concept of selective engagement on an emerging social media platform. Enriching the selectivity paradigm with research on social media engagement, convergence perspective, and algorithmic impact, our findings also show that engaging with a mix of health-risk videos on TikTok can differentially affect health risky behaviors. The combination of TikTok engagement traces and survey self-reports enables an ecologically valid test of not only the longitudinal trends of TikTok user engagement with health-related videos but also the behavioral impacts of such engagement.

4.2 LIMITATIONS

Our findings should be generalized to the broader population with caution. Despite controlling for demographic variables, only 15% of our survey sample consented to and successfully provided access to their TikTok activity traces. These participants might possess higher digital literacy and better understand algorithmic impact than average TikTok users, potentially leading them to intentionally curate content that caters to their preferences. Future studies should, if feasible, aim to obtain digital traces from a more representative sample. Additionally, our study focused solely on the digital traces of likes on TikTok, neglecting other forms of engagement due to data unavailability. Future research should examine various forms of selective engagement across multiple platforms. Last, although we have individuals' TikTok traces from 2020 to 2023, we lack longitudinal behavioral measures, which limits our ability to make causal conclusions. Future research can combine longitudinal self-reports with digital traces to understand the reciprocal influence of selective engagement on social media and behavioral outcomes.

5 CONCLUSION

Despite its limitations, our study emphasizes the importance of integrating digital traces, computational analysis, and self-reported data for an objective and ecologically valid approach to studying media consumption and engagement on digital platforms. This methodological innovation significantly enhances our ability to accurately assess social media's impact on behavior and opens opportunities for theoretical building in communication.

REFERENCES

- Anthony, K. E., Sellnow, T. L., & Millner, A. G. (2013). Message convergence as a message-centered approach to analyzing and improving risk communication. *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 41*(4), 346–364.
- Brandtzaeg, P. B., & Haugstveit, I. M. (2014). Facebook likes: A study of liking practices for humanitarian causes. *International Journal of Web Based Communities, 10*(3), 258–279.
- Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2018). The affordances of social media platforms. In J. Burgess, A. Marwick, & T. Poell (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Social Media* (pp. 233–253). Sage Publications.
- Cho, J., Ahmed, S., Hilbert, M., Liu, B., & Luu, J. (2020). Do search algorithms endanger democracy? An experimental investigation of algorithm effects on political polarization. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 64*(2), 150–172.
- De Vreese, C. H., Boukes, M., Schuck, A., Vliegthart, R., Bos, L., & Lelkes, Y. (2017). Linking survey and media content data: Opportunities, considerations, and pitfalls. *Communication Methods and Measures, 11*(4), 221–244.

- Deepgram. (2023, October). Nova-2-ea. <https://deepgram.com/>
- Geber, S., Frey, T., & Friemel, T. N. (2021). Social media use in the context of drinking onset: The mutual influences of social media effects and selectivity. *Journal of Health Communication*, 26(8), 566–575.
- Guess, A. M., Malhotra, N., Pan, J., Barberá, P., Allcott, H., Brown, T., ... & Tucker, J. A. (2023). How do social media feed algorithms affect attitudes and behavior in an election campaign? *Science*, 381(6656), 398–404.
- Freelon, D., Pruden, M. L., Malmer, D., Wu, Q., Xia, Y., Johnson, D., ... & Crist, A. (2024). What's in your PIE? Understanding the contents of personalized information environments with PIEGraph. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*.
- Hendriks, H., de Nooy, W., Gebhardt, W. A., & van den Putte, B. (2021). Causal effects of alcohol-related Facebook posts on drinking behavior: Longitudinal experimental study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(11), e28237.
- Hebert, E. T., Case, K. R., Kelder, S. H., Delk, J., Perry, C. L., & Harrell, M. B. (2017). Exposure and engagement with tobacco-and e-cigarette-related social media. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 61(3), 371–377.
- Howarth, J. (2024). TikTok User Age, Gender, & Demographics. <https://explodingtopics.com/blog/tiktok-demographics>
- Iashin, V., & Rahtu, E. (2020). A better use of audio-visual cues: Dense video captioning with bi-modal transformer. In *British Machine Vision Conference*.
- Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Jia, X., Pang, Y., & Liu, L. S. (2021, December). Online health information seeking behavior: A systematic review. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 9, No. 12, p. 1740). MDPI.
- Knobloch-Westerwick, S., Johnson, B. K., & Westerwick, A. (2013). To your health: Self-regulation of health behavior through selective exposure to online health messages. *Journal of Communication*, 63(5), 807–829.
- Kristensen, J. B., Albrechtsen, T., Dahl-Nielsen, E., Jensen, M., Skovrind, M., & Bornakke, T. (2017). Parsimonious data: How a single Facebook like predicts voting behavior in multiparty systems. *PloS One*, 12(9), e0184562.
- Niland, P., McCreanor, T., Lyons, A. C., & Griffin, C. (2017). Alcohol marketing on social media: Young adults engage with alcohol marketing on Facebook. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 25(4), 273–284.
- Ohme, J., Araujo, T., Boeschoten, L., Freelon, D., Ram, N., Reeves, B. B., & Robinson, T. N. (2023). Digital trace data collection for social media effects research: APIs, data donation, and (screen) tracking. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 1–18.
- Otto, L. P., Loecherbach, F., & Vliegthart, R. (2023). Linkage analysis revised—Linking digital traces and survey data. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 1–19.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin UK.
- Park, J. Y., Seo, D. C., & Lin, H. C. (2016). E-cigarette use and intention to initiate or quit smoking among US youths. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(4), 672–678.
- Pearson, J. L., Hitchman, S. C., Brose, L. S., Bauld, L., Glasser, A. M., Villanti, A. C., ... & Cohen, J. E. (2018). Recommended core items to assess e-cigarette use in population-based surveys. *Tobacco Control*, 27(3), 341–346.
- Pokhrel, P., Fagan, P., Herzog, T. A., Laestadius, L., Buente, W., Kawamoto, C. T., ... & Unger, J. B. (2018). Social media e-cigarette exposure and e-cigarette expectancies and use among young adults. *Addictive Behaviors*, 78, 51–58.

- Russell, A. M., Davis, R. E., Ortega, J. M., Colditz, J. B., Primack, B., & Barry, A. E. (2021). #Alcohol: Portrayals of alcohol in top videos on TikTok. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 82(5), 615–622.
- Stier, S., Breuer, J., Siegers, P., & Thorson, K. (2020). Integrating survey data and digital trace data: Key issues in developing an emerging field. *Social Science Computer Review*, 38(5), 503–516.
- Song, H., & Cho, J. (2021). Assessing (in) accuracy and biases in self-reported measures of exposure to disagreement: Evidence from linkage analysis using digital trace data. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 15(3), 190–210.
- Sun, T., Lim, C. C., Chung, J., Cheng, B., Davidson, L., Tisdale, C., ... & Chan, G. C. (2023). Vaping on TikTok: A systematic thematic analysis. *Tobacco Control*, 32(2), 251–254.
- Thorson, K., & Wells, C. (2016). Curated flows: A framework for mapping media exposure in the digital age. *Communication Theory*, 26, 309–328. doi:10.1111/comt.12087
- Thorson, K., Cotter, K., Medeiros, M., & Pak, C. (2021). Algorithmic inference, political interest, and exposure to news and politics on Facebook. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(2), 183–200.
- TikTok. (2020) How TikTok recommends videos #ForYou. <https://newsroom.tiktok.com/en-us/how-tiktok-recommends-videos-for-you/>
- Uddin, S. I., Osei, A. D., Obisesan, O. H., El-Shahawy, O., Dzaye, O., Cainzos-Achirica, M., ... & Blaha, M. J. (2020). Prevalence, trends, and distribution of nicotine and marijuana use in E-cigarettes among US adults: The behavioral risk factor surveillance system 2016–2018. *Preventive Medicine*, 139, 106175.
- van Driel, I. I., Giachanou, A., Pouwels, J. L., Boeschoten, L., Beyens, I., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2022). Promises and pitfalls of social media data donations. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 16(4), 266–282.
- van Hoof, J. J., Bekkers, J., & van Vuuren, M. (2014). Son, you're smoking on Facebook! College students' disclosures on social networking sites as indicators of real-life risk behaviors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 34, 249–257.
- Valkenburg, P. M. (2022). Theoretical foundations of social media uses and effects. *Handbook of adolescent digital media use and mental health*, 39–60.
- Wilson, O. W., Bullen, C., Duffey, M., & Bopp, M. (2022). The association between vaping and health behaviors among undergraduate college students in the United States. *Journal of American College Health*, 1–5.
- Wells, C., & Thorson, K. (2015). Combining big data and survey techniques to model effects of political content flows in Facebook. *Social Science Computer Review*, 35, 33–52. doi:10.1177/0894439315609528
- Westerwick, A., Johnson, B. K., & Knobloch-Westerwick, S. (2017). Change your ways: Fostering health attitudes toward change through selective exposure to online health messages. *Health Communication*, 32(5), 639–649.
- Xie, Z., Xue, S., Gao, Y., & Li, D. (2023). Characterizing e-cigarette-related videos on TikTok: Observational study. *JMIR Formative Research*, 7(1), e42346.
- Yang, B., & Zhao, X. (2018). TV, social media, and college students binge drinking intentions: Moderated mediation models. *Journal of Health Communication*, 23(1), 61–71.
- Yang, Q., Liu, J., Lochbuehler, K., & Hornik, R. (2019). Does seeking e-cigarette information lead to vaping? Evidence from a national longitudinal survey of youth and young adults. *Health Communication*, 34(3), 298–305.
- Yuan, X., Schuchard, R. J., & Crooks, A. T. (2019). Examining emergent communities and social bots within the polarized online vaccination debate in Twitter. *Social Media+Society*, 5(3). doi:10.1177/2056305119865465

- Zhao, X., & Chen, Y. R. R. (2022). How brand-stakeholder dialogue drives brand-hosted community engagement on social media: A mixed-methods approach. *Computers in Human Behavior, 131*, 107208.
- Zhao, X., Xu, S., & Austin, L. L. (2022). Medium and source convergence in crisis information acquisition: Patterns, antecedents, and outcomes. *New Media & Society, 14614448221088866*.
- Ziems, C., Held, W., Shaikh, O., Chen, J., Zhang, Z., & Yang, D. (2024). Can large language models transform computational social science? *Computational Linguistics, 1–55*.
- Zillmann, D., & Bryant, J. (1985). Affect, mood, and emotion as determinants of selective exposure. In D. Zillmann & J. Bryant (Eds.), *Selective exposure to communication* (pp. 157–190). Erlbaum.

Online Supplemental Materials

TikTok Engagement Traces Over Time and Health Risky Behaviors: Combining Data Linkage and Computational Methods

APPENDIX 1 SAMPLING KEYWORDS

vape, vaping, vaper, smoke, smoking, smoker, jull, #pod, #nic, inhaler, e-juice, #vapelite, #vapor, e-liquid, e-cig, e-cigarette, cigarette, ecigarette, cig, e-cigg, e-ciig, #ecigg, juice, liquid, waterpipe, cigar, weed, marijunna, fumar, cigarro, #quitvaping, #quittingnicotine, #nicotinefree, #vapetricks, #nicotinefein, nic, #iget, puff bar, "#puffbar", exhale, inhale, vapor, addicted, vgod, blowos, vemjuice, tobaco, tobacco, quit, nicotine, lungs, lungcancer, cancer, #disposable, nictok, #quittingnic, drink, alcohol, beer, wine, drunk, drank,sober, #binge, #titos, liquor, drinktok, vodka, cocktail, addiction, #sobertok, hangover, bar, bartender

APPENDIX 2 GPT 4 PROMPTS

```
You are a social scientist good at content analysis and coding. You will
↪ be given a set of transcripts in JSON array format. Please analyze
↪ for each transcript the stance (i.e., positive=1 or non-positive
↪ =-1) toward drinking. If the transcript is not related to drinking
↪ but is present, please output 0. Please output the stance coding in
↪ a list in compact JSON format. Do not explain, and only give the
↪ final result.
```